

A deletion-contraction long exact sequence for chromatic symmetric homology

Azzurra Ciliberti*

Abstract

In [4], the authors generalize Stanley's chromatic symmetric function [6] to vertex-weighted graphs. In this paper we find a categorification of their new invariant extending the definition of chromatic symmetric homology to vertex-weighted graphs. We prove the existence of a deletion-contraction long exact sequence for chromatic symmetric homology which gives a useful computational tool and allow us to answer two questions left open in [2].

Introduction

The *chromatic symmetric function* X_G of a graph G , defined by Stanley in [6], is a remarkable combinatorial invariant which refines the chromatic polynomial. In [5], Sazdanovic and Yip categorified this invariant by defining a new homological theory, called the *chromatic symmetric homology* of G . This construction, inspired by Khovanov's categorification of the Jones polynomial [1], is obtained by assigning a graded representation of the symmetric group to every subgraph of G , and a differential to every cover relation in the Boolean poset of subgraphs of G . The chromatic symmetric homology $H_*(G)$ is then defined as the homology of this chain complex; its bigraded Frobenius series $Frob_G(q, t)$, when evaluated at $q = t = 1$, reduces to Stanley's chromatic symmetric function expressed in the Schur basis. This categorification has interesting properties which are investigated in [2] and [3].

In [4], Logan Crew and Sophie Spirkl generalize Stanley's chromatic symmetric function [6] to vertex-weighted graphs (G, w) with the definition of the *weighted chromatic symmetric function* $X_{(G, w)}$. One of the primary motivations for extending the chromatic symmetric function to vertex-weighted graphs is the existence of a deletion-contraction relation in this setting, which, as known, holds for the chromatic polynomial, but doesn't hold for the chromatic symmetric function, as observed by Stanley in [6].

In this paper we generalize chromatic symmetric homology to vertex-weighted graphs. We obtain in this way a categorification of the weighted chromatic symmetric function that we call *weighted chromatic symmetric homology* and we denote by $H_*(G, w)$. Moreover, we prove the existence of a deletion-contraction long exact sequence for the weighted chromatic symmetric homology which lifts to homology the deletion-contraction relation that holds for the function defined by Crew and Spirkl.

In details, we prove that

*La Sapienza Università di Roma - azzurra.ciliberti@uniroma1.it

Theorem. Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph and let e be an edge of G . For each $j \geq 0$, there is a long exact sequence in homology

$$\rightarrow H_{i,j}(G \setminus e, w) \rightarrow H_{i,j}(G, w) \rightarrow H_{i-1,j}(G/e, w/e) \rightarrow H_{i-1,j}(G \setminus e, w) \rightarrow \dots,$$

where $G \setminus e$ denotes the graph G with the edge e removed and G/e denotes the graph G with the edge e contracted to a point.

The long exact sequence in homology gives a useful computational tool and allow us to answer two questions left open in [2].

Let $\text{span}_0(G)$ denote the homological span of the degree 0 chromatic symmetric homology of G . In [2], the authors formulate the following two conjectures.

Conjecture (C.5). Given any graph G , chromatic symmetric homology groups $H_{l,0}(G; \mathbb{C})$ are non-trivial for all $0 \leq l \leq \text{span}_0(G) - 1$.

Conjecture (C.6). Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges, and let b denote the number of blocks of G . Then $n - b \leq \text{span}_0(G) \leq n - 1$.

Using the deletion-contraction long exact sequence for chromatic symmetric homology we can prove Conjecture C.5 and a part of Conjecture C.6, also for the case of vertex-weighted graphs.

In particular, denoting by $\text{span}_0(G, w)$ the homological span of the degree 0 weighted chromatic symmetric homology of (G, w) , we prove that

Theorem. Given any graph (G, w) , chromatic symmetric homology groups $H_{l,0}(G, w; \mathbb{C})$ are non-trivial for all $0 \leq l \leq \text{span}_0(G, w) - 1$.

Theorem. Let (G, w) be a graph with n vertices and $m \geq 1$ edges. Then $\text{span}_0(G, w) \leq n - 1$.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1 we recall the definition and some basic properties of the weighted chromatic symmetric function. In Section 2 we build our categorification and prove the existence of a long exact sequence in homology that lifts the deletion-contraction relation for the weighted chromatic symmetric function. Finally, in Section 3, we present some applications of the mentioned sequence and we prove the conjectures above.

1 Weighted chromatic symmetric function

Definition 1. Define a *vertex-weighted graph* (G, w) to be a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ together with a vertex-weight function $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. The *weight* of a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is $w(v)$.

