

# ON A FAMILY OF SIEGEL POINCARÉ SERIES

SONJA ŽUNAR

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Using Poincaré series of  $K$ -finite matrix coefficients of integrable discrete series representations of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , we construct a spanning set for the space  $S_m(\Gamma)$  of Siegel cusp forms of weight  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . We prove the non-vanishing of certain elements of this spanning set using Muić's integral non-vanishing criterion for Poincaré series on locally compact Hausdorff groups. Moreover, using the representation theory of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , we study the Petersson inner products of corresponding cuspidal automorphic forms, thereby recovering a representation-theoretic proof of some well-known results on the reproducing kernel function of  $S_m(\Gamma)$ . Our results are obtained by generalizing representation-theoretic methods developed by Muić in his work on holomorphic cusp forms on the upper half-plane to the setting of Siegel cusp forms of a higher degree.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we generalize representation-theoretic methods used by G. Muić in his work on holomorphic cusp forms of integral weight on the upper half-plane [Mui10, Mui12] to the setting of Siegel cusp forms of a higher degree. Employing said methods, we construct a spanning set for the space  $S_m(\Gamma)$  of Siegel cusp forms of degree  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and weight  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$  with respect to any congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$  and shed some light on certain properties of the constructed Siegel cusp forms.

To explain our results, we recall that the space  $S_m(\Gamma)$  consists of the holomorphic functions  $f$  on the Siegel upper half-space  $\mathcal{H}_n = \{z = x + iy \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : z^\top = z, y > 0\}$  such that  $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}_n} |f(z)| \det y^{\frac{m}{2}} < \infty$  and

$$(f|_m \gamma)(z) := \det(Cz + D)^{-m} f((Az + B)(Cz + D)^{-1}) = f(z)$$

for all  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$  and  $z \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . Equipped with the Petersson inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma \cap \{\pm I_{2n}\}|} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} f_1(z) \overline{f_2(z)} \det y^m dv(z),$$

where  $dv(z) = (\det y)^{-n-1} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} dx_{r,s} dy_{r,s}$ ,  $S_m(\Gamma)$  is a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. One of our main results is the following theorem.

---

FACULTY OF GEODESY, UNIVERSITY OF ZAGREB, KAČIĆEVA 26, 10000 ZAGREB, CROATIA

*E-mail address:* [szunar@geof.hr](mailto:szunar@geof.hr).

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F03, 11F46.

*Key words and phrases.* Siegel cusp forms, Poincaré series.

The author acknowledges the Croatian Science Foundation grant IP-2018-01-3628.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . For every polynomial  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]$ , let  $f_{\mu,m} : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$f_{\mu,m}(z) = (2i)^{mn} \frac{\mu((z - iI_n)(z + iI_n)^{-1})}{\det(z + iI_n)^m}.$$

*Then, the Poincaré series*

$$P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_{\mu,m}|_m \gamma$$

*converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , and we have*

$$S_m(\Gamma) = \{P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m} : \mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]\}.$$

Our proof of Thm. 5.5 uses the classical lift  $\Phi_m$  that takes every function  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to a function  $F_f : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$F_f(g) = (f|_m g)(iI_n).$$

The lift  $\Phi_m$  is known to map Siegel cusp forms in  $S_m(\Gamma)$  to cuspidal automorphic forms on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, the space  $\Phi_m(S_m(\Gamma))$  can be described explicitly in terms of the spectral decomposition of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  (see Prop. 4.1 and 4.4). By this description and a result of D. Miličić (see Lem. 5.1), the space  $\Phi_m(S_m(\Gamma))$  is spanned by the Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma \varphi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma \cdot)$  of certain  $K$ -finite matrix coefficients  $\varphi$  of an antiholomorphic discrete series representation  $\pi_m^*$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  (see Prop. 5.2). In Prop. 5.3(ii), we prove that these matrix coefficients are exactly the functions  $F_{f_{\mu,m}}$  using some classical Harish-Chandra's results and the well-known fact that the functions  $f_{\mu,m}$  constitute the subspace  $(H_m)_K$  of  $K$ -finite vectors in a holomorphic discrete series representation  $(\pi_m, H_m)$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ .

In Sect. 6, we study the non-vanishing of Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma F_{f_{\mu,m}}$  and (equivalently)  $P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m}$  using Muic's integral non-vanishing criterion given by Lem. 6.1. The main result of this section is Prop. 6.5. Here, we only highlight its following corollary.

**Corollary 6.6.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n] \setminus \{0\}$ . Then,*

$$P_{\Gamma_n(N)} F_{f_{\mu,m}} \not\equiv 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\Gamma_n(N)} f_{\mu,m} \not\equiv 0 \quad \text{for} \quad N \gg 0.$$

The following proposition is an example of a more explicit non-vanishing result.

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then:*

- (i)  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)} F_{f_{\det^l, m}} \equiv 0$  and  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)} f_{\det^l, m} \equiv 0$  if one of the following holds:
  - (V1)  $N = 1$  and  $4 \nmid m + 2l$
  - (V2)  $N = 2$  and  $2 \nmid m$ .
- (ii) Let us denote

$$A_t^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : t > x_1 > \dots > x_n > 0\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}.$$

We define the functions  $\phi_{l,m} : A_1^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ ,

$$\phi_{l,m}(x) = \left( \prod_{r=1}^n x_r^{\frac{l}{2}} (1 - x_r)^{\frac{m}{2} - n - 1} \right) \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (x_r - x_s),$$

and  $M : \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,

$$M(N) = \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{4n}{N^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{4n}{N^2}} \right)^{-2},$$

and let  $N_0(\det^l, m)$  be the smallest integer  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that

$$\int_{A_{M(N)}^+} \phi_{l,m}(x) dx > \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_1^+} \phi_{l,m}(x) dx.$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that neither (V1) nor (V2) holds. If  $N \geq N_0(\det^l, m)$ , then  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)} F_{f_{\det^l, m}} \neq 0$  and  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)} f_{\det^l, m} \neq 0$ .

In Sect. 7, using the representation theory of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , we compute the Petersson inner products of the Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma F_{f_{1,m}}$  with cuspidal automorphic forms in  $\Phi_m(S_m(\Gamma))$ , thus extending Muić's result [Mui12, Thm. 2-11], in which only the case when  $n = 1$  was considered. By applying the inverse of the lift  $\Phi_m$ , we obtain

**Corollary 7.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . Then,*

$$\langle f, P_\Gamma f_{1,m} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = C_{m,n} f(iI_n), \quad f \in S_m(\Gamma),$$

where

$$C_{m,n} = 2^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \prod_{r=1}^n \frac{\Gamma\left(m - \frac{n+r}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(m - \frac{r-1}{2}\right)}.$$

As an application of Cor. 7.2, in Sect. 8 we easily recover the well-known formula for the Siegel cusp forms that, in a sense, comprise the reproducing kernel function for  $S_m(\Gamma)$  (see [God57-10, p. 30] and [God57-6, the last formula]; cf. [Kli90, Ch. 3, §6, Thm. 1]). In other words, we give a representation-theoretic proof of

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$  and  $\xi = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . We define the function  $f_{1,m,\xi} : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$f_{1,m,\xi}(z) = C_{m,n}^{-1} \det \left( \frac{1}{2i} (z - \bar{\xi}) \right)^{-m}.$$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then, the Poincaré series

$$\Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} = P_\Gamma f_{1,m,\xi} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f_{1,m,\xi} |_{\gamma}$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and defines a Siegel cusp form  $\Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} \in S_m(\Gamma)$  that satisfies

$$\langle f, \Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = f(\xi), \quad f \in S_m(\Gamma).$$

*Acknowledgements.* I would like to thank Goran Muić for turning my attention to the problems considered in this paper.

## 2. BASIC NOTATION

Throughout the paper, we fix  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . We will write  $z^\top$  for the transpose,  $z^*$  for the conjugate transpose, and  $\text{tr}(z)$  for the trace of a matrix  $z \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ . For every set  $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\text{Diag}_n(S)$  be the set of diagonal matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$  whose diagonal entries belong to  $S$ .

