

INFINITE HOMOTOPY STABLE CLASS FOR 4-MANIFOLDS WITH BOUNDARY

ANTHONY CONWAY, DIARMUID CROWLEY, AND MARK POWELL

ABSTRACT. We show that for every odd prime q , there exists an infinite family $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of topological 4-manifolds that are all stably homeomorphic to one another, all the manifolds M_i have isometric rank one equivariant intersection pairings and boundary $L(2q, 1)\#(S^1 \times S^2)$, but they are pairwise not homotopy equivalent via any homotopy equivalence that restricts to a homotopy equivalence of the boundary.

1. INTRODUCTION

In what follows a manifold is understood to mean a compact, connected, oriented, topological manifold. Let $W_g := \#_g(S^2 \times S^2)$ be the g -fold connected sum of $S^2 \times S^2$ with itself. Two 4-manifolds M and N with the same Euler characteristic are *stably homeomorphic*, denoted $M \cong_{\text{st}} N$, if there exists a nonnegative integer g and a homeomorphism

$$M \# W_g \cong N \# W_g.$$

Surgery theory suggests two ways to classify 4-manifolds. The classical Browder-Novikov-Sullivan-Wall [Wal99] approach is to classify up to homotopy equivalence and then employ the surgery exact sequence. Kreck's modified surgery approach [Kre99] seeks to classify up to stable homeomorphism, and then attempt to destabilise. A natural question then arising is to compare the homotopy and stable classifications. To do this precisely for 4-manifolds with boundary we fix a 4-manifold M and define the *homotopy stable class*:

$$\mathcal{S}_h^{\text{st}}(M) := \{N \mid N \cong_{\text{st}} M\} / \text{homotopy equivalence of pairs}.$$

Here, we understand a *homotopy equivalence of pairs* $N_1 \simeq N_2$ to be one that restricts to a homotopy equivalence between the boundaries. When the manifolds are closed, this recovers the usual notion of homotopy equivalence.

Using the equivariant intersection form λ_N of N as an invariant, $\mathcal{S}_h^{\text{st}}(M)$ can be arbitrarily large: for example, one can use Freedman's work to realise distinct positive definite symmetric bilinear forms with the same signature and rank by simply-connected closed 4-manifolds with identical Kirby-Siebenmann invariant [Fre82]. For this reason, we study the homotopy stable class one intersection form at a time and set

$$\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) := \{N \mid N \cong_{\text{st}} M, \lambda_N \cong \lambda_M\} / \text{homotopy equivalence of pairs}.$$

If M is closed and has $\pi_1 = 1$ or $\pi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, then $|\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)| = 1$: manifolds with isometric equivariant intersection forms and the same Kirby-Siebenmann invariant (which is a stable homeomorphism invariant) are homeomorphic by work of Freedman for $\pi_1 = 1$ [Fre82] and Freedman-Quinn for $\pi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ [FQ90]. On the other hand, Kreck and Schafer found pairs of

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 57K40, 57R65.

Key words and phrases. Stable homeomorphism, homotopy equivalence, 4-manifold.

smooth closed 4-manifolds with finite π_1 and isometric equivariant intersection forms that are stably diffeomorphic but not homotopy equivalent [KS84]. When the boundary is nonempty and $\pi_1 = 1$, one can use work of Boyer to produce simply-connected 4-manifolds M with boundary and arbitrarily large (but necessarily finite) $\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$ [Boy93]. Until now however, there have been no examples of 4-manifolds with infinite $\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$. For every odd prime q , our main result describes a 4-manifold M with infinite $\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda_{2q}}^{\text{st}}(M)$, where the fixed Hermitian form is

$$\lambda_{2q}: \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] \times \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]; (x, y) \mapsto 2qx\bar{y}.$$

Theorem 1.1. *For every odd prime q , there exists an infinite family $\{M_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of 4-manifolds that are all stably homeomorphic to one another, and all the manifolds M_i have equivariant intersection pairing isometric to λ_{2q} and boundary $L(2q, 1) \# S^1 \times S^2$, but they are pairwise not homotopy equivalent via a homotopy equivalence that restricts to a homotopy equivalence on the boundary. In other words,*

$$|\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda_{2q}}^{\text{st}}(M_1)| = \infty.$$

For a fixed odd prime q , the manifolds in Theorem 1.1 all have $\pi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, boundary $Y := L(2q, 1) \# (S^1 \times S^2)$, equivariant intersection form isometric to λ_{2q} , and integral intersection form isometric to

$$\lambda_{2q}^{\mathbb{Z}}: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}; (x, y) \mapsto 2qxy,$$

but are distinguished by an invariant (first introduced in [CPP22] and inspired by work of Boyer [Boy93]) related to the Blanchfield form of Y . While the manifold M_1 is smooth, we cannot tell whether any of the other M_i admit smooth structures. Their construction uses surgery methods, in particular a recent realisation result from [CPP22], which a priori only work in the topological category.

Before giving more details and describing the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we briefly compare the study of the homotopy stable class in dimension 4 with the situation in higher dimensions.

