

# A NOTE ON NUMERICAL RADIUS ATTAINING MAPPINGS

MINGU JUNG

ABSTRACT. We prove that if every bounded linear operator (or  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials) on a Banach space  $X$  with the compact approximation property attains its numerical radius, then  $X$  is a finite dimensional space. Moreover, we present an improvement of the polynomial James' theorem for numerical radius proved by Acosta, Becerra Guerrero and Galán [2]. Finally, the denseness of weakly (uniformly) continuous 2-homogeneous polynomials on a Banach space whose Aron-Berner extensions attain their numerical radii is obtained.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces and denote by  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  (resp.,  $\text{NA}(X, Y)$ ) the space of all bounded linear operators (resp., the set of all *norm attaining operators*) from  $X$  into  $Y$ . Recall that a bounded linear operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  is said to be a *norm attaining operator* if the operator norm  $\|T\|$  is equal to  $\max\{\|T(x)\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ . When  $X = Y$ , we simply denote them by  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  and  $\text{NA}(X)$ . One of the famous unsolved problems in Banach space theory is to characterize when the space  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  coincides with the set  $\text{NA}(X, Y)$ , and some partial solutions for this problem have been given by J. Holub [26] and J. Mujica [33]. To the best of our knowledge, the most general result, which is obtained recently in [21], states in particular the following: if  $X$  is a reflexive space and  $Y$  is an arbitrary Banach space for which either  $X$  or  $Y$  has the *compact approximation property*, then  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y) = \text{NA}(X, Y)$  is equivalent to that every operator from  $X$  into  $Y$  is a compact operator. Thus, for a Banach space  $X$  with the compact approximation property, the situation when  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NA}(X)$  implies that  $X$  must be finite dimensional.

Paralleling the study on norm attaining operators, there have been numerous studies on *numerical radius attaining operators* [1, 4, 5, 6, 11, 14, 35]. Recall that the *numerical radius of an operator*  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \nu(T) := \sup \{|x^*(T(x))| : (x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)\},$$

where  $\Pi(X) := \{(x, x^*) \in S_X \times S_{X^*} : x^*(x) = 1\}$ . We say that  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is a *numerical radius attaining operator* if there exists some element  $(x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)$  such that  $\nu(T) = |x^*(T(x))|$ . We denote by  $\text{NRA}(X)$  the set of all numerical radius attaining operators on  $X$ . Among others, it is proved in [4] that if all the rank-one operators on a Banach space  $X$  attain their numerical radii, then  $X$  must be reflexive, which can be viewed as a version of the celebrated James' theorem [27] for numerical radius.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A12; Secondary 46B10, 46G25.

*Key words and phrases.* numerical radius, polynomials, compact approximation property.

Mingu Jung was supported by a KIAS Individual Grant (MG086601) at Korea Institute for Advanced Study.

One of the main motivations of this paper is to investigate Banach spaces  $X$  for which  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ . In Section 2, we shall observe that for a Banach space  $X$ , if  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ , then  $X$  must be a separable Banach space, which is the numerical radius version of Kalton's result [30]. Moreover, we prove that if  $X$  has the compact approximation property and  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ , then  $X$  must be a finite dimensional space. Following that, we are devoted to the case of  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials. As it is defined in (1.1), the *numerical radius of an  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial  $P$*  from a Banach space  $X$  into itself is given by

$$\nu(P) := \sup \{ |x^*(P(x))| : (x, x^*) \in \Pi(X) \}.$$

We say that  $P$  is a *numerical radius attaining  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial* if  $\nu(P) = |x^*(P(x))|$  for some  $(x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)$ . Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}^N(X)$  and  $\text{NRA}^N(X)$  the Banach space of  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $X$  into  $X$  and the set of all numerical radius attaining  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials, respectively. In Section 3, we give an improvement of the polynomial James' theorem for numerical radius proved in [2] by using the refinement of James' theorem in [28]. Similarly as in the previous section, we prove that for a Banach space  $X$  with the compact approximation property, if  $\mathcal{P}^N(X) = \text{NRA}^N(X)$ , then  $X$  must be a finite dimensional space. Finally, Section 4 focuses on the denseness of the set of weakly (uniformly) continuous 2-homogeneous polynomials whose Aron-Berner extensions attain their numerical radii. This can be viewed as a numerical radius version of the result in [20] where it is proved that the set of 2-homogeneous polynomials whose Aron-Berner extensions attain their norms is dense.

## 2. NUMERICAL RADIUS ATTAINING OPERATORS

Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then it is clear that  $\nu(T) \leq \|T\|$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  and that  $\nu$  is a seminorm on  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . The greatest constant  $k \geq 0$  such that  $k\|T\| \leq \nu(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is the well known constant which is called the *numerical index* of  $X$  and denoted by  $n(X)$ . Equivalently,

$$n(X) = \inf \{ \nu(T) : T \in \mathcal{L}(X), \|T\| = 1 \}.$$

If we consider

$$\mathcal{Z}(X) := \{ S \in \mathcal{L}(X) : \nu(S) = 0 \},$$

which is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ , and the quotient space  $\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X)$  endowed with the *norm*  $\nu(T + \mathcal{Z}(X)) = \inf \{ \nu(T - S) : S \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \}$ , then it is straightforward to check the following:

- (a)  $\nu(T + \mathcal{Z}(X)) = \nu(T)$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ , and
- (b)  $\nu(T + \mathcal{Z}(X)) \leq \|T + \mathcal{Z}(X)\| = \inf \{ \|T - S\| : S \in \mathcal{Z}(X) \}$  for every  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ .

For Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , recall that  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y^*)$  is the dual of the projective tensor product space  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\pi Y$ . In our scenario, we shall observe that for a reflexive Banach space  $X$  the normed space  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$  is the dual of a kind of tensor product space. To this end, consider the following normed space

$$X \otimes_\Pi X^* := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \otimes x_i^* : n \in \mathbb{N}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}, (x_i, x_i^*) \in \Pi(X) \right\}$$

endowed with the norm

$$(2.1) \quad \|u\| := \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \otimes x_i^*, (x_i, x_i^*) \in \Pi(X), \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

We denote by  $X \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*$  the completion of  $X \otimes_{\Pi} X^*$  with respect to the norm in (2.1). Let, as usual,  $\mathcal{B}(X \times X^*)$  stand for the set of all bilinear forms on  $X \times X^*$  and consider the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{\Pi}$  on  $\mathcal{B}(X \times X^*)$  given by  $\|B\|_{\Pi} := \sup\{|B(x, x^*)| : (x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)\}$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space. Then*

$$(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu) \stackrel{1}{=} (\mathcal{B}(X \times X^*), \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})/\ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi} \stackrel{1}{=} (X \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*)^* \text{ (isometric isomorphically).}$$

