

# CONFORMALLY RELATED INVARIANT $(\alpha, \beta)$ -METRICS ON HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

AZAR FATAHI, MASOUMEH HOSSEINI, AND HAMID REZA SALIMI MOGHADDAM

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we give the flag curvature formula of general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of Berwald type. We study conformally related  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics, especially general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics that are conformally related to invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics. Also, a necessary and sufficient condition for a Finsler metric conformally related to an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is given and conformally related Douglas Randers metrics are studied. Finally, we present some examples of conformally related  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics.

## 1. Introduction

In the last two decades, many mathematicians worked on invariant Finsler metrics on homogeneous spaces (see [4] and [5]). Among different types of Finsler metrics,  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics have been paid more attention to because of their simplicity and applications in physics (see [1], [2] and [3]). These Finsler metrics were introduced in [8], by Matsumoto. In fact, the Randers metric, the first  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric defined by G. Randers in 1941, was introduced because of its application in general relativity (see [10]). Other examples of such  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics defined because of their applications in physics are the Matsumoto metric and the Kropina metric (see [1], [2] and [11]).

Suppose that  $g$  is a Riemannian metric and  $\beta$  is a 1-form on a differentiable manifold  $M$ . For a  $C^\infty$  function  $\phi : (-b_0, b_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying

$$(1.1) \quad \phi(s) - s\phi'(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi''(s) > 0, \quad |s| \leq b < b_0,$$

and  $\|\beta\|_\alpha < b_0$  (see [3]), the Finsler metric  $F = \alpha\phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha})$  is called an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, where  $\alpha(x, y) = \sqrt{g(y, y)}$ . To define the above-mentioned  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics, Randers, Matsumoto, and Kropina metrics, it is sufficient to consider the function  $\phi$  as  $\phi(s) = 1 + s$ ,  $\phi(s) = \frac{1}{1-s}$ , and  $\phi(s) = \frac{1}{s}$ , respectively.

In the year 2011, Yu and Zhu generalized the concept of the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric to a more general case which was called the general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric (see [12]). A Finsler metric  $F$  on a differentiable manifold  $M$  is called a general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric if there exists a  $C^\infty$  function  $\phi$ , a Riemannian metric  $g$ , and a 1-form  $\beta$ , such that

$$(1.2) \quad F = \alpha\phi(x, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}),$$

---

*Date:* October 4, 2022.

*Key words and phrases.* invariant Riemannian metric, invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, conformally relates, Lie group, homogeneous space

AMS 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C30, 53C60, 53C25, 22E60.

where  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha(x, y) = \sqrt{g(y, y)}$ .

A special class of general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics is of the form  $F = \alpha\phi(b^2, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$ , where  $b^2 := \|\beta\|_\alpha^2$ ,  $|s| \leq b < b_0$ , for some  $0 < b_0 \leq +\infty$ . This family of general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics is important because it includes some Bryant Finsler metrics (see [12]). It is shown that for  $\|\beta\|_\alpha < b_0$  the function  $F = \alpha\phi(b^2, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$  is a Finsler metric if and only if  $\phi$  is a positive  $C^\infty$  function such that

- $\phi - s\phi_2 > 0$ ,  $\phi(s) - s\phi_2(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi_{22}(s) > 0$ , (if  $\dim M \geq 3$ )
- $\phi(s) - s\phi_2(s) + (b^2 - s^2)\phi_{22}(s) > 0$ , (if  $\dim M = 2$ ),

where  $|s| \leq b < b_0$  (see [12]).

It seems that the study of geometric properties of invariant general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics on homogeneous spaces is interesting. But, we see this is not a good idea, because every invariant general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, which is defined by an invariant Riemannian metric and an invariant vector field (1-form), is an invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric (see proposition 3.1 below). So we study a family of general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics which are very close to invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics. In this work, we study general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics that are conformally related to invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics defined by an invariant Riemannian metric and an invariant vector field. Also we give the flag curvature formula of general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of Berwald type. A necessary and sufficient condition for a Finsler metric conformally related to an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric is given. Conformally related Douglas Randers metrics are investigated. Finally, some examples of conformally related  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics are given.

