

# The List Square Coloring Conjecture fails for cubic graphs and their line graphs: a revised version

Morteza Hasanvand\*

## Abstract

Kostochka and Woodall (2001) conjectured that the square of every graph has the same chromatic number and list chromatic number. In 2015 Kim and Park disproved this conjecture for non-bipartite and bipartite graphs. It was asked by several authors whether this conjecture holds for bipartite graphs with small degrees, claw-free graphs, or line graphs. In this paper, we introduce cubic counterexamples to this conjecture to solve three open problems posed by Kim and Park (2015), Kim, Kwon, and Park (2015), and Dai, Wang, Yang, and Yu (2018). In addition, we formulate a new revised version for this conjecture by imposing a lower bound on the chromatic number of the square graph in terms of maximum degree.

*Keywords:* Square graph; list coloring; chromatic-choosable; claw-free; planar.

## 1 Introduction

In this article, all graphs are considered simple unless otherwise stated. Let  $G$  be a graph. The vertex set and the edge set of  $G$  are denoted by  $V(G)$  and  $E(G)$ , respectively. The graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -colorable if its vertices can be colored by  $k$  colors such that adjacent vertices have different colors. The *chromatic number*  $\chi(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum number of such integers  $k$ . The graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -choosable if its vertices can be colored such that the color of every vertex  $v$  lies in  $L(v)$ , where  $L(v)$  is an arbitrary set of colors with size  $k$ . The *list chromatic number*  $\chi_\ell(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum number of such integers  $k$ . A graph  $G$  is called *chromatic-choosable*, if  $\chi(G) = \chi_\ell(G)$ . Motivated by a special graph introduced by Mirzakhani [15], we shall define the *Mirzakhani-chromatic number*  $\chi_M(G)$  of a graph  $G$  to be the minimum number  $k$  (required colors) such that for every function  $c_0 : V(G) \rightarrow Z$ , there is a coloring  $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  in which for each vertex  $v$ ,  $c(v) \neq c_0(v)$ . Obviously,  $\chi(G) + 1 \leq \chi_M(G) \leq \chi_\ell(G) + 1$ . Our main graph examples in this paper satisfy the property  $\chi_M(G) > \chi(G) + 1$ . A graph  $G$  is called *claw-free*, if there is no triple of

---

\*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Sharif University of Technology, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: [morteza.hasanvand@alum.sharif.edu](mailto:morteza.hasanvand@alum.sharif.edu).  
 Yokohama National University, Yokohama, Japan. E-mail: [hasanvand-morteza-tb@ynu.jp](mailto:hasanvand-morteza-tb@ynu.jp)

non-adjacent vertices having a common neighbour. For a graph  $G$ , the *line graph*  $L(G)$  is a graph whose vertex set is  $E(G)$  and also two  $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$  are adjacent in  $L(G)$  if they have a common end in  $G$ . Note that line graphs are claw-free. The *total graph*  $T(G)$  is a graph whose vertex set is  $V(G) \cup E(G)$ , and two  $e_1, e_2 \in E(G)$  are adjacent if they have a common end in  $G$ , two  $v_1, v_2 \in V(G)$  are adjacent if they are adjacent in  $G$ , and also  $v \in V(G)$  and  $e \in E(G)$  are adjacent if  $e$  is incident with  $v$  in  $G$ . For a positive integer  $k$ , the  *$k$ -th power*  $G^k$  of a graph  $G$  is a graph with the same vertex set and two vertices are adjacent if their distance in  $G$  is at most  $k$ . For the special case  $k = 2$ , the graph  $G^2$  is called the *square* of  $G$ . We denote by  $S(G)$  the subdivision graph of  $G$  which can be obtained from it by inserting a new vertex on each edge. These graphs are bipartite and have girth at least 6 when  $G$  is a simple graph. It is easy to check that total graphs are square of subdivision graphs that means  $T(G) = S(G)^2$ . For a positive integer  $n$ , we denote by  $Z_n$  the cyclic group of order  $n$  with elements  $1, \dots, n$ . For two positive integer  $n$  and  $k$  with  $k < n/2$ , the *generalized Petersen graph*  $P(n, k)$  refers to a graph with vertices  $v_i$  and  $u_i$  and edges  $v_i v_{i+1}$ ,  $v_i u_i$ , and  $u_i u_{i+k}$  where  $i \in Z_n$ . Note that  $P(5, 2)$  is the Petersen graph.

In 1997 Borodin, Kostochka, and Woodall [3] conjectured that total graphs are chromatic-choosable.

**Conjecture 1.1.**(List Total Coloring Conjecture [3]) *Every graph  $G$  satisfies  $\chi(T(G)) = \chi_\ell(T(G))$ , where  $T(G) = B(G)^2$ .*

Motivated by their conjecture, Kostochka and Woodall (2001) [13] proposed a stronger conjecture which says that the square of graphs are chromatic-choosable. They also confirmed this conjecture for many small graphs.

**Conjecture 1.2.**(List Square Coloring Conjecture [13]) *Every graph  $G$  satisfies  $\chi(G^2) = \chi_\ell(G^2)$ .*

After a long time, Kim and Park (2015) [11] constructed a family of counterexamples to this conjecture which the square of them are complete multipartite graphs. Those graphs were non-bipartite and the smallest one contains 15 vertices, see [11, Figure 3]. Next, Kim and Park (2015) [12] developed their constructions by providing bipartite counterexamples to the List Square Coloring Conjecture and answered Question 2.13 in [11].

**Theorem 1.3.**([12]) *There exists an infinite family of bipartite graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi(G^2) < \chi_\ell(G^2)$ .*

They also posed the following problems in their paper and partially answered the second theorem by giving the upper bound of 6 on  $k$ . Note that total graphs are square of bipartite graphs having degree 2 in one side. In this paper, we introduce cubic bipartite counterexamples to the List Square Coloring Conjecture which consequently shows that  $k$  must be at most 2 (if there would exist).

**Problem A** ([12]) *If  $G$  is a bipartite graph such that every vertex of one partite set has degree at most 2, then is it true that  $\chi(G^2) = \chi_\ell(G^2)$ ?*

**Problem B** ([12]) *If the answer to Problem A is yes, then what is the largest  $k$  such that  $G^2$  is chromatic-choosable for every bipartite graph  $G$  with a partite set in which each vertex has degree at most  $k$ ?*

1997 Gravier and Maffray [6] conjectured that claw-free graphs are chromatic-choosable which is a stronger version of the following conjecture due to Vizing, Gupa, Albertson and Collins, and Bollobás and Harris, see [7]. Note that the following conjecture is proved for bipartite graphs [5].

**Conjecture 1.4.**(List Coloring Conjecture) *Every graph  $G$  satisfies  $\chi(L(G)) = \chi_\ell(L(G))$ .*

Kim, Kwon, and Park (2015) and Dai, Wang, Yang, and Yu (2018) [4] posed the following problems in their papers about chromatic-choosability of claw-free graphs and line graphs. In this paper, we answer their problems negatively (for  $k = 2$ ) by giving a family of line graphs of subcubic planar graphs. In particular, the smallest one is a planar cubic graph of order 12.

