

A Gerby Deformation of Complex Tori and the Homological Mirror Symmetry

Kazushi Kobayashi*

Abstract

Let (X, \check{X}) be a mirror pair of a complex torus X and its mirror partner \check{X} . This mirror pair is described as the trivial special Lagrangian torus fibrations $X \rightarrow B$ and $\check{X} \rightarrow B$ on the same base space B by SYZ construction. Then, we can associate a holomorphic line bundle $E(s, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow X$ to a pair (s, \mathcal{L}) of a Lagrangian section s of $\check{X} \rightarrow B$ and a unitary local system \mathcal{L} along it. In this paper, we first construct the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}}$ of X by a certain flat gerbe \mathcal{G} and its mirror partner $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}}$ from the mirror pair (X, \check{X}) , and discuss deformations of objects $E(s, \mathcal{L})$ and (s, \mathcal{L}) over the deformed mirror pair $(X_{\mathcal{G}}, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}})$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Motivation	2
1.2	Related works	3
1.3	Main results and the plan of this paper	4
2	A mirror pair (X^n, \check{X}^n)	6
3	A gerby deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of X^n and its mirror partner $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$	9
4	Holomorphic line bundles and Lagrangian submanifolds with unitary local systems	14
5	Deformations of objects	20
6	Deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections and special Lagrangian submanifolds	26

*Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560-0043, Japan. E-mail : k-kobayashi@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp. 2020 Mathematics Subject Classification : 14J33, 53C08, 53D37 (primary), 14F08, 53D18 (secondary). Keywords : torus, gerbe, homological mirror symmetry.

1 Introduction

1.1 Motivation

Let X^n be an n -dimensional complex torus and \check{X}^n be its mirror partner. For this mirror pair (X^n, \check{X}^n) , the homological mirror symmetry [24] states the existence of an equivalence

$$D^b(\text{Coh}(X^n)) \cong \text{Tr}(\text{Fuk}(\check{X}^n))$$

as triangulated categories, where $D^b(\text{Coh}(X^n))$ is the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves over X^n and $\text{Tr}(\text{Fuk}(\check{X}^n))$ is the enhanced triangulated category of the Fukaya category $\text{Fuk}(\check{X}^n)$ over \check{X}^n [11] in the sense of Bondal-Kapranov-Kontsevich construction [7, 24]. In particular, when we regard the mirror pair (X^n, \check{X}^n) as the trivial special Lagrangian torus fibrations $X^n \rightarrow B$ and $\check{X}^n \rightarrow B$ on the same base space B by SYZ construction [32], we can associate a holomorphic line bundle $E(s, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow X^n$ with an integrable connection $\nabla_{(s, \mathcal{L})}$ (we sometimes denote this object by $(E(s, \mathcal{L}), \nabla_{(s, \mathcal{L})})$ for simplicity) to each pair (s, \mathcal{L}) of a Lagrangian section s of $\check{X}^n \rightarrow B$ and a unitary local system \mathcal{L} along it as objects in the respective categories.

In this paper, we first discuss the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}}^n$ of X^n by a certain flat gerbe \mathcal{G} and the corresponding mirror dual deformation $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^n$ of \check{X}^n . Moreover, we also consider deformations of objects in the respective categories associated to the deformation from (X^n, \check{X}^n) to $(X_{\mathcal{G}}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}}^n)$. In this section, we denote the deformed objects obtained from $(E(s, \mathcal{L}), \nabla_{(s, \mathcal{L})})$ and (s, \mathcal{L}) by $(E(s^{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}}), \nabla_{(s^{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}})})$ and $(s^{\mathcal{G}}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}})$, respectively.

Unfortunately, in this paper, we can not consider “all” deformations $X_{\mathcal{G}}^n$ of X^n by “arbitrary” gerbes \mathcal{G} , and we actually focus on certain flat gerbes only as such gerbes \mathcal{G} here. Below, we explain the reason why we only focus on such cases.

Let us consider the case of elliptic curves, i.e., take a mirror pair (X^1, \check{X}^1) of elliptic curves. Although we only treat the case of holomorphic line bundles in this paper, we can actually associate a stable vector bundle $\mathcal{E}(r, d) \rightarrow X^1$ such that the rank of $\mathcal{E}(r, d)$ is r and the degree of $\mathcal{E}(r, d)$ is d (with an integrable connection) to each pair $(s_{\frac{d}{r}}, \mathcal{L})$ of an affine Lagrangian multi section $s_{\frac{d}{r}}$ whose slope is $\frac{d}{r}$ of $\check{X}^1 \rightarrow B$ and a unitary local system \mathcal{L} along it, where $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ are relatively prime. Then, as mentioned in [28], we can consider the $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ -action (up to shifts) over $D^b(\text{Coh}(X^1))$ and each pair $(r, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ is transformed under this action according to the standard $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ -action on \mathbb{Z}^2 . On the other hand, as the counterpart in the symplectic geometry side, we can similarly discuss the $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ -action on \check{X}^1 via the homological mirror symmetry for (X^1, \check{X}^1) (see also section 6 in [21]). More precisely, for a given element $g \in SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$, we can define a symplectomorphism

$$\varphi_g : \check{X}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{X}^1$$

by

$$\varphi_g(\check{x}) := g\check{x},$$

where \check{x} is the local coordinate system of $\check{X}^1 \approx (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^2$, and note that this φ_g is an automorphism on \check{X}^1 . This φ_g further induces autoequivalences (up to shifts) over $Fuk(\check{X}^1)$ and $Tr(Fuk(\check{X}^1))$:

$$(s_{\frac{d}{r}}, \mathcal{L}) \mapsto (\varphi_g(s_{\frac{d}{r}}), (\varphi_g^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}).$$

In particular, it is well known that $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the two elements

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

More explicitly, the element $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to the autoequivalence over $D^b(Coh(X^1))$ which is induced from the tensor product $\otimes \mathcal{E}(1, 1)$ (of course $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ($d \in \mathbb{Z}$) corresponds to the autoequivalence over $D^b(Coh(X^1))$ which is induced from the tensor product $\otimes \mathcal{E}(1, d)$), and the element $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ corresponds to Fourier-Mukai transform over $D^b(Coh(X^1))$ (the dual elliptic curve of X^1 is X^1 itself).

In this paper, as a generalization of the map $\varphi_g : \check{X}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{X}^1$ which is defined by an element $g := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ d & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ ($d \in \mathbb{Z}$) to the higher dimensional case, in the symplectic geometry side, we consider the diffeomorphism φ_{g_τ} on \check{X}^n which is defined by an element

$$g_\tau := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ \tau & I_n \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2n; \mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$ and I_n is the identity matrix of order n . Note that this φ_{g_τ} no longer preserves the symplectic structure on \check{X}^n in general, namely, the triangulated functor over $Tr(Fuk(\check{X}^n))$ induced from φ_{g_τ} does not necessarily become an autoequivalence over $Tr(Fuk(\check{X}^n))$. Then, we generally need to consider the deformation of X^n by the gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ depending on $\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$, i.e., the gerby deformed n -dimensional complex torus $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ as the counterpart in the complex geometry side (this gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ is actually flat). This is the gerby deformation itself which is discussed in this paper.

1.2 Related works

One of the most typical examples of mirror pairs is a pair of tori, so there are a lot of studies of the homological mirror symmetry for them. Historically, first, the homological mirror symmetry in the case of elliptic curves was discussed by Polishchuk and Zaslow [31]. After that, Fukaya studied the homological mirror symmetry in the case of abelian varieties as a generalization of the work by Polishchuk and Zaslow to the higher dimensional case [12] (see also [25, 30, 1]). We remark that deformed complex tori (gerby deformed complex tori and

noncommutative complex tori) and their mirror partners are not treated in those papers.

In this paper, we treat not only (usual) complex tori and mirror dual complexified symplectic tori but also (certain) gerby deformed complex tori and their mirror partners. In particular, we describe them in the framework of generalized complex geometry [17, 14]. When we regard a complex manifold as a generalized complex manifold, it is known that a gerby deformation of it is interpreted as a B-field transform of the corresponding generalized complex structure. This fact is explained in [14]. Moreover, Oren Ben-Bassat discusses the homological mirror symmetry for generalized complex manifolds under “adapted requirement” (see [3, Definition 4.2]) in [3, 4]¹. For example, the generalized complex structure induced from the complex structure over an n -dimensional complex torus whose period matrix is given by $\mathbf{i}Y$ ($\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$, $Y \in M(n; \mathbb{R})$ is positive definite) satisfies “adapted requirement”, and the generalized complex structure induced from the canonical complex structure over a (general) complex manifold also satisfies “adapted requirement”. In particular, relatively general B-field transforms of the generalized complex structure induced from the canonical complex structure over a complex manifold (B-field transforms treated in this paper are special cases of them) and the mirror dual counterparts are briefly explained in subsection 5.2 in [4] (under “adapted requirement”).

On the other hand, in this paper, we intensively discuss certain gerby deformations of complex tori and the homological mirror symmetry without “adapted requirement”. We especially investigate deformations of objects in the respective categories in detail (we study the correspondence between special Lagrangian submanifolds and their mirror dual objects, i.e., deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections in the respective deformed categories).

As an example of other related works, Fourier-Mukai partners of gerby deformed complex tori are studied in [5, 6], and there, it is verified that they are actually described as noncommutative complex tori.

1.3 Main results and the plan of this paper

Precisely speaking, the gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ mentioned in subsection 1.1 is actually the trivial gerbe with the flat connection which is locally defined by using $\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$. We first express this gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ in the sense of the Hitchin-Chatterjee’s work [9, 16] (see also [15]), and describe the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of X^n by \mathcal{G}_τ and its mirror partner $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ via generalized complex geometry. Next, we give the deformation of an object $(E(s, \mathcal{L}), \nabla_{(s, \mathcal{L})})$ as the object $(E(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}), \nabla_{(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})})$ consisting of the twisted holomorphic line bundle $E(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}) \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ and the twisted integrable connection $\nabla_{(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})}$ on $E(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})$ in the complex geometry side. Similarly, we also give the deformation of a pair (s, \mathcal{L}) as the pair $(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})$ of the Lagrangian section $s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ of $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ and the unitary local system $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ along it in the setting of the SYZ trivial special Lagrangian torus

¹Note that the definition of the mirror transform used in [3, 4] differs from the definition employed in this paper.

fibration $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ on a base space $B_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$. In particular, we can actually check that these deformed objects $(E(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}), \nabla_{(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})})$ and $(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})$ are mirror dual to each other (Proposition 5.3). This result implies that our deformations discussed in this paper seem to be natural from the viewpoint of the homological mirror symmetry for $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$.