Remark 1.2. Kreck and Schafer found pairs of closed smooth $4k$ -manifolds, for $k \geq 1$, that are stably diffeomorphic and have hyperbolic equivariant intersection forms, but are pairwise not homotopy equivalent [KS84]. In [CCPS21a], together with Sixt we gave the first examples of simply-connected, closed, smooth $4k$ -manifolds, for $k \geq 2$, with hyperbolic intersection form and arbitrarily large homotopy stable class $\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda}^{\text{st}}$. In [CCPS21b] for $k \geq 2$, we produced smooth closed $4k$ -manifolds with fundamental group \mathbb{Z} , again with hyperbolic intersection form, and such that the homotopy stable class $\mathcal{S}_{h,\lambda}^{\text{st}}$ is infinite. In those papers we were unable to obtain examples in dimension 4. In [CCPS21a], in lieu of this we defined a spin^c version of the stable class in dimension 4, and we showed that this spin^c stable class can be arbitrarily large. This article shows that a variation on those methods, with analogous underlying algebra, does produce examples of 4-manifolds with nonempty boundary that have infinite homotopy stable class.

Next we describe the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix an odd prime q . The first observation is that if N_1, N_2 are 4-manifolds with integral intersection forms isometric to $\lambda_{2q}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, then there can be no orientation-reversing homotopy equivalence between N_1 and N_2 . For this reason, and for the purpose of proving our main theorem, we restrict to orientation-preserving

homotopy equivalences (o.p. homotopy eq. for short) and therefore consider

$$\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) := \{N \mid N \cong_{\text{st}} M, \lambda_N \cong \lambda_M\} / \text{o.p. homotopy eq. of pairs.}$$

Next, if N_1, N_2 have $\pi_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $\partial N_1 \cong \partial N_2$, the same Kirby-Siebenmann invariant, and $\lambda_{N_1} \cong \lambda_{N_2}$, then they are stably homeomorphic. Indeed, N_1 and N_2 must have isometric integral intersection forms (in particular with the same type and the same signature) and the same Kirby-Siebenmann invariant, so work of Kreck then ensures they are stably homeomorphic [Kre99, Theorem 2].

Put differently, if M is a 4-manifold with infinite cyclic fundamental group, then

$$\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) = \frac{\{N \mid \partial N \cong \partial M, \pi_1(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_N \cong \lambda_M, \text{ks}(N) = \text{ks}(M)\}}{\text{o.p. homotopy eq. of pairs}}.$$

This next step is to recast $\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$ in terms of the group $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$ of isometries of the Blanchfield form $\text{Bl}_{\partial M}$. Firstly, as we recall in Section 2, the group $\text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ of orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences $h: \partial M \simeq \partial M$ that intertwine the inclusion induced map $\varphi: \pi_1(\partial M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ acts on $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$. Secondly, as we also recall in Section 2, the group $\text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$ of isometries of λ_M also acts on $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$, and the two actions commute with one another. Quotienting out by these two actions leads to an orbit set $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M}) / (\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$. Note that it need not be group.

One of the main steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the following partial description of $\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$ for a large class of 4-manifolds M with infinite cyclic fundamental group and $\pi_1(\partial M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ surjective, in which case we say that M has *ribbon boundary*. As we will explain in Proposition 2.1, this result follows fairly promptly from the machinery developed in [CPP22].

Proposition 1.3. *If M is a 4-manifold with ribbon boundary, $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, and nondegenerate equivariant intersection form λ_M , then there is a surjection*

$$\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M}) / (\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)).$$

The surjection is described explicitly in Construction 1.

Fix an odd prime q and let $X_{2q}(U)$ denote the $2q$ -trace on the unknot U , i.e. the smooth 4-manifold obtained from D^4 by attaching a $2q$ -framed 2-handle along the unknot. The final part of the proof of Theorem 1.1, which is carried out in Proposition 3.5, consists of proving that for $M = X_{2q}(U) \natural (S^1 \times D^3)$, the set $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M}) / (\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$ is countably infinite. Modulo this statement, we can now conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1, which states that $\mathcal{S}_{h, \lambda_{2q}}^{\text{st}}(M)$ is infinite.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Fix an odd prime q and consider $M := X_{2q}(U) \natural (S^1 \times D^3)$. Since for any two 4-manifolds N_1 and N_2 with integral intersection forms isometric to $\lambda_{2q}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, there is no orientation reversing homotopy equivalence between them, $\mathcal{S}_{h, \lambda_{2q}}^{\text{st}}(M) = \mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda_{2q}}^{\text{st}}(M)$. We therefore prove that $\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda_{2q}}^{\text{st}}(M)$ is infinite. To prove this we apply Proposition 1.3, which implies that $\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda_{2q}}^{\text{st}}(M)$ surjects onto $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M}) / (\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$, and this latter set is countably infinite by Proposition 3.5. \square

Remark 1.4. The existence of M with infinite $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M}) / (\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$ is what makes it possible for us to obtain an example where the homotopy stable class $\mathcal{S}_{h, \lambda}^{\text{st}}$ is infinite.

While an analogue of Proposition 1.3 can be proved in the simply-connected case using results of Boyer [Boy93], the corresponding algebra always remains finite for trivial fundamental group.

All of the infinite sets we discuss are necessarily countable. Primarily, this has to be the case because there are only countably many compact manifolds [CK70]. On the algebraic side it is also evident that the orbit set onto which the homotopy stable class surjects in Proposition 1.3 is countable, essentially because all the homology groups involved are finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$.

