

BOURGAIN, BREZIS AND MIRONESCU THEOREM FOR FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV SPACES WITH VARIABLE EXPONENTS

MINHYUN KIM

ABSTRACT. A Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu-type theorem for fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents is established for sufficiently regular functions. We prove, however, that a limiting embedding theorem for these spaces fails to hold in general.

1. INTRODUCTION

In a celebrated work [15], Bourgain, Brezis and Mironescu study the asymptotic behavior of the fractional Sobolev seminorms when the order of differentiability approaches one. Their results are concerned with smooth bounded domains, but the same arguments work for $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain. More precisely, if $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a $W^{1,p}$ -extension domain, then an equality

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{s \nearrow 1} s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{n+sp}} dy dx = K_{n,p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^p dx$$

holds for any $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in (1, +\infty)$ and

$$(1.2) \quad K_{n,p} = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\omega_n|^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\omega) = 2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{p+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+p}{2})} \frac{1}{p}.$$

The equality (1.1) suggests a nonlocal approximation of the Sobolev norm and yields a limiting embedding $W^{s,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as $s \nearrow 1$. Note that Ω is allowed to be unbounded. For the second equality in (1.2), see, for instance, [34, Lemma 2.1] or [30, Proposition 3.9].

In this paper, we consider the Sobolev and fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. The Sobolev spaces with variable exponents $W^{1,p(\cdot)}$ appear naturally from variational problems [2, 5, 19, 26, 46], modeling of the electrorheological fluids [43, 44, 45], thermorheological fluids [6] and image processing [1, 14, 18, 38]. We refer the reader to the books [21, 24] for a comprehensive study. The fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents $W^{s,p(\cdot)}$ are introduced recently in [35], and studied extensively in various contexts: [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 17, 22, 32, 33, 42].

This paper aims to answer a question whether a Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu-type result is true for the fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. On the one hand, the answer is affirmative in the sense that an equality similar to (1.1), which takes the variable exponents into account, holds for functions that are sufficiently regular. However, on the other hand, the answer is negative in the sense that this equality fails to hold in general for functions in Lebesgue spaces—even in Sobolev spaces—with variable exponents. It implies that a

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46E35.

Key words and phrases. fractional Sobolev space, variable exponent, limiting embedding.

Minhyun Kim gratefully acknowledges financial support by the German Research Foundation (GRK 2235 - 282638148).

limiting embedding for the fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, corresponding to $W^{s,p} \rightarrow W^{1,p}$, is not true in general.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be any open set (not necessarily bounded). Let $p : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad 1 < p_- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x,y \in \Omega} p(x,y) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x,y \in \Omega} p(x,y) =: p_+ < +\infty,$$

and define $\bar{p}(x) := p(x,x)$. Let $L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, $W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ denote the Lebesgue, Sobolev and fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, respectively. See [Section 2](#) for the precise definitions. We begin with an extension of (1.1) to an equality which takes a variable exponent p into account.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that p satisfies (1.3) and*

$$(1.4) \quad r^{-\operatorname{osc}_{B_r(x)} p(x,\cdot)} \leq L \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and } 0 < r < \min\{\operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega), 1\}$$

for some constant $L \geq 1$. Then for any $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$(1.5) \quad \lim_{s \nearrow 1} s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy dx = \int_{\Omega} K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx,$$

where

$$K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} = \frac{1}{\bar{p}(x)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\omega_n|^{p(x)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\omega) = 2\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\bar{p}(x)+1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+\bar{p}(x)}{2})} \frac{1}{\bar{p}(x)}.$$

The condition (1.4) says that for a fixed point $x \in \Omega$ the function $p(x, \cdot)$ is log-Hölder continuous at x . We emphasize that it does not impose any regularity on x -variable.

[Theorem 1.1](#) is not a full extension of (1.1) because (1.5) holds for functions that are sufficiently regular. As a natural extension of Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu theorem, one may expect that the equality (1.5) holds for all functions $u \in W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. However, this is not true in general even when the variable exponent p is smooth, which is in sharp contrast to the case when p is constant.

Theorem 1.2. *There exists a smooth variable exponent $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ such that*

$$W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \not\subset W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$$

for all $s \in (0, 1)$.

The following result is an immediate consequence of [Theorem 1.2](#), which shows that a limiting embedding $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)} \rightarrow W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ as $s \nearrow 1$ is not true in general.

