

CONGRUENCES FOR PARTIAL SUMS OF THE GENERATING SERIES FOR $\binom{3k}{k}$

S. MATTAREI AND R. TAURASO

ABSTRACT. We produce congruences modulo a prime $p > 3$ for sums $\sum_k \binom{3k}{k} x^k$ over ranges $0 \leq k < q$ and $0 \leq k < q/3$, where q is a power of p . Here x equals either $c^2/(1-c)^3$, or $4s^2/(27(s^2-1))$, where c and s are indeterminates. In the former case we deal more generally with shifted binomial coefficients $\binom{3k+e}{k}$. Our method derives such congruences directly from closed forms for the corresponding series.

1. INTRODUCTION

There is a growing literature on congruences modulo a prime (or sometimes modulo a power of a prime) for sums involving binomial coefficients. In several cases such sums are truncated versions of power series for which a closed form is known. Similarities of the finite congruences with those closed forms are often highlighted without making explicit connections. In [MT18] the authors initiated a systematic derivation of congruences directly from closed forms for the corresponding series, focussing on various sums involving *central binomial coefficients* $\binom{2k}{k}$, or the related *Catalan numbers* $C_k = (k+1)^{-1} \binom{2k}{k}$. In that case the paradigm was the congruence $\sum_{0 \leq k < q} \binom{2k}{k} x^k \equiv (1-4x)^{(q-1)/2} \pmod{p}$, which is not hard to prove directly but may conveniently be deduced from the well-known identity $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k}{k} x^k = (1-4x)^{-1/2}$ via a procedure that one may call *truncation and reduction modulo p* . A range of variations was systematically investigated, and substitution of rational, or more generally algebraic numbers, for x yielded various interesting numerical congruences, such as $\sum_{0 \leq k < p} \binom{2k}{k} k^{-3} \equiv 2B_{p-3}/3 \pmod{p}$, where $p > 3$ is a prime and B_{p-3} is a Bernoulli number.

In this paper we investigate certain sums involving binomial coefficients of the form $\binom{3k}{k}$. More generally, one may consider the power series $y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{rk}{k} x^k$. Because that series satisfies $(y-1)((r-1)y+1)^{r-1} - r^r xy^r = 0$, an equation of degree r in y (see Equation (5) below), the existence of a closed form for the series depends on being able to ‘solve’ that equation. When $r = 3$, Cardano’s formula yields a closed form for y , to which one may then apply the machinery of truncation and reduction modulo p and obtain corresponding congruences for the truncated sums. We carry out that in Section 6, in terms of an accessory indeterminate s in place of x , where $x = 4s^2/(27(s^2-1))$. That substitution has the simplifying effect of turning the discriminant of the cubic equation into

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 05A16; secondary 05A10.

Key words and phrases. Congruences, generating functions, binomial coefficients.

a perfect square. By evaluating the resulting congruence at rational values of the indeterminate, or even irrational but p -integral algebraic values, we discover interesting numerical congruences such as $\sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} 3^{-k} \equiv \varepsilon F_{2(2q+\varepsilon)/3} \pmod{p}$ and $\sum_{q/2 < k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} 3^{-k} \equiv \varepsilon F_{2(q-\varepsilon)/3} \pmod{p}$, in terms of Fibonacci numbers, where $p > 3$ and $\varepsilon = \left(\frac{q}{3}\right)$ denotes a Legendre symbol. We provide a wider sample of such numerical congruences in Section 7.

An alternate approach to solving the above-mentioned equation of degree r for the series y is the possibility of parametrizing one special solution of the equation, different from the one we are interested in, thus allowing the left-hand side of the equation to factorize, with our series y being a root of the remaining factor of degree $r - 1$. The details of this procedure are explained in Section 2, and are carried out in terms of the more general series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k$, where e is a nonnegative integer. Note that treating shifted versions $\binom{rk+e}{k}$ is more general than restricting to shifts of the form $\binom{rk}{k-d}$ as done in some papers, because the latter can be written as $\binom{rh+rd}{h}$ with $h = k - d$.

When $r = 3$ this allows the series, once written in terms of an accessory indeterminate c , where $x = c^2/(1 - c)^3$, to have a closed form involving only one square root extraction, which is Equation (7) below. A further accessory indeterminate β , related to c by $c = \beta(1 - \beta)$, allows one to avoid explicit square root extraction and express the closed form as a rational function of β . This device, which was already employed in [MT18], facilitates the subsequent truncation process. Our main result here is Theorem 3, in Section 3, which states congruences for certain finite sums $\sum \binom{3k+e}{k} x^k$ in terms of rational functions of β . The natural finite summation range $0 \leq k < q$ for those sums decomposes further into three natural subintervals according to Lucas' theorem. The proof of Theorem 3 is the longest in this paper and occupies Section 4.

In Section 5 we present some applications of Corollary 4, which is the special case $e = 0$ of Theorem 3, and as such has a simpler formulation. In particular, Theorem 5 characterizes the values of $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$, the field of q elements, such that $\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} a^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

2. THE POWER SERIES $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k$

In this section we collect some information on the generating function of the binomial coefficients $\binom{rk+e}{k}$ as a function of k . For r a positive integer, the power series

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{B}_r(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{rk+1} \binom{rk+1}{k} x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(r-1)k+1} \binom{rk}{k} x^k$$

was called the *generalized binomial series* in [GKP94, Equation (5.58)]. Note that $\mathcal{B}_1(x) = 1/(1-x)$. According to [Sta99, Example 6.2.6], $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$ satisfies

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{B}_r(x) = 1 + x\mathcal{B}_r(x)^r,$$

which can be proved using Lagrange inversion. More generally, for $e > 0$ Lagrange inversion produces

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{B}_r(x)^e = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e}{rk+e} \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k,$$

which is [GKP94, Equation (5.60)]. One may also obtain Equation (3) inductively from Equation (1) using the Rothe-Hagen convolution identity [GKP94, Equation (5.63)]. The series in Equation (3) is the ordinary generating function of the Fuss-Catalan numbers, a generalization of the Catalan numbers introduced by Nicolaus Fuss in the late eighteenth century. Differentiating Equations (2) and (3), and then eliminating the derivative of $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$, one finds

$$(4) \quad \frac{\mathcal{B}_r(x)^e}{1-r+r\mathcal{B}_r(x)^{-1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k,$$

which is [GKP94, Equation (5.61)]. Although this derivation is only valid for $e > 0$, Equation (4) holds for $e = 0$ as well, as one can see by differentiating the second expression for $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$ given in Equation (1) instead of Equation (3).