*Proof.* We claim that the mapping  $\Phi : (\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu) \rightarrow (\mathcal{B}(X \times X^*), \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})/\ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}$  given by

$$\Phi(T + \mathcal{Z}(X)) := \varphi_T + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi},$$

where  $\varphi_T(x, x^*) = x^*(T(x))$ , is an isometric isomorphism. It is not difficult to check that  $\Phi$  is a well-defined linear operator. Note that for any  $S \in \mathcal{Z}(X)$ , we have  $\varphi_S \in \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}$ . Conversely, if  $\psi \in \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}$ , then the operator  $L_{\psi} \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  given by  $L_{\psi}(x) = \psi(x, \cdot)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(T + \mathcal{Z}(X))\| &= \|\varphi_T + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}\| = \inf\{\|\varphi_T + \psi\|_{\Pi} : \psi \in \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}\} \\ &= \inf\{\|\varphi_T + \varphi_S\|_{\Pi} : S \in \mathcal{Z}(X)\} \\ &= \inf\{\nu(T + S) : S \in \mathcal{Z}(X)\} = \nu(T + \mathcal{Z}(X)). \end{aligned}$$

To see that  $\Phi$  is surjective, take any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(X \times X^*)$ . As  $X$  is reflexive, the operator  $T_B$  given by  $T_B(x) = B(x, \cdot)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . It is straightforward to check that  $\Phi(T_B + \mathcal{Z}(X)) = B + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}$ . Thus, the claim is proved.

Next, define  $\Psi : (\mathcal{B}(X \times X^*), \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})/\ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi} \rightarrow (X \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*)^*$  by

$$\Psi(\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})(u) := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi(x_i, x_i^*)$$

where  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \otimes x_i^*$ . The map  $\Psi$  is a well-defined linear operator. Observe that

$$|\Psi(\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})(u)| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \varphi(x_i, x_i^*) \right| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\varphi + \xi)(x_i, x_i^*) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| \|\varphi + \xi\|$$

for every  $\xi \in \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}$  and any representation  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \otimes x_i^*$ . It follows that

$$|\Psi(\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})(u)| \leq \|\varphi + \xi\| \|u\| \text{ for every } \xi \in \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi};$$

hence  $\|\Psi(\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})\| \leq \|\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}\|$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Psi(\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})\| &\geq \sup\{|\Psi(\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})(x \otimes x^*)| : (x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)\} \\ &= \sup\{|\varphi(x, x^*)| : (x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)\} \\ &= \|\varphi\|_{\Pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\|\Psi(\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi})\| \geq \|\varphi + \ker \|\cdot\|_{\Pi}\|$ . It remains to check that  $\Psi$  is surjective. Let  $L \in (X \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*)^*$  be fixed. Note that  $X \otimes_{\Pi} X^*$  is an algebraic subspace of the projective

tensor product space  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi X^*$ . Considering an algebraic complement of  $X\otimes_\Pi X^*$ , we can consider the algebraic linear extension  $\tilde{L}$  of  $L|_{X\otimes_\Pi X^*}$  to  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\pi X^*$  (which is not necessarily continuous). Now, consider the map  $\tilde{L} : X \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  given by  $\tilde{L}(x, x^*) = \tilde{L}(x \otimes x^*)$  which is a bilinear form on  $X \times X^*$ . Note that

$$|\tilde{L}(x, x^*)| = |\tilde{L}(x \otimes x^*)| = |L(x \otimes x^*)| \leq \|L\| \text{ for every } (x, x^*) \in \Pi(X);$$

hence  $\tilde{L}$  is a member of  $(\mathcal{B}(X \times X^*), \|\cdot\|_\Pi)$  with  $\|\tilde{L}\|_\Pi \leq \|L\|$ . As it is clear that  $\Psi(\tilde{L} + \ker \|\cdot\|_\Pi) = L$ , the surjectivity of  $\Psi$  is proved.  $\square$

**Remark 2.2.** We remark that the above Theorem 2.1 generalizes the observation in the proof of [22, Proposition 23], where the authors showed that if  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space with  $n(X) > 0$ , then  $(\mathcal{L}(X), \nu)$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{B}(X \times X^*), \|\cdot\|_\Pi)$  and to  $(X\widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*)^*$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. If  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ , then  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$  is reflexive. If, in addition,  $n(X) > 0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is reflexive.*

*Proof.* Notice that  $X$  is reflexive due to [4, Theorem 1] since, in particular, every rank-one operator attains its numerical radius. By Theorem 2.1, we have that  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$  and  $(X\widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*)^*$  are isometrically isomorphic. Take  $L \in (X\widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*)^*$  and consider  $T + \mathcal{Z}(X)$  the corresponding element in  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$ . Say  $\nu(T) = |x^*(T(x))|$  for some  $(x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)$ . Then

$$|L(x \otimes x^*)| = |x^*(T(x))| = \nu(T) = \nu(T + \mathcal{Z}(X)) = \|L\|,$$

which implies that  $L$  attains its norm. Since  $L$  is chosen arbitrarily, this shows that  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$  is reflexive by James' theorem [27]; hence so is  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$ .  $\square$

N.J. Kalton proved in [30, Theorem 2] that if  $\mathcal{L}(X)$  is reflexive, then  $X$  must be a separable (reflexive) space. Having this fact in mind, one direct consequence of Proposition 2.3 is that if  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$  and  $n(X) > 0$ , then  $X$  must be separable. However, the following result shows that the assumption that  $n(X) > 0$  is in fact superfluous. The proof is motivated by the one of aforementioned result of N.J. Kalton.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. If  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ , then  $X$  must be a separable reflexive space.*

*Proof.* As before, the reflexivity of  $X$  follows from [4, Theorem 1]. Thus, it is enough to show that  $X$  is separable. Assume that  $X$  is non-separable. By a result due to Chadwick [16], there exists non-trivial projections  $Q_n$  on  $X$  such that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty Q_n = \text{Id}_X$  in the weak operator topology. Note that  $\sup_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \|\sum_{n=1}^N Q_n\| < \infty$  by the Principle of Uniform Boundedness. Since  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ , we have from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 that  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$  is reflexive and its dual is isometrically isomorphic to  $X\widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$ . Observe that  $\langle u, \sum_{n=1}^k Q_n + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle \rightarrow \langle u, \sum_{n=1}^\infty Q_n + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle$  for each  $u \in X\widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , that is,  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty Q_n + \mathcal{Z}(X) = \text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X)$  in the weak topology. It follows that there exists  $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{(n)} Q_i$  such that  $S_n + \mathcal{Z}(X) \xrightarrow{\nu} \text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X)$  in  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$ . Let us find a large  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$\nu(S_n - \text{Id}_X) = \nu((S_n + \mathcal{Z}(X)) - (\text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X))) < 1.$$

