

# NONSTATIONARY FRAMES OF TRANSLATES AND FRAMES FOR THE WEYL–HEISENBERG GROUP AND THE EXTENDED AFFINE GROUP

DIVYA JINDAL AND LALIT KUMAR VASHISHT

ABSTRACT. In this work, we analyze Gabor frames for the Weyl–Heisenberg group and wavelet frames for the extended affine group. Firstly, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of nonstationary frames of translates. Using these conditions, we give the existence of Gabor frames for the Weyl–Heisenberg group and wavelet frames for the extended affine group. We present a representation of functions in the closure of the linear span of a Gabor frame sequence in terms of the Fourier transform of window functions. Afterward, we give sufficient conditions with explicit frame bounds for a finite linear combination of Gabor frames to be a frame. It is illustrated that the frame bounds associated with finite linear combinations of frames can decrease the width of the frame, which increases the speed of convergence in the frame algorithm. We show that the canonical dual of frames of translates has the same structure. An approximation of inverse of the frame operator of nonstationary frames of translates is presented. It is shown that a nonstationary frame of translates is a Riesz basis if and only if it is linearly independent and satisfies approximation of the inverse frame operator. Finally, we give equivalent conditions for a nonstationary sequence of translates to be linearly independent.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Frames for separable Hilbert separable spaces were introduced by Duffin and Schaeffer in [14]; originally based on work by Gabor [15] in decomposition of signals in terms of elementary functions. The fundamental concept of frames was revived by Daubechies, Grossmann, and Meyer [9], who showed its importance for data processing. Frames were also reviewed by Young in [48]. Since then, the theory of frames began to be studied more widely. Wavelet analysis and Gabor analysis are part of this development, they are an integral part of time-frequency analysis. Wavelet analysis corresponds to unitary irreducible representations of the affine group, while Gabor analysis corresponds to unitary irreducible representations of the Weyl–Heisenberg group. Whereas Gabor analysis yields a time-frequency representation of signals, wavelet analysis provides a time-scale representation. There is huge literature on Gabor analysis and wavelet analysis and its applications in both pure mathematics and engineering science, see [4, 8, 16, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27, 31, 32, 34, 46, 47] and many references therein.

In 1998, Dai and Liang proved that if  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  are two affine orthonormal MRA wavelets then there exists a continuous map  $A : [0, 1] \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that  $A(0) = \psi_1$ ,  $A(1) = \psi_2$ , and  $A(t)$  is an affine orthonormal MRA wavelet for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The proof appeared in [44, Theorem 4], and may also be found in the review article [43] by Weiss and Wilson. Years later, Dahlke, Fornasier, Rauhut, Steidl and Teschke in [7] and Torresani in [41, 42] established a connection between Gabor analysis and wavelet analysis by exhibiting both the affine group and the Weyl–Heisenberg group as subgroups of the four dimensional affine Weyl–Heisenberg group, and de Gosson [19] studied Gabor analysis through the canonical group of

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 42C15; 42C30; 42C40.

*Key words and phrases*: Frames, Gabor frames; wavelet frames; Weyl–Heisenberg group; extended affine group; perturbation.

The research of Divya Jindal is supported by the Council of Scientific & Industrial Research (CSIR), India. Grant No.: 09/045(1680)/2019-EMR-I. Lalit Kumar Vashisht is supported by the Faculty Research Programme Grant-IoE, University of Delhi (Grant No.: Ref. No./IoE/2021/12/FRP).

transformations of a Weyl–Heisenberg frame, and their applications to Gaussian frames and Hermitian frames. Very recently, Subag, Baruch, Birman and Mann [40] related wavelet analysis and Gabor analysis using the method of group contractions. They defined contraction of Lie groups and representations and showed how the extended affine group and its unitary irreducible representations contract to the Weyl–Heisenberg group and its unitary irreducible representations. They also contracted the corresponding coherent state families, resolutions of the identity, and tight frames. One of the key insights in this paper is the realization that the affine group and the Weyl–Heisenberg group have different dimensions. They overcame this problem by introducing the extended affine group and an additional parameter,  $\varepsilon$ . The frames thus obtained vary continuously with  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon = 0$  yields a Gabor frame and  $\varepsilon = 1$  yields a wavelet frame.

Inspired by the above works we study the characterization of Gabor frames in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with compact support and several generators (and in particular, Parseval frames). We apply our results in the context of group representations, and in particular to those systems associated with the Weyl–Heisenberg group and extended affine group, using the unitary irreducible representation given by Subag, Baruch, Birman and Mann [40]. Whereas authors of [9] and [40] obtained sufficient conditions, we find explicit necessary and sufficient conditions for generators having a compact support. Recently, the authors in [26] proved sufficient conditions for the existence of Gabor frames and wavelet frames with several generators for the Weyl–Heisenberg group and the extended affine group, respectively. Notable contribution in this paper includes necessary and sufficient conditions for nonstationary frames of translates, Gabor frames and wavelet frames with several generators associated with the Weyl–Heisenberg group and the extended affine group. Applications of frame bounds of finite sums of frames in the frame algorithm. Using results of Casazza and Christensen [3] and Christensen and Hasannasab [5], we give approximation of inverse frame operator and linear independence of nonstationary frames of the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

The work in this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we briefly review Hilbert frames, Riesz basis, Gabor systems and wavelet systems. The main results start from Section 3. Theorem 3.1 gives necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Gabor frames associated with the Weyl–Heisenberg group. Its proof is based on the existence of nonstationary frames of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , see Theorem 3.2. In Theorem 3.7, we give an interplay between modulation and translation parameters in Gabor frames associated with the Weyl–Heisenberg group. Theorem 3.10 gives a representation of a function in the closure of linear span of a Gabor frame sequence in terms of Fourier transform of window functions. Sufficient conditions, with explicit frame bounds, for finite linear combinations of Gabor frames for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  to be a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  are given in Theorem 3.11. An application of frame bounds associated with a finite linear combination of frames in the frame algorithm is given in Example 3.17. In Section 4, we give necessary and sufficient conditions for wavelet frames associated with the extended affine group, see Theorem 4.1. In Section 5, we discuss the structure of the canonical dual of nonstationary frames of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . In Theorem 5.1, we show that the canonical dual of nonstationary frames of translates has the same structure. By using a technique given in [3], we give an approximation of inverse of the frame operator of nonstationary frames of translates in Theorem 6.1 of Section 6. Necessary and sufficient for nonstationary Riesz bases of the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  are given in Theorem 6.3. In Section 7, we give equivalent conditions for linear independence of a nonstationary sequence of translates in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As usual  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  denote the set of positive natural numbers, integers, positive real numbers, non-zero real numbers and real numbers, respectively.  $\mathbb{I}$  denotes a countable (finite or infinite) index set. The *support* of a function  $f$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  is closure of the set  $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : f(x) \neq 0\}$ . Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{H} \neq \{0\}$  denotes a separable Hilbert space with inner product  $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$  and standard norm on  $\mathcal{H}$  is given by  $\|f\| = \sqrt{\langle f | f \rangle}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . In order to make notations compatible with quantum physics, we denote a vector  $u$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  by  $|u\rangle$  (also known as ket vector or state in  $\mathbb{H}$ ).