On the other hand, the study of special Lagrangian submanifolds is one of the central topics in the symplectic geometry, and it is expected that mirror dual objects corresponding to special Lagrangian submanifolds in the complex geometry side are deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections [26, 27]. Here, we give a rough explanation of deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections. Let M be a Kähler manifold and $L \rightarrow M$ be a holomorphic line bundle with a Hermitian metric h . For this holomorphic line bundle L , we can consider the Hermitian connection ∇_h on L and its curvature form Ω_h . In general, if the curvature form Ω_h satisfies the so-called deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation (see the explanations of B-cycles in section 2 in [26]), then we call the Hermitian connection ∇_h a deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection on L . In particular, it is conjectured the following : the existence of a Hermitian metric h on L satisfying the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation is equivalent to that L is stable in the sense of Bridgeland as an object in $D^b(Coh(M))$ [8, 10]. Thus, deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections and special Lagrangian submanifolds in a mirror partner \check{M} of M are important from the viewpoint of the study of the stability conditions over $D^b(Coh(M))$ and $Tr(Fuk(\check{M}))$. In this paper, we also prove that the twisted integrable connection $\nabla_{(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})}$ defines a deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection on the twisted holomorphic line bundle $E(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}) \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ if and only if the Lagrangian submanifold $s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ (this precisely means that the graph of $s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$) becomes a special Lagrangian submanifold in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$, and this result is given in Theorem 6.4.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we construct a mirror partner \check{X}^n of a given n -dimensional complex torus X^n via generalized complex geometry. In section 3, we first define the flat gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ , and after that, we consider the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of X^n by \mathcal{G}_τ and its mirror partner $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$. In section 4, we explain the relation between holomorphic line bundles with integrable connections $(E(s, \mathcal{L}), \nabla_{(s, \mathcal{L})})$ and pairs (s, \mathcal{L}) of Lagrangian sections s of $\check{X}^n \rightarrow B$ with unitary local systems \mathcal{L} along them. In section 5, we discuss deformations of objects $(E(s, \mathcal{L}), \nabla_{(s, \mathcal{L})})$ and (s, \mathcal{L}) over $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$. In particular, for given mirror dual objects $(E(s, \mathcal{L}), \nabla_{(s, \mathcal{L})})$ and (s, \mathcal{L}) , we confirm that the deformations of them are also mirror dual to each other. This result is given in Proposition 5.3. In section 6, for deformed objects $(E(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}), \nabla_{(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})})$ and $(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})$, we prove that $\nabla_{(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})}$ defines a deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection on $E(s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})$ if and only if the Lagrangian submanifold as the graph of $s^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ becomes a special Lagrangian submanifold in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$. This result is given in Theorem 6.4.

2 A mirror pair (X^n, \check{X}^n)

Let T be a complex matrix of order $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\text{Im}T$ is positive definite and $\det T \neq 0^2$. For simplicity, we denote the n -dimensional complex torus $\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n$ by X^n :

$$X^n := \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n.$$

In this section, we define a mirror partner \check{X}^n of X^n via the framework of generalized complex geometry ([14, 3, 4] etc.). Throughout this section, for a smooth manifold M and a smooth vector bundle E , TM , T^*M and $\Gamma(E)$ denote the tangent bundle on M , the cotangent bundle on M and the space of smooth sections of E , respectively.

First, let us prepare notations. We sometimes regard X^n as a $2n$ -dimensional real torus $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$. We fix an $\epsilon > 0$ small enough and let

$$O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in X^n \mid \frac{l_j - 1}{3} - \epsilon < x_j < \frac{l_j}{3} + \epsilon, \right. \\ \left. \frac{m_k - 1}{3} - \epsilon < y_k < \frac{m_k}{3} + \epsilon, j, k = 1, \cdots, n \right\}$$

be subsets in X^n , where $l_j, m_k = 1, 2, 3$,

$$x := (x_1, \cdots, x_n)^t, y := (y_1, \cdots, y_n)^t,$$

and we identify $x_i \sim x_i + 1$, $y_i \sim y_i + 1$ for each $i = 1, \cdots, n$. If necessary, we denote

$$O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=m) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=l) \cdots l_n}$$

instead of $O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n}$ in order to specify the values $l_j = l$, $m_k = m$. Then, $\{O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n}\}_{l_j, m_k=1,2,3}$ is an open cover of X^n , and we define the local coordinates of $O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n}$ by

$$(x_1, \cdots, x_n, y_1, \cdots, y_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}.$$

Moreover, we locally express the complex coordinates

$$z := (z_1, \cdots, z_n)^t$$

of X^n by

$$z = x + Ty.$$

In the above situation, we consider a linear map

$$\mathcal{I}_J : \Gamma(TX^n \oplus T^*X^n) \rightarrow \Gamma(TX^n \oplus T^*X^n)$$

²Although we do not need to assume the condition $\det T \neq 0$ when we generally define an n -dimensional complex torus $X^n = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n$, in our setting described below, the mirror partner \check{X}^n of X^n does not exist if $\det T = 0$. However, we can avoid this problem and discuss the homological mirror symmetry even if $\det T = 0$ by modifying the definition of the mirror partner of X^n and a class of objects which we treat. This fact is discussed in [22].

which is expressed locally as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_J \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \\ & \quad \begin{pmatrix} -XY^{-1} & -Y - XY^{-1}X & O & O \\ Y^{-1} & Y^{-1}X & O & O \\ O & O & (Y^{-1})^t X^t & -(Y^{-1})^t \\ O & O & Y^t + X^t(Y^{-1})^t X^t & -X^t(Y^{-1})^t \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where

$$X := \operatorname{Re}T, \quad Y := \operatorname{Im}T,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &:= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \right)^t, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} \right)^t, \\ dx &:= (dx_1, \dots, dx_n)^t, \quad dy := (dy_1, \dots, dy_n)^t. \end{aligned}$$

We can check that this linear map \mathcal{I}_J defines a generalized complex structure over X^n .

Let us define a mirror partner \check{X}^n of the n -dimensional complex torus X^n . In general, for a $2n$ -dimensional real torus T^{2n} equipped with a generalized complex structure \mathcal{I} over T^{2n} , its mirror dual generalized complex structure $\check{\mathcal{I}}$ over T^{2n} is defined by

$$\check{\mathcal{I}} := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & O & O & I_n \\ O & O & I_n & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & O & O & I_n \\ O & O & I_n & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of order n (cf. [3, 4, 19, 22]). According to the definition (2), we can calculate the mirror dual generalized complex structure $\check{\mathcal{I}}_J$ of \mathcal{I}_J locally as

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{\mathcal{I}}_J \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{x}}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{y}}, d\tilde{x}^t, d\tilde{y}^t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{x}}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{y}}, d\tilde{x}^t, d\tilde{y}^t \right) \\ & \quad \begin{pmatrix} -XY^{-1} & O & O & -Y - XY^{-1}X \\ O & -X^t(Y^{-1})^t & Y^t + X^t(Y^{-1})^t X^t & O \\ O & -(Y^{-1})^t & (Y^{-1})^t X^t & O \\ Y^{-1} & O & O & Y^{-1}X \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where

$$\tilde{x} := (x^1, \dots, x^n)^t, \quad \tilde{y} := (y^1, \dots, y^n)^t$$

are the local coordinates of a $2n$ -dimensional real torus $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n} \approx (\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{x}} &:= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \right)^t, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{y}} := \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^n} \right)^t, \\ d\tilde{x} &:= (dx^1, \dots, dx^n)^t, \quad d\tilde{y} := (dy^1, \dots, dy^n)^t. \end{aligned}$$

We can actually rewrite the representation matrix in (3) to

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & -\operatorname{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t) & I_n & O \\ (\operatorname{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t))^t & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O & -((\operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t))^{-1})^t \\ O & O & (\operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t))^{-1} & O \\ O & -\operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t) & O & O \\ (\operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t))^t & O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & \operatorname{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t) & I_n & O \\ -(\operatorname{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t))^t & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

In particular, the assumption $\det T \neq 0$ implies $\det(Y + XY^{-1}X) \neq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t) &= -(Y + XY^{-1}X)^{-1} X^t (Y^{-1})^t, \\ \operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t) &= (Y + XY^{-1}X)^{-1} X^t. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, when we define a mirror partner of the n -dimensional complex torus X^n according to the definition (2), it is given by the $2n$ -dimensional real torus $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ with the complexified symplectic form

$$\tilde{\omega}^\vee := 2\pi \mathbf{i} d\tilde{x}^t (T^{-1})^t d\tilde{y}.$$

Here, $\mathbf{i} := \sqrt{-1}$ and when we decompose $\tilde{\omega}^\vee$ into

$$\tilde{\omega}^\vee = 2\pi d\tilde{x}^t \operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t) d\tilde{y} - \mathbf{i} \left\{ 2\pi d\tilde{x}^t \operatorname{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t) d\tilde{y} \right\},$$

its real part

$$\omega^\vee := 2\pi d\tilde{x}^t \operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t) d\tilde{y} \tag{4}$$

gives a symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$, and the closed 2-form

$$B^\vee := 2\pi d\tilde{x}^t \operatorname{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t) d\tilde{y} \tag{5}$$

is often called the B-field. Moreover, we define two matrices ω_{mat}^\vee and B_{mat}^\vee by

$$\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee := \operatorname{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t)$$

and

$$B_{\text{mat}}^\vee := \text{Re}(-(T^{-1})^t),$$

respectively, namely,

$$\omega^\vee = 2\pi d\check{x}^t \omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y}, \quad B^\vee = 2\pi d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y}.$$

We sometimes use these notations ω_{mat}^\vee and B_{mat}^\vee . Hereafter, for simplicity, we denote

$$\check{X}^n := (\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}, \tilde{\omega}^\vee = 2\pi \text{id}\check{x}^t (T^{-1})^t d\check{y}).$$

3 A gerby deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of X^n and its mirror partner $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$

In this section, we consider the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of X^n by a flat gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ ($\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$) and define its mirror partner $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$. In particular, we also confirm that the deformation from \check{X}^n to $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ is induced from a symplectomorphism $\varphi_{g_\tau} : \check{X}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ defined by an element $g_\tau \in SL(2n; \mathbb{Z})$ as mentioned in Introduction.

