

Cosmological and black hole Islands in multi-event horizon spacetimes

Gopal Yadav^{1,*} and *Nitin Joshi*^{2,†}

¹Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247667, India

²Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, Rupnagar, Punjab 140001, India

October 4, 2022

Abstract

In this paper, we have analysed the information paradox and its resolution using island proposal in Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole spacetime. First, we study the information paradox for the black hole patch by treating de-Sitter patch on both sides as a frozen background (by inserting thermal opaque membrane) and then we carry out similar study for de-Sitter patch also. In both cases, when there is no island surface then the entanglement entropy has as usual the linear time dependence whereas in the presence of an island surface entanglement entropy become constant (equal to twice of thermal entropy of black hole/de-Sitter patch). Therefore, we obtain the Page curves for the black hole and de-Sitter patch consistent with the unitary evolution of black holes. In our case, we have found that black hole island is located inside the black hole event horizon in contrast to universal result for eternal black holes and also cosmological island is located inside the cosmological event horizon. Further, we have studied the “effect of temperature” on the Page curves and found that Page curves appear at later times for low temperature black holes/de-Sitter patch and it exhibit opposite behaviour for high temperature. This implies that “*dominance of islands*” and “*information recovery*” takes more time for low temperature black holes/de-Sitter patch in contrast to high temperature black holes/de-Sitter patch. We also make comments on the challenges to study the information paradox in SdS spacetime without the thermal opaque membrane.

Keywords : SDS spacetime, Cosmological and black hole island surfaces, Information paradox, Page curve, Scrambling time

*Electronic address- gyadav@ph.iitr.ac.in

†Electronic address- 2018phz0014@iitrpr.ac.in

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The basic setup	4
3	Islands in Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole	7
3.1	Information paradox in black hole patch	7
3.1.1	No island phase	8
3.1.2	Island phase	9
3.1.3	Page curves	12
3.2	Information paradox in cosmological de-Sitter patch	13
3.2.1	No cosmological island phase	13
3.2.2	Cosmological island phase	14
3.2.3	Page-like curves	16
4	Information paradox in multi-event horizons spacetime	17
5	Conclusion	18

1 Introduction

The phenomenon of black hole evaporation has astonished the world of science for quite some time. This marvelous phenomenon is also known as the Hawking radiation or the thermal radiation [1]. There has been a vast study to explore this phenomenon for different spacetimes such as for eternal black holes, Rindler or a non-extremal black hole background where the particle creation do occurs in causally disconnected spacetime wedges and also for uniformly accelerated or Rindler observers in flat spacetimes known as the Unruh effect [2] etc. We refer our reader to [3–9] for an extensive review on these outlooks. The thermal radiation can be collected in terms of entanglement entropy. As the black hole gets hotter it creates more thermal particle or increase more randomness, hence entanglement entropy monotonically increase without a bound but at the end of the evaporation entanglement entropy must go to zero for an evaporating black

hole since state of black hole is a pure state. Therefore, this creates the problem of information paradox [10].

We must mention here, most of these problems addressed into the light for an asymptotically flat spacetimes but the current observation shows that our universe is going through an accelerated phase. So its natural to ask how this information paradox problem gets affected in presence of a positive cosmological constant Λ . Summing it up, we are interested here in the information paradox problem of stationary black hole with a positive cosmological constant Λ or the Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole spacetime. This problem become significant since these black holes can be thought to formed during the early inflationary phase of our universe e.g.,[11–14] and keeping in mind the current phase of accelerated expansion of our universe, it also provide a nice toy models for the global structures of isolated black holes of our universe. Also much like the case of black holes, in de-Sitter space there exist causally disconnected regions. So an observer can only gain access to the parts of the universe which is bounded by its respective horizon. As a whole the Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole spacetime have two event horizon, the cosmological event horizon (CEH) and the black hole event horizon (BEH), while the cosmological event horizon serve as the global causal boundary of the de-Sitter spacetimes. Both of these event horizon posses different temperature thermodynamics compare to $\Lambda \leq 0$ single horizon spacetime [15–17]. Similar to the black hole, cosmological event horizons emits and absorb radiation (Gibbons-Hawking radiation). Loosely speaking, compare to the black hole, entropy generation of the cosmological horizon is an observer dependent property and it gets generated due to the ignorance about what lies beyond the cosmological horizon.

Let us see now how one can resolve the information paradox. We can understand the unitary evolution of the black holes using AdS/CFT correspondance [18]. If we are dealing with time independent and time dependent backgrounds then we can obtain the entanglement entropy of CFT using Ryu-Takayanagi (RT) formula [19] and Hubney-Rangamani-Takayanagi (HRT) formula [20]. Quantum corrections to RT formula was done in [21] and resulting entanglement entropy is known as generalized entropy. Quantum extremal surfaces (QES) can be obtained by extremizing the generalised entropy. One can resolve the black hole information paradox using Island proposal [22]. The proposal was discussed by the coupling black hole in JT gravity including conformal matter (in conformal field theory (CFT)) at the end-of-the-world bane to same CFT bath in two dimensions. Initially, when there is no island surface, one obtains the linear time dependence of entanglement entropy corresponding to Hawking radiation, at late times, interior of the black hole becomes part of the entanglement wedge of Hawking radiation and starts contributing to the entanglement entropy, island contribution is independent of time. We obtain the Page curve by including these contributions together. Island rule was derived using replica trick from gravitational path integral [23, 24].

For the non-holographic models, we can use s-wave approximation to study the black holes in higher dimensions. In s-wave approximation, we ignore the angular part of the metric and we are left with two dimensional CFT metric. Therefore we can calculate the entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation from 2D CFT formula given in [25, 26]. There are many papers in literature based on island proposal, e.g., [27–51] and in higher derivative theories of gravity [52–55]. Island in the context of de-Sitter spacetime have been studied in [56–62]. Proposal to resolve the information paradox of an evaporating black hole from Matrix model has been given by authors in [63]. There are many works on information paradox from doubly holographic setups, e.g., [64, 65] and references therein.

Motivation of the paper is to study the information paradox and its resolution in black hole patch and de-Sitter patch by inserting thermal opaque membranes on both sides of region of interest. We would also like to see the “effect of temperature” on the Page curves and scrambling time.

The structure of this paper is organised as follows. In [Section 2](#) we review the basic setup of our theory where we cast the Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole metric in terms of two Kruskal-like coordinates in order to extend the spacetime beyond them and give the notion on how to separate black hole event horizon and cosmological event horizon by placing a thermal opaque membrane in order to study both of these horizons separately. We split [Section 3](#) into two subsections: in [Subsection 3.1](#), we address the information paradox and its resolution using island proposal in black hole patch and in [Subsection 3.2](#), we follow similar procedure for cosmological de-sitter patch. In [Section 4](#), we give some comments on why it is not possible to study the information paradox problem in the whole SDS spacetime (without the thermal opaque membrane). Finally, in [Section 5](#) we summarize our work. We shall be working with $(-, +, +, +)$ metric signature in $d = (3 + 1)$ - dimensional spacetime and will set $c = \hbar = k_B = 1$ throughout.