**Problem C** ([10]) *Is  $G^k$  chromatic-choosable for every integer  $k \geq 2$  if  $G$  is claw-free?*

**Problem D** ([4]) *Is  $G^2$  chromatic-choosable for every line graph  $G$ ?*

## 2 Solution to Problem B: Cubic bipartite graphs

The following theorem completely solves Problem B by showing that if Problem A would be true, then  $k$  must be precisely 2 (recall that total graphs  $T(G)$  are square of subdivision graphs  $S(G)$  with girth at least 6 when  $G$  is a simple graph).

**Theorem 2.1.** *There exists an infinite family of bipartite cubic graphs  $G$  with girth 6 satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $G$  be a cubic bipartite graph with vertices  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ , and edges  $x_i y_{i-2}$ ,  $x_i y_i$ ,  $x_i y_{i+1}$  where  $i \in Z_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . It is easy to check that  $G$  has no cycle with size 4. For the case  $n = 8$ , the graph  $G$  is illustrated in Figure 1 by Hamiltonian cycle  $y_1 x_1 y_2 x_2 \dots y_n x_n$  (anti-clockwise order). We claim that  $\chi_M(G^2) > 5 = \chi(G^2) + 1$  provided that  $n$  is divisible by 4. By the definition,  $G^2$  contains the edges of  $G$  along with the new edges  $x_i x_{i+1}$ ,  $x_i x_{i+2}$ ,  $x_i x_{i+3}$ , and also  $y_i y_{i+1}$ ,  $y_i y_{i+2}$ ,  $y_i y_{i+3}$  where  $i \in Z_n$ . Since  $G^2$  has the clique number 4, we must have  $4 \leq \chi(G^2)$  (any four consecutive vertices of  $x_i$  or  $y_i$  is a clique). Assume that  $n$  is divisible by 4. Then we can find a 4-coloring for  $G^2$  by coloring every vertex  $x_i$  and  $y_{i-1}$  by the same color  $r$ , where  $i \stackrel{4}{\equiv} r \in Z_4$ . Now, we are going to show that  $\chi_M(G^2) > 5$  whenever  $n \geq 8$ . We assign the list  $\bar{1}$  on all vertices  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ , assign the list  $\bar{2}$  on the vertices  $y_1, \dots, y_{n-4}$ , and assign the list  $\bar{3}$  on all vertices  $y_{n-3}, \dots, y_n$ , where  $\bar{i} = \{1, \dots, 5\} \setminus \{i\}$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $G^2$  admits such a list coloring. By the property of  $G^2$ , every vertex  $x_i$  must be colored by  $c_r$ , where  $i \stackrel{4}{\equiv} r \in Z_4$




Figure 1: A 4-coloring of the square of  $G$




Figure 2: The square of  $G$  is not 4-choosable

and  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\} = \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Therefore, every vertex  $y_{i-1}$  must be colored by the color 1 or  $c_r$ , where  $i \stackrel{4}{\equiv} r \in Z_4$ . Assume that  $c_{r_2} = 2$  and  $c_{r_3} = 3$ . According to the list property, if  $1 \leq i-1 \leq n-4$  and  $i \stackrel{4}{\equiv} r_2$ , then every vertex  $y_{i-1}$  must be colored by the color 1. Likewise, if  $n-4 < i-1 \leq n$  and  $i \stackrel{4}{\equiv} r_3$ , then  $y_{i-1}$  must be colored by the color 1. This is a contradiction and the proof is completed.  $\square$

In the following theorem, we introduce another family of bipartite cubic graphs which are planar and consequently they must have some cycles with size 4.

**Theorem 2.2.** *There exists an infinite family of planar bipartite cubic graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Let  $G$  be a cubic bipartite graph with vertices  $x_i$  and  $y_i$ , and edges  $x_i y_{i-1}, x_i y_i, x_i y_{i+1}$  where  $i \in Z_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We claim that  $\chi_M(G^2) > 5 = \chi(G^2) + 1$  provided that  $n$  is divisible by 4. By the definition,  $G^2$  contains the edges of  $G$  along with the new edges  $x_i x_{i+1}, x_i x_{i+2}$ , and  $y_i y_{i+1}, y_i y_{i+2}$ , where  $i \in Z_n$ . Since  $G^2$  has the clique number 4, we must have  $4 \leq \chi(G^2)$  (any four vertices  $x_i, y_i, x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}$ ). Assume that  $n$  is divisible by 4. Then we can find a 4-coloring for  $G^2$  by coloring any two vertices  $x_i$  and  $y_{i-2}$  by the same color  $c_i \in Z_4$  such that  $c_i \stackrel{4}{\equiv} i \in Z_n$ . Now, we are going to show that  $\chi_M(G^2) > 5$  provided that  $n \geq 12$ . We assign the list  $\bar{4}$  on all vertices  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  with  $8 \leq i \leq n$ , assign the list  $\bar{3}$  on all six vertices  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  with  $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ , assign the list  $\bar{2}$  on all four vertices  $x_1, x_2, y_6, y_7$ , assign the list  $\bar{1}$  on the remaining four vertices,  $y_1, y_2, x_6, y_7$ , where  $\bar{i} = \{1, \dots, 5\} \setminus \{i\}$ .

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $G^2$  admits such a list coloring  $c : V(G) \rightarrow Z_5$ . We may assume that  $n = 12$ , because the color of  $x_i$  (resp.  $y_i$ ) must be repeated on  $x_{i+4}$  (resp.  $y_{i+4}$ ), provided that  $8 \leq i \leq n-4$ . In addition,  $c(x_8) = c(y_{10}) = c(x_{12}), c(y_8) = c(x_{10}) = c(y_{12}),$  and  $c(x_9) = c(y_{11}), c(y_9) = c(x_{11})$ . Let  $H$  be the bipartite induced subgraph of  $G^2$  consisting of all vertices colored with the color 1 or 2. According to the list property, it is not difficult to check that there is no integer  $i$  such that  $\{c(x_i), c(y_i)\} = \{1, 2\}$ . So,