Let  $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ -I_n & \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ . The group

$$\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in \text{GL}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) : g^\top J_n g = J_n\}$$

acts on the left on the Siegel upper half-space

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \{z = x + iy \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : z^\top = z, y > 0\}$$

transitively by generalized linear fractional transformations:

$$g.z = (Az + B)(Cz + D)^{-1}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathcal{H}_n.$$

Moreover,  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  acts on the right on the set  $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{H}_n}$  of functions  $\mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$(2-1) \quad (f|_m g)(z) = j(g, z)^{-m} f(g.z), \quad f \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{H}_n}, \quad g \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathcal{H}_n,$$

where

$$j(g, z) = \det(Cz + D), \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad z \in \mathcal{H}_n.$$

Recall that  $j$  is an automorphic factor: for all  $g_1, g_2 \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $z \in \mathcal{H}_n$ , we have

$$(2-2) \quad j(g_1 g_2, z) = j(g_1, g_2.z) j(g_2, z).$$

Every  $g \in \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  has a unique factorization

$$(2-3) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & x \\ & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $x, y, A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  are such that  $x + iy = g.(iI_n)$  and  $A + iB \in \text{U}(n)$ . Let us denote the three factors on the right-hand side of (2-3), from left to right, by  $n_x$ ,  $a_y$ , and  $k_{A+iB}$ . The assignment  $u \mapsto k_u$  defines a Lie group isomorphism from  $\text{U}(n)$  to the maximal compact subgroup  $K = \text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \text{U}(2n)$  of  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ . For every  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define a character  $\chi_r : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ ,

$$\chi_r(k_u) = \det u^r, \quad u \in \text{U}(n).$$

Let  $\nu$  be the  $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant Radon measure on  $\mathcal{H}_n$  given by

$$d\nu(z) = d\nu(x + iy) = (\det y)^{-n-1} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} dx_{r,s} dy_{r,s}.$$

The generalized linear fractional transformation corresponding to the matrix  $\ell = \frac{1}{2i} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & -iI_n \\ I_n & iI_n \end{pmatrix}$

defines a biholomorphic function from  $\mathcal{H}_n$  to the bounded domain

$$\mathcal{D}_n = \{w \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) : w^\top = w, I_n - w^*w > 0\}.$$

We have

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}_n} f(z) d\mathbf{v}(z) = \int_{\mathcal{D}_n} f(\ell^{-1}.w) d\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{D}}(w), \quad f \in C_c(\mathcal{H}_n),$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{D}}$  is the Radon measure on  $\mathcal{D}_n$  given by

$$(2-4) \quad d\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{D}}(w) = d\mathbf{v}_{\mathcal{D}}(u + iv) = 2^{n(n+1)} \det(I_n - w^*w)^{-n-1} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} du_{r,s} dv_{r,s},$$

and  $C_c(\mathcal{H}_n)$  is the space of compactly supported continuous functions  $\mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

It follows from [Kna96, Thm. 8.36] that a Haar measure on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  is given by

$$(2-5) \quad \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} f(g) dg = \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} \int_K f(n_x a_y k) dk d\mathbf{v}(z), \quad f \in C_c(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})),$$

where  $dk$  denotes the Haar measure on  $K$  with respect to which  $\mathrm{vol}(K) = 1$ . For every discrete subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , there is a unique Radon measure on  $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$(2-6) \quad \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(\gamma g) dg = \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} f(g) dg, \quad f \in C_c(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})),$$

or equivalently

$$(2-7) \quad \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} f(g) dg = \varepsilon_{\Gamma}^{-1} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} \int_K f(n_x a_y k) dk d\mathbf{v}(z), \quad f \in C_c(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})),$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\Gamma} = |\Gamma \cap \{\pm I_{2n}\}|$ . Using this measure, we define in a standard way the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  of (equivalence classes of) square-integrable functions  $\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

By [AndZhu95, Ch. 1, Lem. 2.8], we have

$$(2-8) \quad \mathfrak{S}(g.z) = (Cz + D)^{-*} y (Cz + D)^{-1}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}_n,$$

which implies that for all  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have

$$(2-9) \quad \sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}_n} |(f|_m g)(z)| \det y^{\frac{m}{2}} = \sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}_n} |f(z)| \det y^{\frac{m}{2}}$$

and if  $f$  is Borel measurable, then

$$(2-10) \quad \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} |(f|_m g)(z)| \det y^{\frac{m}{2}} d\mathbf{v}(z) = \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} |f(z)| \det y^{\frac{m}{2}} d\mathbf{v}(z).$$

Given a real Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we will denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$  its complexification  $\mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ . For  $r = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $T_r = -i \begin{pmatrix} & E_{r,r} \\ -E_{r,r} & \end{pmatrix} \in \mathfrak{gl}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $E_{r,r} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$  is the matrix unit with  $(r, r)$ -th entry 1. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h} = i \bigoplus_{r=1}^n \mathbb{R} T_r$  is a Cartan subalgebra both of  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})) \equiv \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  and of  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathrm{Lie}(K)$ . Let  $e_r$  denote the linear functional on  $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}}$  such that  $e_r(T_s) = \delta_{r,s}$  for all  $s$ . We fix the following choice of the set  $\Delta_K^+$  of compact positive roots and the set  $\Delta_n^+$  of non-compact positive roots in  $\Delta(\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_K^+ &= \{e_r - e_s : 1 \leq r < s \leq n\}, \\ \Delta_n^+ &= \{e_r + e_s : 1 \leq r \leq s \leq n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha$  the root subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  corresponding to a root  $\alpha$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_K^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_K^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}, \quad \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^+ = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}^- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$  be the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$  denote the center of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ . Given a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module  $V$  and a subset  $U$  of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ , let  $V^U$  denote the subspace of vectors  $v \in V$  such that  $u.v = 0$  for all  $u \in U$ . A vector  $v \in V$  is said to be  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite if  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}).v < \infty$ .

Given a unitary representation  $(\pi, H)$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , let  $H_K$  denote the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module of smooth vectors  $v \in H$  that are  $K$ -finite, i.e., such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \pi(K)v < \infty$ . Moreover, for every irreducible unitary representation  $\rho$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , let us denote by  $[\rho]$  the unitary equivalence class of  $\rho$ , and by  $H_{[\rho]}$  the sum of irreducible closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspaces of  $H$  that are equivalent to  $\rho$ . Similarly, given a  $K$ -module  $V$  and an irreducible representation  $\tau$  of  $K$ , we denote by  $[\tau]$  the equivalence class of  $\tau$ , and by  $V_{[\tau]}$  the sum of  $K$ -invariant subspaces of  $V$  that are equivalent to  $\tau$ .

### 3. PRELIMINARIES ON CERTAIN HIGHEST WEIGHT REPRESENTATIONS

Througout this section, suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ . Let  $H_m$  be the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}_n} |f(z)|^2 \det y^m d\nu(z) < \infty,$$

with the inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{H_m} = \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} f_1(z) \overline{f_2(z)} \det y^m d\nu(z).$$

The irreducible unitary representation  $(\pi_m, H_m)$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$\pi_m(g)f = f|_m g^{-1}, \quad g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad f \in H_m,$$

belongs to the holomorphic discrete series of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  (see, e.g., [Gel73, §3]).

Another useful realization  $(\pi_m^\ell, D_m)$  of  $\pi_m$  can be defined by requiring that the assignment  $f \mapsto f|_m \ell^{-1}$  define a unitary  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivalence  $H_m \rightarrow D_m$ . One checks easily that  $D_m$  is the Hilbert space of holomorphic functions  $f : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_n} |f(w)|^2 \det(I_n - w^*w)^m d\nu_{\mathcal{D}}(w) < \infty,$$

with the inner product

$$(3-1) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{D_m} = \int_{\mathcal{D}_n} f_1(w) \overline{f_2(w)} \det(I_n - w^*w)^m d\nu_{\mathcal{D}}(w),$$

and that

$$\pi_m^\ell(g)f = f|_m \ell g^{-1} \ell^{-1}, \quad g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad f \in D_m.$$

For every  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , let  $D_{m,d}$  be the finite-dimensional  $K$ -invariant subspace of  $D_m$  consisting of the functions  $p_\mu : \mathcal{D}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(3-2) \quad p_\mu(w) = \mu(w),$$

where  $\mu$  is a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]$ . The  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $(D_m)_K$  of  $K$ -finite vectors in  $D_m$  has a direct sum decomposition

$$(3-3) \quad (D_m)_K = \bigoplus_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} D_{m,d}.$$

Writing  $D_{m,-1} = 0$ , one shows easily that  $\pi_m^\ell(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^\pm)D_{m,d} \subseteq D_{m,d \mp 1}$  for every  $d$ . In particular, the 1-dimensional space  $D_{m,0} \subseteq (D_m)_{[\chi_{-m}]}$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ . In fact:

