

TWISTED HURWITZ NUMBERS: TROPICAL AND POLYNOMIAL STRUCTURES

MARVIN ANAS HAHN AND HANNAH MARKWIG

ABSTRACT. Hurwitz numbers count covers of curves satisfying fixed ramification data. Via monodromy representation, this counting problem can be transformed to a problem of counting factorizations in the symmetric group. This and other beautiful connections make Hurwitz numbers a longstanding active research topic.

In recent work [BF21], a new enumerative invariant called *twisted Hurwitz number* was introduced, which enumerates decompositions of surfaces with boundary and marked points in surgery theory. These objects are related to the Hurwitz theory of non-orientable surfaces and admit an expression in the symmetric group. In this paper, we derive a tropical interpretation of twisted Hurwitz numbers in terms of tropical covers and derive a polynomial structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

Hurwitz numbers are enumerations of branched morphisms between Riemann surfaces with fixed numerical data. They go back to work by Adolf Hurwitz in the 1890s [Hur92] and are now important invariants in enumerative geometry. They admit various equivalent descriptions in the language of different areas of mathematics, e.g., as shown by Hurwitz in his above-mentioned work, they can be computed by an enumeration of factorisations in the symmetric group. This equivalence gives rise to a deep connection between Hurwitz theory and the representation theory of the symmetric group; it will also play a key role in the present work. Moreover, Hurwitz numbers are closely related to the algebraic topology underlying Riemann surfaces, since they turn out to be *topological invariants*. While the theory of Hurwitz numbers has been dormant for most of the 20th century, the close relationship between Hurwitz and Gromov-Witten theories discovered in the 1990s has rekindled interest in these enumerative invariants and led to several exciting developments.

1.1. Hurwitz numbers, Gromov–Witten theory and variants. When studying relations between Hurwitz numbers and Gromov–Witten theory, certain classes of Hurwitz numbers with particularly well-behaved structures take center stage. Among these classes are so-called *double Hurwitz numbers*, which are defined as follows.

Definition 1 (Double Hurwitz numbers). Let $g \geq 0$ be a non-negative integer, $n > 0$ a positive integer and μ, ν partitions of n . Moreover, we fix $p_1, \dots, p_b \in \mathbb{P}^1$, where $b = 2g - 2 + \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)$. Then, we define a cover of type (g, μ, ν) to be a map $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, such that

- S is a Riemann surface of genus g ;
- the ramification profile of 0 is μ ;
- the ramification profile of ∞ is ν ;
- the ramification profile of p_1, \dots, p_b is $(2, 1, \dots, 1)$.

Key words and phrases. Tropical geometry, Hurwitz numbers.
2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14T15, 14N10, 57M12, 05C30.

Two covers $f: S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and $f': S' \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are called equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism $g: S \rightarrow S'$, such that $f = f' \circ g$.

Then, we define **double Hurwitz numbers** as

$$h_g(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{[f]} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(f)|},$$

where the sum runs over all equivalence classes of covers of type (g, μ, ν) .

When $\nu = (1, \dots, 1)$, we call $h_g(\mu, \nu)$ a **single Hurwitz number** and denote it by $h_g(\mu)$.

At the core of the relationship between double Hurwitz numbers and Gromov–Witten theory is a polynomial structure in the prescribed ramification data of these enumerative invariants. First discovered in the seminal work of Goulden, Jackson and Vakil in [GJV05], double Hurwitz numbers exhibit a *piecewise polynomial* behaviour. More precisely, we consider the space

$$\mathcal{H}_{m,n} := \{(\mu, \nu) \in \mathbb{N}^m \times \mathbb{N}^n \mid \sum \mu_i = \sum \nu_j\}$$

of partitions (μ, ν) of fixed lengths m, n and of the same size. For fixed g , we consider the map

$$\begin{aligned} h_g: \mathcal{H}_{m,n} &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ (\mu, \nu) &\mapsto h_g(\mu, \nu) \end{aligned}$$

which parametrises double Hurwitz numbers.

The authors of [GJV05] showed that there exists a hyperplane arrangement $\mathcal{R}_{m,n}$ in $\mathcal{H}_{m,n}$ (called the resonance arrangement), such that the map h_g restricted to each connected component (called *chamber*) of $\mathcal{H}_{m,n} \setminus \mathcal{R}_{m,n}$ may be represented as a polynomial in the entries of μ and ν . In [SSV08; CJM11; Joh15], the natural question of how the polynomials differ from chamber to chamber was studied. It was observed that there is a recursive structure in the sense that this difference can be expressed by double Hurwitz numbers with smaller input data. This is called a *wall-crossing formula*. We want to highlight the work in [CJM11], in which a graph theoretic approach towards the polynomiality of double Hurwitz numbers was established. The key technique in this paper revolves around the field of *tropical geometry*. Tropical geometry is a relatively new field of mathematics, which may be described as a combinatorial shadow of algebraic geometry. The tropical geometry perspective allows to degenerate algebraic curves to certain metric graphs that are called *tropical curves*. In this manner, branched morphisms between Riemann surfaces are *tropicalised* to maps between tropical curves that are called *tropical covers*. Motivated by this point of view, a combinatorial interpretation of double Hurwitz numbers in terms of tropical covers was derived in [CJM10], which laid the groundwork for the analysis of the polynomial behaviour of double Hurwitz numbers undertaken in [CJM11]. In particular, by proceeding along an intricate combinatorial analysis of tropical covers in different chambers, the authors of [CJM11] were able to derive the desired wall-crossing structure.

In the past years, several variants of Hurwitz numbers have appeared in the literature in a plethora of different contexts. Among the most prominent ones are so-called *pruned Hurwitz numbers* [DN18; Hah20], *monotone Hurwitz numbers* [GGN14], *strictly monotone Hurwitz numbers* [KZ15], *completed cycles Hurwitz numbers* [OP06] and many more. For all of these variants the piecewise polynomiality of the double Hurwitz numbers analogue was established and for the majority a wall-crossing structure as well (see e.g. [Hah17; HKL18; HL20; SSZ12]).

Recently, a new exciting variant of Hurwitz numbers was introduced in the literature, namely so-called *twisted Hurwitz numbers* that originate from *surgery theory* in dimension two [BF21].

1.2. Twisted Hurwitz numbers. Surgery theory studies the construction of new manifolds from given ones via cutting and glueing, such that key properties are preserved. In [BF21], the enumeration of decompositions of a given surface with boundary and marked points is studied. More precisely, the authors consider the following setting: Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$ be a partition into m parts. Then, one considers surfaces with m boundary components, $d = |\lambda_m|$ marked points in the boundary, such that the i -th boundary components has λ_i marked points. More precisely, in [BF21] **twisted single Hurwitz numbers** $h_b(\lambda)$ are defined as the enumeration of certain decompositions of such surfaces into b components. It was then proved that quite interestingly the number of such decompositions may be computed in terms of factorisations in the symmetric group, reminiscent of Hurwitz' result in his original work [Hur92] – which was also the motivation for the choice of name. More precisely, we fix the involution

$$\tau = (1 \ n+1)(2 \ n+2) \dots (n \ 2n) \in \mathbb{S}_{2n}$$

and use the notation

$$B_n = C(\tau) = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{2n} \mid \sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau\}, \quad C^\sim(\tau) = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{2n} \mid \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}\}.$$

We further define the subset $B_n^\sim \subset C^\sim(\tau)$ consisting of those permutations that have no self-symmetric cycles (see [BF21, Lemma 2.1]). We then set, for a partition λ of n , $B_\lambda^\sim \subset B_n^\sim$ to consist of those permutations that have $2|\lambda|$ cycles, two of length λ_i for each i , that pair up under conjugation with τ . We are now ready to define **twisted single Hurwitz numbers** in terms of the symmetric group.

Definition 2 (Twisted single Hurwitz numbers, [BF21]). Fix a partition λ of n and a number b (the number of transpositions). Then define

$$\tilde{h}_b(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n!} \#\left\{(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_b) \mid \sigma_s = (i_s \ j_s), j_s \neq \tau(i_s), \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_b (\tau\sigma_b\tau) \dots (\tau\sigma_1\tau) \in B_\lambda^\sim\right\}.$$

A major observation in [BF21] connects twisted single Hurwitz numbers with the Hurwitz theory of non-orientable surfaces developed recently in [CD22]. A more detailed account of this connection may be found in Remark 17.