Corollary 1.3. *There exists a smooth variable exponent p such that the equality (1.5) does not hold for some $u \in W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.*

[Corollary 1.3](#) tells us that the target space $W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ is too large for (1.5) to be true in general. The failure of $W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ can be explained by the following observation: let us forget about $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ and suppose that a variable exponent $\bar{p}(\cdot)$ is given. What is the natural fractional Sobolev space as an interpolation of $L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ and $W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$? Since there are too many choices of $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfying (1.3) and $p(x,x) = \bar{p}(x)$, there is no way to find the natural interpolation space. This is because the spaces $L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ and $W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ do not contain any information about $p(\cdot, \cdot)$.

The following result nevertheless shows that some Sobolev functions, in a smaller space than $W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$, satisfy the equality (1.5).

Corollary 1.4. *Let Ω be a W^{1,p^+} - and W^{1,p^-} -extension domain. Assume that p satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Then the equality (1.5) holds for all $u \in W^{1,p^+}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p^-}(\Omega)$.*

It is worth mentioning the results in [20, 29], where the authors provide nonlocal approximations to the Sobolev norms with variable exponents $p(x)$. Their approximations involve a suitable class of nonlocal nonconvex energy functionals, generalizing the constant case [16, 40, 41], and their proofs make use of the Hardy–Littlewood maximal function with variable exponent $p(x)$. Since the maximal inequality with variable exponents in a modular form fails in general [37], these approximations require an additional assumption $u \in W^{1,p^+} \cap W^{1,p^-}$ as well.

Let us also mention other related results in the literature. The Bourgain–Brezis–Mironescu theorem is extended to fractional Sobolev norms with Lévy-type measures [30, 31], Orlicz growth [3, 28] and anisotropic structure [25]. The asymptotic behavior of the fractional Sobolev seminorms as $s \searrow 0$ is first established in [39], and extended those with Orlicz growth [4] and anisotropic structure [25].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall definitions of the Lebesgue, Sobolev and fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. The asymptotic behavior of the fractional Sobolev seminorms with variable exponents (in a modular form), for regular functions, is proved in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let us briefly recall definitions of the Lebesgue, Sobolev and fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $q : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying

$$1 < \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} q(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \Omega} q(x) < +\infty.$$

The space

$$L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ measurable} : \varrho_{q(\cdot)}(u/\lambda) < +\infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0\}$$

equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{q(\cdot)} := \|u\|_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \inf \{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho_{q(\cdot)}(u/\lambda) \leq 1 \}$$

is called the *variable exponent Lebesgue space*, where

$$\varrho_{q(\cdot)}(u) := \varrho_{L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{q(x)} dx$$

is a modular. The *Sobolev space with variable exponent* is defined by

$$W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) : D_i u \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{1,q(\cdot)} := \|u\|_{W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{q(\cdot)} + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|D_i u\|_{q(\cdot)}.$$

It is well known that $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces, see [21, 24, 27, 36] for instance.

The fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents are first introduced in [35] and studied in various contexts. Let $p : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function satisfying (1.3) and

define $\bar{p}(x) = p(x, x)$. For $s \in (0, 1)$, the *fractional Sobolev space with variable exponent* is defined by

$$W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) : \varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u/\lambda) < +\infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)} := \|u\|_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{p(\cdot)} + [u]_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)},$$

where

$$[u]_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)} := [u]_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)} := \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u/\lambda) \leq 1 \right\}$$

is a seminorm and

$$\varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u) := \varrho_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u) := s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy dx.$$

It is easy to check that $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space by following the arguments in [23, Proposition 4.24]. Obviously, we have $W^{1,p^+}(\Omega) \cap W^{1,p^-}(\Omega) \subset W^{s,p^+}(\Omega) \cap W^{s,p^-}(\Omega) \subset W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$. Let us collect some useful properties for the the fractional Sobolev spaces with variables exponents. They follow immediately from the definition.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $u \in W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$, then*

$$\min \left\{ [u]_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}^{p^+}, [u]_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}^{p^-} \right\} \leq \varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u) \leq \max \left\{ [u]_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}^{p^+}, [u]_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}^{p^-} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $u, u_k \in W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $[u_k - u]_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$,
- (ii) $\varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u_k - u) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

In particular, if one of the assertions is satisfied, then $\varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u_k) \rightarrow \varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