Next we discuss a variation on Proposition 1.3 that may be of independent interest. The surjection in Proposition 1.3 can be improved to a bijection if we require the homotopy equivalences $N_1 \simeq N_2$ to restrict to homeomorphisms on the boundary; i.e. if we consider

$$\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}, \partial}(M) := \frac{\{N \mid N \cong_{\text{st}} M, \lambda_N \cong \lambda_M\}}{\text{o.p. homotopy eq. that restricts to a homeo. on the boundary}}$$

and change the target accordingly, i.e. consider $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{Homeo}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$ instead of $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$. In fact, the same result is obtained with

$$\mathcal{S}_{+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) := \frac{\{N \mid N \cong_{\text{st}} M, \lambda_N \cong \lambda_M\}}{\text{o.p. homeomorphism}}.$$

Proposition 1.5. *If M is a 4-manifold with $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, ribbon boundary and nondegenerate equivariant intersection form λ_M , then there are bijections:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}, \partial}(M) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{Homeo}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)), \\ \mathcal{S}_{+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) &\xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{Homeo}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)). \end{aligned}$$

The bijections are induced by the map b which will be introduced in Construction 1. For $M := X_{2q}(U)\natural(S^1 \times D^3)$, with q an odd prime, the sets above are countably infinite.

Proof. The surjectivity follows from the same argument we will use in Proposition 2.1. We prove injectivity. If $b(N_1) = b(N_2)$, then [CPP22, Theorem 1.1] shows that the manifolds N_1 and N_2 are orientation-preserving homeomorphic. Since the quotient with $\text{Homeo}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ replaced by $\text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ is infinite, and since $\text{Homeo}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M) \subseteq \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$, it follows that the sets in the statement are infinite. \square

We conclude the introduction by characterising $M := X_{2q}(U)\natural(S^1 \times D^3)$ within $\mathcal{S}_{+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$ in terms of the knottedness of the sphere $S_l^2 := \{\text{pt}\} \times S^2 \subseteq ((S^1 \times S^2) \setminus \text{Int}(D^3)) \subseteq \partial M$ and the connect sum sphere $S_c^2 \subseteq M$.

Theorem 1.6. *For $M = X_{2q}(U)\natural(S^1 \times D^3)$ and $N \in \mathcal{S}_{+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$, the following are equivalent:*

- (1) N is homeomorphic to M ;
- (2) $S_l^2 \subseteq \partial N$ bounds a locally flat $D^3 \subseteq N$;
- (3) S_c^2 bounds a locally flat $D^3 \subseteq N$.

Proof. The implications 1) \Rightarrow 2) and 1) \Rightarrow 3) are immediate.

We prove the implication 2) \Rightarrow 1). Cut N along the D^3 with boundary S_l^2 to obtain a simply connected 4-manifold with boundary $L(2q, 1)$ and $H_2 = \mathbb{Z}$. Work of Boyer implies that such a manifold is homeomorphic to $X_{2q}(U)$ [Boy86, Theorem 0.1]. Glue back the $D^3 \times [0, 1]$ that we removed to recover N as M .

Finally, we prove the implication 3) \Rightarrow 1). Cut N open along the separating D^3 , resulting in a disjoint union of two 4-manifolds. The first is simply connected with $H_2 = \mathbb{Z}$ and boundary $L(2q, 1)$ and is therefore homeomorphic to $X_{2q}(U)$ [Boy86, Theorem 0.1]. The second has $\pi_1 = \mathbb{Z}$, no H_2 and boundary $S^1 \times S^2$; it is thus homeomorphic to $S^1 \times D^3$ [FQ90, Section 11.6]. Glue back the $D^3 \times [0, 1]$ that we removed to recover N as M . \square

Organisation. In Section 2, we prove Proposition 1.3. In Section 3 we show that for $M = X_{2q}(U) \natural(S^1 \times D^3)$, with q an odd prime, the set $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$ is infinite.

Conventions. We work in the topological category unless otherwise stated. All manifolds are assumed to be compact, connected, based, and oriented. If a manifold has a nonempty boundary, then the basepoint is assumed to be in the boundary.

Acknowledgements. MP was partially supported by the EPSRC New Investigator grant EP/T028335/1 and EPSRC New Horizons grant EP/V04821X/1.

2. FROM STABLE HOMEOMORPHISM TO ISOMETRIES OF THE BLANCHFIELD FORM

In this section, M denotes a 4-manifold with $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, ribbon boundary (the inclusion induced map $\varphi: \pi_1(\partial M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is surjective) and nondegenerate equivariant intersection form λ_M . We additionally fix an identification $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$. Since λ_M is nondegenerate, the Alexander module $H_1(\partial M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$ is torsion and supports a nonsingular Hermitian form known as the Blanchfield form:

$$\text{Bl}_{\partial M}: H_1(\partial M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \times H_1(\partial M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(t)/\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}].$$

The goal of this section is to describe in more detail the set $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$ that was mentioned in the introduction, and then to prove Proposition 1.3.

We start by describing the aforementioned actions of $\text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ and $\text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$ on the group $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$ of isometries of the Blanchfield form of ∂M . Here recall that $\text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ denotes the group of orientation-preserving homotopy equivalences $f: \partial M \rightarrow \partial M$ that satisfy $\varphi \circ f_* = f_*: \pi_1(\partial M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, while $\text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$ denotes the set of isometries of λ_M .

