

Rational singularities for moment maps of totally negative quivers

Tanguy Vernet*

Abstract

We prove that the zero-fiber of the moment map of a totally negative quiver has rational singularities. Our proof consists in generalizing dimension bounds on jet spaces of this fiber, which were introduced by Budur [10]. As a consequence, we generalize results of Wyss [51] on the asymptotic behaviour of the number of $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n$ -points of quiver moment maps, when n goes to infinity. We also transfer the rational singularities property to other moduli spaces of 2-Calabi-Yau categories, based on recent work of Davison [21].

1 Introduction

Let Q be a quiver and \mathbf{d} a dimension vector. The moment map $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}$ is a central object in the geometric representation theory of quivers. It is a building block of Lusztig's nilpotent variety [39, 34, 40] and Nakajima's quiver varieties [43, 44], which were used in geometric realizations of Kac-Moody Lie algebras and associated quantum groups. A systematic study of geometric properties of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}$ and of quiver varieties was then undertaken by Crawley-Boevey in the early 2000s [14, 16, 17]. Since then, many other aspects of quiver moment maps have been investigated, such as their motives and (equivariant) cohomology [41, 20] or the cohomology and singularities of quiver varieties [26, 27, 28, 6] and their relations to countings of quiver representations (see [47] for a survey).

More recently, Wyss observed that the counting of jets of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ over finite fields has an interesting asymptotic behaviour [51]. Consider the sequence $q^{-n \dim \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)} \cdot \#\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)$, $n \geq 1$. When $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$, Wyss showed that this sequence converges when n goes to infinity if, and only if, the graph underlying Q is 2-connected. Moreover, by computing the local Igusa zeta function of $\mu_{Q,\underline{1}}^{-1}(0)$, Wyss found an explicit rational fraction $W_Q \in \mathbb{Q}(T)$ in terms of the graphical hyperplane arrangement associated to Q , such that:

$$W_Q(q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} q^{-n \dim \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)} \cdot \#\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n).$$

As discussed in [51], W_Q enjoys nice numerical properties: it has a palindromic numerator W'_Q ; W'_Q conjecturally has non-negative coefficients and it is related to the asymptotic count of absolutely indecomposable representations of Q over $\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n$, similarly to analogous countings over finite fields [19, 41]. Our motivation for this work was to generalize this counting to higher dimension vectors ($\mathbf{d} > \underline{1}$). The first question one has to address is: for which pairs (Q, \mathbf{d}) does this sequence converge, when n goes to infinity? By work of Mustața, Aizenbud-Avni and Glazer

*École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Chair of Arithmetic Geometry (ARG)

[42, 2, 25], when $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ is a local complete intersection, this question is equivalent to the following: for which pairs (Q, \mathbf{d}) does $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ have rational singularities?

Rational singularities of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}$ were brought into focus by Aizenbud-Avni [1] and Budur [10], when $Q = S_g$ is the g -loop quiver, in connection with representation growth of arithmetic groups. They established that $\mu_{S_g,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularities for g large enough. We introduce a class of pairs (Q, \mathbf{d}) having property (P) (see Definition 2.2.1), which generalizes the family of quivers considered in [10]. Property (P) essentially bears on Q , which should be totally negative i.e. the underlying graph is complete with at least two loops at each vertex. We also require a mild restriction on \mathbf{d} to ensure that $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ is a complete intersection. Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.0.1. *Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus 0$ such that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Then $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularities.*

Our proof follows the methods introduced by Budur for the g -loop quiver [10], which were later generalized to more general moment maps by Herbig, Schwarz and Seaton [29]. The key idea is to stratify $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ and reduce the problem to the study of the deepest stratum of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ for various auxiliary quivers, using étale slices. Budur introduced an explicit bound on the dimension of jet spaces over the deepest stratum, using earlier results of Crawley-Boevey [16]. Using this bound, one proves that $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularities thanks to a criterion of Mustață [42]. We strengthen and generalize Budur's bound to the class of pairs (Q, \mathbf{d}) with property (P). As a corollary, we obtain a partial answer to our initial question:

Corollary 1.0.2. *Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\}$ such that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Then the sequence of rational numbers*

$$q^{-n \dim \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)} \cdot \#\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n)), \quad n \geq 1$$

converges when n goes to infinity.

From our main result, we also derive rational singularities statements for other moduli spaces. The moduli spaces we consider are good categorical quotients $X//G$ in the sense of Geometric Invariant Theory [24, Ch. 6.], coming from a moduli stack $[X/G]$ - where X is a scheme acted on by a linear algebraic group G . It was shown for several moduli stacks of this form that $X//G$ has rational singularities (more specifically symplectic singularities, as introduced in [5]). In fewer cases, it was also shown that X has rational singularities, which implies by a theorem of Boutot (see [8, Corollaire]) that $X//G$ also has rational singularities. Examples of such moduli spaces include quiver varieties [6, 10], character varieties [10, 12], multiplicative quiver varieties [33, 46] and moduli of sheaves on K3 surfaces [32, 4, 11]. These moduli spaces all parametrize objects of a 2-Calabi-Yau category, in a precise (differential-graded) sense, which was formalized by Davison in [21]. Davison shows, using a formality argument, that these moduli spaces are étale-locally modelled on moment maps of quivers.

We prove a rational singularities statement for general moduli stacks of objects in a 2-Calabi-Yau category which are also quotient stacks $[X/G]$, following the formalism of [21] (see Sections 2.5 and 4.1). In that setting, our total negativity assumption on quivers translates to a homological property of the category, which we also call total negativity (see also [22]). For representation varieties of fundamental groups of compact Riemann surfaces, a rational singularities result was already proved in [10], as well as for their De Rham and Dolbeault analogs. We

briefly discuss rational singularities for moduli of sheaves on K3 surfaces, as mentioned in the introduction of [11]. Finally, we prove the following result for representations spaces of multiplicative preprojective algebras $R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d})$, thereby dealing with the last example in Davison's list (see [21, §1.1.1]):

Proposition 1.0.3. *Let Q be a quiver, $q \in (\mathbb{C}^\times)^{Q_0}$ and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus 0$ such that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Then $R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d})$ has rational singularities.*

In Sections 2.1 and 2.2, we recall well-known facts on quiver representations and the geometry of moment maps. In Section 2.3, we introduce rational singularities and the criterion of Mustața that we use to prove our main result. In Section 2.4, we discuss the stratification of $\mu_{Q, \mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ mentioned above and how it interacts with rational singularities. In Section 2.5, we briefly introduce the other moduli spaces that we deal with in this paper, following [21]. In Section 2.6, we discuss arithmetic consequences of rational singularities, which initially motivated our work in the context of quiver representations.

Section 3 is the heart of the paper, where we prove Theorem 1.0.1. Finally, we apply our main result in Section 4 to prove Proposition 1.0.3 and Corollary 1.0.2.

Acknowledgements I would like to warmly thank Dimitri Wyss for his guidance and supervision and Nero Budur for helpful discussions and answering all my questions on his previous works. I would like to thank Lucien Hennecart as well, for pointing out to me the exceptional case in the definition of property (P) below. This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation [No. 196960].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Quiver representations and their moduli

We collect here elementary notions on quiver representations and their moduli (see for instance [45]). A quiver is the datum $Q = (Q_0, Q_1, s, t)$ of a set of vertices Q_0 and a set of arrows Q_1 , along with source and target maps $s, t : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0$. Note that a quiver may have loops and parallel arrows connecting the same vertices.

Let us fix \mathbb{K} a base field. A representation V of Q is the datum of \mathbb{K} -vector spaces $(V_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ and \mathbb{K} -linear maps $(V_a : V_{s(a)} \rightarrow V_{t(a)})_{a \in Q_1}$. A morphism between two representations V and W is the datum of \mathbb{K} -linear maps $(\varphi_i : V_i \rightarrow W_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ such that, for all arrows $a \in Q_1$, $W_a \circ \varphi_{s(a)} = \varphi_{t(a)} \circ V_a$. We will only consider finite-dimensional representations of Q and define the dimension vector of V as the tuple $\dim(V) = (\dim V_i)_{i \in Q_0} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$. Finite-dimensional representations of Q over \mathbb{K} form an abelian category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{K}}(Q)$.

Given a dimension $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}$, we can fix bases of the vector spaces V_i , $i \in Q_0$ and obtain from the linear maps V_a , $a \in Q_1$ a tuple of matrices:

$$(x_a)_{a \in Q_1} \in R(Q, \mathbf{d}) := \prod_{a \in Q_1} \text{Mat}(d_{t(a)} \times d_{s(a)}, \mathbb{K}).$$

Conversely, a point $x \in R(Q, \mathbf{d})$ yields a \mathbf{d} -dimensional representation of Q . Two points $x, y \in R(Q, \mathbf{d})$ correspond to isomorphic representations if, and only if, they lie in the same orbit of the following action of $\text{GL}(\mathbf{d}) = \prod_i \text{GL}(d_i, \mathbb{K})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d}) \times R(Q, \mathbf{d}) &\rightarrow R(Q, \mathbf{d}) \\ (g_i)_{i \in Q_0} \ ; \ (x_a)_{a \in Q_1} &\mapsto (g_{t(a)} x_a g_{s(a)}^{-1})_{a \in Q_1}. \end{aligned}$$

The above $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ -variety is the starting point of quiver moduli. Assume for simplicity that \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, of characteristic zero. Moduli spaces of quiver representations were constructed by King [36] using techniques of Geometric Invariant Theory. The GIT quotient $R(Q, \mathbf{d}) // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ parametrizes closed orbits of $R(Q, \mathbf{d})$ under the action of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$. King further shows that closed orbits correspond precisely to semisimple representations of Q . Recall that a representation V is called simple if it contains no non-zero, proper subrepresentation; V is called semisimple if it decomposes into a direct sum of simple representations.