Equation (4) shows that each formal power series $y_{r,e}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ is algebraic, because so is $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$ according to Equation (1). This means that $y_{r,e}(x)$ belongs to a finite-degree extension field of the field $\mathbb{Q}((x))$ of formal Laurent series. In fact, $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$ is algebraic of degree r , with minimal polynomial $z^r - zx^{-1} + x^{-1}$ obtained from Equation (1). (That is indeed the minimal polynomial because it is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}((x))$.) Since $y_{r,e}(x)$ belongs to the extension field of $\mathbb{Q}((x))$ of $\mathbb{Q}((x))$ generated by $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$, is also algebraic, of degree not exceeding r . It is not hard to show that $y_{r,e}(x)$ has degree precisely r . Consequently, $y_{r,e}(x)$ satisfies an equation of degree r analogous to Equation (1). Such an equation is awkward when worked out in general, and we will have no need for that in this paper, except for the special case $e = 0$, which is easy to deduce from Equation (4) and Equation (2): the power series $y = y_{r,0}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{rk}{k} x^k$ satisfies

$$(5) \quad (y-1)((r-1)y+1)^{r-1} - r^r xy^r = 0.$$

This equation can also be found in [Sta99, Example 6.2.7].

In principle, a closed form for the series $y_{r,e}(x)$ in terms of radicals and rational expressions may be obtained for $r \leq 4$ by solving the corresponding equation of degree r using radicals. This is straightforward for $r = 2$ and leads to familiar closed forms. For $r = 3$ one may use Cardano's formula, but that is more easily done through an artifice which renders the discriminant (almost) a perfect square, and we devote Section 6 to that approach in the special case $e = 0$.

Here we discuss a different artifice, which allows one to pass from degree r to one less in the general case. In order to characterize $\mathcal{B}_r(x)$ among the roots of Equation (2), it is more convenient to work with its reciprocal. The power series $w = w(x) = 1/\mathcal{B}_r(x)$ is the only solution of the equation $w^r - w^{r-1} + x = 0$ such that $w(0) = 1$. If we set $x = -c^{r-1}/(c-1)^r$, then the resulting equation has

$w = c/(c-1)$ among its roots, and its left-hand side factorizes as

$$w^r - w^{r-1} - \frac{c^{r-1}}{(c-1)^r} = \left(w - \frac{c}{c-1}\right) \left(w^{r-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \frac{c^i}{(c-1)^{i+1}} w^{r-2-i}\right).$$

Consequently, the series $w = 1/\mathcal{B}_r(-c^{r-1}/(c-1)^r)$ is the only solution of the equation

$$(6) \quad w^{r-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{r-2} \frac{c^i}{(c-1)^{i+1}} w^{r-2-i} = 0$$

satisfying $w(0) = 1$. Our gain in passing from the indeterminate x to c lies in this equation having degree one less than the original equation $w^r - w^{r-1} + x = 0$.

In particular, when $r = 2$ Equation (6) reads $w + 1/(c-1)$, and hence $\mathcal{B}_2(-c/(c-1)^2) = 1 - c$. Equation (4) then gives us

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k+e}{k} \left(\frac{-c}{(c-1)^2}\right)^k = \frac{(1-c)^{e+1}}{1+c}.$$

Here c can easily be obtained from x , as $c = 1 - (1 - \sqrt{1-4x})/(2x)$, which leads to the better-known equation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k+e}{k} x^k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4x}} \left(\frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4x}}{2x}\right)^e,$$

see [Wil06, Equation (2.47)].

When $r = 3$ we find that $w = 1/\mathcal{B}_3(-c^2/(c-1)^3)$ is the only solution of the equation

$$w^2 + \frac{1}{c-1}w + \frac{c}{(c-1)^2} = 0$$

such that $w(0) = 1$. Hence one obtains

$$\mathcal{B}_3(c^2/(1-c)^3) = (1-c) \frac{1 - \sqrt{1-4c}}{2c}.$$

It is now convenient to set $\beta = (1 - \sqrt{1-4c})/2$. Noting that $\beta(1-\beta) = c$ we find

$$\mathcal{B}_3(c^2/(1-c)^3) = \frac{1 - \beta + \beta^2}{1 - \beta} = \frac{1 + \beta^3}{1 - \beta^2}.$$

Equation (4) then gives us

$$(7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k+e}{k} \left(\frac{c^2}{(1-c)^3}\right)^k = \frac{1}{(1+\beta)(1-2\beta)} \frac{(1 - \beta + \beta^2)^{e+1}}{(1 - \beta)^e}.$$

In the next sections we will derive from this equation a congruence modulo a prime p for certain finite sums, obtained by truncating the series at appropriate places. For comparison, with the same notation we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k+e}{k} c^k = \frac{1}{(1-2\beta)(1-\beta)^e},$$

which was used as a starting point for deducing congruences in the proof of [MT18, Theorem 5].

3. CONGRUENCES FOR FINITE SUMS $\sum_k \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k$ MODULO A PRIME

Our first goal in this paper is an evaluation, in closed form and as polynomial congruences modulo a prime, of finite sums $\sum_k \binom{3k+e}{k} x^k$ over certain ranges. We start with describing certain natural ranges for evaluations modulo a prime coming from Lucas' theorem, for the more general sums $\sum_k \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k$, which are refinements of the basic natural range $0 \leq k < q$, where q is a power of p .

Lemma 1. *Let r be a positive integer, let q be a power of a prime p , and let $0 \leq e < q$. Then the binomial coefficient $\binom{rk+e}{k}$ for $0 \leq k < q$ is a multiple of p unless $k \in A(r, m, e)$ for some $0 < m \leq r$, where*

$$A(r, m, e) = \left\{ k \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{(m-1)q - e}{r-1} \leq k < \frac{mq - e}{r} \right\}.$$

Proof. Because $\binom{rk+e}{k} \equiv \binom{rk+e-mq}{k} \pmod{p}$ for any integer m according to Lucas' Theorem, $\binom{rk+e}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ holds if and only if $0 \leq rk + e - mq < k$, which means $(mq - e)/r \leq k < (mq - e)/(r - 1)$. These are the complementary intervals to the intervals $A(r, m, e)$ within the range $0 \leq k < q$. \square

Thus, when considering finite sums $\sum_k \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k$ modulo a prime p , and q is any power of p , the range $0 \leq k < q$ splits naturally into r separate ranges, possibly including empty ones such as $A(r, r, 0)$. Consequently, it is natural to look for evaluations modulo p of the partial sums

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < (mq-e)/r} \binom{rk+e}{k} x^k,$$

for $0 < m \leq r$, or on the subintervals $A(r, m, e)$ in which this range decomposes naturally according to Lemma 1.