Note that  $Q_{n+1} \neq 0$  and take  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $Q_{n+1}(x_0) \in S_X$ . Put  $x_1 = Q_{n+1}(x_0)$  and let  $x_1^* \in S_X^*$  so that  $x_1^*(x_1) = 1$ . Then we have that

$$|x_1^*((S_n - \text{Id}_X)(Q_{n+1}(x_0)))| = |x_1^*((S_n - \text{Id}_X)(x_1))| \leq \nu(S_n - \text{Id}_X) < 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$|x_1^*((S_n - \text{Id}_X)(Q_{n+1}(x_0)))| = |x_1^*(S_n(Q_{n+1}(x_0))) - x_1^*(x_1)| = |x_1^*(x_1)| = 1$$

since  $Q_{n+1}(X) \subseteq \ker S_n$ . This is a contradiction, so  $X$  must be separable.  $\square$

As already noted, if  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ , then  $X$  must be reflexive. However, the converse is false. Indeed, it is observed also in [4] that given a reflexive Banach space  $X$  with a Schauder basis, there exists an isomorphic copy  $\tilde{X}$  of  $X$  such that not every operator on  $\tilde{X}$  is numerical radius attaining. The following result, in particular, shows that if  $X$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space with Schauder basis, then there exists  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  which does not attain its numerical radius without considering renormings of  $X$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with the compact approximation property. If  $\mathcal{L}(X) = \text{NRA}(X)$ , then  $X$  must be finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is infinite dimensional. As  $X$  is reflexive, applying the Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem (see [24, § XII]), we take a sequence  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq S_X$  converging weakly to 0. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , take  $x_n^* \in S_X^*$  to be such that  $x_n^*(x_n) = 1$ . Note from Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.3 that  $X \widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$  is reflexive and its dual is  $(\mathcal{L}(X)/\mathcal{Z}(X), \nu)$ . Passing to a subsequence if it is necessary, we can assume that  $(x_n \otimes x_n^*)$  converges weakly to some  $u \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$ . Observe that for any compact operator  $T$  on  $X$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \langle u, T + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle = \lim_n \langle x_n \otimes x_n^*, T + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle = \lim_n x_n^*(T(x_n)) = 0.$$

Now, let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$  and pick  $u_0 = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j v_j \otimes v_j^* \in X \widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$  with  $(\lambda_j) \in \mathbb{K}$  and  $(v_j, v_j^*) \in \Pi(X)$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, N$ , to be such that  $\|u - u_0\|_\Pi < \varepsilon$ . Notice from (2.2) that

$$(2.3) \quad |\langle u_0, T + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle| \leq |\langle u, T + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle| + \varepsilon = \varepsilon, \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{K}(X) \text{ with } \|T\| \leq 1.$$

Since  $X$  is a reflexive space with the compact approximation property,  $X$  has in fact the metric compact approximation property (see, for instance, [17, Proposition 1 and Remarks 1]). Thus, there exists a net  $(T_\alpha)$  of norm-one compact operators such that  $(T_\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Id}_X$  in the compact open topology. It follows, therefore, that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u_0, \text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle| &= \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j v_j^*(\text{Id}_X(v_j)) \right| \\ &= \lim_\alpha \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j v_j^*(T_\alpha(v_j)) \right| = \lim_\alpha |\langle u_0, T_\alpha + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle| \stackrel{(2.3)}{\leq} \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$|\langle u, \text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle| \leq |\langle u_0, \text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle| + \varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon < 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\langle u, \text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle = \lim_n \langle x_n \otimes x_n^*, \text{Id}_X + \mathcal{Z}(X) \rangle = \lim_n x_n^*(x_n) = 1,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $X$  must be finite dimensional.  $\square$

It is natural to ask if every *compact operator* on a Banach space  $X$  (with the compact approximation property) attains its numerical radius, then  $X$  must be finite dimensional. However, it is known [1, 10] that every compact operator on  $\ell_p$  with  $1 < p < \infty$  attains its numerical radius. Therefore, there is no compact operator version of Theorem 2.5.

### 3. NUMERICAL RADIUS ATTAINING HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be given. It is known that if every (finite-type)  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial on  $X$  attains its numerical radius, then  $X$  must be a reflexive space. More precisely, what is proved in [2] is the following. For  $x^*, x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_0 \in X$ , let the notation  $P_{x_1^*, \dots, x_N^*, x^*, x_0}$  stand for the  $(N + 1)$ -homogeneous polynomial on  $X$  defined by

$$P_{x_1^*, \dots, x_N^*, x^*, x_0}(x) = x_1^*(x) \cdots x_N^*(x) x^*(x) x_0.$$

**Theorem 3.1** ([2, Theorem 4]). *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  be given. If there exist  $x_0 \in X \setminus \{0\}$  and  $x_1^*, \dots, x_N^* \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $P_{x_1^*, \dots, x_N^*, x^*, x_0}$  attains its numerical radius for every  $x^* \in X^*$ , then  $X$  must be a reflexive space.*

Nevertheless, there is a reflexive Banach space on which not every  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial is numerical radius attaining [2, Example 1]. We start this section by generalizing the above Theorem 3.1 by using a refined version of James' theorem [28] as it is done for the case of linear operators in [3].

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be given. Suppose that there exist  $x_0 \in X \setminus \{0\}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}^* \in X^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that the set*

$$\{x^* \in X^* : P_{x_1^*, \dots, x_{N-1}^*, x^*, x_0} \text{ attains its numerical radius.}\}$$

*has a non-empty weak-star interior. Then  $X$  must be reflexive.*

*Proof.* Write the set

$$B = \{x_1^*(z) \cdots x_{N-1}^*(z) z^*(x_0) z : (z, z^*) \in \Pi(X)\} \subseteq X.$$

Then  $P_{x_1^*, \dots, x_{N-1}^*, x^*, x_0}$  attains its numerical radius if and only if  $|x^*|$  attains its supremum on the set  $B$ . This implies that the following set

$$\{x^* \in X^* : |x^*| \text{ attains its supremum on } B\}$$

has a non-empty weak-star interior. By the same reasoning as in the proof of [3, Theorem 3.1], it is enough to show that  $\overline{\mathbb{D}B} := \{\lambda b : \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, |\lambda| \leq 1, b \in B\}$  contains an open ball which, in turn, implies that there is an equivalent norm on  $X$  which makes the set of norm attaining functionals contain a weak-star open set (see [28, Proposition 3.2]).

Put  $Q := x_1^* \cdots x_{N-1}^*$ . If  $Q(x_0) \neq 0$ , then take any  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|Q(x_0)| > \delta$ . If not, then observe that by applying the identity principle [32, Proposition 5.7] for any  $\varepsilon > 0$

there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $\|u - x_0\| < \varepsilon$  and  $|Q(u)| > \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ . Since the first case can be deduced from the second case, we only consider the second case.