1.3. Tropical geometry of twisted Hurwitz numbers. The present paper develops a tropical theory of twisted Hurwitz numbers and demonstrates some first applications. In Section 2, we generalise Definition 2 to twisted double Hurwitz numbers $\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu)$. This generalisation arises naturally from the symmetric group expression for twisted single Hurwitz numbers. Moreover, we define in Section 3 a tropical analogue of twisted double Hurwitz numbers in terms of tropical covers. We prove in Section 4 that twisted double Hurwitz numbers coincide with their tropical counterpart, thus giving a tropical correspondence theorem for these enumerative invariants. This allows us to derive a purely graph-theoretic interpretation of twisted double Hurwitz numbers in Section 5 by reinterpreting the tropical covers as directed graphs. Finally, we employ this expression of twisted double Hurwitz numbers as a weighted enumeration of directed graphs to show in Section 6 that these numbers are piecewise polynomial. In fact, we will see that this piecewise polynomiality carries the same chamber structure as that of classical double Hurwitz numbers.

Acknowledgements. The second author acknowledges support by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation), Project-ID 286237555, TRR 195. Computations have been made using the Computer Algebra System GAP and OSCAR [15; 22].

2. TWISTED DOUBLE HURWITZ NUMBERS

In this section, we define twisted double Hurwitz numbers as a factorization problem in the symmetric group, generalizing the case of single twisted Hurwitz numbers discussed in Subsection 1.2. We use the notation of Subsection 1.2. To begin with, we recall that

$$C^\sim(\tau) = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{2n} \mid \tau\sigma\tau^{-1} = \tau\sigma\tau = \sigma^{-1}\}.$$

It was proved in [BF21, Lemma 2.1] for $\sigma \in C^\sim(\tau)$ with the decomposition in cycles $\sigma = c_1 \cdots c_m$, we have for any i that either

- there exists $j \neq i$ with $\tau c_i \tau = c_j^{-1}$ or
- we have $\tau c_i \tau = c_i^{-1}$ and c_i has even length.

In the first case, c_i and c_j are called τ -*symmetric*, while in the second case c_i is called *self-symmetric*. As mentioned above, we denote by $B_n^\sim \subset C^\sim(\tau)$ the set of permutations without self-symmetric cycles and by $B^\sim(\lambda) \subset B_n^\sim$ the set of permutations in B_n^\sim with $2|\lambda|$ cycles and for each i two cycles of length λ_i that are τ -symmetric.

Definition 3 (Cycle type). Let $\sigma \in B_n^\sim$. We denote its cycle type by $C(\sigma)$, which is a partition of $2n$ that we denote by $C(\sigma) = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$, where a_i denotes the length of the i -th cycle of σ .

We may now define twisted double Hurwitz numbers generalising Definition 2.

Definition 4 (Twisted double Hurwitz numbers). Let $g \geq 0, n > 0$ and μ, ν partitions of n . We define $C_g(\mu, \nu)$ as the set of tuples $(\sigma_1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_b, \sigma_2)$, such that we have:

- (1) $b = \frac{2g-2+2\ell(\mu)+2\ell(\nu)}{2}$,
- (2) $C(\sigma_1) = 2\mu, C(\sigma_2) = 2\nu, \eta_i$ are transpositions,
- (3) $\eta_b \cdots \eta_1 \sigma_1 (\tau \eta_1 \tau) \cdots (\tau \eta_b \tau) = \sigma_2$.

Then, we define the associated *twisted double Hurwitz number* as

$$\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu) = \frac{1}{(2n)!!} |C_g(\mu, \nu)|.$$

Remark 5 (Conventional differences). Compared with the definition of twisted single Hurwitz numbers in Definition 2, there are two conventional differences:

- (1) Rather than the number of transpositions, we use the genus as subscript in the notation. In the usual case of Hurwitz numbers (without twisting) counting covers, this corresponds to the genus of the source curves. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the genus g and the number b of transpositions (i.e. simple branch points) are related via

$$b = \frac{2g - 2 + 2\ell(\mu) + 2\ell(\nu)}{2}.$$

- (2) We choose to normalize with the factor $\frac{1}{(2n)!!}$ rather than $\frac{1}{n!}$. This leads to nicer formulae and structural results.

3. TROPICAL TWISTED COVERS AND TWISTED HURWITZ NUMBERS

In [CJM10], tropical Hurwitz numbers have been introduced as a count of tropical covers, parallel to the count of covers of algebraic curves from Definition 1. We start by recalling the basic notions of tropical curves and covers. Then we introduce twisted tropical covers, which can roughly be viewed as tropical covers with an involution. By fixing branch points, we produce a finite count of twisted tropical covers for which we show in the following section that it coincides with the corresponding twisted double Hurwitz number. Readers with a background in the theory of tropical curves are

pointed to the fact that we only consider explicit tropical curves in the following, i.e. there is no genus hidden at vertices.

Definition 6 (Abstract tropical curves). An abstract tropical curve is a graph Γ with the following data:

- (1) The vertex set of Γ is denoted by $V(\Gamma)$ and the edge set of Γ is denoted by $E(\Gamma)$.
- (2) The 1-valent vertices of Γ are called *leaves* and the edges adjacent to leaves are called *ends*.
- (3) The set of edges $E(\Gamma)$ is partitioned into the set of ends $E^\infty(\Gamma)$ and the set of *internal edges* $E^0(\Gamma)$.
- (4) There is a length function

$$\ell: E(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\},$$

such that $\ell^{-1}(\infty) = E^\infty(\Gamma)$.

The genus of an abstract tropical curve Γ is defined as the first Betti number of the underlying graph. An isomorphism of abstract tropical curves is an isomorphism of the underlying graphs that respects the length function. The combinatorial type of an abstract tropical curve is the underlying graph without the length function.

We are now ready to define the notion of a tropical cover.

Definition 7 (Tropical covers). A tropical cover is a surjective harmonic map between abstract tropical curves $\pi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$, i.e.:

- (1) We have $\pi(V(\Gamma_1)) \subset V(\Gamma_2)$ and $\pi^{-1}(E(\Gamma_2)) \subset E(\Gamma_1)$.
- (2) Let $e \in E(\Gamma_1)$, such that $\pi(e) \in E(\Gamma_2)$ (otherwise e is contracted to a vertex under π). Then, we interpret e and $\pi(e)$ as intervals $[0, \ell(e)]$ and $[0, \ell(\pi(e))]$ respectively. We require π restricted to e to be an integer linear function $[0, \ell(e)] \rightarrow [0, \ell(\pi(e))]$ given by $t \mapsto \omega(e) \cdot t$, with $\omega(e) \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\pi(e) \in V(\Gamma_2)$, we define $\omega(e) = 0$. We call $\omega(e)$ the *weight* of e .
- (3) For a vertex $v \in V(\Gamma_1)$, we set $v' = \pi(v) \in V(\Gamma_2)$. We choose an edge e' adjacent to v' . Then, we define the *local degree* d_v at v as

$$d_v = \sum_{\substack{e \in \Gamma_1 \\ e \in \pi^{-1}(e')}} \omega(e).$$

We require d_v to be independent of the choice of e . We call this independency the *harmonicity* or *balancing condition*.

Moreover, we define the *degree* of π as the sum of local degrees at all vertices of Γ_1 in the pre-image of a given vertex of Γ_2 . By the harmonicity condition, the degree is independent of the choice of vertex of Γ_2 .

For any end e of Γ_2 , we define a partition μ_e as the partition of weights of ends of Γ_1 mapping to e . We call μ_e the *ramification profile* of e .

We call two tropical covers $\pi_1: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ and $\pi_2: \Gamma_1' \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ equivalent if there exists an isomorphism $g: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1'$ of metric graphs, such that $\pi_2 \circ g = \pi_1$.

Definition 8 (Twisted tropical covers). We define a twisted tropical cover of type (g, μ, ν) to be a tropical cover $\pi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ with an involution $\iota: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ which respects the cover π , such that:

- We have $\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{R}$ and $p_1, \dots, p_b \in \Gamma_2$ with $p_i < p_{i+1}$, where $b = \frac{2g-2+2\ell(\mu)+2\ell(\nu)}{2}$. These points are called the *branch points*.
- There are $\ell(\mu)$ pairs of ends mapping to $(-\infty, p_1]$ with weights $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\mu)}$ and $\ell(\nu)$ pairs of ends mapping to $[p_b, \infty)$.
- in the preimage of each point p_i , there are either two 3-valent vertices or one 4-valent vertex.

- the edges adjacent to a 4-valent vertex all have the same weight.
- the fixed locus of ι is exactly the set of 4-valent vertices.