**2.1. Hilbert frames and Riesz Bases:** A collection of vectors  $\mathcal{F} := \{|\varphi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{I}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is called a *frame* (or *Hilbert frame*) of  $\mathcal{H}$  if for some  $\alpha_o, \beta_o \in (0, \infty)$  the following inequality holds

$$\alpha_o \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{I}} |\langle f | \varphi_k \rangle|^2 \leq \beta_o \|f\|^2 \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . Inequality (2.1) is called the *frame inequality*. The positive scalars  $\alpha_o$  and  $\beta_o$ , obviously not unique, are known as *lower frame bound* and *upper frame bound* of  $\mathcal{F}$ . The frame  $\mathcal{F}$  is *tight* if  $\alpha_o = \beta_o$ , it is *Parseval*, if  $\alpha_o = \beta_o = 1$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  fulfills the upper inequality in (2.1), then we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *Bessel sequence* with *Bessel bound*  $\beta_o$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Bessel sequence, then the map  $S : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  given by  $Sf = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{I}} \langle f | \varphi_k \rangle \varphi_k$  is called the *frame operator* of the frame  $\mathcal{F}$ . The frame operator  $S$  is bounded and linear. It is invertible on  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$ . In that case  $\mathcal{F}$  gives the following reconstruction formula.  $f = SS^{-1}f = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{I}} \langle f | S^{-1}\varphi_k \rangle \varphi_k$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ . The scalars  $\langle f | S^{-1}\varphi_k \rangle$  are known as *frame coefficients*. The supremum over all lower frame bounds is called the *optimal lower frame bound*, and the infimum over all upper frame bounds is called the *optimal upper frame bound*. The optimal bounds in terms of the frame operator are given in the following result.

*Proposition 2.1.* [4, p. 121] *The lower optimal frame bound  $\alpha_{Opt}$  and the upper optimal frame bound  $\beta_{Opt}$  of a frame  $\mathcal{F}$  with frame operator  $S$  are given by  $\alpha_{Opt} = \|S^{-1}\|^{-1}$ ,  $\beta_{Opt} = \|S\|$ .*

*Definition 2.2.* [4, Definition 7.3.1] A sequence  $\{|\varphi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{I}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  is a *Riesz frame* of  $\mathcal{H}$  if every subsequence of  $\{|\varphi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{I}}$  is a frame for its closed linear span, with the same frame bounds  $\alpha, \beta$ .

*Definition 2.3.* [4, Definition 3.6.1] Let  $\Xi$  be a bounded, linear and bijective operator acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\{|\chi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{I}}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathcal{H}$ . A sequence of the form  $\{|\Xi\chi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{I}}$  is called a *Riesz basis* for  $\mathcal{H}$ .

*Theorem 2.4.* [4, Theorem 7.1.1] *A frame  $\mathcal{F} = \{|\varphi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{I}}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is a Riesz basis for  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}$  has a biorthogonal sequence  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{I}}$ , that is,*

$$\langle \varphi_i | \psi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j; \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

*Remark 2.5.* Every Riesz basis is a basis and hence linearly independent. For other types of independence of frames we refer to Chapter 7 of [4].

The frame conditions given in (2.1) is a powerful tool in the study of operator theory [1, 30, 34], iterated function systems [13, 45], quantum physics [2, 16, 17, 18, 19, 28, 29], distributed signal processing [11, 12]. Among the many available texts on frames, Christensen [4], Heil [23] are excellent for basic theory of frames and Han [21] for applications of frames in many directions.

**2.2. Gabor System and Wavelet System.** As is standard,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) := \left\{ f : \int_{\mathbb{R}} |f|^2 dx < \infty \right\}$ , the space of square integrable (in the sense of Lebesgue) functions over  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  is a Hilbert space with respect to the standard inner product  $\langle f|g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$ . The Fourier transform of a function  $f$ , denoted by  $\widehat{f}$ , defined as

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \gamma} dx, \quad \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Mathematically, a Gabor system and wavelet system are defined by using the following three classes of operators which act unitarily on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$  define translation operator, modulation operator and dilation operator, respectively, on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} T_a f(x) &\mapsto f(x-a), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \quad (\text{Translation by } a); \\ E_b f(x) &\mapsto e^{2\pi i b x} f(x), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \quad (\text{Modulation by } b); \\ D_c f(x) &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{|c|}} f\left(\frac{x}{c}\right), \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \quad (\text{Dilation by } c). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  be a non-zero function. A collection of functions of the form  $\mathcal{G}(a, b, \phi) := \{E_{mb}T_{na}\phi\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{e^{2\pi i m b x} \phi(x-na)\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called the *Gabor system*; and the collection  $\mathcal{W}(c, b, \phi) : \{T_{kb}D_{c^j}\phi\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{c^{-\frac{j}{2}} \phi(c^{-j}x - kb)\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is called the *wavelet system*. A frame of the form  $\mathcal{G}(a, b, \phi)$  and  $\mathcal{W}(a, b, \phi)$  for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  is called the *Gabor frame* and the *wavelet frame*, respectively. Christensen [4] and Heil [23] are good texts for fundamental properties of Gabor frames and wavelet frames.

The following fundamental properties of above operators will be used throughout the paper.

*Lemma 2.6.* [4, p. 65] *For any  $0 \neq a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  and  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , we have*

$$\widehat{T_a f} = E_{-a} \widehat{f}, \quad \widehat{E_a f} = T_a \widehat{f}, \quad \widehat{D_a f} = D_{\frac{1}{a}} \widehat{f}.$$

*Lemma 2.7.* [4, p. 65] *For  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^*$  and  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the following commutator relations hold.*

$$T_a E_b f(x) = e^{-2\pi i b a} E_b T_a f(x), \quad T_a D_c f(x) = D_c T_{a/c} f(x), \quad D_c E_b f(x) = E_{b/c} D_c f(x).$$

The following result is direct consequence of Parseval's equation and can be found in any standard text on analysis, for instance [23, 48].

*Theorem 2.8.* *Assume that for  $\mu > 0$  and  $f \in L^2([0, \mu], dx) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , we have*

$$\mu \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)}^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} |c_k|^2, \quad \text{where } c_k = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-2\pi i \frac{k}{\mu} x} dx.$$

**2.3. Nonstationary Frames of Translates.** Let  $X$  be a finite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  and for each  $j \in X$ , let  $\varphi_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . If  $\{|T_{ka^j} \varphi_j\rangle\}_{j \in X, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , then we say that  $\{|T_{ka^j} \varphi_j\rangle\}_{j \in X, k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a *stationary frame of translates* (or simply, *frame of translates*) for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . More precisely, in stationary frames of translates, we consider finitely many window functions. For basic results on stationary Bessel sequences and stationary frames of translates (with one window function), we refer to [4, Chapter 7]. In case of nonstationary frames of translates, we consider infinitely many window functions.

*Definition 2.9.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\varphi_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . A frame of the form  $\{|T_{ka^j} \varphi_j\rangle\}_{j,k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  is called the *nonstationary frame of translates* of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

Nonstationary frames with different structure studied by many authors, we refer to [31] for nonstationary wavelets and related applications.

## 3. FRAMES FOR THE WEYL–HEISENBERG GROUP

Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a locally compact group with left Haar measure  $\mu$ . A unitary representation of  $\mathcal{G}$  is a homomorphism  $\pi$  from  $\mathcal{G}$  into the group  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  of unitary operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  that is continuous with respect to the strong operator topology, that is, a map  $\zeta : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})$  that satisfies  $\zeta(xy) = \zeta(x)\zeta(y)$  and  $\zeta(x^{-1}) = \zeta(x)^{-1} = \zeta(x)^*$ , and for which  $x \rightarrow \pi(x)u$  is continuous from  $\mathcal{G}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{H}$ . Also,  $\zeta$  is said to be irreducible if  $\pi$  admits only trivial (means,  $\{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{H}$ ) invariant subspaces.