## 2. Conformally related $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics

In this section, firstly we consider the general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of Berwald type. Easily, similar to the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics, we compute the flag curvature formula of the general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics of Berwald type. Next, we turn our attention to the Finsler metrics that are conformally related to the  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for such metrics to be of Berwald type. Also, we study such Randers metrics that are of Douglas type.

*Remark 2.1.* Let  $F(x, y) = \alpha\phi(b^2, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$  be a general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric on a differentiable manifold  $M$ . If  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ , then  $F$  is of Berwald type.

*Proof.* Assume that  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$  i.e.  $b_{i;j} = 0$ , where  $b_{i;j}$  is the covariant derivative of  $b_i$  with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ . Suppose that  $G^i$  and  $G_\alpha^i$  denote the spray coefficients of  $F$  and  $\alpha$ , respectively. Now, Proposition 3.4 of [12] shows that  $G^i = G_\alpha^i$  and so  $F$  is of Berwald type.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a Finsler manifold equipped with a general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $F(x, y) = \alpha\phi(b^2, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$ . If  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$ , then the flag curvature  $K^F(y, P)$  of  $F$  is given by*

$$(2.1) \quad K^F(y, P) = \frac{\alpha^2 \|u\|_\alpha^2 - g(y, u)^2}{F^2 g_y^F(u, u) - g_y^F(y, u)^2} \rho K^g(P).$$

where  $P = \text{span}\{y, u\}$ ,  $\rho = \phi(\phi - s\phi_2)$ , and  $K^g(P)$  is the sectional curvature of the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

In a particular case, if  $\{y, u\}$  is an orthonormal set with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ , then

$$(2.2) \quad K^F(y, P) = \frac{1}{\phi^2(1 + g^2(X, u)D)} K^g(P),$$

where

$$D := \frac{\phi_{22}}{\phi - s\phi_2},$$

and  $X$  is the vector field corresponding to the 1-form  $\beta$  with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ .

*Proof.* For a local coordinate system  $(x^i)$  let  $s = \frac{\beta}{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha(x, y) = \sqrt{g_{ij}y^i y^j}$  and  $\beta(x, y) = b_i y^i$ . Using Proposition 3.2 of [12] for the Hessian matrix  $g_{ij}^F$  of  $F$  we have:

$$g_{ij}^F = \rho g_{ij} + \rho_0 b_i b_j + \rho_1 (b_i \alpha_{y^j} + b_j \alpha_{y^i}) - s \rho_1 \alpha_{y^i} \alpha_{y^j}.$$

where

$$\rho = \phi^2 - s\phi\phi_2 = \phi(\phi - s\phi_2), \quad \rho_0 = \phi\phi_{22} + \phi_2\phi_2, \quad \rho_1 = (\phi - s\phi_2)\phi_2 - s\phi\phi_{22}.$$

Suppose that  $\beta$  is parallel with respect to  $\alpha$  i.e.  $b_{i;j} = 0$ . According to Remark (2.1), we have  $G^i = G_\alpha^i$ . The Riemannian curvature of  $F$  is given by

$$(2.3) \quad R_k^i = 2 \frac{\partial G^i}{\partial x^k} - y^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial x^j \partial y^k} + 2G^j \frac{\partial^2 G^i}{\partial y^j \partial y^k} - \frac{\partial G^i \partial G^j}{\partial y^j \partial y^k}.$$