Remark 2. Let G be any graph. Then G can be viewed as the vertex-weighted graph $(G, \mathbf{1})$, where $\mathbf{1}$ is the function assigning weight 1 to each vertex.

Definition 3. Given a vertex-weighted graph (G, w) and $F \subseteq V(G)$, define the *total weight* $w(F)$ of F to be $\sum_{v \in F} w(v)$. Define the total weight $w(G)$ of G to be the total weight of $V(G)$.

In [4], Logan Crew and Sophie Spirkl generalize Stanley's chromatic symmetric function [6] to vertex-weighted graphs with the following definition:

Definition 4. Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph. Then the *weighted chromatic symmetric function* is

$$X_{(G,w)}(x_1, x_2, \dots) = \sum_{\kappa} \prod_{v \in V(G)} x_{\kappa(v)}^{w(v)},$$

where the sum ranges over all proper colorings $\kappa : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ of G .

Remark 5. If G has a loop, then $X_{(G,w)} = 0$ for every $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, if e_1, e_2 are edges of G with the same endpoints, then $X_{(G,w)} = X_{(G \setminus e_1, w)} = X_{(G \setminus e_2, w)}$ for every $w : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 6. Note that the usual chromatic symmetric function X_G coincides with $X_{(G,1)}$.

Definition 7. Given a vertex-weighted graph (G, w) , and $F \subseteq E(G)$, we define $\lambda(G, w, F)$ to be the partition whose parts are the total weights of the connected components of (G', w) , where $G' = (V(G), F)$.

Recall that, if $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is a partition of a positive integer n , the power sum symmetric function p_λ is defined as

$$p_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots) = p_{\lambda_1}(x_1, x_2, \dots) \cdots p_{\lambda_k}(x_1, x_2, \dots),$$

where $p_r(x_1, x_2, \dots) = x_1^r + x_2^r + \dots$.

Lemma 8. Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph. Then

$$X_{(G,w)} = \sum_{F \subseteq E(G)} (-1)^{|F|} p_{\lambda(G,w,F)}.$$

Proof. See [4], Lemma 3. □

One of the primary motivations for extending the chromatic symmetric function to vertex-weighted graphs is the existence of a deletion-contraction relation in this setting.

Recall that, if G is a graph, $G \setminus e$ denotes the graph G with the edge e removed and G/e denotes the graph G with the edge e contracted to a point. Now, given a vertex-weighted graph (G, w) , and an edge $e = (v_1, v_2)$ of G , let w/e be the modified weight function on G/e such that $w/e = w$ if e is a loop, and otherwise $(w/e)(v) = w(v)$ if $v \neq v_1, v_2$, and for the vertex v^* of G/e formed by the contraction, $(w/e)(v^*) = w(v_1) + w(v_2)$. We have the following:

Theorem 9. Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph, and let $e \in E(G)$ be any edge. Then

$$X_{(G,w)} = X_{(G \setminus e, w)} - X_{(G/e, w/e)}.$$

Proof. See [4], Lemma 2. □

2 Weighted chromatic symmetric homology

Now we build a categorification of the invariant just introduced. With the notation of [5], we define:

Let \mathfrak{S}_n denote permutation group on n elements.

Definition 10. Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph. Suppose $F \subseteq E(G)$ is a state with r connected components of total weights b_1^w, \dots, b_r^w respectively. To F , we assign the q -graded $\mathfrak{S}_{w(G)}$ -module

$$M_F^w = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{b_1^w} \times \dots \times \mathfrak{S}_{b_r^w}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{w(G)}} (\mathbf{L}_{b_1^w} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbf{L}_{b_r^w}), \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{L}_a denotes the q -graded \mathfrak{S}_a -module

$$\mathbf{L}_a = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{a-1} \mathbf{S}^{(a-j, 1^j)}, \quad (2)$$

and $\mathbf{S}^{(a-j, 1^j)}$ is the Specht module related to the partition $(a-j, 1^j)$ of the positive integer a . The q -degree is given by the index j .

Remark 11. Note that the usual M_F defined in [5] coincides with M_F^1 .

Definition 12. For $i \geq 0$, the i -th *weighted chain module* for (G, w) is

$$C_i(G, w) = \bigoplus_{|F|=i} M_F^w.$$

More precisely, since $M_F^w = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} (M_F^w)_j$ is graded, then for $i, j \geq 0$,

$$C_{i,j}(G, w) = \bigoplus_{|F|=i} (M_F^w)_j.$$

Remark 13. Observe that $(M_F^w)_j = 0$ if $j \geq b_t^w$ for all $t = 1, \dots, r$.