When $r = 2$ the ranges of Lemma 1 read $0 \leq k < (q - e)/2$ and $q - e \leq k < q - e/2$. Finite sums $\sum_k \binom{2k+e}{k} x^k$ over each of those two intervals were evaluated, in closed form modulo p , in [MT18, Theorem 45]. Because we will rely on that result to deal with the case $r = 3$, and because the latter will require a slightly different approach, we provide a new proof of [MT18, Theorem 45] by way of introduction to our new approach. The main novelty is that we can prove the desired congruence over the first interval $0 \leq k < (q - e)/2$ without having to consider both intervals together, as we did in the original proof. Here we prefer to use the letter c for the indeterminate in place of x , because the former bears the same relationship to the indeterminate β as that in place when we will deal with sums $\sum_k \binom{3k+e}{k} x^k$ later.

Theorem 2 (Part of Theorem 45 of [MT18]). *Let q be a power of an odd prime p , let $1 \leq m \leq 2$, and let $0 \leq e \leq q$. In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, setting $c = \beta(1 - \beta)$*

and $\alpha = 1 - \beta$, we have

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < (mq-e)/2} \binom{2k+e}{k} c^k \equiv \frac{\alpha^{mq-e} - \beta^{mq-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \pmod{p}.$$

Although the right-hand side of the congruence does not look like a polynomial in β , it reduces to one after simplification.

Proof. We will first prove the case $m = 1$, and then deduce the case $n = 2$ from that. We start from the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{2k+e}{k} c^k = \frac{1}{(1-2\beta)(1-\beta)^e},$$

which takes place in the power series ring $\mathbb{Q}[[\beta]]$, where $c = \beta(1-\beta)$. However, because all coefficients are integers it actually takes place in $\mathbb{Z}[[\beta]]$. After multiplying both sides by $(1-\beta)^e$ and then by $(1-2\beta)^q \equiv 1 \pmod{(\beta^q, p)}$ we obtain

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < (q-e)/2} \binom{2k+e}{k} c^k \equiv \frac{1}{(1-2\beta)(1-\beta)^e} \pmod{(\beta^{q-e}, p)}$$

in $\mathbb{Z}[[\beta]]$. In fact, $\binom{2k+e}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for $(q-e)/2 \leq k < q-e$ according to Lemma 1, and $\sum_{k \geq q-e} \binom{2k+e}{k} c^k \equiv 0 \pmod{(\beta^{q-e}, p)}$. We also have

$$\frac{(1-\beta)^{q-e} - \beta^{q-e}}{1-2\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{(1-2\beta)(1-\beta)^e} \pmod{(\beta^{q-e}, p)}.$$

The left-hand sides of the previous two congruences are polynomials of degree less than $q-e$, and hence so is their difference. However, when the difference is viewed as a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[\beta]$, we have just shown that it is a multiple of β^{q-e} . Consequently the difference must be zero in $\mathbb{F}_p[\beta]$, and the desired conclusion follows.

Now we may deduce the case $m = 2$ from the case $m = 1$. Using Lucas' theorem and the basic binomial coefficient identity $\binom{n}{k} = \binom{n}{n-k}$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{q-e \leq k < q-e/2} \binom{2k+e}{k} c^k &= c^{q-e} \sum_{0 \leq k < e/2} \binom{2k+2q-e}{k+q-e} c^k \\ &\equiv c^{q-e} \sum_{0 \leq k < e/2} \binom{2k+q-e}{k+q-e} c^k \pmod{p} \\ &= c^{q-e} \sum_{0 \leq k < e/2} \binom{2k+q-e}{k} c^k. \end{aligned}$$

Now the case $m = 1$ with $q-e$ in place of e yields

$$\sum_{q-e \leq k < q-e/2} \binom{2k+e}{k} c^k \equiv \frac{\alpha^q \beta^{q-e} - \alpha^{q-e} \beta^q}{\alpha - \beta} \pmod{p},$$

and adding this to the sum over the range $0 \leq k < (q-e)/2$ we easily reach the desired conclusion. \square

After having reviewed the case $r = 2$, we move on to the case $r = 3$, which is the one of main interest in this paper. According to Lemma 1, we are interested in evaluating sums $\sum_k \binom{3k+e}{k} x^k$ modulo p , for $0 \leq e < q$, over each of the three finite ranges

$$0 \leq k < (q-e)/3, \quad (q-e)/2 \leq k < (2q-e)/3, \quad q-e/2 \leq k < q-e/3.$$

Theorem 3. *Let q be a power of an odd prime p , let $1 \leq m \leq 3$, and let $0 \leq e < q$. In the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\beta]$, setting $c = \beta(1-\beta)$, $\alpha = 1-\beta$, and $x = c^2/(1-c)^3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(2+c)(1-c)^{mq-1-e} \sum_{0 \leq k < (mq-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} x^k \\ & \equiv (\alpha^{mq-e} + \beta^{mq-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{mq-e} - \beta^{mq-e}}{\alpha - \beta} - 2(-c)^{mq-e} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

We explicitly state the special case $e = 0$ as a corollary, because the formulas then simplify and take place in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[c]$, without the explicit involvement of the indeterminate β .

