

# Resonances in a single-lead reflection from a disordered medium: $\sigma$ -model approach

Yan V. Fyodorov<sup>1</sup>, Mikhail A. Skvortsov<sup>2</sup> and Konstantin S. Tikhonov<sup>3,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, King's College London, London WC2E 2LS, United Kingdom*

<sup>2</sup>*L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia and*

<sup>3</sup>*Capital Fund Management, 23 rue de l'Université, 75007 Paris, France*

We develop a general non-perturbative characterisation of universal features of the density  $\rho(\Gamma)$  of  $S$ -matrix poles (resonances)  $E_n - i\Gamma_n$  describing waves incident and reflected from a disordered medium via a single  $M$ -channel waveguide/lead. Explicit expressions for  $\rho(\Gamma)$  are derived for several instances of systems with broken time-reversal invariance, in particular for quasi-1D and 3D media. In the case of perfectly coupled lead with a few channels ( $M \sim 1$ ) the most salient features are tails  $\rho(\Gamma) \sim 1/\Gamma$  for narrow resonances reflecting exponential localization and  $\rho(\Gamma) \sim 1/\Gamma^2$  for broad resonances reflecting states located in the vicinity of the attached wire. For multimode wires with  $M \gg 1$ , intermediate asymptotics  $\rho(\Gamma) \sim 1/\Gamma^{3/2}$  is shown to emerge reflecting diffusive nature of decay into wide enough contacts.

Quantitative statistical characterization of various aspects of wave scattering, both classical or representing a single quantum particle incident on a sample of disordered medium with randomly distributed impurities and eventually escaping from it, remains an active research area across Theoretical, Experimental, and Engineering Physics, see e.g. Refs. [1–5]. One of problems in this area where analytic results remain relatively scarce despite several decades of intensive studies is the statistics of resonances, defined as poles  $\mathcal{E}_n = E_n - i\Gamma_n$  of the energy-dependent scattering matrix  $S(E)$  in the lower half of the complex energy plane  $\mathcal{E} = E - i\Gamma$ ,  $\Gamma > 0$  [6].

The only class of disordered systems where resonance distribution is presently well understood and described analytically in much detail is *quantum chaotic* samples in the *ergodic* regime. Wave functions in such systems extend over the whole available volume (the effects of Anderson localization are negligible) and, as long as the system is probed on time scales longer than the ergodization time  $\tau_{\text{erg}}$  needed for a particle to travel across the system, a generic description independent of a particular Hamiltonian becomes possible. In such samples, informally called “zero-dimensional”, the universal features of eigenfunctions, energy levels [7], and eventually transport properties [8] are controlled by quantum chaos effects and can be efficiently studied using the standard random matrix theory (RMT) framework, by replacing Hamiltonians describing the random medium with random matrices taken from classical Gaussian  $\beta$ -ensembles, with  $\beta = 2$  characterising systems with broken (class A) and  $\beta = 1$  with preserved (class AI) time-reversal invariance.

Various universal aspects of  $S$ -matrix statistics in scattering from an ergodic medium has been successfully addressed in such a framework following the pioneering paper [9], see Refs. [2, 8, 10–12] for reviews of that activity. In particular, statistics of resonance poles of widths  $\Gamma_n \sim \Delta$  ( $\Delta$  being the mean level spacing) and related objects has been thoroughly studied along these lines

[13–19], and some of theoretical predictions subsequently verified in experiments in microwave chaotic scattering from cavities [20, 21] and graphs [22] or accurate numerical simulations in realistic models [23–25]. It is appropriate also to mention an alternative line of research going back to [26] and relying upon semiclassical methods to address resonances in quantum chaotic systems [27–30]. Note also a growing interest in studying the resonance statistics in such and related systems by rigorous mathematical methods, both in semiclassical [31, 32] and random matrix framework [33–35].

A standard microscopic way of modelling a generic single-particle quantum propagation in a  $d$ -dimensional random medium amounts to using the Hamiltonian  $H = -d^2/d\mathbf{x}^2 + V(\mathbf{x})$  composed of the kinetic-energy Laplacian and a short-ranged correlated random potential  $V(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , or its tight-binding analogue on a lattice. In such a microscopic setting the resonance statistics in systems with strong localization effects has been most consistently addressed in the simplest case of open  $d = 1$  disordered chain by combination of analytical and numerical methods over the last two decades [36–42], see also a review on mathematically rigorous approach to the problem [43]. The emergent consensus of those works points towards existence of the tail  $\rho(\Gamma) \sim 1/\Gamma$  for small  $\Gamma \propto e^{-L/\xi}$  which is easy to explain qualitatively invoking exponential localization with characteristic length  $\xi$ . Results for statistics of resonances in higher dimensional disordered samples  $d > 1$  are largely numerical, see e.g. [44–47] for a discussion and further references. Particular worth mentioning is the tail  $\rho(\Gamma) \propto 1/\Gamma^{3/2}$  [46, 48, 49] originally discovered numerically in a system with quasi-1D dynamics [48] and qualitatively explained as a characteristic feature reflecting classical diffusion in disordered samples of size  $L \lesssim \xi$  taking place beyond strictly 1D chains. To the best of our knowledge, no controllable *ab initio* analytic derivation of such a behaviour was reported in the literature.