The Weyl–Heisenberg group  $\mathcal{W}$  is the outer semidirect product  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes_{\Phi} \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  given by  $\Phi_{\zeta} \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 + \zeta a_2 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}$  for every  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . The product of  $(s, \vec{u})$  and  $(t, \vec{v})$  in  $\mathcal{W}$  is given by

$$(s, \vec{u})(t, \vec{v}) = (s + t, \Phi_{\zeta}(\vec{u} + \vec{v})).$$

For every  $A \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $B \in \mathbb{R}$ , the unitary irreducible representation of  $\mathcal{W}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{A,B} : \mathcal{W} &\rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \\ (\Theta^{A,B}(c, (y_1, y_2))f)(x) &= e^{2\pi i[A(y_1 + xy_2) + By_2]} f(c + x). \end{aligned}$$

All unitary irreducible representations of this group  $\mathcal{W}$  are unitarily equivalent, see [33, 35, 36, 39] for technical details. We refer to the [40]) for technical details about applications of the Weyl–Heisenberg group in the construction of Gabor frames.

For  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , where  $N$  is some strictly positive number, let  $p_0^{(j)}$  and  $q_0^{(j)}$  be real numbers such that  $|p_0^{(j)} q_0^{(j)}| < 1$ , and consider the discrete subset of  $\mathcal{W}$  given by

$$\mathcal{W}_{q_0^{(j)}, p_0^{(j)}} = \left\{ \left( nq_0^{(j)}, \left( \frac{nlq_0^{(j)} p_0^{(j)}}{2}, lp_0^{(j)} \right) \right) \mid n, l \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

For each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , let  $\Phi_j$  be a non-zero function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  (also known as “window function”). For  $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle = \Theta^{A,B} \left( nq_0^{(j)}, \left( \frac{nlq_0^{(j)} p_0^{(j)}}{2}, lp_0^{(j)} \right) \right) \Phi_j,$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle(x) &= e^{2\pi i[(1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)} q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} e^{2\pi iAlp_0^{(j)} x} \Phi_j(x + nq_0^{(j)}) \\ &= e^{2\pi i[(1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)} q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j(x), \end{aligned}$$

which is a Gabor system in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

Now we are ready to give necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of Gabor frames for the Weyl–Heisenberg group.

*Theorem 3.1.* *Let  $\{\Phi_j\}_{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}} \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  be a finite collection of non-zero functions such that support of each  $\widehat{\Phi}_j$  is contained in an interval of length  $\lambda$ . For each  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , let  $p_0^{(j)}$  and  $q_0^{(j)}$  be real numbers such that  $|p_0^{(j)} q_0^{(j)}| < 1$  and  $q_0^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Then,  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}}$  is a Gabor frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha_o, \beta_o$  if and only if*

$$\alpha_o \leq \lambda \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi}_j(\gamma - Ap_0^{(j)} l)|^2 \leq \beta_o \quad \text{for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.1, first we state and prove the following result which gives necessary and sufficient conditions for nonstationary frames of translates in terms of a series of the Fourier transforms of window functions. Frames of translates (or frames for shift-invariant subspaces) first considered in [38] by Ron and Shen.

*Theorem 3.2.* For each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $\phi_l$  be a non-zero function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that support of each  $\widehat{\phi}_l$  is contained in an interval of length  $\lambda$  and  $q^{(l)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Then,  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a nonstationary frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha, \beta$  if and only if

$$\frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)|^2 \leq \frac{\beta}{\lambda} \quad \text{for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* Assume first that (3.1) holds. Then, for any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle \widehat{f} | \widehat{T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l} \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle \widehat{f} | E_{-nq^{(l)}} \widehat{\phi}_l \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) E_{nq^{(l)}} \overline{\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)} d\gamma \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) e^{2\pi i n q^{(l)} \gamma} \overline{\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)} d\gamma \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{f}(\gamma) e^{2\pi i n \frac{\gamma}{\lambda}} \overline{\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)} d\gamma \right|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Using Theorem 2.8 in (3.2), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 &= \lambda \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma) \overline{\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)}|^2 d\gamma \\ &= \lambda \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 |\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \\ &= \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Thus, by Ineq. (3.1), we have

$$\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \leq \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \leq \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma,$$

or

$$\alpha \|\widehat{f}\|^2 \leq \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \leq \beta \|\widehat{f}\|^2.$$

That is

$$\alpha \|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \leq \beta \|f\|^2 \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx).$$

Hence,  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a nonstationary frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with the desired frame bounds.

To prove the opposite implication, let  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l\in\mathbb{Z}}$  be a frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha, \beta$ . Then, using (3.3), for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , we have

$$\alpha\|f\|^2 \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\phi_l(\gamma)}|^2 d\gamma \leq \beta\|f\|^2,$$

which entails

$$\alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\phi_l(\gamma)}|^2 d\gamma \leq \beta \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Lemma 10.1.1 of [4] is a particular case of Theorem 3.2.

The following example justifies Theorem 3.2.

*Example 3.4.* Let  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ . For each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $q^{(l)} = 2$  and define functions  $\phi_l \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  as follows.

$$\widehat{\phi_l}(x) = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } x \in \left(\frac{l}{2}, \frac{l+1}{2}\right], \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then,  $\sum_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\phi_l}(\gamma)|^2 = 2$  for almost all  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, by Theorem (3.2),  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a nonstationary Parseval frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

**Proof of Theorem 3.1:** First we see that for  $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ ,

$$|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle(x) = e^{2\pi i[(1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j(x), \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j = e^{-2\pi iAnlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)}} T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j. \quad (3.5)$$

Therefore, for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , using (3.4) and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B} \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l\in\mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\{\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\}_{j\in\{1,2,\dots,N\}, n,l\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  if and only if  $\{T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j\}_{j\in\{1,2,\dots,N\}, n,l\in\mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . The result now follows directly from Theorem 3.2.

The following example illustrates Theorem 3.1.

*Example 3.5.* Let  $A = 1, B = 0, \lambda = 2$  and define functions  $\Phi_1, \Phi_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  as follows.

$$\widehat{\Phi}_1(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 + \gamma, & \text{if } \gamma \in (0, 1]; \\ \gamma, & \text{if } \gamma \in (1, 2]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$\widehat{\Phi}_2(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 1 + \gamma, & \text{if } \gamma \in (0, 1]; \\ \frac{\gamma}{2}, & \text{if } \gamma \in (1, 2]; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Choose  $p_0^{(1)} = p_0^{(2)} = 1$ . Then one can easily observe that

$$2 \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi}_1(\gamma - Ap_0^{(1)}l)|^2 \leq 8 \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R};$$

and

$$\frac{5}{4} \leq \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi}_2(\gamma - Ap_0^{(2)}l)|^2 \leq 5 \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\frac{13}{2} \leq \lambda \sum_{j=\{1,2\}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi}_j(\gamma - Ap_0^{(j)}l)|^2 \leq 26 \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.1,  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{1,0}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2\}}$  is a Gabor frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha_0 = \frac{13}{2}$  and  $\beta_0 = 26$ .

Next, we discuss an interplay between modulation and translation parameters in Gabor frames for the Weyl–Heisenberg group. First we observe that if for each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\phi_l$  is a non-zero function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that support of each  $\widehat{\phi}_l$  is contained in an interval of length  $\lambda$  and  $q^{(l)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Then, using the same approach as in Theorem 3.2, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{\frac{n}{q^{(l)}}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{f}(\gamma)|^2 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\phi}_l(\gamma)|^2 d\gamma \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have the following result.

*Proposition 3.6.* *Hence,  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a nonstationary frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha, \beta$  if and only if  $\{|T_{\frac{n}{q^{(l)}}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a nonstationary frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}, \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2}$ .*

Now, we are ready to give an interplay between modulation and translation parameters for Gabor frames associated with the Weyl–Heisenberg group.