We introduce a few more notions which we will use below to define the class of pairs (Q, \mathbf{d}) we focus on in this article.

Definition 2.1.1. The Euler form of Q is the following bilinear form:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle : \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} \times \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ (\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) &\mapsto \sum_{i \in Q_0} d_i e_i - \sum_{a \in Q_1} d_{s(a)} e_{t(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

The symmetrized Euler form is defined by: $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) = \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e} \rangle + \langle \mathbf{e}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$.

Definition 2.1.2. A quiver is called totally negative if $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}) < 0$ for all $\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\}$.

One can check that Q is totally negative if, and only if, (i) Q has at least two loops at each vertex and (ii) any pair of vertices of Q is joined by at least one arrow. We will use the following definition in Section 2.2 to describe the geometry of moment maps of totally negative quivers. We denote by $(\epsilon_i)_{i \in Q_0}$ the canonical basis of \mathbb{Z}^{Q_0} .

Definition 2.1.3. The fundamental domain of Q is the following set of dimension vectors:

$$F_Q := \left\{ \mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\} \ \middle| \ \begin{array}{l} \forall i \in Q_0, (\mathbf{d}, \epsilon_i) < 0 \\ \mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d}) \text{ is connected} \end{array} \right\},$$

where $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ is the full subquiver of Q with set of vertices $\{i \in Q_0 \mid d_i \neq 0\}$.

Note that, for a totally negative quiver, $F_Q = \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\}$.

2.2 Geometry of quiver moment maps

In this section, we introduce moment maps associated to quivers and recall some of their geometric properties, assuming \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed of characteristic zero (see also [14]). Given a quiver Q , we define its double quiver \overline{Q} by adjoining one arrow $a^* : t(a) \rightarrow s(a)$ to Q for each $a \in Q_1$. Thus $\overline{Q}_1 = Q_1 \sqcup Q_1^*$ and we call $Q^* = (Q_0, Q_1^*, s, t)$ the opposite quiver of Q .

The moment map of Q is the following morphism of algebraic varieties:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}} : R(Q, \mathbf{d}) \times R(Q^*, \mathbf{d}) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbf{d}) \\ (x_a)_{a \in Q_1} \quad ; \quad (y_a)_{a \in Q_1} &\mapsto \left(\sum_{t(a)=i} x_a y_a - \sum_{s(a)=i} y_a x_a \right)_{i \in Q_0}. \end{aligned}$$

We will be interested in the fiber of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}$ over $0 \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathbf{d})$. $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ is invariant under the action of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ and its points correspond to modules over the preprojective algebra Π_Q . We refer to [15] for a definition of Π_Q , as we will not be using path algebras of quivers in this paper.

Just as for $R(Q, \mathbf{d})$, one can form a GIT quotient $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$, whose points parametrize semisimple Π_Q -modules. We will be interested in pairs (Q, \mathbf{d}) such that there exists a simple Π_Q -module of dimension \mathbf{d} . These were characterized combinatorially by Crawley-Boevey in [14]. Let us now introduce the class of pairs we focus on in this article.

Definition 2.2.1. Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\}$ a dimension vector. (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P) if:

1. Q is totally negative;
2. if $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ has two vertices joined by only one edge, then $\mathbf{d}_{|\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})} \neq (1, 1)$.

We now show the existence of a simple Π_Q -module for all these pairs:

Proposition 2.2.2. *Suppose that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Then there exists a simple Π_Q -module of dimension \mathbf{d} .*

Proof. Since Q is totally negative, \mathbf{d} lies in the fundamental region of Q . By contradiction, assume that there exist no simple Π_Q -modules of dimension \mathbf{d} . By [14, Thm. 8.1.], one of the following holds:

1. $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ is an extended Dynkin quiver with minimal imaginary root δ and $\mathbf{d} = m\delta$ for some $m \geq 2$;
2. $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ splits as a disjoint union $(Q_0)' \sqcup (Q_0)''$ such that there is a unique arrow joining $(Q_0)'$ and $(Q_0)''$, say at vertices i' and i'' , and $d_{i'} = d_{i''} = 1$;
3. $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ splits as a disjoint union $(Q_0)' \sqcup (Q_0)''$ such that there is a unique arrow joining $(Q_0)'$ and $(Q_0)''$, say at vertices i' and i'' , $d_{i'} = 1$, the restriction of $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ to $(Q_0)''$ is an extended Dynkin quiver with minimal imaginary root δ and $\mathbf{d}_{|(Q_0)''} = m\delta$ for some $m \geq 2$.

Cases 1. and 3. cannot happen, as Q has at least two vertices at each vertex. Since the graph underlying Q is complete, case 2. can only happen if $\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ has two vertices joined by a single edge and $\mathbf{d}_{|\mathrm{supp}(\mathbf{d})} = (1, 1)$. This is ruled out by definition of property (P). Thus we have reached a contradiction and there exists a simple Π_Q -module of dimension \mathbf{d} . □

From Crawley-Boevey's study of the geometric properties of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ [14, Thm. 1.2-3.], we deduce :

Corollary 2.2.3. *If (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P), then $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ is a reduced, irreducible complete intersection of dimension $\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} - 1 + 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle)$. Moreover, $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ has dimension $2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle)$.*

We prove our main result using dimension estimates on constructible subsets of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$. These rely on older estimates proved by Crawley-Boevey in [14, §6]. for which we need some additional notations:

Definition 2.2.4. Let M be a semisimple Π_Q -module (Q arbitrary), which decomposes into non-isomorphic direct summands as follows:

$$M \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i^{\oplus e_i}.$$

The semisimple type of M is the multiset $(\dim(M_i), e_i ; 1 \leq i \leq r)$.

We call τ simple (resp. strictly semisimple) if it corresponds to a simple (resp, semisimple, but not simple) representation. Similarly, we call $x \in \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ simple (resp. strictly semisimple) if the corresponding Π_Q -module is.

Definition 2.2.5. Let $N_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ be a collection of non-isomorphic simple Π_Q -modules. A Π_Q -module M has top-type $(j_s, m_s, 1 \leq s \leq h)$ if it admits a filtration $0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_h = M$, such that $M_s/M_{s-1} \simeq N_{j_s}^{\oplus m_s}$ and $\text{hom}(M_s, N_{j_s}) = m_s$.

Note that, if M is semisimple with top-type $(j_s, m_s, 1 \leq s \leq h)$ w.r.t. $N_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, then the semisimple type of M is:

$$\tau = \left(\dim(N_i), \sum_{j_s=i} m_s ; 1 \leq i \leq r \right).$$

We can now state Crawley-Boevey's dimension bound:

Proposition 2.2.6. [16, Lem. 6.2.]

Let $N_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$ be a collection of non-isomorphic simple Π_Q -modules and $(j_s, m_s, 1 \leq s \leq h)$ a top-type. Define:

$$z_s = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \langle \dim(N_{j_s}), \dim(N_{j_s}) \rangle = 1 \text{ or if there is no } t < s \text{ such that } j_t = j_s, \\ m_t & \text{otherwise, for the largest } t \text{ such that } j_t = j_s. \end{cases}$$

Then the subset of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ corresponding to Π_Q -modules of top-type $(j_s, m_s, 1 \leq s \leq h)$ is constructible of dimension at most:

$$\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} - 1 + (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle) + \sum_{s=1}^h m_s z_s - \sum_{s=1}^h m_s^2 \cdot (1 - \langle \dim(N_{j_s}), \dim(N_{j_s}) \rangle).$$

2.3 Mustață's criterion for rational singularities

In this section, we recall results on rational singularities, assuming \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. In particular, we state a criterion of Mustață [42] for a local complete intersection to have rational singularities, in terms of jet spaces. We begin with a definition:

Definition 2.3.1. Let X/\mathbb{K} be an algebraic variety. X has rational singularities if for some (hence for all) resolution of singularities $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, the natural morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow Rp_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is an isomorphism. In other words, the canonical morphism $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow p_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$ is an isomorphism and $\mathbf{R}^i p_*\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} = 0$ for all $i > 0$. A point $x \in X$ is called a rational singularity if there exists a Zariski-open neighborhood $U \ni x$ which has rational singularities.

While this definition might seem quite abstract, one can show the following lemma directly. This is surely common knowledge for the experts, but we include a proof for the reader's convenience, as we could not find one in the literature.

Lemma 2.3.2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a smooth morphism between algebraic varieties. If Y has rational singularities, then X has rational singularities. The converse holds if f is surjective.*

Proof. Consider $p_Y : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ a resolution of Y and $\tilde{X} := X \times_Y \tilde{Y}$. Then, since f is smooth, the canonical morphism $p_X : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is also a resolution of singularities, so that we get the following cartesian diagram, where horizontal maps are resolutions of singularities and the vertical maps are smooth (hence flat):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{p_X} & X \\ \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow f \\ \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{p_Y} & Y \end{array}$$

Suppose that Y has rational singularities. Then flat base change yields:

$$f^* \mathbf{R}p_{Y,*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq \mathbf{R}p_{X,*} \tilde{f}^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq \mathbf{R}p_{X,*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}.$$

Since by assumption $\mathbf{R}p_{Y,*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y$, we obtain $\mathcal{O}_X \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}_Y \simeq \mathbf{R}p_{X,*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}}$, hence X has rational singularities.

Conversely, suppose that X has rational singularities and f is surjective. Then flat base change and the rational singularities assumption for X yield:

$$f^* \mathbf{R}p_{Y,*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq \mathbf{R}p_{X,*} \tilde{f}^* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq \mathbf{R}p_{X,*} \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{X}} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \simeq f^* \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

Since f^* is exact, we obtain taking cohomology sheaves:

$$f^* \mathbf{R}^i p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq \begin{cases} f^* \mathcal{O}_Y & , \text{ if } i = 0 ; \\ 0 & , \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

By fpqc descent (f is surjective), we finally obtain:

$$\mathbf{R}^i p_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{Y}} \simeq \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_Y & , \text{ if } i = 0 ; \\ 0 & , \text{ else.} \end{cases}$$

Thus Y has rational singularities, which finishes the proof.