Corollary 4. *For any power q of an odd prime p , in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[c]$, where $x = c^2/(1-c)^3$, we have*

$$2(2+c)(1-c)^{q-1} \sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \equiv 1 + 3(1-4c)^{(q-1)/2} + 2c^q \pmod{p},$$

and

$$2(2+c)(1-c)^{2q-1} \sum_{0 \leq k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \equiv 1 + 3(1-4c)^{(q-1)/2} - 2c^q - 2c^{2q} \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. When $e = 0$, for $m = 1$ the right-hand side of the congruence of Theorem 3 reads

$$(\alpha^q + \beta^q) + 3 \frac{\alpha^q - \beta^q}{\alpha - \beta} - 2(-c)^q \equiv 1 + 3(\alpha - \beta)^{q-1} + 2c^q \pmod{p},$$

and the conclusion follows because $(\alpha - \beta)^2 = (1 - 2\beta)^2 = 1 - 4\beta + 4\beta^2 = 1 - 4c$. For $m = 2$ the desired conclusion follows similarly because $\alpha^{2q} + \beta^{2q} = (\alpha^q + \beta^q)^2 - 2\alpha^q\beta^q \equiv 1 - 2c^q \pmod{p}$ and $\alpha^{2q} - \beta^{2q} = (\alpha^q + \beta^q)(\alpha^q - \beta^q) \equiv (\alpha - \beta)^q \pmod{p}$. Of course when $e = 0$ we do not get anything new for $m = 3$. \square

According to Corollary 4 the sums over the two ranges are related by the congruence

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k - (1-c^q) \sum_{0 \leq k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \equiv c^q \frac{(2+c)^{q-1}}{(1-c)^{q-1}} \pmod{p}.$$

Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 remain trivially valid also when $p = 2$, but provide no information on the corresponding sums. According to Lucas' theorem, the binomial coefficient $\binom{3k}{k}$ is odd precisely when the binary expansion of k contains no adjacent digits equal to 1. A well-known combinatorial characterization of

the Fibonacci numbers then implies $\sum_{0 \leq k < 2^r} \binom{3k}{k} \equiv F_{r+2} \pmod{2}$. We will not pursue the case $p = 2$ further in this paper.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

We will deduce the desired congruences from the closed form for the corresponding series, which we gave in Equation (7). Because $1 - \beta + \beta^2 = 1 - c$ and $(2 - \beta)(1 + \beta) = 2 + c$ we may rewrite that identity in the form

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k+e}{k} \left(\frac{c^2}{(1-c)^3} \right)^k = \frac{1-c}{2(2+c)} \left(1 + \frac{3}{1-2\beta} \right) \frac{(1-c)^e}{(1-\beta)^e}.$$

We start with the case $m = 1$. In order to clear denominators of the left-hand side of the above identity in the first range $0 \leq k < q/3$ that we are interested in, we multiply both sides by $(1-c)^{q-1-e}$. After further multiplying both sides by $2(2+c)$ we find

$$(8) \quad 2(2+c) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{q-1-e-3k} = \frac{(1-c)^q}{(1-\beta)^e} \left(1 + \frac{3}{1-2\beta} \right),$$

to be viewed as an identity in the power series ring $\mathbb{Q}[[\beta]]$, and actually $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}[[\beta]]$ (so we can view it modulo p). Now we produce congruences, in turn, for each side of Equation (8).

Because the binomial coefficient $\binom{3k+e}{k}$ is a multiple of p for $(q-e)/3 \leq k < (q-e)/2$, the left-hand side of Equation (8) satisfies

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2(2+c) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{q-1-e-3k} \\ & \equiv 2(2+c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{q-1-e-3k} \pmod{(c^{q-e}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of this congruence is a polynomial in c , of degree $q-e$ and leading term $-2(-c)^{q-e}$.

Before we consider the right-hand side of Equation (8), note that for $m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ we have

$$1 - mc^q = 1 - m\beta^q + m\beta^{2q} \equiv (1 - \beta^q)^m \equiv \alpha^{mq} \pmod{(\beta^{mq}, p)},$$

where we have set $\alpha = 1 - \beta$. Consequently,

$$(10) \quad \frac{1 - mc^q}{(1-\beta)^e} \equiv \alpha^{mq-e} \pm \beta^{mq-e} \pmod{(\beta^{mq-e}, p)}.$$

In particular, the right-hand side of Equation (8) satisfies

$$(11) \quad \frac{(1-c)^q}{(1-\beta)^e} \left(1 + \frac{3}{1-2\beta} \right) \equiv (\alpha^{q-e} + \beta^{q-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{q-e} - \beta^{q-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \pmod{(\beta^{q-e}, p)}.$$

Combining Equations (9) and (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2(2+c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{q-1-e-3k} \\ & \equiv (\alpha^{q-e} + \beta^{q-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{q-e} - \beta^{q-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \pmod{(\beta^{q-e}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of this congruence is invariant under interchanging β with $\alpha = 1 - \beta$, and hence can be written as a polynomial in their elementary symmetric polynomials $\alpha + \beta = 1$ and $\alpha\beta = c$. Hence the right-hand side of Equation (12) is actually a polynomial in $c = \beta(1 - \beta)$. Because β and $1 - \beta$ are coprime, it follows that the congruence actually holds modulo (c^{q-e}, p) . Also, because the right-hand side of Equation (12) has degree at most $q - e$ as a polynomial in β , it has degree at most $(q - e)/2$ as a polynomial in c , and hence less than $q - e$. The desired congruence modulo p follows because the left-hand side of Equation (12) has leading term $-2(-c)^{q-e}$, as noted earlier.

Now we deal with the case $m = 2$, where the finite sum is over the range $0 \leq k < (2q - e)/3$. We proceed in a similar fashion, but in order to clear denominators over the longer range we first need to multiply both sides of Equation (8) by a further factor $(1 - c)^q$. Because $\binom{3k+e}{k}$ is a multiple of p for $(2q - e)/3 \leq k < (2q - e)/2$, the left-hand side of Equation (8) multiplied by $(1 - c)^q$ satisfies

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2(2+c) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{2q-1-e-3k} \\ & \equiv 2(2+c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (2q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{2q-1-e-3k} \pmod{(c^{2q-e}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

As a polynomial in c the right-hand side of this congruence has degree $2q - e$ and leading term $2(-c)^{2q-e}$.