3. LIMITING BEHAVIOR OF NORMS FOR REGULAR FUNCTIONS

In this section, we study the limiting behavior of fractional Sobolev seminorms with variable exponents (in a modular form) for sufficiently regular functions. Let us first prove [Theorem 1.1](#), which follows from the following two lemmas: [Lemma 3.1](#) and [Lemma 3.2](#). Throughout the paper, we denote by C strictly positive constants whose exact values are not important. These constants might change from line to line.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and assume that p satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx \leq \liminf_{s \nearrow 1} s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy dx$$

for any $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proof. Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$, $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$, $R > 0$ and $x \in \Omega \cap B_R$. Since $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$, there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on R and $\|u\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})}$, such that

$$(3.2) \quad |u(x+h) - u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot h| \leq C|h|^2$$

for all $|h| < \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. Since $p(x, \cdot)$ is continuous at x , we find $r_1 \in (0, \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))$, depending on ε , x , $|\nabla u(x)|$ and p , such that

$$(3.3) \quad (1-\varepsilon)|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x,x)} \leq |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x,y)} \leq (1+\varepsilon)|\nabla u(x)|^{p(x,x)}$$

holds for all $y \in B_{r_1}(x)$. Moreover, by continuity of $p(x, \cdot)$ at x again, there exists $r_2 \in (0, \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega))$, depending on ε , x and θ_0 , such that

$$(3.4) \quad (1 - \varepsilon)\theta^{p(x,x)} \leq \theta^{p(x,y)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\theta^{p(x,x)}$$

holds for all $y \in B_{r_2}(x)$ and $\theta \in [\theta_0, 1]$. We set $r_0 = \min\{r_1, r_2, 1\}$ and let $r \in (0, r_0)$.

For $x \in \Omega \cap B_R$ and $h \in B_r$, we have from (3.2)

$$|\nabla u(x) \cdot h| \leq |u(x+h) - u(x)| + C|h|^2.$$

Using an inequality

$$(3.5) \quad (a + b)^q \leq (1 + \varepsilon)a^q + C(q, \varepsilon)b^q, \quad a, b \geq 0, q > 1,$$

we obtain

$$|\nabla u(x) \cdot h|^{p(x,x+h)} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)|u(x+h) - u(x)|^{p(x,x+h)} + C|h|^{2p(x,x+h)}$$

for some $C = C(R, \|u\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})}, p_+, p_-, \varepsilon) > 0$. Multiplying by $|h|^{-n-sp(x,x+h)}$ and integrating over B_r with respect to h , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{B_r} \frac{|\nabla u(x) \cdot h|^{p(x,x+h)}}{|h|^{n+sp(x,x+h)}} dh \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{B_r} \frac{|u(x+h) - u(x)|^{p(x,x+h)}}{|h|^{n+sp(x,x+h)}} dh + C \int_{B_r} \frac{|h|^{2p(x,x+h)}}{|h|^{n+sp(x,x+h)}} dh \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \Omega \cap B_R$.

Let us estimate I from below. By using the spherical coordinates, we write

$$I = \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(x) \cdot \omega|^{p(x,x+\rho\omega)} \rho^{-1+(1-s)p(x,x+\rho\omega)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\omega) d\rho.$$

We use the change of variables $\omega = A\xi$, where $A \in O(n)$ is a rotation satisfying $\nabla u(x) \cdot \omega = |\nabla u(x)|\xi_n$, so that we have

$$(3.7) \quad I = \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x,x+\rho A\xi)} |\xi_n|^{p(x,x+\rho A\xi)} \rho^{-1+(1-s)p(x,x+\rho A\xi)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) d\rho.$$

Using (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain

$$I \geq (1 - \varepsilon)^2 \left(\int_0^r \int_{\{|\xi_n| \geq \theta_0\}} |\xi_n|^{\bar{p}(x)} \rho^{-1+(1-s)p(x,x+\rho A\xi)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) d\rho \right) |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}.$$

Moreover, since the assumption (1.4) yields

$$(3.8) \quad L^{-1} \leq \min\{1, \rho^{\text{osc}_{B_\rho(x)} p(x, \cdot)}\} \leq \rho^{p(x,x+\rho A\xi) - \bar{p}(x)} \leq \max\{1, \rho^{-\text{osc}_{B_\rho(x)} p(x, \cdot)}\} \leq L,$$