Definition 9 (Quotient graph Γ/ι). Let $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a twisted tropical cover with involution $\iota: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$. The involution ι induces a symmetric relation on the vertex and edge sets of Γ : We define for $v, v' \in V(\Gamma)$ (resp. $e, e' \in E(\Gamma)$) that $v \sim v'$ (resp. $e \sim_\iota e'$) if and only if $\iota(v) = v'$ (resp. $\iota(e) = e'$). We define Γ/ι as the graph with vertex set $V(\Gamma)/\sim$ and edge set $E(\Gamma)/\sim$ with natural identifications. For $e = [e', e''] \in E(\Gamma/\iota)$ we define the length $\ell(e)$ as $\ell(e') = \ell(e'')$ and its weight $\omega(e)$ with respect to π to be the weight $\omega(e') = \omega(e'')$.

Example 10. The graph on the left in Figure 1 illustrates a twisted tropical cover π of type $(0, (4), (2, 2))$. We fix $p_1 = -5$ and $p_2 = 5$. The graph on the top represents the source curve Γ_1 , whereas the graph Γ_2 on the bottom is \mathbb{R} with two marked points p_1 and p_2 . The graph above is mapped to the graph below via the projection indicated by the arrow, e.g. the two left most edges of Γ_1 are each mapped to $(-\infty, -5)$. The green labels indicate the lengths of the corresponding edge. We have six unbounded edges of Γ_1 , whose lengths we denote by ∞ and two bounded edges, each of length five and each mapped to $(-5, 5)$. The graph Γ_2 has two unbounded edges corresponding to the intervals $(-\infty, -5)$ and $(5, \infty)$ and one bounded edge which corresponds to $(-5, 5)$. The red labels indicate the weights $\omega(e)$ of the corresponding edges e . For the two bounded edges of Γ_1 , we see immediately that the corresponding weight has to be 2, since $\ell(\pi(e)) = \omega(e) \cdot \ell(e)$. For the unbounded edges the weight cannot be read off the difference of lengths of the original edge and its image. However, the choices of weights in Figure 1 satisfy the balancing condition. The involution ι can be visualized in the picture as the reflection along a horizontal line passing through the 4-valent vertex. We can see that the picture yields a twisted tropical cover of type $(0, (4), (2, 2))$. On the right of Figure 1 the quotient graph Γ/ι is illustrated.

Definition 11 (Twisted tropical Hurwitz number). We define the tropical twisted double Hurwitz number $\tilde{h}_g^{\text{trop}}(\mu, \nu)$ to be the weighted enumeration of equivalence classes of twisted tropical covers of type (g, μ, ν) , such that each equivalence class $[\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}]$ is counted with multiplicity

$$2^{b-\ell(\mu)+1} \cdot \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|} \cdot \prod_V (\mu_V - 1) \prod_e \mu(e),$$

where b is the number of branch points. Moreover, the first product goes over all 4-valent vertices and μ_V denotes the weight of the adjacent edges, while the second product is taken over all edges of the quotient graph Γ/ι .

4. THE CORRESPONDENCE THEOREM

In this section, we show that twisted double Hurwitz numbers coincide with their tropical counterparts.

In [BF21, Theorem 2.12], the cut-and-join operator for twisted Hurwitz numbers was derived. The following is a reformulation of said theorem in tropical language.

Construction/Theorem 12. Let $(\sigma_1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_b, \sigma_2) \in C_g(\mu, \nu)$. We fix $\Gamma_2 = \mathbb{R}$ and construct a tropical cover $\pi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ associated to this tuple:

- (1) First, we fix $p_1, \dots, p_b \in \Gamma_2$ with $p_i < p_{i+1}$ and set $p_0 = -\infty$ and $p_{b+1} = \infty$.
- (2) We begin with $2\ell(\mu)$ ends over the interval $(-\infty, p_1]$, labelled by $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{2\ell(\mu)}$.
- (3) By [BF21, Theorem 2.14], the product

$$\eta_1 \circ \sigma_1 \circ (\tau \eta_1 \tau) \tag{1}$$


gives rise to three cases.

Moreover, there are $2\ell(\sigma_1^i)\ell(\sigma_1^j)$ transposition η_1 joining σ_1^i with σ_1^j and the other two cycles with each other.

(b) In the second case, we have two cycles σ_1^1, σ_1^2 of σ_1 , such that


$$\sigma_1^1 \sigma_1^2 \in B_{\tilde{n}}.$$

Then the product in Equation (1) splits two cycles σ_1^1 and σ_1^2 each into two cycles, that we denote by $\sigma_1^{1,a}, \sigma_1^{1,b}$ and $\sigma_1^{2,a}, \sigma_1^{2,b}$ respectively. In this case, we create two vertices over p_1 each adjacent to one end labeled by σ_1^1 and σ_1^2 respectively. Moreover, we attach to each vertex two edges projecting to $[p_1, p_2]$ that are labeled by the corresponding cycles obtained from the split, i.e. the new edges attached to the vertex adjacent to σ_1^1 are labeled by $\sigma_1^{1,a}$ and $\sigma_1^{1,b}$. We illustrate this construction in the following picture.



If $\ell(\sigma_1^{1,a}) \neq \ell(\sigma_1^{1,b})$, there are $2\ell(\sigma_1^{1,a})\ell(\sigma_1^{1,b})$ many transpositions η_1 giving rise to this picture. If $\ell(\sigma_1^{1,a}) = \ell(\sigma_1^{1,b})$, this number is $\ell(\sigma_1^{1,a})\ell(\sigma_1^{1,b})$.

(c) In the third case, we have two cycles σ_1^1 and σ_1^2 of σ_1 of the same length. Here, the product in Equation (1) rearranges σ_1^1 and σ_1^2 into two new cycles $\tilde{\sigma}_1^1, \tilde{\sigma}_1^2$ of the same length. In this case, we create one vertex over p_1 that joins the two ends labeled by σ_1^1, σ_1^2 . Moreover, we attach two new edges to this vertex that map to $[p_1, p_2]$ and that are labeled by $\tilde{\sigma}_1^1, \tilde{\sigma}_1^2$. We illustrate this construction in the following picture.



There are $\ell(\sigma_1^1)(\ell(\sigma_1^1) - 1)$ transpositions η_1 giving rise to this picture.

In each case, we further extend all ends not attached to a vertex over p_1 to $[p_1, p_2]$.

(4) We now take the permutation $\eta_1\sigma_1\tau\eta_1\tau$ and consider the product

$$\eta_2(\eta_1\sigma_1\tau\eta_1\tau)\tau\eta_2\tau.$$

We proceed as in step (2) and create the corresponding vertices over p_2 . Moreover, we proceed inductively for $\eta_i\eta_{i-1}\dots\eta_1\sigma_1\tau\eta_1\dots\eta_i\tau$ until $i = b$. For $i = b$, we obtain ends that are labeled by the cycles of σ_2 and that project to $[p_b, \infty)$. This gives a map between graphs $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_2$.

(5) The conjugation by τ induces a natural involution $\tilde{\iota}: \tilde{\Gamma}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}_1$ that respects the map $\tilde{\pi}$.

(6) Next, for each edge e of $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$, we replace the cycle labeling it by the length of this cycle. We consider this cycle length as the weight of e . Thus, we obtain a tropical cover $\pi: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$. The involution $\tilde{\iota}$ on $\tilde{\Gamma}_1$ naturally induces an involution ι on Γ_1 that respects π .

The tuple (π, ι) is a twisted tropical cover of type (g, μ, ν) and all twisted tropical covers of type (g, μ, ν) arise in this manner.

Lemma 13. Let μ be a partition of n . The cardinality of B_μ^\sim equals

$$\frac{1}{2^{\ell(\mu)}} \frac{1}{\prod \mu_i} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\mu)|} (2n)!!.$$

Proof. We consider an "empty" permutation consisting of 2 cycles of length μ_i for every i such that each cycle contains μ_i placeholders. Then we count the possibilities to fill up the placeholders one by one with numbers from $1, \dots, 2n$.

For the first place, we have $2n$ options. Having chosen one placeholder to be j , the element $\tau(j)$ is not allowed to be in the same cycle. For that reason, if our cycle is not yet completed, we have $2n - 2$ options to choose for the next place. If the cycle is completed, the involution τ requires us to fill up the partner cycle of length 1 as $(\tau(j))$. Thus, we cannot choose $\tau(j)$ either as we fill up the first placeholder of the next cycle. We thus have $2n - 2$ options again.