□

In particular, the above lemma shows that having rational singularities is an étale-local property. We will use this fact many times in Section 2.4 in order to transfer rational singularities from $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ to its étale slices. Let us now state Mustață's criterion:

Proposition 2.3.3. *[42, Thm. 0.1. & Prop. 1.4.]*

Let X be a locally complete intersection variety, X_{sg} be its singular locus and $\pi_m : X_m \rightarrow X$ its m -th jet space. Then X has rational singularities if, and only if, for all $m \geq 1$, $\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X_{\text{sg}}) < (m + 1) \cdot \dim(X)$.

2.4 Étale slices

In this section, we describe étale slices of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ in terms of semisimple type, following Crawley-Boevey [16] and Budur [10]. We also explain how one can transfer the rational singularities property from the étale slices to $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$. This technique is at the heart of the inductive reasoning in [10], which we extend to a larger class of quivers in this paper.

Let $x \in \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ be a semisimple point of type $\tau = (\mathbf{d}_i, e_i ; 1 \leq i \leq r)$. Then its stabilizer satisfies $\text{GL}(\mathbf{d})_x \simeq \text{GL}(\mathbf{e})$. Luna's étale slice theorem [38] then yields a $\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})$ -invariant, locally closed subvariety $S \subseteq \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ such that the commutative square below is cartesian, with étale horizontal maps (the upper horizontal map is given by the action):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S \times^{\text{GL}(\mathbf{d})_x} \text{GL}(\mathbf{d}), [x, \text{Id}]) & \longrightarrow & (\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0), x) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (S // \text{GL}(\mathbf{d})_x, x) & \longrightarrow & (\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) // \text{GL}(\mathbf{d}), x). \end{array}$$

Moreover, by work of Crawley-Boevey [16] and Budur [10], the étale slice has an étale-local description in terms of an auxiliary quiver (Q_τ, \mathbf{e}) , which satisfies:

- $(Q_\tau)_0 = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and $\mathbf{e}_i := e_i$,
- $\overline{Q_\tau}$ has $2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{d}_i \rangle)$ loops at each vertex i and $-(\mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{d}_j)$ arrows from vertex i to vertex j .

Recall that for a G -variety X with quotient map $q : X \rightarrow X // G$ (G is a reductive group), an open subset $U \subseteq X$ is called G -saturated if $q^{-1}(q(U)) = U$. Then the following holds:

Proposition 2.4.1. *[10, Thm. 2.9.]*

There exists a $\text{GL}(\mathbf{d})_x$ -saturated open neighborhood $W \subseteq S$ of x and a $\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})$ -equivariant¹ morphism $f : (W, x) \rightarrow (\mu_{Q_\tau, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0), 0)$ such that the commutative diagram below has cartesian squares and étale horizontal maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mu_{Q_\tau, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0) \times^{\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})} \text{GL}(\mathbf{d}), [0, \text{Id}]) & \longleftarrow & (W \times^{\text{GL}(\mathbf{d})_x} \text{GL}(\mathbf{d}), [x, \text{Id}]) & \longrightarrow & (\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0), x) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mu_{Q_\tau, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0) // \text{GL}(\mathbf{e}), 0) & \longleftarrow & (W // \text{GL}(\mathbf{e}), x) & \longrightarrow & (\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) // \text{GL}(\mathbf{d}), x). \end{array}$$

¹Using $\text{GL}(\mathbf{d})_x \simeq \text{GL}(\mathbf{e})$.

Therefore analyzing singularities of $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ at closed orbits boils down to analyzing $0 \in \mu_{Q_\tau,\mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0)$. The following result of Le Bruyn, Procesi [37] tells us that there are finitely many semisimple types τ to consider and that they come with a partial order (see also [10, §2.] for a detailed exposition). For simplicity, we call $M(Q, \mathbf{d}) := \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$.

Proposition 2.4.2. [10, Thm. 2.2.]

Let τ be a semisimple type and $M(Q, \mathbf{d})_\tau$ the subset of semisimple Π_Q -modules of type τ . Then $M(Q, \mathbf{d})_\tau$ is locally closed and there are finitely many types τ such that $M(Q, \mathbf{d})_\tau \neq \emptyset$. Moreover:

$$\overline{M(Q, \mathbf{d})_\tau} = \bigsqcup_{\tau' \leq \tau} M(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\tau'},$$

where $\tau' \leq \tau$ if, and only if, there exist semisimple points $x', x \in \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ of types τ', τ such that $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})_x \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})_{x'}$.

Let $q : \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M(Q, \mathbf{d})$ be the quotient map. Then we define $\left(\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)\right)_\tau := q^{-1}(M(Q, \mathbf{d})_\tau)$. We now show how to prove that $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularities, also at non-closed orbits.

Proposition 2.4.3. Let τ be a semisimple type arising from (Q, \mathbf{d}) . Then $\mu_{Q_\tau,\mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularities if, and only if, $\bigsqcup_{\tau' \geq \tau} \left(\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)\right)_{\tau'}$ has rational singularities.

Proof. Suppose $\mu_{Q_\tau,\mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularities. Then by Proposition 2.4.1 and Lemma 2.3.2 any semisimple $x \in \left(\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)\right)_\tau$ has a $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ -saturated open neighborhood with rational singularities. Moreover, for any $\tau' \geq \tau$, $M(Q, \mathbf{d})_\tau \subseteq \overline{M(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\tau'}}$, so there exist some semisimple point $x \in \left(\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)\right)_{\tau'}$ which has a $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ -saturated open neighborhood with rational singularities. Since all semisimple $x \in \left(\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)\right)_{\tau'}$ are étale-locally modelled on the same auxiliary quiver $(Q_{\tau'}, \mathbf{e})$, we deduce that they all admit a $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ -saturated open neighborhood with rational singularities. Finally, $\bigsqcup_{\tau' \geq \tau} \left(\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)\right)_{\tau'}$ is covered by such neighborhoods, so it has rational singularities. The converse follows by applying the same reasoning to $\left(\mu_{Q_\tau,\mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0)\right)_{\tau_{\min}}$, where τ_{\min} is the semisimple type of $0 \in \mu_{Q_\tau,\mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0)$. \square

2.5 Local models for moduli of 2-Calabi-Yau categories

In this section, we gather local models of some moduli stacks of 2-Calabi-Yau categories, following [21]. Such local models were obtained separately in [16, 10], [7, 33] and [4, 11] and united in the more general framework of [21]. In each case, local models are constructed from Ext-quivers of semisimple (or polystable) objects. The moduli stacks we consider are also disjoint unions of global quotient stacks $[X_\alpha/G_\alpha]$, where X_α is a scheme and G_α is a reductive group. This gives us local models for the schemes X_α , which generalize the étale slices described above.

Let us first give a definition of 2-Calabi-Yau category, which covers our examples below. This is inspired from [35, §2.6.], although we give a weaker definition for simplicity:

Definition 2.5.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian, \mathbb{K} -linear category and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$. We say that \mathcal{C} is d -Calabi-Yau if there exists a family of \mathbb{K} -linear forms $t_X : \mathrm{Ext}^d(X, X) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that, for all $p, q \geq 0$ satisfying $p + q = d$ and for all $f \in \mathrm{Ext}^p(X, Y)$ and $g \in \mathrm{Ext}^q(Y, X)$, the pairing:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ext}^p(X, Y) \times \mathrm{Ext}^q(Y, X) &\rightarrow \mathbb{K} \\ (f, g) &\mapsto t_X(g \circ f) \end{aligned}$$

is non-degenerate and $t_X(g \circ f) = (-1)^{pq} \cdot t_Y(f \circ g)$.

In particular, if \mathcal{C} is 2-Calabi-Yau, then for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X, X)$ inherits a symplectic form. Thus $\mathrm{Ext}^1(X, X)$ must be even-dimensional. We now concretely describe the moduli stacks that we deal with in this paper. Here \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, of characteristic zero.

Π_Q -modules

Given a quiver Q , we consider the moduli stack \mathfrak{M}_{Π_Q} of representations of the preprojective algebra Π_Q . It is the union of the following quotient stacks:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\Pi_Q} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} \mathfrak{M}_{\Pi_Q}(\mathbf{d}) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} \left[\mu_{Q, \mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) / \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d}) \right].$$

When the underlying graph of Q has no Dynkin diagram of type A, D, or E among its connected components (hence, when Q is totally negative), Π_Q is a 2-Calabi-Yau algebra and the category $\Pi_Q\text{-Mod}$ is 2-Calabi-Yau (see [35, §4.1-2.]). Moreover:

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\Pi_Q}(M, N) - \mathrm{ext}_{\Pi_Q}^1(M, N) + \mathrm{ext}_{\Pi_Q}^2(M, N) = (\dim(M), \dim(N)).$$

We already saw étale-local models of $\mu_{Q, \mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ and GIT quotients $M_{\Pi_Q}(\mathbf{d}) := \mu_{Q, \mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ in Sections 2.2 and 2.4. Let us just mention how the auxiliary quivers (Q_τ, \mathbf{e}) are related to Ext-quivers, when Π_Q is 2-Calabi-Yau. Given a semisimple Π_Q -module $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r M_i^{\oplus e_i}$ of type $\tau = (\mathbf{d}_i, e_i; 1 \leq i \leq r)$, the identity above shows that $\overline{Q_\tau}$ has $\mathrm{ext}_{\Pi_Q}^1(M_i, M_j)$ arrows from vertex i to vertex j . We call $\overline{Q_\tau}$ the Ext-quiver of M .

