

# Note on purity of crystalline local systems

Yong Suk Moon

## Abstract

In this short note, we prove a purity result for crystalline local systems on a smooth  $p$ -adic affine formal scheme. This was previously known by combining the results of [Tsu] and [LZ17]. We give a totally different argument using the prismatic description of crystalline local systems [DLMS22], which does not use the corresponding result of [LZ17]. In particular, our method also proves the local purity statement when the base is a formal power series ring over a complete discrete valuation ring.

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Absolute prismatic site & Kisin descent datum	3
3	Purity of crystalline local systems	4
4	Complete local base ring case	7

## 1 Introduction

Let  $K$  be a complete discrete valued field of mixed characteristic  $(0, p)$  with the ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and perfect residue field  $k$ . Denote  $K_0 = W(k)[p^{-1}]$  and  $G_K = \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ . For any finite continuous  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -representation  $V$  of  $G_K$ , Fontaine ([Fon82]) associated a  $K_0$ -vector space  $D_{\text{cris}}(V)$  equipped with Frobenius endomorphism. We have  $\dim_{K_0} D_{\text{cris}}(V) \leq \dim_{\mathbf{Q}_p} V$  in general, and  $V$  is called *crystalline* if the equality holds. The underlying motivation of this notion comes from its close connection to good reduction. If  $X$  is an algebraic variety over  $K$  with good reduction given by a proper smooth scheme  $\mathcal{X}/\mathcal{O}_K$  extending  $X$ , then the  $G_K$ -representation  $H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  is crystalline and  $D_{\text{cris}}(H_{\text{ét}}^i(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)) \cong H_{\text{cris}}^i(\mathcal{X}_k/W(k))[p^{-1}]$ .

When  $X$  is an abelian variety over  $K$ , the converse also holds:  $X$  has good reduction if and only if  $H_{\text{ét}}^1(X_{\overline{K}}, \mathbf{Q}_p)$  is crystalline ([CI99], [Mok93]). However, for abelian schemes

over regular bases of mixed characteristic, an interesting discrepancy occurs in terms of purity. Motivated by Grothendieck’s work on Nagata–Zariski purity ([GR62]), we can ask the following question.

**Question 1.1.** Let  $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$  (with  $d \geq 1$ ), and let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$  be the maximal ideal. Given any abelian scheme over  $\mathrm{Spec}R \setminus \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ , does it extend uniquely to an abelian scheme over  $\mathrm{Spec}R$ ?

The answer to this question is positive if the ramification index  $e = [K : K_0] \leq p - 1$ , but is negative if  $e \geq p$  ([VZ10]). We remark that when  $e \leq p - 1$ , the purity result implies the uniqueness of integral canonical models of Shimura varieties ([VZ10, Cor. 30]).

On the other hand, for *arbitrary* ramification index  $e$ , one can still ask an analogous question on purity for crystalline representations. We refer the reader to [Bri08] for the definition of crystalline representations in the relative case. Let  $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$  as in the above question. Denote  $\mathcal{G}_R := \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\mathrm{Spec}R[p^{-1}])$ . Let  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$  be a uniformizer, and let  $\mathcal{O}_L$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $R_{(\pi)}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$  the absolute Galois group of  $L = \mathcal{O}_L[p^{-1}]$ . By choosing a common geometric point, we have a map of Galois groups  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_R$ . Let  $V$  be a finite continuous  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_R$ .

**Question 1.2.** Let  $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ . Suppose  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  is crystalline. Is  $V$  crystalline as a representation of  $\mathcal{G}_R$ ?

A global version of Question 1.2 is answered to be positive by combining the works of Tsuji and Liu–Zhu. Consider the case when  $R$  is the  $p$ -adic completion of an étale algebra over  $\mathcal{O}_K[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$ , and let  $V$  be a finite continuous  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_R$ . In [Tsu], Tsuji showed:

**Theorem 1.3** (cf. [Tsu, Thm. 5.4.8]). *If  $V$  is de Rham and  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  is crystalline, then  $V$  is crystalline.*

More recently, Liu–Zhu proved the rigidity of de Rham local systems. By [LZ17, Thm. 1.5], if  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  is crystalline (so that it is de Rham), then  $V$  is de Rham. Thus, we deduce the following.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $R$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of an étale algebra over  $\mathcal{O}_K[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$ , and let  $V$  be a finite continuous  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_R$ . If  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  is crystalline, then  $V$  is crystalline.*

In this paper, we prove Theorem 1.4 by a totally different method, based on the prismatic description of crystalline local systems given in [DLMS22] (cf. also [GR22]). One advantage of our approach is that the proof does not need [LZ17, Thm. 1.5]. Hence, we can also deduce the local purity statement:

**Theorem 1.5.** *The answer to Question 1.2 is positive.*

**Notation.** Fix a prime  $p$ . Let  $k$  be a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $K$  be a finite totally ramified extension of  $K_0 := W(k)[p^{-1}]$  with ring of integers  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Fix a uniformizer  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , and let  $E = E(u) \in W(k)[u]$  be the monic minimal polynomial of  $\pi$ .