- We describe the action of $\text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ on $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$. Since any homotopy equivalence $f \in \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ satisfies $\varphi \circ f_* = f_*$, it lifts to a homotopy equivalence \tilde{f} on the \mathbb{Z} -covers that induces a $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -linear map on homology; we denote this map by \tilde{f}_* . Since f is orientation-preserving, so is \tilde{f} and it follows that \tilde{f}_* is an isometry of the Blanchfield form. The action of f on $h \in \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$ is then by $f \cdot h = \tilde{f}_* \circ h$.
- We describe the action of $\text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$ on $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$. The Hermitian form λ_M determines a $\mathbb{Q}(t)/\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -valued linking form

$$(1) \quad \partial \lambda_M: \text{coker}(\widehat{\lambda}_M) \times \text{coker}(\widehat{\lambda}_M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(t)/\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$$

by $([x], [y]) \mapsto y(z)/p$ where $\widehat{\lambda}_M: H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])^*$ denotes the adjoint of λ_M and where $p \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and $z \in H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$ satisfy $px = \widehat{\lambda}_M(z)$. It was shown in [CP20, Proposition 3.5] that the given data determines an isometry

$$D_M: -\partial \lambda_M \cong \text{Bl}_{\partial M}.$$

An isometry $F \in \text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$ now induces an isometry $\partial F: -\partial\lambda_M \cong -\partial\lambda_M$ by noting that the isomorphism $(F^*)^{-1}$ descends to an isometry on the cokernels. The action of F on $h \in \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$ is then by $F \cdot h := h \circ (D_M \circ \partial F \circ D_M^{-1})$.

Note that the actions commute, because one acts by pre-composition and the other acts by post-composition. Therefore we obtain an action of the product $\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ on $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})$. Now that we have made sense of the orbit set $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$, we describe its relation to the homotopy stable class of M . Recall from the introduction that

$$\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) = \{N \mid \partial N \cong \partial M, \pi_1(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}, \lambda_N \cong \lambda_M, \text{ks}(N) = \text{ks}(M)\} / \text{o.p. hom. equiv. of pairs.}$$

In order to relate $\mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$ to the orbit set $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$, we recall a construction from [CPP22, Construction 1] which has its origins in work of Boyer [Boy93].

Construction 1. We describe a map $b: \mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$. Given a 4-manifold $N \in \mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$, pick a homeomorphism $g: \partial N \cong \partial M$ and an isometry $F: \lambda_M \cong \lambda_N$. Since $N \in \mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M)$, it also has ribbon boundary and torsion Alexander module, thus ensuring that the isometry $D_N: -\partial\lambda_N \cong \text{Bl}_{\partial N}$ is defined. Now set

$$b(N) := g_* \circ D_N \circ \partial F \in \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)).$$

One verifies that $b(N)$ is independent of the choices of F, g and the orientation-preserving homotopy equivalence class of $(N, \partial N)$. See [CPP22] for details.

The next proposition proves Proposition 1.3 from the introduction.

Proposition 2.1. *If M is a 4-manifold with $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, ribbon boundary and nondegenerate equivariant intersection form λ_M , then the map b from Construction 1 defines a surjection*

$$b: \mathcal{S}_{h^+, \lambda}^{\text{st}}(M) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)).$$

Proof. This follows from [CPP22, Theorem 1.15], according to which every element of the quotient $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$ can be realised by a 4-manifold N with $\pi_1(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, ribbon boundary ∂N homeomorphic to ∂M , equivariant intersection form λ_N isometric to λ_M , and $\text{ks}(N) = \text{ks}(M)$. \square

3. INFINITE AUTOMORPHISM SETS

In this section, we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 by showing that $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$ is infinite when $M = X_{2q}(U)\natural(S^1 \times D^3)$, with q an odd prime. Here $X_{2q}(U)$ denotes the $2q$ -trace on the unknot U , i.e. the smooth 4-manifold obtained from D^4 by attaching a $2q$ -framed 2-handle along the unknot. The plan is to first study $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$ and then to consider the action by the self-homotopy equivalences of ∂M .

To study $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M)$, recall from Section 2 that $\text{Bl}_{\partial M}$ is isometric to the linking form $-\partial\lambda_M$ defined in (1). In particular, the isometry $D_M: -\partial\lambda_M \cong \text{Bl}_{\partial M}$ induces a bijection

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \cong \text{Aut}(-\partial\lambda_M)/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M).$$

We now recall why for rank one forms (such as $(H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]), \lambda_M) = (\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}], \lambda_{2q})$), this set admits a particularly convenient description.

Given a ring R with involution $x \mapsto \bar{x}$, the group of *unitary units* $U(R)$ refers to those $u \in R$ such that $u\bar{u} = 1$, with the group operation given by restricting the multiplication on R . For example, when $R = \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$, all units are unitary and are of the form $\pm t^k$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. In what follows, we make no distinction between rank one Hermitian forms and symmetric Laurent polynomials. The next lemma follows by unwinding the definition of $\text{Aut}(\partial\lambda)$; see also [CP20, Remark 1.16] and [CPP22, Lemma 7.1].