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \|x_0\|$ , and find  $u \in X$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\|u - x_0\| < \varepsilon$  and  $|Q(u)| > \delta$ . Take  $0 < s < 1$  so that

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{s(\|x_0\| + \varepsilon)^N}{(\|x_0\| - \varepsilon)\delta} < \frac{1}{3}.$$

Pick an element  $y \in su + rB_X$ , where  $0 < r < 1$  is sufficiently small so that

$$(3.2) \quad 2s^{-1}r < \|x_0\| - \varepsilon,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} |Q(y)| &= |Q(su + rz)| \quad (\text{for some } z \in B_X) \\ &\geq s^{N-1}|Q(u)| - |f(r)| > s^{N-1}\delta - |f(r)| > 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f(r) = Q(su + rz) - s^{N-1}Q(u)$  (so,  $|f(t)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ), and

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \frac{(s(\|x_0\| + \varepsilon) + r)^N}{(s^{N-1}\delta - |f(r)|)(\|x_0\| - 2s^{-1}r - \varepsilon)} - \frac{s(\|x_0\| + \varepsilon)^N}{(\|x_0\| - \varepsilon)\delta} \right| < \frac{1}{3}.$$

Take  $y^* \in S_{Y^*}$  so that  $y^*(y) = \|y\|$ , so  $(\frac{y}{\|y\|}, y^*) \in \Pi(X)$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |y^*(x_0)| &= |y^*(u + x_0 - u)| \geq |y^*(u)| - \|x_0 - u\| \\ &> |y^*(s^{-1}y - s^{-1}rz)| - \varepsilon \\ &\geq s^{-1}\|y\| - s^{-1}r - \varepsilon \geq \|x_0\| - 2s^{-1}r - \varepsilon > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$y^*(x_0)y = \frac{\|y\|^N}{\prod_{i=1}^{N-1} x_i^*(y)} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{N-1} x_i^*\left(\frac{y}{\|y\|}\right) \right] y^*(x_0) \frac{y}{\|y\|} \in \left( \frac{\|y\|^N}{Q(y)} \right) B$$

and  $y^*(x_0) \neq 0$ , we conclude that

$$y \in \left( \frac{\|y\|^N}{Q(y)y^*(x_0)} \right) B.$$

Now, observe from (3.1)-(3.4) and the fact  $\|y\| \leq s(\|x_0\| + \varepsilon) + r$  that

$$\left| \frac{\|y\|^N}{Q(y)y^*(x_0)} \right| \leq \frac{(s(\|x_0\| + \varepsilon) + r)^N}{(s^{N-1}\delta - |f(r)|)(\|x_0\| - 2s^{-1}r - \varepsilon)} < \frac{s(\|x_0\| + \varepsilon)^N}{(\|x_0\| - \varepsilon)\delta} + \frac{1}{3} < \frac{2}{3}.$$

This proves that  $su + rB_X$  is contained in  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}B$  and completes the proof.  $\square$

Next, we will obtain the polynomial version of Theorem 2.5. In order to do so, we follow a similar approach as in the preceding section. Given a Banach space  $X$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider the closed subspace  $\mathcal{Z}^N(X) := \{P \in \mathcal{P}^N(X) : \nu(P) = 0\}$ . Then the quotient space  $(\mathcal{P}^N(X)/\mathcal{Z}^N(X), \nu)$  turns to be a normed space endowed with  $\nu(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X)) = \inf\{\nu(P - Q) : Q \in \mathcal{Z}^N(X)\}$ . Also, consider the following space of tensors:

$$(\otimes^N X) \otimes_{\Pi} X^* := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\otimes^N x_i) \otimes x_i^* : (x_i, x_i^*) \in \Pi(X), \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\| = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| : u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\otimes^N x_i) \otimes x_i^* : (x_i, x_i^*) \in \Pi(X), \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

and denote by  $(\otimes^N X) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*$  its completion. The following duality result is a version of Theorem 2.1 for homogeneous polynomials.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $(\mathcal{P}^N X) / \mathcal{Z}^N(X), \nu$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $((\otimes^N X) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*)^*$ .*

*Proof.* Even though the proof is very similar to that of Theorem 2.1, we sketch the proof for the sake of completeness. Let  $\Phi : (\mathcal{P}^N X) / \mathcal{Z}^N(X), \nu \rightarrow ((\otimes^N X) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*)^*$  be the map defined as

$$\Phi(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i^*(P(x_i))$$

for  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\otimes^N x_i) \otimes x_i^*$ . Then  $\Phi$  is well-defined and it is a linear operator. To see that  $\Phi$  is a surjective isometry, observe that

$$\|\Phi(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))(u)\| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i^*(P(x_i)) \right| \leq \nu(P) \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$$

for any representation  $u = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\otimes^N x_i) \otimes x_i^*$ . Since  $\nu(P) = \nu(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))$ , we conclude that  $\|\Phi(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))\| \leq \nu(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))$ . Conversely, note that

$$|x^*(P(x))| = |\Phi(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))((\otimes x^N) \otimes x^*)| \leq \|\Phi(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))\|$$

for every  $(x, x^*) \in \Pi(X)$ ; hence  $\nu(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X)) = \nu(P) \leq \|\Phi(P + \mathcal{Z}^N(X))\|$ . This shows that  $\Phi$  is an isometry. Finally, we claim that  $\Phi$  is a surjective. Let  $L \in ((\otimes^N X) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Pi} X^*)^*$  be given. Let  $\tilde{L}$  be an algebraic linear extension of  $L|_{(\otimes^N X) \otimes_{\Pi} X^*}$  to  $(\widehat{\otimes}_{N,s,\pi} X) \widehat{\otimes}_{\pi} X^*$  and define the map  $\tilde{L}$  from  $X$  into  $X^{**} = X$  by

$$(\tilde{L}(x))(x^*) = \tilde{L}((\otimes x^N) \otimes x^*) \text{ for every } x \in X \text{ and } x^* \in X^*.$$

Then  $\tilde{L}$  is an  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial on  $X$  satisfying that  $\nu(\tilde{L}) \leq \|L\|$  and  $\Phi(\tilde{L} + \mathcal{Z}^N(X)) = L$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Arguing in the same way as in Proposition 2.3, we obtain the following.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{P}^N(X) = \text{NRA}^N(X)$ , then  $(\mathcal{P}^N(X) / \mathcal{Z}^N(X), \nu)$  is reflexive.*

In [19], the *polynomial numerical index of order  $N$* , denoted by  $n^{(N)}(X)$ , of a Banach space  $X$  is introduced and investigated. Namely,

$$n^{(N)}(X) = \{\nu(P) : P \in \mathcal{P}^N(X), \|P\| = 1\}.$$

As a consequence, Proposition 3.4 implies that if  $n^{(N)}(X) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{P}^N(X) = \text{NRA}^N(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{P}^N(X)$  is reflexive since  $\mathcal{Z}^N(X) = \{0\}$ . It might be worth mentioning that  $n^{(N)}(X) > 0$  for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  whenever  $n(X) > 0$  (see [19, Proposition 2.5]).