by the symmetry property, we can assume that  $x_3, y_4, y_5 \in V(H)$ . Let  $v \in \{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2\} \cap V(H)$  and let  $u \in \{x_6, y_6, x_7, y_7\} \cap V(H)$ . Since  $H$  has no triangle, we must have  $v \neq y_2$  and  $u \neq y_6$ . We claim also that  $v$  and  $u$  do not have lists  $\bar{2}$  and  $\bar{1}$ , respectively. Otherwise, five vertices  $v, x_3, y_4, y_5, u$  form a path in  $H$  whose lists are  $\bar{2}, \bar{3}, \bar{3}, \bar{3}$ , and  $\bar{1}$ , respectively, which is impossible. In addition, if  $\{v, u\} = \{x_1, y_7\}$  or  $\{v, u\} = \{y_1, x_7\}$ , then  $c(u) = c(v) \in \{c(x_9), c(y_9)\}$  which is impossible, because those two vertices have different lists  $\bar{1}$  and  $\bar{2}$ . On the other hand, if  $\{v, u\} = \{x_1, x_7\}$  or  $\{v, u\} = \{y_1, y_7\}$ , then  $c(u) \neq c(v)$  (and  $\{c(u), c(v)\} = \{c(x_9), c(y_9)\}$ ) which is again impossible, because those two vertices the same list either  $\bar{1}$  or  $\bar{2}$ . Therefore, we have only two cases  $\{v, u\} = \{y_1, x_6\}$  or  $\{v, u\} = \{x_2, y_7\}$ . In both cases, it is not hard to verify that the color 3 must appear at most 4 times. On the other hand, every color  $i$  must appear at most  $n_i$  times, where  $n_1 = n_2 = 5, n_3 = n_4 = 4, n_5 = 6$ . Therefore, every color  $i$  must appear exactly  $n_i$  times, because  $G$  contains 24 vertices. This can imply that  $c(x_1) = c(y_3) = c(x_5) = c(y_7) = 4$  and hence  $c(y_2) = c(x_4) = c(y_6) = c(x_8) = c(y_{10}) = c(y_{12}) = 5$ . Thus if  $\{v, u\} = \{y_1, x_6\}$ , then one can conclude that  $c(x_7) = 3$ , and similarly if  $\{v, u\} = \{x_2, y_7\}$ , then  $c(y_1) = 3$ . In both cases, the color of  $y_1$  and  $x_7$  are different and not equal to 4 (they must consequently have the same color of  $y_{10}$ ), which can derive contradiction.  $\square$

### 3 Solution to Problems C and D

#### 3.1 Line graph of subdivision graphs: a small counterexample

The List Total Coloring Conjecture [3] says that the square of subdivision graphs are chromtaic-choosable. In this section, we shall show that there are some subdivision graphs for which the square graph of their line graphs are not chromtaic-choosable. In particular, the smallest one is a planar cubic claw-free graph having only 12 vertices.

**Theorem 3.1.** *There exists a claw-free planar cubic graph  $G$  of order 12 satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ . In particular,  $G = L(S(K_4))$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be the graph shown in Figure 3. Since  $G^2$  has the clique number 4, we must have  $\chi(G^2) \geq 4$ . To show the equality, it is enough to consider the 4-coloring shown in Figure 3. We claim that  $\chi_M(G^2) > 5 = \chi(G^2) + 1$  which can complete the proof. To show this, first consider the list assignment for vertices as Figure 4, where  $\bar{i} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \setminus \{i\}$ .

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $G^2$  admits such a list coloring. It is easy to check that  $G^2$  contains exactly four maximum independent sets  $V_1, \dots, V_4$  of size 3 which are shown in Figure 3. According to the list assignment, every  $V_i$  contains all three lists  $\bar{1}, \bar{2}$ , and  $\bar{3}$ . Thus each of the colors 1, 2, 3 cannot appear three times. Therefore, two colors 4 and 5 must appear exactly three times and each of the colors 1, 2, 3 must appear exactly two times. After removing the vertices colored by 4 and 5 in the graph  $G^2$ , the remaining graph is the union of two 4-cycles having an edge in common. In particular, if we consider




Figure 3: A 4-coloring of the square of  $L(S(K_4))$     Figure 4: The square of  $L(S(K_4))$  is not 4-choosable

two mentioned cycles  $w_0w_1v_0v_1$  and  $w'_0w'_1v_0v_1$ , then lists on the vertices of them must be  $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \bar{c}_3, \bar{c}_3$  and  $\bar{c}_2, \bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_3, \bar{c}_3$ , respectively, where  $c_1, c_2$ , and  $c_3$  are a permutation of 1, 2, 3. But this subgraph does not admit such a list coloring. This is a contradiction, as desired.  $\square$

By applying the graph construction of Theorem 3.1, we can write the following corollary. We denote below by  $P_{n,k}$  the multigraph of order  $3n + 3$  with vertices  $x, v_0, \dots, v_{3n}, y$  such that  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  are adjacent, and also those are connected with  $k$  multiple edges whenever  $i$  is equal to 1 (modulo 3). Note that  $P_{n,1}$  is a path.

**Corollary 3.2.** *There exists an infinite family of claw-free planar cubic graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ . In particular,  $G$  is the line graph of a graph obtained from  $B(K_4)$  by replacing one path  $xvy$  in which  $v$  has degree 2 by a graph  $P_{n,2}$ .*

**Proof.** Consider an edge  $xy$  of the graph  $G$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1 such that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same list  $\bar{1}$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer and for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we let  $H_i$  be the graph obtained from the complete graph of order 4 by removing one edge; we call it  $x_iy_i$ . In addition, we insert edges  $x_iy_{i+1}$  into these graphs in which  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $x_0 = x$ , and  $y_{n+1} = y$ . Call the resulting cubic planar graph  $G_n$ . It is easy to check that  $G_n$  is 4-colorable. In addition,  $G_n$  is not 4-choosable by inserting the same list  $\bar{1}$  on the new vertices.  $\square$

We shall below generalize Theorem 3.1 to 5-regular graphs using a little extra effort.

**Theorem 3.3.** *There exists a claw-free 5-regular graph  $G$  of order 30 satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ . In particular,  $G = L(S(K_6))$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V(G) = \{v_{i,j} : i, j \in Z_6, i \neq j\}$  and edge set  $E(G) = \{v_{i,j}v_{j,i} : i, j \in Z_6, i \neq j\} \cup \{v_{i,j}v_{i,j'} : i, j, j' \in Z_6, j' \neq i \neq j \neq j'\}$ , where  $Z_6 = \{1, \dots, 6\}$ . Note that  $G = L(B(K_6))$ .

Since  $G^2$  has the clique number 6, we must have  $6 \leq \chi(G^2)$ . To prove the equality, we can color every vertex  $v_{i,j}$  with the color  $j$ ; for example, see Figure 5. Now, we shall prove that  $\chi_\ell(G^2) \neq 6$ . Let  $L : \{1, \dots, 6\} \times \{1, \dots, 6\} \rightarrow \{0, \dots, 5\}$  be a Latin square obtained from a one-factorization of the complete graph  $K_6$ . More precisely, every edge  $x_i x_j$  in the complete graph must have the color  $L(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, 5\}$  (consequently,  $L(i, i) = 0$ ). We assign the color list  $\overline{L(i, j)} = \{0, \dots, 6\} \setminus \{L(i, j)\}$  to each vertex  $v_{i,j}$ . For example, a list assignment is shown in Figure 6.

