

Decomposition of Spaces of Periodic Functions into Subspaces of Periodic Functions and Subspaces of Antiperiodic Functions

Hailu Bikila Yadeta
email: haybik@gmail.com

Dilla University, College of Natural and Computational Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Dilla, Ethiopia

October 4, 2022

Abstract

In this paper we prove that the space \mathbb{P}_p of all periodic function of fundamental period p is a direct sum of the space $\mathbb{P}_{p/2}$ of periodic functions of fundamental period $p/2$ and the space $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_{p/2}$ of antiperiodic functions of fundamental anti period $p/2$. The decomposition can be continued by applying the decomposition process to the successively raising periodic subspaces. It is shown that, under certain condition, a periodic function can be written as a convergent infinite series of anti periodic functions of distinct fundamental anti periods. In addition, we characterize the space of all periodic functions of period $p \in \mathbb{N}$ in terms of all its periodic and antiperiodic subspaces of integer periods (or anti periods). We show that the elements of a subspace of such a space of periodic functions take a specific form (not arbitrary) of linear combinations of the shifts of the elements of the given space. Lastly, we introduce a lattice diagram named-periodicity diagram for a space of periodic function of a fixed period $p \in \mathbb{N}$. As a particular example, the periodicity diagram of \mathbb{P}_{12} is shown.

Keywords: periodic function, antiperiodic function, direct sum, decomposition, difference equation, periodicity diagram; n -th periodic generation, n -th antiperiodic generation

MSC2020: Primary 47B38,47B39,47B92, 39A23

MSC2020: Secondary 26A18

1 Introduction and preliminaries

It is clear that any 1-periodic function is also a 2-periodic function. Therefore, the set of all 1-periodic functions is a subset of the set of 2-periodic functions. The main question is "what functions other than the 1-periodic ones are contained in the set of all 2-periodic functions?". This gives rise to the study of the decomposition of spaces of periodic functions into subspaces.

For latter use in this paper, let us denote the space of all real-valued function of real domain by \mathcal{F} . That is

$$\mathcal{F} := \{f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (1.1)$$

We denote by \mathbb{P}_p , the space of all periodic functions of period p ,

$$\mathbb{P}_p = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : f(x+p) = f(x)\}, \quad (1.2)$$

and by \mathbb{AP}_p the space of all antiperiodic functions of antiperiod p .

$$\mathbb{AP}_p = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : f(x+p) = -f(x)\}. \quad (1.3)$$

The spaces \mathbb{P}_p , and \mathbb{AP}_p form subspaces of \mathcal{F} . There are several familiar examples of decompositions of spaces into subspaces (may be as a direct sum). To mention few specific example, the space \mathcal{F} can be decomposed into the space of all even function \mathbb{E} , and the space of all odd functions \mathbb{O} . In fact

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbb{E} \oplus \mathbb{O},$$

where

$$\mathbb{E} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : f(-x) = f(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}, \quad \mathbb{O} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : f(-x) = -f(x), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Each $f \in \mathcal{F}$, has a unique representation as $f = f_e + f_o$, $f_e \in \mathbb{E}$, $f_o \in \mathbb{O}$, where

$$f_e(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(-x)}{2}, \quad f_o(x) = \frac{f(x) - f(-x)}{2}.$$

The good example of such decomposition is

$$e^x = \frac{e^x + e^{-x}}{2} + \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{2} = \cosh x + \sinh x.$$

The second example is the space $M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ of square matrices with real entries can be decomposed into the space of symmetric matrices $S_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ and the space of skew-symmetric matrices $SS_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, where

$$S_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) : A^T = A\}, \quad SS_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) : A^T = -A\}$$

In fact,

$$M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) = S_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}) \oplus SS_{n \times n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