*Theorem 3.7.* *For  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , let  $\Phi_j$  be non-zero functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that support of each  $\widehat{\Phi}_j$  is contained in an interval of length  $\lambda$  and  $q_0^{(j)} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . Then,  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a Gabor frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha, \beta$  if and only if  $\{|E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{\frac{n}{q_0^{(j)}}} \Phi_j\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a Gabor frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}, \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2}$ .*

*Proof.* Since

$$E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j = e^{-2\pi i Anlp_0^{(j)} q_0^{(j)}} T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j \text{ for all } n, l \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (3.6)$$

Therefore, from Eq. (3.6) and Proposition 3.6, we can say that

$$\begin{aligned} &\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}} \text{ is a Gabor frame for } L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \text{ with frame bounds } \alpha, \beta. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \{|T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_{(l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}} \text{ is a nonstationary frame of translates for } L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \text{ with frame} \\ &\quad \text{bounds } \alpha, \beta, \text{ where } \Phi_{l,j} = E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j. \\ &\Leftrightarrow \{|T_{\frac{-n}{q_0^{(j)}}} \Phi_{(l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}} \text{ is a nonstationary frame of translates for } L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \text{ with frame} \end{aligned}$$



bounds  $\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}, \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2}$ .

$\Leftrightarrow \left\{ |E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{\frac{n}{q_0^{(j)}}} \Phi_j \rangle \right\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a Gabor frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\frac{\alpha}{\lambda^2}, \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2}$ .

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

*Lemma 3.8.* [4, Lemma 5.3.3] *If  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame sequence with bounds  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  and  $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  is a unitary operator, then  $\{|U\psi_k\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame sequence with bounds  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .*

*Proposition 3.9.* *Assume that  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a frame sequence with frame bounds  $\alpha, \beta$ . Given  $a > 0$ , let  $\phi_{j_a} = D_a \phi_j$ . Then,  $\{|\Phi_{(na, \frac{l}{a}, j_a)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a frame sequence with bounds  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ .*

*Proof.* Using the commutator relations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_{(na, \frac{l}{a}, j_a)}^{A,B}\rangle(x) &= e^{2\pi i[(1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} E_{A\frac{l}{a}p_0^{(j)}} T_{-naq_0^{(j)}} D_a \Phi_j(x) \\ &= e^{2\pi i[(1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} E_{A\frac{l}{a}p_0^{(j)}} D_a T_{-naq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j(x) \\ &= e^{2\pi i[(1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} D_a E_{Alp_0^{(j)}} T_{-naq_0^{(j)}} \Phi_j(x) \\ &= D_a |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle(x). \end{aligned}$$

The proof now follows from Lemma 3.8.  $\square$

It is proved in [4, Lemma 9.3.2] that a function in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  belongs to the closure of the span of a frame sequence of translates if and only if its Fourier transform can be expressed in terms of the Fourier transform of the window functions. The next result generalises [4, Lemma 9.3.2] for frame sequences with the Gabor structure associated with the Weyl–Heisenberg group.

*Theorem 3.10.* *Assume that  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a frame sequence in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . Then, a function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  belongs to  $\overline{\text{span}}\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{F_{l,j}\}_{l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  of 1-periodic functions such that*

$$\widehat{f}(\gamma) = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} F_{l,j} \widehat{\Phi}_j(\gamma - Alp_0^{(j)}),$$

where restriction of each  $F_{l,j}$  to  $[0, 1)$  belongs to  $L^2([0, 1), dx)$ .

*Proof.* A function  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  belongs to  $\overline{\text{span}}\{|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  if and only if there exists a sequence  $\{c_{n,l,j}\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}} \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \{1, 2, \dots, N\})$  such that

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n,l,j} |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\rangle.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}(\gamma) &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n,l,j} e^{2\pi i[(1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} e^{-2\pi iAnlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)}} E_{nq_0^{(j)}} T_{Alp_0^{(j)}} \widehat{\Phi}_j(\gamma) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n,l,j} e^{2\pi i[(-1/2)Anlp_0^{(j)}q_0^{(j)} + Blp_0^{(j)}]} e^{2\pi inq_0^{(j)}\gamma} \widehat{\Phi}_j(\gamma - Alp_0^{(j)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} F_l \widehat{\Phi}_l(\gamma),$$

where  $F_l = \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n,l,j} e^{2\pi i [(-1/2)A n l p_0^{(j)} q_0^{(j)} + B l p_0^{(j)}]} e^{2\pi i n q_0^{(j)} \gamma}$ . This concludes the result.  $\square$

**3.1. Finite Linear Combination of Gabor Frames and Their Applications in the Frame Algorithm.** It is worth recalling that, in general, a finite linear combination of frames for a given space does not constitute a frame for that space. In this section, we give sufficient conditions with explicit frame bounds for a finite linear combination of Gabor frames for the Weyl–Heisenberg group to be a frame. Afterward, we show that the frame bounds associated with the finite linear combination of frames can decrease the width of the frame [see (3.10)], which increases the rate of convergence in the frame algorithm.

*Theorem 3.11.* *Let  $k$  and  $N$  be fixed natural numbers. For each  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ , let  $\left\{ |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B}| \right\}_{\substack{n,l \in \mathbb{Z} \\ j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}}$  be a Gabor frames for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$ . For non-zero scalars  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$ , assume that*

$$|c_1| \alpha_1 + \sum_{i=2}^k \left| \frac{c_i^2}{c_1} \right| \alpha_i > 2\sqrt{\beta_1} \left( \sum_{i=2}^k |c_i| \sqrt{\beta_i} \right). \quad (3.7)$$

Then,  $\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \right| \right\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a Gabor frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^2 \alpha_i - 2 \sum_{s=2}^k |c_1 c_s| \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_s} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^2 \beta_i.$$

*Proof.* For any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f | \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \langle f | c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \langle f | c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(1)A,B} \rangle \right| - \left| \langle f | c_2 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(2)A,B} \rangle \right| - \dots - \left| \langle f | c_k \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(k)A,B} \rangle \right| \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \left| \langle f | c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(1)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 + \left| \langle f | c_2 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(2)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 + \dots + \left| \langle f | c_k \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(k)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{s=2}^k \left| \langle f | c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(1)A,B} \rangle \right| \cdot \left| \langle f | c_s \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(s)A,B} \rangle \right| + 2 \sum_{i=2}^k \sum_{\substack{s=2 \\ s \neq i}}^k \left| \langle f | c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \rangle \right| \cdot \left| \langle f | c_s \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(s)A,B} \rangle \right| \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \langle f | c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 - 2 \sum_{s=2}^k \left| \langle f | c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(1)A,B} \rangle \right| \cdot \left| \langle f | c_s \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(s)A,B} \rangle \right| \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^k \left| \langle f | c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \langle f | c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(1)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{s=2}^k \left| \langle f | c_s \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(s)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^2 \alpha_i \|f\|^2 - 2 \sum_{s=2}^k |c_1 c_s| \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_s} \|f\|^2 \\
&= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^2 \alpha_i - 2 \sum_{s=2}^k |c_1 c_s| \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_s} \right) \|f\|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

This gives the lower frame bound. For the upper frame bound, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \left\langle f \left| \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \right. \right\rangle \right|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \langle f | c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \rangle \right|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \rangle|^2 \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i|^2 \beta_i \|f\|^2, \quad f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.8) and (3.9), we conclude that  $\left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(i)A,B} \right| \right\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  forms a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with the required frame bounds. This completes the proof.  $\square$

The following example illustrates Theorem 3.11.

*Example 3.12.* Let  $k = 2$ , let  $A = 1$  and  $B = 0$ . Consider a non-zero function  $\Phi^{(1)} = \phi_1 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  as in Example 3.5 and  $\Phi^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\Phi^{(1)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . Let  $\lambda = 2$  and  $p_0^{(1)} = p_0^{(2)} = 1$ . From Example 3.5, we have

$$4 \leq \lambda \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi^{(1)}}(\gamma - A p_0^{(1)} l)|^2 \leq 16 \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Hence, we can say that  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(1)1,0}|\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Gabor frame with frame bounds  $\alpha_1 = 4$  and  $\beta_1 = 16$ . Also, we can observe that

$$1 \leq \lambda \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi^{(2)}}(\gamma - A p_0^{(2)} l)|^2 \leq 4 \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, by Theorem 3.1,  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(2)1,0}|\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Gabor frame with frame bounds  $\alpha_2 = 1$  and  $\beta_2 = 4$ .

