

SERRE CURVES RELATIVE TO OBSTRUCTIONS MODULO 2

JACOB MAYLE AND RAKVI

ABSTRACT. We consider elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} for which the image of the adelic Galois representation ρ_E is as large as possible given a constraint on the image modulo 2. For such curves, we give a characterization in terms of their ℓ -adic images, compute all examples of conductor at most 500,000, precisely describe the image of ρ_E , and offer an application to the cyclicity problem. In this way, we generalize some foundational results on Serre curves.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. Consider the adelic Galois representation of E

$$\rho_E : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$$

which is a continuous homomorphism of profinite groups that encodes the natural action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the adelic Tate module $T(E) := \varprojlim E[n]$ of E . We will denote the image of ρ_E by G_E , which we understand to be only defined up to conjugation in $\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. In a celebrated 1972 article [32], Serre proved that if E is without complex multiplication, then G_E is an open subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$, and hence is of finite index. In this sense, G_E is a “large” subgroup of $\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

On the other hand, Serre also noted that by the Weil pairing and Kronecker-Weber theorem,

$$(1.1) \quad [\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E] \geq 2.$$

A *Serre curve* is an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} for which G_E is maximal, in the sense that equality holds in (1.1). Such curves are abundant. Indeed, Jones [16] proved that 100% of elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} are Serre curves in a suitable sense of density. Serre curves have many applications (e.g., [1, 2, 3, 15, 17, 23, 36, 41]) largely because the adelic image G_E of such a curve is readily known (it depends only on the discriminant Δ_E of E).

Despite their abundance, until recently, only a few examples of Serre curves appeared in the literature. In 2015, Daniels [9] exhibited an infinite family, proving (for instance) that if ℓ is a prime number such that $\ell \notin \{2, 7\}$, then the elliptic curve given by

$$y^2 + xy = x^3 + \ell$$

is a Serre curve. In his Ph.D. thesis [24], the first author gave an algorithm that determines whether a given elliptic curve is a Serre curve. The algorithm is implemented in Sage. Running it on Cremona’s database [8] (accessed via the LMFDB [38]) reveals that 1,477,879 of the 3,064,705 ($\approx 48.223\%$) curves of conductor at most 500,000 are Serre curves.

Date: Friday 14th October, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11G05; Secondary 11F80.

There is considerable interest in understanding the adelic image G_E of an elliptic curve E . Mazur [26] articulated the overarching problem as follows: Given a subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$, classify all elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} such that the inclusion $G_E \subseteq G$ holds¹. This problem is known as “Mazur’s Program B” and Zywina [43] recently made a breakthrough on a computational variant of it. He gave an algorithm that, given a non-CM elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} computes the adelic image G_E . Zywina’s recent progress follows an earlier theoretical algorithm of Brau [4]. It also follows a vast body of work that aims to understand the images of ℓ -adic and residual representations, which we now briefly discuss.

For a prime number ℓ and an integer $n \geq 2$, consider the ℓ -adic and mod n Galois representations of E ,

$$\rho_{E,\ell^\infty} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{E,n} : \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

These representations encode the natural action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the ℓ -adic Tate module $T_\ell(E)$ and n -torsion subgroup $E[n]$ of E , respectively. Alternatively, they can be viewed as the composition of the adelic Galois representation ρ_E with the projections $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, respectively. We write $G_E(\ell^\infty)$ and $G_E(n)$ for the images of ρ_{E,ℓ^∞} and $\rho_{E,n}$, respectively. A second perspective on Serre’s open image theorem [32] is that if E is without complex multiplication, then ρ_{E,ℓ^∞} (and hence $\rho_{E,\ell}$) is surjective for all but finitely many prime numbers ℓ .

Assume that E is without complex multiplication. In 2015, Zywina [40] gave an algorithm that computes a finite set of primes outside of which $\rho_{E,\ell}$ is nonsurjective. In the same year, Zywina [42] and Sutherland [37] gave algorithms that compute the image of $\rho_{E,\ell}$ for any prime number ℓ . Also that year, Rouse–Zureick-Brown [31] gave an algorithm that computes the image of $\rho_{E,2^\infty}$. In 2021, Rouse–Sutherland–Zureick-Brown [29] gave an algorithm that computes the image of ρ_{E,ℓ^∞} for a given prime number ℓ . There is also a growing body of research on entanglements [6, 10, 11, 12, 19, 27] that aims to understand images of mod n representations for n a product of at least two distinct primes.

In this paper, we study elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} for which the adelic image G_E is maximal relative to a prescribed obstruction. Roughly speaking, for an integer $n \geq 2$ and a subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} is a G -Serre curve if G_E is “as large as possible” given the constraint that $G_E(n) \subseteq G$. This notion of a relative Serre curve was originally set forth by Jones in [16]. We give a proper definition and discuss the notion further in §2.

More specifically, in this paper we consider G -Serre curves for proper subgroups $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. The group $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group on 3 letters. Thus it has 3 proper subgroups up to conjugacy. These subgroups are of index 6, 3, and 2, and we denote them by $2\mathrm{Cs} := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$, $2\mathrm{B} := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$, and $2\mathrm{Cn} := \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle$, respectively.

Our main theorem classifies G -Serre curves for subgroups $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. It relies crucially on the work of Rouse–Zureick-Brown on 2-adic images. We write H_i for the subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$

¹In fact, Mazur posed this question for elliptic curves over number fields.

associated with the modular curve X_i in [30]. Define the sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_{2Cs} &:= \{H_8, H_{8a}, H_{8b}, H_{8c}, H_{8d}, H_{38}, H_{38a}, H_{38b}, H_{38c}, H_{38d}, H_{46}, H_{46a}, H_{46b}, H_{46c}, H_{46d}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{2B} &:= \{H_6, H_{14}, H_{15}, H_{16}, H_{17}, H_{18}, H_{19}\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_{2Cn} &:= \{H_2, H_{2a}, H_{2b}\}.\end{aligned}$$

With this notation in place, we now state our classification result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$ and E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. We have that E is a G -Serre curve if and only if $G_E(2^\infty) \in \mathcal{S}_G$ and ρ_{E, ℓ^∞} is surjective for each odd prime ℓ .*

We prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2.3. It yields an algorithm for determining whether a given elliptic curve is a G -Serre curve for a proper subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. We implemented this algorithm in Magma. The code can be found in this paper's GitHub repository [25]:

<https://github.com/maylejacobj/RelativeSerreCurves>

There are 3,064,705 elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} of conductor at most 500,000 [8]. We find that of these, 83,637 ($\approx 2.729\%$) are 2Cs-Serre curves, 827,120 ($\approx 26.989\%$) are 2B-Serre curves, and 4,122 ($\approx 0.134\%$) are 2Cn-Serre curves. In total, 2,392,758 ($\approx 78.075\%$) curves of conductor at most 500,000 are either Serre curves or G -Serre curves for a proper subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$.

In Section 3, we describe the adelic images of the considered G -Serre curves. In Section 4, we give an application of the above work to the cyclicity problem and briefly discuss other applications. Finally, in the appendix we give a table of minimal conductor G -Serre curves for each 2-adic image appearing in Theorem 1.1, including each curve's image conductor and cyclicity correction factor.

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF RELATIVE SERRE CURVES

In this section, we give a characterization of Serre curves relative to obstructions modulo 2. We begin by defining some notation and terminology, and giving some preliminaries.

Throughout this paper, m and n denote positive integers and ℓ denotes a prime number. We write \mathbb{Z}_ℓ to denote the ring of ℓ -adic integers and $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ for the ring of profinite integers. For a subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$, we write $G(\ell^\infty)$ and $G(n)$ for the images of G under the projections $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ and $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, respectively. In the same way, if $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is a subgroup and m divides n , then $G(m)$ denotes the image of G under the projection $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$. For a subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, we write \widehat{G} for the preimage of G under the projection $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly if $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$, then \widehat{G} denotes the preimage of G under $\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$. For any profinite group G , we write $[G, G]$ to denote the closure of the commutator subgroup of G .

Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve. Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer and $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ be a subgroup. We now define the notion of a G -Serre curve. Our definition is readily seen to be equivalent to the definition given by Jones [18], though our choice of notation is a bit better suited for our purposes.

Definition 2.1. We say that E is a G -Serre curve if $G_E(n) \subseteq G$ and $[G_E, G_E] = [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]$.