In this paper, we write that any periodic function f with period p can be decomposed in a unique way into a periodic function of period $p/2$ and an antiperiodic function of antiperiod $p/2$. The motivation for the current work is the study of the difference equation with continuous argument

$$y(x+2) - y(x) = 0, \quad (1.4)$$

whose characteristic equation is $\lambda^2 - 1 = 0$, and whose general solution

$$y(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad (1.5)$$

where f is an arbitrary 1-periodic function, and g is an arbitrary 1-antiperiodic function. On the other hand, the general solution of (1.4) is

$$y(x) = h(x), \quad (1.6)$$

where h is an arbitrary periodic function of period 2. Comparing the general solutions of the difference equation (1.4), given in (1.5), and (1.6) in different forms, we come to the assertion that any arbitrary periodic function h of period 2 can be decomposed into the sum of a periodic function f of period 1 and an antiperiodic function g of antiperiod 1. Consequently,

$$\mathbb{P}_2 = \mathbb{P}_1 \oplus \mathbb{AP}_1.$$

This assertion is true for an arbitrary periodic function of period p and we prove it in this paper. We shall also prove that some periodic functions can be written as an infinite series of terms of antiperiodic functions of different antiperiods. We also study all periodic (and antiperiodic) of period (antiperiod) $d \in \mathbb{N}$ subspaces of a periodic space of functions with specific period $p \in \mathbb{N}$, where d is a divisor of p .

1.1 The shift operator and periodicity

For $h \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the shift operator E^h and the identity operator I as

$$E^h y(x) := y(x + h), \quad Iy(x) := y(x).$$

For $h = 1$, we write E^h only as E than E^1 . We agree that $E^0 = I$. We define the forward difference operator Δ and the back ward difference operators ∇ as follows

$$\Delta y(x) := (E - I)y(x) = y(x + 1) - y(x), \quad \nabla y(x) = (I - E^{-1})y(x) = y(x) - y(x - 1).$$

Definition 1.1. A function f is said to be p -periodic if there exists a $p > 0$ such that $f(x) = f(x + p)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The least such p is called the *period* of f . In terms of shift operator we write this as

$$E^p f(x) = f(x).$$

Definition 1.2. [10], [9] A function f is said to be p -antiperiodic if there exists a $p > 0$ such that $f(x + p) = -f(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. The least such p is called the *antiperiod* of f . In terms of shift operator we write this as

$$E^p f(x) = -f(x).$$

Example 1.3. The functions $f_n(x) = \cos 2n\pi x$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are 1-periodic. The functions $g_n(x) = \cos(2n + 1)\pi x$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are 1-antiperiodic. The function $f(x) = x - [x]$, where $[x]$ denotes the greatest integer not greater than x , is a 1-periodic function.

Remark 1.4. Every p -antiperiodic function is $2p$ -periodic. However not every $2p$ -periodic functions is p -antiperiodic function. Further properties of p -antiperiodic function, like finite and infinite linear combination (provided that they are convergent) is given in [10]. For example, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos(2n+1)x}{n^2}$ is π -antiperiodic as each of its terms is π -antiperiodic.

Remark 1.5. The constant function $f(x) = 0$ is the only function that is both periodic and antiperiodic with any period and antiperiod.

Theorem 1.6. *The composition of periodic function with even or odd function is given as follows:*

- If $f \in \mathbb{O}$, $g \in \mathbb{AP}_p$, then $f \circ g \in \mathbb{AP}_p$.

- If $f \in \mathbb{E}, g \in \mathbb{AP}_p$, then $f \circ g \in \mathbb{P}_p$.
- If $f \in \mathcal{F}, g \in \mathbb{P}_p$, then $f \circ g \in \mathbb{P}_p$.

2 Decomposition of periodic functions

Theorem 2.1. *The space \mathbb{P}_p of all p -periodic functions is the direct sum of the space $\mathbb{P}_{p/2}$ of all $p/2$ -periodic function and the space $\mathbb{AP}_{p/2}$ of all $p/2$ -antiperiodic function.*

Proof. Let $h \in \mathbb{P}_p$. Suppose that

$$h(x) = f(x) + g(x), \quad (2.1)$$

for some $f \in \mathbb{P}_{p/2}$, and $g \in \mathbb{AP}_{p/2}$. Then

$$h(x + p/2) = f(x + p/2) + g(x + p/2) = f(x) - g(x). \quad (2.2)$$

Then solving (2.1) and (2.2) simultaneously we get

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(h(x) + h(x + p/2)), \quad g(x) = \frac{1}{2}(h(x) - h(x + p/2)). \quad (2.3)$$