2 The basic setup

In the spherical polar coordinates Schwarzschild de-Sitter (SdS) spacetime metric reads

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (1)$$

where M is the mass parameter. In order to find the horizons of the above spacetime, we find the points where the timelike Killing vector field becomes null. We solve for $\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) = 0$. This is a cubic equation admitting three roots, for $0 < 3M\sqrt{\Lambda} < 1$, e.g. [66, 67].

$$r_H = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \cos \frac{\pi + \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda})}{3}, \quad r_C = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\Lambda}} \cos \frac{\pi - \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda})}{3}, \quad r_U = -(r_H + r_C) \quad (2)$$

where r_H, r_C , are positive, known as the black hole (BEH) and the cosmological event horizon (CEH) respectively and $r_U < 0$ is the unphysical horizon. For $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} < 1$, Eq. (1) representing a Schwarzschild black hole sitting inside the cosmological horizon. In the Nariai limit $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} \rightarrow 1$ both of the horizons merge $r_H \rightarrow r_C$ and for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} > 1$, the spacetime has the naked curvature singularity. The respective surface gravities of black hole and cosmological event horizons are given as,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_H &= \frac{\Lambda(2r_H + r_C)(r_C - r_H)}{6r_H} = -\sqrt{\Lambda} \left(\cos \left[\frac{1}{3} \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda}) + \frac{\pi}{3} \right] - \frac{1}{4 \cos \left[\frac{1}{3} \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda}) + \frac{\pi}{3} \right]} \right), \\ -\kappa_C &= \frac{\Lambda(2r_C + r_H)(r_H - r_C)}{6r_C} = \sqrt{\Lambda} \left(\frac{1}{4 \cos \left[\frac{1}{3} \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda}) - \frac{\pi}{3} \right]} - \cos \left[\frac{1}{3} \cos^{-1}(3M\sqrt{\Lambda}) - \frac{\pi}{3} \right] \right).\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

Negative sign in front of κ_C is due to the repulsive effects of positive cosmological constant Λ . Note that since $r_C \geq r_H$, we have $\kappa_H \geq \kappa_C$ and in the Nariai limit both the surface gravity κ_H and κ_C vanish. Eq. (1) contain two coordinate singularities at $r = r_H, r_C$, so we need two Kruskal-like coordinates in order remove them and to extend the spacetime beyond them. First we cast the Eq. (1) into the following form [68]

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) (-dt^2 + dr_*^2) + r^2(r_*) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (4)$$

where r_* , is the tortoise coordinate, given as

$$r_* = \int \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right)^{-1} dr = \frac{1}{2\kappa_H} \ln \left(\frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right) - \frac{1}{2\kappa_C} \ln \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right) + \frac{1}{2\kappa_u} \ln \left(\frac{r}{r_U} - 1 \right) \quad (5)$$

where $\kappa_u = \frac{\kappa_H \kappa_C}{\kappa_H - \kappa_C} = (M/r_u^2 - \Lambda r_u/3)$ related to the surface gravity of the unphysical horizon r_u . Note that, if we expand the log's in above equation, in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, $r_C \approx \sqrt{\frac{3}{\Lambda}} \rightarrow \infty$ or $\kappa_C \approx 1/r_C \rightarrow 0$, we get the Schwarzschild limit, $r_* \approx r + 2M \ln(r/2M - 1)$. Also note that, for $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$, we have the expansion for κ_H and r_H as,

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa_H &= \frac{1}{4M} - \frac{4M\Lambda}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)^{3/2} \approx \frac{1}{4M} \\ r_H &= 2M + \frac{8M^3\Lambda}{3} + \mathcal{O}(\Lambda)^{3/2} \approx 2M\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

Now we use $u = t - r_*$, $v = t + r_*$ the retarded and the advanced null coordinates respectively to rewrite Eq. (4) as

$$ds^2 = - \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) dudv + r^2(u, v) (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (7)$$

Using Eq. (5), we have the above metric Eq. (7) into two alternative forms,

$$ds^2 = -\frac{2M}{r} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right|^{1+\frac{\kappa_H}{\kappa_C}} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_H + r_C} \right)^{1-\frac{\kappa_H}{\kappa_U}} dU_H dV_H + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (8)$$

and

$$ds^2 = -\frac{2M}{r} \left| \frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right|^{1+\frac{\kappa_C}{\kappa_H}} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_H + r_C} \right)^{1+\frac{\kappa_C}{\kappa_U}} dU_C dV_C + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2) \quad (9)$$

where,

$$U_H = -\frac{1}{\kappa_H} e^{-\kappa_H u}, \quad V_H = \frac{1}{\kappa_H} e^{\kappa_H v} \quad \text{and} \quad U_C = \frac{1}{\kappa_C} e^{\kappa_C u}, \quad V_C = -\frac{1}{\kappa_C} e^{-\kappa_C v} \quad (10)$$

are the Kruskal null coordinates. Now Eq. (8) and Eq. (9) are free of coordinate singularities at $r = r_H$ and r_C but sadly there is no single Kruskal coordinate that removes the coordinate singularities of both the horizons for the SdS spacetime at the same time. In order to study the information paradox in black hole patch one needs freeze the other event horizons and vice versa. This can be done by placing a thermal opaque membrane in between.

Realization of thermal opaque membranes in SdS Spacetime: Concept of thermal opaque membrane has been vastly used in literature to study the one horizon and take another one as the boundary, e.g. [69–72] and the references therein. We illustrate the proposal given in [73] of the practical realisation of thermal opaque membrane or thermal opaque membrane. The Klein-Gordon equation in 3 + 1-dimensions, with the radial function have the following form

$$\left(-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_*^2} \right) R(r) + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r} - \frac{\Lambda r^2}{3} \right) \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{2M}{r^3} - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \right) R(r) = 0 \quad (11)$$

Interesting thing to note about the above Schrödinger-like equation is that the effective potential term vanishes at both the horizons and is positive in between. Thus this bell shaped potential works as a barricade between the black hole and cosmological event horizons. In order to visualise it in the Carter-Penrose diagram of the extended Schwarzschild de-Sitter spacetime, we use Eq. (10) to define the Kruskal timelike and spacelike coordinates as,

$$U_H = T_H - R_H, \quad V_H = T_H + R_H, \quad \text{and} \quad U_C = T_C - R_C, \quad V_C = T_C + R_C,$$

multiplying U_H with V_H and U_C with V_C , we have the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} -U_H V_H &= R_H^2 - T_H^2 = \frac{1}{\kappa_H^2} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right|^{-\kappa_H/\kappa_C} \left| \frac{r}{r_U} - 1 \right|^{\kappa_H/\kappa_C} \left(\frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right) \\ -U_C V_C &= R_C^2 - T_C^2 = -\frac{1}{\kappa_C^2} \left| \frac{r}{r_U} - 1 \right|^{-\kappa_C/\kappa_U} \left| \frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right|^{\kappa_C/\kappa_H} \left(1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Thus $r = \text{constant}$ line is a hyperbola joining i^\pm and it can be drawn w.r.t any of the the above Kruskal coordinates, shown in the Carter-Penrose diagram of the maximally extended SdS spacetime in the following sections. Once we place this hyperbola or the thermal opaque membrane, modes of the either side of this wall cannot penetrate it and remain in their respective regions. So this effective potential can be think of a natural realisation of the thermally opaque membrane. Now, we shall be discussing the island proposal [22] to calculate the entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation.

Island Rule: One can calculate entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation using semi-classical generalised entropy formula

$$S_{\text{gen}}(r) = \min_{\mathcal{I}} \left[\text{ext}_{\mathcal{I}} \left(\frac{\text{Area}(\partial\mathcal{I})}{4G_N} + S_{\text{matter}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) \right) \right], \quad (13)$$

where \mathcal{R} , G_N and \mathcal{I} are the radiation region, Newton constant and island surface respectively. As we can see that generalised entropy consists of two parts: area of boundary of island surface $\text{Area}(\partial\mathcal{I})$ and the matter part $S_{\text{matter}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I})$. If there is no island surface then $S_{\text{gen}}(r) = S_{\text{matter}}(\mathcal{R})$ (which is entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation outside the black hole). Rule is that first we need to extremize Eq. (13) with respect to island coordinates. If there are more than one island surface then we need to pick the minimal one.