Next, we state a lemma that provides two useful properties of G_E that follow from the Weil pairing and Kronecker-Weber theorem. In order to state it, we first recall some terminology. Let

$H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$ be a subgroup. We say that H is *determinant-surjective* if $\det(H) = \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times$. We say that H is *commutator-thick* if $[H, H] = H \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$.

Lemma 2.2. *If E/\mathbb{Q} is an elliptic curve, then G_E is determinant-surjective and commutator-thick.*

Proof. See, for instance, [18, Remark 2.3] or [43, §1.2]. \square

The next lemma appears in [18, Remark 2.5] and is less well-known. It offers a second perspective on G -Serre curves in terms of the index of G_E . We relay its proof below.

Lemma 2.3. *If E is a G -Serre curve, then the index of G_E is minimal among the indices of determinant-surjective and commutator-thick subgroups of \widehat{G} .*

Proof. Let H be a determinant-surjective and commutator-thick subgroup of \widehat{G} . To prove the lemma, we need to show that $[\widehat{G} : G_E] \leq [\widehat{G} : H]$. Since H is determinant-surjective we have the exact sequence for H ,

$$1 \longrightarrow H \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \longrightarrow H \xrightarrow{\det} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \longrightarrow 1.$$

As $H \subseteq \widehat{G}$, we have that $[H, H] \subseteq [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]$. Consequently,

$$[\widehat{G} : H] = [\widehat{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : H \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})] = [\widehat{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : [H, H]] \geq [\widehat{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]].$$

As G_E is determinant-surjective, commutator-thick, and satisfies $[G_E, G_E] = [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]$,

$$[\widehat{G} : G_E] = [\widehat{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})] = [\widehat{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : [G_E, G_E]] = [\widehat{G} \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]].$$

Hence $[\widehat{G} : G_E] \leq [\widehat{G} : H]$, as needed. \square

The perspective on G -Serre curves conveyed by Lemma 2.3 more closely reflects the standard definition of a Serre curve in terms of the index of G_E . This point-of-view will be valuable when describing adelic images in Section 3.

2.1. Reduction to a finite modulus. Let H be a subgroup of an open subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. In view of Definition 2.1, we turn to the problem of checking whether $[G, G] = [H, H]$ holds. Let m be the *level* (sometimes called the *conductor*) of G , i.e., the least positive integer such that $G = \overline{G(m)}$. As G is open, such an m must exist. Now define the associated constant

$$(2.1) \quad m_0 := \mathrm{lcm} \left(2^3 \cdot 3^3, \prod_{\ell|m} \ell^{2\mathrm{ord}_\ell(m)+1} \right)$$

where $\mathrm{ord}_\ell(m)$ denotes the exact power of ℓ dividing m . The problem of checking whether $[H, H] = [G, G]$ can be simplified by the following theorem, the main results of [18].

Theorem 2.4. *A subgroup $H \subseteq G$ has the property that $[H, H] = [G, G]$ if and only if*

- (1) *For each prime number $\ell \nmid m_0$, one has that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq H(\ell)$ and*
- (2) *One has that $[H(m_0), H(m_0)] = [G(m_0), G(m_0)]$.*

Proof. See [18, Theorem 2.7 and Remark 2.8]. \square

The above theorem is the starting point for our work. We are interested in the case of $m = 2$, where the associated constant is $m_0 = 216$. We can reduce this constant as follows.

Lemma 2.5. *In Theorem 2.4, we can take m_0 to be given by*

$$m'_0 := \begin{cases} 72 & G = \widehat{2Cs} \\ 36 & G \in \{\widehat{2B}, \widehat{2Cn}\}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For $G \in \{\widehat{2Cs}, \widehat{2B}, \widehat{2Cn}\}$, let m_1 be the least positive integer dividing 216 such that for each maximal subgroup $K \subsetneq [G(216), G(216)]$, we have $K(m_1) \subsetneq [G(m_1), G(m_1)]$. Reviewing (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.4, we note that we can take m_0 to be $\text{lcm}(2 \cdot 3, m_1)$ in the theorem. Using our `LevelLower1` script (available in the paper's GitHub repository [25]), we compute that

$$m_1 = \begin{cases} 72 & G \in \{\widehat{2Cs}, \widehat{2B}\} \\ 36 & G = \widehat{2Cn}. \end{cases}$$

In the case that $G = \widehat{2B}$, we can lower m_0 further. Indeed, let m_2 be the least positive integer dividing 72 such that among all subgroups K of $G = \widehat{2B}$,

$$[K(m_2), K(m_2)] = [G(m_2), G(m_2)] \implies [K(72), K(72)] = [G(72), G(72)].$$

Observe that we can lower m_1 to $\text{lcm}(2 \cdot 3, m_2)$ in Theorem 2.4. Using our `LevelLower2` script [25], we compute that $m_2 = 36$ in the case that $G = \widehat{2B}$. \square

We break the proof of Lemma 2.5 down into two stages. In practice, this is worthwhile because `LevelLower1` is considerably more time efficient than `LevelLower2`.

2.2. The group-theoretic characterization. In this subsection, we consider possible group-theoretic entanglements to give a criterion on whether $[G, G] = [H, H]$ holds in the cases that we are considering. To this end, we begin by recalling the notion of a fiber product. Let G_1, G_2 , and Q be groups. Let $\psi_1 : G_1 \rightarrow Q$ and $\psi_2 : G_2 \rightarrow Q$ be surjective group homomorphisms. The *fiber product of G_1 and G_2 by $\psi := (\psi_1, \psi_2)$* is the subgroup

$$G_1 \times_\psi G_2 := \{(g_1, g_2) \in G_1 \times G_2 : \psi_1(g_1) = \psi_2(g_2)\} \subseteq G_1 \times G_2.$$

The group $G_1 \times_\psi G_2$ has the property that it surjects onto both G_1 and G_2 via the usual projection maps. Goursat's lemma gives that the only subgroups of $G_1 \times G_2$ that surject onto both factors are, in fact, fiber products (see, e.g., [21, p. 75] or [4, §1.2.2]).

We shall use the following lemma concerning commutators of fiber products.

Lemma 2.6. *Let G_1, G_2, Q , and ψ be as above. If Q is cyclic, then*

$$[G_1 \times_\psi G_2, G_1 \times_\psi G_2] = [G_1, G_1] \times [G_2, G_2].$$

Proof. See [22, p. 174] and [6, Lemma 2.3]. \square

To apply Lemma 2.6 in our context, we will need to understand the possible groups that can appear as G_1, G_2 , and Q . Our next two lemmas help clarify this. We first set some notation. For a

positive integer n and a subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, consider the set

$$\mathcal{M}(G) := \{H \subseteq G : \det(H) = \det(G) \text{ and } [H, H] = [G, G]\}.$$

Now define the following subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$,

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\ K_2 &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle, \\ K_3 &:= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that $K_1(2) = 2\mathrm{Cn}$ and $K_2(2) = K_3(2) = 2\mathrm{Cs}$.

The next lemma specifies the sets $\mathcal{M}(G)$ for the groups G that will be of relevance.

Lemma 2.7. *Up to conjugacy, we have that*

- (1) $\mathcal{M}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})) = \{\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})\}$
- (2) $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{Cn}}(4)) = \{\widehat{2\mathrm{Cn}}(4), K_1\}$
- (3) $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{B}}(4)) = \{\widehat{2\mathrm{B}}(4)\}$
- (4) $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(8)) = \{H \subseteq \widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(8) : H(4) \in \{\widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(4), K_2, K_3\} \text{ and } \det(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z})^\times\}$.

Proof. We have that (1) holds since if $H \in \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}))$, then

$$\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) = [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}), \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})] = [H, H] \subseteq H \quad \text{and} \quad \det(H) = (\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

Thus by considering the determinant map $H \xrightarrow{\det} (\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we see that $H = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. For parts (2), (3), and (4), we compute the set $\mathcal{M}(G)$ using our `FullCommDet` script [25]. \square

In the previous lemma, our need to consider $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(8))$ rather than just $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(4))$ can be traced to the fact that $[\widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(4), \widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(4)]$ is trivial. The set $\mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(8))$ is rather large compared to the other sets in Lemma 2.7; it contains 15 subgroups up to conjugacy.