Then f and g defined as in (2.3) satisfy the required condition. It remains to show that the representation is unique. Suppose that $f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{AP}_1$ such that $h = f_1 + g_1 = f_2 + g_2$. Then we have $f_1 - f_2 = g_2 - g_1$. Hence $f_1 - f_2 \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and $g_1 - g_2 \in \mathbb{AP}_1$ we have $f_1 - f_2 = g_2 - g_1 = 0$. \square

Example 2.2. Let $f(x) = x - [x]$. Then f is a 1-period function. The decomposition of f yields

$$f_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}(2x + 1/2 - [x] - [x + 1/2]),$$

$$\tilde{f}_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}([x + 1/2] - [x] - 1/2).$$

We have proved that a periodic function of period p can be decomposed into a periodic function of period $p/2$ and an antiperiodic function of antiperiodic $p/2$. We may continue this decomposition process by taking the new period function of period $p/2$ by decomposing it into a periodic function of period $p/4$ and an antiperiodic function of antiperiod $p/4$ and so on.

Definition 2.3. Given a periodic function f period p the n -th periodic generation of f , denoted by f_n , is a periodic function of period $p/2^n$ derived from f after n decompositions. The n -th antiperiodic generation of f , denoted by \tilde{f}_n , is an antiperiodic function of antiperiod $p/2^n$ derived from f after n decompositions.

Remark 2.4. If f is a p -periodic function that is also a $p/2$ -antiperiodic function, then the decomposition in Theorem 2.1, yields $f = \tilde{f}_1 + 0$. That is, the first periodic generation f_1 of f is 0, and the first antiperiodic generation \tilde{f}_1 of f is f itself. Consequently, all subsequent periodic and antiperiodic generations of f are all 0 s.

Theorem 2.5. *Given a periodic function f of period p the n -th periodic generation of f is given by*

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + E^{\frac{p}{2^i}}) f. \quad (2.4)$$

Theorem 2.6. Given a periodic function f of period p , the n -th antiperiodic generation of f is given by

$$\tilde{f}_n = \frac{1}{2^n} (I - E^{\frac{p}{2^n}}) \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + E^{\frac{p}{2^i}}) f. \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. The $n - 1$ -th periodic generation f_{n-1} is decomposed into the n -th periodic generation \tilde{f}_n and the n -th antiperiodic generation \tilde{f}_n . That is $f_{n-1} = f_n + \tilde{f}_n$. Consequently by (2.4)

$$\tilde{f}_n = f_{n-1} - f_n = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (1 + E^{\frac{p}{2^i}}) f - \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{i=1}^n (1 + E^{\frac{p}{2^i}}) f,$$

which, upon simplification, gives the desired result. \square

Theorem 2.7. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$f = f_n + \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{f}_k.$$

Theorem 2.8. Let f be the a p -periodic function with n th periodic generation f_n . If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x_0 \leq x \leq x_0 + p} |f_n(x)| = 0,$$

then

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{f}_n(x).$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $\omega > 0$, and $f \in \mathcal{F}$. Define $g(x) = f(\omega x)$. If $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_p$ then $g \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_{\frac{p}{\omega}}$. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_p$ then $g \in \mathbb{P}_{\frac{p}{\omega}}$.

Proof. If $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_p$ then

$$g(x + \frac{p}{\omega}) = f(\omega(x + \frac{p}{\omega})) = f(\omega x + p) = -f(\omega x) = -g(x).$$

The proof for $f \in \mathbb{P}_p$ is similar. \square

3 Periodic functions of integer periods

Theorem 3.1. Let \mathbb{P}_p denote the set of p -periodic functions. Let

$$LC\mathbb{P}_p := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} c_i E^i f, \quad f \in \mathbb{P}_p, \quad c_i \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$LC\mathbb{P}_p = \mathbb{P}_p \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. If $f \in LC\mathbb{P}_p$ then $f \in \mathbb{P}_p$. For a space of all periodic functions of period p are invariant under translations (shift operators), and invariant under scalar multiplication. Conversely, if $f \in \mathbb{P}_p$ then $f = 1f$, with all other coefficients equal to zero. So $\mathbb{P}_p \subset LC\mathbb{P}_p$. \square