The formula of Riemannian curvature (2.3) implies that

$$R_j^i = {}^\alpha R_j^i.$$

where  $R_j^i$  and  ${}^\alpha R_j^i$  are the Riemannian curvatures of  $F$  and  $\alpha$ , respectively. Now, let

$$R_{ij} := g_{im}^F R_j^m, \quad {}^\alpha R_{ij} := g_{im} {}^\alpha R_j^m.$$

Using the fact

$$\alpha_{y^m} {}^\alpha R_j^m = \frac{1}{\alpha} g_{im} y^i {}^\alpha R_j^m = \frac{1}{\alpha} y^i {}^\alpha R_{ij} = 0,$$

and by a direct computation we have

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} R_{ij} &= g_{im}^F {}^\alpha R_j^m \\ &= (\rho g_{im} + \rho_0 b_i b_m + \rho_1 (b_i \alpha_{y^m} + b_m \alpha_{y^i}) - s \rho_1 \alpha_{y^i} \alpha_{y^m}) {}^\alpha R_j^m \\ &= \rho {}^\alpha R_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $b_{i;j} = 0$ , the Ricci identity implies that

$$b_m {}^\alpha R_j^m = b_m {}^\alpha R_{ijk}^m = b_{i;j;k} - b_{i;k;j} = 0.$$

So

$$b_m {}^\alpha R_j^m = b_m {}^\alpha R_{ijk}^m y^i y^j = 0.$$

By the definition, for  $P = \text{span}\{u, y\}$  and  $u = u^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ , the flag curvature  $K^F$  of  $F$  and the sectional curvature  $K^g$  are given by

$$(2.5) \quad K^F(y, P) = \frac{g_y^F(R_y(u), u)}{g_y^F(y, y)g_y^F(u, u) - (g_y^F(y, u))^2} = \frac{R_{ij}u^i u^j}{F^2 g_y^F(u, u) - (g_y^F(y, u))^2},$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad K^g(y, p) = \frac{g({}^\alpha R_y(u), u)}{g(y, y)g(u, u) - g^2(y, u)} = \frac{{}^\alpha R_{ij} u^i u^j}{\alpha^2 g(u, u) - g^2(y, u)}.$$

The relations (2.4),(2.5) and (2.6), imply that (2.1) holds.

It can be shown that, if  $\{y, u\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $P$  with respect to the Riemannian metric  $g$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g_y^F(u, u) &= g_{ij}^F u^i u^j = (\rho g_{ij} + \rho_0 b_i b_j + \rho_1 (b_i \alpha_{yj} + b_j \alpha_{yi}) - s \rho_1 \alpha_{yi} \alpha_{yj}) u^i u^j \\ &= \rho + \rho_0 g^2(X, u), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g_y^F(y, u) &= g_{ij}^F y^i u^j = (\rho g_{ij} + \rho_0 b_i b_j + \rho_1 (b_i \alpha_{yj} + b_j \alpha_{yi}) - s \rho_1 \alpha_{yi} \alpha_{yj}) y^i u^j \\ &= \rho_0 \beta g(X, u) + \rho_1 \alpha g(X, u) = (s \rho_0 + \rho_1) \alpha g(X, u) \\ &= \phi \phi_2 g(X, u). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(2.7) \quad g_{ij} u^i u^j = g(u, u) = 1, \quad b_i u^i = g(X, u), \quad \alpha_{yi} u^i = \frac{1}{\alpha} g(y, u) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad F^2 g_y^F(u, u) - (g_y^F(y, u))^2 &= \phi^2 (\rho + \rho_0 g^2(X, u)) - (\phi \phi_2 g(X, u))^2 \\ &= \phi^2 \rho + g^2(X, u) (\phi^2 \rho_0 - (\phi \phi_2)^2) \\ &= \phi^2 \rho + g^2(X, u) \phi^3 \phi_{22}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using (2.7), (2.8) and the relation  $D = \frac{\phi_{22}}{\phi - s \phi_2}$ , we have

$$K^F(y, P) = \frac{\rho}{\phi^2 \rho + g^2(X, u) \phi^3 \phi_{22}} K^g(P) = \frac{1}{\phi^2 (1 + g^2(X, u) D)} K^g(P).$$