3 Islands in Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole

In this section, we study the information paradox in Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole by splitting our discussion into two subsections for black hole patch and cosmological de-Sitter patch. We shall be using two dimensional CFT formula in s-wave approximation [29] (observer is very far away from the black hole, i.e, $b_{1,2}^\pm \gg r_{H,C}$) to obtain the entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation.

3.1 Information paradox in black hole patch

To study the information problem for the black hole patch we freeze de-Sitter patch by inserting aforementioned thermal opaque membrane on both sides. We have defined the boundaries of radiation regions on the thermal opaque membranes on both sides. Metric for the black hole region is¹

¹We are rewriting metric, conformal factor etc. here, given in Section 2, for the completeness.

$$ds^2 = -g_H^2(r)dU_H dV_H + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (14)$$

where conformal factor and Kruskal coordinates appearing in Eq. (14) are defined below

$$g_H^2(r) = \frac{2M}{r} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right|^{(1+\frac{\kappa_H}{\kappa_C})} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_C + r_H} \right)^{(1-\frac{\kappa_H}{\kappa_U})},$$

$$U_H = -\frac{1}{\kappa_H} e^{-\kappa_H(t-r_*(r))}; \quad V_H = \frac{1}{\kappa_H} e^{\kappa_H(t+r_*(r))} \quad (15)$$

where tortoise co-ordinate ($r_*(r)$) is given in Eq. (5). Surface gravities for the black hole and de-Sitter horizons are defined in Eq. (3). Thermal entropy of the black hole turns out to be

$$S_{\text{th}}^{\text{BEH}} = \frac{A_{\text{BEH}}}{4G_N} = \frac{\pi r_H^2}{G_N} \quad (16)$$

3.1.1 No island phase

Here, for the black hole patch our region of interest is area enclosed by the thermal opaque membrane on both sides in C and L regions.




Figure 1: Carter-Penrose diagram of Schwarzschild de-Sitter space-time. All the seven wedges are causally disconnected and the regions R, L are time reversed w.r.t C . The spacetime can further be extended towards both sides indefinitely for relevant purpose. Blue color curves are the radiation regions \mathcal{R} , introduced on the thermal opaque membranes on both sides. $H^\pm(C^\pm)$ showing the future and past black hole event horizons (cosmological event horizons), i^\pm represent the future and past timelike infinities respectively and the I^\pm are spacelike infinities.

One can obtain the entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation using the following two di-

dimensional CFT formula [25, 26] in the absence of the island surface,

$$S_{\text{BEH}}^{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{Q}{6} \log(d^2(b_1^+, b_1^-)), \quad (17)$$

where $b_1^+(t_{b_1}, b_1)$, $b_1^-(-t_{b_1} + \iota \frac{\beta}{2}, b_1)$, where $\beta = 2\pi/\kappa_H$ and t_{b_1} is the boundary time for the radiation region in black hole patch, correspond to the boundaries of radiation regions in right and left wedges of the black hole patch respectively and Q is the central charge of 2D CFT. Central charge Q take two numeric values, 1 for bosons and 1/2 for fermions. Geodesic distance between the two points l_1 and l_2 for the 2D part of metric Eq. (14) is given by the following expression [52]

$$d(l_1, l_2) = \sqrt{g_H(l_1)g_H(l_2)(U_H(l_2) - U_H(l_1))(V_H(l_1) - V_H(l_2))} \quad (18)$$

We can simplify equation Eq. (17), using Eq. (15), Eq. (5) and Eq. (18). Simplified form is written as following

$$S_{\text{BEH}}^{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{Q}{6} \log\left(\frac{4g_H(b_1)^2}{\kappa_H^2} \cosh^2(\kappa_H t_{b_1})\right) \quad (19)$$

In the late time approximation, i.e., $t_{b_1} \rightarrow \infty$, $\cosh(\kappa_H t_{b_1}) \sim e^{\kappa_H t_{b_1}}$, Eq. (19) becomes

$$S_{\text{BEH}}^{\mathcal{R}} \sim \frac{Q}{3} \kappa_H t_{b_1} \quad (20)$$

Physical significance of above equation is that due to linear time dependence of entanglement entropy, there will be infinite amount of Hawking radiation in contrast to finite amount required by the Page curve of an eternal black hole [78].

3.1.2 Island phase

In this subsection, we compute the entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation with inclusion of island surface in the setup. Matter part of generalised entropy, Eq. (13), which is the entanglement entropy of the Hawking radiation in the presence of island surface can be calculated from the following formula[25, 26]

$$S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{BEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) = \frac{Q}{3} \log\left(\frac{d(a_1^+, a_1^-)d(b_1^+, b_1^-)d(a_1^+, b_1^+)d(a_1^-, b_1^-)}{d(a_1^+, b_1^-)d(a_1^-, b_1^+)}\right), \quad (21)$$

where $a_1^+(t_{a_1}, a_1)$ and $a_1^-(-t_{a_1} + \iota \frac{\beta}{2}, a_1)$ are the boundaries of the island surface in the right and left wedges of the black hole patch. In the large distance approximation (observer is very far




Figure 2: Carter-Penrose diagram of Schwarzschild de-Sitter space-time. In this figure, we have introduced an island surface \mathcal{I} (red curve) in the black hole patch. Radiation regions \mathcal{R} have been introduced similar to the previous case on both sides.

away from the black hole horizon), $d(a_1^+, a_1^-) \equiv d(b_1^+, b_1^-) \equiv d(a_1^\pm, b_1^\pm) \gg d(a_1^\pm, b_1^\pm)$ [56], Eq. (21) simplifies to

$$S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{BEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) = \frac{Q}{6} \log(d^2(a_1^+, b_1^+)) \quad (22)$$

Matter part of generalised entropy (Eq. (13)) coming from the radiation and island regions can be simplified using Eq. (14) and Eq. (18) and the simplified expression is

$$S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{BEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) = \frac{Q}{6} \log\left(\frac{g_H(a_1)g_H(b_1)}{\kappa_H^2}\right) + \frac{Q}{6} \log\left(-\cosh(t_{a_1} - t_{b_1}) e^{\kappa_H(r_*(a_1)+r_*(b_1))} + e^{2\kappa_H r_*(a_1)} + e^{2\kappa_H r_*(b_1)}\right) \quad (23)$$

Hence the generalised entropy (Eq. (13)), which is sum of area of the boundary of island surface and matter part (Eq. (23)), is given as

$$S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{BEH}} = \frac{2\pi a_1^2}{G_N} + \frac{Q}{6} \log\left(\frac{g_H(a_1)g_H(b_1)}{\kappa_H^2}\right) + \frac{Q}{6} \log\left(-2\cosh(t_{a_1} - t_{b_1}) e^{\kappa_H(r_*(a_1)+r_*(b_1))} + e^{2\kappa_H r_*(a_1)} + e^{2\kappa_H r_*(b_1)}\right) \quad (24)$$

Now we are extremizing the generalised entropy for the black hole patch with respect to the island coordinates (t_{a_1}, a_1) . First we extremize with respect to t_{a_1} in the following way

$$\frac{\partial S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{BEH}}}{\partial t_{a_1}} = -\frac{2e^{\kappa_H(r_*(a_1)+r_*(b_1))} \sinh(t_{a_1} - t_{b_1})}{e^{2\kappa_H r_*(a_1)} + e^{2\kappa_H r_*(b_1)} - 2\cosh(t_{a_1} - t_{b_1}) e^{\kappa_H(r_*(a_1)+r_*(b_1))}} = 0 \quad (25)$$