For a ring  $A$  and finitely generated ideal  $J \subset A$ , the  $J$ -adic completion of an  $A$ -module means the classical completion. Similarly, being  $J$ -adically complete or  $J$ -complete is in the classical sense.

A  $p$ -adically completed étale map from a  $p$ -adically complete ring  $B$  refers to the  $p$ -adic completion of an étale map from  $B$ . Write  $\mathcal{O}_K\langle T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1} \rangle$  for the  $p$ -adic completion of the Laurent polynomial ring  $\mathcal{O}_K[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$ , and similarly for  $W(k)\langle T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1} \rangle$ .

For an element  $a$  of a  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebra  $A$  and  $n \geq 0$ , write  $\gamma_n(a)$  for the element  $\frac{a^n}{n!} \in A$ .

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Heng Du, Tong Liu, and Koji Shimizu for helpful discussions. The author was partially supported by AMS-Simons Travel Grant.

## 2 Absolute prismatic site & Kisin descent datum

We first recall some of the main results in [DLMS22] on the equivalence between crystalline local systems and completed prismatic  $F$ -crystals. Let  $R$  be a  $p$ -adically completed étale algebra over  $\mathcal{O}_K\langle T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1} \rangle$  for some  $d \geq 0$ . Since  $R/\pi R$  is étale over  $k[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1}]$ , there exists a subring  $R_0 \subset R$  such that  $R_0$  is  $p$ -adically completed étale over  $W(k)\langle T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1} \rangle$  and  $R_0 \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}_K = R$ . Let  $\varphi: R_0 \rightarrow R_0$  be the (unique) lift of Frobenius on  $R_0/pR_0$  with  $\varphi(T_i) = T_i^p$ .

We refer the reader to [BS21b, Def. 3.2] for the terminologies on prisms.

**Definition 2.1** ([BS21a, Def. 2.3]). The *absolute prismatic site*  $R_{\Delta}$  of the  $p$ -adic formal scheme  $\mathrm{Spf}R$  consists of the pairs  $((A, I), \mathrm{Spf}A/I \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}R)$  where  $(A, I)$  is a bounded prism and  $\mathrm{Spf}A/I \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}R$  is a morphism of  $p$ -adic formal schemes. For simplicity, we often omit the structure map  $\mathrm{Spf}A/I \rightarrow \mathrm{Spf}R$  and write  $(A, I) \in R_{\Delta}$ . The morphisms are the opposite of morphisms of bounded prisms over  $\mathrm{Spf}R$ . We equip  $R_{\Delta}$  with the topology given by  $(p, I)$ -completely faithfully flat maps of prisms  $(A, I) \rightarrow (B, J)$  over  $\mathrm{Spf}R$ .

Note that for any  $(A, I) \in R_{\Delta}$ ,  $A$  is classically  $(p, I)$ -complete by [BS21b, Lem. 3.7 (1)]. An important object in  $R_{\Delta}$  is the *Breuil–Kisin prism* given as follows. Denote  $\mathfrak{S} = R_0[[u]]$  equipped with the Frobenius extending that on  $R_0$  such that  $\varphi(u) = u^p$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{S}, (E))$  is a bounded prism, and it is an object in  $R_{\Delta}$  via  $\mathfrak{S}/(E) \cong R$ . Denote by  $(\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}, (E))$  (resp.  $(\mathfrak{S}^{(3)}, (E))$ ) the self-product (resp. self-triple-product) of  $(\mathfrak{S}, (E))$  in  $R_{\Delta}$  (see [DLMS22, Ex. 3.4]). The Beuil–Kisin prism covers the final object of  $\mathrm{Shv}(R_{\Delta})$ , and thus a sheaf on  $R_{\Delta}$  can be described by a descent datum involving the self-product and self-triple-product. Let  $p_i: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$  for  $i = 1, 2$  be the natural projection maps.

We can associate  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -lattices of crystalline representations of  $\mathcal{G}_R = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}R[p^{-1}])$  with *completed prismatic  $F$ -crystals* on  $R_{\Delta}$  (see [DLMS22, Def. 3.16, Thm. 3.28]). For our purpose, it is more convenient to consider *Kisin descent data*.