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ . Then:*

- (i) *The representation  $(\pi_m, H_m)$  is, up to unitary equivalence, the unique irreducible unitary representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  containing a one-dimensional subspace that is  $K$ -equivalent to  $\chi_{-m}$  and annihilated by  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ .*
- (ii) *The contragredient representation  $(\pi_m^*, H_m^*)$  is, up to unitary equivalence, the unique irreducible unitary representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  containing a one-dimensional subspace that is  $K$ -equivalent to  $\chi_m$  and annihilated by  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ .*

*Proof.* This result follows from the theory of Verma modules. More precisely, by [Wall88, first part of Thm. 3.4.11] and [Hum80, §20.3, Thm. A], the stated properties uniquely determine the  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module isomorphism class or equivalently, by the connectedness of  $K$  and [Hall15, Cor. 3.49], the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module isomorphism class of  $(H_m)_K$  (resp.,  $(H_m^*)_K$ ). Thus, by Harish-Chandra's result [Wall88, second part of Thm. 3.4.11] they uniquely determine the unitary equivalence class of the representation  $\pi_m$  (resp.,  $\pi_m^*$ ).  $\square$

In terms of [Kna86, Thm. 9.20],  $\pi_m$  is a discrete series representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  with Harish-Chandra parameter  $-\sum_{r=1}^n (m-r)e_r$ , Blattner parameter  $-m \sum_{r=1}^n e_r$ , and highest  $K$ -type  $\chi_{-m}$ . The contragredient representation  $\pi_m^*$  is an antiholomorphic discrete series representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  with Harish-Chandra parameter  $\sum_{r=1}^n (m-r)e_r$ , Blattner parameter  $m \sum_{r=1}^n e_r$ , and lowest  $K$ -type  $\chi_m$ . In particular,

$$(3-4) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H_m)_{[\chi_{-m}]} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(H_m^*)_{[\chi_m]} = 1.$$

Recall that an irreducible unitary representation  $(\pi, H)$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  is said to be integrable if for all  $h, h' \in H_K$ , the matrix coefficient  $c_{h,h'} : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$c_{h,h'}(g) = \langle \pi(g)h, h' \rangle_H,$$

belongs to  $L^1(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ . By [HecSch76], we have the following

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ . The representation  $\pi_m$  (resp.,  $\pi_m^*$ ) is integrable if and only if  $m > 2n$ .*

#### 4. SIEGEL CUSP FORMS

Throughout this section, let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$  of level  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , i.e., a subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$  containing the principal level  $N$  congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_n(N) = \{g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) : g \equiv I_{2n} \pmod{N}\}.$$

A Siegel cusp form of weight  $m$  for  $\Gamma$  is a holomorphic function  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the following two properties:

(S1)  $f|_m \gamma = f$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

(S2)  $\sup_{z \in \mathcal{H}_n} |f(z)| \det y^{\frac{m}{2}} < \infty$ .

Such functions constitute a finite-dimensional complex vector space  $S_m(\Gamma)$ , which we equip with the Petersson inner product

$$(4-1) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = \varepsilon_\Gamma^{-1} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} f_1(z) \overline{f_2(z)} \det y^m dv(z),$$

where  $\varepsilon_\Gamma = |\Gamma \cap \{\pm I_{2n}\}|$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  be the space of cuspidal automorphic forms for  $\Gamma$ , i.e., smooth functions  $\varphi : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with the following properties:

(A1)  $\varphi(\gamma g) = \varphi(g)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ .

(A2)  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite, i.e.,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})\varphi < \infty$ .

(A3)  $\varphi$  is  $K$ -finite on the right, i.e.,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{\varphi(\cdot k) : k \in K\} < \infty$ .

(A4)  $\varphi$  is cuspidal, i.e., for every proper  $\mathbb{Q}$ -parabolic subgroup  $P$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ , we have

$$\int_{(\Gamma \cap U(\mathbb{R})) \backslash U(\mathbb{R})} \varphi(ug) du = 0, \quad g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}),$$

where  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $P$ .

(A5)  $\varphi$  satisfies the following, assuming (A1)–(A4) mutually equivalent [BorJac79, §1.8], conditions:

(a)  $\varphi$  is bounded.

(b)  $\varphi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ .

The space  $\mathcal{A}_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  is the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite,  $K$ -finite, smooth vectors in the right regular representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  on the space  $L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  of cuspidal (equivalence classes of) functions in  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  [BorJac79, §2.2].

Next, let us introduce the classical lift  $\Phi_m$  that maps each function  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  to the function  $F_f : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$(4-2) \quad F_f(g) = (f|_m g)(iI_n) = \chi_m(k_u) \det y^{\frac{m}{2}} f(x + iy), \quad g = n_x a_y k_u \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

One checks easily that for every  $\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$(4-3) \quad f|_m \gamma = f \quad \text{if and only if} \quad F_f(\gamma \cdot) = F_f.$$

Moreover, by an obvious variant of [AsgSch01, Lem. 7], for every smooth function  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the following equivalence holds:

$$(4-4) \quad f \text{ is holomorphic} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^- F_f = 0.$$

Lacking a suitable reference, we sketch a proof of the following well-known

**Proposition 4.1.** *The assignment  $f \mapsto F_f$  defines a unitary isomorphism*

$$\Lambda_m : S_m(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-},$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-}$  is regarded as a subspace of the Hilbert space  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ .



*Proof.* Let  $f \in S_m(\Gamma)$ . The function  $F_f$  is obviously smooth and satisfies (A1) by (4-3). It transforms on the right as  $\chi_m$  by (4-2) and is hence  $K$ -finite on the right and annihilated by  $\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^+$ . It is also annihilated by  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-$  by (4-4) and is hence  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite, as  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{k}) + \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})(\mathfrak{k}_{\mathbb{C}}^+ + \mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-)$  by an application of [Kna86, Lem. 8.17] with a suitable choice of positive roots. Moreover,  $F_f$  is cuspidal by an obvious modification of [AsgSch01, proof of Lem. 5], and we have  $\|F_f\|_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} = \|f\|_{S_m(\Gamma)}$  by (2-7), (4-2), and (4-1). Thus,  $F_f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-}$ , and  $\Lambda_m$  is a well-defined linear isometry.

To prove the surjectivity of  $\Lambda_m$ , let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-}$ . The unique function  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\varphi = F_f$  is obviously given by  $f(z) = \varphi(n_x a_y) \det y^{-\frac{m}{2}}$  for  $z = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . This function is holomorphic by (4-4), satisfies (S1) by (4-3), and satisfies (S2) by the boundedness of  $\varphi$ , hence belongs to  $S_m(\Gamma)$ . This proves the claim.  $\square$

In Prop. 4.4 below, we will give another description of the image  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-}$  of the unitary isomorphism  $\Lambda_m$ . To this end, we note that lemma [Har66, Lem. 77] continues to hold after replacing the space  $L^2(G)$  in its statement by  $L^2(\Delta \backslash G)$ , where  $\Delta$  is a discrete subgroup of  $G$ . In particular, we have the following

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, the smallest closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspace of  $L_{\mathrm{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  containing  $\varphi$  is an orthogonal sum of finitely many irreducible closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspaces.*

**Lemma 4.3.** *Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then, the smallest closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspace  $E$  of  $L_{\mathrm{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  containing  $\varphi$  is unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_m^*$ , and  $E_{[\chi_m]} = \mathbb{C}\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* By Lem. 4.2,  $E$  is an orthogonal sum of finitely many irreducible closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspaces  $E_r$ ,  $r = 1, \dots, p$ . In particular,  $E$  is admissible by [Wall88, Thm. 3.4.10], hence by Harish-Chandra's result [KnaVog95, Thm. 0.4] and the definition of  $E$ ,  $\varphi$  generates the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $E_K$ .

