

On the structure of even K -groups of rings of algebraic integers

Meng Fai Lim*

Abstract

In this paper, we describe the higher even K -groups of the ring of integers of a number field in terms of class groups of an appropriate extension of the number field in question. This is a natural extension of the previous collective works of Browkin, Keune and Kolster, where they considered the case of K_2 . We then revisit the Kummer's criterion of totally real fields as generalized by Greenberg and Kida. In particular, we give an algebraic K -theoretical formulation of this criterion which we will prove using the algebraic K -theoretical results developed here.

Keywords and Phrases: Even K -groups, class groups, Kummer's criterion.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2020: 11R42, 11R70, 19F27.

1 Introduction

Throughout, p will always denote a fixed odd prime. Let F be a number field with ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F . Inspired by the work of Tate [26], Browkin, Keune and Kolster have made extensive studies in comparing the K_2 -group $K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n$ with the S -class group $\text{Cl}_S(F(\mu_{p^n}))$ of $F(\mu_{p^n})$ in a series of papers [3, 9, 11, 12]. We should also perhaps mention that Coates [5] has also performed a study of this sort but instead over an (infinite) cyclotomic \mathbb{Z}_p -extension.

In this paper, we are interested in extending the works of Browkin, Keune and Kolster to the higher even K -groups of \mathcal{O}_F . In slightly more detail, let i be a positive integer. Write $a := a(F)$ for the largest integer such that $F(\mu_p) = F(\mu_{p^a})$, and set b to be the largest integer such that p^b divides i . Our main theorems consist of comparing the group $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n$ with certain eigenspaces of the S -class group of an appropriate cyclotomic extension of F . In particular, if $n \leq a + b$, we compare $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n$ with a certain eigenspace of the S -class group of $F(\mu_p)$ (see Theorem 4.2 for the precise statement). When $n > a + b$, the comparison is done over the field $F(\mu_{p^{n-b}})$ (see Theorem 4.5). Note that in the case of K_2 , $b = 0$ and so the comparison for large n is always done over $F(\mu_{p^n})$. However, for a higher even K -group, the presence of b requires extra care in our discussion.

As illustrations of our results, we specialize them to the context of a quadratic field, where the results become slightly more explicit. In the context of K_2 , such p^n -rank results have also been worked out by Browkin [3] and Zhou [32]. Our discussion here thus generalizes these prior results. We note that many authors have applied the results of Browkin and Keune to obtain explicit results

*School of Mathematics and Statistics & Hubei Key Laboratory of Mathematical Sciences, Central China Normal University, Wuhan, 430079, P.R.China. E-mail: limmf@ccnu.edu.cn

for K_2 in various specific number fields (for instance, see [4, 14, 21, 22, 31, 33, 34, 35], where this list is far from being exhaustive). It would definitely be an interesting project to apply the results of this paper to perform analogous studies on the higher even K -groups for these said number fields. In this note, we shall only contend ourselves with the case of a quadratic field (although we hope to return to this subject in future works). Instead, we shall turn our attention to the case of a totally real field, where we give an algebraic K -theoretical formulation of the Kummer's criterion in the sense of Greenberg [8] and Kida [10] (see Theorem 6.1 and Remark 6.2 for the details). We then prove this via the algebraic K -theoretical machinery developed in this paper. In particular, our proof *does not* make use of the p -adic L -function.

We end the introductory section giving an outline of the paper. In Section 2, we collect certain basic algebraic notion and notation required for our discussion. Section 3 is where we recall the relation between the Sylow p -subgroups of the even K -groups and various Galois cohomology groups. This paves the way for us to prove our main results in Section 4. Section 5 is where we specialize our main results to the context of a quadratic field. Finally, in Section 6, we give an algebraic K -theoretical formulation of the Kummer's criterion of a totally real field and its proof.

Acknowledgement

This research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 11771164.

2 Algebraic preliminaries

As a start, we introduce certain terminology and notation that will be adhered throughout. Let p be a prime. For a positive integer t , write $v_p(t)$ for the p -adic valuation of t . In particular, if t is a p -power, we have $t = p^{v_p(t)}$. For a finite abelian group N , denote by $N[p^n]$ the subgroup of N consisting of elements annihilated by p^n . Plainly, one has $N[p^{n-1}] \subseteq N[p^n]$ for $n \geq 1$, where $N[p^0]$ is understood to be the trivial group. The quotient module $N[p^n]/N[p^{n-1}]$ can be viewed as a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space, where \mathbb{F}_p is the finite field with p elements. Therefore, it makes sense to define the p^n -rank $r_{p^n}(N) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(N[p^n]/N[p^{n-1}])$.

Throughout the paper, we shall also write N/p^n for N/p^nN . The following elementary lemma is left to the reader as an exercise.

Lemma 2.1. *Let N be a finite abelian group. Then we have*

$$r_{p^n}(N) = r_{p^n}(N/p^n).$$

Finally, for a given profinite group G and a G -module M , we let M^G be the subgroup of M consisting of elements fixed by G and M_G be the largest quotient of M on which G acts trivially. If M is a discrete G -module, we write $H^k(G, M)$ for the k -th Galois cohomology group of G with coefficients in M .

3 Arithmetic preliminaries

In this section, we describe the relation between the algebraic K -groups and étale/Galois cohomology.