**Definition 2.2** (cf. [DLMS22, Def. 3.14]).

- We say that a finite  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $N$  is *projective away from  $(p, E)$*  if  $N$  is torsion free,  $N[p^{-1}]$  is projective over  $\mathfrak{S}[p^{-1}]$ , and  $N[E^{-1}]_p^{\wedge}$  is projective over  $\mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^{\wedge}$ .
- We say a finite  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module  $N$  is *saturated* if  $N$  is torsion free and  $N = N[p^{-1}] \cap N[E^{-1}]$ .
- Let  $N$  be a  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module equipped with a  $\varphi$ -semi-linear endomorphism  $\varphi_N: N \rightarrow N$ . We say  $(N, \varphi_N)$  has *finite  $E$ -height* if  $1 \otimes \varphi_N: \mathfrak{S} \otimes_{\varphi, \mathfrak{S}} N \rightarrow N$  is injective and its cokernel is killed by a power of  $E$ .

**Definition 2.3** ([DLMS22, Def. 3.24]). Let  $\text{DD}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  denote the category consisting of triples  $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}, f)$  (called *Kisin descent datum*) where

- $\mathfrak{M}$  is a finite  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module that is projective away from  $(p, E)$  and saturated;
- $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}: \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$  is a  $\varphi$ -semi-linear endomorphism such that  $(\mathfrak{M}, \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}})$  has finite  $E$ -height;
- $f: \mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \otimes_{p_1, \mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \otimes_{p_2, \mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$ -modules compatible with Frobenii and satisfies the cocycle condition over  $\mathfrak{S}^{(3)}$ .

The main input we will need is the following theorem proved in [DLMS22].

**Theorem 2.4** ([DLMS22, Prop. 3.25, Thm. 3.28]).  $\text{DD}_{\mathfrak{S}}$  is naturally equivalent to the category of  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -lattices of crystalline representations of  $\mathcal{G}_R$  with non-negative Hodge–Tate weights.

### 3 Purity of crystalline local systems

Let  $\mathcal{O}_{L_0}$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $(R_0)_{(p)}$  equipped with the Frobenius induced from  $\varphi$  on  $R_0$ . Note that  $\mathcal{O}_{L_0}$  is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field has a finite  $p$ -basis (given by  $\{T_1, \dots, T_d\}$ ). We have a natural injective map  $R_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{L_0}$  compatible with  $\varphi$ . This extends  $\mathcal{O}_K$ -linearly to  $R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L := \mathcal{O}_{L_0} \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_R := \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec}R[p^{-1}])$ . By choosing a common geometric point, we have a map of Galois groups  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L} := \text{Gal}(\overline{L}/L) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_R$  where  $L = \mathcal{O}_L[p^{-1}]$ . Denote  $\mathfrak{S}_L = \mathcal{O}_{L_0}[[u]]$  equipped with Frobenius extending that on  $\mathcal{O}_{L_0}$  by  $\varphi(u) = u^p$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{S}_L, (E)) \in (\mathcal{O}_L)_{\Delta}$ , and we have the self-product  $(\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}, (E))$  and self-triple-product  $(\mathfrak{S}_L^{(3)}, (E))$  of  $(\mathfrak{S}_L, (E))$  in  $(\mathcal{O}_L)_{\Delta}$ .

We prove Theorem 1.4 in this section. Let  $V$  be a finite continuous  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_R$  such that  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  is crystalline. By applying a suitable power of Tate twist, we may

assume that the Hodge–Tate weights of  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  are non-negative. Let  $T \subset V$  be a Galois-stable  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -lattice. By [BS21a, Cor. 3.8], we can naturally associate with  $T$  an étale  $\varphi$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  which is finite projective over  $\mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  together with a  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$ -linear isomorphism

$$f_{\text{ét}}: \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge \otimes_{p_1, \mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge \otimes_{p_2, \mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge} \mathcal{M},$$

which is compatible with  $\varphi$  and satisfies the cocycle condition over  $\mathfrak{S}^{(3)}$ . Here, we associate  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $T$  contravariantly following the convention in [DLMS22] (see [DLMS22, §3.4]).