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $G^2$  admits such a list coloring. For each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 6$ , we set  $V_i = \{v_{i,j} : j \in Z_6, i \neq j\}$ . Let  $C$  be the multigraph with vertex set  $V(C) = \{0, \dots, 6\}$  for which edge set consists of edges  $ij$  such that both colors  $i$  and  $j$  do not appear on all vertices of a fixed partition  $V_t$ . Clearly,  $C$  has size 6. We first claim that  $C$  is a tree. Suppose, to the contrary, that  $C$  has a cycle  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ . Let  $V_{p_i}$  be the partition corresponding to the edge  $c_{i-1}c_i$ , where  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ; we here consider  $c_0$  for  $c_n$ . Since the vertex  $v_{p_n, p_i}$  is adjacent to all vertices of  $V_{p_i}$ , the color of it must be either  $c_{i-1}$  or  $c_i$ . In particular, the color of  $v_{p_n, p_1}$  must be either  $c_n$  or  $c_1$ . Hence it must be  $c_1$ , because of  $v_{p_n, p_1} \in V_{p_n}$ . Thus one can inductively conclude that the color of  $v_{p_n, p_i}$  must be  $c_i$ , since vertices  $v_{p_n, p_i}$  and  $v_{p_n, p_{i-1}}$  are adjacent. This implies that the color of  $v_{p_n, p_{n-1}}$  must be  $c_{n-1}$  which is impossible, because of  $v_{p_n, p_{n-1}} \in V_{p_n}$ . Now, we claim that every vertex  $i \in V(C)$  satisfying  $1 \leq i \leq 5$  must have degree exactly two. Otherwise, there is a color  $i_0 \in V(C)$  with  $1 \leq i_0 \leq 5$  such that appears on all partitions except a fixed partition  $V_t$ . Therefore, this color must appear on all vertices  $v_{j,t}$  where  $j \in Z_6$  and  $j \neq t$  (in fact, every vertex  $v_{j,t'}$  is adjacent to all vertices of  $V_{t'}$  and so those have different colors). On the other hand, these vertices have all lists  $\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{5}$ , which is impossible. Therefore, we can assume that  $C$  is a path with vertices  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_6$ .

Let  $V_{p_i}$  be the partition corresponding to the edge  $c_{i-1}c_i$ , where  $i \in Z_6$ . As the above-mentioned argument, one can inductively conclude that the color of  $v_{p_i, p_j}$  must be  $c_j$  whenever  $i < j$ , and  $c_{j-1}$  whenever  $j < i$  (the argument for a fixed integer  $i$  is based on two inductions, the first one uses the integer  $j$  starting from  $i + 1$  to 6 and the other one uses the integer  $j$  starting from  $i - 1$  to 0). Therefore, the color  $c_0$  must appear on all vertices  $v_{p_i, p_1}$  with  $2 \leq i \leq 6$ . Since these vertices have all lists  $\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{5}$ , one can conclude that  $c_0 \in \{0, 6\}$ . Likewise, the color  $c_6$  must appear on all vertices  $v_{p_i, p_6}$  with  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , and hence  $c_6 \in \{0, 6\}$ . Furthermore, the color  $c_1$  must appear on all vertices  $v_{p_i, p_2}$  with  $3 \leq i \leq 6$ . This implies that  $c_1 = L(p_1, p_2)$ . Likewise, we must have  $c_5 = L(p_6, p_5)$ . Therefore, one can similarly conclude that  $c_2 \in \{L(p_1, p_3), L(p_2, p_3)\}$  and  $c_4 \in \{L(p_5, p_4), L(p_6, p_4)\}$ . Since the color  $c_4$  (resp.  $c_5$ ) is a perfect matching of  $K_6$ , it must be in  $\{L(p_1, p_3), L(p_2, p_3)\}$ . This is a contradiction because  $c_2, c_4$ , and  $c_5$  are three different colors. Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *There exists an infinite family of claw-free 5-regular graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ . In particular,  $G$  is the line graph of a graph obtained from  $B(K_6)$  by replacing one path  $xvy$  in which  $v$  has degree 2 by a graph  $P_{n,4}$ .*

**Proof.** Consider an edge  $xy$  of the graph  $G$  in the proof of Theorem 3.3 such that  $x$  and  $y$  have the same list  $\bar{1}$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer and for each  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we let  $H_i$  be the graph obtained from the complete graph of order 6 by removing one edge; we call it  $x_i y_i$ . In addition, we insert edges  $x_i y_{i+1}$  into

these graphs in which  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $x_0 = x$ , and  $y_{n+1} = y$ . Call the resulting claw-free 5-regular graph  $G_n$ . It is easy to check that  $G_n$  is 6-colorable. In addition,  $G_n$  is not 6-choosable by inserting the same list  $\bar{1}$  on the new vertices.  $\square$




Figure 5: A 6-coloring of the square of  $L(S(K_6))$     Figure 6: The square of  $L(S(K_6))$  is not 6-choosable

### 3.2 Line graph of cubic graphs: Generalized Petersen graphs

Kim and Park (2015) [11] introduced a small graph of order 15, with degrees 3 and 4, whose square graph is not chromatic-choosable; the right graph illustrated in Figure 7. We observed that line graph of the Petersen graph is another small graph having the same square graph. More precisely, their square graph are the multipartite graph whose parts have size three and so it must have the chromatic number and the list chromatic number 5 and 7, see [9] (note that there are some other strongly regular graphs whose line graphs are complete multipartite; for example, Clebsch graph). In the following theorem, we are going to show that the square graph of the line graph of a bipartite cubic graph is not necessarily chromatic-choosable (using generalized Petersen graphs). We have already observed that the square graph of a bipartite cubic graph is not necessarily chromatic-choosable.

**Theorem 3.5.** *There exists an infinite family of claw-free 4-regular graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2)+1$ . In particular,  $G$  is the line graph of a bipartite cubic graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a generalized Petersen graph  $P(n, 3)$  with vertices  $v_i$  and  $u_i$  and edges  $v_i v_{i+1}$ ,  $v_i u_i$ , and  $u_i u_{i+3}$  where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $n$  is divisible by 5. Note that  $P$  is bipartite when  $n$  is divisible by 10. Let  $G$  be the line graph of  $P$ . For notational simplicity, we use  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  and  $z_i$  in  $V(G)$  corresponding






Figure 7: The line graph of the Petersen graph (left) and the graph of Kim-Park graph (right) have the same square graph: complete multipartite graph with part size 3 and chromatic number 5.

to the edges  $v_i v_{i+1}$ ,  $v_i u_i$ , and  $u_i u_{i+3}$ . It was known that  $\chi(G^2) = 5$ , see [17, Theorem 4.2]. To see this it is enough to color any triple of vertices  $x_i$ ,  $y_{i+3}$ , and  $z_{i+4}$  by the same color  $r$  where  $i \equiv r \pmod{5}$ . Now, we claim that  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ . One method for proving it is to assign the same list  $\bar{1}$  on all  $x_i$ , and assign the same list  $\bar{2}$  on all  $y_i$ , assign the list the same list  $\bar{3}$  on the remaining vertices, where  $\bar{j} = Z_6 \setminus \{j\}$ ; for example, see Figure 9. Unfortunately, this method only works whenever  $n$  is not divisible by 4 and the proof needs a little extra effort (we leave the proof for the reader). To introduce a simpler proof for our purpose, let us change the list assignments and assume that  $n$  is at least 15. We assign the list  $\bar{1}$  on all  $x_i$  with  $n-8 \leq i \leq n$ , assign the list  $\bar{2}$  on all  $y_i$  with  $n-8 \leq i \leq n$ , and assign the list the list  $\bar{3}$  on the remaining vertices, where  $\bar{j} = Z_6 \setminus \{j\}$ .