The right-hand side of Equation (8) also needs to be multiplied by $(1 - c)^q$, and then the result contains the factor $(1 - c)^{2q} \equiv 1 - 2c^q \pmod{c^{2q}}$. Using Equation (10) for $m = 2$ we find that the right-hand side of Equation (8) multiplied by $(1 - c)^q$ satisfies

$$\frac{(1-c)^{2q}}{(1-\beta)^e} \left(1 + \frac{3}{1-2\beta} \right) \equiv (\alpha^{2q-e} + \beta^{2q-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{2q-e} - \beta^{2q-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \pmod{(\beta^{2q-e}, p)}.$$

Combining this congruence with Equation (13) we find a version of the desired conclusion as a congruence modulo (β^{2q-e}, p) . Arguing as we did for the case $m = 1$, we observe how symmetry makes the congruence hold modulo (c^{2q-e}, p) . Finally, keeping track of the leading term we obtain the desired conclusion for $m = 2$.

To deal with the final case $m = 3$, where the finite sum is over the range $0 \leq k < (3q - e)/3$, we cannot proceed exactly in the same way as we have just done for $m = 1, 2$. In fact, a congruence analogous to Equation (13), with both sides multiplied by a further factor $(1 - c)^q$, and the summation at the right-hand side extended to $0 \leq k < (3q - e)/3$, does not hold modulo (c^{3q-e}, p) as we would

need to carry out a similar argument, but only modulo (c^{2q}, p) . That is because $\binom{3k+e}{k}$ is not a multiple of p for $(3q-e)/3 \leq k < (3q-e)/2$, but only on the shorter range $(3q-e)/3 \leq k < q$.

To overcome this obstacle we evaluate a longer partial sum, over the range $0 \leq k < (4q-e)/3 = q + (q-e)/3$, of the left-hand side of Equation (8) multiplied by $(1-c)^{3q}$. According to Lucas' theorem, for $q \leq k < (4q-e)/3$ we have

$$\binom{3k+e}{k} \equiv \binom{3q}{q} \binom{3(k-q)+e}{k-q} \equiv 3 \binom{3(k-q)+e}{k-q} \pmod{p},$$

and for $(4q-e)/3 \leq k < (3q-e)/2$ we have

$$\binom{3k+e}{k} \equiv \binom{4q}{q} \binom{3k-4q+e}{k-q} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Consequently, splitting the summation range $0 \leq k < (4q-e)/3$ into two portions $0 \leq k < (3q-e)/3$ and $q \leq k < (4q-e)/3 = q + (q-e)/3$ (with the range $(3q-e)/3 \leq k < q$ between them giving no contribution according to Lemma 1), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(2+c) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{4q-1-e-3k} \\ & \equiv 2(2+c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (4q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{4q-1-e-3k} \pmod{(c^{3q-e}, p)} \\ (14) \quad & \equiv (1-c)^q 2(2+c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (3q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{3q-1-e-3k} \\ & \quad + 3c^{2q} 2(2+c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{q-1-e-3k} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand side of Equation (8) also needs to be multiplied by $(1-c)^{3q}$, and then the result contains the factor $(1-c)^{4q} \equiv (1-c^q)(1-3c^q) + 3(1-c^q)c^{2q} \pmod{c^{3q}}$. Using Equation (10) for $m=3$, and Equation (11), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1-c)^{4q}}{(1-\beta)^e} \left(1 + \frac{3}{1-2\beta} \right) \\ & \equiv (1-c)^q \left((\alpha^{3q-e} + \beta^{3q-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{3q-e} - \beta^{3q-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \right) \\ & \quad + 3c^{2q} \left((\alpha^{q-e} + \beta^{q-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{q-e} - \beta^{q-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \right) \pmod{(\beta^{3q-e}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using our conclusion in the case $m=1$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (1-c)^q 2(2+c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (3q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1-c)^{3q-1-e-3k} \\ & \equiv (1-c)^q \left((\alpha^{3q-e} + \beta^{3q-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{3q-e} - \beta^{3q-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \right) \pmod{(\beta^{3q-e}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Because the factor $(1 - c)^q$ is coprime with the modulus β^{3q-e} , we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(2 + c) \sum_{0 \leq k < (3q-e)/3} \binom{3k+e}{k} c^{2k} (1 - c)^{3q-1-e-3k} \\ & \equiv (\alpha^{3q-e} + \beta^{3q-e}) + 3 \frac{\alpha^{3q-e} - \beta^{3q-e}}{\alpha - \beta} \pmod{(\beta^{3q-e}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as we did in previous cases, the right-hand side is actually a polynomial in c , and hence the congruence holds modulo (c^{3q-e}, p) . As a polynomial in c the right-hand side has degree less than $3q - e$, and after accounting for the leading term of the left-hand side, which is $2(-c)^{3q-e}$, we obtain the desired conclusion for $m = 3$.

The proof of Theorem 3 is now complete.

5. EXPLOITING POLYNOMIAL CONGRUENCES

Working modulo $c^q - c$, and conveniently separating the initial term of the summation in the congruences of Corollary 4, we deduce the weaker but simpler congruences

$$(15) \quad 2(2 + c) \sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \equiv -3 + 3(1 - 4c)^{(q-1)/2} \pmod{(c^q - c, p)},$$

and

$$(16) \quad 2(2 + c)(1 - c) \sum_{0 < k < q} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \equiv -3 + 3(1 - 4c)^{(q-1)/2} \pmod{(c^q - c, p)},$$

which take place in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[c]$, with $x = c^2/(1 - c)^3$. In particular, when evaluating those sums on a p -adic integer c these congruences may be used in place of the more general Corollary 4, as $c^p \equiv c \pmod{p}$ then. In fact, the first of a set of four congruences proved in [Sun, Theorem 1.1] amounts to Equation (16) evaluated on a p -adic integer c , with $c \not\equiv 0, 1, -2 \pmod{p}$. Although Equations (15) and (16) give no information when $c = -2$, the corresponding value for x is also obtained for $c = 1/4$, where they give $\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} (4/27)^k \equiv -2/3 \pmod{p}$, and $\sum_{0 < k < q} \binom{3k}{k} (4/27)^k \equiv -8/9 \pmod{p}$. The latter congruence appeared in [Sun, Theorem 3.1].

The fact that Equations (15) and (16) have the same right-hand side shows that the sums over the ranges $0 < k < q/3$ and $0 < k < q$ are related in a simple way when $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. In particular, for $c \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{1\}$ either sum vanishes if and only if the other one does. Our next result determines when the sum over the short range vanishes (modulo p).