Remark 14. Note that the usual $C_i(G)$ coincides with $C_i(G, \mathbf{1})$.

Since the differential defined in [5] depends only on the b_i 's, we can define a differential in the same way, replacing the b_i 's with the b_i^w 's.

Let F be a state of G . Suppose $F' = F - e$ where $e \in E(G)$. We define the $\mathfrak{S}_{w(G)}$ -modules morphism $d_e^{(G, w)} : M_F^w \rightarrow M_{F'}^w$, i.e. the *per-edge maps*, in the following way.

There are two cases to consider:

- Case 1 The edge e is incident to vertices in the same connected component of F' . Since M_F^w and $M_{F'}^w$ are canonically isomorphic, we define $d_e : M_F^w \rightarrow M_{F'}^w$ to be the identity map.

Case 2 The edge e is incident to vertices in different connected components of F' . First, consider the simplest case where F consists of one connected component and F' consists of two components A and B . Suppose $w(A) = a$ and $w(B) = b$, so that $a + b = w(G)$. Since, by Frobenius Reciprocity, $\text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_{w(G)}}(M_F^w, M_{F'}^w) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b}(\Lambda^*T \oplus (\Lambda^*T)[1], \Lambda^*T)$, where $T = (\mathbf{S}^{(a-1,1)} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{S}_b}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}_{\mathfrak{S}_a} \otimes \mathbf{S}^{(b-1,1)})$, we choose the element $d_e \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_{w(G)}}(M_F^w, M_{F'}^w)$ to be the map that corresponds to the $(\mathfrak{S}_a \times \mathfrak{S}_b)$ -module map that is the identity on Λ^*T and zero on $(\Lambda^*T)[1]$. In the general case when F has more than one connected component, the definition of the per-edge map is achieved through induction on the two-component case.

Definition 15. For $i \geq 0$, define $d_i^{(G,w)} : C_i(G, w) \rightarrow C_{i-1}(G, w)$ letting

$$d_i^{(G,w)} = \sum_{\epsilon} \text{sgn}(\epsilon) d_{\epsilon}^{(G,w)},$$

where the sum is over all edges ϵ in the Hasse diagram of $Q(G)$ joining a state with i edges to a state with $i - 1$ edges. We also define $d_{i,j}^{(G,w)} : C_{i,j}(G, w) \rightarrow C_{i-1,j}(G, w)$ to be the map $d_i^{(G,w)}$ in the j -th grading.

Remark 16. Note that the usual d_i^G coincides with $d_i^{(G, \mathbf{1})}$.

Proposition 17. *This defines a differential.*

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to that of Proposition 2.10 of [5]. \square

Definition 18. For $i, j \geq 0$, the (i, j) -th *weighted chromatic symmetric homology* of (G, w) is


$$H_{i,j}(G, w) = \ker d_{i,j}^{(G,w)} / \text{im } d_{i+1,j}^{(G,w)},$$

so that

$$H_i(G, w) = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} H_{i,j}(G, w).$$

Remark 19. Note that the usual $H_{i,j}(G)$ coincides with $H_{i,j}(G, \mathbf{1})$.

Example 20. Let (K_2, w) be the segment with a vertex of weight 1 and the other of weight 2. The labels of the vertices indicate their weights.



We have

- ◇ $C_{1,0}(K_2, w) = (M_F^w)_0 = \mathbf{S}^{(3)}$;
- ◇ $C_{0,0}(K_2, w) = (M_F^w)_0 = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1}^{\mathfrak{S}_3} \mathbf{S}^{(2)} \otimes \mathbf{S}^{(1)} = \mathbf{S}^{(3)} \oplus \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)}$;
- ◇ $C_{1,1}(K_2, w) = (M_F^w)_1 = \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)}$;
- ◇ $C_{0,1}(K_2, w) = (M_F^w)_1 = \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_2 \times \mathfrak{S}_1}^{\mathfrak{S}_3} \mathbf{S}^{(1,1)} \otimes \mathbf{S}^{(1)} = \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)}$;
- ◇ $C_{1,2}(K_2, w) = (M_F^w)_2 = \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)}$;
- ◇ $C_{0,2}(K_2, w) = 0$.

Therefore, $H_{1,0}(K_2, w) = H_{1,1}(K_2, w) = H_{0,2}(K_2, w) = 0$, $H_{0,0}(K_2, w) = \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)}$, $H_{0,1}(K_2, w) = H_{1,2}(K_2, w) = \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)}$.

Definition 21. The bigraded Frobenius series of $H_*(G, w) = \bigoplus_{i,j \geq 0} H_{i,j}(G, w)$ is

$$\text{Frob}_{(G,w)}(q, t) = \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} t^i q^j \text{ch}(H_{i,j}(G, w)),$$

where ch is the Frobenius characteristic map, that sends \mathbf{S}^λ to the Schur symmetric function s_λ .