$\Lambda^q(Q)$ -modules

Let Q be a quiver and $q \in (\mathbb{K}^\times)^{Q_0}$. The multiplicative preprojective algebra $\Lambda^q(Q)$ was introduced by Crawley-Boevey and Shaw in [18]. We refer to their article for a precise definition and directly describe moduli of $\Lambda^q(Q)$ -modules. Fix a total ordering $<$ of Q_1 . A $\Lambda^q(Q)$ -module of dimension \mathbf{d} is given by a collection of matrices M_a, M_a^* , $a \in Q_1$ of size $d_{t(a)} \times d_{s(a)}$ (resp. $d_{s(a)} \times d_{t(a)}$) such that, for all $a \in Q_1$, $I_{d_{t(a)}} + M_a M_a^*$ and $I_{d_{s(a)}} + M_a^* M_a$ are invertible and:

$$\prod_{\substack{a \in (Q_1, <) \\ t(a)=i}} (1 + M_a M_a^*) \times \prod_{\substack{a \in (Q_1, <) \\ s(a)=i}} (1 + M_a^* M_a)^{-1} = q_i \cdot I_{d_i}.$$

As in the case of additive preprojective algebras, two collections of matrices give correspond to isomorphic modules if they are conjugated by an element of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$. Therefore, we obtain the moduli stack:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda^q(Q)} = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} \mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda^q(Q)}(\mathbf{d}) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0}} [R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d}) / \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})].$$

where $R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d}) \subseteq R(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{d})$ is the affine, locally closed subvariety defined by the above conditions. Note that $\Lambda^q(Q)$ and $R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d})$ do not depend (up to isomorphism) on the orientation of Q , nor on the choice of the ordering on Q_1 . Moreover, since $R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d})$ is affine, we obtain a GIT quotient $M_{\Lambda^q(Q)}(\mathbf{d}) = R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d}) // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{d})$, which parametrizes semisimple $\Lambda^q(Q)$ -modules of dimension \mathbf{d} similarly to $M_{\Pi_Q}(\mathbf{d})$.

When Q is connected and contains at least one (unoriented) cycle, Kaplan and Schedler proved that $\Lambda^q(Q)$ is a 2-Calabi-Yau algebra ([33, Thm. 1.2.]), hence $\Lambda^q(Q)\text{-Mod}$ is 2-Calabi-Yau in the sense of Definition 2.5.1. This is the case, for instance, when Q is totally negative and has at least three vertices. Moreover, for any pair of $\Lambda^q(Q)$ -modules (M, N) , the following identity holds as in the additive case (see [18, Thm. 1.6]):

$$\mathrm{hom}_{\Lambda^q(Q)}(M, N) - \mathrm{ext}_{\Lambda^q(Q)}^1(M, N) + \mathrm{ext}_{\Lambda^q(Q)}^2(M, N) = (\dim(M), \dim(N)).$$

Given a semisimple $\Lambda^q(Q)$ -module of dimension \mathbf{d} , one constructs an auxiliary quiver (Q', \mathbf{e}) as in the additive case.

Semistable coherent sheaves on K3 surfaces

Let (S, H) be a complex, projective polarized K3 surface. We consider the moduli stack $\mathfrak{M}_{S,H}$ of Gieseker H -semistable, coherent sheaves on S , as described in [30]. It is the union of the following quotient stacks:

$$\mathfrak{M}_{S,H} = \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]} \mathfrak{M}_{S,H}(P) = \bigsqcup_{P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]} [U_{P,m}^{ss} / \mathrm{GL}(P(m))] = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v} \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})} \mathfrak{M}_{S,H}(\mathbf{v}) = \bigsqcup_{\mathbf{v} \in H^*(S, \mathbb{Z})} [U_{\mathbf{v},m} / \mathrm{GL}(P(m))].$$

where $\mathfrak{M}_{S,H}(P)$ is the substack of semistable sheaves with Hilbert polynomial P , $\mathfrak{M}_{S,H}(\mathbf{v})$ is the substack of semistable sheaves with Mukai vector \mathbf{v} and $U_{P,m}^{ss} \subseteq \mathrm{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_S(-m)^{\oplus P(m)}, P)$ is an open subset parametrizing globally generated quotients $\mathcal{O}_S(-m)^{\oplus P(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ inducing an isomorphism on global sections (for some large enough m , see [30, §4.3.] for details). The action of $\mathrm{GL}(P(m))$ on $U_{P,m}^{ss}$ admits a GIT quotient. Restricting to Mukai vector \mathbf{v} , we obtain $U_{\mathbf{v},m} \subseteq U_{P,m}^{ss}$ and the moduli space $M(\mathbf{v}) = M_{S,H}(\mathbf{v})$, which parametrizes H -polystable sheaves on S . We denote by $M^s(\mathbf{v}) \subseteq M(\mathbf{v})$ the open locus of H -stable sheaves.

We denote Mukai vectors by $\mathbf{v} = (r, \mathbf{c}, a) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \oplus NS(S) \oplus H^4(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Recall that the Mukai pairing is defined by: $\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_2 - r_1 a_2 - r_2 a_1$. Since S is a K3 surface, the category $\mathrm{Coh}(S)$ is 2-Calabi-Yau, by Serre duality. Moreover:

$$\mathrm{hom}(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) - \mathrm{ext}^1(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) + \mathrm{ext}^2(\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2) = -\mathbf{v}_1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_2 = -(\mathbf{c}_1 \cdot \mathbf{c}_2 - r_1 a_2 - r_2 a_1).$$

Given a polystable sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{F}_i^{\oplus e_i}$, with distinct stable summands \mathcal{F}_i of Mukai vectors \mathbf{v}_i , we construct an auxiliary quiver Q' as follows: $Q'_0 = \{1, \dots, r\}$ and the number of arrows from i to j in $\overline{Q'}$ is:

$$\mathrm{ext}^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j) = \begin{cases} 2 + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Note that $\text{ext}^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$ is indeed even, thanks to the 2-Calabi-Yau property.

Local models

We now describe local models of the above moduli stacks in a unified manner, following [21]. Let us first stress common features of the aforementioned stacks. In what follows, \mathfrak{M} denotes either \mathfrak{M}_{Π_Q} , $\mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda^q(Q)}$, $\mathfrak{M}_{S,H}$ and \mathcal{A} the corresponding category of objects (isoclasses of objects in \mathcal{A} correspond to isoclasses of objects in $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{K})$). Then \mathfrak{M} is a union of quotient stacks:

$$\mathfrak{M} = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in A} \mathfrak{M}_\alpha = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in A} [X_\alpha/G_\alpha],$$

where X_α is a finite-type \mathbb{K} -scheme and G_α is a reductive linear algebraic group. An object $F \in \mathcal{A}$ corresponds to a point $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ and we call $\alpha(F) \in A$ the dimension vector (resp. the Mukai vector) of F . If $x \in \mathfrak{M}$ is closed (i.e. the orbit of $x \in X_\alpha$ is closed), then x corresponds to a semisimple (or polystable) object $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r F_i^{\oplus e_i} \in \mathcal{A}$, from which we can construct an Ext-quiver \overline{Q} . We call $\tau(F) = (\alpha(F_i), e_i ; 1 \leq i \leq r)$ the type of F (or x).

Another common feature of quotient stacks $\mathfrak{M}_\alpha = [X_\alpha/G_\alpha] \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ is the existence of good categorical quotients $M_\alpha = X_\alpha//G_\alpha$ in the sense of Geometric Invariant Theory [24, Ch. 6]. We can stratify M_α according to the conjugacy class of stabilizers $G_x \subseteq G_\alpha$, $x \in X_\alpha$ (where x has a closed orbit). For a reductive subgroup $H \subseteq G_\alpha$, the stratum $(M_\alpha)_{(H)}$ is locally closed and corresponds to closed orbits in X_α with stabilizer subgroups in the conjugacy class of H . Moreover:

$$\overline{(M_\alpha)_{(H)}} = \bigsqcup_{(H') \leq (H)} (M_\alpha)_{(H')},$$

where $(H') \leq (H)$ if H is conjugated to a subgroup of H' . Note that the type of x determines the conjugacy class of G_x .

When X_α is an affine space with a linear G_α -action, properties of this stratification were proved in [48, Lem. 5.5.]. If X_α is an affine, finite-type G_α -scheme, then there exists a closed G_α -equivariant embedding of X_α into a G_α -representation (see [9, Prop. 2.3.5.]), so we obtain the stratification by restriction. In general, $X_\alpha//G_\alpha$ is locally isomorphic to an affine GIT quotient, so we obtain the stratification by gluing.

Remark 2.5.2. In [37], Le Bruyn and Procesi showed that, for quiver Q and a dimension vector \mathbf{d} , conjugacy classes of stabilizers of closed orbits in $R(Q, \mathbf{d})$ are in bijection with semisimple types appearing in $R(Q, \mathbf{d})$. This is unclear in the case of sheaves on K3 surfaces. Indeed, given a polystable sheaf $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r F_i^{\oplus e_i}$ of Hilbert polynomial P , one can recover from the conjugacy class of $\text{GL}(\mathbf{e}) \subseteq \text{GL}(P(m))$ only the datum of $P_i(m)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$ and not the Mukai vectors of the stable summands.