Now, we are ready to prove the following. The idea of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.5, but using slightly more carefully the Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem. Recall that a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$  is said to be *weakly sequentially continuous* if the sequence  $(P(x_n))$  is norm-convergent whenever a sequence  $(x_n)$  is weakly convergent. Also, a polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$  is said to be *weakly (uniformly) continuous* if it is weakly uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of  $X$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space with the compact approximation property and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathcal{P}({}^N X) = \text{NRA}({}^N X)$ , then  $X$  must be finite dimensional.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space. Note from Theorem 3.1 that  $X$  is reflexive. Using the Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem, take a sequence  $(x_n) \subseteq S_X$  converging weakly to some  $x_\infty \in X$  with  $\|x_\infty\| = 1/2$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , pick  $x_n^* \in S_{X^*}$  to be such that  $x_n^*(x_n) = 1$ , and choose  $x_\infty^* \in S_{X^*}$  such that  $x_\infty^*(x_\infty) = 1/2$ . By Proposition 3.4,  $(\otimes^N X) \widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$  is reflexive and its dual is  $(\mathcal{P}({}^N X) / \mathcal{Z}({}^N X), \nu)$ . Thus, we may assume that  $(\otimes^N x_n) \otimes x_n^*$  converges weakly to some  $u \in (\otimes^N X) \widehat{\otimes}_\Pi X^*$ . Then for every weakly sequentially continuous polynomial  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}({}^N X)$  with  $\|P\| = 1$ , we have that

$$(3.5) \quad |\langle u, P + \mathcal{Z}({}^N X) \rangle| = \lim_n |x_n^*(P(x_n))| = \lim_n |x_n^*(P(x_\infty))| \leq \frac{1}{2^N}.$$

Now, let  $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$  with  $\|Q\| = 1$  be given. Using the metric compact approximation property of  $X$ , we can take a net  $(P_\alpha)$  of weakly (uniformly) continuous (hence, weakly sequentially continuous)  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials on  $X$  converging to  $Q$  in the compact-open topology and satisfying  $\|P_\alpha\| \leq 1$  (see [15, Corollary 7] and [34, Proposition 2.1]). Since  $u$  can be approximated by elements of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i (\otimes^N x_i) \otimes x_i^*$  with  $(x_i, x_i^*) \in \Pi(X)$ , we conclude that

$$|\langle u, Q + \mathcal{Z}({}^N X) \rangle| \leq \limsup_\alpha |\langle u, P_\alpha + \mathcal{Z}({}^N X) \rangle| \stackrel{(3.5)}{\leq} \frac{1}{2^N}.$$

As the above inequality holds for arbitrary  $Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$  with  $\|Q\| = 1$ , we have that

$$(3.6) \quad \sup\{|\langle u, Q + \mathcal{Z}({}^N X) \rangle| : Q \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X), \|Q\| = 1\} \leq \frac{1}{2^N}.$$

On the other hand, consider  $P_\infty \in \mathcal{P}({}^N X)$  given by  $P_\infty(x) = x_\infty^*(x)^{N-1}x$  for every  $x \in X$ . It is clear that  $\|P_\infty\| = 1$ . However,

$$\langle u, P_\infty + \mathcal{Z}({}^N X) \rangle = \lim_n x_n^*(P_\infty(x_n)) = \lim_n x_\infty^*(x_n)^{N-1} x_n^*(x_n) = x_\infty^*(x_\infty)^{N-1} = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}}.$$

This contradicts (3.6), so we conclude that  $X$  must be a finite dimensional space.  $\square$

In the previous section, we mentioned that every compact operator on  $\ell_p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , attains its numerical radius. Not surprisingly, this result can be extended to the case of weakly sequentially continuous homogeneous polynomials. It is immediate that a weakly sequentially continuous bounded linear operator on  $X$  is nothing but a completely continuous operator on  $X$ . The proof is similar to the argument in [1], but we present the details for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 3.6.** *Given  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , every weakly sequentially continuous  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial on  $\ell_p$  is numerical radius attaining.*

*Proof.* Let  $P$  be a weakly sequentially continuous  $N$ -homogeneous polynomial on  $\ell_p$  and take  $(x_n, x_n^*) \in \Pi(\ell_p)$  so that  $|x_n^*(P(x_n))| \rightarrow v(P) \neq 0$ . Note that  $x_n^*(i) = |x_n(i)|^{p/q} \alpha_n(i)$ , where  $\alpha_n(i) \in \mathbb{T}$  satisfies that  $|x_n(i)| = \alpha_n(i)x_n(i)$  and  $q$  is the conjugate of  $p$ . Passing to a subsequence, we may assume that  $(x_n)$  converges weakly to  $x_\infty \in B_{\ell_p}$ . Consider  $x_\infty^* \in \ell_p^* = \ell_q$  given by  $x_\infty^*(i) = |x_\infty(i)|^{p/q} \alpha(i)$ , where  $\alpha(i) \in \mathbb{T}$  satisfies that  $|x_\infty(i)| = \alpha(i)x_\infty(i)$ . Then one can deduce that  $(x_n^*)$  converges weakly to  $x_\infty^*$  and  $x_\infty^*(x_\infty) = \|x_\infty^*\| \|x_\infty\|$ . Moreover, since  $P$  is weakly sequentially continuous,  $|x_\infty^*(P(x_\infty))| = v(P) \neq 0$ . In particular,  $x_\infty \neq 0$  and  $x_\infty^* \neq 0$ . Thus,

$$\frac{v(P)}{\|x_\infty^*\| \|x_\infty\|^N} = \frac{|x_\infty^*(P(x_\infty))|}{\|x_\infty^*\| \|x_\infty\|^N} = \left| \frac{x_\infty^*}{\|x_\infty^*\|} \left( P \left( \frac{x_\infty}{\|x_\infty\|} \right) \right) \right| \leq v(P);$$

hence  $\|x_\infty\| = \|x_\infty^*\| = 1$  and  $P$  attains its numerical radius at  $(x_\infty, x_\infty^*) \in \Pi(\ell_p)$ .  $\square$

One may ask whether a similar result can be obtained for Lipschitz functions on a Banach space  $X$  into itself as there is a notion of numerical radius for Lipschitz functions, the so called *Lipschitz numerical radius* (we refer the interested readers to [18, 29, 36]). However, it is not possible to consider the case when every Lipschitz function attains its Lipschitz numerical radius. Indeed, it is proved in [18] that for any Banach space  $X$  the set of Lipschitz numerical radius attaining Lipschitz functions on  $X$  is not dense in the whole space of Lipschitz functions on  $X$ .