Our next lemma describes the common quotients Q that can appear for us in Lemma 2.6. For a group G , write $\mathrm{Quo}(G)$ to denote the set of *all* isomorphism classes of quotients G/N for a normal subgroup $N \trianglelefteq G$. Note that this definition differs somewhat from elsewhere in the literature. We write 0 for the trivial group and $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ for the cyclic group of order n .

Lemma 2.8. *We have that*

$$\mathrm{Quo}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})) \cap \mathrm{Quo}(K) = \begin{cases} \{0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}\} & K \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{Cn}}(4)) \\ \{0, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}\} & K \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{B}}(4)) \cup \mathcal{M}(\widehat{2\mathrm{Cs}}(8)). \end{cases}$$

Proof. We compute the intersections directly using our `QuoIntersection` script [25]. \square

We now state and prove our main group-theoretic proposition.

Proposition 2.9. *Let $G \in \{\widehat{2Cs}, \widehat{2B}, \widehat{2Cn}\}$ and let m'_0 be as in Lemma 2.5. For a subgroup $H \subseteq G$ with $\det(H(m'_0)) = (\mathbb{Z}/m'_0\mathbb{Z})^\times$, we have that*

$$[H(m'_0), H(m'_0)] = [G(m'_0), G(m'_0)] \iff H(9) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \text{ and } H(2^k) \in \mathcal{M}(G(2^k))$$

where $k \in \{2, 3\}$ is such that $m'_0 = 2^k \cdot 9$.

Proof. For the forward direction, note that by reducing modulo 9,

$$[H(9), H(9)] = [G(9), G(9)] \quad \text{and} \quad \det(H(9)) = (\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

Further, we have that $G(9) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. Thus $H(9) \in \mathcal{M}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}))$, so by Lemma 2.7, $H(9) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$. Similarly, upon reducing modulo 2^k , we find that $H(2^k) \in \mathcal{M}(G(2^k))$.

Now consider the reverse direction. We have by Goursat's lemma that $H(m'_0)$ can be written as a fiber product

$$H(m'_0) \cong \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \times_\psi H(2^k)$$

with $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ where $\psi_1 : \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow Q$ and $\psi_2 : H(2^k) \rightarrow Q$ are surjective homomorphisms onto a group Q . We have that $Q \in \mathrm{Quo}(\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})) \cap \mathrm{Quo}(H(2^k))$ is cyclic by Lemma 2.8. By Lemma 2.6, we conclude that $[H(m'_0), H(m'_0)] = [G(m'_0), G(m'_0)]$. \square

2.3. Relative Serre curves. Proposition 2.9 shows (somewhat surprisingly) that entanglements need not be considered when checking whether an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} is a Serre curve relative to an obstruction modulo 2. This is in contrast to the situation with the usual Serre curves. Indeed, in [6], the authors give a characterization of Serre curves where a possible entanglement between the 2- and 3-division fields of E plays an essential role.

In this subsection, we prove Theorem 1.1, our characterization of Serre curves relative to obstructions modulo 2. We first give two lemmas that are used in the proof. The first is a lifting lemma for subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ with surjective determinant.

Lemma 2.10. *Let ℓ be an odd prime number and let $H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ be a subgroup such that $\det(H) = \mathbb{Z}_\ell^\times$. If $\ell > 3$, then $H = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ if and only if $H(\ell) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z})$. If $\ell = 3$, then $H = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ if and only if $H(9) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. This follows from [33, Lemma 3, p. IV-23] and [33, Exercise 1b, p. IV-28]. See also [14, Corollary 2.13]. \square

Recall that the sets \mathcal{S}_{2Cs} , \mathcal{S}_{2B} , and \mathcal{S}_{2Cn} of subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ were defined in §1. Our next lemma elucidates the connection between these sets and the problem at hand.

Lemma 2.11. *For $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$, we have that*

$$\mathcal{S}_G = \left\{ H \subseteq \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : H(2^k) \in \mathcal{M}(\widehat{G}(2^k)) \text{ and } \exists E/\mathbb{Q} \text{ with } G_E(2^\infty) = H \right\}$$

where k is as in the statement of Proposition 2.9.

Proof. Running our ConstructSG script [25] confirms that the claimed equality holds by iterating over all 1208 possible 2-adic images appearing in the database of Rouse–Zureick–Brown [30]. \square

We now prove our main classification result.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$. By Lemma 2.11, if $H \in \mathcal{S}_G$, then in particular, $H(2) \subseteq G$. Thus we assume that E is such that $G_E(2) \subseteq G$. Hence E is a G -Serre curve if and only if $[G_E, G_E] = [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]$. By Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, this commutator condition holds if and only if

- (1) For each prime number $\ell \geq 5$, one has that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq G_E(\ell)$ and
- (2) One has that $[G_E(m'_0), G_E(m'_0)] = [G(m'_0), G(m'_0)]$.

By Lemma 2.10 and the fact that G_E is determinant-surjective, we have that (1) is equivalent to $G_E(\ell^\infty) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ for each prime number $\ell \geq 5$. By Proposition 2.9, condition (2) is equivalent to $G_E(9) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z})$ and $G_E(2^k) \in \mathcal{M}(G_E(2^k))$ where k is as in the statement of the proposition. The former of these is equivalent, by Lemma 2.10, to $G_E(3^\infty) = \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. The latter is equivalent, by Lemma 2.11, to $G_E(2^\infty) \in \mathcal{S}_G$. \square

The characterization that we just proved is well-suited for computations. For instance, if E is in the LMFDB [38], then the data on ℓ -adic images provided on the curve's page allows one to immediately decide, via Theorem 1.1, whether E is a G -Serre curve for some $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$. More generally, our `IsRelSerreCurve` script [25] uses Theorem 1.1 with [13, 31, 40] to decide if E is a G -Serre curve for such a G .

2.4. The adelic index. In this subsection, we determine the adelic index $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E]$ for Serre curves E/\mathbb{Q} relative to obstructions modulo 2. We start with a lemma.

Lemma 2.12. *For $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$, we have that*

$$[\mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]] = \begin{cases} 12 & G \in \{2B, 2Cn\} \\ 48 & G \in 2Cs. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$ and consider the subgroup $K := [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}] \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. By [43, Lemma 7.4], we have that K is the preimage of $K(4)$ under the projection $\mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$. It follows that

$$[\mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : K] = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) : K(4)].$$

The right-hand side is straightforward to compute in Magma since $K(4) = [\widehat{G}(4), \widehat{G}(4)]$. We do so using our `CommIndx` script [25], and obtain the claimed indices. \square

Using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.12, we now give a second characterization of the considered relative Serre curves in terms of the adelic index.

Proposition 2.13. *For $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$, an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} is a G -Serre curve if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad G_E(2) = G \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E] = \begin{cases} 12 & G \in \{2B, 2Cn\} \\ 48 & G = 2Cs. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For any elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , by Lemma 2.2 and $G_E \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) = \ker(G_E \xrightarrow{\det} \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times)$, we observe that

$$(2.3) \quad [\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E] = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E \cap \mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})] = [\mathrm{SL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : [G_E, G_E]].$$

Suppose first that E is a G -Serre curve. Then $[G_E, G_E] = [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]$, so the claim about the index of G_E follows by (2.3) and Lemma 2.12. The claim that $G_E(2) = G$ follows by Theorem 1.1 and noting that $H(2) = G$ for each $H \in S_G$. For the reverse direction, note that $G_E(2) = G$ implies that $G_E \subseteq \widehat{G}$. Hence $[G_E, G_E] \subseteq [\widehat{G}, \widehat{G}]$ and, in fact, equality holds by (2.3) and Lemma 2.12. \square

3. EXPLICIT DESCRIPTIONS OF ADELIC IMAGES

In this section, we describe the adelic image G_E of elliptic curves E/\mathbb{Q} relative to obstructions modulo 2. We begin with some preliminaries on division fields. The n -division field of E is the field $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])$ obtained by adjoining to \mathbb{Q} all x - and y -coordinates of points in the n -torsion subgroup $E[n]$ of E . Recall that $\mathbb{Q}(E[n])/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois and

$$G_E(n) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[n])/\mathbb{Q}).$$

We begin by recalling a result that precisely describes the 2^k -division field of an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} for $k \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Fix an equation for E of the form

$$(3.1) \quad y^2 = (x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2)(x - \alpha_3)$$

for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ in the field $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ of algebraic numbers. Fix $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ so that

$$A_i^2 = \alpha_{i+1} - \alpha_{i+2}$$

where i is considered modulo 3. Now set $B_1, B_2, B_3 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ so that

$$B_i^2 = A_i(A_{i+1} + \zeta_4 A_{i+2})$$

where $\zeta_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ denotes a primitive n th root of unity.