Suppose, to the contrary, that  $G^2$  admits such a list coloring  $c : V(G) \rightarrow Z_6$ . Let  $i$  be an integer with  $1 \leq i \leq n-8$ . We denote the colors of the vertices  $x_i$ ,  $x_{i+1}$ ,  $y_i$ ,  $y_{i+1}$ , and  $y_3$  by  $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1$ , respectively. Since these colors are different, we can denote by  $b_2$  the unique color in  $Z_6 \setminus \{a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1, 3\}$ . If  $i \leq n-9$ , then according to the list assignments, we must have  $\{c(x_{i+2}), c(y_{i+2})\} = \{b_0, b_2\}$ . This implies that  $\{c(x_{i+3}), c(y_{i+3})\} = \{b_1, a_0\}$  and so  $\{c(z_{i+3}), c(z_i)\} = \{a_1, b_2\}$ . Therefore,  $c(x_{i+2}) = b_0$  and  $c(y_{i+2}) = b_2$ . Let call the color of vertices  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_2$ , and  $y_3$  by  $p_1, \dots, p_5 \in Z_6 \setminus \{3\}$ . By the argument mentioned above, one can conclude that for every  $i$  with  $1 \leq i < n-7$ , we must have  $c(x_i) = p_j$  in which  $i \equiv j \pmod{5}$ , and  $c(y_i) = p_j$  in which  $i \equiv j-2 \pmod{5}$ . Moreover, if  $i = n-7$  then  $\{c(x_i), c(y_i)\} = \{p_j, p_{j'}\}$  where  $i \equiv j \pmod{5}$  and  $i \equiv j'-2 \pmod{5}$ . Therefore, if  $2 \leq i \leq n-10$ , then  $c(z_i) = p_j$  in which  $i \equiv j-1 \pmod{5}$ . Consequently, this equality holds for every  $i \in \{1, n-9, n-8, n-7, n-2, n-1, n\}$  and then for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . These imply that for every  $i$  with  $n-6 \leq i \leq n$ , we must have  $c(x_i) \in \{p_j, 3\}$  in which  $i \equiv j \pmod{5}$ , and  $c(y_i) \in \{p_{j'}, 3\}$  in which  $i' \equiv j'-2 \pmod{5}$ . Thus if  $p_j = 1$ , then  $c(x_i) = 3$ , and if  $p_{j'} = 2$ , then  $c(y_{i'}) = 3$ . This is impossible because there are two adjacent vertices  $x_i$  and  $y_{i'}$  in  $G^2$  with this properties.  $\square$




Figure 8: The square of  $L(P(10,3))$  is 5-colorable    Figure 9: The square of  $L(P(10,3))$  is not 5-choosable

In the following theorem, we are going to show that the square of the line graph of a planar cubic graph is not necessarily chromatic-choosable (using generalized Petersen graphs). We have already observed that the square of a planar cubic graph is not necessarily chromatic-choosable.

**Theorem 3.6.** *There exists an infinite family of planar claw-free 4-regular graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ . In particular,  $G$  is the line graph of a planar cubic graph.*

**Proof.** Let  $P$  be a generalized Petersen graph  $P(n,2)$  with vertices  $v_i$  and  $u_i$  and edges  $v_i v_{i+1}$ ,  $v_i u_i$ ,  $u_i u_{i+2}$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $n$  is divisible by 5. Let  $G$  be the line graph of  $P$ . Note that  $P$  and  $G$  are planar when  $n$  is divisible by 10. For notational simplicity, we use  $x_i$ ,  $y_i$  and  $z_i$  in  $V(G)$  corresponding to the edges  $v_i v_{i+1}$ ,  $v_i u_i$ , and  $u_i u_{i+2}$ . It was known that  $\chi(G^2) = 5$ , see [17, Theorem 3.1]. To see this it is enough to color any triple of vertices  $x_i$ ,  $y_{i+3}$ , and  $z_{i+2}$  by the same color  $r$  where  $i \equiv r \pmod{5}$ .

Now, we are going to show that  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 1$ . We assign the list  $\bar{1}$  on all  $x_i$ , assign the list  $\bar{2}$  on all  $y_i$ , and assign the list the list  $\bar{3}$  on the remaining vertices, where  $\bar{j} = \mathbb{Z}_6 \setminus \{j\}$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $G^2$  admits such a list coloring  $c : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_6$ . First assume that colors of any two vertices  $y_i$  and  $z_{i-1}$  are the same. Let contract any pair of such vertices and call the resulting induced subgraph with these new vertices by  $H$ . If we consider the coloring for  $H$  obtained from  $G$ , this coloring uses at most four colors of  $\mathbb{Z}_6 \setminus \{2, 3\}$ . This is a contradiction, since  $H$  contains some cliques with size 5.

Now, we may assume that there exists an integer  $i$  such that all colors of the vertices  $x_i, y_i, z_i, x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}, y_{i+2}$  are different. We denote them by  $a_1, \dots, a_6$ , respectively. Hence  $c(x_{i+2}) = a_2$  and  $c(x_{i-1}) = a_6$ . Since  $c(z_{i+2}) \in \{a_1, a_5\}$  and  $c(z_{i-2}) \in \{a_4, a_5\}$ , according to the symmetry property of  $G$ , we may assume that  $c(z_{i+2}) = a_1$  (note that  $z_{i+2}$  and  $z_{i-2}$  are adjacent). In addition, it is easy to check that  $c(x_{i+3}) \in \{a_3, a_5\}$ ,  $c(y_{i+3}) \in \{a_1, a_3\}$ ,  $c(y_{i+4}) \in \{a_4, a_5\}$ , and  $c(z_{i+1}) \in \{a_3, a_6\}$ . If  $c(z_{i+1}) = a_3$ , then we must have

$c(x_{i+3}) = a_5$ ,  $c(y_{i+3}) = a_1$ , and  $c(z_{i+2}) = a_4$ . These imply that  $1, 2 \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_6\} \setminus \{a_3\}$  which is a contradiction.