Solution to the above equation is

$$t_{a_1} = t_{b_1} + 2\iota\pi c_2, \quad (26)$$

where $c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Substituting t_{a_1} from above equation into generalised entropy expression Eq. (24), we obtain

$$S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{BEH}} = \frac{2\pi a_1^2}{G_N} + \frac{Q}{6} \log\left(\frac{g_H(a_1)g_H(b_1)}{\kappa_H^2}\right) + \frac{Q}{6} \log\left(-2e^{\kappa_H(r_*(a_1)+r_*(b_1))} + e^{2\kappa_H r_*(a_1)} + e^{2\kappa_H r_*(b_1)}\right) \quad (27)$$

Location of the island surface in the black hole patch can be obtained by extremising the above equation with respect to a , i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{BEH}}}{\partial a_1} = \frac{4\pi r_H}{G_N} + \frac{Q}{4(a_1 - r_H)} = 0 \quad (28)$$

From the above equation, location of the island in the black hole patch turns out to be

$$a_1 = r_H - \frac{QG_N}{16\pi r_H} \quad (29)$$

In this case, island is located inside the black hole horizon due to negative sign in front of second term in above equation². Now, generalised entropy, after substituting the a_1 from Eq. (29) turns out to be

$$S_{\text{total}}^{\text{BEH}} = \frac{2\pi r_H^2}{G_N} + \mathcal{O}(G_N^0) = 2S_{\text{th}}^{\text{BEH}} + \mathcal{O}(G_N^0) \quad (30)$$

Therefore we see that when we include the island in the interior of the black hole then entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation becomes constant which is equal to twice of thermal entropy of the black hole (up to leading order in G_N).

Page Time: The time at which entanglement entropies of Hawking radiation in the absence of island surface is equal to entanglement entropy in the presence of island surface is known as Page time. We can get the Page time, by equating Eq. (20) and Eq. (30),

$$t_{\text{Page}}^{\text{BEH}} = \frac{6S_{\text{th}}^{\text{BEH}}}{Q\kappa_H} \quad (31)$$

Scrambling Time: Time to recover the information thrown into the black hole in the form of Hawking radiation is known as scrambling time. One can restore the information thrown into the black hole when the black hole has evaporated half of its information [75, 76]. At late times island comes into the picture and we also have contribution from the island in addition to radiation region, this means entanglement wedge of Hawking radiation consists of radiation and island regions both [77]. Hence, in the language of entanglement wedge, time to reach the information from the boundary of radiation region to the island surface is scrambling time

$$t_{\text{scr}} = r_*(b) - r_*(a) \quad (32)$$

Therefore for the black hole patch, from Eq. (5) and Eq. (29), scrambling time turns out to be

$$t_{\text{scr}}^{\text{BEH}} \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa_H} \log\left(\frac{\pi r_H^2}{G_N}\right) + \text{small} \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa_H} \log(S_{\text{th}}^{\text{BEH}}) + \text{small} \quad (33)$$

²Although we have shown, location of islands outside the black hole event horizon in the Penrosed diagram but we explicitly found that it is located inside horizon.

3.1.3 Page curves

In this subsection, we use the results of [Section 3.1.1](#) and [Section 3.1.2](#) to get the Page curves of black hole patch. The relevant equations are [Eq. \(20\)](#) and [Eq. \(30\)](#). We see that if there is no island surface then entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation is proportional to time [Eq. \(20\)](#) and when we include the island surface then it becomes constant ($2S_{\text{th}}^{\text{BEH}}$) [Eq. \(30\)](#). Therefore, when we will plot these contributions together then we will obtain the Page curves of eternal black hole consistent with unitary evolution of the black holes.

Now using [Eq. \(2\)](#), [Eq. \(3\)](#), [Eq. \(20\)](#) and [Eq. \(30\)](#) we obtain the Page curves of the black hole patch for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4$ (green) and $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.5$ (magenta) in [Fig. 3](#) (where we set $Q = G_N = 1$).




Figure 3: Page Curves of black hole patch for fixed values of $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4$ (green) and $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.5$ (magenta). Page times for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4, 0.5$ black holes are $t_{P_1} \approx 26356.8$ and $t_{P_2} \approx 56787.5$ respectively.

From [Fig. 3](#), we can see that as mass of the black hole is increasing the Page curves are shifting towards later times. Hence for massive black holes one is required to wait for long time to recover the information from the black holes in comparison to small mass black holes. We can interpret this result in term of black hole temperature as well. Since black hole temperature is inversely proportional to its mass therefore, we can recover the information earlier in high temperature black holes in comparison to low temperature black holes. In other words, island dominates earlier in high temperature black holes in comparison to the low temperature black holes.

3.2 Information paradox in cosmological de-Sitter patch

In this subsection, we shall study the information problem for the de-Sitter patch treating black holes on the both side as a frozen background (for this purpose again we introduce two thermal opaque membranes on both sides of the black hole patch similar to the previous case). Metric for the region of interest is³

$$ds^2 = -g_C^2(r)U_C dV_C + r^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (34)$$

where conformal factor and Kruskal coordinates for de-Sitter patch are defined below

$$g_C^2(r) = \frac{2M}{r} \left| \frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right|^{(1+\frac{\kappa_C}{\kappa_H})} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_C + r_H} \right)^{(1+\frac{\kappa_C}{\kappa_U})},$$

$$U_C = \frac{1}{\kappa_C} e^{\kappa_C(t-r_*(r))}; \quad V_C = -\frac{1}{\kappa_C} e^{-\kappa_C(t+r_*(r))}. \quad (35)$$

where $r_*(r)$ is the tortoise coordinate given in Eq. (5). Thermal entropy of the de-Sitter patch is, propositional to its horizon radius, given as

$$S_{\text{th}}^{\text{CEH}} = \frac{A_{\text{CEH}}}{4G_N} = \frac{\pi r_C^2}{G_N}. \quad (36)$$

3.2.1 No cosmological island phase

For the de-sitter patch our region of interest is area enclosed by the thermal opaque membrane on both sides in regions C and R . When there is no island surface, then matter entanglement entropy appearing in the generalised entropy Eq. (13) will be given by the formula [25, 26],

$$S_{\text{CEH}}^{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{Q}{6} \log[d^2(b_2^+, b_2^-)], \quad (37)$$

where $b_2^+(t_{b_2}, b_2)$ and $b_2^-(-t_{b_2} + \iota \frac{\beta}{2}, b_2)$, where $\beta = 2\pi/\kappa_C$ and t_{b_2} is the boundary time for the radiation region in de-sitter patch, correspond to boundaries of radiation regions in the right and left wedge for de-Sitter patch. Using Eq. (34), Eq. (35), Eq. (5) and Eq. (18)⁴, the entanglement entropy in the absence of island surface Eq. (37) simplifies to

$$S_{\text{CEH}}^{\mathcal{R}} = \frac{Q}{6} \log \left[4 \left(\frac{g_C(b_2)}{\kappa_C} \right)^2 e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(b_2)} \cosh^2 \kappa_C t_{b_2} \right], \quad (38)$$

³We are rewriting metric, conformal factor etc., here again, given in Section 2 for the completeness.

⁴The formula for geodesic distance between two points for de-Sitter patch is same as the black hole patch but with different conformal factor $g_C(r)$ and Kruskal coordinates $U_C(r), V_C(r)$.