Lemma 3.1. *If $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ is a symmetric Laurent polynomial, then*

$$\text{Aut}(-\partial\lambda) / \text{Aut}(\lambda) = U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/\lambda) / U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]).$$

Example 3.2. Given an odd prime q , we argue that the following map is a group isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta: \mathbb{Z} &\xrightarrow{\cong} U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q) / U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \\ n &\mapsto (q-1)t^n + q. \end{aligned}$$

One verifies that $(q-1)t^n + q$ is a unitary unit by using that $q(q-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2q}$ (recall that q is odd). We then check that Θ is a homomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} n + m &\mapsto ((q-1)t^n + q)((q-1)t^m + q) = (q-1)^2 t^{n+m} + q(q-1)(t^m + t^n) + q^2 \\ &\sim -(q-1)t^{n+m} - q \\ &\sim (q-1)t^{n+m} + q. \end{aligned}$$

Here the penultimate equivalence uses that $(q-1)^2 = q(q-1) - (q-1) \equiv -(q-1) \pmod{2q}$ and $q^2 \equiv q \equiv -q \pmod{2q}$, whereas the last equivalence uses that $-1 \in U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$.

Next we show that Θ is injective. If $(q-1)t^n + q$ were trivial, we would have $(q-1)t^n + q = \pm t^k \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q$ for some k , but this is true only if $n = 0$.

Now we show that Θ is surjective. An explicit verification shows that the following map is an isomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2) \times U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/q) &\rightarrow U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q) \\ (a, b) &\mapsto qa - (q-1)b. \end{aligned}$$

One should check that $(qa - (q-1)b)(q\bar{a} - (q-1)\bar{b}) \equiv 1$ when $a\bar{a} = 1 = b\bar{b}$, which implies that the map lands in the claimed target. The inverse is given by $x \mapsto ([x]_2, [x]_q)$, i.e. considering the coefficients modulo 2 and q respectively. Checking that this is the inverse homomorphism implies that the map is an isomorphism as asserted.

The units of $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2$ are of the form t^m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. On the other hand, since q is an odd prime, the unitary units of $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/q$ are of the form $\pm t^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that

$$U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q) \cong \{qt^m + (q-1)\varepsilon t^n \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}\}.$$

Passing to the quotient by $U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$ yields the required isomorphism, because once we can multiply by $\pm t^k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $qt^m + (q-1)\varepsilon t^n \sim (q-1)\varepsilon t^{n-m} + q$. Also $-(q-1)t^{n-m} + q \sim -(q-1)t^{n-m} - q \sim (q-1)t^{n-m} + q$, so we can ignore the ε , and every element of $U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q)$ is of the form $(q-1)t^k + q$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. So Θ is indeed surjective, which completes the proof that Θ is an isomorphism.

For q an odd prime, the combination of Lemma 3.1 and Example 3.2 already implies that for $M = X_{2q}(U)\natural(S^1 \times D^3)$ we have $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M}) / \text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. We now study the effect of

factoring out by $\text{hAut}_\varphi^+(\partial M)$. In fact we will make this argument in a slightly more general setting.

Consider the 3-manifold $Y := N\#(S^1 \times S^2)$, where N is a rational homology 3-sphere with $\pi_1(N)$ a finite group. We fix an identification $H_1(S^1 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$, an identification $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$, and consider the finite abelian group $A := TH_1(Y) \cong H_1(N)$. Let $\varphi: \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow H_1(Y)/TH_1(Y) = \mathbb{Z}$ be the canonical projection onto the free part of $H_1(Y)$. In what follows, to distinguish $H_1(S^1 \times S^2) = \mathbb{Z}$ from the free \mathbb{Z} -factor of $\pi_1(Y) \cong \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$, we will exclusively write $H_1(S^1 \times S^2)$ as $\langle t \rangle$.

Summarising the notation, we have

$$A := TH_1(Y) \cong H_1(N), \quad \varphi: \pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \langle t \rangle, \quad \text{and } \theta: \pi_1(N) \xrightarrow{\text{ab}} H_1(\pi_1(N)) = H_1(N) = A.$$

The example we have in mind is $Y = \partial M = L(2q, 1)\#(S^1 \times S^2)$, so that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}/2q$ and $\varphi: \pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \langle t \rangle$ coincides with the inclusion induced map $\pi_1(\partial M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$.

Returning to the more general setting where $Y = N\#(S^1 \times S^2)$ with N a rational homology sphere with $\pi_1(N)$ finite, the epimorphism $\varphi: \pi_1(Y) \twoheadrightarrow \langle t \rangle$ induces an infinite cyclic cover Y^∞ with

$$H_1(Y^\infty) \cong H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong H_1(\ker(\varphi)).$$

Our goal is now to describe the isomorphism type of this $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -module (this is the content of Construction 2 and Lemma 3.3 below) and to then deduce the effect of the action of $\text{hAut}_\varphi^+(Y)$ on $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$ in Proposition 3.4.

In what follows, we write $A[t^{\pm 1}]$ for the abelian group of Laurent polynomials with coefficients in the finite abelian group A .

Construction 2. We construct a group homomorphism $\Psi: A[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow H_1(\ker(\varphi))$.