Remark 3.2. For any $n, p \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists integers m, r such that $n = mp + r, 0 \leq r < p$, so that

$$E^n f = E^{mp+r} f = E^r E^{mp} f = E^r f, \quad \forall f \in \mathbb{P}_p.$$

Therefore, only the powers E^i with $0 \leq i < p$ are considered in the definition of $LC\mathbb{P}_p$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $d, p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d|p$. Let $f_d \in \mathbb{P}_d \subset \mathbb{P}_p$. For appropriate $f \in \mathbb{P}_p$ we have*

$$f_d = (I + E^d + E^{2d} + \dots + E^{(m-1)d})f$$

Proof. Let $p = md$. Since $f_d \in \mathbb{P}_d$, we have

$$f_d = E^d f_d = E^{2d} f_d = \dots = E^{(m-1)d} f_d \quad (3.2)$$

By (3.1) we have

$$f_d = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i E^i g \in \mathbb{P}_p, \quad (3.3)$$

for some $g \in \mathbb{P}_p$, $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

Applying the shift operator E^i , $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, (m-1)$, to (3.3) and equating coefficients of same power of E from the relation (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a_d = a_{2d} = \dots = a_{(m-1)d}, \\ a_1 &= a_{d+1} = a_{2d+1} = \dots = a_{(m-1)d+1}, \\ &\dots\dots\dots, \\ a_{d-1} &= a_{2d-1} = a_{3d-1} = \dots = a_{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} f_d &= (I + E^d + E^{2d} + \dots + E^{(m-1)d})(a_0 I + a_1 E + a_2 E^2 + \dots + a_{d-1} E^{d-1})g \\ &= (I + E^d + E^{2d} + \dots + E^{(m-1)d})f, \end{aligned}$$

where $f := (a_0 I + a_1 E + a_2 E^2 + \dots + a_{d-1} E^{d-1})g \in \mathbb{P}_p$. We have

$$E^d f_d = E^d (I + E^d + E^{2d} + \dots + E^{(m-1)d})f = f_d,$$

showing that f_d is indeed a d -periodic element of \mathbb{P}_p . □

Corollary 3.4. For every $p \in \mathbb{N}$, \mathbb{P}_1 is a subspace of \mathbb{P}_p whose any element g is a linear combination of the shifts of $f \in \mathbb{P}_p$.

Proof. Define $g = (1 + E + E^2 + \dots + E^{p-1})f$. Then g is 1-periodic function. Conversely, if g is any 1-periodic function in \mathbb{P}_p then it is of the form $g = (1 + E + E^2 + \dots + E^{p-1})f$. □

Theorem 3.5. *Let $p, m, d \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $p = md$ and that m is odd. Then $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_d$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_p$ and that every element $\tilde{f}_d \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_d$ can be written as*

$$\tilde{f}_d = (I - E^d + E^{2d} - E^{3d} + \dots + E^{(m-1)d})\tilde{f},$$

where $\tilde{f} \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_p$.

Lemma 3.6 (Bezout's identity). *If a and b are integers not both zero then there exists integers u and v such that*

$$\gcd(a, b) = au + bv$$

Theorem 3.7. *Let $d = \gcd(m, n)$. Then $\mathbb{P}_d = \mathbb{P}_m \cap \mathbb{P}_n$.*

Proof. $d|m \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}_d \subseteq \mathbb{P}_m$, and $d|n \Rightarrow \mathbb{P}_d \subseteq \mathbb{P}_n$. Consequently

$$\mathbb{P}_d \subseteq \mathbb{P}_m \cap \mathbb{P}_n. \quad (3.4)$$

By Bezout's identity, $d = \gcd(m, n) \Rightarrow \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha m + \beta n = d$. If $f \in \mathbb{P}_m \cap \mathbb{P}_n$, we have $E^m f = f$, $E^n f = f$. Consequently

$$E^d f = E^{\alpha m + \beta n} f = E^{\alpha m} E^{\beta n} f = E^{\alpha m} f = f.$$

This shows that f is d -periodic. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}_m \cap \mathbb{P}_n \subseteq \mathbb{P}_d. \quad (3.5)$$

By (3.4) and (3.5) it follows that $\mathbb{P}_m \cap \mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{P}_d$ □

Corollary 3.8. If m and n are relatively prime then $\mathbb{P}_m \cap \mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{P}_1$.