□

**Proposition 2.3.** *If  $\tilde{F}$  is a Finsler metric conformally equivalent to an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $F$  then  $\tilde{F}$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is an arbitrary differentiable manifold equipped with an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $F$ , defined by a Riemannian metric  $g$  and a vector field  $X$ . Let  $\tilde{F} = e^f F$  be a Finsler metric conformally equivalent to  $F$ . Suppose that  $F$  is defined by  $F = \alpha \phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha})$  where  $\phi : (b_0, b_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a  $C^\infty$  function. Now, we define a vector field  $\tilde{X}$  and a Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  as follows:

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{X} = e^{-f} X, \quad \tilde{g} = e^{2f} g.$$

Let  $\tilde{\phi} = \phi$ , so we have

$$\tilde{\alpha} \tilde{\phi} \left( \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}} \right) (x, y) = \sqrt{e^{2f(x)} g(y, y)} \phi \left( \frac{e^{2f(x)} g(e^{-f(x)} X, y)}{\sqrt{e^{2f(x)} g(y, y)}} \right) = e^{f(x)} F(x, y) = \tilde{F}$$

Also we have  $\|\tilde{X}\|_{\tilde{\alpha}} < b_0$ .

□

In the next proposition, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a Finsler metric  $\tilde{F}$  conformally equivalent to an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric  $F$ , to be of Berwald type.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $M$  be an arbitrary differentiable manifold. Suppose that  $F, \tilde{F}, g, \tilde{g}, X$  and  $\tilde{X}$  are as the previous proposition. Let  $\nabla$  and  $\tilde{\nabla}$  be the Levi-Civita connections of the Riemannian metrics  $g$  and  $\tilde{g}$ , respectively. Then, the Finsler metric  $\tilde{F}$  is of Berwald type if and only if for any vector field  $Y$  on  $M$  we have*

$$(2.10) \quad \nabla_Y X = g(X, Y)\nabla f - XfY$$

*Proof.* We know that the Finsler metric  $\tilde{F}$  is of Berwald type if and only if the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  is parallel with respect to  $\tilde{g}$ , that is, for any vector field  $Y$  on  $M$   $\tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{X} = 0$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 1 of [6], we have

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{\nabla}_Y \tilde{X} = \nabla_Y \tilde{X} + (Yf)\tilde{X} + (\tilde{X}f)Y - g(\tilde{X}, Y)\nabla f.$$

So  $\tilde{F}$  is a Berwald metric if and only if

$$(2.12) \quad \nabla_Y \tilde{X} + (Yf)\tilde{X} + (\tilde{X}f)Y - g(\tilde{X}, Y)\nabla f = 0.$$

Now, suppose that  $\tilde{X} = e^{-f}X$ , then we have,

$$(2.13) \quad (Ye^{-f})X + e^{-f}(\nabla_Y X + (Yf)X + (Xf)Y - g(X, Y)\nabla f) = 0.$$

A direct computation shows that equation (2.13) is equivalent to

$$(2.14) \quad \nabla_Y X = g(X, Y)\nabla f - XfY.$$

□

In [13], Zhu studied general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics with vanishing Douglas curvature. Also in [9], the authors studied two-dimensional conformally related Douglas metrics and showed that such metrics are Randers. In the following proposition, we study conformally related Douglas Randers metrics in arbitrary dimension.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let  $F = \alpha + \beta$  be a Randers metric and  $\tilde{F}$  be conformally related to  $F$ . Then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if*

$$(2.15) \quad d\beta(Y, Z) + Yfg(X, Z) - Zfg(X, Y) = 0 \quad \forall Y, Z \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{\alpha} + \tilde{\beta}$  be a Randers metric that is  $\tilde{\alpha}(x, y) = \sqrt{\tilde{g}_x(y, y)}$  and  $\tilde{\beta}(x, y) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}(x), y)$ . We know that the Finsler metric  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if the 1-form  $\tilde{\beta}$  is closed i.e.  $d\tilde{\beta} = 0$ . On the other hand, according to Proposition 14.29 of [7] we have

$$(2.16) \quad d\tilde{\beta}(Y, Z) = Y\tilde{\beta}(Z) - Z\tilde{\beta}(Y) - \tilde{\beta}[Y, Z] \quad \forall Y, Z$$