#### 4. 2-HOMOGENEOUS POLYNOMIALS WHOSE ARON-BERNER EXTENSIONS ATTAIN THEIR NUMERICAL RADII

It is shown in [5] that for any Banach space  $X$  the set of bounded linear operators on  $X$  whose second adjoints attain their numerical radii is dense in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ . Moreover, it is mentioned in [6] that, actually, it is true that the set of bounded linear operators on  $X$  whose first adjoints attain their numerical radii is dense. As a matter of fact, those results are parallel versions of the result of J. Lindenstrauss [31] and V. Zizler [38] on norm attaining operators. In the context of homogeneous polynomials, it is first observed in [9] that the set of scalar-valued 2-homogeneous polynomials whose Aron-Berner extensions attain their norms is dense in the whole space. Afterwards, this result was extended to a vector-valued 2-homogeneous polynomials in [20].

Recall that the canonical extension of a bilinear mapping is obtained by weak-star density as follows (which also works for a general multilinear mapping) [7]. For Banach spaces  $X, Y$  and  $Z$ , and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(X \times Y; Z)$ , the space of all bounded bilinear mappings from  $X \times Y$  into  $Z$ , the extension  $\overline{B} \in \mathcal{B}(X^{**} \times Y^{**}; Z^{**})$  of  $B$  is defined by

$$\overline{B}(x^{**}, y^{**}) \stackrel{w^*}{=} \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} B(x_{\alpha}, y_{\beta})$$

where  $(x_{\alpha}) \subseteq X$  and  $(y_{\beta}) \subseteq Y$  are nets converging weak-star to  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  and  $y^{**} \in Y^{**}$ , respectively. The *Aron-Berner extension* of  $P \in \mathcal{P}({}^2X)$  is the polynomial  $AB(P) \in \mathcal{P}({}^2X^{**})$  given by  $AB(P)(x^{**}) := \overline{B}(x^{**})$  where  $B$  is the unique symmetric bilinear mapping

from  $X \times X$  into  $X$  associated to  $P$  [8]. It is known that  $\|P\| = \|AB(P)\|$  for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^2X)$  [23].

Let us denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{wu}(^N X)$  the space of weakly (uniformly) continuous  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $X$  into  $X$ , that is, those elements are weakly (uniformly) continuous on bounded subsets of  $X$ . Notice that the space  $\mathcal{P}_{wu}(^1 X)$  coincides with the space of all compact operators on  $X$ .

In this section, we prove that for any Banach space  $X$  the set of  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{wu}(^2 X)$  whose Aron-Berner extensions are numerical radius attaining is dense in  $\mathcal{P}_{wu}(^2 X)$ . In order to prove this, we need the following lemma which is a slight modification of [5, Lemma 3].

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $P \in \mathcal{P}(^2 X)$ . Suppose that  $|u^*(P(u))| > v(P) - \alpha$  for some  $(u, u^*) \in \Pi(X)$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . Let us define*

$$P'(x) = P(x) + \lambda \delta^2 u^*(x)^2 u + \lambda \delta^2 (u^*(B(u, x)))^2 u,$$

where  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$  satisfies that  $u^*(P(u)) = \lambda |u^*(P(u))|$  and  $B$  is the symmetric bilinear mapping corresponding to  $P$ . If  $|y^*(P'(y))| > v(P') - \rho$  for some  $(y, y^*) \in \Pi(X)$  and  $\rho > 0$ , then we have

$$\delta^2 |u^*(y)|^2 + \delta^2 |u^*(B(u, y))|^2 + \rho \geq -\alpha + \delta^2 + \delta^2 (v(P) - \alpha)^2.$$

*Proof.* Note first that

$$\begin{aligned} v(P') &\geq |u^*(P'(u))| = |u^*(P(u)) + \lambda \delta^2 + \lambda \delta^2 u^*(P(u))^2| \\ &= |u^*(P(u))| + \delta^2 + \delta^2 |u^*(P(u))|^2 \stackrel{(I)}{\geq} v(P) - \alpha + \delta^2 + \delta^2 (v(P) - \alpha)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Second, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} v(P') &< |y^*(P'(y))| + \rho \\ &\stackrel{(II)}{\leq} v(P) + \delta^2 |u^*(y)|^2 + \delta^2 |u^*(B(u, y))|^2 + \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (I) with (II), we complete the proof.  $\square$

The proof of the following result is essentially based on an adaption of Lindenstrauss' argument in [31, Theorem 1].

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then the set*

$$\{P \in \mathcal{P}_{wu}(^2 X) : AB(P) \in \text{NRA}(^2 X^{**})\}$$

*is dense in  $\mathcal{P}_{wu}(^2 X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{wu}(^2 X)$ ,  $\|P\| = 1$  and  $B$  be the corresponding symmetric bilinear mapping. Given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , choose decreasing sequences  $(\alpha_n)$  and  $(\delta_n)$  of positive numbers satisfying that

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + 4^2) \delta_j^2 < \varepsilon, \quad \frac{1}{\delta_n^2} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} (1 + 4^2) \delta_j^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\alpha_n}{\delta_n^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Put  $P_1 := P$  and inductively construct sequences  $(P_n)$  in  $\mathcal{P}(^2X)$  and  $(x_n, x_n^*) \in \Pi(X)$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} |x_n^*(P_n(x_n))| &> v(P_n) - \alpha_n, \\ P_{n+1}(x) &:= P_n(x) + \lambda_n \delta_n^2 x_n^*(x)^2 x_n + \lambda_n \delta_n^2 (x_n^*(B_n(x, x_n)))^2 x_n, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{T}$  satisfies that  $x_n^*(P_n(x_n)) = \lambda_n |x_n^*(P_n(x_n))|$  and  $B_n$  is the symmetric bilinear mappings corresponding to  $P_n$ . Note that

$$\|P_2\| \leq \|P_1\| + \delta_1^2 + \delta_1^2 \|S_1\|^2 \leq 1 + (1 + 2^2)\delta_1^2.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_3\| &\leq \|P_2\| + \delta_2^2 + \delta_2^2 \|S_2\|^2 \leq 1 + (1 + 2^2)\delta_1^2 + \delta_2^2 + \delta_2^2 (2^2(1 + (1 + 2^2)\delta_1^2)^2) \\ &\leq 1 + (1 + 2^2)\delta_1^2 + (1 + 4^2)\delta_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

since  $(1 + (1 + 2^2)\delta_1^2) < 2$ . In this way, one can verify that

$$\|P_{n+1}\| \leq 1 + (1 + 2^2)\delta_1^2 + (1 + 4^2)\delta_2^2 + \cdots + (1 + 4^2)\delta_n^2 \leq 1 + \sum_{j=1}^n (1 + 4^2)\delta_j^2 \leq 2$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This also shows that

$$\|P_{n+k} - P_n\| \leq \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-1} (1 + \|B_j\|^2)\delta_j^2 \leq \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-1} (1 + 4^2)\delta_j^2$$

since  $\|B_j\| \leq 2\|P_j\| \leq 2^2$ . Thus,  $(P_n)$  converges in norm to some  $P_\infty \in \mathcal{P}(^2X)$ , and  $\|P_\infty - P\| \leq \varepsilon$ . Notice that each  $P_n$  is weakly uniformly continuous on bounded sets; hence so is  $P_\infty$ .