3.1 Decomposition of the spaces \mathbb{P}_3 , \mathbb{P}_6 , and \mathbb{P}_{12}

We know that $\mathbb{P}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}_3$. Therefore $f \in \mathbb{P}_1$ then $f \in \mathbb{P}_3$. The important question is : What is the set of elements of \mathbb{P}_3 that are not in \mathbb{P}_1 ?

Theorem 3.9. *Let*

$$\mathbb{S} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} : E^2 f + Ef + f = 0\}. \quad (3.6)$$

- $\mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{P}_3$,
- $\mathbb{P}_3 = \mathbb{P}_1 \oplus \mathbb{S}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{S}$. Then $E^2 f = -Ef - f$. Consequently,

$$E^3 f = -E^2 f - Ef = Ef + f - Ef = f.$$

This shows that $f \in \mathbb{P}_3$. If $f \in \mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{P}_1$, then

$$0 = E^2 f + Ef + f = f + f + f = 3f.$$

So $f = 0$. Let $f \in \mathbb{P}_3$ is given. Suppose that

$$f = g + h, \quad g \in \mathbb{P}_1, h \in \mathbb{S}. \quad (3.7)$$

Applying shift operator E to (3.7), we get

$$Ef = g + Eh \quad (3.8)$$

Subtracting (3.8) from (3.7), we get

$$f - Ef = h - Eh = h + h + E^2h = 2h + E^2h.$$

Consequently,

$$h = \frac{I - E}{2I + E^2}f, \quad g = \frac{I + E + E^2}{2I + E^2}f$$

□

Example 3.10. Let

$$f(x) = \cos \frac{2\pi x}{3}, \quad g(x) = \sin \frac{2\pi x}{3}, \quad h(x) = x - \lfloor x \rfloor.$$

Then $f, g \in \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{P}_3$, $f \notin \mathbb{P}_1$, $g \notin \mathbb{P}_1$, $h \in \mathbb{P}_1$, $h \notin \mathbb{S}$.

By definition of \mathbb{S} in (3.6), we see that \mathbb{S} is the kernel of the operator $E^2 + E + I := L$, and it is clear that $\ker \Delta = \mathbb{P}_1$. Next we want to determine the images of the operators Δ and L .

Lemma 3.11.

$$\{\Delta f : f \in \mathbb{S}\} = \mathbb{S}$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathbb{S}$. Then

$$E^2(\Delta f) + E(\Delta f)f + \Delta f = \Delta(E^2f + Ef + f) = 0.$$

Therefore, $\{\Delta f : f \in \mathbb{S}\} \subset \mathbb{S}$. On the other hand, if $f \in \mathbb{S}$ then $E^2s + Es + s = 0$. So

$$s = -E^2s - Es = (E - I)(-Es - 2s) = \Delta(-Es - 2s) \in \{\Delta f : f \in \mathbb{S}\}.$$

Hence the Lemma is proved. □

Theorem 3.12. Let $L := E^2 + E + I$, and $\Delta := E - I$ the forward difference operator.

$$L : \mathbb{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3, \quad \Delta : \mathbb{P}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_3$$

Then

$$\ker \Delta = \text{Im } L = \mathbb{P}_1, \quad \text{Im } \Delta = \ker L = \mathbb{S},$$

so that, by Theorem 3.9

$$\ker L \oplus \text{Im } L = \mathbb{P}_3 = \ker \Delta \oplus \text{Im } \Delta.$$

Proof. $\text{Im } L = \{Lf : f \in \mathbb{P}_3\}$, and $\{\Delta Lf : f \in \mathbb{P}_3\} = \{(E^3 - I)f : f \in \mathbb{P}_3\} = \{0\}$. Consequently, $\text{Im } L \subset \mathbb{P}_1 = \ker \Delta$. Let $f \in \mathbb{P}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}_3$, then $L\frac{1}{3}f = f$. This implies that $f \in \text{Im } L$. Therefore $\mathbb{P}_1 \subset \text{Im } L$ and $\mathbb{P}_1 = \text{Im } L$. Using Lemma 3.11 and Theorem 3.9,

$$\text{Im } \Delta = \{\Delta f : f \in \mathbb{P}_3\} = \{\Delta f : f \in \mathbb{P}_1\} \cup \{\Delta f : f \in \mathbb{S}\} = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}.$$