Before starting main discussions, we give a remark. Below, we only consider a period matrix T and $\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$ which satisfy the condition $\tau T \neq (\tau T)^t$ when we discuss the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of $X^n = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n$ by a flat gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ . Actually, when we focus on the case $\tau T = (\tau T)^t$, we may simply consider the autoequivalence over $D^b(\text{Coh}(X^n))$ which is induced from the tensor product $\otimes L_\tau$ by the holomorphic line bundle $L_\tau \rightarrow X^n$ depending on $\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$ (the condition $\tau T = (\tau T)^t$ implies the holomorphicity of L_τ), so we need not to consider a gerby deformation of X^n . Such a fact is mentioned in [14] for instance. Therefore, we focus on the case $\tau T \neq (\tau T)^t$ only below.

We first prepare notations. For each open set $O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n}$ in X^n , we put

$$l := (l_1 \cdots l_n), \quad m := (m_1 \cdots m_n),$$

and denote $O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n}$ by O_m^l for simplicity :

$$O_m^l := O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n}.$$

Namely, when we set

$$I := \left\{ (l; m) = (l_1 \cdots l_n; m_1 \cdots m_n) \mid l_1, \dots, l_n, m_1, \dots, m_n = 1, 2, 3 \right\},$$

$\{O_m^l\}_{(l; m) \in I}$ gives an open cover of X^n :

$$X^n = \bigcup_{(l; m) \in I} O_m^l.$$

Moreover, we denote

$$O_{mm'}^{ll'} := O_m^l \cap O_{m'}^{l'}, \quad O_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''} := O_m^l \cap O_{m'}^{l'} \cap O_{m''}^{l''}$$

for $(l; m), (l'; m'), (l''; m'') \in I$, and define a smooth complex line bundle $L_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''} \rightarrow O_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''}$ by

$$L_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''} := L_{mm'}^{ll'}|_{O_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''}} \otimes L_{m'm''}^{l'l''}|_{O_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''}} \otimes L_{m''m}^{l''l}|_{O_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''}}$$

for given three smooth complex line bundles $L_{mm'}^{ll'} \rightarrow O_{mm'}^{ll'}$, $L_{m'm''}^{l'l''} \rightarrow O_{m'm''}^{l'l''}$, $L_{m''m}^{l''l} \rightarrow O_{m''m}^{l''l}$.

Here, we recall the definition of gerbes³ in the sense of Hitchin-Chatterjee's work [9, 16] briefly (see also [15]). Roughly speaking, for a given smooth manifold M , a gerbe on M is determined by an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M and an element of $H^2(M; \mathcal{A}^*)$, where \mathcal{A}^* is the sheaf of nowhere vanishing smooth functions on M , so we need to focus on each intersection U_{ijk} of three open sets U_i, U_j, U_k if we consider gerbes according to the original definition (we use the notations $U_{ij} := U_i \cap U_j$, $U_{ijk} := U_i \cap U_j \cap U_k$, etc. in the above sense). On the other hand, Hitchin and Chatterjee propose a way of defining gerbes as families of line bundles defined on intersections U_{ij} of two open sets. Precisely speaking, this definition is given as follows.

Definition 3.1. A gerbe $\mathcal{G}(I, L, \theta)$ on a smooth manifold M is defined by the following data :

- (i) An open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M .
- (ii) A family $L := \{L_{ij}\}_{i, j \in I}$ of smooth complex line bundles $L_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}$ such that $L_{ji} = L_{ij}^*$ on each U_{ij} and $L_{ijk} := L_{ij}|_{U_{ijk}} \otimes L_{jk}|_{U_{ijk}} \otimes L_{ki}|_{U_{ijk}}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_{ijk} on each U_{ijk} , where L_{ij}^* is the dual of L_{ij} and \mathcal{O}_{ijk} is the trivial complex line bundle on U_{ijk} .
- (iii) A family $\theta := \{\theta_{ijk}\}_{i, j, k \in I}$ of smooth sections $\theta_{ijk} \in \Gamma(U_{ijk}; L_{ijk})$ such that $\theta_{ijk} = \theta_{jik}^{-1} = \theta_{ikj}^{-1} = \theta_{kji}^{-1}$ on each U_{ijk} and

$$(\delta\theta)_{ijkl} = \theta_{jkl} \otimes \theta_{ikl}^{-1} \otimes \theta_{ijl} \otimes \theta_{ijk}^{-1} = 1$$

holds on each U_{ijkl} , where $\Gamma(U_{ijk}; L_{ijk})$ denotes the space of smooth sections of L_{ijk} on U_{ijk} and δ is the derivative in the sense of Čech cohomology.

Remark 3.2. In the condition (ii) in Definition 3.1, a trivialization $L_{ijk} \cong \mathcal{O}_{ijk}$ is not being fixed. Moreover, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta^2 L)_{ijkl} \\ &= (L_{jk} \otimes L_{kl} \otimes L_{lj}) \otimes (L_{ik} \otimes L_{kl} \otimes L_{li})^{-1} \otimes (L_{ij} \otimes L_{jl} \otimes L_{li}) \otimes (L_{ij} \otimes L_{jk} \otimes L_{ki})^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{O}_{ijkl} \end{aligned}$$

holds on each U_{ijkl} since each smooth complex line bundle L_{ij} satisfies the condition $L_{ji} = L_{ij}^* = L_{ij}^{-1}$, where \mathcal{O}_{ijkl} is the trivial complex line bundle on U_{ijkl} . Hence, the condition (iii) in Definition 3.1 states that $(\delta\theta)_{ijkl}$ is the canonical trivialization 1 of $(\delta^2 L)_{ijkl} = \mathcal{O}_{ijkl}$.

A connection over a gerbe $\mathcal{G}(I, L, \theta)$ is defined as follows.

³Gerbes were originally introduced by Giraud in [13].

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{G}(I, L, \theta)$ be a gerbe on a smooth manifold M in the sense of Definition 3.1. We call a family $\nabla := \{\nabla_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$ of connections ∇_{ij} on $L_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}$ such that

$$\nabla_{ijk}\theta_{ijk} = 0$$

on each U_{ijk} a 0-connection over $\mathcal{G}(I, L, \theta)$. Here, ∇_{ijk} denotes the connection on L_{ijk} which is induced from the connections $\nabla_{ij}, \nabla_{jk}, \nabla_{ki}$:

$$\nabla_{ijk} := \nabla_{ij} + \nabla_{jk} + \nabla_{ki}.$$

In particular, note that each ∇_{ijk} in Definition 3.3 is a flat connection since ∇_{ijk} is a connection on L_{ijk} which is isomorphic to \mathcal{O}_{ijk} . Therefore, the curvature form Ω_{ij} of each connection ∇_{ij} satisfies the relation

$$\Omega_{ij} + \Omega_{jk} + \Omega_{ki} = (\delta\Omega)_{ijk} = 0,$$

so $\{\Omega_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$ defines a representative in $H^1(M; \mathcal{A}^2)$, where \mathcal{A}^2 is the sheaf of smooth 2-forms on M . This implies that the existence of $\beta_i \in \Gamma(U_i; \mathcal{A}^2)$ such that

$$(\delta\beta)_{ij} = \Omega_{ij}$$

for each Ω_{ij} since \mathcal{A}^2 is a fine sheaf, i.e., $H^1(M; \mathcal{A}^2) \cong 0$.

Definition 3.4. We call the family $\beta := \{\beta_i\}_{i \in I}$ of 2-forms β_i in the above a 1-connection over $\mathcal{G}(I, L, \theta)$ compatible with the 0-connection ∇ .

On the other hand, the definition of a “holomorphic” gerbe on a complex manifold is also given by replacing “smooth complex line bundles $L_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}$ ” and “smooth sections θ_{ijk} ” with “holomorphic line bundles $L_{ij} \rightarrow U_{ij}$ ” and “holomorphic sections θ_{ijk} ”, respectively in Definition 3.1. In particular, we can naturally rewrite the notions of 0 and 1-connections in Definition 3.3 and Definition 3.4 to the “holomorphic” version (see section 5 in [9]).

Now, let us consider the trivial gerbe $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$ on X^n :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O} &:= \left\{ \mathcal{O}_{mm'}^{ll'} \rightarrow O_{mm'}^{ll'} \right\}_{(l;m), (l';m') \in I}, \\ \theta &:= \left\{ \theta_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''} = 1 \in \Gamma(O_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''}; \mathcal{O}_{mm'm''}^{ll'l''}) \right\}_{(l;m), (l';m'), (l'';m'') \in I}, \end{aligned}$$

and by using a matrix $\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$, we define a flat connection over $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$ as follows. For each $(l; m), (l'; m') \in I$, we take a 1-form $\omega_{mm'}^{ll'}$ on the open set $O_{mm'}^{ll'}$, which is expressed locally as

$$\omega_{mm'}^{ll'} := \begin{cases} 2\pi(\tau_{1j}dy_1 + \cdots + \tau_{nj}dy_n) & (l_j = 1, l'_j = 3, l_k = l'_k (k \neq j), m = m') \\ 0 & (\text{otherwise}), \end{cases}$$

where $j = 1, \dots, n$. By using them, we locally define a flat connection $\nabla_{mm'}^{ll'}$ on the trivial line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{mm'}^{ll'} \rightarrow O_{mm'}^{ll'}$ by

$$\nabla_{mm'}^{ll'} := d - \mathbf{i}\omega_{mm'}^{ll'}$$

for each $(l; m), (l'; m') \in I$, where d denotes the exterior derivative. Then, the family of $\nabla_{mm'}^{ll'}$ gives a 0-connection

$$\nabla := \left\{ \nabla_{mm'}^{ll'} \right\}_{(l;m), (l';m') \in I}$$

over $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$. Moreover, for each $(l; m) \in I$, we consider a 2-form B_m^l on the open set O_m^l which is expressed locally as

$$B_m^l := 2\pi dx^t \tau^t dy.$$

Note that this B_m^l is actually defined globally. We can easily check that the family of $-\mathbf{i}B_m^l$ defines a 1-connection

$$B := \left\{ -\mathbf{i}B_m^l \right\}_{(l;m) \in I} \quad (6)$$

over $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$ compatible with the 0-connection ∇ . We denote the trivial gerbe $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$ with the flat connection (∇, B) by \mathcal{G}_τ :

$$\mathcal{G}_\tau := \left(\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta), \nabla, B \right),$$

and we define the gerby deformed n -dimensional complex torus $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ by the pair of the n -dimensional complex torus X^n and the flat gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ :

$$X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n := \left(X^n, \mathcal{G}_\tau \right).$$