I can be further estimated as

$$\begin{aligned} I &\geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{L^{1-s}} \left(\int_0^r \int_{\{|\xi_n| \geq \theta_0\}} |\xi_n|^{\bar{p}(x)} \rho^{-1+(1-s)\bar{p}(x)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) d\rho \right) |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)^2}{(1 - s)L^{1-s}} \left(\int_{\{|\xi_n| \geq \theta_0\}} |\xi_n|^{\bar{p}(x)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) \right) \frac{r^{(1-s)\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|\xi_n| \geq \theta_0\}} |\xi_n|^{\bar{p}(x)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) &\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\xi_n|^{\bar{p}(x)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) - \int_{\{|\xi_n| < \theta_0\}} \theta_0^{p_-} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) \\ &\geq \bar{p}(x)K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} - \theta_0|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|, \end{aligned}$$

we arrive at

$$(3.9) \quad I \geq \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{(1-s)L^{1-s}} (\bar{p}(x)K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} - \theta_0|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|) \frac{r^{(1-s)\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}.$$

For the last term on the right-hand side of (3.6), we use the assumption (1.3) to have

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{B_r} |h|^{-n+(2-s)p(x, x+h)} dh \leq \int_{B_r} |h|^{-n+(2-s)p_-} dh \leq |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \frac{r^{(2-s)p_-}}{(2-s)p_-}.$$

Therefore, combining (3.6), (3.9), (3.10) and integrating over $\Omega \cap B_R$ yield

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\varepsilon)^2 \frac{s}{L^{1-s}} \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} (\bar{p}(x)K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} - \theta_0|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|) \frac{r^{(1-s)\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx \\ \leq (1+\varepsilon)s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy dx + C \frac{s(1-s)}{2-s} r^{(2-s)p_-} \end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$ independent of s . We take $\liminf_{s \nearrow 1}$ on both sides to deduce

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\varepsilon)^2 \int_{\Omega \cap B_R} (\bar{p}(x)K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} - \theta_0|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|) \frac{1}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx \\ \leq (1+\varepsilon) \liminf_{s \nearrow 1} s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε , θ_0 and R are arbitrarily chosen, we conclude (3.1). \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and assume that p satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Then*

$$(3.11) \quad \limsup_{s \nearrow 1} s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy dx \leq \int_{\Omega} K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx$$

for any $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

To prove Lemma 3.2, we use the dominated convergence theorem. For this purpose, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Assume that p satisfies (1.3). Let $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then, there exists a function $F \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that*

$$(3.12) \quad F_s(x) := s(1-s) \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy \leq F(x), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

for all $s \in [s_0, 1)$.

Proof. Let us write $F_s(x) = I_1 + I_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= s(1-s) \int_{\Omega \cap B_1(x)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy \quad \text{and} \\ I_2 &= s(1-s) \int_{\Omega \setminus B_1(x)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy. \end{aligned}$$

Using

$$|u(y) - u(x)| \leq \int_0^1 |\nabla u(x + t(y-x)) \cdot (y-x)| dt \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} |y-x|$$

and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq (1-s) \int_{\Omega \cap B_1(x)} \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p(x,y)} |x-y|^{-n+(1-s)p(x,y)} dy \\ (3.13) \quad &\leq (1-s) \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right) \int_{B_1} |h|^{-n+(1-s)p_-} dh \\ &= \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{p_-} \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, using (1.3) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &\leq 2^{p_+} s \int_{\Omega \setminus B_1(x)} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p(x,x+h)} |x-y|^{-n-sp(x,y)} dy \\ (3.14) \quad &\leq 2^{p_+} s \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_1} |h|^{-n-sp_-} dh \\ &= 2^{p_+} \frac{|\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{p_-} \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, it follows from (3.13) and (3.14)

$$(3.15) \quad F_s = I_1 + I_2 \leq C \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

for all $s \in (0, 1)$, where C is a constant depending on n, p_+, p_- and $\|u\|_{C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This finishes the proof when Ω is bounded.