□

We have seen that the space of all 3-periodic functions, \mathbb{P}_3 , can be decomposed into the space of all 1-periodic function \mathbb{P}_1 , and the space \mathbb{S} of all 3-periodic functions that satisfy the second order difference

equation $E^2f + Ef + f = 0$. However according to Theorem 3.1

$$\mathbb{P}_3 = \{\alpha E^2f + \beta Ef + \gamma f : \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathbb{P}_3\}$$

We show that $0 \in \mathbb{S} \cap \mathbb{P}_1$ can take only two forms $\{f \in \mathbb{P}_3 | E^2f + Ef + f = 0\}$ or $\{f \in \mathbb{P}_3 | Ef - f = 0\}$.

Theorem 3.13. $0 \in \mathbb{P}_3$ can be written either as $E^2f + Ef + f = 0$, in which case $f \in \mathbb{S} \subset \mathbb{P}_3$ or $Ef - f = 0$, in which case $f \in \mathbb{P}_1 \subset \mathbb{P}_3$.

Proof. Let

$$\alpha E^2f + \beta Ef + \gamma f = 0 \tag{3.9}$$

Applying the shift operator E we get,

$$\alpha f + \beta E^2f + \gamma Ef = 0 \tag{3.10}$$

applying shift operator to (3.10) we get

$$\alpha Ef + \beta f + \gamma E^2f = 0 \tag{3.11}$$

Writing (3.9) (3.10)(3.11) as a homogeneous system we

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma & \beta & \alpha \\ \alpha & \gamma & \beta \\ \beta & \alpha & \gamma \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} f \\ Ef \\ E^2f \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{3.12}$$

This homogeneous system has non trivial solution $\begin{bmatrix} f & Ef & E^2f \end{bmatrix}^T$ only if the determinant of the coefficient matrix is zero. That is

$$0 = \alpha^3 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - 3\alpha\beta\gamma = (\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha\beta - \alpha\gamma - \beta\gamma)$$

This can happen when $\alpha + \beta + \gamma = 0$, or $\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 - \alpha\beta - \alpha\gamma - \beta\gamma = 0$. For the first case we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha E^2f + \beta Ef - \alpha f - \beta f \\ &= \alpha(E^2 - I)f + \beta(E - I)f \\ &= (E - I)(\alpha E + (\alpha + \beta)I)f \\ &= (E - I)g, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where $g := (\alpha E + (\alpha + \beta)I)f \in \mathbb{P}_3$. It is easy to show that $\mathbb{P}_3 = \{(\alpha E + (\alpha + \beta)I)f, f \in \mathbb{P}_3\}$. For the second case since

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \geq 2(|\alpha\beta| + |\alpha\gamma| + |\beta\gamma|) \geq \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma + \beta\gamma,$$

and equality holds if $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$, we have

$$0 = \alpha E^2f + \beta Ef + \gamma f = (E^2 + E + f)g,$$

where, $g := \alpha f$. Since α is arbitrary, $\mathbb{P}_3 = \{\alpha f, f \in \mathbb{P}_3\}$, we have the desired result. \square

According to Theorem 2.1, we have $\mathbb{P}_6 = \mathbb{P}_3 \oplus \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$. In Theorem 3.9, we have seen the decomposition $\mathbb{P}_3 = \mathbb{P}_1 \oplus \mathbb{S}$. Now we see that the component $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$ can be decomposed into some direct sum of its subspaces.

Theorem 3.14. *Let*

$$\mathbb{T} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid E^2 f - Ef + f = 0\}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then

- $\mathbb{T} \subset \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$,
- $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3 = \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_1 \oplus \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. Clearly $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_1 \subset \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$. Let $f \in \mathbb{T}$, then $E^2 f = Ef - f$. Consequently

$$E^3 f = E^2 f - Ef = Ef - f - Ef = -f.$$

This shows that $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$. Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_1 \cap \mathbb{T}$. Then

$$0 = E^2 f - Ef + f = f + f + f = 3f = 0$$

For any $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$, $f = g + h$, where $g \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_1$ and $h \in \mathbb{T}$ are given by

$$g = \frac{E^2 - E + I}{2I + E^2} f, \quad h = \frac{I + E}{2I + E^2} f.$$

□

Example 3.15. Let $f(x) = \cos \frac{\pi x}{3}$, $g(x) = \sin \frac{\pi x}{3}$. Then $f, g \in \mathbb{T}$.