3.1 i -fold tensor product

To begin with, fix once and for all an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} . Therefore, an algebraic (possibly infinite) extension of \mathbb{Q} will mean an subfield of our fixed algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. In particular, a number field is understood to be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} contained in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Let F be a number field, whose ring of integers is in turn denoted by \mathcal{O}_F . Throughout the paper, S will always denote a finite set of primes of F which consists of the primes above p and the infinite primes. We then write $\mathcal{O}_{F,S}$ for the ring of S -integers. Let F_S be the maximal algebraic extension of F unramified outside S and denote by $G_S(F)$ the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_S/F)$. For a given finite extension L of F contained in F_S , we shall write $S(L)$ for the set of primes of L above S . Equivalently, $S(L)$ is the set of primes of L which consists of the primes above p and the infinite primes. Since L is contained in F_S , it follows from a straightforward verification that $F_S = L_{S(L)}$. We then write $G_S(L)$ for the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_S/L)$.

Now, if M is a p -primary discrete $G_S(F)$ -module, then it may also be viewed as a discrete $G_S(L)$ -module via restriction of scalar. From which, we have restriction map

$$H^k(G_S(F), M) \longrightarrow H^k(G_S(L), M)$$

and corestriction map

$$H^k(G_S(L), M) \longrightarrow H^k(G_S(F), M)$$

on cohomology. For the subsequent discussion of the paper, we shall require the following standard facts which is recorded here for convenience.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that M is a p -primary discrete $G_S(F)$ -module. Let L be a Galois extension of F contained in F_S . Then the following statements are valid.*

(i) *The corestriction map induces an isomorphism*

$$H^2(G_S(L), M)_{\text{Gal}(L/F)} \cong H^2(G_S(F), M)$$

(ii) *If the group $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ is finite of order coprime to p , then the restriction map induces an isomorphism*

$$H^2(G_S(F), M) \cong H^2(G_S(L), M)^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}.$$

Proof. The first assertion follows from reading off the initial term of the Tate spectral sequence (cf. [18, Theorem 2.5.3]). On the other hand, in view of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ being finite with order coprime to p , the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^r(\text{Gal}(L/F), H^s(G_S(L), M)) \implies H^{r+s}(G_S(F), M)$$

degenerates yielding

$$H^s(G_S(F), M) \cong H^s(G_S(L), M)^{\text{Gal}(L/F)}$$

for every $s \geq 0$. Taking $s = 2$, we have the second assertion. \square

We now introduce the discrete modules that will be frequently considered in this paper. Denote by μ_{p^n} the cyclic group generated by a primitive p^n th-root of unity. We then write μ_{p^∞} for the direct limit of the groups μ_{p^n} . These have natural $G_S(F)$ -module structures. Furthermore, for an integer $i \geq 2$, the i -fold tensor products $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ and $\mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}$ can be endowed with $G_S(F)$ -module structure via the diagonal action. Therefore, we may speak of the Galois cohomology groups $H^k(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})$ and $H^k(G_S(F), \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i})$, noting that

$$H^k(G_S(F), \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}) \cong \varinjlim_n H^k(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}).$$

In particular, for $1 \leq m \leq \infty$, we shall write $\mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i}(L)$ for $H^0(G_S(L), \mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i}) = (\mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i})^{G_S(L)}$.

Let S_p be the set of primes of F above p . For a finite extension L of F contained in F_S , we will write $S_p(L)$ for the set of primes of L above S_p . If w is a prime in $S_p(L)$, we then write L_w for the completion of L at w . One has a natural group homomorphism

$$\text{Gal}(\overline{L_w}/L_w) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(F_S/L).$$

Via this homomorphism, the i -fold tensor product $\mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i}$ may be viewed as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{L_w}/L_w)$ -module for $1 \leq m \leq \infty$, and we then set $\mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i}(L_w)$ to be $H^0(\text{Gal}(\overline{L_w}/L_w), \mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i})$.

The next lemma gives a precise description of these groups.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose that $i \geq 1$. Let L be a finite extension of F contained in F_S . Let \mathcal{L} denote either L or L_w for some $w \in S_p(L)$. Set $a(\mathcal{L})$ to be the largest integer such that $\mathcal{L}(\mu_p)$ contains a primitive $p^{a(\mathcal{L})}$ th root of unity and set $b(i) = v_p(i)$. Then we have*

$$\mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}(\mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} \mu_{p^{a(\mathcal{L})+b(i)}}^{\otimes i}, & \text{if } i = 0 \pmod{|\mathcal{L}(\mu_p) : \mathcal{L}|}, \\ 1, & \text{if } i \neq 0 \pmod{|\mathcal{L}(\mu_p) : \mathcal{L}|}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, one has

$$\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}(\mathcal{L}) = \begin{cases} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}, & \text{if } i = 0 \pmod{|\mathcal{L}(\mu_p) : \mathcal{L}|} \text{ and } n \leq a(\mathcal{L}) + b(i), \\ \mu_{p^{a(\mathcal{L})+b(i)}}^{\otimes i}, & \text{if } i = 0 \pmod{|\mathcal{L}(\mu_p) : \mathcal{L}|} \text{ and } n > a(\mathcal{L}) + b(i), \\ 1, & \text{if } i \neq 0 \pmod{|\mathcal{L}(\mu_p) : \mathcal{L}|}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. See [29, Chap. VI, Proposition 2.2]. □

Remark 3.3. Note that the final equality is also saying that whenever $i = 0 \pmod{|\mathcal{L}(\mu_p) : \mathcal{L}|}$ and $n \leq a(\mathcal{L}) + b(i)$, $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ is a trivial \mathcal{G} -module, where \mathcal{G} is $G_S(L)$ or $\text{Gal}(\overline{L_w}/L_w)$ accordingly to \mathcal{L} being L or L_w .