We explain the interpretation for the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of X^n by the flat gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ from the viewpoint of generalized complex geometry. For a given complex manifold (M, J) (J is a complex structure over M), $\mathcal{I}_J := J \oplus (-J^*)$ defines a generalized complex structure over M (J^* is the adjoint map of J). In general, it is known that a gerby deformation of M corresponds to a B-field transform of \mathcal{I}_J in the framework of generalized complex geometry [14]. Now, the 2-form

$$B_m^l = 2\pi dx^t \tau^t dy \quad ((l; m) \in I)$$

is a B-field itself in this sense, and actually, the corresponding B-field transform $\mathcal{I}_J(B)$ of the generalized complex structure \mathcal{I}_J over X^n (see the local expression (1)) is expressed locally as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_J(B) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & -\tau^t & I_n & O \\ \tau & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_J \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & \tau^t & I_n & O \\ -\tau & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This $\mathcal{I}_J(B)$ defines a generalized complex structure over $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$.

Let us calculate the mirror dual generalized complex structure $\check{\mathcal{I}}_J(B)$ of $\mathcal{I}_J(B)$ according to the definition (2). By direct calculations, we see

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{\mathcal{I}}_J(B) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{y}}, d\check{x}^t, d\check{y}^t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{y}}, d\check{x}^t, d\check{y}^t \right) \\ & \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau - \tau^t (B_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^t & -B_{\text{mat}}^\vee & I_n & O \\ (B_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^t & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \\ & \begin{pmatrix} O & O & O & -((\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^{-1})^t \\ O & O & (\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^{-1} & (\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^{-1} \tau^t - \tau((\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^{-1})^t \\ \omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau - \tau^t (\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^t & -\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee & O & O \\ (\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^t & O & O & O \end{pmatrix} \\ & \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ -B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau + \tau^t (B_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^t & B_{\text{mat}}^\vee & I_n & O \\ -(B_{\text{mat}}^\vee)^t & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

and this fact implies that the mirror partner of $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ is given by the $2n$ -dimensional real torus $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ with the complexified symplectic form

$$\tilde{\omega}_\tau^\vee := 2\pi \mathbf{i} d\check{x}^t (T^{-1})^t d\check{y} + 2\pi \mathbf{i} d\check{x}^t (-(T^{-1})^t \tau) d\check{x}.$$

Here, similarly as in the case of \check{X}^n , when we decompose $\tilde{\omega}_\tau^\vee$ into

$$\tilde{\omega}_\tau^\vee = 2\pi d\check{x}^t \omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y} - 2\pi d\check{x}^t \omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau d\check{x} - \mathbf{i} \left(2\pi d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y} - 2\pi d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau d\check{x} \right),$$

its real part

$$\omega_\tau^\vee := 2\pi d\check{x}^t \omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y} - 2\pi d\check{x}^t \omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau d\check{x} \quad (7)$$

gives a symplectic form on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$, and the closed 2-form

$$B_\tau^\vee := 2\pi d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y} - 2\pi d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau d\check{x} \quad (8)$$

is the B-field. In particular, associated to the deformation of X^n by \mathcal{G}_τ , in the symplectic geometry side, the symplectic form ω^\vee on \check{X}^n and the B-field B^\vee on \check{X}^n are twisted by $-2\pi d\check{x}^t \omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau d\check{x}$ and $-2\pi d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau d\check{x}$, respectively (compare the definitions (4) and (5) with the definitions (7) and (8), respectively). Hereafter, we denote this complexified symplectic torus (the mirror partner of $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ which is obtained by the definition (2)) by

$$\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n := \left(\mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}, \tilde{\omega}_\tau^\vee = 2\pi \mathbf{i} d\check{x}^t (T^{-1})^t d\check{y} + 2\pi \mathbf{i} d\check{x}^t (-(T^{-1})^t \tau) d\check{x} \right).$$

The above deformation from \check{X}^n to $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ can also be understood as follows. By using

$$g_\tau := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ \tau & I_n \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2n; \mathbb{Z}),$$

let us define a diffeomorphism

$$\varphi_{g_\tau} : \check{X}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$$

by

$$\varphi_{g_\tau} \left(\begin{array}{c} \check{x} \\ \check{y} \end{array} \right) := g_\tau \left(\begin{array}{c} \check{x} \\ \check{y} \end{array} \right).$$

We can easily check that this diffeomorphism φ_{g_τ} is a (complexified) symplectomorphism, namely,

$$(\varphi_{g_\tau})^* \tilde{\omega}_\tau^\vee = \tilde{\omega}^\vee$$

holds. Thus, in other words, we can also interpret that the deformation $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ of X^n by the flat gerbe \mathcal{G}_τ in the complex geometry side is induced from the (complexified) symplectomorphism $\varphi_{g_\tau} : \check{X}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ in the symplectic geometry side (via the homological mirror symmetry). In particular, in the case $n = 1$, i.e., the case of elliptic curves, although X^1 is not deformed by any gerbes, this fact corresponds to that φ_{g_τ} gives an $SL(2; \mathbb{Z})$ -action over \check{X}^1 for arbitrary $\tau \in M(1; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ (see also section 6 in [21]).

4 Holomorphic line bundles and Lagrangian submanifolds with unitary local systems

In this section, we discuss the relation between Lagrangian submanifolds in \check{X}^n with unitary local systems along them and the corresponding holomorphic line bundles on X^n based on SYZ construction (SYZ transform) [32] (see also [26, 2]).

First, we explain the complex geometry side, namely, define a class of holomorphic line bundles

$$E_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow X^n$$

with integrable connections

$$\nabla_{(s,a,q)},$$

and for simplicity, we sometimes denote a holomorphic line bundle $E_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow X^n$ with an integrable connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ by

$$(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}).$$

In fact, we first construct it as a smooth complex line bundle on X^n with a connection, and discuss the holomorphicity of such a smooth complex line bundle later (Proposition 4.1). Before giving the strict definition of $(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)})$, we mention the idea of the construction of $E_{(s,a,q)}$ since the notations of transition functions of it are very complicated. Although we will give the details of the symplectic geometry side again later, in general, the Lagrangian submanifold in \check{X}^n corresponding to a holomorphic line bundle $E_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow X^n$ with an integrable connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ is expressed locally as

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{c} \check{x} \\ s(\check{x}) \end{array} \right) \in \check{X}^n \approx (\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n) \right\},$$

where

$$s(\tilde{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} s^1(\tilde{x}) \\ \vdots \\ s^n(\tilde{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

and locally defined smooth functions $s^1(\tilde{x}), \dots, s^n(\tilde{x})$ satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned} s^1(x^1 + 1, x^2, \dots, x^n) &= s^1(\tilde{x}) + a_{11}, & \dots & \quad s^1(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, x^n + 1) = s^1(\tilde{x}) + a_{1n}, \\ & \vdots & & \quad \vdots \\ s^n(x^1 + 1, x^2, \dots, x^n) &= s^n(\tilde{x}) + a_{n1}, & \dots & \quad s^n(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, x^n + 1) = s^n(\tilde{x}) + a_{nn} \end{aligned}$$

for a matrix

$$a := \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \in M(n; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Then, the transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}$ are determined by $a \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$. Now, we give the strict definition of $E_{(s,a,q)}$. Let

$$\psi_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n} : O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n} \rightarrow O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n} \times \mathbb{C} \quad (l_j, m_k = 1, 2, 3)$$

be a smooth section of $E_{(s,a,q)}|_{O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots l_n}}$. The transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}$ are non-trivial on

$$O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{(l_1=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{(l_1=1) \cdots l_n}, O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 (l_2=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 (l_2=1) \cdots l_n}, \dots, O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_n=3)} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_n=1)},$$

and otherwise are trivial. We define the transition function on $O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \Big|_{O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n}} \\ &= \left(e^{2\pi i a_j^t y} \right) \psi_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n} \Big|_{O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n}} \end{aligned}$$

for each $j = 1, \dots, n$, where

$$a_j := \begin{pmatrix} a_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ a_{nj} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

In particular, when we regard the transition function on $O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=3) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=1) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=3) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \Big|_{O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=3) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=1) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n}} \\ &= \psi_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=1) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \Big|_{O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=3) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=1) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n}} \\ &= \left(e^{2\pi i a_j^t y} \right) \psi_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=1) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n} \Big|_{O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=3) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=3) \cdots l_n} \cap O_{m_1 \cdots (m_k=1) \cdots m_n}^{l_1 \cdots (l_j=1) \cdots l_n}} \end{aligned}$$

for each pair $(j, k) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, it is clear that the cocycle condition is satisfied. Moreover, by using a locally defined smooth function

$$s(x) := \begin{pmatrix} s^1(x) \\ \vdots \\ s^n(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying the relations

$$s(x_1 + 1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = s(x) + a_1, \dots, s(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n + 1) = s(x) + a_n \quad (9)$$

and a constant vector

$$q := \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

we locally define a connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ on $E_{(s,a,q)}$ by

$$\nabla_{(s,a,q)} = d + \omega_{(s,a,q)}, \quad \omega_{(s,a,q)} := -2\pi i \left(s(x)^t + q^t T \right) dy. \quad (10)$$

Also, for the constant vectors $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), we set

$$a := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M(n; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We can easily check that the above $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ is compatible with the transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}$ since the function s satisfies the relations (9). Then, its curvature form $\Omega_{(s,a,q)}$ is expressed locally as

$$\Omega_{(s,a,q)} = -2\pi i dx^t \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) \right)^t dy,$$

where

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial s^1}{\partial x_1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial s^1}{\partial x_n}(x) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial s^n}{\partial x_1}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial s^n}{\partial x_n}(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here, we consider the holomorphicity of $(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)})$. We see that the following proposition holds.