Theorem 5. *Let $p > 3$ be a prime and let q be a power of p , and let $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ with $a \neq 0, 1/9, 4/27$. Then the equality $\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} a^k = 0$ holds if, and only if, the polynomial $a(1 - z)^3 - z^2$ has three roots in \mathbb{F}_q .*

The special case $q = p$ of Theorem 5 is in [Sun16, Theorem 2.1], under the additional assumption $a \neq 1/27$, which appears superfluous with our proof. Theorem 5 does not extend to the excluded case $a = 1/9$. In fact, according to Equation (19), which we will obtain by different means introduced in Section 6, when $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{9}$ we have $\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} 9^{-k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. However, according to Equation (20), when $q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{9}$ we also have $\sum_{0 < k < q} \binom{3k}{k} 9^{-k} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. Consequently, the polynomial $(1 - z)^3 - 9z^2$ has no roots in \mathbb{F}_q , because if any such root c existed then according to Equations (15) and (16) the sums on the shorter range would equal $1 - c$ times the sum over the longer range.

Proof. Suppose first that all roots of cubic polynomial $a(1 - z)^3 - z^2$ belong to \mathbb{F}_q . They are distinct because its discriminant $a(4 - 27a)$ is not zero. Moreover, neither 1 nor -2 is a root. According to Equation (15), for each root $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$ of $a(1 - z)^3 - z^2$ we have

$$\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} a^k = \frac{-3 + 3(1 - 4c)^{(q-1)/2}}{2(2 + c)} \in \left\{ 0, \frac{-3}{2 + c} \right\},$$

because $(1 - 4c)^{(q-1)/2} = \pm 1$. Because the latter alternative can hold for at most one value of c , we conclude that the former alternative holds, which is the desired conclusion.

In the opposite direction, suppose $\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} a^k = 0$, and let c satisfy $a(1 - c)^3 - c^2 = 0$, with c in the algebraic closure of \mathbb{F}_q . Our goal is to show that $c^q = c$, which is equivalent to $c \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The first congruence of Corollary 4 with $x = a$ yields

$$2(2 + c)(1 - c)^{q-1} = 1 + 3(1 - 4c)^{(q-1)/2} + 2c^q,$$

or, equivalently,

$$(4 + 2c)(1 - c^q) - (1 + 2c^q)(1 - c) = 3(1 - 4c)^{(q-1)/2}(1 - c),$$

which simplifies to

$$1 + c - 2c^q = (1 - 4c)^{(q-1)/2}(1 - c).$$

Squaring both sides and then multiplying by $1 - 4c$ yields

$$((1 - c) - 2(c^q - c))^2(1 - 4c) = (1 - 4c^q)(1 - c)^2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$4(c^q - c)(1 - c)^2 - 4(c^q - c)(1 - c)(1 - 4c) + 4(c^q - c)^2(1 - 4c) = 0.$$

Unless $c^q = c$, which is the desired conclusion, we deduce

$$(1 - c)^2 - (1 - c)(1 - 4c) + (c^q - c)(1 - 4c) = 0,$$

whence $1 - c^q = (1 - c)^2/(1 - 4c)$, and $c^q = -c(2 + c)/(1 - 4c)$. Because $c \neq 0, 1$ we also find $c^{q-1} = -(2 + c)/(1 - 4c)$ and $(1 - c)^{q-1} = (1 - c)/(1 - 4c)$.

At this point we use the information that $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^*$, which means $a^{q-1} = 1$, and reads $c^{2(q-1)} = (1 - c)^{3(q-1)}$ in terms of c . Substituting the expressions that we just found for c^{q-1} and $(1 - c)^{q-1}$ we find $(2 + c)^2(1 - 4c) = (1 - c)^3$. Noting that

$(2+c)^2(1-4c) = 4(1-c)^3 - 27c^2$ we find $(1-c)^3 = 9c^2$, in contrast with our hypothesis $a \neq 1/9$. This contradiction concludes the proof. \square

In the rest of this section we discuss some consequences of Theorem 5. If $a \in \mathbb{F}_q$ then $a(1-z)^3 - z^2$, like any cubic polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$, has all its roots in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} or \mathbb{F}_{q^3} , and hence splits into linear factors over the extension field \mathbb{F}_{q^6} . Therefore, as an example, when $a = 1$ we find

$$(17) \quad \sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for $p > 3$ and $p \neq 23$, and q a power of p^6 . This is the crucial case of [Sun, Theorem 1.4], which was proved there in a more complicated way. Of course the hypothesis that q is a power of p^6 can be relaxed to the polynomial $(1-z)^3 - z^2$ splitting into linear factors over \mathbb{F}_q .

Similarly, for $p > 3$ and $p \neq 31$, and q any power of p^6 we have

$$(18) \quad \sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} (-1)^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

Combining Equations (17) and (18) we find

$$\sum_{0 < h < q/6} \binom{6h}{2h} \equiv \sum_{0 < h < q/6} \binom{6h-3}{2h-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

for $p > 3$ and $p \notin \{23, 31\}$, and q any power of p^6 .

If $a = 4/(27 + m^2)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Q}$, then

$$\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} a^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

whenever q is a power of p^3 and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. This is because the discriminant $a(1-4m)$ of the polynomial $a(1-z)^3 - z^2$ is then a perfect square (equal to $(am)^2$), and hence all roots of the polynomial viewed modulo p belong to \mathbb{F}_{p^3} . The special case where $q = p$ is part of [Sun16, Theorem 2.5].

Theorem 5 can also be applied to algebraic integer values for a , such as $a = i$. With $p > 3$, imposing $i^2 \not\equiv (4/27)^2 \pmod{p}$ amounts to $5 \cdot 149 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Consequently, if $p > 3$ and $p \notin \{5, 149\}$, the congruence

$$\sum_{0 < k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} i^k \equiv 0 \pmod{p},$$

holds for any power q of p^6 if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and for q a power of p^{12} if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Together with Equations (17) and (18), under the same assumptions but including $p \notin \{23, 31\}$ we conclude

$$\sum_{0 < h < q/12} \binom{12h}{4h} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

In a similar fashion, one may take $a = \pm\omega$, where $\omega = (-1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$. For example, taking $a = \omega$, and combining with Equation (17), if $p > 3$ and $p \notin \{23, 853\}$ one concludes that

$$\sum_{0 < h < q/9} \binom{9h}{3h} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

holds for q a power of p^6 if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, and for q a power of p^{12} if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$.