Choose  $c_1 = -\frac{1}{2000}$ ,  $c_2 = \frac{1}{20}$ . Then,

$$\frac{2501}{500} = |c_1| \alpha_1 + \left| \frac{c_2^2}{c_1} \right| \alpha_2 > 2 |c_2| \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} = \frac{4}{5},$$

Hence, by Theorem 3.11, the sum  $\{ |c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(1)A,B} + c_2 \Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(2)A,B} | \}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $9604 \times 10^{-6}$  and  $10004 \times 10^{-6}$ .

*Remark 3.13.* Condition (3.7) in Theorem 3.11 is only sufficient but not necessary. This is justified in the following example.

*Example 3.14.* Let  $A = 1, B = 0$  and  $p_0^{(1)} = p_0^{(2)} = 1$ . Consider the Gabor frame  $\{ |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(1)1,0}| \}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2\}} = \{ |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{1,0}| \}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2\}}$  for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame bounds  $\alpha_1 = \frac{13}{2}$  and  $\beta_1 = 26$  given in Example 3.5. For  $j = 1, 2$ , let  $\Phi_j^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\Phi_j^{(1)}$ . Then, by Theorem 3.1,  $\{ |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{(2)1,0}| \}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2\}}$  is a Gabor frame with frame

bounds  $\alpha_2 = \frac{13}{8}$  and  $\beta_2 = \frac{13}{2}$ , since

$$\frac{13}{8} \leq \lambda \sum_{j=\{1,2\}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\widehat{\Phi}_j^{(2)}(\gamma - Ap_0^{(1)}l)|^2 \leq \frac{13}{2} \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For  $c_1 = c_2 = 1$ , the sum  $\{|c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B} + c_2 \widetilde{\Phi}_{(n,l,j)}^{A,B}\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2\}}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , since

$$\frac{65}{8} \leq \lambda \sum_{j=\{1,2\}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |(\widehat{\Phi}_j^{(1)} + \widehat{\Phi}_j^{(2)})(\gamma - Ap_0^{(j)}l)|^2 \leq \frac{65}{2} \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

But condition (3.7) gives

$$\frac{65}{8} = |c_1| \alpha_1 + \left| \frac{c_2^2}{c_1} \right| \alpha_2 > 2|c_2| \sqrt{\beta_1 \beta_2} = 26,$$

which is absurd.

*Remark 3.15.* Result given in Theorem 3.11 is also true for nonstationary frames of translates.

**Applications in the frame algorithm:** The frame algorithm is used in approximations of signals (functions). The frame algorithm depends on the width of frames. The width of a frame  $\mathcal{F}$  with frame bounds  $\alpha_o, \beta_o$  is defined as

$$\Delta := \text{width of } \mathcal{F} = \frac{\beta_o - \alpha_o}{\beta_o + \alpha_o}. \quad (3.10)$$

Thus, the width of  $\mathcal{F}$  measures its ‘‘tightness’’. Note that  $\Delta < 1$ . Nowadays there are a variety of algorithms which depend on frame bounds and are used in approximations of signals [4].

*Theorem 3.16.* [Frame Algorithm] [4, p. 14] *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{|\varphi_k\rangle\}_{k=1}^m$  be a frame for a finite dimensional Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$  with frame bounds  $\alpha, \beta$  and frame operator  $S$ . For a given  $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}$ , define a sequence  $\{|\psi_k\rangle\}_{k=0}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{H}$  as follows.*

$$\psi_0 = 0, \quad \psi_k = \psi_{k-1} + \frac{2}{\beta + \alpha} S(\varphi - \psi_{k-1}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

*Then*

$$\|\varphi - \psi_k\| \leq \Delta^k \|\varphi\|, \quad k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ (where } \Delta = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta + \alpha}, \text{ the width of } \mathcal{F}\text{)}. \quad (3.11)$$

The following example shows that the frame bounds associated with finite linear combinations of frames can decrease the width of the frame and hence increase the rate of convergence in the frame algorithm.

*Example 3.17.* Consider the Gabor frame  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(1),0}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with frame bounds  $\alpha = 4, \beta = 16$ , and the finite sum of Gabor frames  $\{|c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(1),A,B} + c_2 \Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(2),A,B}\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  with frame bounds and  $\alpha' = 9604 \times 10^{-6}, \beta' = 10004 \times 10^{-6}$  as given in Example 3.12.

The width of  $\{|\Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(1),0}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is given by

$$\Delta = \frac{\beta - \alpha}{\beta + \alpha} = \frac{3}{5}. \quad (3.12)$$

Further, the width of finite linear combination of frames  $\{|c_1 \Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(1),A,B} + c_2 \Phi_{(n,l,1)}^{(2),A,B}\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is given by

$$\Delta' = \frac{\beta' - \alpha'}{\beta' + \alpha'} = \frac{50}{2451}. \quad (3.13)$$

From (3.12) and (3.13), we have  $\Delta' < \Delta$ . Thus, the speed of convergence in the frame algorithm can be increased considerably if we use frame bounds of finite linear combinations of frames.

## 4. FRAMES FOR THE EXTENDED AFFINE GROUP

The affine group  $A$  realized as the upper half plane

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(\alpha, \beta) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+, \beta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The multiplication law in  $A$  is given by

$$(\alpha, \beta)(x, y) = (\alpha x, \alpha y + \beta)$$

for all points  $(\alpha, \beta), (x, y) \in A$ .

Let  $V$  denote the subspace of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  consisting of all the functions whose Fourier transform vanish on the negative half of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Recall that for any  $d \in \mathbb{R}^*$ , the map

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^d : A &\rightarrow \mathcal{U}(V) \\ (\rho^d(\alpha, \beta)f)(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} f\left(\frac{x + d\beta}{\alpha}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

The extended affine group, denoted by  $EA$ , is the direct product of the affine group  $A$  with the additive group of real numbers. That is,  $EA = A \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . The product of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$  and  $(x, y, z)$  in  $EA$  is given by

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)(x, y, z) = (\alpha x, \alpha y + \beta, \gamma + z).$$

For  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $\chi_c(x) = e^{icx}$  be a unitary character of  $\mathbb{R}$ . For every  $d \in \mathbb{R}^*, c \in \mathbb{R}$ , the unitary irreducible representation of  $EA$  acting on  $V$  is the map

$$\begin{aligned} \beth^{c,d} &= \rho^d \otimes \chi_c : EA \rightarrow \mathcal{U}(V) \\ (\beth^{c,d}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)f)(x) &= e^{ic\gamma} \frac{1}{\alpha} f\left(\frac{x + d\beta}{\alpha}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We now study wavelet frames associated with the extended affine group. We fix a representation  $\Theta^{A,B}$  of the Weyl–Heisenberg group on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . For  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , where  $N$  is some strictly positive number, consider the discrete subset of  $EA$  that is defined by  $EA_{q_0^{(j)}, p_0^{(j)}} = \{(\alpha_l, \beta_{nl}, \gamma_{nl}) : n, l \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_l &= e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}, \\ \beta_{nl} &= \frac{-nl(q_0^{(j)})^2}{d \ln(\alpha_l)} = \frac{nq_0^{(j)}}{d}, \\ \gamma_{nl} &= \beta_{nl} \frac{\ln(\alpha_l)}{\alpha_l - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\Phi_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \setminus \{0\}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , define

$$|\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{c,d}\rangle = \beth^{c,d}(\alpha_l, \beta_{nl}, \gamma_{nl})\Phi_j,$$

where  $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{c,d}\rangle(x) &= e^{-\frac{ic \ln(q_0^{(j)})^2}{d(e^{-lq_0^{(j)}} - 1)}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}}} \Phi_j\left(\frac{x + nq_0^{(j)}}{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}}\right) \\ &= e^{-\frac{ic \ln(q_0^{(j)})^2}{d(e^{-lq_0^{(j)}} - 1)}} D_{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}} T_{\frac{-nq_0^{(j)}}{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}}} \Phi_j(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

which is equivalent to wavelet system. For more technical details about frames associated with extended affine group, we refer to [40].