By (3-4), we can fix  $f_m^* \in H_m^* \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $(H_m^*)_{[\chi_m]} = \mathbb{C}f_m^*$ . For each  $r$ , the orthogonal projection  $\varphi_r$  of  $\varphi$  onto  $E_r$  is non-zero by the definition of  $E$ , transforms on the right as  $\chi_m$ , and is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-$ , hence  $E_r \cong (\pi_m^*, H_m^*)$  by Lem. 3.1(ii), and  $(E_r)_{[\chi_m]} = \mathbb{C}\varphi_r$  by (3-4). Thus, by Schur's lemma [Wall88, Lem. 1.2.1], there exists a unique continuous  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant operator  $P_r : H_m^* \rightarrow E_r$  such that  $P_r f_m^* = \varphi_r$ . The operator  $P = \sum_{r=1}^p P_r : H_m^* \rightarrow E$  is continuous and  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivariant, and  $P f_m^* = \varphi$ . Since the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $(H_m^*)_K$  is irreducible by [Wall88, first part of Thm. 3.4.11], and  $E_K$  is generated by  $\varphi$ ,  $P$  restricts to a  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module isomorphism  $(H_m^*)_K \rightarrow E_K$ . Thus,  $E$  is infinitesimally equivalent to the irreducible unitary representation  $\pi_m^*$ , hence by [Wall88, Thm. 3.4.12 and second part of Thm. 3.4.11] it is unitarily equivalent to  $\pi_m^*$ . In particular, the space  $E_{[\chi_m]}$  is 1-dimensional and hence, as it obviously contains  $\varphi$ , equals  $\mathbb{C}\varphi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ . Then,*

$$(4-5) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^-} = (L_{\mathrm{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi_m^*]})_{[\chi_m]}.$$

*Proof.* The inclusion from left to right holds by Lem. 4.3. The reverse inclusion follows easily from Lem. 3.1(ii) and (3-4) once we remember the well-known fact that for every irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , the space  $L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi]}$  is an orthogonal sum of finitely many (possibly zero) irreducible closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspaces.  $\square$

## 5. MATRIX COEFFICIENTS AND POINCARÉ SERIES

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ . For every  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ , the Poincaré series

$$(P_\Gamma \varphi)(g) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma g)$$

converges absolutely almost everywhere on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $P_\Gamma \varphi \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  [Mui09, §4]. This in particular holds if  $\varphi$  is a  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient  $c_{h,h'}$  of an integrable representation  $\pi$  of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, since such a matrix coefficient is obviously a  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite and left and right  $K$ -finite smooth function on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , the Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma c_{h,h'}$  converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  and defines a bounded function on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  by [Mui09, proof of Thm. 3.10(i)] and [Mui19, Lem. 6.3].

From now on, let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $\mathrm{vol}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})) < \infty$ , the boundedness of  $P_\Gamma c_{h,h'}$  and the cuspidality of  $c_{h,h'}$  [Wall88, 7.7.1] imply that  $P_\Gamma c_{h,h'} \in L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ . In fact, we have the following

**Lemma 5.1.** *Let  $(\pi, H)$  be an integrable representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Then,*

$$(5-1) \quad (L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi]})_K = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{P_\Gamma c_{h,h'} : h, h' \in H_K\}.$$

*Proof.* This is a special case of Miličić's result [Mui19, Lem. 6.6]. More precisely, by [Mui19, Lem. 6.6] we have

$$(5-2) \quad L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi]} = \mathrm{Cl}_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} \sum_{h' \in H_K} P_\Gamma \mathcal{B}_{h'},$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_{h'} = \mathrm{Cl}_{L^1(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} \{c_{h,h'} : h \in H_K\}$ . Since by [Mui19, Thm. 6.4(i)] the operator  $P_\Gamma : \mathcal{B}_{h'} \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  is continuous, the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module  $P_\Gamma(\{c_{h,h'} : h \in H_K\})$  is dense in  $P_\Gamma \mathcal{B}_{h'}$ , hence by (5-2) the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module

$$\mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{P_\Gamma c_{h,h'} : h, h' \in H_K\} = \sum_{h' \in H_K} P_\Gamma(\{c_{h,h'} : h \in H_K\})$$

is dense in  $L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi]}$ . This implies (5-1) by Harish-Chandra's result [KnaVog95, Thm. 0.4] since  $L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi]}$  is an admissible representation of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  by the proof of Prop. 4.4.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . Then,*

$$(5-3) \quad (L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi_m^*]})_{[\chi_m]} = \left\{ P_\Gamma c_{h,h'} : h \in (H_m^*)_{[\chi_m]}, h' \in (H_m^*)_K \right\}.$$

*Proof.* By [KnaVog95, Prop. 1.18(a)], we have a direct sum decomposition

$$(5-4) \quad (L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi_m^*]})_K = \bigoplus_{[\tau] \in \hat{K}} (L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi_m^*]})_{[\tau]},$$

where  $\hat{K}$  denotes the unitary dual of  $K$ . On the other hand, by Lem. 5.1, we have

$$(5-5) \quad (L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi_m^*]})_K = \sum_{[\tau] \in \hat{K}} \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{P_{\Gamma} c_{h,h'} : h \in (H_m^*)_{[\tau]}, h' \in (H_m^*)_K\}.$$

Since for every  $\tau \in \hat{K}$  obviously

$$(5-6) \quad \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{P_{\Gamma} c_{h,h'} : h \in (H_m^*)_{[\tau]}, h' \in (H_m^*)_K\} \subseteq (L^2_{cusp}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi_m^*]})_{[\tau]},$$

(5-4) and (5-5) imply that in fact the equality holds in (5-6). In particular, we have (5-3).  $\square$

Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ . By (3-3),

$$(5-7) \quad (H_m)_K = \{f_{\mu,m} : \mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]\},$$

where the functions  $f_{\mu,m} : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are defined by

$$(5-8) \quad f_{\mu,m}(z) = (p_{\mu}|_m \ell)(z) = (2i)^{mn} \frac{\mu((z - iI_n)(z + iI_n)^{-1})}{\det(z + iI_n)^m}, \quad z \in \mathcal{H}_n.$$

Thus, writing  $f^* = \langle \cdot, f \rangle_{H_m} \in H_m^*$  for  $f \in H_m$ , we have

$$(5-9) \quad (H_m^*)_K = \{f_{\mu,m}^* : \mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]\}$$

and

$$(5-10) \quad (H_m^*)_{[\chi_m]} = \mathbb{C}f_{1,m}^*.$$

Let us define a positive constant

$$(5-11) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{m,n} &= \|F_{f_{1,m}}\|_{L^2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))}^2 \stackrel{(2-5)}{=} \|f_{1,m}\|_{H_m}^2 = \|p_1\|_{D_m}^2 \\ &\stackrel{(3-1)}{=} \stackrel{(2-4)}{=} 2^{n(n+1)} \int_{\mathcal{D}_n} \det(I_n - w^*w)^{m-n-1} \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} du_{r,s} dv_{r,s} \\ &= 2^{\frac{n(n+3)}{2}} \pi^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \prod_{r=1}^n \frac{\Gamma(m - \frac{n+r}{2})}{\Gamma(m - \frac{r-1}{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds by [Hua63, (2.3.1)] (cf. [Kli90, III, §6, Rem. after Prop. 1]). In the last equality,  $\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function:  $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$ ,  $\Re(s) > 0$ . The second part of the following proposition gives an explicit formula for the matrix coefficients  $c_{h,h'}$  of Prop. 5.2.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$ . Let  $f \in (H_m)_K$ . Then:*

(i) *The matrix coefficient  $c_{f,f_{1,m}}$  of  $(\pi_m, H_m)$  is given by*

$$(5-12) \quad c_{f,f_{1,m}} = C_{m,n} \check{F}_f,$$

where we use the notation  $\check{\varphi} = \varphi(\cdot^{-1})$  for  $\varphi : \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