Proposition 4.1. *For a given locally defined smooth function s satisfying the relations (9) and a given constant vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ is an integrable connection on $E_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow X^n$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) T \right)^t$$

holds.

Proof. In general, for a given smooth complex vector bundle with a connection, such a connection is an integrable connection on the given smooth complex vector bundle if and only if the (0,2)-part of its curvature form vanishes, so we calculate the (0,2)-part of $\Omega_{(s,a,q)}$. It turns out that

$$\Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{(0,2)} = 2\pi i d\bar{z}^t ((T - \bar{T})^{-1})^t \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) T \right)^t (T - \bar{T})^{-1} d\bar{z},$$

where $d\bar{z} := (d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n)^t$. Thus, $\Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{(0,2)} = 0$ is equivalent to that $((T - \bar{T})^{-1})^t \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) T \right)^t (T - \bar{T})^{-1}$ is a symmetric matrix, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) T \right)^t.$$

□

Although we omit the details here, these holomorphic line bundles with integrable connections $(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)})$ forms a DG-category

$$DG_{X^n}$$

(see [20, 23] for instance). In general, for any A_∞ -category \mathcal{A} , we can construct the enhanced triangulated category $Tr(\mathcal{A})$ by using Bondal-Kapranov-Kontsevich construction [7, 24]. We expect that the DG-category DG_{X^n} generates the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves $D^b(Coh(X^n))$ over X^n in the sense of Bondal-Kapranov-Kontsevich construction :

$$Tr(DG_{X^n}) \cong D^b(Coh(X^n)).$$

At least, it is known that it split generates $D^b(Coh(X^n))$ when X^n is an abelian variety (cf. [29, 1]).

Next, we explain the symplectic geometry side. In general, the objects in the symplectic geometry side corresponding to holomorphic line bundles with integrable connections $(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)})$ form a full subcategory of the Fukaya category over \check{X}^n , so here, we recall the definition of objects of the Fukaya categories following [12, Definition 1.1]. Let (M, Ω) be a symplectic manifold (M, ω) together with a closed 2-form B on M . Here, we put $\Omega = \omega + \sqrt{-1}B$ (note $-B + \sqrt{-1}\omega$ is used in many of the literatures). Then, we consider pairs (L, \mathcal{L}) with the following properties :

$$L \text{ is a Lagrangian submanifold of } (M, \omega). \quad (11)$$

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow L \text{ is a line bundle together with a connection } \nabla^{\mathcal{L}} \text{ such that} \quad (12)$$

$$F_{\nabla^{\mathcal{L}}} = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}B|_L.$$

In this context, $F_{\nabla^{\mathcal{L}}}$ denotes the curvature form of the connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{L}}$. We define objects of the Fukaya category on (M, Ω) by pairs (L, \mathcal{L}) which satisfy

the properties (11), (12). Below, we give the objects in the symplectic geometry side corresponding to holomorphic line bundles with integrable connections $(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)})$. Let us consider the n -dimensional submanifold

$$L_{(s,a)} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \check{x} \\ s(\check{x}) \end{pmatrix} \in \check{X}^n \approx (\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n) \right\}$$

in \check{X}^n by using a locally defined smooth function

$$s(\check{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} s^1(\check{x}) \\ \vdots \\ s^n(\check{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfying the relations

$$s(x^1+1, x^2, \dots, x^n) = s(\check{x}) + a_1, \dots, s(x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}, x^n+1) = s(\check{x}) + a_n. \quad (13)$$

As explained in the above, we use the notation a in the sense

$$a := (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in M(n; \mathbb{Z}),$$

and for later convenience, we set

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial s^1}{\partial x^1}(\check{x}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial s^1}{\partial x^n}(\check{x}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial s^n}{\partial x^1}(\check{x}) & \cdots & \frac{\partial s^n}{\partial x^n}(\check{x}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We further take the trivial complex line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow L_{(s,a)}$$

with the flat connection

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}} := d - 2\pi i q^t d\check{x}$$

which is defined by a constant vector

$$q := \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, the following proposition holds.

Proposition 4.2. *For a given locally defined smooth function s satisfying the relations (13) and a given constant vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the pair $(L_{(s,a)}, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)})$ gives an object of the Fukaya category over \check{X}^n if and only if*

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T \right)^t$$

holds.

Proof. Note that a basis of the tangent vector space of $L_{(s,a)}$ at $\begin{pmatrix} \check{x} \\ s(\check{x}) \end{pmatrix} \in \check{X}^n$ is given by

$$\xi^j := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial s^i}{\partial x^j} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{y}} \right)^t \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_j := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial s^1}{\partial x^j}(\check{x}) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial s^n}{\partial x^j}(\check{x}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

We first consider the condition such that $L_{(s,a)}$ becomes a Lagrangian submanifold in \check{X}^n . By direct calculations, we see

$$\omega^\vee(\xi^j, \xi^k) = 2\pi(\omega_{j1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{jn}^\vee) \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_k - 2\pi(\omega_{k1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{kn}^\vee) \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_j$$

for each pair $(j, k) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$, where we denote the (i, j) -component of $\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee = \text{Im}(-(T^{-1})^t)$ by ω_{ij}^\vee . This implies that $L_{(s,a)}$ becomes a Lagrangian submanifold in \check{X}^n , i.e., $\omega^\vee|_{L_{(s,a)}} = 0$ holds if and only if

$$\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) = \left(\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)^t \quad (14)$$

holds. Next, we discuss the property (12) for the pair $(L_{(s,a)}, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)})$:

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}} = -\mathbf{i}B^\vee|_{L_{(s,a)}}.$$

Here, $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}}$ is the curvature form of the flat connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}$, i.e., $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}} = 0$. Hence, we see

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{i}B^\vee|_{L_{(s,a)}} &= -2\pi \mathbf{i} d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y}|_{L_{(s,a)}} \\ &= -2\pi \mathbf{i} d\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) d\check{x} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) = \left(B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)^t. \quad (15)$$

Thus, by the definition of ω_{mat}^\vee and B_{mat}^\vee , we can easily verify that the relations (14) and (15) are equivalent to

$$-(T^{-1})^t \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) = \left(-(T^{-1})^t \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)^t,$$

namely,

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) T \right)^t.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We define the full subcategory of the Fukaya category over \check{X}^n consisting of objects $(L_{(s,a)}, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)})$ satisfying the condition $\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T\right)^t$ by

$$Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}^n).$$

We explain the interpretation for the above discussions from the viewpoint of SYZ construction. We can regard the complexified symplectic torus \check{X}^n as the trivial special Lagrangian torus fibration

$$\tilde{\pi} : \check{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n,$$

where \check{x} is the local coordinate system of the base space $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ and \check{y} is the local coordinate system of the fiber of $\tilde{\pi} : \check{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. Then, we can regard each affine Lagrangian submanifold $L_{(s,a)}$ in \check{X}^n as the graph of the section

$$s : \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \check{X}^n$$

of $\tilde{\pi} : \check{X}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$.

Finally, by comparing Proposition 4.1 with Proposition 4.2, we immediately obtain the following proposition. In particular, this indicates that

$$(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}) \in DG_{X^n}$$

and

$$(L_{(s,a)}, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}) \in Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X})$$

are mirror dual to each other.

Proposition 4.3. *For a given locally defined smooth function s satisfying the relations (9), (13) and a given constant vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ is an integrable connection on $E_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow X^n$ if and only if the pair $(L_{(s,a)}, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)})$ gives an object of the Fukaya category over \check{X}^n .*

5 Deformations of objects

In this section, we define deformations of objects in the respective categories DG_{X^n} and $Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X})$ associated to the deformation from (X^n, \check{X}^n) to $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$. In particular, we prove the analogue of Proposition 4.3 for these deformed objects, and this result is given in Proposition 5.3. Actually, Proposition 5.3 can be regarded as a part of the statement of the homological mirror symmetry for $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$, so such deformations seem to be natural in this sense.

First, we discuss the deformation of smooth complex line bundles $E_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow X^n$ with connections $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$. In general, when we consider the deformation $M_{\mathcal{G}} := (M, \mathcal{G})$ of a given complex manifold M by a gerbe \mathcal{G} with 0 and 1-connections, each smooth complex line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ with a connection ∇ is deformed to the twisted smooth complex line bundle $L^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{G}}$ with the twisted connection $\nabla^{\mathcal{G}}$. Below, we explain this fact more precisely. Let M be a complex

manifold and we take an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of M . For each smooth complex line bundle $L \rightarrow M$ with a connection $\{\nabla_i\}_{i \in I}$ which is defined by a family of transition functions $\{\varphi_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$, ∇_i and φ_{ij} satisfy the relation

$$\nabla_j - \varphi_{ij}^{-1} \nabla_i \varphi_{ij} = 0$$

on $U_i \cap U_j$ for each $i, j \in I$. Here, we take the trivial gerbe \mathcal{G} with a non-trivial 0-connection $\{\nabla_{ij} = d + \omega_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$, and consider the deformation $M_{\mathcal{G}}$ of M by this gerbe \mathcal{G} (we only consider the case of the trivial gerbe with a non-trivial 0-connection since \mathcal{G}_τ is trivial as a gerbe). Then, the family of transition functions $\{\varphi_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$ is preserved under this deformation since \mathcal{G} is trivial, namely, the deformation $L^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{G}}$ (as a bundle) of $L \rightarrow M$ by \mathcal{G} is defined by the same family of transition functions $\{\varphi_{ij}^{\mathcal{G}} := \varphi_{ij}\}_{i,j \in I}$. On the other hand, the twisted connection $\{\nabla_i^{\mathcal{G}}\}_{i \in I}$ on the deformed object $L^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{G}}$ is defined by the relation

$$\nabla_j^{\mathcal{G}} - (\varphi_{ij}^{\mathcal{G}})^{-1} \nabla_i^{\mathcal{G}} (\varphi_{ij}^{\mathcal{G}}) = \omega_{ij} \quad (16)$$

on $U_i \cap U_j$ for each $i, j \in I$. Now, we turn to the case $(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)})$, namely, define the twisted smooth complex line bundle

$$E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$$

with the twisted connection

$$\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$$

as the deformation of a given smooth complex line bundle $E_{(s,a,q)} \rightarrow X^n$ with a connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ by \mathcal{G}_τ . Similarly as in the case of $(E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)})$, for simplicity, we sometimes denote such a deformed object by

$$(E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}).$$

The transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ coincide with the transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}$ since $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$ is trivial. On the other hand, the connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ on $E_{(s,a,q)}$ is locally deformed to the following twisted connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ (see also the definition (10)) :

$$\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} = d + \omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \quad \omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} := -2\pi\mathbf{i} \left(s(x)^t + q^t T + x^t \tau^t \right) dy. \quad (17)$$