Therefore,  $c(z_{i+1}) = a_6$ . On the other hand, according to the list property,  $1 \in \{a_3, a_5\}$ . We shall consider the following two cases. Case (1):  $a_5 = 1$ . In this case, we must have  $c(x_{i+3}) = a_3$ ,  $c(y_{i+3}) = a_1$ , and  $c(z_{i+3}) = a_4$  which is again a contradiction. These imply that  $3 \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_6\} \setminus \{a_5\}$  which is a contradiction. Case (2):  $a_3 = 1$ . In this case,  $c(x_{i+3}) = a_5$ . If  $c(y_{i+3}) = a_3 = 1$ , then one can derive a contradiction similarly to Case (1). Thus  $c(y_{i+3}) = a_1$ ,  $c(x_{i+3}) = a_5$ , and  $c(y_{i+4}) = a_4$ . These imply that  $2 \notin \{a_1, \dots, a_6\} \setminus \{a_3\}$  which is again a contradiction. Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

Mirzakhani (1996) [15] constructed a 3-colorable planar graph  $G$  which is not 4-choosable. In particular, she proved that  $\chi_M(G) > \chi(G) + 2$ . We would like to know whether there are such square graphs obtained from planar graphs.

**Problem 3.7.** *Is there a planar graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi_M(G^2) > \chi(G^2) + 2$ ?*

## 4 A revised version to the List Square Coloring Conjecture

As we already observed, total graphs are square graphs of a class of bipartite graphs. It is easy to see that the following relation also holds for this family of graphs.

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta(T(G)) + 1 \leq \Delta(G) + 1 \leq \chi(T(G)).$$

The square of our graph examples violated this property. We feel that by replacing this condition, the Square Coloring Conjecture conjecture can be revised to the following version. According to the graph constructions in Theorems 2.2 and 3.1, the lower bound is sharp and cannot be reduced by  $1/2$ .

**Conjecture 4.1.** *Every square graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}\Delta(G) + 1$  is chromatic-choosable.*

One may ask whether this conjecture can be restated for all graphs. If yes, one can easily conclude the List Coloring Conjecture, since  $\frac{1}{2}\Delta(L(G)) + 1 \leq \Delta(G) \leq \chi(L(G))$ . More generally, for claw-free graphs, one can easily prove that  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}\Delta(G) + 1$  (any color around each vertex must appear at most twice). Unfortunately, by the following graph construction, the answer is negative.

**Theorem 4.2.** *For every integer  $k$  with  $k \geq 3$ , there exists a graph  $G$  with the chromatic number  $k$  satisfying  $\chi_\ell(G) > \chi(G) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta(G) + 1$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a graph with the vertex set  $X \cup X' \cup Y' \cup Y$ , where  $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}$ ,  $X' = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ ,  $Y' = \{y'_1, \dots, y'_k\}$ ,  $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}\}$ . We add edges to  $G$  such that both of  $G[X]$  and  $G[Y]$  would complete graph, both of  $G[X, X']$  and  $G[Y', Y]$  would be a complete bipartite graph, and  $G[X', Y']$  would be complete

bipartite graph minus edges  $x'_i y'_i$ , where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . According to the construction, the graph  $G$  is a  $(2k-2)$ -regular graph having some cliques with size  $k$ . We claim that  $\chi(G) = k$ . To see this, one can color every  $x_i$  (resp.  $y_i$ ) by the color  $i$  (resp.  $i+1$ ) when  $1 \leq i < k$  and color every vertex in  $X'$  by the color  $k$  and every vertex in  $Y'$  by the color 1. Now, we are going to show that  $\chi_\ell(G) > \chi(G)$ . To prove this, we assign the list  $\bar{i}$  to two vertices  $x'_{i+k-1}$  and  $y'_{i+k-1}$  provided that  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and assign the list  $\overline{k+1}$  to all vertices of  $X \cup Y$ , where  $\bar{j} = Z_{k+1} \setminus \{j\}$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $G$  admits such a list coloring  $c : V(G) \rightarrow Z_{k+1}$ . Therefore, there exists exactly one color  $a \in Z_k$  which is not appeared on all vertices of  $X$  and there exists exactly one color  $b \in Z_k$  which is not appeared on all vertices of  $Y$ . According to the list property, we must have  $c(x'_{a+k-1}) = k+1$  and  $c(y'_{b+k-1}) = k+1$  which imply that  $a = b$ . Therefore, all other vertices of  $X' \cup Y'$  are colored by the color  $a$  which is a contradiction, because of  $|X'| \geq 3$ . Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$




Figure 10: Two 3-colorable 4-regular (non-planar and planar) graphs  $G$  satisfying  $\chi(G) + 1 < \chi_M(G)$ .

However, Conjecture 4.1 is not true for arbitrary graphs, we believe that it can be revised by modifying the lower bound. In particular, we propose the following three conjectures.

**Conjecture 4.3.** *For every nonnegative integer  $c_0$ , there are two real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $c$  with  $1/2 \leq \varepsilon < 1$  such that if a graph  $G$  satisfies  $\chi(G) \geq \varepsilon \Delta(G) + c$ , then  $\chi_\ell(G) \leq \chi(G) + c_0$ .*

Motivated by Theorem 4.2, we feel the following stronger version of Conjecture 4.3 would be true which says that one can set  $\varepsilon = 1/2$  and also  $c = 3/2$ .

**Conjecture 4.4.** *Every graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi(G) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(G) + 3)$  is chromatic-choosable.*

For graphs with lower chromatic number, we feel that the following revised version would also be true.

**Conjecture 4.5.** *Every graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi(G) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(G) + 3)$  is  $\lceil \frac{1}{2}(\Delta(G) + 3) \rceil$ -choosable.*

## 4.1 Planar graphs and bipartite graphs

It is known that every planar graph is 4-colorable [2] and so Conjecture 4.4 can restated as the following simpler version for this family of graphs.

**Conjecture 4.6.** *Every 5-regular planar graph is 4-choosable.*

One may ask whether this conjecture holds by replacing a bit larger upper bound on the maximum degree. So, we would like to pose the following question.

**Problem 4.7.** *What is the maximum number  $k$  such that every planar graph  $G$  satisfying  $\Delta(G) \leq k$  is 4-choosable?*

By the following theorem, we are going to partially answer Problem 4.7 by showing that  $k$  must be strictly less than 15 using a graph construction introduced by Mirzakhani (1996) [15]. Note that the best known upper bound was around 40 with respect to a non-4-choosable planar graph introduced by Voigt (1993) [16].

**Theorem 4.8.** *There exists a (resp. 3-colorable) planar graph with maximum degree 15 (resp. 16) which is not 4-choosable.*

**Proof.** There is a planar graph (near triangulation)  $H$  with given lists on degrees such that for every list coloring of it the color 5 appears on a vertex of the outer face, see [15]. The lists have the form  $\bar{i}$  in which  $\bar{i} = Z_5 \setminus \{i\}$ . By this graph, we can consider the complete graph of order 4 and for each vertex  $v$ , we assign the list  $\bar{4}$  on it, and also insert a copy of  $H$  and join  $v$  to all vertices on the outer face. It is not difficult to show that the resulting graph  $G$  is not 4-choosable (the order of this graph can slightly be reduced similar to the graph illustrated in Figure 11). To make such a 3-colorable graph, we can use the 3-colorable planar 4-regular illustrated in Figure 10 (right graph) and also for every vertex  $v$  insert a copy of  $H$  and join  $v$  to all vertices on the outer face. Similarly, it is not difficult to show that the resulting graph  $G$  is not 4-choosable, using the same lists of the figures, while it is 3-colorable.  $\square$

In general, we would like to know upper bounds on the maximum degrees to have  $m$ -choosability property of  $k$ -coloring graphs.