The following result gives the existence of wavelet frame associated with the extended affine group.

*Theorem 4.1.* Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1, the sequence  $\{\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{c,d}\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}}$  is a wavelet frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with bounds  $\alpha_o, \beta_o$  if and only if

$$\alpha_o \leq \lambda \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{e^{lq_0^{(j)}}} \left| \widehat{\Phi}_j \left( \frac{x}{e^{lq_0^{(j)}}} \right) \right|^2 \leq \beta_o \text{ for almost all } \gamma \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Proof.* For all  $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , we have

$$D_{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}} T_{\frac{-nq_0^{(j)}}{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}}} \Phi_j = T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} D_{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}} \Phi_j. \quad (4.2)$$

Using (4.1) and (4.2), for  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | \Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{c,d} \rangle|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | D_{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}} T_{\frac{-nq_0^{(j)}}{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}}} \Phi_j(x) \rangle|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} D_{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}} \Phi_j \rangle|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\{\Phi_{(n,l,j)}^{c,d}\}_{j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}, n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  if and only if the  $\{T_{-nq_0^{(j)}} D_{e^{-lq_0^{(j)}}} \Phi_j\}_{j \in \{1,2,\dots,N\}, n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ . The result now directly follows from Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

## 5. THE CANONICAL DUAL OF NONSTATIONARY FRAMES OF TRANSLATES

Recently, the structure of the canonical dual of different types of frames studied by many authors, see [6, 10, 37] and references therein. Chui and Shi showed in [6] that the canonical dual of a wavelet frame for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  need not have a wavelet structure. The authors of [10] proved that the canonical dual of a discrete wavelet frame for the unitary space  $\mathbb{C}^N$  has the same structure. Further, the canonical dual of a discrete Gabor frame has the same structure, see Proposition 6.1 of [37]. In this section, we show that the canonical dual of nonstationary frames of translates has the same structure.

*Theorem 5.1.* Let  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a nonstationary frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  with frame operator  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then, the canonical dual frame of  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ .

*Proof.* First we show that frame operator  $\mathcal{S}$  commutes with translation operator. For any  $n', l' \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\psi_{l'} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n'q^{(l')}} \mathcal{S} \psi_{l'} &= T_{n'q^{(l')}} \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \psi_{l'} | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \psi_{l'} | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{n'q^{(l')}} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \psi_{l'} | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{n'q^{(l')} + nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \psi_{l'} | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \\ &= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \psi_{l'} | T_{-n'q^{(l')}} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle T_{-n'q^{(l)}} \psi_{l'} | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \\
&= \mathcal{S} T_{-n'q^{(l)}} \psi_{l'}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the frame operator  $\mathcal{S}$  commutes with translation operator.

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l &= (T_{nq^{(l)}}^{-1} \mathcal{S})^{-1} \phi_l \\
&= (T_{-nq^{(l)}} \mathcal{S})^{-1} \phi_l \\
&= (\mathcal{S} T_{-nq^{(l)}})^{-1} \phi_l \\
&= T_{-nq^{(l)}} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \phi_l, \quad n, l \in \mathbb{Z}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the canonical dual frame of  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \mathcal{S}^{-1} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 6. NONSTATIONARY FRAMES AND RIESZ BASES OF TRANSLATES

In [3], Casazza and Christensen introduced a new method to approximate the inverse of the frame operator using finite subsets of the frame. In their study, they also consider Gabor frames and frames consisting of translates of a single function. In this section, we consider the technique given in [3] for nonstationary frames of translates. Consider a nonstationary frames of translates  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with frame operator  $\mathcal{S}$ , where  $q^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We recall that every finite collection of vectors in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  is a frame for its span, see [4]. Then, the family  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1, l \in \mathbb{F}_2}$  is a frame for  $\mathcal{V} = \text{span}\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1, l \in \mathbb{F}_2}$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_1 = \{-s, \dots, s\}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{-t, \dots, t\}$  for  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote its frame operator by  $\mathcal{S}_{s,t}$ . Then,  $\mathcal{S}_{s,t}: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{s,t} f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{F}_2} \langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l,$$

and its frame decomposition is given by  $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{F}_2} \langle f | \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{V}$ . Since a nonstationary frames of translates are multivariate frames, it would be interesting to approximate the inverse of frame operator (of a multivariate frame) in some sense. In this direction, the following result gives a necessary and sufficient condition for approximation of inverse of the frame operator of nonstationary frames of translates in the weak sense.

*Theorem 6.1.* For each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $q^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . Suppose  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a nonstationary frame of translates for  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  with upper bound  $\beta$ . Then, for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and for all  $n, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$\langle f | \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \rightarrow \langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \text{ as } s, t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.1)$$

if and only if, for all  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\|T_{iq^{(j)}} \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} \phi_j\| = \|\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} \phi_j\| \leq c_{i,j} \text{ for all } s \geq i, t \geq j. \quad (6.2)$$

*Proof.* Suppose first that (6.2) holds. For  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  define functions  $\psi_{s,t}$  as follows.

$$\psi_{s,t} = \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j - \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j.$$

We need to prove that for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\langle f | \psi_{s,t} \rangle \rightarrow 0$  as  $s, t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Note that for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$\mathcal{S} f = \sum_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l$$

$$= \mathcal{S}_{s,t} f + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} \langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l. \quad (6.3)$$

We will use this to obtain an alternative formula for  $\psi_{s,t}$ .

Since

$$\mathcal{S}\psi_{s,t} = \mathcal{S}\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j - T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j.$$

Therefore, using Eq. (6.3) on  $\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}\psi_{s,t} &= \mathcal{S}_{s,t} \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \\ &+ \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l - T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for  $s \geq i$  and  $t \geq j$ ,

$$\psi_{s,t} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l.$$

Therefore, for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f | \psi_{s,t} \rangle|^2 &= \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \right|^2 \\ &\leq 2 \left( \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \right|^2 \right. \\ &+ \left. \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle \right|^2 \right). \quad (6.4) \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwartz' inequality in (6.4), we compute

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle f | \psi_{s,t} \rangle|^2 &\leq 2 \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} |\langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 + \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2\beta \|\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j\|^2 \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &= 2\beta \|T_{iq^{(j)}} \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} \phi_j\|^2 \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &= 2\beta \|\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} \phi_j\|^2 \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle f | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \right) \\ &\leq 2\beta c_{i,j}^2 \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} |\langle \mathcal{S}^{-1} f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle \mathcal{S}^{-1} f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \right). \quad (6.5) \end{aligned}$$



Since  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a frame, we have

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_2} |\langle \mathcal{S}^{-1} f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}} |\langle \mathcal{S}^{-1} f | T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s, t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.6)$$

From (6.5) and (6.6), we conclude that

$$\langle f | \psi_{s,t} \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s, t \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

To prove the converse, assume that (6.1) holds. For any  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the functionals

$$\begin{aligned} F_{s,t} &: L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\ F_{s,t} f &= \langle f | \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j \rangle, \quad s \geq i, t \geq j. \end{aligned}$$

Each  $F_{s,t}$  is bounded and linear. By condition (6.1), the family of functionals  $\{F_{s,t}\}_{s \geq i, t \geq j}$  is pointwise convergent. By invoking the Principal of Uniform Boundedness, the sequence  $\{\|F_{s,t}\|\}_{s \geq i, t \geq j}$  is bounded. Therefore, there is constant  $c_{i,j} > 0$  such that

$$c_{i,j} \geq \|F_{s,t}\| = \|\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j\| = \|T_{iq^{(j)}} \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} \phi_j\| = \|\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} \phi_j\| \quad \text{for all } s \geq i, t \geq j.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Corollary 6.2.* Assume that  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz frame. Then, (6.1) holds.