Remark 22. Note that the usual $\text{Frob}_G(q, t)$ coincides with $\text{Frob}_{(G,1)}(q, t)$.

Example 23. Let's consider the vertex-weighted graph of the previous example. We have

$$\text{Frob}_{(K_2,w)}(q, t) = -(q + tq^2)s_{(1^3)} + s_{(2,1)}$$

Lemma 24. For any vertex-weighted graph (G, w) ,

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} \text{ch}(H_{i,j}(G, w)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} \text{ch}(C_{i,j}(G, w)).$$

Proof. In the proof we omit the weight w , since the statement doesn't depend on it. Let n be any positive integer. Any short exact sequence of \mathfrak{S}_n -modules $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is split exact, so $B \cong A \oplus C$ and $\text{ch}(B) = \text{ch}(A) + \text{ch}(C)$. Let $Z_{i,j}(G) = \ker d_{i,j}$ and $B_{i,j}(G) = \text{im } d_{i+1,j}$. For $i, j \geq 0$, we have short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z_{i,j}(G) \rightarrow C_{i,j}(G) \rightarrow B_{i-1,j}(G) \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow B_{i,j}(G) \rightarrow Z_{i,j}(G) \rightarrow H_{i,j}(G) \rightarrow 0$, where $B_{-1,j}(G)$ is understood to be zero. Thus

$$\text{ch}(C_{i,j}) = \text{ch}(Z_{i,j}) + \text{ch}(B_{i-1,j}) = \text{ch}(H_{i,j}) + \text{ch}(B_{i,j}) + \text{ch}(B_{i-1,j}).$$

Multiplying this by $(-1)^{i+j}$ and summing over all $i, j \geq 0$ gives the desired result. \square

Theorem 25. Weighted chromatic symmetric homology categorifies the weighted chromatic symmetric function. That is, for any vertex-weighted graph (G, w) ,

$$\text{Frob}_{(G,w)}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) = X_{(G,w)}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 24 and Lemma 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Frob}_{(G,w)}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) &= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} (-1)^{i+j} \text{ch}(H_{i,j}(G, w)) = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \left(\sum_{j \geq 0} (-1)^j \text{ch}(C_{i,j}(G, w)) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \sum_{F \subseteq E(G): |F|=i} p_{\lambda(G,w,F)} = X_{(G,w)}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Now we want to lift to homology the result of Theorem 9.

Proposition 26. *Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph and let e be an edge of G . For each $i, j \geq 0$, there is a short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{S}_{w(G)}$ -modules*

$$0 \rightarrow C_{i,j}(G \setminus e, w) \rightarrow C_{i,j}(G, w) \rightarrow C_{i-1,j}(G/e, w/e) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. For definition $C_{i,j}(G \setminus e, w) = \bigoplus_{|F|=i, F \subseteq E(G \setminus e)} (M_F^w)_j = \bigoplus_{|F|=i, F \subseteq E(G), e \notin F} (M_F^w)_j \subseteq \bigoplus_{|F|=i, F \subseteq E(G)} (M_F^w)_j = C_{i,j}(G, w)$. Therefore there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_{i,j}(G \setminus e, w) \xrightarrow{\iota_i} C_{i,j}(G, w) \xrightarrow{\pi_i} \frac{C_{i,j}(G, w)}{C_{i,j}(G \setminus e, w)} \rightarrow 0,$$

where ι_i is the inclusion and π_i is the projection to the quotient.

We have that

$$\frac{C_{i,j}(G, w)}{C_{i,j}(G \setminus e, w)} = \frac{\bigoplus_{|F|=i, F \subseteq E(G)} (M_F^w)_j}{\bigoplus_{|F|=i, F \subseteq E(G), e \notin F} (M_F^w)_j} \cong \bigoplus_{|F|=i, F \subseteq E(G), e \in F} (M_F^w)_j.$$