(ii) *The matrix coefficient  $c_{f_{1,m}^*, f^*}$  of  $(\pi_m^*, H_m^*)$  is given by*

$$(5-13) \quad c_{f_{1,m}^*, f^*} = C_{m,n} F_f.$$

*Proof.* The following proof is a generalization of [Mui10, proof of Lem. 3-5]. One checks easily that the assignment  $f \mapsto F_f$  defines a unitary  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivalence  $\Psi_m$  from  $(\pi_m, H_m)$  onto a closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspace  $L_m$  of the left regular representation  $(L, L^2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})))$ . In particular, for a fixed  $f \in (H_m)_K \setminus \{0\}$ , the function  $F_f \in (L_m)_K$  is  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ -finite and  $K$ -finite on the left, and by (4-2) it is also  $K$ -finite on the right. Thus, by [Har66, Thm. 1] there exists a function  $\alpha \in C_c^\infty(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  such that  $F_f = F_f * \check{\alpha}$ . Denoting by  $\mathrm{pr}_{L_m} \alpha$  the orthogonal projection of  $\alpha$  onto  $L_m$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \check{F}_f(g) &= (F_f * \check{\alpha})(g^{-1}) \\ &= \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \alpha(h) F_f(g^{-1}h) dh \\ &= \langle L(g)F_f, \alpha \rangle_{L^2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} \\ &= \langle L(g)F_f, \mathrm{pr}_{L_m} \alpha \rangle_{L_m}, \quad g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the inverse of  $\Psi_m$ , it follows that

$$(5-14) \quad \check{F}_f = c_{f,h}$$

for some  $h \in H_m$ . It is well-known that the assignment  $(h')^* \mapsto \check{c}_{(h')^*, f^*} = c_{f, h'}$  defines an  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -equivalence from  $H_m^*$  onto a closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspace of  $(L, L^2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})))$  (see, e.g., [Wall88, proof of Prop. 1.3.3]). As  $\check{F}_f$  transforms on the left as  $\chi_m$  by (4-2), it follows from (5-14) that  $h^* \in (H_m^*)_{[\chi_m]}$ , hence  $h \in \mathbb{C}f_{1,m}$  by (5-10). Thus, there exists a function  $\lambda : (H_m)_K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$(5-15) \quad c_{f, f_{1,m}} = \lambda(f) \check{F}_f, \quad f \in (H_m)_K.$$

But the composition  $f \mapsto c_{f, f_{1,m}} = \check{F}_{\lambda(f)f} \mapsto \lambda(f)f$  is a linear operator on  $(H_m)_K$ , hence the function  $\lambda$  is constant on  $(H_m)_K \setminus \{0\}$ , thus we have

$$\lambda(f) = \frac{c_{f_{1,m}, f_{1,m}}(1_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})})}{\check{F}_{f_{1,m}}(1_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})})} = \frac{\|f_{1,m}\|_{H_m}^2}{1} \stackrel{(5-11)}{=} C_{m,n}, \quad f \in (H_m)_K \setminus \{0\}.$$

This proves (5-12), from which (5-13) follows immediately.  $\square$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and left to the reader.

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Then, the Poincaré series*

$$P_\Gamma f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f|_m \gamma$$

*converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  (resp., almost everywhere on  $\mathcal{H}_n$ ) if and only if the Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma F_f = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F_f(\gamma \cdot)$  converges in the same way on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Moreover, assuming convergence, the following holds:*

- (i)  $F_{P_\Gamma f} = P_\Gamma F_f$ .
- (ii)  $P_\Gamma f \equiv 0$  if and only if  $P_\Gamma F_f \equiv 0$ .

The above results enable us to prove

**Theorem 5.5.** *Suppose that  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . For every  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]$ , the Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m}$  converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , and we have*

$$(5-16) \quad S_m(\Gamma) = \{P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m} : \mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]\}.$$

*Proof.* For every  $\mu$ , the function  $F_{\mu,m} := F_{f_{\mu,m}}$  is a  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient of the integrable representation  $\pi_m^*$  by (5-13), so the series  $P_\Gamma F_{\mu,m}$  converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets (see the beginning of Sect. 5), and hence so does the series  $P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m}$  by Lem. 5.4. Next, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathfrak{p}\bar{\mathbb{C}}} &\stackrel{(4-5)}{=} (L_{\mathrm{cusp}}^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\pi_m^*]})_{[\chi_m]} \\ &\stackrel{(5-3)}{=} \left\{ P_\Gamma c_{h,h'} : h \in (H_m^*)_{[\chi_m]}, h' \in (H_m^*)_K \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(5-10)}{\stackrel{(5-9)}{=}} \left\{ P_\Gamma c_{f_{1,m}^*, f_{\mu,m}^*} : \mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n] \right\} \\ &\stackrel{(5-13)}{=} \left\{ P_\Gamma F_{f_{\mu,m}} : \mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n] \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By applying the inverse of the unitary isomorphism  $\Lambda_m$  of Prop. 4.1 to both sides of this equality and using Lem. 5.4(i), we obtain (5-16).  $\square$

## 6. NON-VANISHING OF POINCARÉ SERIES

In this section, we study the non-vanishing of Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m}$  of Thm. 5.5 using the following result, which is a special case of the strengthened version [Žun20, Thm. 1] of Muic's non-vanishing criterion [Mui11, Lem. 2.1].

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\varphi \in L^1(\Lambda \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ . Suppose that there exists a Borel measurable subset  $C \subseteq \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  with the following properties:*

- (C1)  $\Lambda C = C$ .
- (C2)  $CC^{-1} \cap \Gamma \subseteq \Lambda$ .
- (C3)  $\int_C |\varphi(g)| dg > \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}) \backslash C} |\varphi(g)| dg$ .

*Then, the Poincaré series*

$$P_{\Lambda \backslash \Gamma} \varphi = \sum_{\gamma \in \Lambda \backslash \Gamma} \varphi(\gamma \cdot)$$

*converges absolutely almost everywhere on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $P_{\Lambda \backslash \Gamma} \varphi \in L^1(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \{0\}$ .*

By the  $KAK$  decomposition [Kna86, Thm. 5.20], every  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  has a factorization

$$g = kh_t k'$$

with  $k, k' \in K$  and  $h_t = \mathrm{diag}(e^{t_1}, \dots, e^{t_n}, e^{-t_1}, \dots, e^{-t_n})$  for some  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . This factorization is not unique:  $Kh_t K = Kh_{t'} K$  if and only if  $t$  and  $t'$  coincide up to permutations and sign changes of coordinates. For every  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $d_t = \mathrm{diag}(t) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . In terms of the  $KAK$  decomposition, the matrix coefficients  $F_{\mu,m}$  are given by a simple formula:

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>n}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n]$ . For all  $u, u' \in \mathrm{U}(n)$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have*

$$(6-1) \quad F_{\mu,m}(k_u h_t k_{u'}) = \det u^m \frac{\mu(u \tanh(d_t) u^\top)}{\det \cosh(d_t)^m} \det(u')^m.$$

*Proof.* The proof is elementary: since  $F_{\mu,m} = F_{f_{\mu,m}}$ , by (4-2) and (5-8) we have

$$F_{\mu,m}(k_u h_t k_{u'}) = (p_\mu|_m \ell k_u h_t k_{u'}) (iI_n) \stackrel{(2-1)}{=} \frac{\mu(\ell k_u h_t k_{u'} \cdot (iI_n))}{\stackrel{(3-2)}{=} j(\ell k_u h_t k_{u'}, iI_n)^m}.$$

Writing  $u = A + iB$  and  $u' = A' + iB'$  with  $A, B, A', B' \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\ell k_u h_t k_{u'} \cdot (iI_n)$  equals

$$\begin{aligned} & (iA \exp(2d_t) + B - i(-iB \exp(2d_t) + A)) (iA \exp(2d_t) + B + i(-iB \exp(2d_t) + A))^{-1} \\ &= ((A + iB) \sinh(d_t) \cdot 2i \exp(d_t)) ((A - iB) \cosh(d_t) \cdot 2i \exp(d_t))^{-1} \\ &= u \tanh(d_t) u^\top, \end{aligned}$$

and one shows similarly, using (2-2), that  $j(\ell k_u h_t k_{u'}, iI_n) = \frac{\det \cosh(d_t)}{\det(uu')}$ . The claim follows.  $\square$

We will apply Lem. 6.1 to the series  $P_\Gamma F_{\mu,m}$  using the set  $C$  of the form

$$(6-2) \quad C_R = K \{h_t : t \in [0, R]^n\} K$$

for a suitable  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ . For a complex square matrix  $g = (g_{r,s})$ , let  $\|g\| = \sqrt{\sum_{r,s} |g_{r,s}|^2}$ . The following lemma is a generalization of [Mui10, Lem. 6-20].