*Proof.* Directly follows from Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 6.1.  $\square$

For a nonstationary frame of translates  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , Theorem 6.2 gives the argument showing that (6.1) is not satisfied unless  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis.

*Theorem 6.3.* For each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let  $q^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . A nonstationary frame of translates  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  is a Riesz basis of the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  if and only if  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is linearly independent and (6.1) holds.

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis. Then, by Remark 2.5,  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is linearly independent. By Corollary 6.2,  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  also satisfy (6.1).

Conversely, assume that  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is linearly independent and (6.1) holds. Let  $s, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . By linear independence of  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , we can say that  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1, l \in \mathbb{F}_2}$  is (Riesz) basis for  $\mathcal{V} = \text{span}\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1, l \in \mathbb{F}_2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{S}_{s,t}$  be its associated frame operator. Then, dual basis of  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1, l \in \mathbb{F}_2}$  is of the form  $\{\mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l\}_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1, l \in \mathbb{F}_2}$ . Thus, every  $f \in \mathcal{V}$  can be expressed (uniquely) as

$$f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{F}_1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{F}_2} \langle f | \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l \rangle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l,$$

and

$$\langle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l | \mathcal{S}_{s,t}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ni} \delta_{lj} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = i, l = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for  $n, i \in \mathbb{F}_1$  and  $l, j \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . Letting  $s, t \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (6.1), for  $n, l, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we obtain

$$\langle T_{nq^{(l)}} \phi_l | \mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j \rangle = \delta_{ni} \delta_{lj} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = i, l = j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  has a biorthogonal sequence  $\{\mathcal{S}^{-1} T_{iq^{(j)}} \phi_j\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Hence, by Theorem 2.4, the sequence  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a Riesz basis for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .  $\square$

## 7. LINEAR INDEPENDENCE OF NONSTATIONARY SEQUENCES OF TRANSLATES

In this section, we discuss linear independence of a nonstationary sequence of translates  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ , where  $q^{(l)} \in \mathbb{R}^+$  for each  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Christensen and Hasannasab in [5] proved an equivalent criteria for a sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in a separable Hilbert space to be linearly independent in terms of a linear operator on  $\text{span}\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that iterated action of that operator on an element  $f_1$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  gives the sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . They proved the following result.

*Proposition 7.1.* [5, Proposition 2.3] *Consider any sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  for which  $\text{span}\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is infinite dimensional. Then, the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is linearly independent.
- (ii) There exists a linear operator  $L: \text{span}\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty = \{L^n f_1\}_{n=0}^\infty$ .

Now we give an equivalent criteria of a nonstationary sequence  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  to be linearly independent in terms of a linear operator defined on  $\text{span}\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that iterative action of that operator on  $T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l$  gives the whole sequence  $|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle$  when  $\text{span}\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{N}}$  is infinite dimensional. This extends Proposition 7.1 to nonstationary sequences of translates in the space  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$ .

*Theorem 7.2.* *Suppose  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is a nonstationary sequence of translates in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that  $\text{span}\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{N}}$  is infinite dimensional. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is linearly independent.
- (ii) There exists a linear operator  $L: \text{span}\{|T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that

$$\{|L^{m,k} T_{q^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle\}_{m,k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{|T_{(m+1)q^{(k+1)}}\phi_{k+1}\rangle\}_{m,k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

*Proof.* For convenience, write  $|\phi_{n,l}\rangle = |T_{nq^{(l)}}\phi_l\rangle$ ,  $n, l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(i)  $\implies$  (ii): Assume that  $\{|\phi_{n,l}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is linearly independent. Hence, every subset of  $\{|\phi_{n,l}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is also linearly independent. For a fixed  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , define

$$L^{1,0}\phi_{n,l} = \phi_{n+1,l}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Extend  $L^{1,0}$  to an operator on  $\text{span}\{|\phi_{n,l}\rangle\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  linearly. Then, for a fixed  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\{|L^{m,0}\phi_{1,l}\rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{|\phi_{m+1,l}\rangle\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}. \quad (7.1)$$

Similarly, for fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have

$$\{|L^{0,k}\phi_{n,1}\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{|\phi_{n,k+1}\rangle\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}. \quad (7.2)$$

Using (7.1) and (7.2), there exists a linear operator on  $\text{span}\{|\phi_{n,l}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that

$$\{|L^{m,k}\phi_{1,1}\rangle\}_{m,k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{|\phi_{m+1,k+1}\rangle\}_{m,k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Thus, (i) implies (ii).

(ii)  $\implies$  (i): By (ii) there exists a linear operator  $L: \text{span}\{|\phi_{n,l}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{N}} \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}, dx)$  such that

$$\{|L^{m,k}\phi_{1,1}\rangle\}_{m,k \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{|\phi_{m+1,k+1}\rangle\}_{m,k \in \mathbb{Z}}.$$

Assume on the contrary that  $\{|\phi_{n,l}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is linearly dependent. Then, there exist  $R, S > 0$  such that

$$\sum_{n=-R}^R \sum_{l=-S}^S c_{n,l} \phi_{n,l} = 0$$

for some coefficients  $\{c_{n,l}\}_{n \in \{-R, \dots, R\}, l \in \{-S, \dots, S\}}$ . Without loss of generality, assume that  $c_{R,S} \neq 0$ . Then, we can write

$$\phi_{R,S} = \sum_{n=-R}^{R-1} \sum_{l=-S}^{S-1} c'_{n,l} \phi_{n,l} + \sum_{n=-R}^{R-1} c'_{n,S} \phi_{n,S} + \sum_{l=-S}^{S-1} c'_{R,l} \phi_{R,l} \quad (7.3)$$

for some coefficients  $c'_{n,l}$ .

Write

$$V := \text{span} \left[ \left\{ \phi_{n,l} \right\}_{\substack{n \in \{-R, \dots, R-1\}, \\ l \in \{-S, \dots, S-1\}}}, \bigcup \left\{ \phi_{n,S} \right\}_{n \in \{-R, \dots, R-1\}} \bigcup \left\{ \phi_{R,l} \right\}_{l \in \{-S, \dots, S-1\}} \right].$$

Then,  $\phi_{R,S} \in V$ . Now, for any  $v \in V$ , we have

$$L^{1,0}v = L^{1,0} \left( \sum_{n=-R}^{R-1} \sum_{l=-S}^{S-1} d_{n,l} \phi_{n,l} + \sum_{n=-R}^{R-1} d'_{n,S} \phi_{n,S} + \sum_{l=-S}^{S-1} d''_{R,l} \phi_{R,l} \right)$$

for some coefficients  $d_{n,l}$ ,  $d'_{n,S}$  and  $d''_{R,l}$ . Therefore, for any  $v \in V$ , we have

$$L^{1,0}v = \sum_{n=-R+1}^R \sum_{l=-S}^{S-1} d_{n,l} \phi_{n,l} + \sum_{n=-R+1}^R d'_{n,S} \phi_{n,S} + \sum_{l=-S}^{S-1} d''_{R,l} \phi_{R,l},$$