With notation in place, we now state the result on 2-power division fields.

Theorem 3.1. *We have the following.*

- (1) $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$,
- (2) $\mathbb{Q}(E[4]) = \mathbb{Q}(E[2], \zeta_4, A_1, A_2, A_3)$, and
- (3) $\mathbb{Q}(E[8]) = \mathbb{Q}(E[4], \zeta_8, B_1, B_2, B_3)$.

Proof. Part (1) is well-known. See [39, Theorem 1] for parts (2) and (3). \square

Corollary 3.2. *If $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$, then $[\mathbb{Q}(E[8]) : \mathbb{Q}(E[4])] \leq 8$.*

Proof. As $\zeta_4 \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ and $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$, we also have that $\zeta_8 \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$. Thus $B_i^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Consequently $[\mathbb{Q}(B_1, B_2, B_3) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 8$, so the claim follows by Theorem 3.1. \square

We will make extensive use of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 in this section. Let Δ_E denote the discriminant of any model of E . An immediate consequence of the theorem is that $\sqrt{\Delta_E} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ and $\sqrt[4]{\Delta_E} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ since, up to 12th powers, we compute from (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta_E = 16(\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)^2(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)^2(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)^2.$$

Recall that by the Weil pairing on E ,

$$(3.3) \quad \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[n]).$$

In view of this fact, it is useful to review an aspect of cyclotomic fields. Namely, the Kronecker-Weber theorem gives that if K/\mathbb{Q} is abelian, then there exists an integer n such that $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n)$. The *conductor* of K , denoted $f(K)$, is the least positive integer n with this property. Let Δ_K denote the discriminant of the ring of integers of K . If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is a quadratic field, then it is well-known that

$$(3.4) \quad f(K) = \Delta_K = \begin{cases} d & d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 4d & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If K/\mathbb{Q} is a cyclic cubic extension, then by the conductor-discriminant formula [7, 7.4.13, 7.4.14], we have that

$$(3.5) \quad f(K) = \sqrt{\Delta_K}.$$

In Theorem 1.1 we learned that entanglements need not be considered when checking if an elliptic curve is Serre curve relative to an obstruction modulo 2. However in this section, entanglements will play an essential role in our descriptions of G_E . As such, we recall some preliminaries on entanglement fields. Let K_1/\mathbb{Q} and K_2/\mathbb{Q} be Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} . The compositum $K_1 K_2/\mathbb{Q}$ is Galois with

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Gal}(K_1 K_2/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(K_1/\mathbb{Q}) \times_{\psi} \text{Gal}(K_2/\mathbb{Q})$$

where $\psi := (\psi_1, \psi_2)$ with $\psi_i : \text{Gal}(K_i/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K_1 \cap K_2/\mathbb{Q})$ is given by restriction (see, e.g., [4, Lemma 1.2.8]). The field $K_1 \cap K_2$ is called the *entanglement field*. We refer to the degree of the entanglement between K_1 and K_2 as the degree $[K_1 \cap K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]$. By (3.6), we have that

$$(3.7) \quad [K_1 K_2 : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{[K_1 : \mathbb{Q}][K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]}{[K_1 \cap K_2 : \mathbb{Q}]}.$$

With these preliminaries in hand, we now turn to the problem of describing G_E .

3.1. Serre curves relative to 2Cs. Let E be a 2Cs-Serre curve. Fix an equation

$$(3.8) \quad E : y^2 = (x - a)(x - b)(x - c)$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Proposition 2.13, we know that

$$[\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E] = 48.$$

Recall that by Theorem 1.1, we have $G_E(2^\infty) \in \mathcal{S}_{2\text{Cs}}$ where

$$\mathcal{S}_{2\text{Cs}} = \{H_8, H_{8a}, H_{8b}, H_{8c}, H_{8d}, H_{38}, H_{38a}, H_{38b}, H_{38c}, H_{38d}, H_{46}, H_{46a}, H_{46b}, H_{46c}, H_{46d}\}.$$

Reviewing the database of Rouse–Zureick-Brown [30], we note that

$$(3.9) \quad [\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = \begin{cases} 6 & G_E(2^\infty) = H_8 \\ 12 & G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{8a}, H_{8b}, H_{8c}, H_{8d}, H_{38}, H_{46}\} \\ 24 & G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{38a}, H_{38b}, H_{38c}, H_{38d}, H_{46a}, H_{46b}, H_{46c}, H_{46d}\}. \end{cases}$$

Hence to understand G_E , it remains to account for an index of 8 in the first case above, 4 in the second, and 2 in the third case. As we shall see, entanglements are the source of the greater adelic index in all cases.

For a squarefree integer N , define

$$(3.10) \quad N' := \begin{cases} N & N \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ -N & N \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{1}{2}N & N \equiv 2 \pmod{8} \\ -\frac{1}{2}N & N \equiv 6 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

Note that $N' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and that since N is squarefree, we must have that $N \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Further the definition in (3.10) is such that $N' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ for any squarefree integer N .

Let $A := a - b$, $B := a - c$, and $C := b - c$. Consider the following set

$$S := \{\pm A_{\text{sf}}, \pm B_{\text{sf}}, \pm C_{\text{sf}}, \pm AB_{\text{sf}}, \pm AC_{\text{sf}}, \pm BC_{\text{sf}}, \pm ABC_{\text{sf}}\}$$

where n_{sf} denotes the squarefree part of an integer n and, for instance, $AB_{\text{sf}} := (AB)_{\text{sf}}$. The set S is partially ordered with respect to divisibility. If $[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = 6$, then let $N_1, N_2, N_3 \in S$ be minimal with respect to divisibility in S such that they are distinct (up to sign) and not ± 1 or ± 2 . Similarly if $[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = 12$ (resp. $[\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = 24$), let N_1, N_2 (resp. N_1) be chosen from S in the same way as in the index 6 case. The proof of Lemma 3.3 justifies why the N_i may be chosen in this way. In each of the three cases, for each i , set

$$(3.11) \quad k_i = \begin{cases} 3 & N_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ 2 & N_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}. \end{cases}$$

We now give a lemma that describes the entanglements associated with G_E .

Lemma 3.3. *If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_8$, then for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, there is a quadratic entanglement between $\mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_i}])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_i|])$. If $G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{8a}, H_{8b}, H_{8c}, H_{8d}, H_{38}, H_{46}\}$, then for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, there is a quadratic entanglement between $\mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_i}])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_i|])$. For all the other cases, there is a quadratic entanglement between $\mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_1}])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_1|])$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.1(2), we have that

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[4]) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{A_{\text{sf}}}, \sqrt{B_{\text{sf}}}, \sqrt{C_{\text{sf}}}).$$

Thus the quadratic subfields of $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ are the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{C_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{AB_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{AC_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{BC_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{ABC_{\text{sf}}}), \\ & \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-A_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-B_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-C_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-AB_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-AC_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-BC_{\text{sf}}}), \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-ABC_{\text{sf}}}). \end{aligned}$$

First assume that $G_E(2^\infty) = H_8$. We verify in Magma that $H_8(4)$ contains exactly 15 index 2 subgroups. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ has exactly $15 = 2^4 - 1$ quadratic subfields, so all of the above subfields are distinct. Moreover, since $H_8(8)$ is the full preimage of $H_8(4)$ under the reduction map $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})$ we know that $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ by corollary 3.2. Thus we may indeed

choose $N_1, N_2, N_3 \in S$ as specified above the lemma. By (3.4) and Theorem 3.1, we observe that $\sqrt{N'_i} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_i}]) \cap \mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_i|])$ provides a quadratic entanglement.