It shows that  $\tilde{F}$  is a Douglas metric if and only if

$$(2.17) \quad Y\tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, Z) - Z\tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, Y) - \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, [Y, Z]) = 0.$$

We replace  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{g}$  with  $e^{-f}X$  and  $e^{2f}g$  respectively in the above equality obtaining

$$(2.18) \quad Y(e^f g(X, Z)) - Z(e^f g(X, Y)) - e^f g(X, [Y, Z]) = 0.$$

By direct computation, (3.4) is equivalent to

$$(2.19) \quad d\beta(Y, Z) + Yfg(X, Z) - Zfg(X, Y) = 0.$$

□

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $F = \alpha + \beta$  be a Randers metric of Douglas type and  $\tilde{F}$  be conformally related to  $F$ ,  $\tilde{F} = e^f F$ , then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f_i(x) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x)$  proportional to  $b_i(x)$  i.e.  $f_i b_j - f_j b_i = 0$ , where  $\beta = b_i(x)y^i$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $F$  is a Randers metric of Douglas type hence,  $d\beta = 0$ . Suppose  $Y = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$  and  $Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ . According to Proposition (2.5) we have  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} b_j - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} b_i = 0$ . □

### 3. Conformally related invariant $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics

In this short section, we study conformally related invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics on homogeneous spaces. In the following proposition, easily we see that there is no nontrivial  $G$ -invariant general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric on a homogeneous space  $G/H$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $F = \alpha\phi(x, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric which is defined by a  $G$ -invariant vector field  $X$  and a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M = G/H$ . Then,  $F$  is a  $G$ -invariant general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric if and only if  $F$  is a  $G$ -invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. In the special case any left-invariant general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric defined by a left-invariant vector field and a left-invariant Riemannian metric is a left-invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric.*

*Proof.* Let  $\tau_a : G/H \rightarrow G/H$  be a diffeomorphism that  $\tau_a(xH) = axH \quad \forall a, x \in G$ . The Riemannian metric  $g$  and the vector field  $X$  are  $G$ -invariant that is

$$(3.1) \quad g_{xH}(d\tau_x Y, d\tau_x Z) = g_{eH}(Y, Z)$$

$$(3.2) \quad d\tau_x X = X.$$

By (3.1) and (3.2)  $F$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric. □

*Remark 3.2.* Let  $g$  be a  $G$ -invariant Riemannian metric and  $X$  be a  $G$ -invariant vector field on the homogeneous space  $M = G/H$ . Suppose that  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function such that  $f(H) = 0$  and the vector field  $\tilde{X}$  and the Riemannian metric  $\tilde{g}$  are defined as follows

$$(3.3) \quad \tilde{X} = e^{-f} X, \tilde{g} = e^{2f} g.$$

Clearly  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{g}$  are not necessarily  $G$ -invariant but the two Riemannian metrics  $g$  and  $\tilde{g}$  on  $M$  are conformally related. Suppose that  $\tilde{F} = \tilde{\alpha}\tilde{\phi}(\tilde{b}^2, \frac{\tilde{\beta}}{\tilde{\alpha}})$  is a general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric on  $G/H$ , where  $\tilde{\alpha}$  is the norm of the metric  $\tilde{g}$ ,  $\tilde{\beta}$  is the 1-form defined by  $\tilde{X}$ , and  $\tilde{b}^2 = \tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})$ . Now, we assume  $\phi : (-b_0, b_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\phi(s) := \tilde{\phi}(\tilde{b}^2(H), s)$ . Easily,  $\phi$  is a  $C^\infty$  function. It can be shown that  $F = \alpha\phi(\frac{\beta}{\alpha})$  is a  $G$ -invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric on  $M = G/H$ , where  $X = \tilde{X}(H)$  and  $\beta(y) = g(X, y)$ . Furthermore  $\tilde{F}$  is conformally related to  $F$ .