In fact, we can easily check that this $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ is compatible with the transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$, i.e., the relations of the form (16) hold. Although the transition functions are preserved under this deformation, we see that the connection 1-form $\omega_{(s,a,q)}$ is twisted by the 1-form

$$-2\pi\mathbf{i} x^t \tau^t dy \quad (18)$$

under this deformation by comparing the definition (10) with the definition (17). We can further obtain the 2-form

$$-2\pi\mathbf{i}dx^t\tau^tdy \quad (19)$$

from the 1-form (18) by considering its derivative, and this 2-form (19) is the 1-connection itself over $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$ compatible with the 0-connection ∇ (see the definition (6)). Sometimes the 2-form

$$2\pi dx^t\tau^tdy$$

in the local expression (19) is also called the B-field. Here, we discuss the holomorphicity of $(E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})$. We see that the following proposition holds.

Proposition 5.1. *For a given locally defined smooth function s satisfying the relation (9) and a given constant vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the twisted connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ is a twisted integrable connection on $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x)T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x)T\right)^t$$

holds.

Proof. Although we may consider the condition such that the (0,2)-part of the curvature form of the twisted connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ vanishes similarly as in the case of the proof of Proposition 4.1, we need to note that the curvature form $\Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ of $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ is expressed locally as

$$\Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} := d\omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} - \left(-2\pi\mathbf{i}dx^t\tau^tdy\right) = -2\pi\mathbf{i}dx^t \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x)\right)^t dy,$$

where the 2-form

$$-2\pi\mathbf{i}dx^t\tau^tdy$$

can be regarded as the 1-connection over $\mathcal{G}(I, \mathcal{O}, \theta)$ compatible with the 0-connection ∇ as explained in the above. In particular, this description is natural from the viewpoint of the fact that the transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ coincide with the transition functions of $E_{(s,a,q)}$. Thus, we obtain the statement by repeating the same calculations in the proof of Proposition 4.1. \square

Moreover, we can actually consider the deformation

$$DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$$

of the DG-category DG_{X^n} (see [20, 23] for instance) associated to the deformation which is described in the above. We give a rough explanation of this description below. Objects are twisted holomorphic line bundles $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$

with twisted integrable connections $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$. In general, the space of morphisms in DG_{X^n} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Hom}_{DG_{X^n}}((E_{(s,a,q)}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}), (E_{(s',a',q')}, \nabla_{(s',a',q')})) \\ & := \Gamma(E_{(s,a,q)}, E_{(s',a',q')}) \bigotimes_{C^\infty(X^n)} \Omega^{0,*}(X^n), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\Gamma(E_{(s,a,q)}, E_{(s',a',q')})$ is the space of homomorphisms from $E_{(s,a,q)}$ to $E_{(s',a',q')}$ and $\Omega^{0,*}(X^n)$ is the space of anti-holomorphic differential forms on X^n . Therefore, we may define the space of morphisms in $DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$ by replacing $\Gamma(E_{(s,a,q)}, E_{(s',a',q')})$ in the definition (20) to the space of homomorphisms as twisted holomorphic line bundles. In particular, the differential structure on the space of morphisms (20) is defined by using the integrable connections $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}$ and $\nabla_{(s',a',q')}$ (the product structure on the space of morphisms (20) is given by the ‘‘standard’’ product structure), so we may define the differential structure on the space of morphisms in $DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$ by using the twisted integrable connections of objects.

Next, we discuss the deformation

$$(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau)$$

of a given arbitrary object $(L_{(s,a)}, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}) \in \text{Fuk}_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}^n)$. Let us consider the n -dimensional submanifold

$$L_{(s,a)}^\tau := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \check{x} \\ s(\check{x}) + \tau \check{x} \end{pmatrix} \in \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n \approx (\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n) \times (\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n) \right\}$$

in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ by using the locally defined smooth function s satisfying the relations (13) and $\tau \in M(n; \mathbb{Z})$. We further take the trivial complex line bundle

$$\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau \rightarrow L_{(s,a)}^\tau$$

with the flat connection

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau} := d - 2\pi i q^t d\check{x}$$

which is defined by the constant vector

$$q := \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ \vdots \\ q_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Then, we obtain the following proposition as an analogue of Proposition 4.2.

Proposition 5.2. *For a given locally defined smooth function s satisfying the relations (13) and a given constant vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the pair $(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau)$ gives an object of the Fukaya category over $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ if and only if*

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T \right)^t$$

holds.

Proof. We can prove this statement similarly as in the case of Proposition 4.2.

A basis of the tangent vector space of $L_{(s,a)}^\tau$ at $\begin{pmatrix} \check{x} \\ s(\check{x}) + \tau\check{x} \end{pmatrix} \in \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ is given by

$$\xi_\tau^j := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{y}} \right)^t \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_j + \tau_j \right\}, \quad j = 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$\tau_j := \begin{pmatrix} \tau_{1j} \\ \vdots \\ \tau_{nj} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

We first consider the condition such that $L_{(s,a)}^\tau$ becomes a Lagrangian submanifold in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$. By direct calculations, we see

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\tau^\vee(\xi_\tau^j, \xi_\tau^k) &= 2\pi(\omega_{j1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{jn}^\vee) \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_k + \tau_k \right\} - 2\pi(\omega_{k1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{kn}^\vee) \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_j + \tau_j \right\} \\ &\quad - 2\pi(\omega_{j1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{jn}^\vee)\tau_k + 2\pi(\omega_{k1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{kn}^\vee)\tau_j \\ &= 2\pi(\omega_{j1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{jn}^\vee) \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_k - 2\pi(\omega_{k1}^\vee, \dots, \omega_{kn}^\vee) \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_j \end{aligned}$$

for each pair $(j, k) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \times \{1, \dots, n\}$. This implies that $L_{(s,a)}^\tau$ becomes a Lagrangian submanifold in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$, i.e., $\omega_\tau^\vee|_{L_{(s,a)}^\tau} = 0$ holds if and only if

$$\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) = \left(\omega_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)^t \quad (21)$$

holds. Next, we discuss the property (12) for the pair $(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau)$:

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau} = -\mathbf{i}B_\tau^\vee|_{L_{(s,a)}^\tau}.$$

Here, $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau}$ is the curvature form of the flat connection $\nabla_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau}$ on $\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau$, i.e., $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau} = 0$. Hence, we see

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathbf{i}B_\tau^\vee|_{L_{(s,a)}^\tau} &= -2\pi \mathbf{id}\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee d\check{y} + 2\pi \mathbf{id}\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^{\vee ee} \tau d\check{x}|_{L_{(s,a)}^\tau} \\ &= -2\pi \mathbf{id}\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \left\{ \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) d\check{x} + \tau d\check{x} \right\} + 2\pi \mathbf{id}\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \tau d\check{x} \\ &= -2\pi \mathbf{id}\check{x}^t B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) d\check{x} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) = \left(B_{\text{mat}}^\vee \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)^t. \quad (22)$$

Thus, by the definition of ω_{mat}^\vee and B_{mat}^\vee , we can easily verify that the relations (21) and (22) are equivalent to

$$-(T^{-1})^t \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) = \left(-(T^{-1})^t \frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x}) \right)^t,$$

namely,

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T \right)^t.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We define the full subcategory of the Fukaya category over $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ consisting of objects $(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau)$ satisfying the condition $\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T = \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \check{x}}(\check{x})T \right)^t$ by

$$Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n).$$

Similarly as in the case of \check{X}^n , we can regard the complexified symplectic torus $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ as the trivial special Lagrangian torus fibration

$$\check{\pi}_\tau : \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$$

from the viewpoint of SYZ construction. Then, under the deformation from \check{X}^n to $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$, we see that the Lagrangian section $s : \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ is twisted by the function $\tau \check{x}$ by comparing the definition of $L_{(s,a)}$ with the definition of $L_{(s,a)}^\tau$. In fact, we can also interpret this deformation by using the (complexified) symplectomorphism

$$\varphi_{g_\tau} : \check{X}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$$

which is given in section 3. Recall that

$$g_\tau := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ \tau & I_n \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2n; \mathbb{Z})$$

and $\varphi_{g_\tau} : \check{X}^n \xrightarrow{\sim} \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ is defined by

$$\varphi_{g_\tau} \begin{pmatrix} \check{x} \\ \check{y} \end{pmatrix} := g_\tau \begin{pmatrix} \check{x} \\ \check{y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we can regard each object $(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau) \in Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$ as $(\varphi_{g_\tau}(L_{(s,a)}), (\varphi_{g_\tau}^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau \cong (\varphi_{g_\tau}^{-1})^* \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L_{(s,a)}^\tau \cong \varphi_{g_\tau}(L_{(s,a)}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{g_\tau}^{-1}} & L_{(s,a)}. \end{array}$$

Thus, by comparing Proposition 5.1 with Proposition 5.2, we immediately obtain the following.

Proposition 5.3. *For a given locally defined smooth function s satisfying the relations (9), (13) and a given constant vector $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the twisted connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ is a twisted integrable connection on $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ if and only if the pair $(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau)$ gives an object of the Fukaya category over $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$.*

Clearly, Proposition 5.3 indicates that

$$(E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}) \in DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$$

and

$$(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau) \in Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$$

are mirror dual to each other. Here, recall that the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$ states the existence of an equivalence

$$D^b(\text{Coh}(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)) \cong Tr(\text{Fuk}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n))$$

as triangulated categories, where $D^b(\text{Coh}(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n))$ is the bounded derived category of twisted coherent sheaves over $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$. Similarly as in the case of (X^n, \check{X}^n) , it is expected that the DG-category $DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$ and the A_∞ -category $Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$ generate $D^b(\text{Coh}(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n))$ and $Tr(\text{Fuk}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n))$, respectively. We believe that these deformed categories $DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$ and $Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$ play a central role in the proof of the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$.