The above moduli stacks all arise from a 2-Calabi-Yau category, as shown in [21, §7.]. Davison works with a dg-enhancement \mathcal{D}^{dg} of a triangulated category \mathcal{D} containing \mathcal{A} . In the examples above, \mathcal{D} is a category of complexes (dg-modules over the dg-version of Π_Q or $\Lambda^q(Q)$, complexes of sheaves on a K3 surface) and \mathcal{A} is contained in the full abelian subcategory $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D}$ of complexes concentrated in degree zero. Then \mathfrak{M} sits as an open substack in the

truncation of the derived moduli stack of objects of \mathcal{D}^{dg} , defined by Toën and Vaquié [49]. Note that \mathcal{D}^{dg} may be endowed with a (left) 2-Calabi-Yau structure, while \mathcal{B} fails to be 2-Calabi-Yau as in Definition 2.5.1. Since for (most) totally negative quivers, \mathcal{B} itself has the 2-Calabi-Yau property, we leave this discussion out and refer to [21] for details on 2-Calabi-Yau structures. We collect the common features described above in the following definition:

Definition 2.5.3. We call $[X/G]$ a quotient stack coming from a 2-Calabi-Yau category if:

1. $[X/G]$ is an open substack of the truncation of the derived moduli stack of objects of a dg-category \mathcal{D}^{dg} endowed with a (left) 2-Calabi-Yau structure (see [21, Appendix A.]),
2. Closed points of $[X/G]$ correspond to semisimple objects of a finite-length, \mathbb{K} -linear subcategory $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{D}$,
3. G is reductive and X has a good categorical quotient $X \rightarrow M := X//G$.

We now describe local models of X and M . In the case of $\mathfrak{M}_{S,H}(\mathbf{v})$, the theorem below follows from the formality result in [11] (see also [4, §3-4.] and the references therein). In the case of $\mathfrak{M}_{\Lambda_Q^g}$, when $\Lambda^g(Q)$ is 2-Calabi-Yau, a local description can be obtained from [33, Thm. 5.12-16.], using a G -equivariant version of Artin's approximation theorem (see, for instance, [3]).

Theorem 2.5.4. [21, Thm. 5.11.]

Let $[X/G]$ be a quotient stack coming from a 2-Calabi-Yau category and $x \in [X/G](\mathbb{K})$ a closed point corresponding to $F \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r F_i^{\oplus e_i}$. Let $(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e})$ be the Ext-quiver associated to x . Then there exists an affine $\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})$ -variety W , with a fixed point w and a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} ([\mu_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0)/\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})], 0) & \longleftarrow & ([W/\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})], w) & \longrightarrow & ([X/G], x) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M_{\Pi_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}}, 0) & \longleftarrow & (W//\text{GL}(\mathbf{e}), w) & \longrightarrow & (M, x). \end{array}$$

such that the horizontal maps are étale and the squares are cartesian.

Similarly to section 2.4, this also gives us $\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})$ -equivariant étale morphisms $(W, w) \rightarrow (\mu_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0), 0)$ and $(W, w) \rightarrow (X, x)$ which induce:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mu_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0) \times^{\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})} G, [0, \text{Id}]) & \longleftarrow & (W \times^{\text{GL}(\mathbf{e})} G, [w, \text{Id}]) & \longrightarrow & (X, x) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M_{\Pi_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}}, 0) & \longleftarrow & (W//\text{GL}(\mathbf{e}), w) & \longrightarrow & (M, x). \end{array}$$

where horizontal maps are étale and squares are cartesian.

2.6 Rational singularities and countings of jets

In this section, we shift gears and introduce arithmetic characterizations of rational singularities, based on works of Aizenbud-Avni [2] and Glazer [25]. In order to count \mathbb{F}_q -rational points of jet spaces, we consider a finite type

scheme X/\mathbb{Z} . When X is a local complete intersection, Aizenbud-Avni and Glazer showed that the sequences $q^{-n \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cdot \sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)$, $n \geq 1$ are bounded for all finite fields precisely when $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has rational singularities (see [25, Thm. 4.1.] for the detailed assumptions). There is more: by studying the poles of the local Igusa zeta function associated to a closed affine scheme, Wyss showed that the sequence $q^{-n \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cdot \sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)$, $n \geq 1$, when bounded, has a limit when n goes to infinity [51, Lem. 4.7.]. Let us give some details.

Suppose $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^r$. The local Igusa zeta function $Z_f(s)$ is defined as a parametric p -adic integral over \mathbb{A}^r (see [31] for an introduction). It encodes the sequence $\sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n))$, $n \geq 1$ into a generating series:

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n)) \cdot q^{-n(r+s)} = \frac{Z_f(s) - 1}{1 - q^s}.$$

It was shown by Igusa that $Z_f(s)$ is a rational function in $T = q^{-s}$. The result of Wyss interprets the limit of the sequence above as one of its residues. Summing up results of [51, 2, 25], we obtain:

Proposition 2.6.1. *Set $X = V(f_1, \dots, f_m) \subseteq \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Z}}^r$ as above and assume that $X_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an equidimensional local complete intersection. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is reduced, irreducible and has rational singularities,
2. $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is irreducible and for almost all primes p , $q^{-n \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cdot \sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)$, $n \geq 1$ converges for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p .

In that case, Z_f has its poles of largest real part at $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -m$ and:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n))}{q^{n \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}}} = \frac{-1}{q^m - 1} \cdot \operatorname{Res}_{T=q^m} Z_f.$$

Moreover, the equivalence and the above formula still hold when $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is not irreducible.

Proof. The implication 2. \Rightarrow 1. is a consequence of [25, Thm. 4.1.]. Glazer's result involves $\sharp X(\mathbb{Z}_q/\mathfrak{m}_q^n)$ instead of $\sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n))$, where \mathbb{Z}_q is the valuation ring of the unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with residue field \mathbb{F}_q . However, for a given X , these counts are equal for almost all characteristics (see [2, Prop. 3.0.2.]).

Conversely, suppose 1. Then by [25, Thm. 4.1.], $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ is irreducible and for almost all primes p , $q^{-n \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cdot \sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)$, $n \geq 1$ is bounded for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p . Moreover, since $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ has rational singularities, Denef's formula for Z_f [23, Thm. 3.1.]² and [42, Thm. 2.1.] imply that Z_f has its poles of largest real part at $\operatorname{Re}(s) = -m$ (including a pole at $s = -m$). Then we can apply [51, Lem. 4.7.] and conclude that $q^{-n \dim X_{\mathbb{Q}}} \cdot \sharp X(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)$, $n \geq 1$ converges and its limit is given by the residue formula above.

The proof also works if we drop the irreducibility assumption on $X_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$, as Glazer's theorem [25, Thm. 4.1.] also holds in that case. □

²See [50], Thm. 2.10. for a statement in the case $m > 1$.

When $X = \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$, Wyss gave a criterion for the existence of this limit and an explicit formula in terms of the graphical hyperplane arrangement associated to Q [51, Cor. 4.27.]. Our initial motivation for this work was to extend this result to higher dimension vectors.

Proposition 2.6.2. [51, Cor. 4.27.]

Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$. Let p be a large enough prime and \mathbb{F}_q be any finite field of characteristic p . Then $q^{-n \dim \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)} \cdot \#\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)$, $n \geq 1$ converges if, and only if, the underlying graph of Q is 2-connected i.e. removing one edge does not disconnect the graph. In that case, there exists an explicit rational fraction $W \in \mathbb{Q}(T)$ depending only on the underlying graph of Q such that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\#\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/t^n)}{q^{n \dim \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)}} = W(q).$$

When $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$, there exists a simple Π_Q -module of dimension \mathbf{d} exactly when Q is 2-connected. Indeed, for a connected quiver Q , $b(Q) := 1 - \#Q_0 + \#Q_1 = 1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle$ and Q is 2-connected if, and only if, for any decomposition $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{d}_r$, $b(Q) > b(\text{supp}(\mathbf{d}_1)) + \dots + b(\text{supp}(\mathbf{d}_r))$. The above statement then follows from [14, Thm. 1.2.]. From this we deduce:

Corollary 2.6.3. Let Q be a 2-connected quiver and $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$. Then $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ has rational singularities.

3 Proof of the main result

In this section we prove Theorem 1.0.1. We use notations from [10]: we write, for short, $X(Q, \mathbf{d}) = \mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) \subseteq R(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{d})$, $M(Q, \mathbf{d}) = X(Q, \mathbf{d}) // \text{GL}(\mathbf{d})$ and $Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) = \left(\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0) \right)_{\tau_{\min}}$, where τ_{\min} is the semisimple type of $0 \in X(Q, \mathbf{d})$. Recall we defined (P) the following property of (Q, \mathbf{d}) : Q is totally negative and if $\text{supp}(\mathbf{d})$ has two vertices joined by exactly one arrow, then $\mathbf{d} \neq \underline{1}$. Note that (P) is preserved under taking auxiliary quivers (this is an easy consequence of [10, Prop. 2.11.]). Recall our main result:

Theorem 3.0.1. Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\}$ such that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Then $X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ has rational singularities.

Lemma 3.0.2. If (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P) and $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$, then $X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ has rational singularities.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 2.6.3 since \mathbf{d} has all entries equal to 1 and the graph underlying Q is 2-connected. We could also use [29, Thm. 2.11.].

□

Lemma 3.0.3. Let $Q = S_g$ be the quiver with one vertex and $g \geq 2$ loops and $d \geq 2$. Let $(m_s, 1 \leq s \leq h)$ be a top type compatible with τ_{\min} . Then:

$$2 \cdot (1 - \langle d, d \rangle - g) - (d^2 - 1 + 1 - \langle d, d \rangle + m_2 m_1 + \dots + m_s m_{s-1} - g \cdot (m_1^2 + \dots + m_s^2)) \geq d - 1.$$

Proof. Rearranging terms, the left-hand side reads:

$$\begin{aligned}
& g \cdot \left(d^2 + \sum_s m_s^2 - 2 \right) - 2(d^2 - 1) - (m_2 m_1 + \dots m_s m_{s-1}) \\
& \geq 2 \cdot \left(d^2 + \sum_s m_s^2 - 2 \right) - 2(d^2 - 1) - (m_2 m_1 + \dots m_s m_{s-1}) \\
& \geq 2 \left(\sum_s m_s^2 - 1 \right) - (m_2 m_1 + \dots m_s m_{s-1}) \\
& \geq \sum_s m_s^2 - 1 + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (m_1^2 + m_s^2 + (m_1 - m_2)^2 + \dots + (m_{s-1} - m_s)^2 - 2) \\
& \geq d - 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that both sides are equal when $g = 2$ and $m_1 = \dots = m_s = 1$.