Recursively, we can see that we have $2n!!$ ways to fill our placeholders with numbers. This is not the right count yet, we have overcounted in multiple ways:

- For every cycle and its partner cycle, it does not matter in which way we arranged the cycles, so we have to divide by a factor of 2 of each entry of μ , i.e. by $\frac{1}{2^{\ell(\mu)}}$.
- If μ has automorphisms, using the same argument, it does not matter how we arranged, so we have to divide by $\text{Aut}(\mu)$.
- Finally, we overcounted for each cycle a factor of μ_i , as we can cyclically exchange the entries in a cycle without changing the cycle.

Altogether, we obtain the claimed expression. □

Example 14. Let $n = 3$ and $\mu = (2, 1)$. Then $\tau = (14)(25)(36)$. The expression above yields $\frac{1}{2^2} \frac{1}{2 \cdot 1} 6!! = 6$. The six elements in B_μ^\sim are

$$(12)(3)(45)(6), (13)(2)(46)(5), (15)(3)(24)(6), (16)(2)(34)(5), (23)(1)(56)(4), (26)(1)(53)(4).$$

Let $n = 3$ and $\mu = (3)$. The expression yields $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3} 6!! = 8$. The eight elements in B_μ^\sim are

$$(123)(456), (132)(465), (126)(453), (162)(435), (135)(462), (153)(426), (156)(423), (165)(432).$$

Let $n = 2$ and $\mu = 2$. The $\tau = (13)(24)$. The $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} 4!! = 2$ elements in B_μ^\sim are

$$(12)(34), (14)(23).$$

We are now ready to enumerate twisted factorisations that give rise to the same twisted tropical cover.

Proposition 15. *Given a twisted tropical cover $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with involution ι of type (g, μ, ν) . Then, the numbers of twisted factorisations in $C_g(\mu, \nu)$ giving rise to (π, ι) via Construction/Theorem 12 is*

$$(2n)!! \cdot 2^{b-\ell(\mu)+1} \cdot \prod_V (\mu_V - 1) \prod_e \mu(e) \cdot \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|},$$

where b is the number of branch points. Here, the first product goes over all 4-valent vertices of Γ and μ_V denotes the weight of the adjacent edges, while the second product is taken over all edges Γ/ι .

Proof. We count the numbers of twisted factorisations $(\sigma_1, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_b, \sigma_2) \in C_g(\mu, \nu)$ that give rise to (π, ι) via Construction/Theorem 12. To begin with, we count the number of first permutations σ that may be assigned to the incoming ends. By Lemma 13, this number is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2^{\ell(\mu)}} \frac{1}{\prod \mu_i} \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\mu)|} (2n)!!.$$

We fix such a σ and follow Construction/Theorem 12. First, we label the edges over $[-\infty, p_1]$ by the cycles of σ that is in accordance with ι . There are $2 \cdot |\text{Aut}(\mu)|$ ways to do this. We now follow the cut-and-join analysis of Construction/Theorem 12 to count the number of transpositions that give rise to (Γ, ι) by starting with σ . We consider the first branch point p_1 . There are three cases by Construction/Theorem 12.

In the first case, we have two 3-valent vertices mapping to p_1 that perform a simultaneous join of two pairs of edges e_1, e_2 adjacent to the first vertex and e_3, e_4 adjacent to the second vertex. By Construction/Theorem 12, the number of corresponding transpositions η_1 is $2\omega(e_1)\omega(e_2) = 2\omega(e_3)\omega(e_4)$.

In the second case, we again have two 3-valent vertices mapping to p_1 that perform a simultaneous cut of two edges e_1 and e_2 into e_3, e_4 and e_5, e_6 respectively. If $\omega(e_3) \neq \omega(e_4)$, there are $2\omega(e_1) = 2\omega(e_2)$ corresponding transpositions η_1 . If $\omega(e_3) = \omega(e_4)$, this number is $\omega(e_1) = \omega(e_2)$. If e_3 and e_4 have distinguishable evolution in the tropical cover, it matters which cycle takes which path. Therefore, in this case, we have a further contribution of a factor 2. However, if the evolution of e_3 and e_4 is identical, this corresponds to a non-trivial automorphism of the tropical cover.

In the third case, we have a 4-valent vertex that performs a join and cut of two incoming edges to two outgoing edges all of the same weight. In this case, there are $\omega(e)(\omega(e) - 1)$ many transpositions η_1 to account for, where e is one of the edges adjacent to the vertex. As in the second case, it matters which cycle corresponding to an outgoing edge takes which path. Thus, we obtain a factor 2. Again, if the evolution of the two outgoing edges is identical, this corresponds to a non-trivial automorphism of the tropical cover.

Next, we consider the automorphisms of the tropical cover. We have already discussed that two edges obtained from a cut with indistinguishable evolution correspond to a non-trivial automorphism. The only other automorphisms are induced from $\text{Aut}(\mu)$, where the evolution of two incoming ends is indistinguishable, which yields a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$, since we have then overcounted in the multiplication with $\text{Aut}(\mu)$.

To summarise, we obtain the the desired number of twisted factorisations giving rise to (π, ι) . \square

As the number of tuples in the symmetric group yielding a fixed twisted tropical cover which we determined in Proposition 15 exactly equals the multiplicity with which we count a twisted tropical cover in the definition of tropical twisted double Hurwitz number (see Definition 4) (up to the global

factor $\frac{1}{(2n)!!}$), we have now proved the following theorem, which we consider the main result of this section.

Theorem 16 (Correspondence Theorem). *For g a non-negative integer and μ, ν partitions of the same positive integer, the twisted double Hurwitz number of Definition 4 coincides with the tropical twisted double Hurwitz number of Definition 11:*

$$\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu) = \tilde{h}_g^{\text{trop}}(\mu, \nu).$$

Remark 17. As mentioned in the introduction, twisted Hurwitz numbers are related to the Hurwitz theory of non-orientable surfaces. We view the Correspondence Theorem as a combinatorial shadow of this connection.

More precisely, we denote by $\mathcal{J}: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ the complex conjugation, by $\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(z) \geq 0\}$ the complex upper halfplane and let $\overline{\mathbb{H}} := \mathbb{H} \cup \{\infty\}$ the compactified complex upper halfplane. Moreover, we denote by $\pi: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ the quotient map.

We call continuous maps $f: S \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ *twisted branched coverings*, if S is a not-necessarily orientable surface and there exists a further map $\hat{f}: \hat{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with

- (1) $p: \hat{S} \rightarrow S$ is the orientation double cover,
- (2) $\pi \circ \hat{f} = f \circ p$,
- (3) all the branch points of \hat{f} are real.

Let $\mathcal{T}: \hat{S} \rightarrow \hat{S}$ be an orientation reversing involution without fix points, such that $p \circ \mathcal{T} = p$. Then, the second condition of \hat{f} may be reformulated as $\hat{f} \circ \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{J} \circ \hat{f}$. As \mathcal{T} has no fixed points, for any branch points $c \in \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{R}}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ of \hat{f} , the points in its pre-image come in pairs $(a, \mathcal{T}(a))$ with the same ramification index. Thus, the degree of \hat{f} is even and the ramification profile of c repeats any entry twice, e.g. $(\lambda_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s, \lambda_s)$. We then say that f has ramification profile $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s)$ at $\pi(s) \in \partial\overline{\mathbb{H}}$. It is then proved in [BF21, Theorem 3.2] that up to normalisation $\tilde{h}_g(\mu, (1, \dots, 1))$ enumerates equivalence classes of twisted branched coverings $f: S \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}}$ with $b = \frac{2g-2+2\ell(\mu)+2\deg(\hat{f})}{2}$ branch points with ramification profile $(2, 1, \dots, 1)$ and ramification profile μ over ∞ . In other words, twisted single Hurwitz numbers enumerate branched coverings of \mathbb{P}^1 that respect an involution, which is mirrored by our tropical interpretation.

As shown in the next chapters, the Correspondence Theorem 16 allows to use a graphical way of determining twisted Hurwitz numbers, and it can be applied to deduce structural properties such as piecewise polynomiality.

5. A GRAPHICAL COUNT OF TWISTED HURWITZ NUMBERS

We distill the combinatorial essence of the count of twisted tropical covers: the metric of the tropical source curve is imposed by the distances of the branch points in the image. Thus, length data does not have to be specified and we can merely count the combinatorial types of tropical twisted covers. This is specified in terms of monodromy graphs.

We can thus use the tropical approach to provide an easy graphical way to determine twisted double Hurwitz numbers.