6. A DIFFERENT APPROACH TO THE CUBIC EQUATION

Now we take a different approach to the series $y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} x^k$. According to Equation (5) it satisfies $(4 - 27x)y^3 - 3y - 1 = 0$. In principle one may obtain a closed form for this generating function by solving this equation through Cardano's formula. However, such a closed form would involve taking both a square root and a cube root, and this is not well suited to further manipulations we intend to do in order to deduce a congruence modulo a prime for a truncated version of the series.

The discriminant of $(4 - 27x)y^3 - 3y - 1$, viewed as a polynomial in y , equals $3^6 \cdot x(4 - 27x)$. We would like to substitute a rational function for x in such a way that the discriminant becomes the square of a rational function. The most elegant substitution appears to be $x = 4s^2/(27(s^2 - 1))$, which amounts to $s^2 = -27x/(4 - 27x)$, for which the discriminant becomes $-3 \cdot (12s)^2/(s^2 - 1)^2$. Note that the discriminant is only a square up to the factor -3 , but some occurrence of a square root of -3 is bound to turn up somewhere with any other choice of a substitution, as solving the cubic equation by radicals requires the presence of a primitive cube root of unity $(-1 \pm \sqrt{-3})/2$ in the ground field. Adopting that substitution the series y acquires the following simple closed form.

Lemma 6. *In the power series ring $\mathbb{Q}[[s]]$ we have*

$$2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} \left(\frac{4s^2}{27(s^2 - 1)} \right)^k = (1 + s)^{2/3} (1 - s)^{1/3} + (1 - s)^{2/3} (1 + s)^{1/3}.$$

Proof. According to the case $r = 3$ of Equation (5), which reads $(4 - 27x)y^3 - 3y - 1 = 0$, after applying the substitution $x = 4s^2/(27(s^2 - 1))$ the formal series

$$y_1(s) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} \left(\frac{4s^2}{27(s^2 - 1)} \right)^k \in \mathbb{Q}[[s]]$$

is a root of the polynomial

$$\frac{4}{1 - s^2} \cdot y^3 - 3y - 1 \in (\mathbb{Q}[[s]])[y].$$

Because $4y^3 - 3y - 1 = (y - 1)(2y + 1)^2$, the series $y_1(s)$ is the only root of this polynomial having constant term 1. The series

$$y_2(s) := \frac{1}{2}(1 - s^2)^{1/3} \cdot ((1 + s)^{1/3} + (1 - s)^{1/3}) \in \mathbb{Q}[[s]]$$

has constant term 1 and is also root of the same polynomial, whence $y_1(s) = y_2(s)$ as claimed. \square

Now we derive corresponding congruences for the finite sums.

Theorem 7. *Set $x = 4s^2/(27(s^2 - 1))$ in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[s]$. Let q be a power of the prime $p > 3$, and set $\varepsilon = \left(\frac{q}{3}\right)$, a Legendre symbol. Thus, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ according to whether $q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$. Then*

$$2(1 - s^2)^{(2q-3+\varepsilon)/6} \sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \equiv (1 + s)^{(2q+\varepsilon)/3} + (1 - s)^{(2q+\varepsilon)/3} \pmod{p},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(1 - s^2)^{(4q-3-\varepsilon)/6} \sum_{0 \leq k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ & \equiv (1 + s)^{(q-\varepsilon)/3} (1 - s^q/3) + (1 - s)^{(q-\varepsilon)/3} (1 + s^q/3) \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

From the two congruences of Theorem 7 one obtains the polynomial congruence

$$\begin{aligned} & 3(1 - s^2)^{(4q-3-\varepsilon)/6} s^{-q} \sum_{q/2 \leq k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ & \equiv (1 + s)^{(q-\varepsilon)/3} - (1 - s)^{(q-\varepsilon)/3} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Starting from the identity of power series in Lemma 6 we produce polynomial congruences in the usual way. We start with the shorter range, noting that $\sigma = (2q - 3 + \varepsilon)/6$ is the largest integer which is less than $q/3$.

On the one hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(1 - s^2)^\sigma \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ & \equiv 2(1 - s^2)^\sigma \sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \left(\frac{4s^2}{27(s^2 - 1)} \right)^k \pmod{(s^q, p)} \\ & = 2 \sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} (-4s^2/27)^k (1 - s^2)^{\sigma-k}. \end{aligned}$$

This final expression is a polynomial in s , of degree at most 2σ , which is less than q . On the other hand, because $(1 \pm s)^{q/3} \equiv 1 \pmod{(s^q, p)}$, for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(1 - s^2)^{(q-1)/3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ & = (1 + s)^{(q+1)/3} (1 - s)^{q/3} + (1 - s)^{(q+1)/3} (1 + s)^{q/3} \\ & \equiv (1 + s)^{(2q+1)/3} + (1 - s)^{(2q+1)/3} \pmod{(s^q, p)} \end{aligned}$$

and for $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(1-s^2)^{(q-2)/3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ &= (1+s)^{q/3}(1-s)^{(q-1)/3} + (1-s)^{q/3}(1+s)^{(q-1)/3} \\ &\equiv (1-s)^{(2q-1)/3} + (1+s)^{(2q-1)/3} \pmod{(s^q, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we prove the congruence over the longer range $0 \leq k < 2q/3$. Note that $q-1-\sigma = (4q-3-\varepsilon)/6$ is the largest integer which is less than $2q/3$.

On the one hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(1-s^2)^{q-1-\sigma} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ &\equiv 2(1-s^2)^{q-1-\sigma} \sum_{0 \leq k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \left(\frac{4s^2}{27(s^2-1)} \right)^k \pmod{(s^{2q}, p)} \\ &= 2 \sum_{0 \leq k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} (-4s^2/27)^k (1-s^2)^{q-1-\sigma-k}. \end{aligned}$$

This last expression is a polynomial in s , of degree not exceeding $2q-2-2\sigma$, which is less than $2q$. On the other hand, because $(1 \pm s)^{q/3} \equiv 1 \pm s^q/3 \pmod{(s^{2q}, p)}$, for $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(1-s^2)^{(2q-2)/3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ &= (1-s^2)^{q/3}(1+s)^{q/3}(1-s)^{(q-1)/3} + (1-s^2)^{q/3}(1-s)^{q/3}(1+s)^{(q-1)/3} \\ &\equiv (1-s)^{(q-1)/3}(1+s^q/3) + (1+s)^{(q-1)/3}(1-s^q/3) \pmod{(s^{2q}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

and for $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(1-s^2)^{(2q-1)/3} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} x^k \\ &= (1-s^2)^{q/3}(1+s)^{(q+1)/3}(1-s)^{q/3} + (1-s^2)^{q/3}(1-s)^{(q+1)/3}(1+s)^{q/3} \\ &\equiv (1+s)^{(q+1)/3}(1-s^q/3) + (1-s)^{(q+1)/3}(1+s^q/3) \pmod{(s^{2q}, p)}. \end{aligned}$$

This concludes our proof. \square

7. SOME NUMERICAL APPLICATIONS OF THEOREM 7

In this final section we give several numerical applications of Theorem 7 by assigning some interesting values to s . Recall that $x = 4s^2/(27(s^2-1))$. To simplify notation, all unadorned congruences in this section are meant modulo p , with $p > 3$.