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and  $g \in C_R C_R^{-1}$ . Then,*

$$\|g\| < \sqrt{2n \cosh(4R)}.$$

*Proof.* By (6-2),  $g = k h_t k_u h_{-t'} k'$  for some  $k, k' \in K$ ,  $t, t' \in [0, R]^n$ , and  $u = A + iB \in \mathrm{U}(n)$ . Since  $k, k' \in \mathrm{O}(2n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|^2 &= \|h_t k_u h_{-t'}\|^2 = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \exp(d_t) A \exp(-d_{t'}) & \exp(d_t) B \exp(d_{t'}) \\ -\exp(-d_t) B \exp(-d_{t'}) & \exp(-d_t) A \exp(d_{t'}) \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2 \\ &= \sum_{r,s} \left( \left( e^{2(t_r - t'_s)} + e^{-2(t_r - t'_s)} \right) a_{r,s}^2 + \left( e^{2(t_r + t'_s)} + e^{-2(t_r + t'_s)} \right) b_{r,s}^2 \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{r,s} \left( a_{r,s}^2 \cosh 2(t_r - t'_s) + b_{r,s}^2 \cosh 2(t_r + t'_s) \right) \\ &< 2 \cosh(4R) \sum_{r,s} (a_{r,s}^2 + b_{r,s}^2) = 2 \cosh(4R) \|u\|^2 = 2n \cosh(4R). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have the following

**Lemma 6.4.** *Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . For every  $\gamma \in \Gamma_n(N) \setminus K$ ,*

$$\|\gamma\| \geq \sqrt{N^2 + 2n}.$$

*Proof.* The group  $\Gamma_n(N) \cap K = \Gamma_n(N) \cap O(2n)$  obviously consists of those elements  $\gamma \in \Gamma_n(N)$  that have exactly one non-zero entry in each row (resp., column). Thus, if  $\gamma \in \Gamma_n(N) \setminus K$ , then  $\gamma$  has at least  $2n + 1$  non-zero (integer) entries. As at least one of those entries is off-diagonal and hence of absolute value at least  $N$ , it follows that  $\|\gamma\| \geq \sqrt{N^2 + 2n}$ .  $\square$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , and let  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_n(N)$  be a congruence subgroup. By Lemmas 6.3 and 6.4, the set  $C_R$  satisfies the condition (C2) of Lem. 6.1 with  $\Lambda = \Gamma \cap K$  if the inequality

$$\sqrt{N^2 + 2n} \geq \sqrt{2n \cosh(4R)}$$

or equivalently

$$(6-3) \quad \tanh^2 R \leq \left( \sqrt{1 + \frac{4n}{N^2}} + \sqrt{\frac{4n}{N^2}} \right)^{-2} =: M(N)$$

holds.

Next, by [Kna86, Prop. 5.28] there exists  $M_0 \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for every  $f \in C_c(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ ,

$$\int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} f(g) dg = M_0 \int_K \int_{A^+} \int_K f(kh_t k') \left( \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} \sinh(t_r - t_s) \right) \left( \prod_{1 \leq r \leq s \leq n} \sinh(t_r + t_s) \right) dk dt dk',$$

where  $A^+ = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : t_1 > \dots > t_n > 0\}$ . From this formula and (6-1), after introducing the substitution  $x = (\tanh^2 t_1, \dots, \tanh^2 t_n)$  it follows that for every  $R \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,

$$(6-4) \quad \int_{C_R} |F_{\mu,m}(g)| dg = M_0 \int_{A_{\tanh^2 R}^+} \int_{\mathrm{U}(n)} \varphi_{\mu,m}(u, x) du dx,$$

where we use the notation  $A_t^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : t > x_1 > \dots > x_n > 0\}$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $du$  is the unique Haar measure on  $\mathrm{U}(n)$  with respect to which  $\mathrm{vol}(\mathrm{U}(n)) = 1$ , and the function  $\varphi_{\mu,m} : \mathrm{U}(n) \times A_1^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is given by

$$\varphi_{\mu,m}(u, x) = \left| \mu \left( u d_x^{\frac{1}{2}} u^\top \right) \right| \left( \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (x_r - x_s) \right) \prod_{r=1}^n (1 - x_r)^{\frac{m}{2} - n - 1}.$$

The function  $M : \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  defined by (6-3) is non-decreasing, and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} M(N) = 1$ . Thus, by applying Lem. 6.1 to the Poincaré series  $P_\Gamma F_{\mu,m}$  with  $C = C_{\mathrm{artanh} \sqrt{M(N)}}$ , by (6-3), (6-4) and Lem. 5.4(ii) we obtain the following

**Proposition 6.5.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n] \setminus \{0\}$ . Let  $N_0 = N_0(\mu, m)$  denote the smallest integer  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that*

$$(6-5) \quad \int_{A_{M(N)}^+} \int_{\mathrm{U}(n)} \varphi_{\mu,m}(u, x) du dx > \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_1^+} \int_{\mathrm{U}(n)} \varphi_{\mu,m}(u, x) du dx.$$

*Then, for every  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq N_0}$  and for every congruence subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma_n(N)$  such that  $\Gamma \cap K = \{1\}$ , we have that  $P_\Gamma F_{\mu,m} \neq 0$  and  $P_\Gamma f_{\mu,m} \neq 0$ .*

We note that since  $\Gamma_n(N) \cap K = \{1\}$  for all  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 3}$ , the condition  $\Gamma \cap K = \{1\}$  in Prop. 6.5 is relevant only if  $N \in \{1, 2\}$ . In particular:

**Corollary 6.6.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}[X_{r,s} : 1 \leq r, s \leq n] \setminus \{0\}$ . Then,*

$$P_{\Gamma_n(N)}F_{\mu,m} \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\Gamma_n(N)}f_{\mu,m} \neq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad N \gg 0.$$

In the case when  $\mu = \det^l$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , the function  $\varphi_{\mu,m}$  is given by

$$(6-6) \quad \varphi_{\det^l,m}(u, x) = \left( \prod_{r=1}^n x_r^{\frac{l}{2}} (1 - x_r)^{\frac{m}{2} - n - 1} \right) \prod_{1 \leq r < s \leq n} (x_r - x_s) =: \phi_{l,m}(x),$$

and  $N_0(\det^l, m)$  of Prop. 6.5 can be defined as the smallest integer  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that

$$(6-7) \quad \int_{A_{M(N)}^+} \phi_{l,m}(x) dx > \frac{1}{2} \int_{A_1^+} \phi_{l,m}(x) dx.$$

Moreover, it follows from (6-1) that

$$(6-8) \quad F_{\det^l,m}(kg) = \chi_{m+2l}(k)F_{\det^l,m}(g), \quad k \in K, \quad g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Armed with this, we prove the following

**Proposition 6.7.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ ,  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Then:*

(i)  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)}F_{\det^l,m} \equiv 0$  and  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)}f_{\det^l,m} \equiv 0$  if one of the following holds:

(V1)  $N = 1$  and  $4 \nmid m + 2l$

(V2)  $N = 2$  and  $2 \nmid m$ .

(ii) Suppose that neither (V1) nor (V2) holds, and define  $N_0(\det^l, m)$  as above. If  $N \geq N_0(\det^l, m)$ , then  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)}F_{\det^l,m} \neq 0$  and  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)}f_{\det^l,m} \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{S}_n$  denote the group of permutation matrices in  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$ . We note that

$$\Gamma_n(N) \cap K = \begin{cases} \{k_u : u \in \mathrm{Diag}_n(\{\pm 1, \pm i\}) \mathcal{S}_n\}, & \text{if } N = 1 \\ \{k_u : u \in \mathrm{Diag}_n(\{\pm 1\})\}, & \text{if } N = 2 \\ \{1\}, & \text{if } N \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if (V1) or (V2) holds, then  $\chi_{m+2l}|_{\Gamma_n(N) \cap K}$  is a non-trivial character of  $\Gamma_n(N) \cap K$ , hence

$$P_{\Gamma_n(N) \cap K}F_{\det^l,m} \stackrel{(6-8)}{=} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n(N) \cap K} \chi_{m+2l}(\gamma) \right) F_{\det^l,m} = 0$$

and consequently  $P_{\Gamma_n(N)}F_{\det^l,m} = P_{(\Gamma_n(N) \cap K) \backslash \Gamma_n(N)}P_{\Gamma_n(N) \cap K}F_{\det^l,m} = 0$ , which proves (i). On the other hand, if neither (V1) nor (V2) holds, then  $\chi_{m+2l}|_{\Gamma_n(N) \cap K} = 1$ , hence by (6-8) the function  $F_{\det^l,m}$  is  $(\Gamma_n(N) \cap K)$ -invariant on the left, so

$$P_{\Gamma_n(N)}F_{\det^l,m} = |\Gamma_n(N) \cap K| P_{(\Gamma_n(N) \cap K) \backslash \Gamma_n(N)}F_{\det^l,m},$$

and by applying Lem. 6.1 to the Poincaré series  $P_{(\Gamma_n(N) \cap K) \backslash \Gamma_n(N)}F_{\det^l,m}$ , with the set  $C$  exactly as in the proof of Prop. 6.5, we obtain (ii).  $\square$

In the cases when  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ , the values of  $N_0(\det^l, m)$  for some small choices of  $l$  and  $m$  are given in Table 1 below. These values were computed using Wolfram Mathematica 12.1 [Wol20]; the code can be found in [Žun22].