If $H \in \{H_{8a}, H_{8c}, H_{38}, H_{46}\}$, then $H(4)$ still contains exactly 15 index 2 subgroups. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ has exactly $15 = 2^4 - 1$ quadratic subfields. But in this case, $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ is possible, so we may only choose $N_1, N_2 \in S$ as specified above the lemma. In all of the remaining cases, we calculate in a similar way that $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ has $7 = 2^3 - 1$ quadratic subfields. If $H \in \{H_{8b}, H_{8d}\}$, then $H(8)$ is the full preimage of $H(4)$, so we know that $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ by corollary 3.2, and hence we may choose N_1, N_2 as specified. On the other hand, if $H \in \{H_{38a}, H_{38b}, H_{38c}, H_{38d}, H_{46a}, H_{46b}, H_{46c}, H_{46d}\}$, then it may be $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$, but as $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ contains 7 quadratic subfields, we are still able to choose N_1 as specified. \square

3.1.1. *Description of image.* We now describe the adelic image G_E of a 2Cs-Serre curve E . Let N_i and k_i be as in Lemma 3.3. For each i , let $G_i \subseteq G_E(2^{k_i})$ be such that $G_E(2^{k_i})/G_i \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\epsilon_i: \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow G_E(2^{k_i})/G_i$ be the quadratic character and $\chi_{N'_i}: \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$ be the Dirichlet character.

Proposition 3.4. *Let notation be as above. If E be a 2Cs-Serre curve, then*

$$G_E = \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_i \{A \in \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\}$$

where the intersection runs over i such that N_i is defined above for $G_E(2^\infty)$.

Proof. Assume that $G_E(2^\infty) = H_8$. The inclusion

$$(3.12) \quad G_E \subseteq \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^3 \{A \in \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\}$$

follows from the containments $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_i}])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_i|])$. By Proposition 2.13, to establish that (3.12) is actually an equality, it suffices to note that

$$(3.13) \quad \left[\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^3 \{A \in \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\} \right] = 48.$$

Indeed, as each N'_i is odd and distinct for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that

$$\left[\overline{G_E(2^\infty)} : \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^3 \{A \in \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\} \right] = 8.$$

From (3.9), we have that

$$\left[\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \right] = [\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = 6.$$

Thus (3.13) holds as claimed. By equation (3.9), there are two remaining cases, namely, $G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{8a}, H_{8b}, H_{8c}, H_{8d}, H_{38}, H_{46}\}$ and $G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{38a}, H_{38b}, H_{38c}, H_{38d}, H_{46a}, H_{46b}, H_{46c}, H_{46d}\}$. Both of these cases follow similarly to the case of $G_E(2^\infty) = H_8$ just considered, and hence are omitted. \square

Let m_E denote the *image conductor* of E , i.e., the level of the group $G_E \subseteq \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}})$. From the above proposition, we obtain the following formula for m_E in terms of the integers N_i after noting

from [30] that the level of $H \in \mathcal{S}_{2\text{Cs}}$ is always at most 8, and is equal to 8 exactly when $H \in \{H_{8a}, H_{8c}, H_{38}, H_{38a}, H_{38b}, H_{38c}, H_{38d}, H_{46}, H_{46a}, H_{46b}, H_{46c}, H_{46d}\}$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a 2Cs-Serre curve.*

- If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_8$, then

$$m_E = \begin{cases} \text{lcm}(4, |N'_1|, |N'_2|, |N'_3|) & 2 \nmid N_1 N_2 N_3 \\ \text{lcm}(8, |N'_1|, |N'_2|, |N'_3|) & 2 \mid N_1 N_2 N_3. \end{cases}$$

- If $G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{8a}, H_{8b}, H_{8c}, H_{8d}, H_{38}, H_{46}\}$, then

$$m_E = \begin{cases} \text{lcm}(4, |N'_1|, |N'_2|) & 2 \nmid N_1 N_2 \\ \text{lcm}(8, |N'_1|, |N'_2|) & 2 \mid N_1 N_2 \text{ or } G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{8a}, H_{8c}, H_{38}, H_{46}\}. \end{cases}$$

- If $G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{38a}, H_{38b}, H_{38c}, H_{38d}, H_{46a}, H_{46b}, H_{46c}, H_{46d}\}$, then

$$m_E = \text{lcm}(8, |N'_1|).$$

3.2. Serre curves relative to 2B. Let E be a 2B-Serre curve. Fix an equation

$$(3.14) \quad E : y^2 = (x^2 + ax + b)(x + c)$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x^2 + ax + b$ irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . By Proposition 2.13, we know that

$$[\text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E] = 12.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{2\text{B}} = \{H_6, H_{14}, H_{15}, H_{16}, H_{17}, H_{18}, H_{19}\}$. Thus, reviewing [30], we find that

$$(3.15) \quad [\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = \begin{cases} 3 & G_E(2^\infty) = H_6 \\ 6 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence to understand G_E , it remains to account for an index of 4 if $G_E = H_6$ and 2 otherwise. Considering each of the groups in $\mathcal{S}_{2\text{B}}$ under reduction modulo 4, we verify in Magma the following useful observation: If E is any 2B-Serre curve, then

$$(3.16) \quad [\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) : G_E(4)] = 3 \quad \text{and} \quad G_E(4) \text{ contains exactly 7 index 2 subgroups.}$$

The roots of $(x^2 + ax + b)(x + c)$ are

$$r_1 := \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{a^2 - 4b} - a \right), \quad r_2 := \frac{1}{2} \left(-\sqrt{a^2 - 4b} - a \right), \quad \text{and} \quad r_3 := -c.$$

Let $A := r_1 - r_2, B := r_2 - r_3$, and $C := r_1 - r_3$. From Theorem 3.1, we now deduce an alternative expression for the 4-division field of E in terms of the notation just introduced.

Lemma 3.6. *Let notation be as above. If E is a 2B-Serre curve, then*

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[4]) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}, \sqrt{ac - c^2 - b}, \sqrt{A}, \sqrt{B}).$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1(2), we have that

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[4]) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{A}, \sqrt{B}, \sqrt{C}).$$

We have that $\sqrt{C} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}, \sqrt{ac - c^2 - b}, \sqrt{A}, \sqrt{B})$ because

$$(3.17) \quad \sqrt{B}\sqrt{C} = \sqrt{-1}\sqrt{ac - b - c^2}.$$

It follows that

$$\mathbb{Q}(E[4]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}, \sqrt{ac - c^2 - b}, \sqrt{A}, \sqrt{B}).$$

The reverse inclusion follows by (3.17) and the fact that $A = \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}$. \square

Using Lemma 3.6, we now describe the quadratic subfields of $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$.

Lemma 3.7. *Let notation be as above. If E is a 2B-Serre curve, then $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ has exactly 7 distinct quadratic subfields: $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm\Delta_E})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm(ac - c^2 - b)})$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\pm(ac - c^2 - b)\Delta_E})$.*

Proof. By (3.2), we note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_E}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{a^2 - 4b})$. Thus it suffices to show that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}, \sqrt{ac - c^2 - b}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 8.$$

We have that $A = \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}$ and $B = \frac{1}{2}(-\sqrt{a^2 - 4b} - a) + c$. Thus

$$\sqrt{a^2 - 4b} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B}),$$

so in particular $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B}) : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 2$. Hence it follows by (3.7) that

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}, \sqrt{B}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}) : \mathbb{Q}][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B}) : \mathbb{Q}]}{[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{A}) \cap \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{B}) : \mathbb{Q}]} \leq \frac{4 \cdot 4}{2} = 8$$

Thus, by (3.7), (3.16), and Lemma 3.6,

$$32 = [\mathbb{Q}(E[4]) : \mathbb{Q}] \leq \frac{[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}, \sqrt{ac - c^2 - b}) : \mathbb{Q}] \cdot 8}{2}$$

Hence

$$[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{a^2 - 4b}, \sqrt{ac - c^2 - b}) : \mathbb{Q}] \geq 8.$$

The reverse inequality is clear. \square

We now consider entanglements. Define the set

$$S := \{\pm(a^2 - 4b)_{\text{sf}}, \pm(ac - c^2 - b)_{\text{sf}}, \pm((ac - c^2 - b)(a^2 - 4b))_{\text{sf}}\}.$$

If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_6$, then let N_1 and N_2 be distinct (up to sign) and minimal with respect to divisibility in S . Using corollary 3.2 we note that $\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$, since $\#H_6(8) = 16 \cdot \#H_6(4)$, so $N'_i \neq 1$. We get two horizontal entanglements between $\mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_i}])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_i|])$ for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $G_E(2^\infty) \neq H_6$, then let N_1 be minimal with respect to divisibility in S such that $N'_1 \neq 1$. In this case, we get one horizontal entanglement between $\mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_1}])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_1|])$.