Definition 18 (Twisted monodromy graphs). For fixed g and two partitions μ and ν of n , a graph Γ is a *twisted monodromy graph of type (g, μ, ν)* if:

- (1) Γ is a connected, genus g , directed graph.
- (2) Γ has 3-valent and 4-valent vertices.
- (3) Γ has an involution whose fixed points are the 4-valent vertices.

- (4) Γ has $2\ell(\mu)$ inward ends of weights μ_i . Ends which are mapped to each other under the involution have the same weight.
- (5) Γ has $2\ell(\nu)$ outward ends of weights ν_i . Ends mapped to each other via the involution have the same weight.
- (6) Γ does not have sinks or sources.
- (7) The inner vertices are ordered compatibly with the partial ordering induced by the directions of the edges.
- (8) Every bounded edge e of the graph is equipped with a weight $w(e) \in \mathbb{N}$. These satisfy the *balancing condition* at each inner vertex: the sum of all weights of incoming edges equals the sum of the weights of all outgoing edges.
- (9) The four edges adjacent to a 4-valent vertex all have the same weight.
- (10) The involution is compatible with the weights.

Corollary 19. *For genus g and two partitions μ and ν of n , the twisted Hurwitz number $\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu)$ equals the weighted sum of all twisted monodromy graphs of type (g, μ, ν) , where each graph is weighted as follows. Let b denote the number of branch points. For a 4-valent vertex V , let μ_V denote the weight of its 4 adjacent edges. For an edge e , let $\mu(e)$ denote its weight.*

Then we have

$$\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{\Gamma} 2^{b-\ell(\mu)+1} \cdot \prod_V (\mu_V - 1) \prod_e \mu(e) \cdot \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|},$$

where the sum goes over all twisted monodromy graphs Γ of type (g, μ, ν) , the first product goes over all 4-valent vertices of Γ and the second over all pairs of bounded edges of Γ , paired up by the involution.

Proof. By mapping the vertices of a twisted monodromy graph to a line according to their order, each monodromy graph gives rise to a twisted tropical cover. Vice versa, each twisted tropical cover gives rise to a monodromy graph by forgetting lengths of edges and the map to the line. Thus the statement follows from Theorem 16. □

Corollary 20. *We can simplify the count in Corollary 19 as*

$$\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{\Gamma} o(\Gamma) \cdot 2^{c-\ell(\mu)+1} \cdot \prod_V (\mu_V - 1) \prod_e \mu(e) \cdot \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|},$$

where now the sum goes over all twisted monodromy graphs without a fixed vertex ordering and $o(\Gamma)$ denotes the number of vertex orderings which are compatible with the edge directions.


Example 21. We compute $\tilde{h}_1((4), (2, 2)) = 160$.

Figure 2 shows all monodromy graphs of type $(1, (4), (2, 2))$. We did not draw directions for the edges, they are all directed to the right. The order of the vertices is always fixed by the direction of the edges, except for the top right graph for which the second and third vertex could be exchanged. As these orderings yield isomorphic covers, we only need to consider one.

We have $\ell(\mu) = 1$. There are 3 branch points. In the top left graph in Figure 2, we have two 4-valent vertices, each adjacent to edges of weight 4, four (independent) automorphisms and two pairs of inner edges of weight 4 each. Thus the top graph contributes $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 4 \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^4 = 72$.

For the top right graph, there are two 4-valent vertices, each adjacent to edges of weight 2, three (independent) automorphism and two pairs of inner edges of weight 2 each. Thus the top right graph contributes $2^3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^3 = 4$.

For the left graph in the second row, there are two 4-valent vertices, each adjacent to edges of weight 2, three (independent) automorphism and two pairs of inner edges of weight 2 each. Thus the third graph contributes $2^3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^3 = 4$.

FIGURE 2. The tropical count of $\tilde{h}_1((4), (2, 2))$.

The right graph in the second row has two 4-valent vertices, one adjacent to edges of weight 4 the other to weight 2, it has three (independent) automorphisms and two pairs of inner edges of weight 4 resp. 2. Thus it contributes $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 1 \cdot 4 \cdot 2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^3 = 24$.

The left graph in the third row has no 4-valent vertices, three (independent) automorphism and three pairs of inner edges of weights 2, 2 resp. 4. Thus it contributes $2^3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^3 = 16$.

The right graph in the third row has no 4-valent vertices, two (independent) automorphism, one pairs of inner edges of weight 2 and two pairs of inner edges of weight 1. Thus, it contributes $2^3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^2 = 4$.

The left graph in the last row has no 4-valent vertices, one automorphism and three pairs of inner edges of weights 1, 1 resp. 3. Thus it contributes $2^3 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 12$.

The right graph in the last row has no 4-valent vertices, two automorphisms and three pairs of inner edges of weights 1, 3 resp. 4. Thus it contributes $2^3 \cdot 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot (\frac{1}{2})^2 = 24$.

6. PIECEWISE POLYNOMIAL STRUCTURE OF TWISTED DOUBLE HURWITZ NUMBERS

As for usual double Hurwitz numbers, the tropical approach can be used to deduce the piecewise polynomial structure.

Theorem 22. Fix g , $\ell(\mu)$ and $\ell(\nu)$. Consider the twisted double Hurwitz numbers as a function

$$\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu) : \left\{ (\mu, \nu) \mid \sum \mu_i = \sum \nu_j \right\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then the twisted double Hurwitz numbers $\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu)$ is piecewise polynomial in the entries μ_i, ν_i .

The chambers of polynomiality are given by the hyperplane arrangement $\{\sum_{i \in I} \mu_i = \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j\}$, where I and J are subsets of $[\ell(\mu)]$ resp. $[\ell(\nu)]$ of size at least 1 and at most $\ell(\mu) - 1$ resp. $\ell(\nu) - 1$.

In a chamber, the polynomial $\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu)$ is of degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 1 + 2g$.

Proof. The proof builds on the proof of the piecewise polynomiality for double Hurwitz numbers obtained by tropical methods in Theorem 3.1 and 3.9 in [CJM11].

Let Γ be a twisted monodromy graph of type (g, μ, ν) . Here, we treat the entries of μ and ν as variables, but $g, \ell(\mu)$ and $\ell(\nu)$ are fixed numbers. Accordingly, the weights of the edges of Γ are also variable and depend on the μ_i and ν_j . The number $o(\Gamma)$ of vertex orderings compatible with the edge directions, the factor $\frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|}$ and the factor $2^{b-\ell(\mu)}$ (where b is the number of branch points) showing up in the multiplicity with which Γ contributes to $\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu)$ in Corollary 20 are all numbers. Thus it remains to show the piecewise polynomiality of the factors $\prod_V (\mu_V - 1)$ and $\prod_e \mu_e$. Recall that the first factor is the product over all 4-valent vertices V and μ_V denotes the weight of its adjacent edges, and the second factor the product over all inner edges e and μ_e denotes their weight.

Assume Γ has c 4-valent vertices. By an Euler-characteristics computation, Γ has

$$2(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) + 3g - 3 - c$$

bounded edges and

$$2(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) + 2g - 2 - 2c$$

3-valent vertices. The quotient Γ/ι thus has

$$\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + \frac{3g - 3 - c}{2}$$

bounded edges and

$$\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + g - c - 1$$

3-valent vertices. In addition, it has c 2-valent vertices. We can momentarily remove those and merge the adjacent edges, arriving at a 3-valent graph with $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)$ ends and $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + \frac{3g-3-c}{2} - c$ edges. Again by an Euler characteristic computation, for the genus g' of Γ/ι we obtain $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + 3g' - 3$ bounded edges. Equating the two expressions above, we derive at $g' = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (g - c + 1)$.

We pick a g' edges in Γ/ι whose removal produces a connected tree. We denote the weights of these edges by variables $i_1, \dots, i_{g'}$. Then by the balancing condition, the weights of the remaining edges are fixed and a homogeneous linear polynomial in the μ_i, ν_j and the variables i_k . The condition that the edge weights have to be positive provides summation bounds for the variables i_k forming a bounded polyhedron. We sum the product over the edge weights and the factors $(\mu_V - 1)$ for the 4-valent vertices over the lattice points of this polyhedron. We have $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + \frac{3g-3-c}{2}$ factors for the inner edges, and c for the 4-valent vertices. Using the Faulhaber formulae for summation of powers of the variables i_k , we increase the degree by one with each summation. Thus, we obtain a polynomial of degree

$$\begin{aligned} & \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + \frac{3g - 3 - c}{2} + c + g' \\ &= \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + \frac{3g - 3 - c}{2} + c + \frac{1}{2}(g - c + 1) \\ &= \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + 2g - 1. \end{aligned}$$

As we obtain a polynomial contribution of this degree for every twisted monodromy graph, we deduce that $\tilde{h}_g(\mu, \nu)$ is a polynomial of degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + 2g - 1$.