Theorem 3.16. *Let $f_1 \in \mathbb{P}_1$, $f_2 \in \mathbb{P}_2$, $f_3 \in \mathbb{P}_3$, and $\tilde{f}_1 \in \mathbb{P}_1$, $\tilde{f}_2 \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_2$, $\tilde{f}_3 \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$. Then for appropriate $f, g, h, \tilde{f}, \tilde{g}, \tilde{h} \in \mathbb{P}_6$,*

$$f_1 = (I + E + E^2 + E^3 + E^4 + E^5)f,$$

$$f_2 = (I + E^2 + E^4)g,$$

$$f_3 = (I + E^3)h,$$

$$\tilde{f}_1 = (I - E + E^2 - E^3 + E^4 - E^5)\tilde{f},$$

$$\tilde{f}_2 = (I - E^2 + E^4)\tilde{g},$$

$$\tilde{f}_3 = (I - E^3)\tilde{h}.$$

Remark 3.17. We have seen that a 1-periodic element f_1 in \mathbb{P}_3 has the form $(I + E + E^2)f$, $f \in \mathbb{P}_3$, and the same element being in \mathbb{P}_6 , has the form $(I + E + E^2 + E^3 + E^4 + E^5)g$, $g \in \mathbb{P}_6$. Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= (I + E + E^2 + E^3 + E^4 + E^5)g \\ &= (I + E + E^2)(I + E^3)g \\ &= (I + E + E^2)f, \end{aligned}$$

where $f = (I + E^3)g$, $g \in \mathbb{P}_6$, is a 3-periodic element in \mathbb{P}_6 and hence in \mathbb{P}_3 . This agrees with the representation of f_1 in \mathbb{P}_3 .

Example 3.18. We show that $f \in \mathbb{P}_2$ can be written uniquely as $f = x + y$, where $x \in \mathbb{P}_3, y \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$. Since $f \in \mathbb{P}_2 \subset \mathbb{P}_6$ and that $\mathbb{P}_6 = \mathbb{P}_3 \oplus \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_3$, let

$$f = x + y. \quad (3.15)$$

Then applying the operator E^3 , we get

$$E^3 f = E^3 x + E^3 y \Rightarrow E f = x - y. \quad (3.16)$$

Solving equations (3.15) and (3.16) simultaneously, we get

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(f + E f), \quad y = \frac{1}{2}(f - E f).$$

Theorem 3.19. *Let*

$$\mathbb{U} = \{f \in \mathcal{F} \mid E^4 f - E^2 f + f = 0\}. \quad (3.17)$$

then

- $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{P}_6$
- $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_6 = \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_2 \oplus \mathbb{U}$

Proof. Clearly $\mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_2 \subset \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_6$. If $f \in \mathbb{U}$, then $E^4 f = E^2 f - f$. Consequently

$$E^6 f = E^4 f - E^2 f = E^2 f - f - E^2 f = -f.$$

This shows that $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_6$. Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_2 \cap \mathbb{U}$. Then

$$0 = E^4 f - E^2 f + f = f + f + f = 3f = 0$$

For any $f \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_6, f = g + h$, where $g \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_2$, and $h \in \mathbb{U}$ are given by

$$g = \frac{E^4 - E^2 + I}{2I + E^4} f, \quad h = \frac{I + E^2}{2I + E^4} f.$$

□

Theorem 3.20. *For appropriate $f \in \mathbb{P}_{12}$, the elements $f_i \in \mathbb{P}_i, \tilde{f}_i \in \mathbb{A}\mathbb{P}_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 12$ take the form*