3.2.1. Description of image. We now describe the adelic image G_E of a 2B-Serre curve E . Let N_i be as above and let k_i be as in (3.11). For each i , let $G_i \subseteq G_E(2^{k_i})$ be such that $G_E(2^{k_i})/G_i \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$. Let $\epsilon_i: \text{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow G_E(2^{k_i})/G_i$ be the quadratic character and $\chi_{N'_i}: \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$ be the Dirichlet character.

Proposition 3.8. *Let notation be as above. If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_6$, then*

$$G_E = \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^2 \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\}$$

for $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $G_E(2^\infty) \neq H_6$, then

$$G_E = \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon(A) = \chi_{N'_1}(\det(A))\}.$$

Proof. Assume that $G_E(2^\infty) = H_6$. The inclusion

$$G_E \subseteq \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^2 \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\}$$

follows from the containments $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[2^{k_i}])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{N'_i}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[|N'_i|])$. By Proposition 2.13, to establish that (3.12) is actually an equality, it suffices to note that

$$(3.18) \quad \left[\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^2 \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\} \right] = 12.$$

Indeed, as each N'_1 and N'_2 are odd and distinct, we have that

$$\left[\overline{G_E(2^\infty)} : \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^2 \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon_i(A) = \chi_{N'_i}(\det(A))\} \right] = 4.$$

From (3.15), we have that

$$\left[\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \overline{G_E(2^\infty)} \right] = [\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = 3.$$

Thus (3.13) holds as claimed. When $G_E(2^\infty) \neq H_6$ the proof is similar. \square

From the above proposition, we obtain the following formula for m_E upon noting that the level of H_6 is 2, and otherwise if $H \in \mathcal{S}_{2B}$, then the level of H is 8.

Corollary 3.9. *Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a 2B-Serre curve.*

- If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_6$, then

$$m_E = \begin{cases} \mathrm{lcm}(4, |N'_1|, |N'_2|) & 2 \nmid N_1 N_2 \\ \mathrm{lcm}(8, |N'_1|, |N'_2|) & 2 \mid N_1 N_2. \end{cases}$$

- If $G_E(2^\infty) \neq H_6$, then

$$m_E = \mathrm{lcm}(8, |N'_1|).$$

3.3. Serre curves relative to $2C_n$. Let E be a $2C_n$ -Serre curve. By Theorem 1.1, we know that $G_E(2^\infty) \in \mathcal{S}_{2C_n}$. In particular, $G_E(2) = 2C_n$. Thus, the Galois extension $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])/\mathbb{Q}$ is cyclic of order 3. By (3.5), the conductor of $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ is given by

$$(3.19) \quad f(\mathbb{Q}(E[2])) = \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}.$$

Further, we claim that $f(\mathbb{Q}(E[2]))$ is odd. Indeed, a cubic extension of \mathbb{Q} is given by $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ where α satisfies the irreducible polynomial $T^3 - 3T + 1 - \nu(T^2 - T)$ for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Q}$ (see, e.g., [34, Section

1.1]). The square root of discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ is either $v^2 - 3v + 9$ or $(v^2 - 3v + 9)/3$. Since we know that it has to be an integer, $v \in \mathbb{Z}$, and the claim follows.

By Proposition 2.13, we have that

$$[\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : G_E] = 12.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{S}_{2\mathrm{Cn}} = \{H_2, H_{2a}, H_{2b}\}$. Thus, reviewing [30], we find that

$$[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = \begin{cases} 2 & G_E(2^\infty) = H_2 \\ 4 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $G_E(2^\infty) \neq H_2$, then the adelic index of 12 is explained by $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = 4$ and cubic entanglement arising from the containment $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}})$. Consider the case that $G_E(2^\infty) = H_2$. Here $[\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2) : G_E(2^\infty)] = 2$, so an additional quadratic entanglement must be described to account for the adelic index of 12. By (3.19), we know that $\sqrt{\Delta_E} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Fix a fourth root $\sqrt[4]{\Delta_E}$ of Δ_E . We have that $\sqrt[4]{\Delta_E}$ lies in $\mathbb{Q}(E[4])$ and is not equal to $\sqrt{2}$ because if $\sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(E[4])$, then $[\mathbb{Q}(E[8]) : \mathbb{Q}(E[4])]$ is at most 8 by corollary 3.2, which is a contradiction since we know that $\#H_2(8) = 16 \cdot \#H_2(4)$. Using the notation (3.10), there is a quadratic entanglement between $\mathbb{Q}(E[2^k])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[\sqrt[4]{\Delta_E}'])$, where k is as defined in equation (3.11).

3.3.1. *Description of image.* We now describe the adelic image G_E of a $2\mathrm{Cn}$ -Serre curve E . Let $G_1 \subseteq G_E(2)$ be the index 3 subgroup such that $G_E(2^j)/G_1 \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[2^j])/\mathbb{Q})$. Let $\omega : \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow G/G_1$ be the cubic character. Let $\xi : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[2])/\mathbb{Q})$ be the restriction of cyclotomic character associated to $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}})$. If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_2$, further let $G_2 \subseteq G_E(2^k)$ be the index 2 subgroup such that $G_E(2^k)/G_2 \cong \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{\Delta_E})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$, where k is 2 if $\sqrt{\Delta_E}$ is odd and k is 3 otherwise. Let $\epsilon : \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \rightarrow G/G_2$ be the associated quadratic character and let $\chi : \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}^\times \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{\Delta_E})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \{\pm 1\}$ be the Dirichlet character.

Proposition 3.10. *Let notation be as above. If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_2$, then*

$$G_E = \widehat{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \omega(A) = \xi(\det(A))\} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon(A) = \chi(\det(A))\}.$$

If $G_E(2^\infty) \in \{H_{2a}, H_{2b}\}$, then

$$G_E = \widehat{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \omega(A) = \xi(\det(A))\}.$$

Proof. Assume that $G_E(2^\infty) = H_2$. The inclusion

$$(3.20) \quad G_E \subseteq \widehat{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \omega(A) = \xi(\det(A))\} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon(A) = \chi(\det(A))\}$$

follows from $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[8])$ and $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(E[\sqrt[4]{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}])$. By Proposition 2.13, we have that (3.20) is actually an equality, because it is clear that

$$\left[\mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) : \widehat{G_E(2^\infty)} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \omega(A) = \xi(\det(A))\} \cap \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}) \mid \epsilon(A) = \chi(\det(A))\} \right] = 12.$$

When $G_E(2^\infty) \neq H_2$, the proof is similar. \square

From the above proposition, we obtain the following formula for m_E upon noting that the level of H_2 is 2, H_{2a} is 4, and H_{2b} is 8.

Corollary 3.11. *Let E/\mathbb{Q} be a $2C_n$ -Serre curve.*

- If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_{2a}$, then

$$m_E = \text{lcm}(4, \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}).$$

- If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_{2b}$, then

$$m_E = \text{lcm}(8, \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}).$$

- If $G_E(2^\infty) = H_2$, then

$$m_E = \begin{cases} \text{lcm}(4, \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}, |\sqrt{\Delta_E}'|) & 2 \nmid (\sqrt{\Delta_E})_{\text{sf}} \\ \text{lcm}(8, \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}, |\sqrt{\Delta_E}'|) & 2 \mid (\sqrt{\Delta_E})_{\text{sf}} \end{cases}$$

4. AN APPLICATION

In the previous section, we described the adelic Galois image of a Serre curve relative to an obstruction modulo 2. There are numerous applications of such an understanding, including to problems concerning the distribution of prime numbers with certain properties relating to the arithmetic of elliptic curves. The following problems are some well-known examples of this sort.

- (1) Koblitz conjecture [20] (and Zywina's refinement [41])
- (2) Lang-Trotter conjecture [22]
- (3) Titchmarsh divisor problem for elliptic curves [28]
- (4) Cyclicity conjecture [35]

In this section, we consider the cyclicity conjecture, which asks: For an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} , what is the density of primes p of good reduction for E with the property that the group $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is cyclic? Serre first studied this problem. He proved the following conditional result.

Theorem 4.1 (Serre [35]). *Assume GRH. If E/\mathbb{Q} is an elliptic curve of conductor N_E , then*

$$\#\{p \leq x : p \nmid N_E \text{ and } E(\mathbb{F}_p) \text{ is cyclic}\} \sim C_E \frac{x}{\log x}$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where $C_E := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\mu(n)}{[\mathbb{Q}(E[n]):\mathbb{Q}]}$ in which $\mu(\cdot)$ denotes the Möbius function.