The piecewise polynomial structure and the walls for the chambers of polynomiality arise as in Theorem 3.9 of [CJM11].

□

Remark 23. If we consider double Hurwitz numbers counting branched covers of \mathbb{P}^1 with two special ramification profiles μ, ν and only simple ramification else as in [GJV05; CJM11], we obtain a polynomial in the μ_i, ν_j of degree $4g - 3 + \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)$.

In the following, we study the special situations of twisted double Hurwitz numbers of genus zero and genus one, as in these cases we can be more precise and include statements not only about the top degree but also about the smallest degree appearing in the polynomials $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ and $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, \nu)$. The next lemma serves as a preparation for the two following propositions dealing with the cases genus zero and genus one.

Lemma 24. *Let Γ be a twisted monodromy graph of type (g, μ, ν) . Then Γ can have at most $g + 1$ 4-valent vertices.*

Proof. The tropical twisted cover induced by a twisted monodromy graph of type (g, μ, ν) has $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + g - 1$ branch points, by an Euler characteristics computation for Γ . If the branch point is given by a 4-valent vertex, the partition of weights left of the branch point equals the partition of weights right of the branch points. In order to change the partition from 2μ to 2ν , we need at least as many branch points which are not 4-valent as two involuted copies of a rational cover with ends μ and ν have, i.e. $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 2$. Thus at most $g + 1$ branch points can come from 4-valent vertices. □

Proposition 25. *Consider the genus zero twisted double Hurwitz numbers as a piecewise polynomial function*

$$\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu) : \left\{ (\mu, \nu) \mid \sum \mu_i = \sum \nu_j \right\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$

In each chamber, the polynomial $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ has two homogeneous components, one of degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 1$ and one of degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 2$.

Moreover, each monodromy graph contributing to the count of $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ has precisely one 4-valent vertex.

Proof. By Lemma 24, a rational twisted monodromy graph has at most one 4-valent vertex. By an Euler characteristics computation, Γ has $2(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) - 3 - c$ inner edges, where c is the number of 4-valent vertices. Because of the involution, this number must be even and thus there is precisely one 4-valent vertex.

The weight with which Γ contributes to the count of $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ by Theorem 19 equals the product of the edge weights of each pair of inner edges times a factor of $(\mu_V - 1)$ for the 4-valent vertex times a rational number. Each edge weight is a homogeneous linear polynomial in the μ_i and ν_j , and thus also the factor $(\mu_V - 1)$ is linear in μ_i and ν_j (although not homogeneous). It follows that Γ contributes a polynomial of degree $\frac{1}{2} \cdot (2(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) - 3 - 1) + 1 = \ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 1$. The terms of smallest degree have degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 2$. □

Example 26. In this example, we compute the polynomial $\tilde{h}_0((\mu), (\nu_1, \nu_2))$. As the partition (μ) consists of just one entry, there are no walls and there is no piecewise structure, but there is only one polynomial. The three monodromy graphs which contribute to the count of $\tilde{h}_0((\mu), (\nu_1, \nu_2))$ are depicted in Figure 3. The polynomial with which they contribute is written next to the picture. Altogether, we obtain

$$\tilde{h}_0((\mu), (\nu_1, \nu_2)) = 2\mu \cdot (\mu - 1) + 2\nu_1 \cdot (\nu_1 - 1) + 2\nu_2 \cdot (\nu_2 - 1) = 2 \cdot (\mu^2 + \nu_1^2 + \nu_2^2) + 2 \cdot (\mu + \nu_1 + \nu_2),$$

a polynomial with two homogeneous parts, one of degree two and one of degree one, as expected by Proposition 25.




FIGURE 3. The computation of the polynomial $\tilde{h}_0((\mu), (\nu_1, \nu_2))$.

Proposition 27. *Consider the genus one twisted double Hurwitz numbers as a piecewise polynomial function*

$$\tilde{h}_1(\mu, \nu) : \left\{ (\mu, \nu) \mid \sum \mu_i = \sum \nu_j \right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}.$$


In each chamber, the polynomial $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, \nu)$ has three homogeneous components, ranging from degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 1$ to degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + 1$.

Moreover, each monodromy graph contributing to the count of $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, \nu)$ has either none or two 4-valent vertices.

Proof. The monodromy graph Γ has $2(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) + 3g - 3 - c = 2(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) - c$ bounded edges, where c is the number of 4-valent vertices. By Lemma 24 and as this number has to be even because of the existence of an involution, Γ has no or two 4-valent vertices.

If Γ has no 4-valent vertex, the quotient Γ/ι has genus one. The multiplicity with which Γ contributes to the count of twisted Hurwitz number equals (up to multiplication with the constant $2^{b-\ell(\mu)}$ which does not depend on the choice of Γ) the multiplicity with which Γ/ι would contribute to the count of the double Hurwitz number counting branched covers of \mathbb{P}^1 with two special ramification profiles μ and ν and only simple ramification else. We can thus view the piecewise polynomial of the twisted double Hurwitz number as a sum of (a constant times) the piecewise polynomial of the corresponding double Hurwitz number, plus a summand corresponding to the monodromy graphs which have two 4-valent vertices. By [Joh15], Corollary 1.2, the first summand is a polynomial whose homogeneous parts range from degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 1$ to $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + 1$. Note that this result is non trivial and cannot be deduced immediately from the expression of the piecewise polynomial as a sum of polynomials for each monodromy graph: a single monodromy graph can have parts of lower degree, but in the total sum the parts of lower degree cancel, see Example 3.12 in [CJM11].

Now assume Γ has two 4-valent vertices. Then Γ/ι is of genus 0, and its multiplicity is computed (up to constant) as $\prod_e \mu_e$ times $(\mu_{V_1} - 1) \cdot (\mu_{V_2} - 1)$, where V_1 and V_2 denote the two 4-valent vertices, μ_{V_i} the weight of their adjacent edges and μ_e the weight of the edge e . As Γ/ι has $(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) - 1$ bounded edges, the first factor yields a homogeneous polynomial of degree $(\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu)) - 1$. Multiplying

FIGURE 4. The computation of $\tilde{h}_1((\mu), (\mu))$.

with $(\mu_{V_1} - 1) \cdot (\mu_{V_2} - 1)$, we obtain a polynomial whose homogeneous parts range from degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 1$ to $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + 1$.

The two summands together, i.e. the contribution of graphs with no and with two 4-valent vertices, then again yields a polynomial whose homogeneous parts range from degree $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 1$ to $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) + 1$.

□

Example 28. In the following, we compute the polynomial $\tilde{h}_1((\mu), (\mu))$. As the partition (μ) consists of just one entry, there are no walls and there is no piecewise structure, but there is only one polynomial. The two monodromy graphs which contribute to the count of $\tilde{h}_1((\mu), (\mu))$ are depicted in Figure 4. The polynomial with which they contribute is written next to the picture.

Altogether, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{h}_1((\mu), (\mu)) &= 2\mu(\mu - 1)^2 + \mu \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} i - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu-1} i^2 \\ &= 2\mu(\mu - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu^2 \cdot (\mu - 1) - \frac{1}{6}\mu \cdot (\mu - 1) \cdot (2\mu - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \cdot (13\mu^3 - 24\mu^2 + 11\mu), \end{aligned}$$

which is a polynomial whose homogeneous parts range from degree one to three, as expected by Proposition 27.

Example 29. We now consider the polynomial $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3))$. By the same reasoning as in the previous example, there are no walls and no piecewise structure. We demonstrate that the lower bound on the degree given in Proposition 27 involves nontrivial cancellations. For the Hurwitz number at hand, we obtain via Proposition 25 that all monomials in the polynomial expression have degree at least 2. In the following, we show that $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3))$ does not have any linear terms

For any graph contributing to $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3))$, the polynomial weight is given as a sum of products of μ, ν_i and Faulhaber sums $\sum_i i^k$ for some fixed positive integer k and bounds given by linear forms in μ and the ν_i . Therefore, in order to obtain a linear term, we would need a summand of $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3))$ to be of the form $\sum_i i^k$ for $k \geq 4$. The only four graphs (up to permutation of the ν_i) contributing to $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3))$ are illustrated in the top of Figure 5, where the bottom graphs represent the quotients by the involution.