We now turn to the left-invariant metrics on the Lie groups.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Suppose that  $F = \alpha + \beta$  is a left-invariant Randers metric defined by a left-invariant vector field  $X$  and a left-invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  on a Lie group  $G$ . Let  $\tilde{F} = e^f F$ . If  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type then for all left-invariant vector fields  $Y, Z$  we have*

$$(3.4) \quad g(X, [Z, Y]) + Yfg(X, Z) - Zfg(X, Y) = 0.$$

*Proof.* According to Proposition 14.29 of [7] we have

$$(3.5) \quad d\beta(Y, Z) = Yg(X, Z) - Zg(X, Y) - g([Y, Z], X).$$

Since  $g$  is a left-invariant Riemannian metric so

$$(3.6) \quad d\beta(Y, Z) = g(X, [Y, Z])$$

Due to (2.15) and (3.6) we conclude that (3.4) holds and it completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. Examples

In this section, using the results obtained in the previous sections, we give some examples of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics that are conformally related to Randers metric under which conditions they are of Douglas type. Also for a certain  $X$  and  $f$ , we show that  $\tilde{F}$ , which is the conformally related to an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric, is of Berwald type.

**4.1. The Heisenberg group  $H_3$ .** The Heisenberg group  $H_3$  can be considered as the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^3$  with the following multiplication

$$(4.1) \quad (x', y', z').(x, y, z) = (x' + x, y' + y, z' + z + \frac{1}{2}yx' - \frac{1}{2}y'x).$$

Let  $g$  be the left-invariant Riemannian metric on  $H_3$  such that the left-invariant basis

$$(4.2) \quad \{e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{y}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{x}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\},$$

is an orthonormal basis. Easily, we can see

$$(4.3) \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_3, [e_1, e_3] = [e_2, e_3] = 0.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Suppose that  $G = H_3$  is the Heisenberg Lie group, and  $F = \alpha + \beta$  is a Randers metric defined by the left-invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  (which is defined above) and a left-invariant vector field on  $H_3$ . Let  $\tilde{F} = e^f F$  be conformally related to  $F$ . Then  $\tilde{F}$  is a Douglas metric if and only if*

$$(4.4) \quad X = ae_1 + be_2, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0,$$

$$(4.6) \quad b \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - a \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0.$$

*Proof.* If  $X = ae_1 + be_2$  and  $f$  satisfy the conditions (4.5) and (4.6), easily it can be seen the relation (2.15) holds, and it shows that  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type.

Conversely, if  $X = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$  and  $\tilde{F}$  is a Douglas metric, based on Proposition (2.5), we have

$$d\beta(Y, Z) + Yfg(X, Z) - Zfg(X, Y) = 0,$$

which is satisfied for all left-invariant vector fields  $Y$  and  $Z$ .

In the special case where  $Y = e_i$  and  $Z = e_j$  ( $i < j, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ), we have

$$(4.7) \quad b \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - a \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - (by + a \frac{x}{2}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = c,$$

$$(4.8) \quad c \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - (cy + a) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0,$$

$$(4.9) \quad c \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} + (c \frac{x}{2} - b) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0.$$

Then, by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we have  $c = 0$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} = 0$  and  $b \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - a \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.**     **a:** *If  $X = be_2$  then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f = f(y)$ .*

**b:** *If  $X = ae_1$  then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f = f(x)$ .*

**4.2. The Lie group  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ .** Let  $G$  be the two-dimensional solvable Lie group  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $g$  be the left-invariant Riemannian metric on  $G = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  such that the left-invariant basis

$$(4.10) \quad \{e_1 = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, e_2 = y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\},$$

is an orthonormal basis. Easily, we can see

$$(4.11) \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_2.$$

Suppose that  $F = \alpha + \beta$  is a Randers metric defined by the above left-invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  and a left-invariant vector field  $X = ae_1 + be_2$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