□

Lemma 3.0.4. *Let $\tau = \tau_{\min} = (d_i, \epsilon_i ; 1 \leq i \leq r)$, where $r = \#Q_0$. Then either $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$ or:*

$$\dim q^{-1}(q(0)) < 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle - \#\{\text{loops in } Q_0\}).$$

Then, by [10, Lem. 2.16.], we get:

$$\dim Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) < 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle) = \dim M(Q, \mathbf{d}).$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume \mathbf{d} is sincere (i.e. $d_i > 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$); otherwise we restrict to $\text{supp}(\mathbf{d})$, which also has property (P). Let g_i be the number of loops of Q at vertex i , r_{ij} be the number of arrows between vertices $i \neq j$ and $(j_s, m_s, 1 \leq s \leq h)$ be a top type compatible with τ . Then, by Proposition 2.2.6, the inequality above holds if the following holds for arbitrary top-type:

$$\sum_i d_i^2 - 1 + 1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle + \sum_s m_s z_s - \sum_s m_s^2 g_{j_s} < 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle - \sum_i g_i).$$

We now want to split this inequality along vertices. Let us first rearrange the indices $1 \leq s \leq h$ so that $j_1 = \dots = j_{s_1} = 1$, and so on until $j_{s_{r-1}+1} = \dots = j_{s_r} = r$. Then $z_{s_{i-1}+1} = 0$ and $z_{s_{i-1}+j+1} = m_{s_{i-1}+j}$ for $j > 0, 1 \leq i \leq r$, with the convention that $s_0 = 0$. We obtain:

$$\sum_i d_i^2 - 1 + 1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle + \sum_i (m_{s_{i-1}+2} m_{s_{i-1}+1} + \dots m_{s_i} m_{s_{i-1}}) - \sum_i g_i \cdot (m_{s_{i-1}+1}^2 + \dots m_{s_i}^2) < 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle - \sum_i g_i).$$

We know from Lemma 3.0.3 that for all $1 \leq i \leq r$:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \cdot (1 - \langle d_i \epsilon_i, d_i \epsilon_i \rangle - g_i) \\
& - \left(d_i^2 - 1 + 1 - \langle d_i \epsilon_i, d_i \epsilon_i \rangle + m_{s_{i-1}+2} m_{s_{i-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_i} m_{s_i-1} - g_i \cdot (m_{s_{i-1}+1}^2 + \dots + m_{s_i}^2) \right) \\
& \geq d_i - 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where equality holds for top type ($m_s = 1$, $1 \leq s \leq h$). Taking the remaining terms in the inequality (right-hand side minus left-hand side) gives:

$$1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle - \sum_i (1 - \langle d_i \epsilon_i, d_i \epsilon_i \rangle) - (r - 1) = \sum_{i \neq j} r_{ij} d_i d_j - 2(r - 1).$$

Set r_1 (resp. r_2) the number of vertices $i \in Q_0$ such that $d_i = 1$ (resp. $d_i \geq 2$). Then $r = r_1 + r_2$ (recall that we assume that \mathbf{d} is sincere). Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i \neq j} r_{ij} d_i d_j - 2(r - 1) & \geq 4 \cdot \frac{r_2(r_2 - 1)}{2} + 2r_1 r_2 + \frac{r_1(r_1 - 1)}{2} - 2(r - 1) \\
& \geq 2(r_2 - 1)(r - 1) + \frac{r_1(r_1 - 1)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing everything, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle - \sum_i g_i) \\
& - \left(\sum_i d_i^2 - 1 + 1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle + \sum_i (m_{s_{i-1}+2} m_{s_{i-1}+1} + \dots + m_{s_i} m_{s_i-1}) - \sum_i g_i \cdot (m_{s_{i-1}+1}^2 + \dots + m_{s_i}^2) \right) \\
& \geq \sum_i (d_i - 1) + 2(r_2 - 1)(r - 1) + \frac{r_1(r_1 - 1)}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathbf{d} > \underline{\mathbf{1}}$, the right-hand side is positive, so we get the desired inequality. □

Remark 3.0.5. Note that when $\mathbf{d} = \underline{\mathbf{1}}$, we may have:

$$\dim q^{-1}(q(0)) = 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle - \#\{\text{loops in } Q_0\}).$$

This is the case, for instance, when Q has two vertices with two loops each and joined by four arrows (regardless of their orientation). This is why we deal with the case $\mathbf{d} = \underline{\mathbf{1}}$ separately.

Lemma 3.0.6. [10, Lem. 3.3-5.]

Let $\pi_m : X(Q, \mathbf{d})_m \rightarrow X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ be the truncation of m -jets:

$$\pi_m^{-1}(0) \simeq \begin{cases} R(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{d}) \times X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{m-2} & , m \geq 2, \\ R(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{d}) & , m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $\dim \pi_m^{-1}(Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) \cap X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) \leq \dim Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) + \dim \pi_m^{-1}(0)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.0.1. We proceed by descending induction on semisimple types of (Q, \mathbf{d}) i.e. we show that $X(Q_\tau, \mathbf{e})$ has rational singularities for all semisimple types τ of (Q, \mathbf{d}) . When τ is maximal (i.e. simple), Q_τ has one vertex and $\mathbf{e} = \underline{1}$, so $X(Q_\tau, \mathbf{e})$ is smooth.

Let us prove the induction step. If $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$, Lemma 3.0.2 is enough to conclude. Otherwise we apply Proposition 2.3.3 to $X(Q, \mathbf{d})$, which is a complete intersection by Proposition 2.2.3. We show that:

$$\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) < (m+1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}).$$

By induction, we assume that $X(Q_\tau, \mathbf{e})$ has rational singularities for $\tau > \tau_{\min}$. By Proposition 2.4.3, we obtain that $X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ has rational singularities outside $Z(Q, \mathbf{d})$ and we obtain by Proposition 2.3.3:

$$\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}} \setminus Z(Q, \mathbf{d})) < (m+1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}).$$

Now, consider $\tau = \tau_{\min}$ i.e. $(Q_\tau, \mathbf{e}) = (Q, \mathbf{d})$. From Lemma 3.0.4 and Lemma 3.0.6, we obtain:

$$\dim \pi_m^{-1}(Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) \cap X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) \leq \dim (Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) \cap X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) + \dim \pi_m^{-1}(0) < \dim M(Q, \mathbf{d}) + \dim \pi_m^{-1}(0).$$

We prove that $\dim \pi_m^{-1}(Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) \cap X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) < (m+1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ by induction on m . For $m = 1$, Lemma 3.0.6 gives:

$$\dim M(Q, \mathbf{d}) + \dim \pi_m^{-1}(0) = \dim M(Q, \mathbf{d}) + \dim R(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{d}) = 2 \cdot (1 - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle + \mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{d} - \langle \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{d} \rangle) = 2 \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}).$$

For $m \geq 2$, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M(Q, \mathbf{d}) + \dim \pi_m^{-1}(0) &= \dim M(Q, \mathbf{d}) + \dim R(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{d}) + \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{m-2}, \\ &\leq 2 \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}) + (m-1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}) = (m+1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}). \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality holds by induction on m , combining the following inequalities at step $m-2$:

$$\begin{cases} \dim \pi_{m-2}^{-1}(X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sm}}) &= (m-1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}), \\ \dim \pi_{m-2}^{-1}(Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) \cap X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) &< (m-1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}), \\ \dim \pi_{m-2}^{-1}(X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}} \setminus Z(Q, \mathbf{d})) &< (m-1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, at step m , we obtained the following inequalities:

$$\begin{cases} \dim \pi_m^{-1}(Z(Q, \mathbf{d}) \cap X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) < (m+1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}), \\ \dim \pi_m^{-1}(X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}} \setminus Z(Q, \mathbf{d})) < (m+1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d}). \end{cases}$$

So we obtain $\dim \pi_m^{-1}(X(Q, \mathbf{d})_{\text{sg}}) < (m+1) \cdot \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ for all $m \geq 1$, which proves, by Mustața's criterion, that $X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ has rational singularities. □

Remark 3.0.7. Unfortunately, it may happen that $X(Q, \mathbf{d})$ has rational singularities, while the dimension bound from [10, Lem. 2.16.] fails. This is the case, for instance, with the following quivers: $\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$ and $\bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet \rightrightarrows \bullet$, when $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$ (both are 2-connected).

4 Applications

4.1 Rational singularities for moduli of totally negative 2-Calabi-Yau categories

Let $\mathfrak{M} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack coming from a 2-Calabi-Yau category (see Definition 2.5.3). As a consequence of Theorem 3.0.1, if all Ext-quivers arising from \mathfrak{M} have property (P), then X has rational singularities. This leads us to the notion of a totally negative category, which we learnt from the upcoming work [22]. We define it using Ext-groups in abelian categories, as our applications involve abelian 2-Calabi-Yau categories, for which the homological quantity below determines Ext-quivers of semisimple objects (see examples in Section 2.5).

Definition 4.1.1. Let \mathcal{C} be an abelian category of finite global dimension n (i.e. for all $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ and $i > n$, $\text{Ext}^i(F_1, F_2) = 0$). \mathcal{C} is called totally negative if, for all $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \text{ext}^i(F_1, F_2) < 0.$$

For example, when Π_Q or $\Lambda^q(Q)$ are 2-Calabi-Yau, their categories of modules are totally negative if, and only if, Q is totally negative (see Section 2.5). This is also the case for the category of local systems on a curve of genus $g \geq 2$, by Poincaré duality (see [10, §4.]).