**Problem 4.9.** *Let  $m$  and  $k$  be two positive integers with  $m \geq k$ . What is the maximum number  $f(k, m)$  such that every  $k$ -colorable graph  $G$  with maximum degree at most  $f(k, m)$  is  $m$ -choosable?*

According to Theorem 4.2, we obviously have the inequality  $f(k, k) \leq 2k - 3$  and Conjecture 4.4 says that the equality holds. For the special case  $k = 2$ , it is possible to show that  $f(2, m) \geq 2m - 2$ ; in particular, we must have  $f(2, 2) = 2$  and  $f(2, 3) \in \{4, 5\}$ . More precisely, there is an interesting relation between choosability and orientation of bipartite graphs due to Alon and Tarsi (1992). They remarked that the following result is sharp for complete bipartite graphs  $K_{n, n}$ .

**Theorem 4.10.** ([1]) *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph and let  $D$  be an orientation of  $G$ , and  $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^Z$  be a mapping. If for each vertex  $v$ ,  $|L(v)| \geq d_D^+(v) + 1$ , then  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring.*




Figure 11: A planar graph  $G$  with maximum degree 15 satisfying  $\chi(G) + 1 < \chi_M(G)$ .

By considering balanced orientations of graphs, one can conclude the following corollary. We observed these bounds are sharp in the sense that it is not possible to improve them even for two vertices  $z$  (the union of two four cycles with one edge in common is not 2-choosable). On the other hand, it is not yet known for us how much this corollary is far from being best possible for graphs having large degrees.

**Corollary 4.11.** *Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph and  $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^Z$  be a mapping. If for each vertex  $v$ ,*

$$|L(v)| \geq \lceil \frac{1}{2}d_G(v) \rceil + 1,$$

*then  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring. In addition, for a vertex  $z$ , we can have  $|L(z)| \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d_G(z) \rfloor + 1$ . (Furthermore, if  $G$  is  $(k - 1)$ -edge-connected and contains  $2k$  vertices with odd degrees, we can replace the condition  $|L(z)| \geq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d_G(z) \rfloor + 1$  for at least  $k$  vertices  $z$  with odd degrees.)*

**Proof.** Let  $D$  be a balanced orientation of  $G$  so that for each vertex  $v$ ,  $|d_D^+(v) - d_D^-(v)| \leq 1$  which implies that  $d_D^+(v) \leq \lceil \frac{1}{2}d_G(v) \rceil$ . We may also assume that  $d_D^+(z) \leq \lfloor \frac{1}{2}d_G(z) \rfloor$ . Otherwise, we can reverse the orientation of  $D$ . Now, it is enough to apply Theorem 4.10 to complete the first part of the proof. We shall below prove the remaining part. Let  $Z$  be a set of  $k$  vertices with odd degrees and let  $k - 1$  edge-disjoint paths  $P_1, \dots, P_{k-1}$  starting from  $k - 1$  specified vertices in  $Z$  and ended with some  $k - 1$  odd-degree vertices in  $V(G) \setminus Z$  (using edge-version of Menger's Theorem). Let  $G'$  be the graph obtained from  $G$  by removing all edges of these paths. Now, we consider a balanced orientation for this graph such that for an arbitrary vertex  $z$  with odd degree, its out-degree is less than its in-degree. Finally, we extend this orientation to  $G$  by directing every path from end to begin. This implies that  $G$  has a balanced orientation such that for  $k$  odd-degree vertices in  $Z$  out-degree is less than in-degree (Note that this method can also improve the needed edge-connectivity in Theorem 1 in [8] by one). Again, it is enough to apply Theorem 4.10 to complete proof.  $\square$

Motivated by Corollary 4.11 and Conjecture 4.3, we would like to pose the following problem.

**Problem 4.12.** Let  $k$  be an integer with  $k \geq 3$ . Is there a positive integer  $c_k$  such that every  $k$ -colorable graph  $G$  admits an  $L$ -coloring for every mapping  $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^Z$  satisfying  $|L(v)| \geq \frac{1}{2}(d_G(v) + c_k)$  for each vertex  $v$ ?

## 5 Avoiding chromatic numbers

Let  $G$  be a graph and let  $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^Z$  be a mapping. For a positive integer  $k$ , we say that  $G$  is  $L$ -avoiding  $k$ -colorable, if there exists a coloring  $c : V(G) \rightarrow Z_k$  such that for each vertex  $v$ ,  $c(v) \notin L(v)$ . The  $L$ -avoiding chromatic number  $\bar{\chi}_L(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum number  $k$  such that  $G$  is  $L$ -avoiding  $k$ -colorable for every mapping  $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^Z$ . For a nonnegative integer  $m$ , we denote by  $\bar{\chi}_m(G)$  the  $m$ -avoiding chromatic number of  $G$  that is the minimum number  $k$  such that  $\bar{\chi}_L(G) \leq k$  for all mappings  $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^Z$  satisfying  $|L(v)| \leq m$ . Obviously,  $\chi(G) + m \leq \bar{\chi}_m(G) \leq \chi(G) + m$ . In addition, one can from the following relation between these parameters.

**Theorem 5.1.** Let  $m$  be a positive integer. If  $G$  is a graph, then

$$\bar{\chi}_{m-1}(G) + 1 \leq \bar{\chi}_m(G) \leq \bar{\chi}_{m-1}(G) + \chi(G) \leq (m+1)\chi(G).$$

In addition,  $\min\{\chi(G) + (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})p, (m+1)\chi(G)\} \leq \bar{\chi}_m(G)$  for complete multipartite graphs with part size  $\binom{p}{m}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^Z$  be a mapping such that for each vertex  $v$ ,  $|L(v)| \leq m$ . Set  $k = \chi(G)$  and  $k_0 = \bar{\chi}_{m-1}(G)$ . Let  $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{k_0 + 1, \dots, k_0 + k\}$  be a coloring of  $G$ . For each vertex  $v$ , define  $L_0(v)$  to be the empty set, if  $c(v) \notin L(v)$ , and define  $L_0(v) = L(v) \setminus \{c(v)\}$  otherwise. Note that  $|L_0(v)| \leq m - 1$ . By the assumption, there is a coloring  $c_0 : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k_0\}$  of  $G$  such that for each vertex  $v$ ,  $c_0(v) \notin L_0(v)$ . Obviously,  $c_0(v) \neq c(v)$ . Now, for each vertex  $v$ , we define  $c'(v) = c(v)$ , if  $c(v) \notin L(v)$ , and define  $c'(v) = c_0(v)$  otherwise. Thus  $c'(v) \in \{1, \dots, k_0 + k\} \setminus L(v)$  whether  $c'(v) = c(v)$  or  $c'(v) = c_0(v)$ . This implies that  $\bar{\chi}_m(G) \leq k + k_0$ . Note also that  $\bar{\chi}_0(G) = \chi(G)$ . Therefore, by a simple induction, one can conclude that  $\bar{\chi}_m(G) \leq \bar{\chi}_{m-1}(G) + \chi(G) \leq m\chi(G) + \chi(G) \leq (m+1)\chi(G)$ , which completes the first part of the proof.