If L is a finite extension of F , we shall write $\text{Cl}_S(\mathcal{O}_L)$ for the $S(L)$ -class group $\text{Cl}_{S(L)}(\mathcal{O}_L)$. We then write A_L (resp. A_L^S) for the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_L)$ (resp., Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Cl}_S(\mathcal{O}_L)$). The following exact sequence relates this class group with $H^2(G_S(L), \mu_{p^n})$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let L be a finite extension of F contained in F_S . Then for every $n \geq 1$, we have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow A_L^S/p^n \longrightarrow H^2(G_S(L), \mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in S_p(L)} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. See [19, Satz 4] or [18, Proposition 8.3.11]. □

3.2 A brief interlude on étale cohomology

On the other hand, we can view $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ as an étale sheaf over the scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{F,S})$ in the sense of [16, Chap. II], and consider the étale cohomology groups $H_{\acute{e}t}^k(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})$. By [16, Chap. II, Proposition 2.9], the latter is isomorphic to the Galois cohomology groups $H^k(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})$. Writing $\mathbb{Z}_p(i) = \varprojlim_n \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ and taking inverse limit, we therefore obtain

$$\varprojlim_n H_{\acute{e}t}^k(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}) \cong \varprojlim_n H^k(G_S(F), \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}) \cong H_{\mathrm{cts}}^k(G_S(F), \mathbb{Z}_p(i)),$$

where $H_{\mathrm{cts}}^k(\ , \)$ is the continuous cohomology group of Tate (see [18, Chap 2, §7]), and where the second isomorphism is a consequence of [18, Corollary 2.7.6 and Theorem 8.3.20(i)]. To simplify notation, we shall write $H_{\acute{e}t}^k(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) = \varprojlim_n H_{\acute{e}t}^k(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})$.

3.3 Algebraic K -theory

We come to the algebraic K -theoretical aspects. For a ring R with identity, $K_n(R)$ will always denote the algebraic K -groups of R in the sense of Quillen [23, 24] (also see [13, 29]). In particular, we are interested in understanding $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ for $i \geq 1$. As a start, we have the following fundamental but important observation.

Theorem 3.5 (Garland, Quillen-Borel). *For $i \geq 1$, the groups $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ are finite.*

Proof. Quillen [24] showed that the K -groups are finitely generated. The asserted finiteness of the K_{2i} -groups are consequences of the calculations of Borel [2]. We should also mention that prior to Borel's result, the finiteness of $K_2(\mathcal{O}_F)$ has been established by Garland [7]. \square

In [20], Soulé connected the higher K -groups with étale cohomology groups via the p -adic Chern class maps

$$\mathrm{ch}_{i,k}^{(p)} : K_{2i+2-k}(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow H_{\acute{e}t}^k(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}, \mathbb{Z}_p(i+1))$$

for $i \geq 1$ and $k = 1, 2$. (For the precise definition of these Chern class maps, we refer readers to loc. cit.) The famed Quillen-Lichtenbaum Conjecture asserted that these maps are isomorphisms (for instance, see [13, 29] for the history behind). Thanks to the gallant efforts of many, we now know that this prediction is true.

Theorem 3.6. *The p -adic Chern class maps are isomorphisms for $i \geq 1$ and $k = 1, 2$.*

Proof. Soulé first proved that these maps are surjective (see [20, Théorème 6(iii)]; also see the work of Dwyer and Friedlander [6, Theorem 8.7]). The full isomorphism was eventually settled by the deep work of Rost and Voevodsky [27] (also see [28]). \square

Corollary 3.7. *For $i \geq 1$, we have*

$$K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)[p^\infty] \cong H_{\mathrm{cts}}^2(G_S(F), \mathbb{Z}_p(i+1))$$

Furthermore, for each $n \geq 1$, we have

$$K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \cong H^2(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1}).$$

Proof. Since $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is finite by Theorem 3.5, it follows that $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)[p^\infty] \cong K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$. The preceding theorem then implies that the latter is isomorphic to $H_{\text{ét}}^2(\mathcal{O}_{F,S}, \mathbb{Z}_p(i+1))$ which identifies with the corresponding continuous Tate cohomology group as noted in Subsection 3.2. The final isomorphism now follows from considering the long exact sequence of the $G_S(F)$ -cohomology of the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(i+1) \xrightarrow{p^n} \mathbb{Z}_p(i+1) \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1} \longrightarrow 0$$

and noting that $H_{\text{cts}}^3(G_S(F), -) = 0$ (cf. [18, Proposition 10.11.3]). \square

4 Main results

4.1 Twist

As before, p is an odd prime. Let m be a positive integer. Once and for all, we fix a primitive p^m th root of unity ζ in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. Under such a choice, denote by σ_c the element of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^m})/\mathbb{Q})$ which is defined by $\sigma_c(\zeta) = \zeta^c$. This assignment in turn induces an isomorphism $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^m})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of groups.