Actually, to obtain rational singularities for X , we only need weaker geometric assumptions on \mathfrak{M} , as we will only be considering Ext-quivers arising from X , not from a whole totally negative category. We also require an additional property on the subset of simple objects so that Ext-quivers also satisfy property (P). Nevertheless, the total negativity assumption below is met as soon as \mathfrak{M} parametrizes objects living in a totally negative category \mathcal{B} .

Theorem 4.1.2. *Let $\mathfrak{M} = [X/G]$ be a quotient stack coming from a 2-Calabi-Yau category and $M := X//G$. Assume that:*

1. *For any closed point $x \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{K})$, the Ext-quiver associated to x is the double of a totally negative quiver,*
2. *Simple objects form a dense subset of M .*

Then X is reduced and has rational singularities.

Proof. Let $x \in X(\mathbb{K})$ be a point with closed orbit and $(\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e})$ the associated Ext-quiver. Then by Theorem 2.5.4, there exists an affine $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{e})$ -variety W , with a fixed point w and a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\mu_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0) \times^{\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{e})} G, [0, \mathrm{Id}]) & \longleftarrow & (W \times^{\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{e})} G, [w, \mathrm{Id}]) & \longrightarrow & (X, x) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M_{\Pi_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}}, 0) & \longleftarrow & (W // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{e}), w) & \longrightarrow & (M, x) \end{array}$$

such that the horizontal maps are étale and the squares are cartesian. Since the set of simple objects is dense in M , one can find a simple object in the image of $(W // \mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{e}), w) \rightarrow (M, x)$. Moreover, strongly étale morphisms preserve stabilizers (see [10, Lem. 2.6.]), so there is a simple point in $\mu_{\overline{Q}, \mathbf{e}}^{-1}(0)$ i.e. there is a simple $\Pi_{\overline{Q}}$ -module of dimension \mathbf{e} . From this and assumption 1., we deduce that Q' satisfies property (P).

Therefore, by Theorem 3.0.1 and Lemma 2.3.2, any semisimple point of X has a G -saturated neighborhood which is reduced and has rational singularities. Since X is covered by such neighborhoods, the conclusion follows. \square

We also give some concrete applications to moduli of coherent sheaves on K3 surfaces and multiplicative preprojective algebras.

$\Lambda^q(Q)$ -modules

In the case of the multiplicative preprojective algebra $\Lambda^q(Q)$, the auxiliary quivers are computed as in the additive case from Q itself. Therefore, if Q is totally negative, then all auxiliary quivers are. Thus, we obtain:

Corollary 4.1.3. *Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus 0$ such that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Then $R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d})$ is reduced and has rational singularities.*

Proof. We apply Theorem 4.1.2. It remains to check assumption 2. Since (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P), there exists a simple Π_Q -module of dimension \mathbf{d} . Thus by [14, Thm. 1.2.] and [18, Thm. 1.11.], simple points form an open dense subset of $R(\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d})$, hence a dense subset of $M_{\Lambda^q(Q), \mathbf{d}}$. \square

Semistable coherent sheaves on a K3 surface

In the case of sheaves on a K3 surface, controlling Ext-quivers of all polystable sheaves with given Mukai vector is more delicate. In [11], it was already mentioned that for many polystable sheaves, one obtains the auxiliary quivers of the g -loop quiver. We spell out conditions for this to be true. However, one can also obtain étale-local models which are much more difficult to study. We illustrate this with the case of one dimensional sheaves on an elliptic K3 surface.

Let (S, H) be a complex, projective, polarized K3 surface. Given a polystable sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{F}_i^{\oplus e_i}$, with distinct stable summands \mathcal{F}_i of Mukai vectors \mathbf{v}_i , recall that the Ext-quiver \overline{Q} has the following number of arrows from i to j :

$$\text{ext}^1(\mathcal{F}_i, \mathcal{F}_j) = \begin{cases} 2 + \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i, & \text{if } i = j, \\ \mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j, & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Q' is totally negative if, and only if, $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_j > 0$ for all i, j . To see this, one can simply check when Q' has at least two loops at each vertex and one arrow joining any pair of vertices (recall from Section 2.5 that $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i$ is even). Otherwise, one can also notice that:

$$(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e})_{Q'} = - \left(\sum_i d_i \mathbf{v}_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_i e_i \mathbf{v}_i \right).$$

We now give examples of auxiliary quivers arising from $\mathfrak{M}_{S,H}(\mathbf{v})$. We rely on criteria for the existence of (semi)stable sheaves of a given Mukai vector, due to Yoshioka [52, Thm. 8.1.] (see also [32, §2.4.]).

Definition 4.1.4. A Mukai vector \mathbf{v} is called primitive if it cannot be written $\mathbf{v} = m\mathbf{v}_0$, for some other Mukai vector \mathbf{v}_0 and $m \geq 2$.

A primitive vector $\mathbf{v} = (r, \mathbf{c}, a)$ is called positive if $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} \geq -2$ and one of the following holds:

- $r > 0$ and $\mathbf{c} \in \text{NS}(S)$,
- $r = 0$, $\mathbf{c} \in \text{NS}(S)$ is effective and $a \neq 0$,
- $r = 0$, $\mathbf{c} = 0$ and $a > 0$.

Let $\text{Amp}(S)$ be the space of polarizations of S and \mathbf{v} a primitive, positive Mukai vector. One can associate walls in $\text{Amp}(S) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ to \mathbf{v} i.e. subspaces of real codimension one, such that, for H lying outside these walls, there are no strictly semistable sheaves with Mukai vector \mathbf{v} (see [52, §1.4.] for $\text{rk}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$ and [30, Ch. 4.C.] for $\text{rk}(\mathbf{v}) > 0$). Those polarizations are called \mathbf{v} -generic. The existence results for semistable sheaves are summed up in the following:

Proposition 4.1.5. [4, Thm. 2.2-4.]

If \mathbf{v} is a primitive, positive Mukai vector, then $M(\mathbf{v}) \neq \emptyset$. If moreover H is \mathbf{v} -generic, then $M(\mathbf{v}) = M^s(\mathbf{v})$.

In general, there is no reason for auxiliary quivers of all polystable sheaves in $M(\mathbf{v})$ to be totally negative. However, if we choose a moduli space $M(\mathbf{v})$ of high enough dimension and a generic polarization, then the auxiliary quiver of any polystable sheaf in $M(\mathbf{v})$ is totally negative (and it arises from a g -loop quiver). This was already observed in [11].

Proposition 4.1.6. *Let $\mathbf{v} = m\mathbf{v}_0$, where \mathbf{v}_0 is a primitive, positive Mukai vector and assume that H is \mathbf{v}_0 -general. Suppose also that $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} > 0$. Then for any polystable sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_i \mathcal{F}_i^{\oplus e_i}$ with Mukai vector \mathbf{v} , the auxiliary quiver of \mathcal{F} is an auxiliary quiver of some g -loop quiver, where $g \geq 2$.*

Proof. Call \mathbf{v}_i the Mukai vector of \mathcal{F}_i . We leave out the obvious case where \mathcal{F} is stable. Since H is \mathbf{v}_0 -generic and by construction of walls, there exist $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathbf{v}_i = r_i \mathbf{v}$ (see [32, §2.4.]). Let us write $r_i = \frac{m_i}{N}$, where $N \geq 1$, $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $N, \gcd(m_i)$ are coprime. Then for all i , $\mathbf{v}_i \cdot \mathbf{v}_i = m_i^2 \cdot \frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{N^2}$ is a positive even integer, hence $\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{N^2} = 2g - 2$ is also a positive even integer ($g \geq 2$), using our coprimality assumption. Consequently, the auxiliary quiver of \mathcal{F} has $1 + m_i^2(g - 1)$ loops at each vertex and $2m_i m_j(g - 1)$ arrows joining vertices $i \neq j$. One recognizes the auxiliary quiver of the g -loop quiver for the semisimple type $(m_i, e_i ; 1 \leq i \leq r)$ (see [10, Prop. 2.26.]).

□

In that case, [10, Thm. 1.1.] yields the following corollary, already observed in [11]:

Corollary 4.1.7. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.1.6, $U_{\mathbf{v},m}$ is reduced and has rational singularities.*

In [4, §6.], Arbarello and Saccà analyzed Ext-quivers of a pure, one-dimensional torsion sheaf \mathcal{F} with primitive, positive Mukai vector $\mathbf{v} = (0, [\mathbf{D}], \chi)$ (D effective, $\chi \neq 0$). Write $D = \sum_{i \in I} n_i D_i$ where $n_i \geq 0$ and D_i is integral. Then the auxiliary quiver of \mathcal{F} has vertex set I , $g(D_i)$ loops at vertex i and $D_i \cdot D_j$ arrows joining vertices i and j . The following example illustrates how difficult the geometry of étale-local models of $\mathfrak{M}_{S,H}(\mathbf{v})$ gets.

Example 4.1.8. Let $\mathbf{v} = (0, [D], 1)$, where D is an elliptic curve in S (for instance, suppose S is an elliptic K3 surface and D is a generic fibre). Then \mathbf{v} is primitive, positive, $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 2g(D) - 2 = 0$ and taking a \mathbf{v} -general polarization, we obtain by Proposition 4.1.5 a stable sheaf \mathcal{F} with Mukai vector \mathbf{v} . For any $n \geq 1$, the auxiliary quiver of $\mathcal{F}^{\oplus n}$ is the Jordan quiver (one vertex with one loop), with dimension vector n . The associated local model is the commuting scheme:

$$C_2 := V([x, y]_{i,j}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n) \subset \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})_x \times \text{Mat}(n, \mathbb{K})_y$$

The geometry of commuting schemes has been studied by many authors (see [13] and the references therein). If the commuting scheme were reduced with rational singularities, this would imply that the commuting variety is normal and Cohen-Macaulay, a long-standing open question.