$$f_1 = (I + E + E^2 + E^3 + E^4 + E^5 + E^6 + E^7 + E^8 + E^9 + E^{10} + E^{11})f,$$

$$f_2 = (I + E^2 + E^4 + E^6 + E^8 + E^{10})f,$$

$$f_3 = (I + E^3 + E^6 + E^9)f,$$

$$f_4 = (I + E^4 + E^8)f,$$

$$f_6 = (I + E^6)f,$$

$$\tilde{f}_1 = (I - E + E^2 - E^3 + E^4 - E^5 + E^6 - E^7 + E^8 - E^9 + E^{10} - E^{11})f,$$

$$\tilde{f}_2 = (I - E^2 + E^4 - E^6 + E^8 - E^{10})f,$$

$$\tilde{f}_3 = (I - E^3 + E^6 - E^9)f,$$

$$\tilde{f}_6 = (I - E^6)f.$$

Theorem 3.21. *we have the following decomposition of the space \mathbb{P}_{12} into its subspaces:*

$$\mathbb{P}_{12} = \mathbb{S} \oplus \mathbb{P}_1 \oplus \mathbb{AP}_1 \oplus \mathbb{T} \oplus \mathbb{AP}_2 \oplus \mathbb{U}.$$

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1, and Theorem 3.9. □

Definition 3.22. A *periodicity diagram* is a lattice graph depicting how a periodic space of an integer period, and its periodic (or antiperiodic) subspaces of integer period (or antiperiod) are related.




Figure 1: Periodicity diagram of \mathbb{P}_{12}

4 Conclusions and possible further Works

In this paper we have discussed the decomposition of a periodic function of period p into a periodic function of $p/2$, and an antiperiodic function of antiperiodic $p/2$. The author named the thus newly raised periodic function as first periodic generation. Continuing the process with the first periodic generation, we get the second periodic generation. If the magnitude of the n -th periodic generation of a given periodic function tends to zero, uniformly on an initial interval $[x_0, x_0 + p]$ of length p which is equal to the fundamental period of f , then we can write a periodic function f as an infinite sequence of antiperiodic functions of different fundamental antiperiodic. In addition to that we have discussed the forms of elements of periodic subspace of a space of periodic functions of period equal to $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Such subspaces take linear combinations of the elements of the main space with coefficients $1, 0, -1$. Other subspaces of the main periodic space are those functions that satisfies certain difference equations (linear combination of shifts of some function equated to zero). For such decomposition of periodic spaces into direct sums of subspaces, we have depicted the diagram called- periodicity diagram of periodic function. Periodicity diagram shows only subspace of

periodic (or antiperiodic) subspaces and how they are related to each other. The author work is based on the solutions of difference equations with the nature of the solution of the characteristic equations. This work has open rooms for further developments and applicable in the generation of periodic series whose terms are not trigonometric series as we have been accounted to.

Conflict of interests

The author declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgment

The author is thankful to the anonymous reviewers for their constructive and valuable suggestions.

Data availability

The author assures the publishers to provide the data or sources of data that are used in this paper upon request.

References

- [1] Gareth A. Jones, J. Mary Jones, Elementary Number Theory, ©Springer Verlag London, 1998.
- [2] E. Yu. Romanenko, A. N. Sharkovsky, Difference Equations with Continuous Time: Theory and Applications, Proceedings of the twelfth international conference on difference equations and applications, 2007.
- [3] Michael D. Greenberg, Advanced Engineering Mathematics, 2nd ed. Printice Hall Inc. 1988.
- [4] Louis Brand, Differential and Difference Equations, John Weley & Sons Inc. 1966.
- [5] Erwin Kreyszig, Advanced Engeineering mathematics, 10th ed., John Wiley & Sons Inc 2011.
- [6] Kenneth S. Miller, An introduction to Calculus of Finite Differences, Henry Holt and Company New York, 1960.
- [7] C. H. Richardson, An Introduction to the calculus of Finite Differences, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc. 1954.
- [8] L. M. Milne-Thomson, The Calculus of Finite Differences, Macmilan and Co. Ltd. 1933.
- [9] Jayanta Mukhopadhyay, Image and video processing in the Compressed Domain, CRC Press 2011.
- [10] Gisèle Mophou, Gaston M. N Guérékata, Existence of Antiperiodic Solutions to Semilinear Evolution Equations in Intermediate Banach Spaces, Springer proceeding in Mathematics and statistics vol. 37.