The constant C_E , defined in Theorem 4.1, depends only on the adelic image G_E . In his thesis, Brau [4, 5] gave a framework for explicitly computing C_E , provided that E has abelian entanglements. One says that E has *abelian entanglements* if for every pair of relatively prime positive integers (m_1, m_2) , the extension $\mathbb{Q}(E[m_1]) \cap \mathbb{Q}(E[m_2])$ over \mathbb{Q} is abelian. Let $m := (m_E)_{\text{sf}}$ be the squarefree part of the image conductor m_E and consider the quotient group

$$\Phi_E := \left(\prod_{\ell \mid m} G_E(\ell) \right) / G_E(m).$$

This group quantifies the prime-level entanglements of E .

With the above notation and terminology, Brau proved the following result.

Theorem 4.2 (Brau [4, 5]). *Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve with abelian entanglements. For a character χ of the group $\prod_{\ell \mid m} G_E(\ell)$ obtained by composing the natural quotient map $\prod_{\ell \mid m} G_E(\ell) \rightarrow \Phi_E$ with*

a character $\tilde{\chi}$ of the group Φ_E , define the constant $E_{\chi,\ell}$ as follows:

$$E_{\chi,\ell} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is trivial on } G_E(\ell) \\ \frac{-1}{[\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell]):\mathbb{Q}]-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the cyclicity constant of Theorem 4.1 is given by

$$C_E = \mathfrak{C}_E \prod_{\ell} \left(1 - \frac{1}{[\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell]):\mathbb{Q}]} \right)$$

where \mathfrak{C}_E denotes the entanglement correction factor

$$\mathfrak{C}_E := 1 + \sum_{\tilde{\chi} \in \widehat{\Phi}_E \setminus \{1\}} \prod_{\ell|m} E_{\chi,\ell}$$

in which $\widehat{\Phi}_E$ denotes the character group of Φ_E .

Applying Theorem 4.2 when E is a Serre curves, Brau gave a formula for the cyclicity constant C_E in terms of only the discriminant Δ_E . In a similar way, we now apply Theorem 4.2 with our results of Section 3 to give an analogous formula for relative Serre curves. The case when E is a 2Cs-Serre curve is trivial, since $G_E(2) = 2Cs$ implies that $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is contained in the rational torsion of E , so $C_E = 0$. Thus we only consider the cases of 2B- and 2Cn-Serre curves.

Proposition 4.3. *Let E be a 2B-Serre curve given by (3.14). Write $\Delta_{E,sf}$ to denote the squarefree part of the discriminant of any model of E . Then the cyclicity constant is given by*

$$C_E = \mathfrak{C}_E \cdot \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\ell \text{ odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)} \right)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{C}_E = \begin{cases} 1 - \prod_{\ell|\Delta_{E,sf}} \frac{-1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)} & \Delta_{E,sf} \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have that $\mathbb{Q}(E[2]) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\Delta_{E,sf}})$. Thus $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ entangles with $\mathbb{Q}(E[d])$ for some d coprime to 2 if and only if $\Delta_{E,sf} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. By this fact, together with Proposition 3.8, we have that Φ_E is of order 2 if $\Delta_{E,sf} \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and Φ_E is trivial otherwise. Consider the former case. Here there are 2 characters of the group Φ_E . Moreover, the non-identity character χ corresponds to the non-identity character of group Φ_E and is non-trivial on $G_E(\ell)$ for each $\ell|m$ because it factors through the quotient group Φ_E . So, the entanglement correction factor must be given by

$$\mathfrak{C}_E = 1 + (-1) \prod_{\ell|\Delta_{E,sf}} \frac{-1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)}$$

and cyclicity constant is given by

$$C_E = \mathfrak{C}_E \frac{1}{2} \prod_{\ell \text{ odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)} \right).$$

since $[\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell]) : \mathbb{Q}] = \#G_E(\ell)$ equals 2 if $\ell = 2$ and equals $\#\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ otherwise. In the latter case, that $\Delta_{E,\mathrm{sf}} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, we have that $\mathfrak{C}_E = 1$ as Φ_E is trivial. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let E be a $2C_n$ -Serre curve. Then the cyclicity constant is given by*

$$C_E = \mathfrak{C}_E \cdot \frac{2}{3} \prod_{\ell \text{ odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)} \right)$$

where

$$\mathfrak{C}_E = \begin{cases} 1 - \prod_{\ell | \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}} \frac{-1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)} & \text{if } \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}} \text{ is squarefree} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have that $\mathbb{Q}(E[2])$ is a cyclic cubic field of conductor $\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}$. By this fact, together with Proposition 3.10, we have that Φ_E is of order 3 if $\sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}$ is squarefree, and Φ_E is trivial otherwise. Consider the former case. Here there are 3 characters of the group Φ_E . Moreover, the non-identity characters χ correspond to non-identity characters of Φ_E , and are non-trivial on $G_E(\ell)$ for each $\ell | m$ because they factor through Φ_E . Thus, the entanglement correction factor of Theorem 4.2 is given by

$$\mathfrak{C}_E = 1 + 2(-1/2) \prod_{\ell | \sqrt{\Delta_{\mathbb{Q}(E[2])}}} \frac{-1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)}$$

and cyclicity constant is given by

$$C_E = \mathfrak{C}_E \cdot \frac{2}{3} \prod_{\ell \text{ odd}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\ell^2 - 1)(\ell^2 - \ell)} \right)$$

since $[\mathbb{Q}(E[\ell]) : \mathbb{Q}] = \#G_E(\ell)$ equals 3 if $\ell = 2$ and equals $\#\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ otherwise. \square

REFERENCES

1. Shabnam Akhtari, Chantal David, Heekyoung Hahn, and Lola Thompson, *Distribution of squarefree values of sequences associated with elliptic curves*, Women in numbers 2: research directions in number theory, Contemp. Math., vol. 606, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2013, pp. 171–188. MR 3204298 [1](#)
2. Nuno Arala, *On the density of a set of primes associated to an elliptic curve*, 2021, arXiv:2111.02562. [1](#)
3. Renee Bell, Clifford Blakestad, Alina Carmen Cojocaru, Alexander Cowan, Nathan Jones, Vlad Matei, Geoffrey Smith, and Isabel Vogt, *Constants in Titchmarsh divisor problems for elliptic curves*, Res. Number Theory **6** (2020), no. 1, Paper No. 1, 24. MR 4041152 [1](#)
4. Julio Brau, *Galois representations of elliptic curves and abelian entanglements*, Ph.D. thesis, Universiteit Leiden, 2015. [1](#), [2.2](#), [3](#), [4](#), [4.2](#)
5. ———, *Character sums for elliptic curve densities*, 2017, arXiv:1703.04154. [4](#), [4.2](#)
6. Julio Brau and Nathan Jones, *Elliptic curves with 2-torsion contained in the 3-torsion field*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (2016), no. 3, 925–936. MR 3447646 [1](#), [2.2](#), [2.3](#)
7. Harvey Cohn, *Introduction to the construction of class fields*, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1994, Corrected reprint of the 1985 original. MR 1313719 [3](#)
8. John Cremona, *Elliptic curve data*, 2014, Available at <http://johncremona.github.io/ecdata/>. [1](#), [1](#)
9. Harris B. Daniels, *An infinite family of Serre curves*, J. Number Theory **155** (2015), 226–247. MR 3349445 [1](#)
10. Harris B. Daniels and Álvaro Lozano-Robledo, *Coincidences of division fields*, 2019, arXiv:1912.05618. [1](#)