Since, if F is a state of (G, w) with i edges such that $e \in F$, then $M_F^w = M_{F/e}^{w/e}$, because the contraction does not change the total weight of the connected components of F , and F/e is a state of $(G/e, w/e)$ with $i - 1$ edges, we have that

$$\bigoplus_{|F|=i, F \subseteq E(G), e \in F} (M_F^w)_j = C_{i-1,j}(G/e, w/e),$$

and the theorem follows. \square

Remark 27. If G is an unweighted graph, for each $i, j \geq 0$, we have the following short exact sequence of $\mathfrak{S}_{|V(G)|}$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow C_{i,j}(G \setminus e) \rightarrow C_{i,j}(G) \rightarrow C_{i-1,j}(G/e, \mathbf{1}/e) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proposition 28. *Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph and let e be an edge of G . For each $j \geq 0$, there is a short exact sequence of chain complexes*

$$0 \rightarrow C_{\cdot,j}(G \setminus e, w) \rightarrow C_{\cdot,j}(G, w) \rightarrow C_{\cdot-1,j}(G/e, w/e) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. With the notation of the proof of Proposition 26, we have to show that, for each $i \geq 0$, $d_i^{(G,w)} \circ \iota_i = \iota_{i-1} \circ d_i^{(G \setminus e, w)}$ and $d_{i-1}^{(G/e, w/e)} \circ \pi_i = \pi_{i-1} \circ d_i^{(G,w)}$. It is clear that the first equality holds. Let's look at the second.

If $i = 0, 1$, we have 0 on both sides. Consider $i \geq 2$. Since, if F is a state of (G, w) with i edges such that $e \in F$, then $M_F^w = M_{F/e}^{w/e}$, π_i is the map such that

$$\pi_{i|M_F^w} = \begin{cases} \text{id} & \text{if } e \in F, \\ 0 & \text{if } e \notin F. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$\pi_{i-1} \circ d_i^{(G,w)} = \sum_{\epsilon} \text{sgn}(\epsilon) \pi_{i-1} \circ d_{\epsilon}^{(G,w)} = \sum_{\epsilon'} \text{sgn}(\epsilon') d_{\epsilon'}^{(G,w)},$$

where the last sum is over all the ϵ' in the Hasse diagram of $Q(G, w)$ joining a state of (G, w) with i edges that contains e to a state of (G, w) with $i - 1$ edges that also contains e .

On the other hand, $d_{i-1}^{(G/e, w/e)} \circ \pi_i = \sum_{\epsilon''} \text{sgn}(\epsilon'') d_{\epsilon''}^{(G/e, w/e)}$, where the sum is over all the ϵ'' in the Hasse diagram of $Q(G/e, w/e)$ joining a state of $(G/e, w/e)$ with $i - 1$ edges to a state of $(G/e, w/e)$ with $i - 2$ edges.

We know that, if F is a state of G with i edges such that $e \in F$, then $M_F^w = M_{F/e}^{w/e}$ and F/e is a state of $(G/e, w/e)$ with $i - 1$ edges. Therefore, if ϵ' is an edge in the Hasse diagram of $Q(G, w)$ connecting a state F of (G, w) with i edges that contains e with a state F' of (G, w) with $i - 1$ edges that also contains e ,

$$d_{\epsilon'}^{(G,w)} : M_F^w = M_{F/e}^{w/e} \rightarrow M_{F'}^w = M_{F'/e}^{w/e}$$

coincides with $d_{\epsilon''}^{(G/e, w/e)}$, where ϵ'' is an edge in the Hasse diagram of $Q(G/e, w/e)$ joining the state F/e of $(G/e, w/e)$ with $i - 1$ edges to the state F'/e of $(G/e, w/e)$ with $i - 2$ edges.

Since there is a bijection between the states of G with i edges that contains e and the states of $(G/e, w/e)$ with $i - 1$ edges, we have that the two sums coincide. Therefore,

$$d_{i-1}^{(G/e, w/e)} \circ \pi_i = \pi_{i-1} \circ d_i^{(G,w)}.$$

□

Therefore, we have:

Theorem 29. *Let (G, w) be a vertex-weighted graph and let e be an edge of G . For each $j \geq 0$, there is a long exact sequence in homology*

$$\rightarrow H_{i,j}(G \setminus e, w) \rightarrow H_{i,j}(G, w) \rightarrow H_{i-1,j}(G/e, w/e) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} H_{i-1,j}(G \setminus e, w) \rightarrow \dots$$

Proof. The short exact sequences of chain complexes in Proposition 28 induce for each $j \geq 0$ a long exact sequence in homology. □

Remark 30. The specialization of the Frobenius series at $q = t = 1$ recovers the deletion-contraction relation of Theorem 9.

Remark 31. The description for γ^* follows from the standard diagram chasing argument in the zig-zag lemma and the result is as follows. It is the linear extension of the map that, given a spanning subgraph of G/e with $i - 1$ edges, where $e = (v_e, w_e)$ is an edge of G , expands $v_e = w_e$ by adding e and then deletes e .