**Proposition 4.3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is the Lie  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$ , and  $F = \alpha + \beta$  is a Randers metric as above. Let  $\tilde{F} = e^f F$  be conformally related to  $F$ , then  $\tilde{F}$  is a Douglas metric if and only if*

$$(4.12) \quad by \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - ay \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = b.$$

*Proof.* If  $X = ae_1 + be_2$  is a left-invariant vector field, and  $f$  satisfies the conditions (4.12), easily it can be seen the relation (2.15) holds and it is shown that  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type.

Conversely, if  $\tilde{F}$  is a Douglas metric and  $X = ae_1 + be_2$ , based on Proposition (2.5), we have

$$d\beta(Y, Z) + Yfg(X, Z) - Zfg(X, Y) = 0$$

which is satisfied for all left-invariant vector fields  $Y$  and  $Z$ .

In the special case where  $Y = e_1$  and  $Z = e_2$  we have

$$(4.13) \quad by \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - ay \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = b.$$

$\square$

**Corollary 4.4.**     **a:** *If  $X = be_2$  then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f = \ln y + g(x)$ .*

**b:** *If  $X = ae_1$  then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f = f(y)$ .*

**Example 4.5.** Let  $G = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $F$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric defined by the above left-invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  and a left-invariant vector field  $X$ . For the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$ , we can see that  $\nabla_{e_2} e_1 = -e_2$  and  $\nabla_{e_1} e_1 = 0$ . Let  $X = ae_1$  ( $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ),  $f(x, y) = \ln y$  and  $Y = y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2$  ( $y_1, y_2 \in C^\infty(G)$ ). Easily we have  $\nabla_Y X = -ay_2 y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ ,  $g(X, Y) = ay_1$ ,  $Xf = a$  and  $\nabla f = y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ . Therefore, according to the proposition(2.4),  $\tilde{F}$  is of Berwald type.



**4.3. The Lie group  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+$ .** Let  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $g$  be the left-invariant Riemannian metric on  $G$  such that the left-invariant basis

$$(4.14) \quad \left\{ e_1 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, e_2 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, e_3 = z \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right\},$$

is an orthonormal basis. Clearly, we can see

$$(4.15) \quad [e_1, e_2] = e_2, [e_1, e_3] = e_3, [e_2, e_3] = 0.$$

Suppose that  $F = \alpha + \beta$  is a Randers metric defined by  $g$  and a left-invariant vector field  $X = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$  ( $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) on  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *Let  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $F = \alpha + \beta$  be a Randers metric as above. If  $\tilde{F} = e^f F$  is conformally related to  $F$ , then  $\tilde{F}$  is a Douglas metric if and only if*

$$(4.16) \quad bz \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - az \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = b,$$

$$(4.17) \quad cz \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - bz \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0,$$

$$(4.18) \quad cz \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - az \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = c.$$

*Proof.* If  $X = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$  and  $f$  satisfy the conditions (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), easily it can be seen the relation (2.15) holds, and it shows that  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type.

Conversely, if  $\tilde{F}$  is a Douglas metric and  $X = ae_1 + be_2 + ce_3$ , based on Proposition (2.5), we have

$$d\beta(Y, Z) + Yfg(X, Z) - Zfg(X, Y) = 0$$

which is satisfied for all  $Y$  and  $Z$  in the Lie algebra of  $G$ .