6 Deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections and special Lagrangian submanifolds

In Proposition 5.3, we verified that

$$(E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}) \in DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$$

and

$$(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau) \in Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$$

are mirror dual to each other. In this section, for given mirror dual objects $(E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}) \in DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$ and $(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau) \in Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$, we further prove the following : the twisted integrable connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ defines a deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection on the twisted holomorphic line bundle $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ if and only if the Lagrangian submanifold $L_{(s,a)}^\tau$ becomes a special Lagrangian submanifold in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$.

In order to observe the correspondence between deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections and special Lagrangian submanifolds, we need to consider Kähler (Calabi-Yau) structures on $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$, namely, a (complexified) symplectic structure on $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ and a complex structure on $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$. In particular, we first discuss Kähler (Calabi-Yau) structures on (X^n, \check{X}^n) since we can obtain the deformed mirror pair $(X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n, \check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$ from (X^n, \check{X}^n) .

Let us consider a Kähler (Calabi-Yau) structure on X^n . Here, we focus on a 2-form ω on X^n and a metric g on X^n which are locally defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &:= 2\pi dx^t Y dy + 2\pi dy^t X^t Y dy, \\ g &:= 2\pi dx^t dx + 2\pi dx^t X dy + 2\pi dy^t X^t dx + 2\pi dy^t (X^t X + Y^t Y) dy,\end{aligned}$$

respectively, where the product in the definition of ω is the wedge product \wedge , and the product in the definition of g is the tensor product \otimes . Moreover, the complex structure $J \in \text{End}(\Gamma(TX^n))$ is expressed locally as

$$J \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -XY^{-1} & -Y - XY^{-1}X \\ Y^{-1} & Y^{-1}X \end{pmatrix},$$

where TX^n is the tangent bundle on X^n , and $\Gamma(TX^n)$ is the space of smooth sections of TX^n (see also the local expression (1)). Then, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. *The 2-form ω and the metric g defines a symplectic form on X^n and a Riemannian metric on X^n , respectively, and J , ω , g satisfy the relation*

$$\omega(V, W) = g(JV, W) \quad (23)$$

for arbitrary $V, W \in \Gamma(TX^n)$.

Proof. It is clear that ω is closed. Moreover, the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}& \begin{pmatrix} \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & \omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} O & Y \\ -Y^t & X^t Y - Y^t X \end{pmatrix} \\ &= 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ X^t & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} O & Y \\ -Y^t & O \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

is regular since Y is positive definite. Hence, we see that ω is non-degenerate.

Similarly, the positive definiteness of the matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
& \begin{pmatrix} g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right) & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}\right) & \cdots & g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}\right) \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ X^t & X^t X + Y^t Y \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2\pi \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ X^t & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O \\ O & Y^t Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

follows from the positive definiteness of Y , and it is clear that the matrix (24) is a symmetric matrix. Finally, we prove the relation (23). For arbitrary $V, W \in \Gamma(TX^n)$, we can locally express them as

$$V = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}{}^t a + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}{}^t b, \quad W = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}{}^t c + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}{}^t d$$

by using constant vectors $a := (a_1, \dots, a_n)^t, b := (b_1, \dots, b_n)^t, c := (c_1, \dots, c_n)^t, d := (d_1, \dots, d_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega(V, W) &= 2\pi(a^t, b^t) \begin{pmatrix} O & Y \\ -Y^t & X^t Y - Y^t X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \\
g(JV, W) &= 2\pi(a^t, b^t) \begin{pmatrix} -XY^{-1} & -Y - XY^{-1}X \\ Y^{-1} & Y^{-1}X \end{pmatrix}^t \begin{pmatrix} I_n & X \\ X^t & X^t X + Y^t Y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix} \\
&= 2\pi(a^t, b^t) \begin{pmatrix} O & Y \\ -Y^t & X^t Y - Y^t X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

by direct calculations, so indeed, the relation (23) holds. \square

We see that $(X^n = \mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n, \omega, g)$ is a Kähler (Calabi-Yau) manifold by Lemma 6.1, and hereafter, we denote again $(\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n, \omega)$ by X^n for simplicity (we omit the Riemannian metric g since we will not use it later) :

$$X^n := (\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n, \omega).$$

Moreover, the generalized complex structure

$$\mathcal{I}_\omega : \Gamma(TX^n \oplus T^*X^n) \rightarrow \Gamma(TX^n \oplus T^*X^n)$$

associated to the symplectic form ω is expressed locally as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_\omega \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \\ & \begin{pmatrix} O & O & (Y^{-1})^t X^t - XY^{-1} & -(Y^{-1})^t \\ O & O & Y^{-1} & O \\ O & -Y & O & O \\ Y^t & Y^t X - X^t Y & O & O \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can calculate the mirror dual generalized complex structure $\check{\mathcal{I}}_\omega$ of \mathcal{I}_ω locally as

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{\mathcal{I}}_\omega \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{x}}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{y}}, d\tilde{x}^t, d\tilde{y}^t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{x}}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial \tilde{y}}, d\tilde{x}^t, d\tilde{y}^t \right) \\ & \begin{pmatrix} O & -(Y^{-1})^t & (Y^{-1})^t X^t - XY^{-1} & O \\ Y^t & O & O & Y^t X - X^t Y \\ O & O & O & -Y \\ O & O & Y^{-1} & O \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

according to the definition (2). This result implies that $\check{X}^n \approx \mathbb{R}^{2n}/\mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ has the structure of the n -dimensional complex torus whose period matrix is given by $\mathbf{i}(Y^{-1})^t$. Here, note that it generally becomes a noncommutative n -dimensional complex torus although we will not discuss the noncommutativity in this paper. We can easily check that $(\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbf{i}(Y^{-1})^t \mathbb{Z}^n, \tilde{\omega}^\vee)$ is a (noncommutative) Kähler (Calabi-Yau) manifold, and hereafter, we denote again $(\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbf{i}(Y^{-1})^t \mathbb{Z}^n, \tilde{\omega}^\vee)$ by \check{X}^n for simplicity :

$$\check{X}^n := \left(\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbf{i}(Y^{-1})^t \mathbb{Z}^n, \tilde{\omega}^\vee \right).$$

Let us consider a Kähler (Calabi-Yau) structure on $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$. Recall that the B-field transform $\mathcal{I}_J(B)$ of \mathcal{I}_J over X^n is expressed locally as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_J(B) \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial^t}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^t}{\partial y}, dx^t, dy^t \right) \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & -\tau^t & I_n & O \\ \tau & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_J \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & \tau^t & I_n & O \\ -\tau & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

so the following B-field transform $\mathcal{I}_\omega(B)$ of \mathcal{I}_ω over X^n also defines a generalized

complex structure over $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{I}_\omega(B) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, dx, dy \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, dx, dy \right) \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & -\tau^t & I_n & O \\ \tau & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}_\omega \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & O \\ O & I_n & O & O \\ O & \tau^t & I_n & O \\ -\tau & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This description implies that the complexified symplectic form

$$\tilde{\omega}_\tau := \omega - \mathbf{i} \left(2\pi dx^t \tau^t dy \right) = 2\pi dx^t Y dy + 2\pi dy^t X^t Y dy - 2\pi \mathbf{i} dx^t \tau^t dy$$

on $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ can be obtained by twisting the symplectic form ω on X^n using the B-field $2\pi dx^t \tau^t dy$. Hereafter, we denote again the gerby deformed Kähler (Calabi-Yau) manifold $(\mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{G}_\tau, \tilde{\omega}_\tau)$ by $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ for simplicity :

$$X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n := \left(\mathbb{C}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus T\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathcal{G}_\tau, \tilde{\omega}_\tau \right).$$

Moreover, in order to consider the complex structure on $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ according to the definition (2), we assume the condition $\det(-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t) \neq 0$. If we do not assume this condition, then we need to employ the other one which is proposed in our previous work [22] as the definition of mirror partners. Therefore, for simplicity, we assume the above condition here, and by the definition (2), the mirror dual generalized complex structure $\check{\mathcal{I}}_\omega(B)$ of $\mathcal{I}_\omega(B)$ is calculated as follows :

$$\begin{aligned} & \check{\mathcal{I}}_\omega(B) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{y}}, d\check{x}, d\check{y} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{y}}, d\check{x}, d\check{y} \right) \\ & \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & -X \\ O & I_n & X^t & X^t \tau^t - \tau X \\ O & O & I_n & O \\ O & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix} \\ & \begin{pmatrix} (Y^{-1})^t \tau & -(Y^{-1})^t & O & O \\ Y^t + \tau Y^{-1} \tau & -\tau (Y^{-1})^t & O & O \\ O & O & -\tau^t Y^{-1} & -Y - \tau^t Y^{-1} \tau^t \\ O & O & Y^{-1} & Y^{-1} \tau^t \end{pmatrix} \\ & \begin{pmatrix} I_n & O & O & X \\ O & I_n & -X^t & \tau X - X^t \tau^t \\ O & O & I_n & O \\ O & O & O & I_n \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This result implies that $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n \approx \mathbb{R}^{2n} / \mathbb{Z}^{2n}$ has the structure of the n -dimensional complex torus whose period matrix is given by $(-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1}$, and it generally

becomes a noncommutative n -dimensional complex torus similarly as in the case of \tilde{X}^n (we will not discuss the noncommutativity in this paper). Hereafter, we denote again the (noncommutative) Kähler (Calabi-Yau) manifold $(\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus (-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^n, \tilde{\omega}_\tau^\vee)$ by $\tilde{X}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\tau}^n$ for simplicity :

$$\tilde{X}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\tau}^n := \left(\mathbb{C}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n \oplus (-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1}\mathbb{Z}^n, \tilde{\omega}_\tau^\vee \right).$$

Here, we recall the definitions of deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections [26, 27] (see also [18]) and special Lagrangian submanifolds as a preparation of main discussions.