The Galois group $\text{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^m})/F)$ may be identified with a subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^m})/\mathbb{Q})$. Let Δ be the maximal subgroup of $\text{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^m})/F)$ with order coprime to p . In other words, $\Delta \cong \text{Gal}(F(\mu_p)/F)$. (Of course, the group Δ may be trivial, for instance, if F contains μ_p .) We shall write $d = |\Delta|$. Under this identification, the group Δ can be identified as a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. For our subsequent discussion, we require an explicit description of this embedding of Δ into $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Fix a generator g of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$, and write $\sigma := (\sigma_g)^{p^{m-1}}$. Under these settings, the elements of the group Δ may be identified with elements of the form $\sigma^{k(p-1)/d}$, where $k = 0, 1, \dots, d-1$. Under the surjection $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times \twoheadrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, g is mapped to a generator of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ which by abuse of notation is still denoted as g . Therefore, correspondingly, we have $g^{(p-1)/d}$ being a generator of the subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ which is the image of Δ under the embedding of Δ into $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

Let ω be the Teichmüller character of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$. For a given integer j , we define

$$\varepsilon_j := \varepsilon_j(F) := \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega(g)^{jk(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d}$$

which lives in $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^m})/F)]$. One can check easily that $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j'}$ whenever $j \equiv j' \pmod{|\Delta|}$, and that $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{d-1}$ forms a collection of primitive idempotents of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$.

Lemma 4.1. *Let M be a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$ -module. Then the following statements are valid.*

- (i) $M^\Delta = \varepsilon_0 M$.
- (ii) For every $i \geq 1$, we have $(\mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i} \otimes M)^\Delta = \mu_{p^m}^{\otimes i} \otimes \varepsilon_{-i} M$.

Proof. The first assertion is immediate from the definition of ε_0 . For the second, it suffices to show that

$$\varepsilon_{j+1}(\mu_{p^m} \otimes M) = \mu_{p^m} \otimes \varepsilon_j M$$

for every j . Indeed, the conclusion of the lemma follows from a recursive application of the said equality. Via the natural surjection $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we may also view ω as a character of $(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times$. It then follows that $\omega(g)^{p-1} = 1$ and $\omega(g) = g \pmod{p}$, where the latter in turn implies that $\omega(g) = \omega(g)^{p^{m-1}} = g^{p^{m-1}} \pmod{p^{m-1}}$. Consequently, we have

$$\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{g^{p^{m-1}}} = \zeta^{\omega(g)}.$$

Let $x \in M$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{j+1}(\zeta \otimes x) &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega(g)^{(j+1)k(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d}(\zeta \otimes x) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega(g)^{jk(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d}(\zeta^{\omega(g)^{k(p-1)/d}} \otimes x) \\ &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega(g)^{jk(p-1)/d} (\zeta \otimes \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d} x) = \zeta \otimes \varepsilon_j x. \end{aligned}$$

This establishes our claim and the proof of the lemma is thus complete. \square

We will like to study the p^n -rank of $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ for a given number field F and integer $i \geq 1$. Let $a := a(F)$ be the largest integer such that $F(\mu_p) = F(\mu_{p^a})$. Set $b = v_p(i)$. In other words, b is the largest integer such that p^b divides i . We first consider the case when $n \leq a + b$.

4.2 p^n -rank for $n \leq a + b$

For a given integer $i \geq 1$, let $S_p^{(i)}$ be the set of primes v in S_p such that i is divisible by $|\Delta_v|$, where Δ_v is the decomposition group of Δ at v . In particular, $S_p^{(1)}$ is the set of primes v in S_p which splits completely in $F(\mu_p)/F$. We can now state and prove the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that $n \leq a + b$.*

(i) *If $i = 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}$, we have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_F^S \longrightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

(ii) *If $i \neq 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}$, we then have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes \varepsilon_{-i} A_{F(\mu_p)}^S \longrightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_p^{(i)}} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. We first consider the situation when $i = 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}$. From Proposition 3.4, we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A_F^S/p^n \longrightarrow H^2(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Applying $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes -$, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_F^S \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes H^2(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $i = 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}$, the group $G_S(F)$ acts trivially on $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$, and so we have

$$\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes H^2(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}) \cong H^2(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1}).$$

By Corollary 3.7, the latter is $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n$. This proves (i).

Now suppose that $i \neq 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}$. In this case, we have $G_S(F(\mu_p))$ acting trivially on $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ by Lemma 3.1, since $a(F(\mu_p)) = a$. By a similar argument as above, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_{F(\mu_p)}^S \longrightarrow H^2(G_S(F(\mu_p)), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in S_p(F(\mu_p))} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Taking Δ -invariant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_{F(\mu_p)}^S)^\Delta &\longrightarrow H^2(G_S(F(\mu_p)), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1})^\Delta \\ &\longrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{w \in S_p(F(\mu_p))} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \right)^\Delta \longrightarrow (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})^\Delta \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the above sequence is still exact, as $|\Delta|$ is coprime to p . In view of this latter coprime property, we may also apply Lemma 3.1 to conclude that

$$H^2(G_S(F(\mu_p)), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1})^\Delta \cong H^2(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1}),$$

where the latter is $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n$ by Corollary 3.7. The first term in the exact sequence is

$$\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes \varepsilon_{-i} A_{F(\mu_p)}^S$$

by Lemma 4.1. On the other hand, as $i \neq 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}$, one has $(\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})^\Delta = 0$ by Lemma 3.1. For the remaining local terms, we note that

$$\left(\bigoplus_{w \in S_p(F(\mu_p))} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \right)^\Delta = \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} \left(\bigoplus_{w|v} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \right)^\Delta \cong \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})^{\Delta_v}.$$

It then follows from Lemma 3.1 that one has

$$(\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i})^{\Delta_v} = \begin{cases} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}, & \text{if } i \text{ is divisible by } |\Delta_v|, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining all the above observations, we obtain the conclusion of (ii). \square

We discuss some consequences of the preceding theorem.