Let us next consider the case when Ω is unbounded. We prove that F_s is bounded by an integrable function for all $s \in [s_0, 1)$. To this end, let us consider a large ball $B_{R/2}$ containing $\text{supp } u$. We may assume that $R > 2$. Then, for $x \in \Omega \setminus B_R$, we have

$$F_s(x) = s(1-s) \int_{\Omega \cap B_{R/2}} \frac{|u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy.$$

Since $|x-y| \geq |x| - R/2 \geq |x|/2 \geq 1$, using (1.3) we obtain

$$(3.16) \quad F_s(x) \leq |B_{R/2}| \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right) \left(\frac{2}{|x|} \right)^{n+s_0p_-} \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus B_R.$$

Combining (3.15) and (3.16), we conclude that

$$F_s(x) \leq C \min \left\{ \frac{1}{R^{n+s_0p_-}}, \frac{1}{|x|^{n+s_0p_-}} \right\} =: F(x) \in L^1(\Omega),$$

where C is a constant depending on n, p_+, p_-, s_0 and u . □

In the next lemma, we find a pointwise limit of F_s as $s \nearrow 1$.

Lemma 3.4. *Assume that p satisfies (1.3) and (1.4). Let $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and let F_s be given as in (3.12). Then*

$$\lim_{s \nearrow 1} F_s(x) = K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}$$

for all $x \in \Omega$.

Proof. Let $u \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then there exists a constant $C > 0$, depending on $\|u\|_{C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, such that

$$(3.17) \quad |u(y) - u(x)| \leq |\nabla u(x) \cdot (y - x)| + C|y - x|^2$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$. Similarly as in the proof of [Lemma 3.1](#), for a fixed point $x \in \Omega$ we find $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that [\(3.3\)](#) and [\(3.4\)](#) hold for all $y \in B_{r_0}(x)$. Then, using [\(3.17\)](#) and [\(3.5\)](#) we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|\nabla u(x) \cdot (y - x)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy \\ &\quad + C \int_{B_r(x)} \frac{|x - y|^{2p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega \setminus B_r(x)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p(x,y)}}{|x - y|^{n+sp(x,y)}} dy \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in (0, r_0)$.

Let us estimate I_1 , I_2 and I_3 . We first recall from [\(3.7\)](#) and [\(3.10\)](#) that

$$I_1 = (1 + \varepsilon) \int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x, x + \rho A \xi)} |\xi_n|^{p(x, x + \rho A \xi)} \rho^{-1 + (1-s)p(x, x + \rho A \xi)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) d\rho$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad I_2 \leq C \frac{r^{(2-s)p_-}}{2-s}$$

hold. For I_1 , we use [\(3.3\)](#) and [\(3.8\)](#) to have

$$I_1 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 L^{1-s} \left(\int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\xi_n|^{p(x, x + \rho A \xi)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) \rho^{-1 + (1-s)\bar{p}(x)} d\rho \right) |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}.$$

Moreover, by using [\(3.4\)](#) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\xi_n|^{p(x, x + \rho A \xi)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) &\leq \int_{\{|\xi_n| \geq \theta_0\}} |\xi_n|^{p(x, x + \rho A \xi)} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\xi) + \theta_0 |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \bar{p}(x) K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} + \theta_0 |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we deduce

$$(3.20) \quad I_1 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \frac{L^{1-s}}{1-s} \left((1 + \varepsilon) \bar{p}(x) K_{n, \bar{p}(x)} + \theta_0 |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \right) \frac{r^{(1-s)\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}.$$

For I_3 , it follows from $|x - y|^{-sp(x,y)} \leq r^{sp_- - sp(x,y)} |x - y|^{-sp_-} \leq r^{s(p_- - p_+)} |x - y|^{-sp_-}$

$$(3.21) \quad I_3 \leq \frac{2^{p_+} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{sp_-} \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right) r^{-sp_+}.$$

Combining (3.18)–(3.21), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} F_s(x) &= s(1-s)(I_1 + I_2 + I_3) \\ &\leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 s L^{1-s} \left((1+\varepsilon) \bar{p}(x) K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} + \theta_0 |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \right) \frac{r^{(1-s)\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} \\ &\quad + C \frac{s(1-s)}{2-s} r^{(2-s)p_-} + \frac{2^{p_+} |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}|}{p_-} (1-s) \left(\|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_+} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{p_-} \right) r^{-sp_+}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\limsup_{s \nearrow 1} F_s(x) \leq (1+\varepsilon)^2 \left((1+\varepsilon) \bar{p}(x) K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} + \theta_0 |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \right) \frac{1}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}.$$

Since ε and θ_0 are arbitrarily chosen, we deduce $\limsup_{s \nearrow 1} F_s(x) \leq K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}$. Recall from (3.9)

$$F_s(x) \geq (1+\varepsilon)(1-\varepsilon)^2 \frac{s}{L^{1-s}} \left(\bar{p}(x) K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} - \theta_0 |\mathbb{S}^{n-1}| \right) \frac{r^{(1-s)\bar{p}(x)}}{\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)},$$

which results in $\liminf_{s \nearrow 1} F_s(x) \geq K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)}$, finishing the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2 follows from Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. Moreover, Theorem 1.1 is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2 and the dominated convergence theorem. Let us finish this section by providing the proof of Corollary 1.4.