In the special case where  $Y = e_i$  and  $Z = e_j$  ( $i < j, i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ) we have

$$(4.19) \quad bz \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - az \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = b,$$

$$(4.20) \quad cz \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - az \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = c,$$

$$(4.21) \quad cz \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - bz \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0.$$

□

**Corollary 4.7.** **a:** *If  $X = be_2 + ce_3$  then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f = \ln z + g(x, y)$  and  $cz \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} - bz \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 0$ .*

**b:** *If  $X = ae_1 + ce_3$  then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f = \ln|z| + c'$  ( $c' \in \mathbb{R}$ ).*

**c:** *If  $X = ae_1 + be_2$  then,  $\tilde{F}$  is of Douglas type if and only if  $f = f(x, z)$  and  $bz \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} - az \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = b$ .*

**Example 4.8.** Let  $G = \mathbb{R}^2 \rtimes \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $F$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric defined by the above left-invariant Riemannian metric  $g$  and a left-invariant vector field  $X$ . We see that  $\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = \nabla_{e_2} e_3 = 0$  and  $\nabla_{e_3} e_3 = e_1$ . Suppose that  $X = ae_3$  ( $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ),  $f(x, y, z) = \ln z$  and  $Y = y_1 e_1 + y_2 e_2 + y_3 e_3$  ( $y_1, y_2, y_3 \in C^\infty(G)$ ). Easily  $\nabla_Y X = ay_3 z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ ,  $g(X, Y) = ay_3$ ,  $Xf = 0$  and  $\nabla f = z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ . Therefore, according to the Proposition(2.4),  $\tilde{F}$  is a Berwald metric.

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to the office of Graduate Studies of the University of Isfahan for their support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Antonelli, P. L. , Ingarden, R. S., Matsumoto, M.: *The Theory of Sprays and Finsler Spaces with Applications in Physics and Biology*. Kluwer, Dordrecht (1993)
- [2] Asanov, G. S.: *Finsler Geometry, Relativity and Gauge Theories*. D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1985)
- [3] Chern, S. S., Shen, Z.: *Riemann-Finsler Geometry*. World Scientific, Singapore (2005)
- [4] Deng, S.: *Homogeneous Finsler Spaces*. Springer, New York (2012)
- [5] Deng, S., Hosseini, M., Liu, H., Salimi Moghaddam, H. R.: *On Left Invariant  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics on Some Lie Groups*. *Houst. J. Math.* **45**, 1071-1088 (2019)
- [6] K uhnel, W.: *Conformal Transformations between Einstein Spaces*. *Conformal Geometry: A Publication of the Max-Planck-Institut f ur Mathematik, Bonn*, 105-146 (1988), DOI 10.1007/978-3-322-90616-8
- [7] Lee, J. M.: *Introduction to smooth manifolds*. Second edition, Springer, (2013)
- [8] Matsumoto, M.: *Theory of Finsler spaces with  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metric*. *Rep. Math. Phys.* **31**, 43-83 (1992)
- [9] Matveev, V. S., Saberali, S.: *Conformally related Douglas metrics in dimension two are Randers*. *Arch. Math.* **116**, 221-231 (2021)
- [10] Randers, G.: *On an asymmetrical metric in the four-space of general relativity*. *Phys. Rev.* **59**, 195-199 (1941)
- [11] Yoshikawa, R., Sabau, S. V.: *Kropina metrics and Zermelo navigation on Riemannian manifolds*. *Geom. Dedicata.* **171**, 119-148 (2014)
- [12] Yu, C., Zhu, H.: *On a new class of Finsler metrics*. *Differential Geom. Appl.* **29**, 244-254 (2011)
- [13] Zhu, H.: *On general  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -metrics with vanishing Douglas curvature*. *Int. J. Math.* **26**, 1550076 (16 pages) (2015)

AZAR FATAHI, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN, 81746-73441-IRAN.

MASOUMEH HOSSEINI, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN, 81746-73441-IRAN.

*Email address:* hoseini\_masomeh@ymail.com

HAMID REZA SALIMI MOGHADDAM, DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ISFAHAN, ISFAHAN, 81746-73441-IRAN., SCOPUS AUTHOR ID: 26534920800, ORCID ID:0000-0001-6112-4259,

*Email address:* hr.salimi@sci.ui.ac.ir and salimi.moghaddam@gmail.com