The weight of the top left graph is given by

$$(\mu - i)i(\nu_1 + \nu_2 - i)(\nu_1 - i). \quad (2)$$

Since, we are only interested in the linear part, we will focus on the contribution of $-i^4$. We consider different intervals. For $1 \leq i \leq \nu_1$, the orientation of the graph considered is the one in Figure 5 and

we obtain

$$\text{linear part of } - \sum_{i=1}^{\nu_1} i^4 = \frac{\nu_1}{30}.$$

For $\nu_1 \leq i \leq \nu_1 + \nu_2$, the edge of weight $\nu_1 - i$ changes direction and therefore the sign of Equation (2) does as well. Thus, we compute the contribution as

$$\text{linear part of } \sum_{i=\nu_1}^{\nu_1+\nu_2} i^4 = \frac{-\nu_1 + \nu_2}{30} + \frac{\nu_1}{30}.$$

Finally, the same considerations for $\nu_1 + \nu_2 \leq i \leq \mu$ yield a contribution of

$$\text{linear part of } - \sum_{i=\nu_1+\nu_2}^{\mu} i^4 = \frac{\mu}{30} - \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2}{30}.$$

Thus, the linear part of the contribution of the left graph in Figure 5 is given as

$$2 \frac{\nu_1}{30} - 2 \frac{\nu_1 + \nu_2}{30} + \frac{\mu}{30} = \frac{1}{30}(\mu - 2\nu_2)$$

However, as mentioned above a permutation of the ν_i also yields linear contributions. Taking this into consideration, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{30}(6\mu - 4\nu_1 - 4\nu_2 - 4\nu_3) = \frac{1}{30}(2\mu).$$

The same computation for the top right graph reveals a linear contribution of $-\frac{1}{30}(2\mu)$, for the bottom left graph of $\frac{1}{30}(2\mu)$ and for the bottom right graph of $-\frac{1}{30}(2\mu)$. Thus, all linear contributions cancel and indeed the polynomial $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3))$ has no linear monomials.

7. TOWARDS WALL CROSSING FORMULAE FOR TWISTED DOUBLE HURWITZ NUMBERS IN GENUS ZERO

We investigate how the polynomials computing twisted Hurwitz numbers vary from chamber to chamber. We build on the techniques to prove wall-crossing formulae in genus 0 for double Hurwitz numbers developed in [CJM10] (see also [SSV08]).

Definition 30 (Wall-crossing). Fix a wall $\delta = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i - \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j = 0$ and two adjacent chambers C_1 and C_2 . Let P_1 denote the polynomial that equals $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ in C_1 , P_2 the polynomial that equals $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ in C_2 . Then the wall crossing for this wall and the two adjacent chambers C_1 and C_2 is defined to be the difference of the two polynomials:

$$\text{WC}_\delta(\mu, \nu) := P_1(\mu, \nu) - P_2(\mu, \nu).$$

Since we are only concerned with the difference of the polynomials across a wall $\delta = 0$, we need only consider the contributions from graphs that contribute to the twisted Hurwitz number in only one of the two chambers in questions. These are precisely the graphs that contain an edge with weight δ that switches direction across the wall (see Lemma 6.9 [CJM10]).

We cut this edge. In this way, we obtain two connected components, each contributing to a "smaller" Hurwitz number.

Vice versa, two graphs that contribute to these "smaller" Hurwitz numbers can be glued to produce one contributing to the wall crossing.

Compared to the case of double Hurwitz numbers studied in [CJM10], the only difference is that for twisted Hurwitz numbers of genus zero, our quotient graphs Γ/ι contain precisely one 2-valent vertex. This vertex, adjacent to an edge of weight m , contributes an additional factor of $m(m-1)$




FIGURE 5. Monodromy graphs and their quotients contributing to $\tilde{h}_1(\mu, (\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3))$, see Example 29.

to the weight of the graph compared to the weight that this graph would have without the 2-valent vertex and contributing to a usual double Hurwitz number.

Accordingly, we obtain three summands in our wall-crossing formula, which correspond to the following three cases:

- The 2-valent vertex is in the first part of the cut graph.
- The 2-valent vertex is in the second part of the cut graph.
- The 2-valent vertex is on the edge which we cut.

Due the weight contributed by a 2-valent vertex, the techniques from [CJM10] do not carry over completely and therefore our description of wall crossings involves a correction term that cannot be described in terms of (twisted) Hurwitz numbers. Thus, we will need the following definition.

Definition 31. We fix a wall $\delta = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i - \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j = 0$ and fix an adjacent chamber C . Then, we define

$$h_0^{C,\delta}(\mu, \nu) = \sum_{\Gamma} o(\Gamma) \cdot 2^{b-\ell(\mu)+1} \cdot \prod_V (\mu_V - 1) \prod_e \mu(e) \cdot \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|},$$

where the sum goes over all twisted monodromy graphs contributing to $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ in the chamber C without a fixed vertex ordering containing an edge of weight δ that is adjacent to a 2-valent vertex. Furthermore, the number of vertex orderings which are compatible with the edge directions is denoted by $o(\Gamma)$.

By the same arguments as used in the proof of Theorem 22, we have that $h_0^{C,\delta}(\mu, \nu)$ is a polynomial in the entries of μ and ν .

Proposition 32 (Wall-crossing for twisted double Hurwitz numbers in genus zero). *Fix a wall $\delta = \sum_{i \in I} \mu_i - \sum_{j \in J} \nu_j = 0$. Then the wall crossing for this wall and the two adjacent chambers C_1 and C_2 equals a sum of products of "smaller", traditional and twisted, Hurwitz numbers with an additional correction term:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{WC}_{\delta}(\mu, \nu) &= (h_0^{C_1,\delta}(\mu, \nu) - h_0^{C_2,\delta}(\mu, \nu)) + \binom{\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 2}{\ell(\mu_I) + \ell(\nu_J) - 1} \cdot \delta \cdot \\ &\quad \left(2^{\ell(\nu) - \ell(\nu_J)} \cdot \tilde{h}_0(\mu_I, (\nu_J, \delta)) \cdot h_0((\mu_{I^c}, \delta), \nu_{J^c}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{\ell(\nu) - \ell(\nu_{J^c}) + 1} \cdot h_0(\mu_I, (\nu_J, \delta)) \cdot \tilde{h}_0((\mu_{I^c}, \delta), \nu_{J^c}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $h_0(\mu, \nu)$ denotes the double Hurwitz number counting covers of the projective line with fixed ramification profile μ over 0 , ν over ∞ and simple ramification over r additional fixed branch points.

Before we start with the proof, we collect the following necessary statements:

Lemma 33. *Let Γ be a twisted monodromy graph of type $(0, \mu, \nu)$ and let p denote the weight with which it contributes to $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$. Then the graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ we obtain from Γ/ι by forgetting the 2-valent vertex contributes to the Hurwitz number $h_0(\mu, \nu)$ with weight*

$$\frac{1}{m \cdot (m - 1)} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{\ell(\nu) - 2}} \cdot p,$$

where m denotes the weight of the edges adjacent to the 4-valent vertex of Γ .

Proof. By Proposition 25, Γ has precisely one 4-valent vertex. Accordingly, Γ/ι has precisely one 2-valent vertex and thus $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is well-defined.

Assume the 4-valent vertex is adjacent to edges of weight m .

The graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is 3-valent and has in-ends labeled by the μ_i and out-ends labeled by the ν_j . By Corollary 4.4 in [CJM10], it contributes the product of the weights of its bounded edges towards the

Hurwitz number $h_0(\mu, \nu)$. Notice that a 3-valent tropical cover of genus 0 with different branch points cannot have non-trivial automorphisms if the weights of the ends are viewed as variable, as balanced forks (i.e. adjacent ends of the same weight) are the only source of automorphism.

By Corollary 19, the weight p with which Γ contributes of $\tilde{h}_0(\mu, \nu)$ equals $2^{b-\ell(\mu)+1} \cdot (m-1) \cdot \prod_e \mu(e) \cdot \frac{1}{|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)|}$.

Here, b is the number of branch points, and the product goes over all bounded edges of Γ/ι .

The number b of branch points equals the number of vertices of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ plus one. The latter equals $\ell(\mu) + \ell(\nu) - 2$ by an Euler characteristic computation. Thus, $2^{b-\ell(\mu)+1} = 2^{\ell(\nu)}$.