For $s = 3$ the two congruences of Theorem 7 give

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \frac{1}{6^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 2^{(2q-1)/3} - 2^{(q+1)/3} & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2^{(q+2)/3} - 2^{(2q-2)/3} & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{3k}{k} \frac{1}{6^k} \equiv \begin{cases} -2^{(q-2)/3} & \text{if } q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ 2^{(q-1)/3} & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \end{cases}$$

the second of which is one of the assertions of [Sun, Theorem 1.2].

For $s = i\sqrt{3} = 1 + 2\omega = -1 - 2\omega^{-1}$, where $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/3)$, we have $s^2 = -3$ and $(1 \pm s)^3 = -8 = (-2)^3$. Write $q \equiv b \pmod{9}$, with $b \in \{\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 4\}$ (as we are assuming $p > 3$). When $q \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, that is, $b \in \{-1, 2, -4\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \frac{1}{9^k} &\equiv 2^{-(2q-1)/3} \cdot (-2\omega^{-1})^{(2q-1)/3} + (-2\omega)^{(2q-1)/3} \\ &\equiv -\omega^{-(2b-1)/3} - \omega^{(2b-1)/3} \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

which is congruent to 1, 1 or -2 according as $b = -1$, $b = 2$ or $b = -4$. Together with a similar calculation for the case $q \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, we obtain

$$(19) \quad \sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \frac{1}{9^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}, \\ 1 & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{9}, \\ -2 & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{9}. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we find

$$(20) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \binom{3k}{k} \frac{1}{9^k} \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{9}, \\ 0 & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 2 \pmod{9}, \\ -1 & \text{if } q \equiv \pm 4 \pmod{9}, \end{cases}$$

as in [Sun, Theorem 1.5]. Note that according to Lemma 6 we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} 9^{-k} = \exp(i\pi/9) + \exp(-i\pi/9) = 2 \cos(\pi/9)$.

For $s = 1/\sqrt{5}$ we have $(1 \pm s) = \pm 2\phi_{\pm}/\sqrt{5}$ with $\phi_{\pm} = (1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$. Letting $\varepsilon = (\frac{q}{5})$ as in Theorem 7, we find

$$\sum_{0 \leq k < q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{27}\right)^k \equiv \frac{(\phi_+)^{(2q+\varepsilon)/3} - (\phi_-)^{(2q+\varepsilon)/3}}{\sqrt{5}} = F_{(2q+\varepsilon)/3}.$$

Note that $F_{(2q+\varepsilon)/3} \equiv (\frac{q}{5}) F_{(q-\varepsilon)/3} \pmod{p}$ because $2\phi_{\pm}^p = 1 \pm (\frac{p}{5})\sqrt{5}$, see [MT13, p.144], for example. Taking this into account we recover the congruence in [Sun14, Corollary 3.1]. In a similar way we obtain

$$\sum_{q/2 < k < 2q/3} \binom{3k}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{27}\right)^k \equiv \frac{(\phi_+)^{(q-\varepsilon)/3} - (\phi_-)^{(q-\varepsilon)/3}}{3\sqrt{5}} = \frac{F_{(q-\varepsilon)/3}}{3}.$$

In this case the corresponding power series converges, and according to Lemma 6

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{3k}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{27}\right)^k = \frac{(\phi_+)^{1/3} + (\phi_-)^{1/3}}{\sqrt{5}} = \frac{2 \cosh(\ln(\phi_+)/3)}{\sqrt{5}}.$$

By setting $s = 2/\sqrt{5}, 3/\sqrt{5}, i/\sqrt{3}, i$ in Theorem 7 one obtains similar congruences for $x = -16/27, 1/3, 1/27, 2/27$, respectively.

REFERENCES

- [GKP94] Ronald E. Graham, Donald E. Knuth, and Oren Patashnik, *Concrete mathematics*, second ed., Addison-Wesley, New York, 1994.
- [MT13] Sandro Mattarei and Roberto Tauraso, *Congruences for central binomial sums and finite polylogarithms*, J. Number Theory **133** (2013), no. 1, 131–157. MR 2981405
- [MT18] ———, *From generating series to polynomial congruences*, J. Number Theory **182** (2018), 179–205. MR 3703936
- [Sta99] Richard P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 2*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 62, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999, With a foreword by Gian-Carlo Rota and appendix 1 by Sergey Fomin. MR 1676282 (2000k:05026)
- [Sun] Zhi-Wei Sun, *Various congruences involving binomial coefficients and higher-order Catalan numbers*, preprint, arXiv:0909.3808v2.
- [Sun14] Zhi-Hong Sun, *Congruences concerning Lucas sequences*, Int. J. Number Theory **10** (2014), no. 3, 793–815.
- [Sun16] ———, *Cubic congruences and sums involving $\binom{3k}{k}$* , Int. J. Number Theory **12** (2016), no. 1, 143–164.
- [Wil06] Herbert S. Wilf, *Generatingfunctionology*, third ed., A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2006. MR 2172781

Email address: smattarei@lincoln.ac.uk

CHARLOTTE SCOTT RESEARCH CENTRE FOR ALGEBRA, UNIVERSITY OF LINCOLN, BRAYFORD POOL LINCOLN, LN6 7TS, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: tauraso@mat.uniroma2.it

URL: <https://www.mat.uniroma2.it/~tauraso/>

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA “TOR VERGATA”, VIA DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA, 00133 ROMA, ITALY