Figure 4: Carter-Penrose diagram of Schwarzschild de-Sitter space-time. b_2^\pm correspond to the boundaries of radiation regions \mathcal{R} defined on the thermal opaque membrane similar to black hole case. See the main text for discussion.

when $t_b \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., at sufficient late times, we can approximate, $\cosh \kappa_C t_{b_2} \sim e^{\kappa_C t_{b_2}}$, and hence time dependent part of Eq. (38) simplifies to

$$S_{\text{CEH}}^{\mathcal{R}} \sim \frac{Q}{3} \kappa_C t_{b_2}. \quad (39)$$

The above equation implies that, when there is no island surface in the de-Sitter patch then entanglement entropy is proportional to time. Therefore, at late times, entanglement entropy is divergent. But this should be finite as suggested in [78] for eternal black holes. Thereby this correspond to information paradox in de-Sitter patch. Let us now solve it by introducing the cosmological island.

3.2.2 Cosmological island phase

In the presence of cosmological island surface matter part of generalised entropy (Eq. (13)) is equal to entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals (b_2^-, a_2^-) and (a_2^+, b_2^+) of 2D CFT [29]

$$S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{CEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) = \frac{Q}{3} \log \left(\frac{d(a_2^+, a_2^-) d(b_2^+, b_2^-) d(a_2^+, b_2^+) d(a_2^-, b_2^-)}{d(a_2^+, b_2^-) d(a_2^-, b_2^+)} \right), \quad (40)$$

where boundaries of island surface are $a_2^+(t_{a_2}, a_2)$ and $a_2^-(-t_{a_2} + \iota_{\frac{\beta}{2}}, a_2)$. If the observer is very far away from the de-Sitter horizon then [56], $d(a_2^+, a_2^-) \equiv d(b_2^+, b_2^-) \equiv d(a_2^\pm, b_2^\mp) \gg d(a_2^\pm, b_2^\pm)$, so Eq. (40) simplifies to

$$S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{CEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) = \frac{Q}{6} \log (d^2(a_2^+, b_2^+)) \quad (41)$$




Figure 5: Penrose diagram of Schwarzschild de-Sitter space time. In this figure, we have introduced cosmological island surface \mathcal{I} (red curve) in the de-sitter patch. See the main text for discussion.

From Eq. (34), Eq. (35), Eq. (5) and Eq. (18), above equation simplifies to the following form

$$S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{CEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) = \frac{Q}{6} \log \left[\frac{g_C(a_2)g_C(b_2)}{\kappa_C^2} \left(-2e^{-\kappa_C(r_*(a_2)+r_*(b_2))} \cosh(\kappa_C(t_{a_2} - t_{b_2})) + e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(b_2)} + e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(a_2)} \right) \right] \quad (42)$$

Hence generalised entropy in the presence of cosmological island surface is

$$S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{CEH}} = \frac{2\pi a_2^2}{G_N} + S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{CEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) \quad (43)$$

To get the location of the cosmological island surface we need to extremize the above equation with respect to location of island surface (t_{a_2}, a_2) , i.e.

$$\frac{\partial S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{CEH}}}{\partial t_{a_2}} = - \frac{2e^{-\kappa_C(r_*(a_2)+r_*(b_2))} \sinh(t_{a_2} - t_{b_2})}{e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(a_2)} + e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(b_2)} - 2 \cosh(t_{a_2} - t_{b_2}) e^{-\kappa_C(r_*(a_2)+r_*(b_2))}} = 0 \quad (44)$$

Solution to the above equation is

$$t_{a_2} = t_{b_2} + 2\ell\pi c_1, \quad (45)$$

where $c_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Using Eq. (45), Eq. (42) takes the following form

$$S_{\text{matter}}^{\text{CEH}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}) = \frac{Q}{6} \log \left[\frac{g_C(a_2)g_C(b_2)}{\kappa_C^2} \right] + \frac{Q}{6} \log \left[\left(-2e^{-\kappa_C(r_*(a_2)+r_*(b_2))} + e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(b_2)} + e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(a_2)} \right) \right] \quad (46)$$

Therefore, simplified form of the generalised entropy is

$$S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{CEH}} = \frac{2\pi a_2^2}{G_N} + \frac{Q}{6} \log \left[\frac{g_C(a_2)g_C(b_2)}{\kappa_C^2} \right] + \frac{Q}{6} \log \left[\left(-2e^{-\kappa_C(r_*(a_2)+r_*(b_2))} + e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(b_2)} + e^{-2\kappa_C r_*(a_2)} \right) \right] \quad (47)$$

Now extremization of Eq. (47) with respect to a will give the location of cosmological island surface in the de-Sitter patch, i.e.,

$$\frac{\partial S_{\text{gen}}^{\text{CEH}}}{\partial a_2} = \frac{4\pi r_C}{G_N} + \frac{Q}{4(a_2 - r_C)} = 0 \quad (48)$$

hence location of cosmological island surface in the de-Sitter patch turns out to be

$$a_2 = r_C - \frac{QG_N}{16\pi r_C} \quad (49)$$

So we can see that cosmological island is located inside the de-Sitter horizon because $\mathcal{O}(G_N)$ term is negative in a_2 . Substituting the a_2 from above equation in Eq. (47), we obtain the total entanglement entropy, at late times

$$S_{\text{total}}^{\text{CEH}} = \frac{2\pi r_C^2}{G_N} + \mathcal{O}(G_N^0) = 2S_{\text{th}}^{\text{CEH}} + \mathcal{O}(G_N^0). \quad (50)$$

From the above equation, we see that total entanglement entropy is constant in the presence of island surface at late times. This constant entropy is nothing but the twice of thermal entropy of de-Sitter patch up to leading order in Newton constant.

Page-Like Time: We compute the Page-like time for the de-Sitter patch similar to the black hole patch, by equating Eq. (39) and Eq. (50), we get

$$t_{\text{Page}}^{\text{CEH}} = \frac{6S_{\text{th}}^{\text{CEH}}}{Q\kappa_C} \quad (51)$$

Scrambling Time: Scrambling time for the de-Sitter patch can be obtained using equations Eq. (5), Eq. (32) and Eq. (49)

$$t_{\text{scr}}^{\text{CEH}} = r_*(b) - r_*(a) \approx \frac{\log\left(\frac{G_N}{\pi r_C^2}\right)}{2\kappa_C} + \text{small} \approx \frac{1}{2\kappa_C} \log(S_{\text{th}}^{\text{CEH}}) + \text{small} \quad (52)$$

The above equation implies that de-Sitter horizons act like fastest scramblers similar to the black holes [76].

3.2.3 Page-like curves

In Section 3.2.1 and Section 3.2.2, we observed that when there is no cosmological island surface then entanglement entropy of de-Sitter radiation is time dependent (Eq. (39)) whereas when we include the cosmological island surface inside the de-Sitter horizon then entanglement entropy turns out to be constant (Eq. (50)). We can plot these entanglement entropies together to obtain the Page-like curves for de-Sitter patch. We have used the numerical values, $Q = G_N = 1$,




Figure 6: Page Curves of de-Sitter patch for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4$ (green) and 0.7 (magenta). Page times for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4$ and 0.7 are $t_{P_1} = 3.143 \times 10^6$ and $t_{P_2} = 3.360 \times 10^6$ respectively. See the main text for discussion.

cosmological constant $\Lambda = 0.001$ and plotted two Page curves for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4, 0.7$. Page time for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4$ is $t_{P_1} = 3.143 \times 10^6$ and for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.7$ is $t_{P_2} = 3.360 \times 10^6$.

Fig. 6 representing the effect of a parameter, $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$, on the Page curves of de-Sitter patch. Diagonal green and magenta lines are corresponding entanglement entropies without cosmological island surface Eq. (39) whereas constant green and magenta lines correspond to entanglement entropies with cosmological island surface Eq. (50) (we have restricted ourselves to leading order in G_N) for $3M\sqrt{\Lambda} = 0.4$ and 0.7 . Effect of the aforementioned parameter on the Page curves of de-Sitter patch, if we increase $3M\sqrt{\Lambda}$ Page curves shift towards later times and vice versa. In term of temperature, this implies that de-Sitter patch with lower temperature takes long time to emit the radiation, hence, we shall be able to recover the information thrown into the de-Sitter patch after long time for lower temperature de-Sitter patch than the higher temperature de-Sitter patch.