Elements of $A[t^{\pm 1}]$ are of the form $\sum_i a_i t^i$ with $a_i \in A$. As the map $\varphi: \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is surjective, we can write each t^i as $\varphi(g_i) = t^i$ for some $g_i \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$. The abelianisation $\theta: \pi_1(N) \rightarrow A = H_1(\pi_1(N))$ is also surjective, so we can write each $a \in A$ as $a = \theta(p)$ for some $p \in \pi_1(N)$. We can therefore write an element of $A[t^{\pm 1}]$ as $\sum_i \theta(p_i) \varphi(g_i)$. Since $p_i \in \pi_1(N)$, $g_i \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ and $A \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$, we can consider the element $g_i p_i g_i^{-1}$ as an element of $\ker(\varphi) \subseteq \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ and use $[g_i p_i g_i^{-1}] \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$ to denote its image in the abelianisation. Define the map Ψ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi: A[t^{\pm 1}] &\rightarrow H_1(\ker(\varphi)) \\ \sum_i a_i t^i &= \sum_i \theta(p_i) \varphi(g_i) \mapsto \sum_i [g_i p_i g_i^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

We show that Ψ does not depend on the choice of the p_i and the g_i . First we argue that the definition of Ψ does not depend on the choice of the p_i . It suffices to show that if $\theta(p) = \theta(p')$, then $\Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = \Psi(\theta(p')\varphi(g))$ for every $g \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\theta(p(p')^{-1}) = 0$, we know that pp'^{-1} lies in the commutator subgroup $\pi_1(N)^{(1)} = [\pi_1(N), \pi_1(N)]$. Therefore, since $\pi_1(N)^{(1)}$ is normal, $gpp'^{-1}g^{-1} = (gpg^{-1})(gp'^{-1}g^{-1}) \in \pi_1(N)^{(1)}$ for all $g \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\pi_1(N) \subseteq \ker(\varphi)$, it follows that $\pi_1(N)^{(1)} \subseteq (\ker(\varphi))^{(1)}$, and therefore $(gpg^{-1})(gp'^{-1}g^{-1}) \in (\ker(\varphi))^{(1)}$, from which it follows $(gpg^{-1})(gp'^{-1}g^{-1}) = 0 \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$. We deduce that $[gpg^{-1}] = [gp'g^{-1}] \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$ and thus

$$\Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = [gpg^{-1}] = [gp'g^{-1}] = \Psi(\theta(p')\varphi(g)) \in H_1(\ker(\varphi)).$$

This proves that Ψ does not depend on the choice of the p_i .

Next, we argue that the definition of Ψ does not depend on the choice of the g_i . This time, it suffices to prove that if $\varphi(g) = \varphi(g')$ and $p \in \pi_1(N)$, then $\Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = \Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g'))$. This latter equality holds if and only if $[pgp^{-1}g'p^{-1}g'^{-1}] = 0 \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$, which in turn, by conjugating with g^{-1} , holds if and only if $[pg^{-1}g'p^{-1}g'^{-1}g] = 0 \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$. But since $pg^{-1}g'p^{-1}g'^{-1}g$ is a commutator of p and $g^{-1}g'$, which both lie in $\ker(\varphi)$, we indeed obtain $[pg^{-1}g'p^{-1}g'^{-1}g] = 0 \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$.

This concludes the verification that Ψ does not depend on any of the choices we made. One also verifies readily that Ψ is a group homomorphism. This completes Construction 2.

As in Construction 2, for each $h \in \langle t \rangle$, we fix a $g \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\varphi(g) = h$. This choice will be used again in the next lemma which establishes that the map Ψ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 3.3. *The map $\Psi: A[t^{\pm 1}] \rightarrow H_1(\ker(\varphi))$ from Construction 2 is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We construct an inverse $\Theta: H_1(\ker(\varphi)) \rightarrow A[t^{\pm 1}]$ to Ψ . A word $w \in \ker(\varphi) \subseteq \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ representing an element of $H_1(\ker(\varphi))$ is a product of elements of $\pi_1(N)$ and \mathbb{Z} .

By introducing cancelling pairs of the type $g_i^{-1}g_i$ in between each occurrence of a $p'_k \in \pi_1(N)$ in w , we can arrange that for some elements $\tilde{g}_k \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ and $p'_k \in \pi_1(N)$, the word w is of the form

$$w = \prod_k \tilde{g}_k p'_k \tilde{g}_k^{-1}.$$

Here it is crucial to use that $w \in \ker(\varphi)$. For example if $w = p'_1 n_1 p'_2 n_2 p'_3 n_3$, for $p_i \in \pi_1(N)$ and $n_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, then since $w \in \ker(\varphi)$ we know that $n_3 = (n_1 n_2)^{-1} = (n_2 n_1)^{-1}$. Therefore we can express w as $w = p'_1 n_1 p'_2 n_1^{-1} (n_1 n_2) p'_3 (n_1 n_2)^{-1}$.

As was mentioned before the lemma, we fixed a preferred $g_j \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ with $\varphi(g_j) = \varphi(\tilde{g}_k)$. Arguing as in Construction 2 (when we showed that the choice of the g_i is immaterial), up to commutators in $[\ker(\varphi), \ker(\varphi)]$, we can replace $\tilde{g}_k p'_k \tilde{g}_k^{-1}$ with $g_j p'_k g_j^{-1}$. Next, working in $H_1(\ker(\varphi)) = \ker(\varphi)_{ab}$ and collecting terms with the same conjugating element g_j , we obtain an element of the form $\sum_j [g_j p_j g_j^{-1}]$, where $p_j = \prod_{\{k | \varphi(\tilde{g}_k) = \varphi(g_j)\}} p'_k$. We can therefore define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta: H_1(\ker(\varphi)) &\rightarrow A[t^{\pm 1}] \\ [w] &\mapsto \sum_j \theta(p_j) \varphi(g_j). \end{aligned}$$

One checks that the map Θ is a homomorphism and is the inverse to Ψ . Thus Ψ is an isomorphism. \square

We are now able to describe the action of $\text{hAut}_\varphi^+(Y)$ on $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $at^\ell \in H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong A[t^{\pm 1}]$. The action of $f \in \text{hAut}_\varphi^+(Y)$ sends $at^\ell \mapsto a't^{k+\ell}$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for some element $a' \in A$ having the same order as a .*

Proof. As in Construction 2, we can represent any element of $A[t^{\pm 1}]$ as a sum of $\theta(p)\varphi(g)$, where $p \in \pi_1(N)$ and $g \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$. We will describe $f_*(\theta(p)\varphi(g))$.