Let  $G$  be the complete multipartite graph with part size  $\binom{p}{m}$ . Let  $S$  be the set of all lists with size  $m$  of elements of  $Z_p$  and let  $L : V(G) \rightarrow S$  be a mapping such that every part of  $G$  receives all lists of  $S$ . Assume that  $G$  has an  $L$ -avoiding coloring  $c : V(G) \rightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  so that  $t$  parts receive at least one color from  $\{p+1, \dots, k\}$  and  $t_p$  parts receive colors only from  $\{1, \dots, p\}$ . According the list property, every part of the second type must receive at least  $m+1$  colors from  $\{1, \dots, p\}$ . This implies that  $t_p \leq p/(m+1)$ . Thus the number of used colors must be at least  $t + (m+1)t_p$ . If  $t_p = \chi(G)$ , then this lower bound is exactly  $(m+1)\chi(G)$ . If  $t_p < \chi(G)$ , then at least  $\chi(G) - t_p$  colors used from  $\{p+1, \dots, k\}$  and so  $k - p \geq \chi(G) - t_p$ . These can imply that  $\bar{\chi}_m(G) \geq \chi(G) + (1 - \frac{1}{m+1})p$ . Hence the proof is completed.  $\square$

For square of graphs, the gap between chromatic number and  $m$ -avoiding chromatic number can be arbitrary large by the following corollary.

**Corollary 5.2.**([11]) *There exists a graph  $G$  satisfying  $\bar{\chi}_m(G^2) - \chi(G^2) \geq n$ , where  $m$  and  $n$  are two arbitrary given positive integers.*

**Proof.** Let  $q$  be an arbitrary prime number. It is known that there exists a graph whose square is the complete multipartite graph  $G$  with part size  $q$  and with chromatic number  $2q - 1$  [11]. Let  $p$  be the largest integer satisfying  $\binom{p}{m} \leq q$ . By Theorem 5.1, we must have  $\chi(G^2) + \min\{(1 - \frac{1}{m+1})p, m(2q - 1)\} \leq \bar{\chi}_m(G^2)$ . This can complete the proof.  $\square$

Motivated by the new chromatic measures, we propose a relaxation of Conjecture 4.3 with the following version.

**Conjecture 5.3.** *There are two real numbers  $\varepsilon$  and  $c$  with  $1/2 \leq \varepsilon < 1$  such that for every graph  $G$  satisfying  $\chi(G) \geq \varepsilon\Delta(G) + c$  must have  $\bar{\chi}_m(G) = \chi(G) + m$ .*




Figure 12: Four bipartite graphs which can be combined with  $P(10, 3)$  to make three non-3-choosable bipartite graphs with maximum degree 6 or with size 45.

Finally, we write the following observation about the existence of some small bipartite graphs  $G$  satisfying the property  $\bar{\chi}_2(G) \geq 6$ . We discovered these graphs with the aid of computer searches (using 6-regular bipartite graphs of order 20 generated by the program *genreg* due to Meringer [14]). It would be an interesting question to determine how many edges are enough to insert into the middle layer graph with a parameter  $k$  to make a bipartite graph (with bounded degrees) satisfying  $\bar{\chi}_k(G) \geq 2k$ .

**Observation 5.4.** *There are some bipartite graphs  $G$ , containing generalized Petersen graph  $P(10, 3)$ , with maximum degree 6 or size 45 satisfying  $\bar{\chi}_2(G) \geq 6$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be the generalized Petersen graph  $P(10, 3)$  with 5-edge-coloring which was shown in Figure 8. For every vertex  $v$ , we define  $L(v)$  to be the set of three colors in  $Z_5$  which are incident with  $v$ . We can



combine this graph with a graph illustrated in Figure 12 such that lists of identified vertices would be the same (we denoted by  $\overline{ij}$  the color list  $Z_5 \setminus \{i, j\}$ ). By a computer search, we observed that every such a graph does not admit an  $L$ -coloring using only colors from  $Z_5$ . This implies that  $\bar{\chi}_2(G) \geq 6$ , as desired.  $\square$

## References

- [1] N. Alon and M. Tarsi, Colorings and orientations of graphs, *Combinatorica* 12 (1992) 125–134.
- [2] K. Appel and W. Haken, Every planar map is four colorable, *Bull. Am. Math. Soc.* 82 (1976) 711–712.
- [3] O.V. Borodin, A.V. Kostochka, and D.R. Woodall, List edge and list total colourings of multigraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 71 (1997) 184–204.
- [4] T. Dai, G. Wang, D. Yang, and G. Yu, Strong list-chromatic index of subcubic graphs, *Discrete Math.* 341 (2018) 3434–3440.
- [5] F. Galvin, The list chromatic index of a bipartite multigraph, *J. Combin Theory Ser B* 63 (1995) 153–158.
- [6] S. Gravier and F. Maffray, Choice number of 3-colorable elementary graphs, *Discrete Math.* 165 (1997) 353–358.
- [7] T.R. Jensen and B. Toft, *Graph Coloring Problems*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1995.
- [8] P. Katerinis and N. Tsikopoulos, Edge-connectivity and the orientation of a graph, *SUT Journal of Math.* 41 (2005) 1–10.
- [9] H.A. Kierstead, On the choosability of complete multipartite graphs with part size three, *Discrete Math.* 211 (2000) 255–259.
- [10] S.-J. Kim, Y. Kwon, and B. Park, Chromatic-choosability of the power of graphs, *Discrete Applied Math.* 180 (2015) 120–125.
- [11] S.-J. Kim and B. Park, Counterexamples to the list square coloring conjecture. *J. Graph Theory* 78 (2015) 239–247.
- [12] S.-J. Kim and B. Park, Bipartite graphs whose squares are not chromatic-choosable. *Electron. J. Comb.* 22 (2015) 1.46.
- [13] A.V. Kostochka and D.R. Woodall, Choosability conjectures and multicircuits, *Discrete Math.* 240 (2001) 123–143.
- [14] M. Meringer, Fast generation of regular graphs and construction of cages. *J. Graph Theory* 30 (1999) 137–146.
- [15] M. Mirzakhani. A small non-4-choosable planar graph. *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.* 17 (1996) 15–18.

- [16] M. Voigt, List colourings of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 120 (1993) 215–219.
- [17] Z. Yang and B. Xu, Strong edge chromatic index of the generalized Petersen graphs, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 321 (2018) 431–441.