We claim that  $AB(P_\infty) \in \text{NRA}(^2X^{**})$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |x_{n+k}^*(P_{n+1}(x_{n+k}))| &\geq v(P_{n+k}) - \|P_{n+k} - P_{n+1}\| \\ &\geq v(P_{n+1}) - 2\|P_{n+k} - P_{n+1}\| \geq v(P_{n+1}) - 2 \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-1} (1 + 4^2)\delta_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.1 with  $P' = P_{n+1}$ , we obtain that

$$\delta_n^2 |u_n^*(x_{n+k})|^2 + \delta_n^2 |x_n^*(B_n(x_n, x_{n+k}))|^2 + 2 \sum_{j=n}^{n+k-1} (1 + 4^2)\delta_j^2 \geq -\alpha_n + \delta_n^2 + \delta_n^2 (v(P_n) - \alpha_n)^2.$$

Let  $z$  and  $\phi$  be cluster points of the sequences  $(x_n)$  and  $(x_n^*)$  in the weak-star topologies of  $X^{**}$  and  $X^{***}$ , respectively. Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \delta_n^2 |z(u_n^*)|^2 + \delta_n^2 |[\overline{B_n}(x_n, z)](x_n^*)|^2 + 2 \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (1 + 4^2)\delta_j^2 \geq -\alpha_n + \delta_n^2 + \delta_n^2 (v(P_n) - \alpha_n)^2,$$

where  $\overline{B_n}$  is the canonical extension of  $B_n$ . Note from the polarization inequality [32, Theorem 2.2] that  $\overline{B_n}$  converges in norm to  $\overline{B_\infty}$ , where  $B_\infty$  is the symmetric bilinear mapping corresponding to  $P_\infty$  and  $\overline{B_\infty}$  is its canonical extension.

Notice that  $AP(P_\infty)$  is uniformly continuous on  $(B_{X^{**}}, w^*)$ . Applying the classical polarization formula [32, Theorem 1.10], we observe that  $\overline{B_\infty}(x_n, z)$  converges in norm to  $\overline{B_\infty}(z, z) = AB(P_\infty)(z)$ . By dividing  $\delta_n^2$  in (4.2), letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and combining it with (4.1), we obtain that

$$(4.3) \quad |\phi(z)|^2 + |\phi(AB(P_\infty)(z))|^2 \geq 1 + \nu(P_\infty)^2.$$

This shows that  $|\phi(z)| = 1$  and  $|\phi(AB(P_\infty)(z))| = \nu(P_\infty)$ . Since  $\nu(AB(P_\infty)) = \nu(P_\infty)$  [19, Corollary 2.14], we conclude that  $AB(P_\infty)$  attains its numerical radius.  $\square$

**Remark 4.3.** If we denote by  $\mathcal{P}_{wsc}(^N X)$  the space of weakly sequentially continuous  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials (for its definition, see the paragraph before Theorem 3.5), then we have the following:

$$\mathcal{P}_{wu}(^N X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{wsc}(^N X) \subseteq \mathcal{P}(^N X).$$

We do not know if we can replace  $\mathcal{P}_{wu}(^N X)$  in Theorem 4.2 by  $\mathcal{P}_{wsc}(^N X)$ , since for a given  $P \in \mathcal{P}_{wsc}(^N X)$ , its extension  $AB(P) \in \mathcal{P}(^N X^{**})$  is not necessarily weak-star to norm sequentially continuous (hence the inequality in (4.3) is not clear). As a matter of fact, it can be deduced from [37, Example 1.9] that there exist a Banach space  $X$  and a weakly sequentially continuous 2-homogeneous polynomial  $P$  on  $X$  such that  $AB(P)$  is not weak-star to norm sequentially continuous.

It is observed in [13] that if the dual space of a Banach space  $X$  is separable and has the approximation property, then for any natural number  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set of  $N$ -homogeneous polynomials from  $X$  to a dual Banach space  $Y^*$  whose Aron-Berner extensions attain their norms is dense. Indeed, their idea was to use the integral representation for elements in tensor product spaces by identifying polynomials between  $X$  and  $Y^*$  with elements in  $C(B_{X^{**}} \times B_{Y^{**}})$  and using the Riesz representation theorem [13, Theorem 2.2] from the compactness of  $B_{X^{**}} \times B_{Y^{**}}$ . Here,  $B_{X^{**}}$  and  $B_{Y^{**}}$  are endowed with their weak-star topologies. In order to adapt this to our situation, it would be natural to consider first the set  $\Pi(X^*)$  instead of  $B_{X^{**}} \times B_{Y^{**}}$ . However, the set  $\Pi(X^*)$  cannot be a compact subset of  $B_{X^*} \times B_{X^{**}}$ , both are endowed with their weak-star topologies, unless  $X$  is finite dimensional as the following result shows.

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space.*

- (1) *The set  $\Pi(X^*)$  is a compact subset of  $B_{X^*} \times B_{X^{**}}$  if and only if  $X$  is finite dimensional, where both  $B_{X^*}$  and  $B_{X^{**}}$  are endowed with their weak-star topologies.*
- (2) *The set  $\Pi(X)$  is a compact subset of  $B_X \times B_{X^*}$  if and only if  $X$  is finite dimensional, where  $B_X$  and  $B_{X^*}$  are endowed with the weak topology and weak-star topology, respectively.*

*Proof.* (1): As ‘‘If’’ part is clear, assume to the contrary that  $X$  is infinite dimensional. By applying the Josefson-Nissenzweig theorem, take  $(x_n^*) \subseteq S_{X^*}$  so that  $(x_n^*)$  converges weak-star to some  $x_\infty^* \in X^*$  with  $\|x_\infty^*\| = 1/2$ . Take  $x_n^{**} \in S_{X^{**}}$  so that  $x_n^{**}(x_n^*) = 1$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\Pi(X^*)$  were compact, then we would have a subnet  $(x_\alpha^*, x_\alpha^{**})$  which converge to some  $(z^*, z^{**})$  in  $\Pi(X^*)$ . This implies, in particular, that  $z^* = x_\infty^*$  which is a contradiction.