Remark 32. If G is an unweighted graph, for each $j \geq 0$, we have the following long exact sequence in homology

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_{i,j}(G \setminus e) \longrightarrow H_{i,j}(G) \longrightarrow H_{i-1,j}(G/e, \mathbf{1}/e) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} H_{i-1,j}(G \setminus e) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Example 33. For $G = K_3$, we have the following three exact sequences in homology.

$$\begin{aligned} j = 2 : 0 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)} \oplus \mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)} \longrightarrow 0; \\ j = 1 : 0 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus (\mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)})^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus (\mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)})^{\oplus 3} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)} \longrightarrow 0; \\ j = 0 : 0 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1,1,1)} \longrightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.1 Properties of $H_*(G, w)$

The deletion-contraction long exact sequence allows us to give a different and faster proof of the following two properties of chromatic symmetric homology, contained in [5], and to extend them to the case of vertex-weighted graphs.

Proposition 34. *If (G, w) contains a loop, then $H_*(G, w) = 0$.*

Proof. Let (G, w) be a graph with a loop l . The exact sequence for (G, w) with respect to l is

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H_{i,j}(G/l, w/l) &\xrightarrow{\gamma^*} H_{i,j}(G \setminus l, w) \rightarrow H_{i,j}(G, w) \rightarrow \\ &H_{i-1,j}(G/l, w/l) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} H_{i-1,j}(G \setminus l, w) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using our description of the snake map γ^* in Remark 31, we get that the map $H_{i,j}(G/l, w/l) \xrightarrow{\gamma^*} H_{i,j}(G \setminus l, w)$ is the identity map. Therefore, $H_{i,j}(G, w) = 0$ for all i, j . \square

Proposition 35. *Let (G, w) be a multigraph, i.e. a graph which is allowed to have multiple edges. Let e_1 and e_2 be two edges of (G, w) with the same endpoints. Then $H_*(G, w) = H_*(G - e_2, w)$.*

Proof. In G/e_2 , e_1 becomes a loop so, by Proposition 34, $H_{i,j}(G/e_2, w/e_2) = 0$ for all i, j . It follows from the long exact sequence that $H_{i,j}(G - e_2, w)$ and $H_{i,j}(G, w)$ are isomorphic modules. \square

Given two vertex-weighted graphs (A, w_A) and (B, w_B) , let $(A + B, w_{A+B})$ denote their disjoint union, where

$$w_{A+B}(v) = \begin{cases} w_A(v), & \text{if } v \in V(A) \\ w_B(v), & \text{if } v \in V(B) \end{cases}$$

Proposition 36. For $i, j \geq 0$,

$$H_{i,j}(A + B, w_{A+B}) = \bigoplus_{\substack{p+r=i \\ q+s=j}} \text{Ind}_{\mathfrak{S}_{w_A(A)} \times \mathfrak{S}_{w_B(B)}}^{\mathfrak{S}_{w_A(A)+w_B(B)}} (H_{p,q}(A, w_A) \otimes H_{r,s}(B, w_B)).$$

Proof. The proof is completely analogous to the unweighted case. See [5], Proposition 3.3. \square

3 Applications

The deletion-contraction long exact sequence in homology has proved to be a useful computational tool. Moreover, we can use it to compute weighted chromatic symmetric homology starting from unweighted chromatic symmetric homology.

Example 37. Let (K_2, w) be the segment with a vertex of weight 1 and the other of weight 2. We can compute its homology using the deletion-contraction long exact sequence.

Let $G = P_3$ be the graph made of two segments with a vertex in common, and let $e \in E(G)$. We have that $(K_2, w) = G/e$ and $G \setminus e$ is the disjoint union of K_2 and an isolated vertex.

We have $H_{0,0}(G \setminus e) = H_{1,1}(G \setminus e) = \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)}$ and $H_{1,0}(G \setminus e) = 0$.

Moreover, we have $H_{0,0}(G) = H_{2,2}(G) = \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)}$, $H_{1,1}(G) = \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus \mathbf{S}_{(1^3)}^{\oplus 2}$ and $H_{0,1}(G) = H_{2,0}(G) = H_{2,1}(G) = 0$.

For $j = 0$, we have the following long exact sequence in homology:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \longrightarrow H_{1,0}(K_2, w) \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow H_{0,0}(K_2, w) \longrightarrow \\ \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)} \longrightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

from which we can conclude that $H_{1,0}(K_2, w) = 0$ and $H_{0,0}(K_2, w) = \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)}$.

For $j = 1$, we have the following long exact sequence in homology:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_{1,1}(K_2, w) \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(2,1)} \oplus \mathbf{S}_{(1^3)}^{\oplus 2} \longrightarrow H_{0,1}(K_2, w) \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we can conclude that $H_{1,1}(K_2, w) = 0$ and $H_{0,1}(K_2, w) = \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)}$.