4 Information paradox in multi-event horizons spacetime

One can also think of a information paradox problem in whole Schwarzschild de-Sitter (SdS) spacetime since it posses an effective equilibrium temperature [69, 73, 79–82]. Let us see now what are the challenges here. We have already removed the coordinate singularity at $r = r_U$ and $r = r_C$ in Section 1. Following the similar process, we use Eq. (5) to remove the ‘singularity’ of

the metric Eq. (1) at $r = r_U$. The $t - r$ part of Eq. (1) given as

$$ds^2 = -\frac{2M}{r} \left| 1 - \frac{r}{r_C} \right|^{1+\frac{\kappa_U}{\kappa_C}} \left| \frac{r}{r_H} - 1 \right|^{1-\frac{\kappa_U}{\kappa_H}} dU dV \quad (53)$$

where we have,

$$U = -\frac{1}{\kappa_U} e^{-\kappa_U u}, \quad V = \frac{1}{\kappa_U} e^{\kappa_U v} \quad (54)$$

Above metric is not regular on or across black hole and cosmological event horizons. Hence it is only confined in region C . So there is no way to write a Kruskal-like coordinates system which is regular on black hole, cosmological event horizons altogether and covers the whole SDS spacetime.

Furthermore, in terms of island proposal one may discuss the information paradox for the whole SDS spacetime by locating the observers in regions L and R to collect the Hawking radiation and one can define the boundaries, (b_-, b_+) , of radiation regions (\mathcal{R}) there. Then one may include two islands, \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 , with boundaries, (a_1^-, a_1^+) and (a_2^-, a_2^+) , in black hole and de-Sitter patches simultaneously. If one follow this procedure then the matter contribution to the entanglement entropy can be calculated using 2D CFT formula for three intervals as given below⁵

$$S_{\text{matter}}(\mathcal{R} \cup \mathcal{I}_1 \cup \mathcal{I}_2) = \frac{c}{3} \log \left(\frac{d(b_-, a_1^-)d(a_1^+, a_1^-)d(a_2^+, a_1^-)d(b_-, a_2^-)d(a_1^+, a_2^-)d(a_2^+, a_2^-)d(b_-, b_+)d(a_1^+, b_+)d(a_2^+, b_+)}{d(a_1^+, b_-)d(a_2^+, b_-)d(a_2^+, a_1^+)d(a_2^-, a_1^-)d(b_+, a_1^-)d(b_+, a_2^-)} \right). \quad (55)$$

In this case the first term in generalised entropy (Eq. (13)) will be of the form $\frac{2\pi(a_1^2 + a_2^2)}{G_N}$. One can follow the similar procedure to obtain the Page curves as done in Subsection 3.1 and Subsection 3.2. Issue is that, if we consider SDS spacetime altogether then we may not be able to define the same radiation regions on both sides similar to Subsection 3.1 and Subsection 3.2 because on one side we have black hole patch whereas on the other side we have de-Sitter patch. Let us now summarize our work in next section.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied the information paradox in the context of Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole spacetime, using Island proposal, where we have introduced a thermal opaque membrane to isolate the black hole from the de-Sitter patch on both sides and vice-versa. We have computed the entanglement entropy of Hawking radiation in the absence and presence of island surface and found that as usual entanglement entropy has linear time dependence when there is no island surface whereas entanglement entropy becomes constant in the presence of

⁵Without island, matter part will be similar to Subsection 3.1 and Subsection 3.2.

island surface. Therefore, we obtain the Page curve consistent with the unitary evolution of the black holes. In our case, island is located inside the black hole horizon in comparison to universal result that island is located outside the horizon for eternal black holes [83]. However, for the eternal black holes the aforementioned problem can be solved by quantum focusing conjecture (QFC) [84]. Due to QFC, when we decouple or couple a black hole to bath, then finite amount of produced energy flux shift the horizon outward without affecting the location of island surface and hence island always lies inside the black hole horizon. Our result is also similar to [43], where authors computed the Page curve of one-sided asymptotically flat black hole and discovered that the island is located inside the horizon.

As discussed earlier, radiation emitted by the cosmological event horizon are analogous to Hawking radiation of black hole. Good thing is that we can use the 2D CFT formula [25, 26] even for de-Sitter patch in s-wave approximation [29]. Boundaries of the radiation regions for the de-Sitter patch defined in similar manner to the black hole case. The de-Sitter patch entanglement entropy also has a linear time dependence when there is no cosmological island surface implying there will be infinite amount of de-Sitter radiation at late times, consequently we will have de-Sitter information paradox (similar to information paradox of the black hole). To resolve this paradox, we have introduced a cosmological island surface in the de-Sitter patch. Inclusion of cosmological island surface leads to saturation of the entanglement entropy, of de-Sitter radiation, and this saturation value is equal to twice of thermal entropy of de-Sitter radiation. Dominance of entanglement entropy of de-Sitter radiation is similar to Hawking radiation, i.e., before the Page time, entanglement entropy without cosmological island surface dominates and after the Page time, entanglement entropy with inclusion of the island surface dominates and we obtain the Page-like curves for de-Sitter patch as well. Authors in [74] studied the information paradox and its resolution for the black hole by locating the observers at the cosmological horizons on both side whereas in our case, instead of locating the observers at the de-Sitter horizons, here we locate them at thermal opaque membranes.

It is interesting to note that even for the de-Sitter patch, location of the island surface is inside the cosmological event horizon. We have also computed the scrambling times for the black hole and the de-Sitter patch. For both cases scrambling time is proportional to logarithmic of their thermal entropy. Therefore de-Sitter patch also works as fastest scrambler similar to the black hole [76]. While the information paradox in whole SDS spacetime could not be possible since there is no Kruskal-like coordinates system which is regular on both the horizons and cover whole Schwarzschild de-Sitter black hole spacetime. Also, it is not clear how to define the boundaries of radiation regions for the SDS spacetime.

Further, we have analysed the “effect of temperature” on the Page curves of black hole, de-Sitter patch separately and found that as the temperature of black hole/de-Sitter patch increase

it shift Page curves towards the later times ⁶. Therefore black hole/de-Sitter patches with lower temperature will take long time to deliver the information to the observer in comparison to the higher temperature. In terms of dominance of island, this implies that in the black hole/de-Sitter patch island appear late for the lower temperature black hole/de-Sitter patch and island appear earlier for the higher temperature. As soon as an island surface comes into the picture, we start recover the information thrown into the black hole and cosmological event horizons [75, 76]. Hence, we conclude that the “dominance of islands” and scrambling time are depending upon the temperature of both event horizons.

Future direction of this paper could be the study of complexity of Schwarzschild de-Sitter black holes. This will help us to understand the interior of black hole in detail. We shall be returning to this issue in our future publications.

Acknowledgements

GY is supported by a Senior Research Fellowship (SRF) from the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Govt. of India. We would like to thank the organizers of PASCOS 2022 for organizing such a wonderful conference from where we started our collaboration.