Thus,  $L^{1,0}v \in V$ . This implies that  $V$  is invariant under  $L^{1,0}$ . Therefore,  $L^{m,0}v \in V$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Similarly,  $L^{0,k}v \in V$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Now for any  $v \in V$  and for any  $m, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} L^{m,k}v &= L^{m,0}(L^{0,k}v) \\ &= L^{m,0}(v') \in V \quad (\text{where } v' = L^{0,k}v \in V). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (ii) implies that  $V = \text{span} \left\{ \left\{ \phi_{n,l} \right\}_{\substack{n \in \{-R, \dots, \infty\}, \\ l \in \{-S, \dots, \infty\}}} \right\}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence,  $\{|\phi_{n,l}\rangle\}_{n,l \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is linearly independent. This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 7.3.* Proposition 7.1 is a particular case of Theorem 7.2. Indeed, for a given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and for  $q^{(l)} = c = \text{constant}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $nq^{(l)} = a$ ,  $k = 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Then,  $\{f_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}} := \{T_a \phi_l\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$  in Proposition 7.1.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Aldroubi and A. Petrosyan, Dynamical sampling and systems from iterative actions of operators. In: *Frames and Other Bases in Abstract and Function Spaces*, 15–26, Birkhäuser, Cham, 2017.
- [2] S. T. Ali, J. P. Antonie and J. P. Gazeau, Continuous frames in Hilbert spaces, *Ann. Phys.*, 222 (1) (1993), 1–37.
- [3] P. G. Casazza and O. Christensen, Approximation of the inverse frame operator and applications to Gabor frames, *J. Approx. Theory*, 103 (2) (2000), 338–356.
- [4] O. Christensen, *An Introduction to Frames and Riesz Bases* (2nd Edn.), Birkhäuser, Cham, 2016.
- [5] O. Christensen and M. Hasannasab, Frame properties of systems arising via iterated actions of operators, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 46 (3) (2019), 664–673.
- [6] C. Chui, X. Shi, Inequalities of Littlewood-Paley type for frames and wavelets, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 24 (1993), 263–277.
- [7] S. Dahlke, M. Fornasier, H. Rauhut, G. Steidl and G. Teschke, Generalized coorbit theory, Banach frames, and the relation to  $\alpha$ -modulation spaces, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 96(2) (2008), 464–506.
- [8] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [9] I. Daubechies, A. Grossman and Y. Meyer, Painless nonorthogonal expansions, *J. Math. Phys.*, 27 (5) (1986), 1271–1283.
- [10] Deepshikha and L. K. Vashisht, Necessary and sufficient conditions for discrete wavelet frames in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ , *J. Geom. Phys.*, 117 (2017), 134–143.
- [11] Deepshikha and L. K. Vashisht, On weaving frames, *Houston J. Math.*, 44 (3) (2018), 887–915.

- [12] Deepshikha and L. K. Vashisht, Vector-valued (Super) weaving frames, *J. Geom. Phys.*, 134 (2018), 48–57.
- [13] Dao-Xin Ding, Generalized continuous frames constructed by using an iterated function system, *J. Geom. Phys.*, 61 (2011) 1045–1050.
- [14] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, A class of nonharmonic Fourier series, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 72 (1952), 341–366.
- [15] D. Gabor, Theory of communication, *J. Inst. Elect. Eng.*, 93 (1946), 429–457.
- [16] M. A. de Gosson, *Symplectic Methods in Harmonic Analysis and in Mathematical Physics*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2011.
- [17] M. A. de Gosson, Hamiltonian deformations of Gabor frames: first steps, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.*, 38 (2) (2015), 196–221.
- [18] M. A. de Gosson, K. Gröchenig, J. L. Romero, Stability of Gabor frames under small time Hamiltonian evolutions, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 106 (6) (2016), 799–809.
- [19] M. A. de Gosson, The canonical group of transformations of a Weyl-Heisenberg frame; applications to Gaussian and Hermitian frames, *J. Geom. Phys.*, 114 (2017), 375–383.
- [20] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-frequency Analysis*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [21] B. Han, *Framelets and Wavelets: Algorithms, Analysis, and Applications*, Birkhäuser, Cham, 2017.
- [22] C. Heil and D. F. Walnut, Continuous and discrete wavelet transforms, *SIAM Rev.*, 31 (4) (1989), 628–666.
- [23] C. Heil, *A Basis Theory Primer (Expanded edition)*, Birkhäuser, New York, 2011.
- [24] E. Hernandez and G. Weiss, *A First Course on Wavelets*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1996.
- [25] A. J. E. M. Janssen, The duality condition for Weyl-Heisenberg frames. In: *Gabor Analysis and Algorithms*, 33–84, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 1998.
- [26] D. Jindal and L. K. Vashisht, Frames with several generators associated with Weyl-Heisenberg group and extended affine group, *Bull. Malay. Math. Soc.* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-022-01337-6>.
- [27] P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Analysis and Probability: Wavelets, Signals, Fractals*, GTM (234), Springer, New York, 2006.
- [28] Jyoti and L. K. Vashisht,  $\mathcal{K}$ -Matrix-valued wave packet frames in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^{s \times r})$ , *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 21 (2018) (3), Art 21, 19 pp.
- [29] Jyoti and L. K. Vashisht, On matrix-valued wave packet frames in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{C}^{s \times r})$ , *Anal. Math. Phys.*, 10 (2020) (4), Art 66, 24pp.
- [30] Jyoti and L. K. Vashisht, On Hilbert-Schmidt frames for operators and Riesz bases, *Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom.*, to appear.
- [31] A. Krivoshein, V. Protasov and M. Skopina, *Multivariate Wavelet Frames*, Springer, 2016.
- [32] J. Lopez and D. Han, Discrete gabor frames in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, (141) (2013), 3839–3851.
- [33] G. W. Mackey, Imprimitivity for representations of locally compact groups I, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 35 (1949), 537–545.
- [34] Y. Meyer, *Wavelets and Operators*, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [35] J. von Neumann, *Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983.
- [36] J. von Neumann, Die Eindeutigkeit der Schrödingerschen Operatoren, *Math. Ann.*, 104 (1931), 570–578.
- [37] G. E. Pfander, Gabor frames in finite dimensions, in *Finite Frames: Theory and Applications*, 193–239, Birkhäuser, New York, 2012.
- [38] A. Ron and Z. Shen, Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , *Canad. J. Math.*, 47 (5) (1995), 1051–1094.
- [39] M. H. Stone, Linear Transformations in Hilbert Space, III, Operational Methods and Group Theory, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 16 (2), (1930), 172–175.
- [40] E. M. Subag, E. M. Baruch, J. L. Birman and A. Mann, Gabor analysis as contraction of wavelets analysis, *J. Math. Phys.*, 58 (8) (2017), 081702, 15 pp.
- [41] B. Torresani, Wavelets associated with representations of the affine Weyl-Heisenberg group, *J. Math. Phys.*, 32 (5) (1991), 1273–1279.
- [42] B. Torresani, Time–frequency representations: wavelet packets and optimal decomposition. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Theor.*, 56 (2) (1992), 215–234.
- [43] G. Weiss and E. N. Wilson, The Mathematical Theory of Wavelets. In: *Twentieth century harmonic analyses—a celebration*, 329–366, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001.
- [44] The Wutam Consortium: Basic properties of wavelets, *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 4 (1998), 575–594.
- [45] L. K. Vashisht and Deepshikha, Weaving properties of generalized continuous frames generated by an iterated function system, *J. Geom. Phys.*, 110 (2016), 282–295.

- [46] L. K. Vashisht and H. K. Malhotra, Discrete vector-valued nonuniform Gabor frames, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 178 (2022), Paper No. 103145, 34 pp.
- [47] P. Wojtaszczyk, *A Mathematical Introduction to Wavelets*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1997.
- [48] R. M. Young, *An Introduction to Nonharmonic Fourier Series*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

**Divya Jindal**, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI-110007, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [divyajindal193@gmail.com](mailto:divyajindal193@gmail.com)

**Lalit Kumar Vashisht**, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI, DELHI-110007, INDIA.  
*Email address:* [lalitkvashisht@gmail.com](mailto:lalitkvashisht@gmail.com)