Corollary 4.3. *Suppose that $n \leq a + b$. Then*

$$r_{p^n}(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) = \begin{cases} r_{p^n}(A_F^S) + |S_p| - 1, & \text{if } i = 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}, \\ r_{p^n}(\varepsilon_{-i} A_{F(\mu_p)}^S) + |S_p^{(i)}|, & \text{if } i \neq 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose that $i = 0 \pmod{|F(\mu_p) : F|}$. Set N to be the kernel of the map $\bigoplus_{v \in S_p} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$. Then it follows from Theorem 4.2(i) that we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_F^S \longrightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. Plainly, N is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank $|S_p| - 1$, and so the above short exact sequence splits, yielding an isomorphism

$$K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \cong (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_F^S) \oplus N$$

of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -modules. The asserted p^n -rank of $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ is now an immediate consequence of this.

Suppose that $i \neq 0 \pmod{|\Delta|}$. By Theorem 4.2, we have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes \varepsilon_{-i} A_{F(\mu_p)}^S \longrightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_p^{(i)}} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $\bigoplus_{v \in S_p^{(i)}} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ -module of rank $|S_p^{(i)}|$. Therefore, the sequence splits and the asserted p^n -rank of $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ follows from this. \square

We record the following special case of the preceding corollary which generalizes a previous result of Kolster (see [11, Corollary 1.8] and [12, Corollary 3.4]), where he obtained a formula for K_2 .

Corollary 4.4. *Suppose $n \leq a + b$, $|F(\mu_p) : F| = 2$ and i is an odd integer. Then we have*

$$r_{p^n}(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) = r_{p^n}(\varepsilon_1 A_{F(\mu_p)}^S) + |S_p^{(1)}|.$$

4.3 p^n -rank for $n > a + b$

We now consider the situation when n is greater or equal to $a + b$.

Theorem 4.5. *If $n > a + b$, we have the following exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S)_G \longrightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}(F_v) \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}(F) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $E := E_n := F(\mu_{p^{n-b}})$ and $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$.

In preparation of the proof of the theorem, we first establish the following lemma which generalizes an observation made by Keune in [9, Lemma 6.5]. We note that our approach of proof differs from that of Keune.

Lemma 4.6. *Retain the settings of Theorem 4.5. Then $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ is a cohomologically trivial H -module for every subgroup H of G .*

Proof. By replacing F by E^H , it suffices to prove that $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ is a cohomologically trivial G -module. Set $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^\infty})/F)$ and $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^\infty})/E)$. Consider the following spectral sequence

$$H^r(G, H^s(\Gamma_n, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i})) \implies H^{r+s}(\Gamma, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}).$$

It is well-known that the groups Γ_n and Γ have p -cohomological dimension one, and so

$$H^t(\Gamma_n, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}) = H^t(\Gamma, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}) = 0$$

for $t \geq 2$. On the other hand, the well-known Tate Lemma [25] tells us that

$$H^1(\Gamma_n, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}) = H^1(\Gamma, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}) = 0.$$

Hence the spectral sequence degenerates yielding

$$H^r(G, H^0(\Gamma_n, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i})) = 0$$

for every $r \geq 1$. But one also has $H^0(\Gamma_n, \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}) = \mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes i}$ by Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

We can now give the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Proof of Theorem 4.5. In view that $G_S(E)$ acts trivially on $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$, we may apply a similar argument as before to obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S \longrightarrow H^2(G_S(E), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in S_p(E)} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we see that $\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ is a cohomologically trivial G -module. We now proceed to show that $\bigoplus_{w \in S_p(E)} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ is also a cohomologically trivial G -module. First, observe that

$$H^r\left(G, \bigoplus_{w \in S_p(E)} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} H^r\left(G, \bigoplus_{w|v} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} H^r\left(G_v, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right),$$

where the second isomorphism is a consequence of Shapiro's lemma. Since G_v may be identified as a subgroup of G , we may apply Lemma 4.6 to conclude that $H^r\left(G_v, \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right) = 0$ for $r \geq 1$. Hence this shows that $\bigoplus_{w \in S_p(E)} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}$ is a cohomologically trivial G -module. Set $Y := \ker\left(\bigoplus_{w \in S_p(E)} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right)$.