In fact, since we have the following commutative diagram of isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A[t^{\pm 1}] & \xrightarrow[\Psi]{\cong} & H_1(\ker(\varphi)) \\ f_* \downarrow \cong & & f_* \downarrow \cong \\ A[t^{\pm 1}] & \xrightarrow[\Psi]{\cong} & H_1(\ker(\varphi)), \end{array}$$

it is equivalent to describe $\Psi^{-1} \circ f_* \circ \Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g))$. First, the definition of Ψ implies that $\Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = [gpg^{-1}] \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$. Applying f_* , we then obtain $[f_*(g)f_*(p)f_*(g)^{-1}] \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$.

But now, under an isomorphism $\pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$, every element of $\pi_1(N)$ is sent to an element of finite order, since $\pi_1(N)$ is finite. This implies that for every $p \in \pi_1(N)$, we have that $f_*(p) = hp'h^{-1} \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ for some $p' \in \pi_1(N)$ and some $h \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$. This follows by considering the cyclic subgroup generated by $f_*(p)$ and applying the Kurosh subgroup theorem, which implies that a finite subgroup of a free product of nontrivial groups is a conjugate of a finite subgroup of one of the factors.

Next, since f_* is an isomorphism, $[f_*(p)] = [hp'h^{-1}]$ has the same order as $[p]$ in $H_1(\ker(\varphi))$. Since they are conjugate, in $\pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$, we know that $hp'h^{-1}$ and p' have the same order. We claim that $[hp'h^{-1}]$ has the same order as $[p']$ in $H_1(\ker(\varphi))$. To prove the claim, suppose that $\text{ord}([p']) = k$. Then $[(p')^k] = 0 \in H_1(\ker(\varphi))$, i.e. $(p')^k \in \ker(\varphi)^{(1)}$. Since $\ker(\varphi)$ is normal, for every $x \in \ker(\varphi)$ we have that $h x h^{-1} \in \ker(\varphi)$, and therefore since $h[x, y]h^{-1} = [h x h^{-1}, h y h^{-1}]$, for every $z \in \ker(\varphi)^{(1)}$ we have that $h z h^{-1} \in \ker(\varphi)^{(1)}$. Thus $h(p')^k h^{-1} = (hp'h^{-1})^k \in \ker(\varphi)^{(1)}$, and therefore $\text{ord}([hp'h^{-1}]) \leq k = \text{ord}([p'])$. Since p' is also a conjugate of $hp'h^{-1}$, by symmetry we also have $\text{ord}([p']) \leq \text{ord}([hp'h^{-1}])$, and so we have equality. This completes the proof of the claim. The claim implies that in $H_1(\ker(\varphi))$ we have

$$\text{ord}([p']) = \text{ord}([hp'h^{-1}]) = \text{ord}([f_*(p)]) = \text{ord}([p]).$$

Returning to the main arc of the proof, so far we have

$$f_* \circ \Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = [f_*(g)f_*(p)f_*(g)^{-1}] = [f_*(g)hp'h^{-1}f_*(g)^{-1}]$$

and it remains to apply Ψ^{-1} . The effect of Ψ^{-1} is $\theta(p')\varphi(h)\varphi(f_*(g)) \in A[t^{\pm 1}]$. Since $f \in \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(Y)$, we have $\varphi \circ f_* = \varphi$ and therefore

$$f_*(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = \Psi^{-1} \circ f_* \circ \Psi(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = \theta(p')\varphi(h)\varphi(g) \in A[t^{\pm 1}].$$

We can now calculate $f_*(at^{\ell})$. Pick $g \in \pi_1(N) * \mathbb{Z}$ and $p \in \pi_1(N)$ such that we have $\varphi(g) = t^{\ell}$ and $\theta(p) = a$. Now $f_*(at^{\ell}) = f_*(\theta(p)\varphi(g)) = \theta(p')\varphi(h)t^{\ell}$, so the lemma follows by writing $\varphi(h) = t^k$ and $a' := \theta(p')$. Then since $[p']$ has the same order as $[p]$, it follows that a' has the same order as a . \square

We can now prove the main result of this section.

Proposition 3.5. *Fix an odd prime q . For $M = X_{2q}(U)\natural(S^1 \times D^3)$, the set*

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M}) / (\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M))$$

is countably infinite.

Proof. Fix identifications $\pi_1(M) = \mathbb{Z}$ and $(H_2(M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]), \lambda_M) = (\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}], \lambda_{2q})$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) = U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q)/U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$. We know from Example 3.2 that $U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q)/U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, every element of which is of the form $(q-1)t^n + q$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will now show that there is a bijection of sets

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

In the notation of Proposition 3.4, we have $N = L(2q, 1)$ with $\pi_1(L(2q, 1)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2q$ as well as $A = H_1(\pi_1(N)) = \pi_1(N) = \mathbb{Z}/2q$.