Proof of Corollary 1.4. Since Ω is a W^{1,p_+} - and W^{1,p_-} -extension domain, we may assume that $u \in W^{1,p_+}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p_-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. There exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p_+}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $W^{1,p_-}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \varrho_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u) - \tilde{\varrho}_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(|\nabla u|) \right| &\leq \left| \varrho_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u) - \varrho_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u_k) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \varrho_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u_k) - \tilde{\varrho}_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(|\nabla u_k|) \right| \\ &\quad + \left| \tilde{\varrho}_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(|\nabla u_k|) - \tilde{\varrho}_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(|\nabla u|) \right| \\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\varrho}_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(v) = \int_{\Omega} K_{n,\bar{p}(x)} |v(x)|^{\bar{p}(x)} dx.$$

Since $W^{1,p_+}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap W^{1,p_-}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$, $u_k \rightarrow u$ in $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we have $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Obviously, we have $I_3 \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, for $\varepsilon > 0$, we obtain

$$\left| \varrho_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u) - \tilde{\varrho}_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(|\nabla u|) \right| \leq \varepsilon + I_2$$

for sufficiently large k . By Theorem 1.1 we arrive at

$$\limsup_{s \nearrow 1} \left| \varrho_{W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)}(u) - \tilde{\varrho}_{L^{\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)}(|\nabla u|) \right| \leq \varepsilon,$$

which conclude the theorem. \square

4. FAILURE OF THE LIMITING EMBEDDING

Having [Theorem 1.1](#) at hand, one may expect the limiting embedding $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)} \rightarrow W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ as a natural extension of the limiting embedding $W^{s,p} \rightarrow W^{1,p}$. However, in this section, we provide an example of a variable exponent $p(\cdot,\cdot)$ such that the limiting embedding $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)} \rightarrow W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}$ fails. As explained in the introduction, the idea is to construct an unnatural fractional Sobolev space as one of the interpolations of the given Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Such a strange space can be constructed even from L^p and $W^{1,p}$ with a constant p . In the following proof, we find a variable exponent $p(\cdot,\cdot)$ such that $\bar{p}(x) = p(x,x) \equiv p$ is a constant and that $W^{1,p} \not\subset W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}$ for all $s \in (0,1)$.

Proof of [Theorem 1.2](#). We may assume that Ω contains \bar{B}_2 . The idea of proof is to construct a variable exponent p so that $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ requires more integrability than $W^{1,\bar{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$. To this end, let $p : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function satisfying [\(1.3\)](#). Slightly abusing notation, we assume that $p(x,y) = p(|x-y|)$ for all $x,y \in \Omega$. Then, $\bar{p} = \bar{p}(x) = p(x,x) = p(0)$ is a constant. For $q \in (1,n)$, we assume

$$(4.1) \quad 1 < \bar{p} < n/q \quad \text{and} \quad p(r) \geq n/(q-1) \quad \text{for } r \geq 1.$$

The assumption [\(4.1\)](#) shows how $W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ requires more integrability than $W^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.

We consider a smooth decreasing function $\phi : (0,+\infty) \rightarrow [0,+\infty)$ satisfying $\phi(t) = |t|^{1-q}$ for $t \in (0,1]$, $0 \leq \phi(t) \leq 1$ for $t \in [1,2]$ and $\phi(t) = 0$ for $t \in [2,+\infty)$. We claim that a function $u : \Omega \rightarrow [0,+\infty]$ defined by $u(x) = \phi(|x|)$ belongs to $W^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega) \setminus W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ for all $s \in (0,1)$. Indeed, a straightforward computation shows

$$\int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{\bar{p}} dx \leq \int_{B_1} |x|^{-(q-1)\bar{p}} dx + |B_2 \setminus B_1| < +\infty$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(x)|^{\bar{p}} dx \leq (q-1)\bar{p} \int_{B_1} |x|^{-q\bar{p}} dx + C|B_2 \setminus B_1| < +\infty.$$

Thus, $u \in W^{1,\bar{p}}(\Omega)$.