A straightforward cohomological consideration shows that Y is also a cohomologically trivial G -module. Therefore, upon taking $-_G$ functor, we have the following short exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow \left(\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S\right)_G &\longrightarrow \left(H^2(G_S(E), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1})\right)_G \longrightarrow Y_G \longrightarrow 0, \\ 0 \longrightarrow Y_G &\longrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{w \in S_p(E)} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right)_G \longrightarrow \left(\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right)_G \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Splicing these exact sequences, we obtain

$$0 \longrightarrow \left(\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S\right)_G \longrightarrow \left(H^2(G_S(E), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1})\right)_G \longrightarrow \left(\bigoplus_{w \in S_p(E)} \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right)_G \longrightarrow \left(\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i}\right)_G \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 3.1, $\left(H^2(G_S(E), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1})\right)_G \cong H^2(G_S(F), \mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i+1})$ which is precisely $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n$. Finally, for every cohomologically trivial G -module N , one has a natural isomorphism $N_G \cong N^G$ induced by the norm map. Therefore, for the rightmost two terms, we may switch $-_G$ to $-^G$. Putting all these observations together, we obtain the conclusion of our theorem. \square

5 Quadratic fields

We specialize to the quadratic fields. Let m be a squarefree integer $\neq \pm 1, (-1)^{(p-1)/2}p$. Set $F = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Note that in this situation, one has $a = a(F) = 1$. As before, we continue to write $b = v_p(i)$.

We begin with the case of small n .

Proposition 5.1. *Suppose that $n \leq 1 + b$. Then the following statements are valid.*

(i) *If $i = 0 \pmod{p-1}$, then*

$$r_{p^n}(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) = \begin{cases} r_{p^n}(A_F^S) + 1, & \text{if } p \text{ splits in } F/\mathbb{Q}; \\ r_{p^n}(A_F^S), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(ii) *If $i \neq 0 \pmod{p-1}$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & r_{p^n}(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) \\ &= \begin{cases} r_{p^n}(\varepsilon_{-i} A_{F(\mu_p)}^S) + 1, & \text{if } i = 0 \pmod{(p-1)/2} \text{ and } m = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p m_1 \\ & \text{with } p \text{ being split in } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1})/\mathbb{Q}, \\ r_{p^n}(\varepsilon_{-i} A_{F(\mu_p)}^S), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) Since $|S_p| = 2$ or 1 accordingly to the prime p splitting in F/\mathbb{Q} or not, the asserted p^n -rank formula is a consequence of Corollary 4.3.

(ii) From Corollary 4.3, one has

$$r_{p^n}(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) = r_{p^n}(\varepsilon_{-i} A_{F(\mu_p)}^S) + |S^{(i)}|.$$

From the discussion in [32, Section 3], we see that for a prime $v \in S_p$, $|F_v(\mu_p) : F_v| = (p-1)/2$ precisely when the prime p is both ramified in F/\mathbb{Q} and split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1})/\mathbb{Q}$, where $m = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p m_1$. The discussion in [32, Section 3] also tells us that in all other cases, one has $|F_v(\mu_p) : F_v| = p-1$. Hence we have $|S_p^{(i)}| = 1$ when i is divisible by $(p-1)/2$, and $m = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} p m_1$ with p splitting in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1})/\mathbb{Q}$, and $|S_p^{(i)}| = 0$ otherwise. This yields the asserted conclusion. \square

For large n , we may apply Theorem 4.5 to obtain the following. Since the argument is quite similar to that above, we shall omit the proof.

Proposition 5.2. *Suppose that $n > 1 + b$. Set $E = F(\mu_{p^{n-b}})$ and $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$. Then the following statements are valid.*

(i) *Suppose that $i = 0 \pmod{p-1}$.*

(a) *If p is non-split in F/\mathbb{Q} , then we have the following exact sequence*

$$(\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S)_G \cong K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n$$

and therefore

$$r_{p^n}(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) = r_{p^n}\left((\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S)_G\right).$$

(b) If p splits in F/\mathbb{Q} , then we have

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S)_G \longrightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \longrightarrow \mu_{p^{1+b}}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

(ii) Suppose that $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, then we have

$$K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \cong (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S)_G$$

except when one has $i \equiv 0 \pmod{(p-1)/2}$ and $m = (-1)^{(p-1)/2} pm_1$ with p being split in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m_1})/\mathbb{Q}$. In this exceptional case, we instead have a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mu_{p^n}^{\otimes i} \otimes A_E^S)_G \longrightarrow K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)/p^n \longrightarrow \mu_{p^{1+b}}^{\otimes i} \longrightarrow 0.$$

6 On Kummer's criterion

In this section, F will always denote a totally real field. We shall let ζ_p denote a fixed primitive p -th root of unity, and set $d = |F(\zeta_p) : F|$. The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that F is a totally real field such that all the primes in $S_p(F(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}))$ do not split in $F(\zeta_p)$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *The class number of $F(\zeta_p)$ is divisible by p .*
- (2) *The prime p divides the order of $K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d-1$ with i being odd.*

Remark 6.2. Before giving a proof of the above theorem, we explain how the said theorem is equivalent to Kummer's criterion in the sense of [8, Theorem 1] and [10, Theorem 1], and thus, can be thought as an algebraic K -theoretical formulation of it. Write $|\cdot|_p$ for the p -adic norm which is normalized with $|p|_p = 1/p$. Denote by $\zeta_F(s)$ the Dedekind-Zeta function of F . For $a, b \in \mathbb{Q} - \{0\}$, we write $a \sim_p b$ if a/b is a p -unit. For each odd integer i with $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, it follows from the main conjecture of Iwasawa as proven by Wiles [30] that

$$\zeta_F(1 - (i+1)) \sim_p \frac{|K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)|}{|K_{2i+1}(\mathcal{O}_F)|}.$$

(Strictly speaking, the theorem of Wiles will only yield

$$\zeta_F(1 - (i+1)) \sim_p \frac{|H^2(G_S(F), \mathbb{Z}_p(i+1))|}{|H^1(G_S(F), \mathbb{Z}_p(i+1))|},$$

see the paper of Báyer and Neukirch [1]. But we now know that this cohomological version is equivalent to the K -theoretical version as stated above in view of the work of Rost-Voevodsky [27]).