The involution ι of Γ provides a non-trivial automorphism. By Proposition 25, Γ has precisely one 4-valent vertex. This provides an additional automorphism. As the quotient with respect to i does not have further automorphisms, we conclude that $|\text{Aut}(\Gamma)| = 4$.

The graph Γ/ι has an additional bounded edge of weight m compared to $\tilde{\Gamma}$, this edge gets lost when we forget the 2-valent vertex.

It follows that p equals $2^{\ell(\nu)-2} \cdot m \cdot (m-1)$ times the weight with which $\tilde{\Gamma}$ contributes to $h_0(\mu, \nu)$. \square

Remark 34. As a vertex ordering of Γ/ι implies a vertex ordering of Γ and vice versa, Lemma 33 can be extended to monodromy graphs without a fixed vertex ordering. If we fix a vertex ordering on $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and a position for the 2-valent vertex, the vertex ordering on Γ is given.

Proof of Proposition 32: By Lemma 6.9 of [CJM10], only graphs that have an edge of weight δ contribute to the wall-crossing.

Let Γ be a twisted monodromy graph of type $(0, \mu, \nu)$ without a vertex ordering with a pair of bounded edges of weight δ .

We can express the weight with which Γ contributes in terms of the weight m of the edge adjacent to the 2-valent vertex and the weight of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, as in Lemma 33.

The graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has precisely one edge of weight δ . On the other side of the wall, the graph but with the direction of the edge reversed contributes. The first term corresponds to the contribution of those graphs where the edge of weight δ is adjacent to a 2-valent vertex.

We now assume that the edge of weight δ of the graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is not adjacent to a 2-valent vertex. We express the difference of those two contributions (i.e. the contribution to the wall-crossing governed by Γ) in terms of cut graphs.

We cut the edge δ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Then we obtain two connected components, one containing the in-ends with weights μ_i where $i \in I$ and the out-ends with weights ν_j , $j \in J$, plus an additional new end that we view as an out-end of weight δ , and the other one containing the in-ends μ_i for $i \notin I$, with an additional in-end of weight δ , and the out-ends ν_j for $j \notin J$.

These graphs contribute to "smaller" Hurwitz numbers $h_0(\mu_I, (\nu_J, \delta))$ and $h_0((\mu_{I^c}, \delta), \nu_{J^c})$.

But we still need to take the 4-valent vertex into account. That is, we now mark the 2-valent vertex in the cut graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ again and distinguish two cases according to its position:

- either the 2-valent vertex belongs to the component contributing to $h_0(\mu_I, (\nu_J, \delta))$,
- or to $h_0((\mu_{I^c}, \delta), \nu_{J^c})$.

In both cases, we can interpret the piece with the 2-valent vertex as a contribution to a twisted Hurwitz number.

Vice versa, if two pieces are given, we can re-glue to obtain Γ/ι . The binomial factor $\binom{\ell(\mu)+\ell(\nu)-1}{\ell(\mu_I)+\ell(\nu_J)-1}$ accounts for the possibilities to arrange the vertices of the the glued graph in ways compatible with the edge directions of the two pieces. \square

To end with, we demonstrate in an example why we excluded the case of the edge of weight δ being adjacent to a 2-valent vertex in the discussion on cut-graphs in the proof of Proposition 32.




FIGURE 6. The graph on the top contributes to $\tilde{h}_0((\mu, \nu), (\lambda, \kappa))$. The graph on the bottom is the quotient by the involution.




FIGURE 7. The graph at the bottom of Figure 6 cut along the edge δ .

Example 35. We consider the twisted Hurwitz number $\tilde{h}_0((\mu, \nu), (\lambda, \kappa))$ and fix $\delta = \mu - \lambda = \kappa - \nu$. Moreover, we consider the graph Γ in the top of Figure 6 contributing to it. In the bottom, the graph $\tilde{\Gamma}$ illustrates its quotient by the indicated involution. We observe that there are only two possible vertex orderings of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, the first being the one illustrated and the second being the inverse one. First, we observe that in the chamber $\delta > 0$ the given graph is counted with the weight

$$2^2 \cdot (\delta - 1)\delta^2.$$

However, in the chamber $\delta < 0$, the graph contributes a weight of

$$2^2 \cdot (-\delta + 1)\delta^2.$$

Therefore, factoring out the edge weights is not possible in this situation, which represents the first obstacle for the tropical approach of [CJM11].

The second obstacle arises from the possible number of orientations after gluing together the cut-graphs. This is illustrated in Figure 7. In particular, we observe that the 2-valent vertex imposes the condition that it lies between the upper and the lower vertex of the respective cut-graphs. The enumeration of possible orderings is therefore not a simple binomial coefficient and depends on the graph at hand.

REFERENCES

- [BF21] Y. Burman and R. Fesler. “Ribbon decomposition and twisted Hurwitz numbers”. Preprint, arXiv:2107.13861. 2021.
- [CJM10] R. Cavalieri, P. Johnson, and H. Markwig. “Tropical Hurwitz numbers”. In: *J. Algebraic Combin.* 32.2 (2010), pp. 241–265.
- [CJM11] R. Cavalieri, P. Johnson, and H. Markwig. “Wall crossings for double Hurwitz numbers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 228.4 (2011), pp. 1894–1937.
- [CD22] G. Chapuy and M. Dołęga. “Non-orientable branched coverings, b -Hurwitz numbers, and positivity for multiparametric Jack expansions”. In: *Adv. Math.* 409 (2022), Paper No. 108645.
- [DN18] N. Do and P. Norbury. “Pruned Hurwitz numbers”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 370.5 (2018), pp. 3053–3084.
- [15] *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.7.8*. The GAP Group. 2015.
- [GGN14] I. P. Goulden, M. Guay-Paquet, and J. Novak. “Monotone Hurwitz numbers and the HCIZ integral”. In: *Ann. Math. Blaise Pascal* 21.1 (2014), pp. 71–89.
- [GJV05] I. P. Goulden, D. M. Jackson, and R. Vakil. “Towards the geometry of double Hurwitz numbers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 198.1 (2005), pp. 43–92.
- [Hah17] M. A. Hahn. “Pruned double Hurwitz numbers”. English. In: *Electron. J. Comb.* 24.3 (2017), research paper p3.66, 32.
- [Hah20] M. A. Hahn. “Bi-pruned Hurwitz numbers”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A* 174 (2020), p. 105240.
- [HKL18] M. A. Hahn, R. Kramer, and D. Lewanski. “Wall-crossing formulae and strong piecewise polynomiality for mixed Grothendieck dessins d’enfant, monotone, and double simple Hurwitz numbers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 336 (2018), pp. 38–69.
- [HL20] M. A. Hahn and D. Lewanski. “Wall-crossing and recursion formulae for tropical Jucys covers”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 373.7 (2020), pp. 4685–4711.
- [Hur92] A. Hurwitz. “Über algebraische Gebilde mit eindeutigen Transformationen in sich”. In: *Math. Ann.* 41.3 (1892), pp. 403–442.
- [Joh15] P. Johnson. “Double Hurwitz numbers via the infinite wedge”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 367.9 (2015), pp. 6415–6440.
- [KZ15] M. Kazarian and P. Zograf. “Virasoro constraints and topological recursion for Grothendieck’s dessin counting”. In: *Lett. Math. Phys.* 105.8 (2015), pp. 1057–1084.
- [OP06] A. Okounkov and R. Pandharipande. “Gromov-Witten theory, Hurwitz theory, and completed cycles”. In: *Ann. of Math. (2)* 163.2 (2006), pp. 517–560.
- [22] *OSCAR – Open Source Computer Algebra Research system, Version 0.8.3-DEV*. The OSCAR Team. 2022.
- [SSZ12] S. Shadrin, L. Spitz, and D. Zvonkine. “On double Hurwitz numbers with completed cycles”. In: *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 86.2 (2012), pp. 407–432.
- [SSV08] S. Shadrin, M. Shapiro, and A. Vainshtein. “Chamber behavior of double Hurwitz numbers in genus 0”. In: *Adv. Math.* 217.1 (2008), pp. 79–96.

M. A. HAHN: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS 17, WESTLAND ROW, TRINITY COLLEGE DUBLIN, DUBLIN 2, IRELAND
 Email address: hahnma@tcd.ie

H. MARKWIG: UNIVERSITÄT TÜBINGEN, FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK, AUF DER MORGENSTELLE 10, 72076 TÜBINGEN, GERMANY
 Email address: hannah@math.uni-tuebingen.de