It remains to prove $u \notin W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ for all $s \in (0,1)$. Since $u = 0$ outside B_2 , we have

$$\varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u) \geq s(1-s) \int_{\Omega \setminus B_2} \int_{B_1} \frac{|y|^{-(q-1)p(|x-y|)}}{|x-y|^{n+sp(|x-y|)}} dy dx.$$

If $x \in \Omega \setminus B_2$ and $y \in B_1$, then $1 \leq |x-y| \leq |x|+1 \leq \frac{3}{2}|x|$. Thus, by using [\(1.3\)](#) and [\(4.1\)](#), we obtain

$$\varrho_{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(u) \geq s(1-s) \int_{\Omega \setminus B_2} \int_{B_1} \frac{|y|^{-n}}{(\frac{3}{2}|x|)^{n+sp_+}} dy dx = +\infty.$$

Therefore, it follows from [Lemma 2.1](#) $u \notin W^{s,p(\cdot,\cdot)}(\Omega)$ for all $s \in (0,1)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aboulaich, D. Meskine, and A. Souissi. New diffusion models in image processing. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 56(4):874–882, 2008.
- [2] E. Acerbi and G. Mingione. Regularity results for a class of functionals with non-standard growth. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 156(2):121–140, 2001.
- [3] A. Alberico, A. Cianchi, L. Pick, and L. Slavíková. On the limit as $s \rightarrow 1^-$ of possibly non-separable fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.*, 31(4):879–899, 2020.
- [4] A. Alberico, A. Cianchi, L. Pick, and L. Slavíková. On the limit as $s \rightarrow 0^+$ of fractional Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, 26(6):Paper No. 80, 19, 2020.

- [5] Y. A. Alkhutov. The Harnack inequality and the Hölder property of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations with a nonstandard growth condition. *Differ. Uravn.*, 33(12):1651–1660, 1997.
- [6] S. N. Antontsev and J. F. Rodrigues. On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara Sez. VII Sci. Mat.*, 52(1):19–36, 2006.
- [7] E. Azroul, A. Benkirane, and M. Shimi. Eigenvalue problems involving the fractional $p(x)$ -Laplacian operator. *Adv. Oper. Theory*, 4(2):539–555, 2019.
- [8] E. Azroul, A. Benkirane, and M. Shimi. General fractional Sobolev space with variable exponent and applications to nonlocal problems. *Adv. Oper. Theory*, 5(4):1512–1540, 2020.
- [9] A. Baalal and M. Berghout. Density properties for fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, 10(3):308–324, 2019.
- [10] A. Bahrouni. Comparison and sub-supersolution principles for the fractional $p(x)$ -Laplacian. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 458(2):1363–1372, 2018.
- [11] A. Bahrouni and V. D. Rădulescu. On a new fractional Sobolev space and applications to nonlocal variational problems with variable exponent. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S*, 11(3):379–389, 2018.
- [12] A. Bahrouni, V. D. Rădulescu, and P. Winkert. Robin fractional problems with symmetric variable growth. *J. Math. Phys.*, 61(10):101503, 14, 2020.
- [13] R. Biswas and S. Tiwari. Variable order nonlocal Choquard problem with variable exponents. *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 66(5):853–875, 2021.
- [14] E. M. Bollt, R. Chartrand, S. Esedoğlu, P. Schultz, and K. R. Vixie. Graduated adaptive image denoising: local compromise between total variation and isotropic diffusion. *Adv. Comput. Math.*, 31(1-3):61–85, 2009.
- [15] J. Bourgain, H. Brezis, and P. Mironescu. Another look at Sobolev spaces. In *Optimal control and partial differential equations*, pages 439–455. IOS, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [16] H. Brezis and H.-M. Nguyen. Non-local, non-convex functionals converging to Sobolev norms. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 191:111626, 9, 2020.
- [17] J. Chaker and M. Kim. Local regularity for nonlocal equations with variable exponents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06043*, 2021.
- [18] Y. Chen, S. Levine, and M. Rao. Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 66(4):1383–1406, 2006.
- [19] V. Chiadò Piat and A. Coscia. Hölder continuity of minimizers of functionals with variable growth exponent. *Manuscripta Math.*, 93(3):283–299, 1997.
- [20] I. Cinelli, G. Ferrari, and M. Squassina. Nonlocal approximations to anisotropic Sobolev norms. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.13662*, 2021.
- [21] D. V. Cruz-Uribe and A. Fiorenza. *Variable Lebesgue spaces*. Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis. Birkhäuser/Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. Foundations and harmonic analysis.
- [22] L. M. Del Pezzo and J. D. Rossi. Traces for fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents. *Adv. Oper. Theory*, 2(4):435–446, 2017.
- [23] F. Demengel and G. Demengel. *Functional spaces for the theory of elliptic partial differential equations*. Universitext. Springer, London; EDP Sciences, Les Ulis, 2012. Translated from the 2007 French original by Reinie Erné.
- [24] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Růžička. *Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents*, volume 2017 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [25] I. Ceresa Dussel and J. Fernández Bonder. A Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu formula for anisotropic fractional Sobolev spaces and applications to anisotropic fractional differential equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.11873*, 2022.
- [26] X. Fan and D. Zhao. A class of De Giorgi type and Hölder continuity. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 36(3, Ser. A: Theory Methods):295–318, 1999.
- [27] X. Fan and D. Zhao. On the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 263(2):424–446, 2001.
- [28] J. Fernández Bonder and A. M. Salort. Fractional order Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 277(2):333–367, 2019.
- [29] G. Ferrari and M. Squassina. Nonlocal characterizations of variable exponent Sobolev spaces. *Asymptot. Anal.*, 127(1-2):121–142, 2022.
- [30] G. F. Foghem Gounoue. A remake on the Bourgain-Brezis-Mironescu characterization of Sobolev spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2008.07631*, 2020.