Since  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  is crystalline with non-negative Hodge–Tate weights, by Theorem 2.4, there exists a Kisin descent datum  $(\mathfrak{M}_L, \varphi_{\mathfrak{M}_L}, f_L) \in \text{DD}_{\mathfrak{S}_L}$  over  $\mathfrak{S}_L$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}_L \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_L} \mathfrak{S}_L[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge} \mathfrak{S}_L[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  compatibly with  $\varphi$  and the base change of the isomorphism

$$f_L: \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)} \otimes_{p_1, \mathfrak{S}_L} \mathfrak{M}_L \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)} \otimes_{p_2, \mathfrak{S}_L} \mathfrak{M}_L$$

to  $\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  agrees with the base change of  $f_{\text{ét}}$  to  $\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_L = \mathfrak{M}_L \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}_L} \mathfrak{S}_L[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$ .

Consider the  $\mathfrak{S}$ -module

$$\mathfrak{M} := \mathfrak{M}_L \cap \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_L$$

equipped with the induced Frobenius  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ . Note that  $\mathfrak{M}$  is torsion free. By [DLMS22, Prop. 4.20, 4.21],  $\mathfrak{M}$  is finite over  $\mathfrak{S}$ , saturated, and has finite  $E$ -height (with respect to  $\varphi_{\mathfrak{M}}$ ). Furthermore, by [DLMS22, Prop. 4.13, 4.26],  $\mathfrak{M}$  is projective away from  $(p, E)$  and we have natural  $\varphi$ -equivariant isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{S}_L \cong \mathfrak{M}_L \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M} \otimes_{\mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge \cong \mathcal{M}.$$

We claim that  $f_{\text{ét}}$  and  $f_L$  induce an isomorphism

$$f: \mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \otimes_{p_1, \mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \otimes_{p_2, \mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}$$

so that  $f$  is compatible with  $f_{\text{ét}}$  and  $f_L$ . For this, we need some preliminary facts.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The natural map*

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(p, E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}/(p, E)$$

*is injective.*

*Proof.* By [DL22, Prop. 2.2.7 (2)], we have

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(E) \cong R[\gamma_i(z_j), i \geq 0, j = 0, \dots, d]_p^\wedge$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}/(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_L[\gamma_i(z_j), i \geq 0, j = 0, \dots, d]_p^\wedge$$

where  $z_0, \dots, z_d$  can be considered as variables. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(p, E) \cong R[\gamma_i(z_j), i \geq 0, j = 0, \dots, d]/(p)$$

and similarly for  $\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}/(p, E)$ . Since  $R/(p) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L/(p)$  is injective, the map

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(p, E) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}/(p, E)$$

is injective. □

**Lemma 3.2** ([DLMS22, Cor. 3.6]).  *$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$  is  $p$ -torsion free and  $E$ -torsion free. Furthermore,*

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[p^{-1}] \cap \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}],$$

and  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]$  is  $p$ -adically separated.

By Lemma 3.2, the natural map  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  is injective. Note that  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$  is  $(p, E)$ -complete. Since the inverse system  $\{\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(E^i)\}_{i \geq 1}$  is Mittag-Leffler and  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(E^i)$  is  $p$ -torsion free for each  $i$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(p)$  is  $E$ -complete. So by Lemma 3.1, the natural maps  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(p) \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}/(p)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}$  are injective. On the other hand, since  $p_1: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$  is classically faithfully flat by [DLMS22, Lem. 3.5], we have by [Sta, Tag 0912] that the induced map  $\mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  is classically faithfully flat. In particular, since  $\mathfrak{S}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  is  $p$ -torsion free,  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  is  $p$ -torsion free. Thus, we also deduce that the map  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$  is injective.

**Proposition 3.3.** *We have*

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)} = \mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)} \cap \mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$$

as subrings of  $\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}$  is  $p$ -complete, it suffices to show that the injective map

$$\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}/(p) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}/(p)) \cap (\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]/(p))$$

is also surjective. This follows from Lemma 3.1. □

Now, since  $\mathfrak{M}[p^{-1}]$  is projective over  $\mathfrak{S}[p^{-1}]$ , we have

$$(\mathfrak{S}_L^{(2)}[p^{-1}] \otimes_{p_i, \mathfrak{S}[p^{-1}]} \mathfrak{M}[p^{-1}]) \cap (\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[E^{-1}]_p^\wedge[p^{-1}] \otimes_{p_i, \mathfrak{S}[p^{-1}]} \mathfrak{M}[p^{-1}]) \cong (\mathfrak{S}^{(2)}[p^{-1}] \otimes_{p_i, \mathfrak{S}[p^{-1}]} \mathfrak{M}[p^{-1}])$$

by Proposition 3.3. Thus, by [DLMS22, Lem. 4.10],  $f_{\text{ét}}$  and  $f_L$  induce a morphism

$$f: \mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \otimes_{p_1, \mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}^{(2)} \otimes_{p_2, \mathfrak{S}} \mathfrak{M}.$$

Applying the same argument for  $f_{\text{ét}}^{-1}$  and  $f_L^{-1}$  shows that  $f$  is an isomorphism. Since  $f_{\text{ét}}$  is compatible with Frobenius and satisfies the cocycle condition over  $\mathfrak{S}^{(3)}$ , the same holds for  $f$ . By Theorem 2.4,  $V = T[p^{-1}]$  is a crystalline representation of  $\mathcal{G}_R$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4.