(2): We prove the “only if” part. Assuming  $X$  is infinite dimensional, take a sequence  $(x_n^*) \subseteq S_{X^*}$  so that  $(x_n^*)$  converges weak-star to some  $x_\infty^* \in X^*$  with  $\|x_\infty^*\| = 1/2$  as above. Take  $x_n \in S_X$  such that  $|1 - x_n^*(x_n)| < (1/\sqrt{2n})^2$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the Bishop-Phelps-Bollobás theorem [12], there exists  $(y_n, y_n^*) \in \Pi(X)$  such that  $\|y_n - x_n\| < 1/n + (1/n)^2$  and  $\|y_n^* - x_n^*\| < 1/n$ . If  $\Pi(X)$  were compact, then there would be a subnet  $(y_\alpha, y_\alpha^*)$  which converge to some  $(z, z^*)$  in  $\Pi(X)$ . This implies that  $z^* = x_\infty^*$  which contradicts  $\|z^*\| = 1$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** The author is grateful to Sheldon Dantas, Miguel Martín and Óscar Roldán.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.D. ACOSTA, *Some results on operators attaining their numerical radii*, Analysis, Proc. 15th Port. Span. Meet. Math., Evora/Port. 1990, Vol. II (Universidade de Evora, Evora 1991) 319–324.
- [2] M.D. ACOSTA, J. BECERRA GUERRERO, M. RUIZ GALÁN, *Numerical-radius-attaining polynomials*, Q. J. Math. 54 (2003), no. 1, 1–10.
- [3] M.D. ACOSTA, J. BECERRA GUERRERO, M. RUIZ GALÁN, *James type results for polynomials and symmetric multilinear forms*, Ark. Mat., **42** (2004), 1–11.
- [4] M.D. ACOSTA AND M. RUIZ GALÁN, *A version of James’ theorem for numerical radius*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **31** (1999), no. 1, 67–74.
- [5] M.D. ACOSTA AND R. PAYÁ, *Denseness of operators whose second adjoints attain their numerical radii*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **105**, (1989), 97–101.
- [6] M.D. ACOSTA AND R. PAYÁ, *Norm attaining and numerical radius attaining operators*, Revista Matemática de la Universidad Complutense de Madrid 2.SUPL. (1989): 19–25.
- [7] R. ARENS, *The adjoint of a bilinear operation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951) 839–848.
- [8] R.M. ARON AND P.D. BERNER, *A Hahn–Banach extension theorem for analytic mappings*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 106 (1) (1978) 3–24.
- [9] R.M. ARON, D. GARCÍA AND M. MAESTRE, *On norm attaining polynomials*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **39** (1) (2003) 165–172.
- [10] G. DE BARRA, J.R. GILES AND B. SIMS, *On numerical range of compact operators on Hilbert spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. 5 (1972) 704–706.
- [11] I. BERG AND B. SIMS, *Denseness of numerical radius attaining operators*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A **36** (1984) 130–133.
- [12] B. BOLLOBÁS, *An extension to the theorem of Bishop and Phelps*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **2** (1970), 181–182.
- [13] D. CARANDO, S. LASSALLE, AND M. MAZZITELLI, *On the polynomial Lindenstrauss theorem*, J. Funct. Anal., 263(7): 1809–1824, 2012.
- [14] C. CARDASSI, *Numerical radius attaining operators on  $C(K)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1985) 537–543.
- [15] E. ÇALIŞKAN, *Bounded holomorphic mappings and the compact approximation property in Banach spaces*, Port. Math. (N.S.) **61** (2004), 25–33.
- [16] J.J.M. CHADWICK, *Schauder decomposition in non-separable Banach spaces*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. **6** (1972), 133–144.
- [17] C. CHO AND W.B. JOHNSON, *A characterization of subspaces  $X$  of  $\ell_p$  for which  $K(X)$  is an  $M$ -ideal of  $L(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), no. 3, 466–470.
- [18] G. CHOI, M. JUNG AND H.J. TAG, *On the Lipschitz numerical index of Banach spaces*, available on Arxiv, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.13821>
- [19] Y.S. CHOI, D. GARCÍA, S.G. KIM AND M. MAESTRE, *The polynomial numerical index of a Banach space*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **49** (2006), 32–52.

- [20] Y.S. CHOI, H.J. LEE AND H.G. SONG, *Denseness of Norm-Attaining Mappings on Banach Spaces*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 46(1): 171–182, 2010.
- [21] S. DANTAS, M. JUNG AND G. MARTÍNEZ-CERVANTES *On the existence of non-norm-attaining operators*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu (2021), 1–13
- [22] S. DANTAS, S.K. KIM, H.J. LEE, AND M. MAZZITELLI, *On various types of density of numerical radius attaining operators*, available on Arxiv, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.00280>
- [23] A.M. DAVIE AND T.W. GAMELIN, *A theorem on polynomial-star approximation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 106 (2) (1989) 351–356.
- [24] J. DIESTEL, *Sequences and Series in Banach spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **92**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [25] M. FABIAN, P. HABALA, P. HÁJEK, V. MONTESINOS AND V. ZIZLER, *Banach Space Theory: The Basis for Linear and Nonlinear Analysis*, CMS Books in Mathematics (Springer New York, 2010).
- [26] J. HOLUB, *Reflexivity of  $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **39** (1973), 175–177.
- [27] R.C. JAMES, *Weak compactness and reflexivity*, Israel J. Math., 2 (1964) 101–119.
- [28] M. JIMÉNEZ SEVILLA AND J.P. MORENO, *A note on norm attaining functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1998), 1989–1997.
- [29] V. KADETS, M. MARTÍN, J. MERÍ AND D. WERNER, *Lipschitz slices and the Daugavet equation for Lipschitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **143** (2015), 5281–5292.
- [30] N. KALTON, *Spaces of compact operators*, Math. Ann. **208** (1974), 267–278.
- [31] J. LINDENSTRAUSS, *On operators which attain their norm*, Israel J. Math. **1** (1963), 139–148.
- [32] J. MUJICA, *Complex Analysis in Banach spaces*, North-Holland Math. Studies, 120 (1986).
- [33] J. MUJICA, *Reflexive spaces of homogeneous polynomials*, Bulletin Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **49** (2001), pp. 211–222.
- [34] J. MUJICA AND M. VALDIVIA, *Holomorphic Germs on Tsirelson’s Space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **123** (1995), 1379–1384.
- [35] R. PAYÁ, *A counterexample on numerical radius attaining operators*, Israel J. Math. **79** (1992) 83–101.
- [36] R. WANG, X. HUANG AND D. TAN, *On the numerical radius of Lipschitz operators in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **411** (2014), 1–18.
- [37] I. ZALDUENDO, *Extending polynomials on Banach spaces—a survey*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina 46 (2005), no. 2, 45–72 (2006).
- [38] V. ZIZLER, *On some extremal problems in Banach spaces*, Math. Scand. **32** (1973), 214–224.

(Jung) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 02455 SEOUL, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

ORCID: [0000-0003-2240-2855](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2240-2855)

Email address: [jmingoo@kias.re.kr](mailto:jmingoo@kias.re.kr)

URL: <https://clemg.blog/>