From [29, Chap. VI, Theorem 9.5], we see that $|K_{2i+1}(\mathcal{O}_F)| \sim_p w_{i+1}^{(p)}(F)$, where $w_j^{(p)}(F)$ is the order of $\mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes j}(F) = (\mu_{p^\infty}^{\otimes j})^{\text{Gal}(F/F)}$. Lemma 3.2 then tells us that

$$w_{i+1}^{(p)}(F) = \begin{cases} 1, & 1 \leq i < d-1, i \text{ odd} \\ p^{a(F)}, & i = d-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, upon combining these observations, we obtain

$$|K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)| \sim_p \begin{cases} \zeta_F(1 - (i + 1)), & 1 \leq i < d - 1, i \text{ odd} \\ p^{a(F)} \zeta_F(1 - d), & i = d - 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, statement (2) of Theorem 6.1 is equivalent to saying that p divides one of the numerator of the following rational numbers

$$\zeta_F(1 - (i + 1)) \quad (1 \leq i < d - 1, i \text{ odd}), \quad p^{a(F)} \zeta_F(1 - d).$$

It thus follows that our theorem is equivalent to [8, Theorem 1] and [10, Theorem 1].

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 6.1, where we emphasize that our proof does not make use of p -adic L -functions. We however should make the following remark.

Remark 6.3. Although the proof of Theorem 6.1 does not make use of p -adic L -function, in order to see that the said theorem is equivalent to Kummer's criterion, one requires to be able to relate the special values of the Dedekind-Zeta function and the size of the K -groups. Such relation is of course predicted by a conjecture of Lichtenbaum [15]. At our current knowledge, it would seem the only way to study this relation is via the Iwasawa main conjecture, whose formulation itself will require the p -adic L -functions.

For the proof of Theorem 6.1, we require the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4. *Retain the setting of Theorem 6.1. For every odd integer i such that $1 \leq i \leq d - 1$, we have*

$$r_p(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) = r_p(\varepsilon_{-i} A_E),$$

where $E = F(\zeta_p)$.

Proof. Recall that by Corollary 4.3, one has

$$r_p(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) = r_p(\varepsilon_{-i} A_E^S) + |S_p^{(i)}|.$$

From the assumption that the primes in $S_p(F(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}))$ do not split in $F(\zeta_p)$, we see that $|\Delta_v|$ is even for every $v \in S_p$. But since i is odd, this in turn implies that the set $S_p^{(i)}$ is empty. It therefore remains to show that

$$\varepsilon_{-i} A_E^S = \varepsilon_{-i} A_E$$

for every odd i . Since d is even, this is equivalent to showing that $\varepsilon_i A_E^S = \varepsilon_i A_E$ for every odd i . Let λ be the natural surjection $\text{Cl}(\mathcal{O}_E) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_S(\mathcal{O}_E)$. Then $\ker \lambda$ is generated by the class of primes

in $S_p(E)$. Now observe that for an odd integer i , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_i &= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} \omega(g)^{-ik(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d} \\
&= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \left(\omega(g)^{ik(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d} + \omega(g)^{i(k+\frac{d}{2})(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-(k+\frac{d}{2})(p-1)/d} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \omega(g)^{ik(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d} \left(1 + (-1)^i \sigma^{-\frac{d}{2}(p-1)/d} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{d}{2}-1} \omega(g)^{ik(p-1)/d} \sigma^{-k(p-1)/d} \left(1 - \sigma^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we note that $\sigma^{-\frac{p-1}{2}} = \sigma^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ is the complex conjugation and hence a generator of the group $\text{Gal}(E/F(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}))$. Since the primes in $S_p(F(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1}))$ do not split in $F(\zeta_p)$, they are invariant under the complex conjugation $\sigma^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ and so $\ker \lambda$ is annihilated by $1 - \sigma^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$. This in turn implies that $(\ker \lambda)[p^\infty]$ is annihilated by ε_i for every odd i , which in turn yields $\varepsilon_i A_E^S = \varepsilon_i A_E$ for every odd i . The proof of the lemma is now complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $A = A_{F(\zeta_p)}$ denote the Sylow p -subgroup of the class group of $E := F(\zeta_p)$. Then we have a decomposition

$$A = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d-1} \varepsilon_i A,$$

where one notes that $\bigoplus_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ i \text{ even}}} \varepsilon_i A$ is the Sylow p -subgroup of the class group of $F(\zeta_p + \zeta_p^{-1})$. By [8, Section 4], the class number of E is divisible by p if and only the relative class number of E/E^+ is divisible by p . In view of the above decomposition, the latter is therefore equivalent to

$$r_p \left(\bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d-1 \\ i \text{ odd}}} \varepsilon_i A \right) \geq 1,$$

which is the same as saying that $r_p(\varepsilon_i A) \geq 1$ for some odd i with $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. By Lemma 6.4, this in turn is equivalent to $r_p(K_{2i}(\mathcal{O}_F)) \geq 1$ for some odd i with $1 \leq i \leq d-1$. This therefore completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

- [1] P. Báyer and J. Neukirch, On values of zeta functions and l -adic Euler characteristics. *Invent. Math.* 50 (1978/79), no. 1, 35-64.
- [2] A. Borel, Stable real cohomology of arithmetic groups. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* 7 (1974), 235-272.
- [3] J. Browkin, On the p -rank of the tame kernel of algebraic number fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 432 (1992), 135-149.