## 4 Complete local base ring case

In this section, we consider Question 1.2. Let  $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ . We have  $R = R_0 \otimes_{W(k)} \mathcal{O}_K$  for  $R_0 = W(k)[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ . Note that  $R_0$  is isomorphic to the  $(p, T_1 - 1, \dots, T_d - 1)$ -adic completion of  $W(k)\langle T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_d^{\pm 1} \rangle$  with  $t_i = T_i - 1$ . Equip  $R_0$  with the Frobenius given by  $\varphi(t_i) = (1 + t_i)^p - 1$ . For  $\mathcal{G}_R = \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\text{Spec} R[p^{-1}])$ , the notion of crystalline representations of  $\mathcal{G}_R$  is well-defined by [Bri08]. As before, let  $\mathcal{O}_L$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $(R)_{(\pi)}$ , and write  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$  for the absolute Galois group of  $L = \mathcal{O}_L[p^{-1}]$ . Choosing a common geometric point induces a map of Galois groups  $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_R$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ , and let  $V$  be a finite  $\mathbf{Q}_p$ -representation of  $\mathcal{G}_R$ . If  $V|_{\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{O}_L}}$  is crystalline, then  $V$  is crystalline.*

*Proof.* Note that [DL22, §2.1, 2.2] includes the case  $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ . It is straightforward to check that the corresponding results in [DLMS22] hold by essentially the same arguments. For example, the corresponding results in [DLMS22, §3] hold verbatim for  $R = \mathcal{O}_K[[t_1, \dots, t_d]]$ , and [DLMS22, Lem. 4.12, 4.29] can be directly used instead of [DLMS22, Prop. 4.13, 4.30]. Thus, we conclude from the same argument as in Section 3.  $\square$

## References

- [Bri08] Olivier Brinon, *Représentations  $p$ -adiques cristallines et de de Rham dans le cas relatif*, Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. **112** (2008).
- [BS21a] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze, *Prismatic  $F$ -crystals and crystalline Galois representations*, preprint, arXiv:2106.14735v1, 2021.
- [BS21b] Bhargav Bhatt and Peter Scholze, *Prisms and prismatic cohomology*, Ann. of Math. (2), to appear, 2021.
- [CI99] Robert Coleman and Adrian Iovita, *The Frobenius and monodromy operators for curves and abelian varieties*, Duke Math. J. **97** (1999), 171–215.
- [DL22] Heng Du and Tong Liu, *A prismatic approach to  $(\varphi, \hat{G})$ -modules and  $F$ -crystals*, preprint, arXiv:2107.12240v2, 2022.
- [DLMS22] Heng Du, Tong Liu, Yong Suk Moon, and Koji Shimizu, *Completed prismatic  $F$ -crystals and crystalline  $\mathbf{Z}_p$ -local systems*, preprint, arXiv:2203.03444, 2022.
- [Fon82] Jean-Marc Fontaine, *Sur certains types de représentations  $p$ -adiques du groupe de Galois d'un corps local; construction d'un anneau de Barsotti-Tate*, Ann. of Math. (2) **115** (1982), 529–577.

- [GR62] Alexander Grothendieck and Michele Raynaud, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 1962.
- [GR22] Haoyang Guo and Emanuel Reinecke, *Prismatic  $F$ -crystals and crystalline local systems*, preprint, arXiv:2203.09490v1, 2022.
- [LZ17] Ruochuan Liu and Xinwen Zhu, *Rigidity and a Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for  $p$ -adic local systems*, Invent. Math. **207** (2017), 291–343.
- [Mok93] Abdellah Mokrane, *La suite spectrale des poids en cohomologie de Hyodo-Kato*, Duke Math. J. **72** (1993), 301–337.
- [Sta] The Stacks project authors, *The stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [Tsu] Takeshi Tsuji, *Crystalline sheaves and filtered convergent  $F$ -isocrystals on log schemes*, preprint.
- [VZ10] Adrian Vasiu and Thomas Zink, *Purity results for  $p$ -divisible groups and abelian schemes over regular bases of mixed characteristic*, Doc. Math. **15** (2010), 571–599.