11. Harris B. Daniels, Álvaro Lozano-Robledo, and Jackson S. Morrow, *Towards a classification of entanglements of galois representations attached to elliptic curves*, 2021, arXiv:2105.02060. [1](#)
12. Harris B. Daniels and Jackson S. Morrow, *A group theoretic perspective on entanglements of division fields*, 2020, arXiv:2008.09886. [1](#)
13. Noam D. Elkies, *Elliptic curves with 3-adic galois representation surjective mod 3 but not mod 9*, 2006, arXiv:0612734. [2.3](#)
14. Aaron Greicius, *Elliptic curves with surjective adelic Galois representations*, Experiment. Math. **19** (2010), no. 4, 495–507. MR 2778661 [2.3](#)
15. Nathan Jones, *Averages of elliptic curve constants*, Math. Ann. **345** (2009), no. 3, 685–710. MR 2534114 [1](#)
16. ———, *Almost all elliptic curves are Serre curves*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 3, 1547–1570. MR 2563740 [1](#)
17. ———, *Elliptic aliquot cycles of fixed length*, Pacific J. Math. **263** (2013), no. 2, 353–371. MR 3068548 [1](#)
18. ———, *GL_2 -representations with maximal image*, Math. Res. Lett. **22** (2015), no. 3, 803–839. MR 3350106 [2](#), [2](#), [2.1](#), [2.1](#)
19. Nathan Jones and Ken McMurdy, *Elliptic curves with non-abelian entanglements*, New York J. Math. **28** (2022), 182–229. MR 4374148 [1](#)
20. Neal Koblitz, *Primality of the number of points on an elliptic curve over a finite field*, Pacific J. Math. **131** (1988), no. 1, 157–165. MR 917870 [1](#)
21. Serge Lang, *Algebra*, third ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 211, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002. MR 1878556 [2.2](#)
22. Serge Lang and Hale Trotter, *Frobenius distributions in GL_2 -extensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 504, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1976, Distribution of Frobenius automorphisms in GL_2 -extensions of the rational numbers. MR 0568299 [2.2](#), [2](#)
23. Davide Lombardo and Sebastiano Tronto, *Some uniform bounds for elliptic curves over \mathbb{q}* , 2021, arXiv:2106.09950. [1](#)
24. Jacob Mayle, *Galois representations of abelian varieties*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Illinois Chicago, 2022. [1](#)
25. Jacob Mayle and Rakvi, *Github repository related to serre curves relative to obstructions modulo 2*, <https://github.com/maylejacobj/RelativeSerreCurves>, 2022. [1](#), [2.1](#), [2.2](#), [2.2](#), [2.3](#), [2.3](#), [2.4](#)
26. Barry Mazur, *Rational points on modular curves*, Modular functions of one variable, V (Proc. Second Internat. Conf., Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1976), 1977, pp. 107–148. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 601. MR 0450283 [1](#)
27. Jackson S. Morrow, *Composite images of Galois for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and entanglement fields*, Math. Comp. **88** (2019), no. 319, 2389–2421. MR 3957898 [1](#)
28. Paul Pollack, *A Titchmarsh divisor problem for elliptic curves*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **160** (2016), no. 1, 167–189. MR 3432335 [3](#)
29. Jeremy Rouse, Andrew V. Sutherland, and David Zureick-Brown, *ℓ -adic images of galois for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}* , 2021, arXiv:2106.11141. [1](#)
30. Jeremy Rouse and David Zureick-Brown, *Images of the 2-adic galois representation attached to elliptic curves*, <https://users.wfu.edu/rouseja/2adic/>. [1](#), [2.3](#), [3.1](#), [3.1.1](#), [3.2](#), [3.3](#)
31. ———, *Elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q} and 2-adic images of Galois*, Res. Number Theory **1** (2015), Paper No. 12, 34. MR 3500996 [1](#), [2.3](#)
32. Jean-Pierre Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331. MR 387283 [1](#), [1](#)
33. ———, *Abelian l -adic representations and elliptic curves*, second ed., Advanced Book Classics, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Advanced Book Program, Redwood City, CA, 1989, With the collaboration of Willem Kuyk and John Labute. MR 1043865 [2.3](#)
34. ———, *Topics in Galois theory*, second ed., Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1, A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 2008, With notes by Henri Darmon. MR 2363329 [3.3](#)

35. ———, *Résumé des cours de 1977–1978; Oeuvres/Collected papers. III. 1972–1984*, Springer Collected Works in Mathematics, Springer, Heidelberg, 2013, Reprint of the 2003 edition [of the 1986 original MR0926691]. MR 3223094 [4](#), [4.1](#)
36. Hanson Smith, *Non-monogenic division fields of elliptic curves*, J. Number Theory **228** (2021), 174–187. MR 4271815 [1](#)
37. Andrew V. Sutherland, *Computing images of Galois representations attached to elliptic curves*, Forum Math. Sigma **4** (2016), Paper No. e4, 79. MR 3482279 [1](#)
38. The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and modular forms database*, 2021, Available at <https://www.lmfdb.org/>. [1](#), [2.3](#)
39. Jeffrey Yelton, *A note on 8-division fields of elliptic curves*, Eur. J. Math. **3** (2017), no. 3, 603–613. MR 3687433 [3](#)
40. David Zywina, *On the surjectivity of mod ℓ representations associated to elliptic curves*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **n/a**, no. n/a. [1](#), [2.3](#)
41. ———, *A refinement of Koblitz's conjecture*, Int. J. Number Theory **7** (2011), no. 3, 739–769. MR 2805578 [1](#), [1](#)
42. ———, *On the possible images of the mod ℓ representations associated to elliptic curves over q* , 2015, arXiv:1508.07660. [1](#)
43. ———, *Explicit open images for elliptic curves over \mathbb{Q}* , 2022, arXiv:2206.14959. [1](#), [2](#), [2.4](#)

APPENDIX A. TABLE OF RELATIVE SERRE CURVES

The table below gives, for each $G \in \{2Cs, 2B, 2Cn\}$ and $H \in \mathcal{S}_G$, an elliptic curve E/\mathbb{Q} with minimal conductor among G -Serre curves for which $G_E(2^\infty) = H$. For each curve, the LMFDB label and minimal Weierstrass equation is listed, along with the image conductor m_E and entanglement correction factor \mathfrak{C}_E . If $G_E(2) = 2Cs$, then $C_E = 0$. Thus \mathfrak{C}_E is not given for $2Cs$ -Serre curves.

G	$G_E(2^\infty)$	LMFDB	Weierstrass equation	m_E	\mathfrak{C}_E
2Cs	H_8	315.a2	$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2 - 68x + 182$	420	-
2Cs	H_{8a}	1800.c3	$y^2 = x^3 - 3675x + 35750$	120	-
2Cs	H_{8b}	1089.j2	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 12546x + 173047$	132	-
2Cs	H_{8c}	120.a3	$y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 16x - 16$	120	-
2Cs	H_{8d}	33.a2	$y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 - 11x$	132	-
2Cs	H_{38}	350.b3	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 442x - 2784$	280	-
2Cs	H_{38a}	392.d3	$y^2 = x^3 - 931x - 10290$	56	-
2Cs	H_{38b}	3136.p3	$y^2 = x^3 - 3724x + 82320$	56	-
2Cs	H_{38c}	112.b3	$y^2 = x^3 - 19x - 30$	56	-
2Cs	H_{38d}	56.a3	$y^2 = x^3 - 19x + 30$	56	-
2Cs	H_{46}	198.a2	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 198x + 1120$	264	-
2Cs	H_{46a}	288.b3	$y^2 = x^3 - 21x - 20$	24	-
2Cs	H_{46b}	576.g2	$y^2 = x^3 - 84x - 160$	24	-
2Cs	H_{46c}	96.b3	$y^2 = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$	24	-
2Cs	H_{46d}	66.b2	$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 + x^2 - 22x - 49$	264	-
2B	H_6	69.a1	$y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - 16x - 25$	276	1
2B	H_{14}	1152.d1	$y^2 = x^3 - 216x - 864$	24	1
2B	H_{15}	102.a1	$y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 - 2x$	136	$\frac{78337}{78336}$
2B	H_{16}	46.a2	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 10x - 12$	184	$\frac{267169}{267168}$
2B	H_{17}	46.a1	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - x^2 - 170x - 812$	184	1
2B	H_{18}	490.f1	$y^2 + xy = x^3 - 1191x + 15721$	56	1
2B	H_{19}	102.a2	$y^2 + xy = x^3 + x^2 + 8x + 10$	136	1
2Cn	H_2	392.a1	$y^2 = x^3 - 7x + 7$	28	$\frac{2017}{2016}$
2Cn	H_{2a}	392.c1	$y^2 = x^3 - x^2 - 16x + 29$	28	$\frac{2017}{2016}$
2Cn	H_{2b}	3136.b1	$y^2 = x^3 - 1372x - 19208$	56	$\frac{2017}{2016}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAKE FOREST UNIVERSITY, WINSTON-SALEM, NC 27109

Email address: maylej@wfu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104

Email address: rakvi@sas.upenn.edu