TABLE 1. Some values of  $N_0(\det^l, m)$  in the cases when  $n \in \{1, 2\}$ .

		$n = 1$								$n = 2$							
		$m$								$m$							
$l$		3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
0		14	6	4	4	3	3	3	2	77	25	15	11	9	8	7	6
1		23	9	6	5	4	4	3	3	107	33	20	14	11	10	8	8
2		32	12	8	6	5	5	4	4	137	41	24	17	14	11	10	9
3		40	15	10	7	6	5	5	4	167	49	28	20	16	13	11	10
4		49	18	11	9	7	6	5	5	197	58	33	23	18	15	13	11
5		58	21	13	10	8	7	6	6	227	66	37	26	20	17	14	12
6		67	24	15	11	9	8	7	6	257	74	41	29	22	18	16	14
7		75	26	16	12	10	8	7	7	287	82	46	32	24	20	17	15
8		84	29	18	13	11	9	8	7	317	90	50	34	26	22	18	16
9		93	32	20	15	12	10	9	8	347	98	54	37	29	23	20	17
10		102	35	22	16	13	11	9	8	377	107	59	40	31	25	21	18
11		111	38	23	17	14	12	10	9	407	115	63	43	33	27	22	19
12		119	41	25	18	15	12	11	10	437	123	67	46	35	28	24	21

## 7. PETERSSON INNER PRODUCTS OF CERTAIN AUTOMORPHIC FORMS

In the case when  $n = 1$ , the following proposition follows from [Mui12, Thm. 2-11].

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))_{[\chi_m]}^{\mathrm{pc}}$ . Then,*

$$(7-1) \quad \langle \varphi, P_\Gamma F_{1,m} \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} = C_{m,n} \varphi(1_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})}).$$

*Proof.* We adapt the argument of [Žun18, proof of Thm. 5.4]: Without loss of generality, suppose that  $\varphi \neq 0$ . For any  $F \in L^1(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  and  $\psi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ ,  $R(F)\psi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  is standardly defined by the condition

$$\langle R(F)\psi, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} = \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} F(h) \langle R(h)\psi, \phi \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} dh$$

for all  $\phi \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$ . It is well-known that

$$(7-2) \quad (R(F)\psi)(g) = \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} F(h)\psi(gh) dh \quad \text{for a.a. } g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

If  $\psi = \varphi$  and  $F = \overline{F_{1,m}}$ , then the right-hand side of (7-2) defines a continuous complex function of  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  by the dominated convergence theorem since  $\varphi$  is continuous and

bounded, and  $F_{1,m}$  is in  $L^1(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  as a  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient of the integrable representation  $\pi_m^*$  (see Prop. 5.3(ii) and Lem. 3.2). In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
(7-3) \quad (R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) \varphi) (1_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})}) &= \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \overline{F_{1,m}(h)} \varphi(h) dh \\
&\stackrel{(2-6)}{=} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \overline{F_{1,m}(\gamma h)} \varphi(h) dh \\
&= \langle \varphi, P_\Gamma F_{1,m} \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by Lem. 4.3,  $\varphi$  spans the  $\chi_m$ -isotypic component of an irreducible closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspace  $H_\varphi$  of  $L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))$  that is unitarily equivalent to  $H_m^*$ . Obviously,  $R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) \varphi \in H_\varphi$ . More precisely,  $R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) \varphi \in (H_\varphi)_{[\chi_m]}$  since

$$\begin{aligned}
(R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) \varphi) (gk) &= \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \overline{F_{1,m}(h)} \varphi(gkh) dh \\
&= \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \overline{F_{1,m}(k^{-1}h)} \varphi(gh) dh \\
&\stackrel{(6-8)}{=} \overline{\chi_m(k^{-1})} \int_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})} \overline{F_{1,m}(h)} \varphi(gh) dh \\
&= \chi_m(k) (R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) \varphi) (g)
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $k \in K$ . It follows that

$$(7-4) \quad R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) \varphi = \lambda \varphi$$

for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .

By (7-3) and (7-4),

$$(7-5) \quad \langle \varphi, P_\Gamma F_{1,m} \rangle_{L^2(\Gamma \backslash \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))} = \lambda \varphi(1_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})}).$$

In order to compute  $\lambda$ , we recall that by [Wall88, proof of Prop. 1.3.3], the closed  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ -invariant subspace  $E_m = \{c_{h^*, f_{1,m}^*} : h \in H_m\}$  of  $(R, L^2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})))$  is unitarily equivalent to  $H_m^*$  via the assignment  $c_{h^*, f_{1,m}^*} \mapsto h^*$ . Let us fix a unitary equivalence  $\Phi : H_\varphi \rightarrow E_m$ . Since  $(H_\varphi)_{[\chi_m]} = \mathbb{C}\varphi$ , and  $(E_m)_{[\chi_m]} = \mathbb{C}c_{f_{1,m}^*, f_{1,m}^*}$  is spanned by  $F_{1,m}$  by Prop. 5.3(ii), we have

$$\Phi \varphi = \nu F_{1,m}$$

for some  $\nu \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ . By applying  $\Phi$  to (7-4), we obtain an equality of continuous functions

$$R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) F_{1,m} = \lambda F_{1,m},$$

from which it follows that

$$(7-6) \quad \lambda = \frac{(R(\overline{F_{1,m}}) F_{1,m})(1_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})})}{F_{1,m}(1_{\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})})} = \frac{\|F_{1,m}\|_{L^2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}))}^2}{1} \stackrel{(5-11)}{=} C_{m,n},$$

where in the second equality, the equality of numerators is proved exactly as the first equality in (7-3), and the equality of denominators holds by (6-1).  $\square$

By Prop. 4.1, Lem. 5.4(i), and (4-2), Prop. 7.1 implies the following

**Corollary 7.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . Then,*

$$(7-7) \quad \langle f, P_\Gamma f_{1,m} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = C_{m,n} f(iI_n), \quad f \in S_m(\Gamma).$$

## 8. CONNECTION TO THE REPRODUCING KERNEL FOR $S_m(\Gamma)$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$ . As an application of Cor. 7.2, in Thm. 8.3 below we recover, for every  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_n$ , a well-known formula for the Siegel cusp form  $\Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} \in S_m(\Gamma)$  that represents, in the sense of the Riesz representation theorem, the evaluation at  $\xi$  of Siegel cusp forms in  $S_m(\Gamma)$  (cf. [Kli90, Ch. 3, §6, Thm. 1]). In a sense, the Siegel cusp forms  $\Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi}$  comprise the reproducing kernel, known already to Godement [God57-10, p. 30], of the Hilbert space  $S_m(\Gamma)$ . We start with the following

**Lemma 8.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $\Gamma^g = g\Gamma g^{-1}$ . Then,*

$$\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^g := \Gamma^g \cap \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$$

*is a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ , and we have*

$$(8-1) \quad \{f|_m g^{-1} : f \in S_m(\Gamma)\} \subseteq S_m(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^g).$$

*Proof.* The first claim holds by [AndZhu95, Ch. 2, (3.25)], and (8-1) is proved in an elementary way using (2-9).  $\square$

We will also need the following lemma, whose proof is elementary and left to the reader.