Definition 6.2. *Let (M, ω) be an n -dimensional Kähler manifold with a Kähler form ω and $L \rightarrow M$ be a smooth complex line bundle with a Hermitian metric h . Then, if a connection ∇ preserving the metric h on L satisfies the conditions⁴*

$$F^{(0,2)} = 0, \quad \text{Im}e^{i\theta}(\omega - F)^n = 0$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we call the connection ∇ a deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection of phase θ on L . Here, F is the curvature form of the connection ∇ , and the second equation is called the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation.

Definition 6.3. *Let (M, Ω) be a Kähler manifold with a nowhere vanishing holomorphic top form Ω . Then, if a Lagrangian submanifold L in M satisfies the condition*

$$\text{Im}(e^{i\theta}\Omega)|_L = 0$$

for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, we call the Lagrangian submanifold L a special Lagrangian submanifold of phase θ in M .

Now, we present the following theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *The relation*

$$\text{Im}e^{i\theta}(\omega - \Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})^n = 0$$

holds for some $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ if and only if the relation

$$\text{Im}(e^{i\theta}\tilde{\Omega}|_{L_{(s,a)}^\tau}) = 0$$

holds for the same $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\tilde{\Omega} := dz^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz^n$ is the nowhere vanishing holomorphic $(n,0)$ -form on $\tilde{X}_{\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_\tau}^n$.

⁴Although the equation $\text{Im}e^{i\theta}(\omega + F)^n = 0$ is used in the original paper [26] instead of $\text{Im}e^{i\theta}(\omega - F)^n = 0$ in Definition 6.2, for example, in [18], Jacob and Yau use the relation $\text{Im}e^{i\theta}(\omega - F)^n = 0$ as the definition of the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation. In this paper, we employ the relation $\text{Im}e^{i\theta}(\omega - F)^n = 0$ as the definition of the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation according to [18] and so on.

Proof. Note that the Kähler form on $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ is given by

$$\omega = 2\pi dx^t Y dy + 2\pi dy^t X^t Y dy$$

($\tilde{\omega}_\tau$ is a complexified Kähler form on $X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$) and the curvature form $\Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ of the twisted integrable connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ is expressed locally as

$$\Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} = -2\pi i dx^t \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) \right)^t dy$$

as explained in the proof of Proposition 5.1. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega - \Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} &= 2\pi dx^t \left\{ Y + \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) \right)^t \right\} dy + 2\pi dy^t X^t Y dy \\ &= 2\pi i dx^t \left\{ -\mathbf{i}Y + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) \right)^t \right\} dy + 2\pi dy^t X^t Y dy, \end{aligned}$$

and this implies

$$(\omega - \Omega_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau})^n = (2\pi \mathbf{i})^n n! \det \left\{ -\mathbf{i}Y + \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial x}(x) \right)^t \right\} dx_1 \wedge dy_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n \wedge dy_n. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand, we can rewrite each vector ξ_τ^j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) in the proof of Proposition 5.2 to

$$\xi_\tau^j = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^j} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^j} + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} (-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} \overline{(-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1}} \right) \left\{ \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \bar{x}}(\check{x}) \right)_j + \tau_j \right\}$$

by using the relation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \check{x}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \check{y}} \right) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\bar{z}}} \right) \begin{pmatrix} I_n & (-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1} \\ I_n & \overline{(-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\Omega}(\xi_\tau^1, \dots, \xi_\tau^n) &= \det \begin{pmatrix} dz^1(\xi^1) & \cdots & dz^1(\xi^n) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ dz^n(\xi^1) & \cdots & dz^n(\xi^n) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \det \left(I_n + (-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial s}{\partial \bar{x}}(\check{x}) + \tau \right) \right) \\ &= \det(-\tau - \mathbf{i}Y^t)^{-1} \left(-\mathbf{i}Y^t + \frac{\partial s}{\partial \bar{x}}(\check{x}) \right). \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the statement by comparing the relation (25) with the relation (26). \square

Theorem 6.4 leads “a gerby deformed version” of the correspondence between deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections and special Lagrangian submanifolds in the case of tori, namely, for given mirror dual objects $(E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}, \nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}) \in DG_{X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n}$ and $(L_{(s,a)}^\tau, \mathcal{L}_{(s,a,q)}^\tau) \in Fuk_{\text{sub}}(\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n)$ in the sense of Proposition 5.3, we obtain the following conclusion : the twisted integrable connection $\nabla_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau}$ defines a deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection on the twisted holomorphic line bundle $E_{(s,a,q)}^{\mathcal{G}_\tau} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$ if and only if the Lagrangian submanifold $L_{(s,a)}^\tau$ becomes a special Lagrangian submanifold in $\check{X}_{\mathcal{G}_\tau}^n$.

Remark 6.5. *In general, to determine a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the definitions of deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections and special Lagrangian submanifolds is a difficult problem although we do not treat it in this paper. At least, we can take such a $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ in the case that a given locally defined smooth function s is affine.*

Acknowledgment

I am grateful to Hiroshige Kajiuira for helpful comments. I also would like to thank Manabu Akaho for telling me the notion of deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills connections. This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21H04994.

References

- [1] M. Abouzaid, I. Smith : *Homological mirror symmetry for the four-torus*, Duke Mathematical Journal, 152.3 (2010), 373-440.
- [2] D. Arinkin, A. Polishchuk : *Fukaya category and Fourier transform*, AMS IP STUDIES IN ADVANCED MATHEMATICS, 2001, 23 : 261-274.
- [3] O. Ben-Bassat : *Mirror symmetry and generalized complex manifolds : Part I. The transform on vector bundles, spinors, and branes*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 56(4), 533-558, 2006.
- [4] O. Ben-Bassat : *Mirror symmetry and generalized complex manifolds : Part II. Integrability and the transform for torus bundles*, Journal of Geometry and Physics, 56(7), 1096-1115, 2006.
- [5] O. Ben-Bassat, J. Block, T. Pantev : *Non-commutative tori and Fourier–Mukai duality*, Compositio Mathematica 143.2 (2007) : 423-475.
- [6] J. Block : *Duality and equivalence of module categories in noncommutative geometry II : Mukai duality for holomorphic noncommutative tori*, arXiv : math.QA/0604296.
- [7] A. Bondal, M. Kapranov : *Enhanced triangulated categories*, Math. USSR Sbornik 70:93-107, 1991.

- [8] T. Bridgeland : *Stability conditions on triangulated categories*, Annals of Mathematics (2007) : 317-345.
- [9] D.S. Chatterjee : *On gerbes*, A dissertation submitted towards the degree of Doctor of Philosophy at the. University of Oxford, Trinity College (1998).
- [10] C.T. Collins, S.T. Yau : *Stability and the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation*, arXiv : math.DG/2004.04831.
- [11] K. Fukaya : *Morse homotopy, A^∞ -category, and Floer homologies*, In: Proceedings of GARC Workshop on Geometry and Topology '93 (Seoul, 1993). Lecture Notes in Series, vol. 18, pp. 1-102. Seoul Nat. Univ., Seoul (1993).
- [12] K. Fukaya : *Mirror symmetry of abelian varieties and multi theta functions*, J. Alg. Geom. 11, 393-512 (2002).
- [13] J. Giraud : *Cohomologie non-abélienne*, Grundlehren 179, Springer (Berlin 1971).
- [14] M. Gualtieri : *Generalized complex geometry*, PhD thesis, Oxford University, 2003, arXiv : math.DG/0401221.
- [15] B. Hannigan-Daley : *Complex line bundles and gerbes*, 2009.
- [16] N. Hitchin : *Lectures on special Lagrangian submanifolds*, arXiv : math.DG/9907034.
- [17] N. Hitchin : *Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds*, Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, 2003, 54.3 : 281-308.
- [18] A. Jacob, S.T. Yau : *A special Lagrangian type equation for holomorphic line bundles*, Math. Ann. 369 (2017), no.1-2, 869-898.
- [19] H. Kajiura : *Noncommutative tori and mirror symmetry*, In New development of Operator Algebras, volume 1587 of RIMS KôKyûroku, pages 27–72. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 2008.
- [20] H. Kajiura : *On some deformation of Fukaya categories*, in : Symplectic, Poisson, and Noncommutative Geometry, in : MSRI Publ., vol. 62, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2014, pp. 93-130.
- [21] K. Kobayashi : *On exact triangles consisting of stable vector bundles on tori*, Differential Geometry and its Applications 53 (2017) 268-292.
- [22] K. Kobayashi : *Remarks on the homological mirror symmetry for tori*, Journal of Geometry and Physics 164 (2021) : 104190.
- [23] K. Kobayashi : *Geometric interpretation for exact triangles consisting of projectively flat bundles on higher dimensional complex tori*, Osaka Journal of Mathematics 59(1) (2022) 75-112.

- [24] M. Kontsevich : *Homological algebra of mirror symmetry*, In Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, 2 (Zürich, 1994), Birkhäuser, 1995, pages 120-139.
- [25] M. Kontsevich, Y. Soibelman : *Homological mirror symmetry and torus fibrations*, In Symplectic geometry and mirror symmetry (Seoul, 2000), pages 203-263. World Sci.Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001. math.SG/0011041.
- [26] N.C. Leung, S.T. Yau, E. Zaslow : *From special Lagrangian to Hermitian-Yang-Mills via Fourier-Mukai transform*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 4:13191341, 2000.
- [27] M. Mariño, R. Minasian, G. Moore and A. Strominger : *Nonlinear instantons from supersymmetric p-branes*, J. High Energy Phys. 2000, no. 1, Paper 5, 32 pp.
- [28] S. Mukai : *Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal 81 (1981) : 153-175.
- [29] D.O. Orlov : *Remarks on generators and dimensions of triangulated categories*, Moscow Mathematical Journal 9.1 (2009) : 143-149.
- [30] A. Polishchuk : *A_∞ -structures on an elliptic curve*, Comm. Math. Phys. 247, 527 (2004).
- [31] A. Polishchuk, E. Zaslow : *Categorical mirror symmetry : the elliptic curve*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 2, 443-470 (1998).
- [32] A. Strominger, S.T. Yau, E. Zaslow : *Mirror Symmetry is T-duality*, Nucl. Phys. B, 479:243-259, 1996.