Using Proposition 3.4, we will argue that any automorphism of the group $H_1(\partial M; \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2q)[t^{\pm 1}]$ induced by a homotopy equivalence $f \in \text{Aut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ is of the form $p(t) \mapsto \pm t^k p(t)$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. To see this, given $p(t) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2q)[t^{\pm 1}]$, by $\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$ -linearity of f_* we have $f_*(p(t)) = p(t)f_*(1)$. By Proposition 3.4, $f_*(1) = a \cdot t^k$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some $a \in \mathbb{Z}/2q$. We need to show that $a = \pm 1$. Since f_* is an isometry of $\text{Bl}_{\partial M}$, we also know that $a^2 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q$; this holds because

$$\frac{-1}{2q} = \text{Bl}_{\partial M}(1, 1) = \text{Bl}_{\partial M}(f_*(1), f_*(1)) = \text{Bl}_{\partial M}(a \cdot t^k, a \cdot t^k) = \frac{-a^2}{2q} \in \mathbb{Q}(t)/\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}],$$

which implies that $a^2 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q$. Then since $a \in \mathbb{Z}/2q$ we have that $a^2 = 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2q$. Here we used that $\text{Bl}_{\partial M} \cong -\partial\lambda_{2q}$ to compute the Blanchfield form [CP20, Proposition 3.5].

However the only elements of $A = \mathbb{Z}/2q$ with $a^2 = 1$ are $\pm 1 \in \mathbb{Z}/2q$. Indeed such an a belongs to $U(\mathbb{Z}/2q) \cong U(\mathbb{Z}/q) \times U(\mathbb{Z}/2)$. However $U(\mathbb{Z}/2)$ is trivial, so in fact $U(\mathbb{Z}/2q) \cong U(\mathbb{Z}/q)$. We will show that $U(\mathbb{Z}/q) = \{\pm 1\}$. To see this, recall that for q an odd prime the units $(\mathbb{Z}/q)^{\times}$ is a cyclic group of order $q-1$, and in such a group there is precisely one element of order 2. Taken together with the trivial element there are therefore precisely two solutions to $x^2 = 1 \in (\mathbb{Z}/q)^{\times}$, namely ± 1 . So we see that $U(\mathbb{Z}/2q) \cong U(\mathbb{Z}/q) = \{\pm 1\}$. It follows that $a = \pm 1$ and

$$f_*(p(t)) = p(t)f_*(1) = \pm t^k p(t),$$

as asserted above. In particular, observe that the action of a homotopy equivalence $f \in \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)$ is the same as the action by an element of $\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \cong U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}])$. We deduce that

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/(\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \times \text{hAut}_{\varphi}^+(\partial M)) \cong \text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M).$$

But in Lemma 3.1 and Example 3.2 we computed the latter set to be

$$\text{Aut}(\text{Bl}_{\partial M})/\text{Aut}(\lambda_M) \cong U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]/2q)/U(\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

The inverse of these isomorphisms sends $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ to the automorphism given by multiplying by $(q-1)t^n + q$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Boy86] Steven Boyer. Simply-connected 4-manifolds with a given boundary. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 298(1):331–357, 1986.
- [Boy93] Steven Boyer. Realization of simply-connected 4-manifolds with a given boundary. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 68(1):20–47, 1993.
- [CCPS21a] Anthony Conway, Diarmuid Crowley, Mark Powell, and Joerg Sixt. Simply connected manifolds with large homotopy stable classes. 2021. To appear in *J. Aust. Math. Soc.* <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.00654.pdf>.

- [CCPS21b] Anthony Conway, Diarmuid Crowley, Mark Powell, and Joerg Sixt. Stably diffeomorphic manifolds and modified surgery obstructions. 2021. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2109.05632.pdf>.
- [CK70] Jeff Cheeger and James M. Kister. Counting topological manifolds. *Topology*, 9:149–151, 1970.
- [CP20] Anthony Conway and Mark Powell. Embedded surfaces with infinite cyclic knot group. 2020. To appear in *Geom. & Top.* <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2009.13461.pdf>.
- [CPP22] Anthony Conway, Lisa Piccirillo, and Mark Powell. 4-manifolds with boundary and fundamental group \mathbb{Z} . 2022. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2205.12774.pdf>.
- [FQ90] Michael H. Freedman and Frank Quinn. *Topology of 4-manifolds*, volume 39 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [Fre82] Michael H. Freedman. The topology of four-dimensional manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 17(3):357–453, 1982.
- [Kre99] Matthias Kreck. Surgery and duality. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149(3):707–754, 1999.
- [KS84] Matthias Kreck and James A. Schafer. Classification and stable classification of manifolds: some examples. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 59:12–38, 1984.
- [Wal99] Charles Terence Clegg Wall. *Surgery on compact manifolds*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 1999. Edited and with a foreword by A. A. Ranicki.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE MA 02139, UNITED STATES

Email address: anthonyconway@gmail.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, PARKVILLE,
VIC, 3010, AUSTRALIA

Email address: dcrowley@unimelb.edu.au

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: mark.powell@glasgow.ac.uk