**Lemma 8.2.** *Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \Gamma'$  be discrete subgroups of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $f_1, f_2 : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be Borel measurable functions such that  $f_r|_m \gamma = f_r$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  and  $r = 1, 2$ , and suppose that  $\langle |f_1|, |f_2| \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} < \infty$ . Then:*

(i) *For every  $g \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$ , we have*

$$(8-2) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = \langle f_1|_m g, f_2|_m g \rangle_{g^{-1}\Gamma g \backslash \mathcal{H}_n}.$$

(ii) *If  $f_1|_m \gamma' = f_1$  for all  $\gamma' \in \Gamma'$ , then*

$$(8-3) \quad \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = \left\langle f_1, \sum_{\gamma' \in \Gamma' \backslash \Gamma} f_2|_m \gamma' \right\rangle_{\Gamma' \backslash \mathcal{H}_n}.$$

**Theorem 8.3.** *Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>2n}$  and  $\xi = x + iy \in \mathcal{H}_n$ . We define the function  $f_{1,m,\xi} : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,*

$$(8-4) \quad f_{1,m,\xi}(z) = C_{m,n}^{-1} \det \left( \frac{1}{2i} (z - \bar{\xi}) \right)^{-m}.$$

*Let  $\Gamma$  be a congruence subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then, the Poincaré series*

$$\Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} = P_\Gamma f_{1,m,\xi}$$

*converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and defines a Siegel cusp form  $\Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} \in S_m(\Gamma)$  that satisfies*

$$(8-5) \quad \langle f, \Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = f(\xi), \quad f \in S_m(\Gamma).$$

*Proof.* Let us write  $g = (n_x a_y)^{-1}$ . One checks easily that

$$(8-6) \quad f_{1,m,\xi} = C_{m,n}^{-1} \det y^{-\frac{m}{2}} f_{1,m}|_m g,$$

from which it follows that

$$(8-7) \quad (P_\Gamma F_{f_{1,m,\xi}})(h) = C_{m,n}^{-1} \det y^{-\frac{m}{2}} (P_{g\Gamma g^{-1}} F_{1,m})(gh), \quad h \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Since  $F_{1,m}$  is a  $K$ -finite matrix coefficient of the integrable representation  $\pi_m^*$  by Prop. 5.3(ii) and (5-7), the series  $P_{g\Gamma g^{-1}} F_{1,m}$  converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  and defines a bounded function on  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{R})$  (see the beginning of Sect. 5), hence by (8-7) so does the series  $P_\Gamma F_{f_{1,m,\xi}}$ . By Lem. 5.4 and (4-2), it follows that the series  $P_\Gamma f_{1,m,\xi}$  converges absolutely and uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathcal{H}_n$  and satisfies the condition (S2). As it obviously satisfies (S1), it defines an element of  $S_m(\Gamma)$ .

To prove (8-5), we note that if  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_n \cap M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ , then for every  $f \in S_m(\Gamma)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\xi) &\stackrel{(2-1)}{=} \det y^{-\frac{m}{2}} (f|_m g^{-1})(iI_n) \\ &\stackrel{(7-7)}{=} C_{m,n}^{-1} \det y^{-\frac{m}{2}} \left\langle f|_m g^{-1}, P_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^g} f_{1,m} \right\rangle_{\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^g \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} \\ &\stackrel{(8-2)}{=} C_{m,n}^{-1} \det y^{-\frac{m}{2}} \left\langle f, P_{(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^g)^{g^{-1}}} (f_{1,m}|_m g) \right\rangle_{(\Gamma_{\mathbb{Z}}^g)^{g^{-1}} \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} \\ &\stackrel{(8-3)}{=} C_{m,n}^{-1} \det y^{-\frac{m}{2}} \langle f, P_\Gamma (f_{1,m}|_m g) \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} \\ &\stackrel{(8-6)}{=} \langle f, P_\Gamma f_{1,m,\xi} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (8-5) for  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_n \cap M_n(\mathbb{Q})$ . Since  $\mathcal{H}_n \cap M_n(\mathbb{Q})$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}_n$ , the equality (8-5) for general  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}_n$  follows immediately by the continuity of the functions  $I_f : \mathcal{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} I_f(\xi) &= \langle f, \Delta_{\Gamma,m,\xi} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} = \langle f, P_\Gamma f_{1,m,\xi} \rangle_{\Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}_n} \\ &\stackrel{(8-3)}{=} \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} f(z) \overline{f_{1,m,\xi}(z)} \det \Im(z)^m dv(z) \\ &\stackrel{(8-4)}{=} C_{m,n}^{-1} (-2i)^{mn} \int_{\mathcal{H}_n} f(z) \overline{\det(z - \xi)}^{-m} \det \Im(z)^m dv(z), \end{aligned}$$

where  $f \in S_m(\Gamma)$ . Said continuity holds by the dominated convergence theorem, which is applicable since by [Kli90, Ch. III, §6, (10)], for any choice of the height  $d \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  of the vertical strip

$$V_n(d) = \left\{ \tau \in \mathcal{H}_n : \mathrm{tr}(\Re(\tau)^2) \leq \frac{1}{d}, \Im(\tau) \geq dI_n \right\},$$

there exists  $\alpha_{n,d} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that the following estimate holds for all  $z \in \mathcal{H}_n$  and  $\xi \in V_n(d)$ :

$$|\det(z - \bar{\xi})|^{-m} \leq \alpha_{n,d} |\det(z + iI_n)|^{-m}. \quad \square$$

## REFERENCES

- AndZhu95. Andrianov, A. N., Zhuravlev, V. G.: *Modular forms and Hecke operators*. Transl. Math. Monogr. 145, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1995)
- AsgSch01. Asgari, M., Schmidt, R.: *Siegel modular forms and representations*. Manuscripta Math. 104(2), 173–200 (2001)
- BorJac79. Borel, A., Jacquet, H.: *Automorphic forms and automorphic representations*. In: *Automorphic forms, representations and L-functions* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. XXXIII, Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 1, pp. 189–207, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (1979)
- Gel73. Gelbart, S.: *Holomorphic discrete series for the real symplectic group*. Invent. Math. 19, 49–58 (1973)
- God57-6. Godement, R.: *Fonctions holomorphes de carré sommable dans le demi-plan de Siegel*. Séminaire Henri Cartan, Tome 10, No. 1, Exposé No. 6 (1957–1958)
- God57-10. Godement, R.: *Série de Poincaré et Spitzenformen*. Séminaire Henri Cartan, Tome 10, No. 1, Exposé No. 10 (1957–1958)
- Hall15. Hall, B.: *Lie groups, Lie algebras, and representations. An elementary introduction*. Second edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 222. Springer, Cham (2015)
- Har66. Harish-Chandra: *Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. II. Explicit determination of the characters*. Acta Math. 116, 1–111 (1966)
- HecSch76. Hecht, H., Schmid, W.: *On integrable representations of a semisimple Lie group*. Math. Ann. 220(2), 147–149 (1976)
- Hua63. Hua, L. K.: *Harmonic analysis of functions of several complex variables in the classical domains*. Transl. Math. Monogr. 6, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (1963)
- Hum80. Humphreys, J. E.: *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*. Third printing, revised. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 9. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin (1980)
- Kli90. Klingen, H.: *Introductory Lectures on Siegel Modular Forms*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 20. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
- Kna86. Knapp, A. W.: *Representation theory of semisimple groups. An overview based on examples*. Princeton Mathematical Series, 36. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1986)
- Kna96. Knapp, A. W.: *Lie groups beyond an introduction*. Progress in Mathematics, 140. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA (1996)
- KnaVog95. Knapp, A. W., Vogan, D. A., Jr.: *Cohomological induction and unitary representations*. Princeton Mathematical Series, 45. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1995)
- Mui09. Muić, G.: *On a construction of certain classes of cuspidal automorphic forms via Poincaré series*. Math. Ann. 343(1), 207–227 (2009)
- Mui10. Muić, G.: *On the cuspidal modular forms for the Fuchsian groups of the first kind*. J. Number Theory 130(7), 1488–1511 (2010)
- Mui11. Muić, G.: *On the non-vanishing of certain modular forms*. Int. J. Number Theory 7(2), 351–370 (2011)
- Mui12. Muić, G.: *On the inner product of certain automorphic forms and applications*. J. Lie Theory 22(4), 1091–1107 (2012)
- Mui19. Muić, G.: *Smooth cuspidal automorphic forms and integrable discrete series*. Math. Z. 292(3–4), 895–922 (2019)
- Wall88. Wallach, N. R.: *Real reductive groups. I*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 132. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA (1988)
- Wol20. Wolfram Research, Inc., Mathematica, Version 12.1, Champaign, IL (2020)
- Žun18. Žunar, S.: *On Poincaré series of half-integral weight*. Glas. Mat. Ser. III 53(2) 239–264 (2018)
- Žun20. Žunar, S.: *On the non-vanishing of L-functions associated to cusp forms of half-integral weight*. Ramanujan J. 51(3), 455–477 (2020)
- Žun22. Žunar, S.: *Addendum to the paper “On a family of Siegel Poincaré series”*: Wolfram Mathematica code for computing the constants  $N_0(\det^l, m)$  of Prop. 6.7(ii). <http://www2.geof.unizg.hr/~szunar/papers/siegel/Addendum.pdf> (2022). Accessed 13 Oct 2022