- [4] X. Y. Cheng, Tame kernels of pure cubic fields. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 28 (2012), no. 4, 771-780.
- [5] J. Coates, On K_2 and some classical conjectures in algebraic number theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 95 (1972), 99-116.
- [6] W. G. Dwyer; E. Friedlander, Algebraic and étale K -theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 292 (1985), no. 1, 247-280.
- [7] H. Garland, A finiteness theorem for K_2 of a number field. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 94 (1971), 534-548.
- [8] R. Greenberg, A generalization of Kummer's criterion. *Invent. Math.* 21 (1973), 247-254.
- [9] F. Keune, On the structure of the K_2 of the ring of integers in a number field. *K-Theory* 2 (1989), no. 5, 625-645.
- [10] M. Kida, Kummer's criterion for totally real number fields, *Tokyo J. Math.* 14 (1991), no. 2, 309-317.
- [11] M. Kolster, Odd torsion in the tame kernel of totally real number fields. *Algebraic K-theory: connections with geometry and topology* (Lake Louise, AB, 1987), 177-188, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C: Math. Phys. Sci., 279, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1989.
- [12] M. Kolster, K_2 of rings of algebraic integers. *J. Number Theory* 42 (1992), no. 1, 103-122.
- [13] M. Kolster, K -theory and arithmetic. *Contemporary developments in algebraic K-theory*, 191-258, ICTP Lect. Notes, XV, Abdus Salam Int. Cent. Theoret. Phys., Trieste, 2004.
- [14] Y. Li; H. Zhou; F. Deng; X. Wu, The p -rank of tame kernels of pure quintic fields. *Algebra Colloq.* 25 (2018), no. 2, 277-284.
- [15] S. Lichtenbaum, On the values of zeta and L -functions. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 96 (1972), 338-360.
- [16] J. Milne, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*. Second edition. BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006. viii+339 pp.
- [17] J. Milnor, Algebraic K -theory and quadratic forms. *Invent. Math.* 9 (1969/70), 318-344.
- [18] J. Neukirch; A. Schmidt; K. Wingberg, *Cohomology of Number Fields*, 2nd edn., Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 323 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008).
- [19] P. Schneider, Über gewisse Galoiscohomologiegruppen. *Math. Z.* 168 (1979), no. 2, 181-205.
- [20] C. Soulé, K -théorie des anneaux d'entiers de corps de nombres et cohomologie étale. *Invent. Math.* 55 (1979), no. 3, 251-295.
- [21] C. Sun; K. Xu, On the p -rank of tame kernel of number fields. *J. Number Theory* 158 (2016), 244-267.
- [22] H. Qin, Reflection theorems and the p -Sylow subgroup of $K_2\mathcal{O}_F$ for a number field F . *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 214 (2010), no. 7, 1181-1192.
- [23] D. Quillen, Higher algebraic K -theory. I. Algebraic K -theory, I: Higher K -theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 85-147. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 341, Springer, Berlin 1973.
- [24] D. Quillen, Finite generation of the groups K_i of rings of algebraic integers. Algebraic K -theory, I: Higher K -theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), pp. 179-198. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 341, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [25] J. Tate, Letter from Tate to Iwasawa on a relation between K_2 and Galois cohomology. Algebraic K -theory, II: "Classical" algebraic K -theory and connections with arithmetic (Proc. Conf., Seattle Res. Center, Battelle Memorial Inst., 1972), pp. 524-527. *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Vol. 342, Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [26] J. Tate, Relations between K_2 and Galois cohomology. *Invent. Math.* 36 (1976), 257-274.
- [27] V. Voevodsky, On motivic cohomology with \mathbf{Z}/l -coefficients. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 174 (2011), no. 1, 401-438.
- [28] C. Weibel, The norm residue isomorphism theorem. *J. Topol.* 2 (2009), no. 2, 346-372.
- [29] C. Weibel, *The K-book. An introduction to algebraic K-theory*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 145. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. xii+618 pp.
- [30] A. Wiles, The Iwasawa conjecture for totally real fields. *Ann. of Math. (2)* 131 (1990), no. 3, 493-540.
- [31] X. Wu; Z. Zhao, Reflection theorems and the tame kernel of a number field. *Comm. Algebra* 42 (2014), no. 7, 2779-2787.
- [32] H. Zhou, On the p^2 -rank of tame kernels of quadratic fields. *Comm. Algebra* 35 (2007), no. 9, 2810-2819.
- [33] H. Zhou, On tame kernels and ideal class groups. *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* 23 (2007), no. 10, 1807-1812.
- [34] H. Zhou, On the odd part of tame kernels of biquadratic number fields. *Acta Arith.* 144 (2010), no. 3, 275-286.
- [35] H. Zhou; Z. Liang, Tame kernels of cubic and sextic fields. *J. Number Theory* 190 (2018), 277-291.