References

- [1] S. W. Hawking, Particle creation by black holes, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **43**, 199 (1975).
- [2] W. G. Unruh, *Notes on black hole evaporation,* *Phys. Rev. D* **14**, 870 (1976).
- [3] B. S. DeWitt, *Quantum Field Theory in Curved Spacetime*, *Phys. Rep.* **19**, 295 (1975).
- [4] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1982).
- [5] L. Parker and D. J. Toms, *Quantum field theory in curved spacetime: quantized fields and gravity*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2009).
- [6] K. Srinivasan and T. Padmanabhan, *Particle production and complex path analysis*, *Phys. Rev. D* **60**, 024007 (1999) [gr-qc/9812028].
- [7] S. Barman, G. M. Hossain and C. Singha, *Exact derivation of the Hawking effect in canonical formulation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, no. 2, 025016 (2018) [arXiv:1707.03614 [gr-qc]].

⁶Similar results, “appearance of Page curves at later times or earlier times”, was also observed in [53, 54] due to presence of higher derivative terms in the gravitational action.

- [8] J. H. Traschen, *An Introduction to black hole evaporation*, gr-qc/0010055.
- [9] L. C. B. Crispino, A. Higuchi and G. E. A. Matsas, *The Unruh effect and its applications*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 787 (2008) [arXiv:0710.5373 [gr-qc]].
- [10] S. Hawking, *Breakdown of Predictability in Gravitational Collapse*, Phys. Rev. D **14** (1976), 2460-2473.
- [11] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, *(Anti)evaporation of Schwarzschild de-Sitter black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **57**, 2436 (1998) [hep-th/9709224].
- [12] W. Z. Chao, *Quantum fields in Schwarzschild de-Sitter space*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **7**, 887 (1998) [gr-qc/9712066].
- [13] R. Bousso, *Quantum global structure of de Sitter space*, Phys. Rev. D **60**, 063503 (1999) [hep-th/9902183].
- [14] D. Anninos and T. Anous, *A de Sitter Hoedown*, JHEP **1008**, 131 (2010) [arXiv:1002.1717 [hep-th]].
- [15] K. Lochan, K. Rajeev, A. Vikram and T. Padmanabhan, *Quantum Correlators in Friedmann Spacetimes -The omnipresent de Sitter and the invariant vacuum noise*, arXiv:1805.08800 [gr-qc].
- [16] N. Goheer, M. Kleban and L. Susskind, *The Trouble with de Sitter space*, JHEP **0307**, 056 (2003) [hep-th/0212209].
- [17] D. Marolf, M. Rangamani and M. Van Raamsdonk, *Holographic models of de Sitter QFTs*, Class. Quant. Grav. **28**, 105015 (2011) [arXiv:1007.3996 [hep-th]].
- [18] J. M. Maldacena, *The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **38**, 1113-1133 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9711200 [hep-th]].
- [19] S. Ryu, T. Takayanagi, *Holographic Derivation of Entanglement Entropy from AdS/CFT*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 181602 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0603001].
- [20] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and T. Takayanagi, *A Covariant Holographic Entanglement Entropy Proposal*, JHEP **07** (2007) 062 [arXiv:0705.0016[hep-th]].
- [21] N Engelhardt and A.C. Wall, *Quantum Extremal Surfaces: Holographic Entanglement Entropy beyond the Classical Regime*, JHEP **01** (2015) 073 [1408.3203].
- [22] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan, J. Maldacena and Y. Zhao, *The Page curve of Hawking radiation from semiclassical geometry*, JHEP **03** (2020) 143 [arXiv:1908.10996[hep-th]].

- [23] G. Penington, S. H. Shenker, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, *Replica wormholes and the black hole interior*, [arXiv:1911.11977[hep-th]].
- [24] A. Almheiri, T. Hartman, J. Maldacena, E. Shaghoulian and A. Tajdini, *Replica Wormholes and the Entropy of Hawking Radiation*, JHEP **05** (2020) 013 [arXiv:1911.12333[hep-th]].
- [25] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, *Entanglement entropy and quantum field theory: a non-technical introduction*, Int.J.Quant.Inf. 4 (2006) 429 [arXiv:quant-ph/0505193].
- [26] P. Calabrese, J. Cardy and E. Tonny, *Entanglement entropy of two disjoint intervals in conformal field theory*, J. Stat. Mech P11001, 2009 [arXiv:0905.2069[hep-th]].
- [27] X. Wang, R. Li and J. Wang, *Islands and Page curves of Reissner-Nordström black holes*, JHEP **04** (2021) 103, [arXiv:2101.06867[hep-th]].
- [28] M.H. Yu and X.H. Ge, *Page Curves and Islands in Charged Dilaton Black Holes* Eur.Phys.J.C 82 (2022) 2, 167 [arXiv:2107.03031[hep-th]].
- [29] K. Hoshimoto, N. Iizuka and N.Matsuo, *Islands in Schwarzschild black holes*, JHEP **06** (2020)085 [arXiv:2004.05863[hep-th]].
- [30] F. Omid, *Entropy of Hawking Radiation for Two-Sided Holographic Violating Black Branes* JHEP **04** (2022) 022 [arXiv:2112.05890[hep-th]].
- [31] B. Ahn, S. E. Bak, H. S. Jeong, K. Y. Kim and Y. W. Sun, *Islands in charged linear dilaton black holes*, Phys. Rev. D 105, no.4, 046012 (2022) [arXiv:2107.07444 [hep-th]].
- [32] J. Tian, *Islands in Generalized Dilaton Theories* [arXiv:2204.08751[hep-th]].
- [33] C. Krishnan, V. Patil and J. Pereira, *Page Curve and the Information Paradox in Flat Space*, [arXiv:2005.02993[hep-th]].
- [34] A. Saha, S. Gangopadhyay and J. P. Saha, *Mutual information, islands in black holes and the Page curve*, [arXiv:2109.02996[hep-th]].
- [35] W. Kim and M. Nam, *Entanglement entropy of asymptotically flat non-extremal and extremal black holes with an island* Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 869 (2021) [arXiv:2103.16163[hep-th]].
- [36] M.H. Yu, C.Y. Lu, X.H. Ge and S.J. Sin, *Island, Page Curve and Superradiance of Rotating BTZ Black Holes* Phys.Rev.D **105** (2022) 6, 066009 [arXiv:2112.14361[hep-th]].
- [37] D. H. Du, W. C. Gan, F. W. Shu and J. R. Shun, *Unitary Constraints on Semiclassical Schwarzschild Black Holes in the Presence of Island*, [arXiv:2206.10339[hep-th]].

- [38] A. Roy Chowdhury, A. Saha and S. Gangopadhyay, *The role of mutual information in the Page curve*, [arXiv:2207.13029[hep-th]].
- [39] M. H. Yu and X. H. Ge, *Entanglement Islands in Generalized Two-dimensional Dilaton Black Holes*, [arXiv:2208.01943[hep-th]].
- [40] S. A. Hosseini, O. Luongo, S. Mancini, M. Mirjalali and M. Rafiee, *Planar Black Holes in Holographic Axion Gravity: Islands, Page Times, and Scrambling Times*, [arXiv:2209.00253[hep-th]].
- [41] D. S. Ageev and I. Y. Aref'eva, *Thermal density matrix breaks down the Page curve*, [arXiv:2206.04094[hep-th]].
- [42] S. Djorjđević, A. Gocanin, D. Goćanin and V. Radovanović, *Page Curve for Eternal Schwarzschild Black Hole in Dimensionally-Reduced Model of Dilaton Gravity*, [arXiv:2207.07409[hep-th]].
- [43] W. C. Gan, D. H. Du and F. W. Shu, *Island and Page curve for one-sided asymptotically flat black hole*, JHEP **07** (2022) 020 [arXiv:2203.06310 [hep-th]].
- [44] M. H. Yu, C. Y. Lu, X. H. Ge and S. J. Sin, *Island, Page Curve and Superradiance of Rotating BTZ Black Holes*, Phys.Rev.D **105** (2022) 6, 066009 [arXiv:2112.14361[hep-th]].
- [45] Y. Lu and J. Lin, *Islands in Kaluza-Klein black holes*, EPJC **82** (2022) 132 [arXiv:2109.07845[hep-th]].
- [46] R. Li, X. Wang and J. Wang, *Island may not save the information paradox of Liouville black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **104** (2021) 106015 [arXiv:2105.03271[hep-th]].
- [47] G. K. Karananas, A. Kehagias and J. Taskas, *Islands in Linear Dilaton Black Holes*, J. High Energ. Phys. 2021, 253 (2021). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03\(2021\)253](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP03(2021)253) [arXiv:2101.00024[hep-th]].
- [48] T. Hartman, E. Shaghoulian and A. Strominger, *Islands in Asymptotically Flat 2D Gravity*, J. High Energ. Phys. 2020, 22 (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2020\)022](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)022) [arXiv:2004.13857[hep-th]].
- [49] T. Anegawa and N. Iizuka, *Notes on islands in asymptotically flat 2d dilaton black holes*, J. High Energ. Phys. 2020, 36 (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07\(2020\)036](https://doi.org/10.1007/JHEP07(2020)036) [arXiv:2004.01601[hep-th]].
- [50] X. Wang, R. Li and J. Wang, *Page curves for a family of exactly solvable evaporating black holes*, Phys. Rev. D **103**, 126026 (2021) [arXiv:2104.00224[hep-th]].