4.2 Arithmetic corollaries

In this section, we go back to our initial arithmetic problem on countings of jets. We deduce two corollaries of Theorem 4.1 for additive and multiplicative preprojective algebras. To avoid confusion in the notations, we replace $q \in (\mathbb{K}^\times)^{Q_0}$ by $\alpha \in (\mathbb{K}^\times)^{Q_0}$ in the definition of the multiplicative preprojective algebra.

If (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P), we already know from Proposition 2.2.3 that $\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)$ is a complete intersection. By [18, Thm. 1.11.], $R(\Lambda^\alpha(Q), \mathbf{d})$ is also an affine complete intersection. Thus we obtain from Proposition 2.6.1:

Corollary 4.2.1. *Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus \{0\}$ such that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Then for almost all primes p , $q^{-n \dim X(Q,\mathbf{d})} \cdot \#\mu_{Q,\mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n))$ converges when n goes to infinity, for all finite fields \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p .*

Corollary 4.2.2. *Let Q be a quiver and $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{N}^{Q_0} \setminus 0$ such that (Q, \mathbf{d}) has property (P). Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}^{Q_0}$ and $N \geq 1$ such that for any prime $p \geq N$, $\text{val}_p(\alpha_i) = 0$ for all $i \in Q_0$. Then for almost all primes $p \geq N$, $q^{-n \dim R(\Lambda^\alpha(Q),\mathbf{d})} \cdot \#\mathbb{R}(\Lambda^\alpha(Q), \mathbf{d})(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n))$ converges when n goes to infinity, for all finite fields \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p .*

Now that we have established the existence of $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} q^{-n \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d})} \cdot \#\mu_{Q, \mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n))$ for (almost all) totally negative quivers, it is natural to ask whether this limit is uniform over all finite fields \mathbb{F}_q , as shown by Wyss in the case $\mathbf{d} = \underline{1}$.

Conjecture 4.2.3. *There exists a rational fraction $W \in \mathbb{Q}(T)$ such that, for almost all primes p and for all finite fields \mathbb{F}_q of characteristic p :*

$$W(q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} q^{-n \dim X(Q, \mathbf{d})} \cdot \#\mu_{Q, \mathbf{d}}^{-1}(0)(\mathbb{F}_q[t]/(t^n)).$$

References

- [1] Avraham Aizenbud and Nir Avni. Representation growth and rational singularities of the moduli space of local systems. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 204(1):245–316, 2016.
- [2] Avraham Aizenbud and Nir Avni. Counting points of schemes over finite rings and counting representations of arithmetic lattices. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 167(14):2721–2743, 2018.
- [3] Jarod Alper, Jack Hall, and David Rydh. A luna étale slice theorem for algebraic stacks. *Annals of Mathematics*, 191(3):675–738, 2020.
- [4] Enrico Arbarello and Giulia Sacca. Singularities of moduli spaces of sheaves on K3 surfaces and Nakajima quiver varieties. *Advances in Mathematics*, 329:649–703, 2018.
- [5] Arnaud Beauville. Symplectic singularities. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 139(3):541–549, 2000.
- [6] Gwyn Bellamy and Travis Schedler. Symplectic resolutions of quiver varieties. *arXiv:1602.00164v5*, 2019.
- [7] Raf Bocklandt, Federica Galluzzi, and Francesco Vaccarino. The Nori-Hilbert scheme is not smooth for 2-Calabi-Yau algebras. *Journal of Noncommutative Geometry*, 10:745–774, 2016.
- [8] Jean-François Boutot. Singularités rationnelles et quotients par les groupes réductifs. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 88(1):65–68, 1987.
- [9] Michel Brion. Some structure theorems for algebraic groups. In Mahir Bilen Can, editor, *Algebraic Groups: Structures and Actions*, volume 94, pages 53–126, 2017.
- [10] Nero Budur. Rational singularities, quiver moment maps and representations of surface groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2021(15):11782–11817, 2021.
- [11] Nero Budur and Ziyu Zhang. Formality conjecture for K3 surfaces. *Compositio Mathematica*, 155(5):902–911, 2019.
- [12] Nero Budur and Michele Zordan. On representation zeta functions for special linear groups. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2020(3):868–882, 2020.
- [13] Jean-Yves Charbonnel. Projective dimension and commuting variety of a reductive Lie algebra. *arXiv:2006.12942v1*, 2020.

- [14] W. Crawley-Boevey. Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers. *Compos. Math.*, 126(3):257–293, 2001.
- [15] William Crawley-Boevey. DMV lectures on representations of quivers, preprojective algebras and deformations of quotient singularities. Available at <https://www.math.uni-bielefeld.de/wcrawley/dmvlects.pdf>, 1999.
- [16] William Crawley-Boevey. Normality of Marsden-Weinstein reductions for representations of quivers. *Mathematische Annalen*, 325(1):55–79, 2001.
- [17] William Crawley-Boevey. Decomposition of Marsden-Weinstein reductions for representations of quivers. *Compositio Mathematica*, 2002.
- [18] William Crawley-Boevey and Peter Shaw. Multiplicative preprojective algebras, middle convolution and the Deligne-Simspon problem. *Advances in Mathematics*, 201:180–208, 2006.
- [19] William Crawley-Boevey and Michel van den Bergh. Absolutely indecomposable representations and Kac-Moody Lie algebras. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 155(3):537–559, 2004.
- [20] Ben Davison. The integrality conjecture and the cohomology of preprojective stacks. *arXiv:1602.02110v3*, 2017.
- [21] Ben Davison. Purity and 2-Calabi-Yau categories. *arXiv:2106.07692v2*, 2021.
- [22] Ben Davison, Lucien Hennecart, and Sebastian Schlegel-Mejia. BPS Lie algebras for totally negative 2-Calabi-Yau categories and nonabelian Hodge theory for stacks. *in preparation*, 2022.
- [23] Jan Denef. On the degree of Igusa’s local zeta function. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 109(6):991–1008, 1987.
- [24] I. Dolgachev. *Lectures on Invariant Theory*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [25] Itay Glazer. On rational singularities and counting points of schemes over finite rings. *Algebra & Number theory*, 13(2):485–500, 2019.
- [26] Tamás Hausel. Kac’s conjecture from Nakajima quiver varieties. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 181(1):21–37, 2010.
- [27] Tamás Hausel, Emmanuel Letellier, and Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas. Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 160(2):323–400, 2011.
- [28] Tamás Hausel, Emmanuel Letellier, and Fernando Rodriguez-Villegas. Arithmetic harmonic analysis on character and quiver varieties II. *Advances in Mathematics*, 234:85–128, 2013.
- [29] Hans-Christian Herbig, Gerald Schwarz, and Christopher Seaton. When does the zero fiber of the moment map have rational singularities? *arXiv:2108.07306v2*, 2021.
- [30] Daniel Huybrechts and Manfred Lehn. *The geometry of moduli spaces of sheaves*. Cambridge University Press.
- [31] Jun ichi Igusa. *An Introduction to the Theory of Local Zeta Functions*. American Mathematical Society, 2000.

- [32] Dmitry Kaledin, Manfred Lehn, and Christoph Sorger. Singular symplectic moduli spaces. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 164(3):591–614, 2006.
- [33] Daniel Kaplan and Travis Schedler. Multiplicative preprojective algebras are 2-Calabi-Yau. *arXiv:1905.12025v5*, 2019.
- [34] Masaki Kashiwara and Yoshihisa Saito. Geometric construction of crystal bases. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 89(1):9–36, 1997.
- [35] Bernhard Keller. Calabi-Yau triangulated categories. *Trends in representation theory of algebras and related topics*, pages 467–489, 2008.
- [36] A. D. King. Moduli of representations of finite-dimensional algebras. *Quart. J. Math.*, 45(2):515–530, 1994.
- [37] Lieven Le Bruyn and Claudio Procesi. Semisimple representations of quivers. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 317(2):585–598, 1990.
- [38] Domingo Luna. Slices étales. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 33:81–105, 1973.
- [39] George Lusztig. Quivers, perverse sheaves and quantized enveloping algebras. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 4(2):365–421, 1991.
- [40] George Lusztig. Semicanonical bases arising from enveloping algebras. *Advances in Mathematics*, 151(2):129–139, 2000.
- [41] Sergey Mozgovoy. Motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants and McKay correspondence. *arXiv:1107.6044*, 2011.
- [42] Mircea Mustață. Jet schemes of locally complete intersection canonical singularities. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 145(3):397–424, 2001.
- [43] Hiraku Nakajima. Instantons on ALE spaces, quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 76(2):365–416, 1994.
- [44] Hiraku Nakajima. Quiver varieties and Kac-Moody algebras. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 91(3):515–560, 1998.
- [45] Markus Reineke. Moduli of representations of quivers. *arXiv:0802.2147v1*, 2008.
- [46] Travis Schedler and Andrea Tirelli. Symplectic resolutions for multiplicative quiver varieties and character varieties for punctured surfaces. In *Representation Theory and Algebraic Geometry*, pages 393–459. Springer, 2022.
- [47] Olivier Schiffmann. Kac polynomials and Lie algebras associated to quivers and curves. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians*, pages 1393–1424, 2018.
- [48] Gerald W. Schwarz. Lifting smooth homotopies of orbit spaces. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 51:37–135, 1980.
- [49] Bertrand Toën and Michel Vaquié. Moduli of objects in dg-categories. In *Annales scientifiques de l’Ecole normale supérieure*, volume 40, pages 387–444, 2007.

- [50] Willem Veys and W. A. Zuniga-Galindo. Zeta functions for analytic mappings, log-principalization of ideals and Newton polyhedra. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 360(4):2205–2227, 2008.
- [51] Dimitri Wyss. *Motivic and p -adic Localisation Phenomena*. PhD thesis, EPFL, 2017.
- [52] Kōta Yoshioka. Moduli spaces on sheaves on abelian surfaces. *Mathematische Annalen*, 321(4):817–884, 2001.