- [31] G. F. Foghem Gounoue, M. Kassmann, and P. Voigt. Mosco convergence of nonlocal to local quadratic forms. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 193:111504, 22, 2020.
- [32] K. Ho, Y.-H. Kim, and J. Lee. Schrödinger $p(\cdot)$ -Laplace equations in \mathbb{R}^N involving indefinite weights and critical growth. *J. Math. Phys.*, 62(11):Paper No. 111506, 28, 2021.
- [33] K. Ho, Y.-H. Kim, and I. Sim. Existence results for Schrödinger $p(\cdot)$ -Laplace equations involving critical growth in \mathbb{R}^N . *Nonlinear Anal.*, 182:20–44, 2019.
- [34] H. Ishii and G. Nakamura. A class of integral equations and approximation of p -Laplace equations. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 37(3-4):485–522, 2010.
- [35] U. Kaufmann, J. D. Rossi, and R. Vidal. Fractional Sobolev spaces with variable exponents and fractional $p(x)$ -Laplacians. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, Paper No. 76, 10 pp, 2017.
- [36] O. Kováčik and J. Rákosník. On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$. *Czechoslovak Math. J.*, 41(116)(4):592–618, 1991.
- [37] A. K. Lerner. Some remarks on the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function on variable L^p spaces. *Math. Z.*, 251(3):509–521, 2005.
- [38] F. Li, Z. Li, and L. Pi. Variable exponent functionals in image restoration. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 216(3):870–882, 2010.
- [39] V. Mazya and T. Shaposhnikova. On the Bourgain, Brezis, and Mironescu theorem concerning limiting embeddings of fractional Sobolev spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 195(2):230–238, 2002.
- [40] H.-M. Nguyen. Some new characterizations of Sobolev spaces. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 237(2):689–720, 2006.
- [41] H.-M. Nguyen. Further characterizations of Sobolev spaces. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 10(1):191–229, 2008.
- [42] J. Ok. Local Hölder regularity for nonlocal equations with variable powers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.06611*, 2021.
- [43] K. R. Rajagopal and M. Růžička. On the modeling of electrorheological materials. *Mech. Research Comm.*, 23(4):401–407, 1996.
- [44] K. R. Rajagopal and M. Růžička. Mathematical modeling of electrorheological materials. *Cont. Mech. and Thermodynamics*, 13(1):59–78, 2001.
- [45] M. Růžička. *Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory*, volume 1748 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [46] V. V. Zhikov. On some variational problems. *Russian J. Math. Phys.*, 5(1):105–116 (1998), 1997.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BIELEFELD, 33615 BIELEFELD, GERMANY
 Email address: minhyun.kim@uni-bielefeld.de