For $j = 2$, we have the following long exact sequence in homology:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)} \longrightarrow H_{1,2}(K_2, w) \longrightarrow 0 \cdots \longrightarrow 0,$$

from which we can conclude that $H_{1,2}(K_2, w) = \mathbf{S}^{(1^3)}$ and $H_{0,2}(K_2, w) = 0$.

Now, given a graph (G, w) , let $\text{span}_0(G, w)$ denote the homological span of the degree 0 weighted chromatic symmetric homology of (G, w) , i.e. of $H_{i,0}(G, w)$, $i \geq 0$. Since the minimal grading with nonzero homology is always 0, we have $\text{span}_0(G, w) = k + 1$ where k is maximal among indices such that $H_{k,0}(G, w) \neq 0$.

In [2], the authors left open the following

Conjecture (C.6). *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges, and let b denote the number of blocks of G . Then $n - b \leq \text{span}_0(G) \leq n - 1$.*

Using the deletion-contraction long exact sequence for weighted chromatic symmetric homology we can prove that

Theorem 38. *Let (G, w) be a graph with n vertices and $m \geq 1$ edges. Then $\text{span}_0(G, w) \leq n - 1$.*

Proof. We prove that, if $l \geq 0$ is an index such that $H_{l,0}(G, w) \neq 0$, then we have $l \leq n - 2$. It follows that, if k is maximal among indices such that $H_{k,0}(G, w) \neq 0$, we have $k \leq n - 2$, i.e., $\text{span}_0(G, w) \leq n - 1$.

Let us omit the weight w . We proceed by induction on the number $m \geq 1$ of edges of G . If $m = 1$, we have two cases to consider: G is a loop or G is a segment with two vertices and an edge between them. In both cases we have that the relation holds.

Now assume the relation true for any graph with $m - 1$ edges. Let $v(G)$ denote the number of vertices of G and $e(G)$ the number of edges of G . We have that $v(G \setminus e) = v(G)$ and $e(G \setminus e) = e(G) - 1 = m - 1$. Moreover, we have that $v(G/e) = v(G) - 1$ and $e(G/e) = e(G) - 1 = m - 1$.

Let $l > v(G) - 2$. Since $v(G \setminus e) = v(G)$, we have also that $l > v(G \setminus e) - 2$. By inductive hypothesis, we have $H_{l,0}(G \setminus e) = 0$. Moreover, since $l - 1 > v(G) - 3 = v(G/e) - 2$, by inductive hypothesis, we have $H_{l-1,0}(G/e) = 0$ and $H_{l,0}(G/e) = 0$.

From the deletion-contraction long exact sequence

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_{l,0}(G/e) \longrightarrow H_{l,0}(G \setminus e) \longrightarrow H_{l,0}(G) \longrightarrow H_{l-1,0}(G/e) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

it follows that $H_{l,0}(G) = 0$. □

In [2], the authors left open also the following

Conjecture (C.5). *Given any graph G , chromatic symmetric homology groups $H_{l,0}(G; \mathbf{C})$ are non-trivial for all $0 \leq l \leq \text{span}_0(G) - 1$.*

Using the deletion-contraction long exact sequence, we can prove the following

Theorem 39. *Given any graph (G, w) , chromatic symmetric homology groups $H_{l,0}(G, w; \mathbf{C})$ are non-trivial for all $0 \leq l \leq \text{span}_0(G, w) - 1$.*

Proof. Let us omit the weight w . We proceed by induction on the number $m \geq 1$ of edges of G . If $m = 1$, we have two cases to consider: G is a loop or G is a segment with two vertices and an edge between them. In both cases we have that the relation holds.

Now assume the relation true for any graph with $m - 1$ edges. Let $k(G)$ denote the maximal among indices such that $H_{k(G),0}(G) \neq 0$.

If $k(G \setminus e) \geq k(G)$, since $G \setminus e$ has $m - 1$ edges, by inductive hypothesis, we have that $H_{k(G),0}(G \setminus e) \neq 0$. If $H_{k(G)-1,0}(G/e) = 0$, then by inductive hypothesis, it is also $H_{k(G),0}(G/e) = 0$. Therefore, by the deletion-contraction long exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow H_{k(G),0}(G/e) \longrightarrow H_{k(G),0}(G \setminus e) \rightarrow H_{k(G),0}(G) \rightarrow H_{k(G)-1,0}(G/e) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

we have $H_{k(G),0}(G \setminus e) \cong H_{k(G),0}(G)$. Otherwise, we have $k(G/e) \geq k(G) - 1$.