- [51] D.S. Ageev, I.Ya. Aref'eva, A.I. Belokon, A.V. Ermakov, V.V. Pushkarev, and T.A. Rusalev, *Entanglement Islands and Infrared Anomalies in Schwarzschild Black Hole*, [arXiv:2209.00036[hep-th]].
- [52] M. Alishahiha, A.F. Astaneh and A. Naseh, *Island in the presence of higher derivative terms*, JHEP **02** (2021) 035 [arXiv:2005.08715[hep-th]].
- [53] G. Yadav, *Page Curves of Reissner-Nordström Black Hole in HD Gravity*, [arXiv:2204.11882[hep-th]].
- [54] A. Anand, *Page Curve and Island in EGB Gravity*, [arXiv:2205.13785[hep-th]].
- [55] G. Yadav and A. Misra, (*"Swiss-Cheese"*) *Entanglement Entropy when Page-ing \mathcal{M} Theory Dual of Thermal QCD above T_c at Intermediate Coupling*, [arXiv:2207.04048[hep-th]].
- [56] S. Azarnia and R. Fareghball, *Islands in Kerr-de Sitter spacetime and their flat limit*, Phys. Rev. D **106**, 026012 (2022) [arXiv:2204.08488[hep-th]].
- [57] W. Sybesma, *Pure de Sitter space and the island moving back in time*, [arXiv:2008.07994[hep-th]].
- [58] V. Balasubramanian, A. Kar and T. Ugajinc, *Islands in de Sitter space*, JHEP **02** (2021) 072 [arXiv:2008.05275[hep-th]].
- [59] H. Geng, *Some information theoretic aspects of de-Sitter holography*, JHEP **02** (2020) 005 [arXiv:1911.02644[hep-th]].
- [60] M. S. Seo, *Information paradox and island in quasi-de Sitter space*, [arXiv:2204.04585[hep-th]].
- [61] S. Azarnia, R. Fareghball, A. Naseh and H.Zolfi, *Islands in Flat-Space Cosmology*, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.104.126017> [arXiv:2109.04795[hep-th]].
- [62] S. Choudhury et al, *Circuit Complexity From Cosmological Islands*, Symmetry **13** (2021) no. 7, 1301 [arXiv:2012.10234[hep-th]].
- [63] V. Gautam, M. Hanada, A. Jevicki and C. Peng, *Matrix Entanglement*, [arXiv:2204.06472[hep-th]].
- [64] H. Geng, *Aspects of AdS₂ Quantum Gravity and the Karch-Randall Braneworld*, [arXiv:2206.11277[hep-th]].
- [65] H. Geng, L. Randall and E. Swanson, *BCFT in a Black Hole Background: An Analytical Holographic Model*, [arXiv:2209.02074[hep-th]].

- [66] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, *Cosmological event horizons, thermodynamics, and particle creation*, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2738 (1977)
- [67] J. H. Traschen, *An Introduction to black hole evaporation*, [arXiv:gr-qc/0010055]
- [68] S. Bhattacharya, *Particle creation by de Sitter black holes revisited*, Phys. Rev. D **98**, no.12, 125013 (2018) [arXiv:1810.13260 [gr-qc]].
- [69] H. Saida, *To what extent is the entropy-area law universal?: Multi-horizon and multi-temperature spacetime may break the entropy-area law*, Prog. Theor. Phys. **122**, 1515-1552 (2010) [arXiv:0910.2510 [gr-qc]].
- [70] M. S. Ma, R. Zhao and Y. Q. Ma, *Thermodynamic stability of black holes surrounded by quintessence*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **49**, no.6, 79 (2017) [arXiv:1606.06070 [gr-qc]].
- [71] Y. Sekiwa, *Thermodynamics of de Sitter black holes: Thermal cosmological constant*, Phys. Rev. D **73**, 084009 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602269 [hep-th]].
- [72] A. Gomberoff and C. Teitelboim, *de Sitter black holes with either of the two horizons as a boundary*, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 104024 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.104024 [arXiv:hep-th/0302204 [hep-th]].
- [73] S. Bhattacharya and N. Joshi, *Entanglement degradation in multi-event horizon spacetimes*, Phys. Rev. D **105**, 065007 [arXiv:2105.02026[hep-th]].
- [74] K. Goswami and K. Narayan, *Small Schwarzschild de Sitter black holes, quantum extremal surfaces and islands*, [arXiv:2207.10724[hep-th]].
- [75] P. Hayden and J. Preskill, *Black holes as mirrors: quantum information in random subsystems* JHEP, 0709:120,2007 [arXiv:0708.4025[hep-th]].
- [76] Y. Sekino and L. Susskind, *Fast Scramblers*, JHEP 0810:065,2008 [arXiv:0808.2096[hep-th]].
- [77] G. Penington, *Entanglement Wedge Reconstruction and the Information Paradox*, [arXiv:1905.08255[hep-th]].
- [78] D.N. Page, *Information in Black Hole Radiation*, Phys.Rev.Lett. **71** (1993) 3743-3746 [arXiv:hep-th/9306083 [hep-th]].
- [79] P. C. W. Davies and T. M. Davis, *How far can the generalized second law be generalized*, Found. Phys. **32**, 1877 (2002) [astro-ph/0310522]
- [80] M. Urano, A. Tomimatsu and H. Saida, *Mechanical First Law of Black Hole Spacetimes with Cosmological Constant and Its Application to Schwarzschild de-Sitter Spacetime*, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 105010 (2009) [arXiv:0903.4230[gr-qc]]

- [81] H. Saida, *de Sitter thermodynamics in the canonical ensemble*, Prog. Theor. Phys.122, 1239 (2010) [arXiv:0908.3041[gr-qc]]
- [82] T. Pappas, P. Kanti, *Schwarzschild de-Sitter spacetime: the role of Temperature in the emission of Hawking radiation*, Phys. Lett. B775, 140 (2017) arXiv:1707.04900[hep-th]
- [83] A. Almheiri, R. Mahajan and J. Maldacena, *Islands outside the horizon*, [arXiv:1910.11077[hep-th]].
- [84] R. Bousso, Z. Fisher, S. Leichenauer and Aron C. Wall, *A Quantum Focussing Conjecture*, Phys. Rev. D 93, 064044 (2016) [arXiv:1506.02669[hep-th]].