If instead $k(G \setminus e) < k(G)$, we have $H_{k(G),0}(G \setminus e) = 0$ and $H_{k(G),0}(G) \neq 0$. Therefore, by the deletion-contraction long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{k(G),0}(G \setminus e) \rightarrow H_{k(G),0}(G) \rightarrow H_{k(G)-1,0}(G/e) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

we have that the map from $H_{k(G),0}(G)$ to $H_{k(G)-1,0}(G/e)$ is injective. Hence, $H_{k(G),0}(G)$ is isomorphic to the image of this map, which is a non-trivial submodule of $H_{k(G)-1,0}(G/e)$. It follows that $H_{k(G)-1,0}(G/e) \neq 0$ and $k(G/e) \geq k(G) - 1$.

Now assume $0 \leq l \leq k(G)$ and prove that $H_{l,0}(G)$ is non-trivial. As observed above, we have three cases to consider:

- (i) $k(G \setminus e) \geq k(G)$ and $H_{k(G),0}(G \setminus e) \cong H_{k(G),0}(G)$;
- (ii) $k(G \setminus e) \geq k(G)$ and $k(G/e) \geq k(G) - 1$;
- (iii) $k(G \setminus e) < k(G)$.

In case (i), if $k(G \setminus e) \geq k(G)$ and $H_{k(G),0}(G \setminus e) \cong H_{k(G),0}(G)$, by inductive hypothesis we have that $H_{l,0}(G \setminus e)$ is non-trivial. It follows that also $H_{l,0}(G)$ is non-trivial.

In case (ii), if $k(G \setminus e) \geq k(G)$ and $k(G/e) \geq k(G) - 1$, then $l - 1 \leq k(G) - 1 \leq k(G/e)$. Therefore, by induction, $H_{l-1,0}(G/e)$ is non-trivial. Moreover, by induction, also $H_{l,0}(G \setminus e)$ is non trivial. It follows that also $H_{l,0}(G)$ is non-trivial.

Finally, we consider the case (iii) with $k(G \setminus e) < k(G)$. We just have to see what happens if $k(G \setminus e) < l \leq k(G)$, since, if $l \leq k(G \setminus e) < k(G)$, it is the same as the previous case. If $k(G \setminus e) < l \leq k(G)$, we have that $H_{l,0}(G \setminus e) = 0$. From the deletion-contraction long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{l,0}(G \setminus e) \rightarrow H_{l,0}(G) \rightarrow H_{l-1,0}(G/e) \longrightarrow \dots,$$

it follows that the map from $H_{l,0}(G)$ to $H_{l-1,0}(G/e)$ is injective. Moreover, since $l - 1 \leq k(G) - 1 \leq k(G/e)$, as proved above, by induction, $H_{l-1,0}(G/e)$ is non-trivial. Hence, for how the maps are defined, $H_{l,0}(G)$ is non-trivial. \square

Acknowledgments

I thank Salvatore Stella and Luca Moci for suggesting me to work on this topic and for many helpful conversations about it; without them this paper would have not been possible. I thank Alex Chandler for reading the article and for his valuable advise.

References

- [1] Dror Bar-Natan. “On Khovanov’s categorification of the Jones polynomial”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 2 (2002), pp. 337–370. ISSN: 1472-2747. DOI: 10.2140/agt.2002.2.337. URL: <https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2002.2.337>.
- [2] Alex Chandler, Radmila Sazdanovic, Salvatore Stella, and Martha Yip. *On the Strength of Chromatic Symmetric Homology for graphs*. 2019. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.1911.13297. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1911.13297>.
- [3] Azzurra Ciliberti and Luca Moci. *On chromatic symmetric homology and planarity of graphs*. 2021. DOI: 10.48550/ARXIV.2103.01543. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.01543>.
- [4] Logan Crew and Sophie Spirkl. “A deletion-contraction relation for the chromatic symmetric function”. In: *European J. Combin.* 89 (2020), pp. 103143, 20. ISSN: 0195-6698. DOI: 10.1016/j.ejc.2020.103143. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2020.103143>.
- [5] Radmila Sazdanovic and Martha Yip. “A categorification of the chromatic symmetric function”. In: *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 154 (2018), pp. 218–246. ISSN: 0097-3165. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcta.2017.08.014. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2017.08.014>.
- [6] Richard P. Stanley. “A symmetric function generalization of the chromatic polynomial of a graph”. In: *Adv. Math.* 111.1 (1995), pp. 166–194. ISSN: 0001-8708. DOI: 10.1006/aima.1995.1020. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1006/aima.1995.1020>.