As is commonly accepted nowadays, one of the most




FIG. 1. A wave-guide with  $M$  propagating channels coupled to a disordered medium.

powerful and systematic approaches to addressing universal features of wave propagation in a disordered medium, including Anderson localization phenomena, is based on exploiting the framework of the supersymmetric nonlinear sigma model developed by Efetov [50] by elaborating earlier ideas of Wegner [51, 52]. This model describes a medium characterized by classical diffusion constant  $D$  in terms of a field of interacting supermatrices  $Q(\mathbf{x})$  under constraints  $Q^2(\mathbf{x}) = 1$  and  $\text{Str } Q(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  for every point  $\mathbf{x}$  inside the medium. The theory is governed by the action

$$\mathcal{S}[Q] = -\pi\nu \int d^d\mathbf{x} \text{Str} \left[ \frac{D}{4} (\nabla Q)^2 - \eta Q\Lambda \right], \quad (1)$$

where  $\nu$  is the density of states per unit volume defining the mean level spacing  $\Delta = (\nu L^d)^{-1}$  in a sample of size  $L$ , and  $\eta$  is (twice) the imaginary frequency. In the simplest case of the broken time-reversal symmetry (class A,  $\beta = 2$ ), the supermatrix  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$  in a certain basis. Calculation of various quantities characterizing universal features of statistics of eigenfunctions and energy levels in disordered media can be reduced to studying expectations of various combinations of different  $Q(\mathbf{x})$  over the weight  $\exp(-\mathcal{S}[Q])$ .

Consider now attaching a lead/wave-guide supporting  $M$  propagating channels at a given energy  $E$  (see Fig. 1) and use it to send particles/waves at this energy to the random medium and collect the reflected waves. We assume here the transverse dimension of the lead being of the order of the mean-free path in disordered medium, which at the level of the nonlinear sigma model allows to treat the attachment as effectively pointlike. Then in the framework of the standard (Heidelberg) model [9] (see Supplemental Material [54] for a brief discussion) the couplings between the lead and the disordered medium are characterized via the effective coupling parameters  $g_a = 2/T_a - 1 \geq 1$  ( $a = 1, \dots, M$ ) related to the Landauer transparencies  $T_a = 1 - |\langle S_{aa} \rangle|^2$  which characterize the

$M \times M$  ensemble- (or energy-) averaged  $S$ -matrix. For simplicity, we consider below only the case of “equivalent channels”  $g_a := g$ .

As a result of the coupling to the lead each real energy level  $E_n$  of a closed system is converted to a complex resonance pole  $\mathcal{E}_n = E_n - i\Gamma_n$ . The mean density of such poles is given by

$$\rho_E(y) := \Delta \left\langle \sum_n \delta(E - E_n) \delta(y - 2\pi\Gamma_n/\Delta) \right\rangle, \quad (2)$$

where we switched to the rescaled widths  $2\pi\Gamma_n/\Delta$ . In what follows, we neglect the energy dependence of the mean level spacing  $\Delta$  and omit the subscript  $E$ .

In the present paper we demonstrate that thus defined density of the  $S$ -matrix poles arising in the problem of a single-lead scattering from a disordered medium (that renders it *open*) can be exactly expressed in terms of the  $\sigma$  model for the *isolated* system in the absence of a waveguide. The density of resonance poles is intimately related to one of the central objects of the sigma-model theory, the so called “order parameter function” (OPF) introduced originally in Ref. [53] via

$$F_{\mathbf{x}}(Q; \eta) = \int \prod_{\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}} \mathcal{D}\mu(Q_{\mathbf{y}}) \exp(-\mathcal{S}[Q]), \quad (3)$$

where  $\mathcal{D}\mu(Q_{\mathbf{y}})$  is the standard volume element on the manifold of  $Q$  matrices. Due to global symmetries of the action (1), the OPF function can be shown to actually depend only on a few real Cartan variables parametrizing  $Q$  matrices. In particular, for  $\beta = 2$  one has  $F_{\mathbf{x}}(Q; \eta) := \mathcal{F}(\lambda, \lambda_1; \eta)$ , with  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$  and  $\lambda_1 \in [1, \infty]$  being the compact (fermionic) and non-compact (bosonic) coordinates, respectively (we omitted spatial dependence on  $\mathbf{x}$  for brevity).

Our main technical achievement is the following expression for the resonance density in terms of the OPF for the medium with broken time-reversal symmetry (class A,  $\beta = 2$ ):

$$\rho(y) = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{2(M-1)!} \int_{-1}^1 (g - \lambda)^M \Phi(\lambda, g; y) d\lambda, \quad (4a)$$

valid for a waveguide supporting  $M$  identical scattering channels. The function

$$\Phi(\lambda, \lambda_1; y) := \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \frac{\partial^{M-1}}{\partial \lambda_1^{M-1}} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \lambda_1; y\Delta/2\pi)}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^2} \quad (4b)$$

is obtained by taking derivatives of the OPF both with respect to rescaled imaginary frequency  $\eta$  and with respect to the non-compact coordinate  $\lambda_1$ . Then this coordinate gets pinned,  $\lambda_1 \rightarrow g$ , and in Eq. (4a) it remains to integrate only over the compact coordinate  $\lambda$ .

A detailed derivation of Eqs. (4) is provided in the Supplemental Material [54]. Here we only mention

that it combines two essential ingredients: (i) recently discovered relation [22] between the density  $\rho(y)$  and a complex-valued generalization [55] of the standard Wigner time delay [56] and (ii) a relation [57] between the OPF and the mean density of complex eigenvalues  $K_c$  of the so-called Wigner  $K$ -matrix related to  $S$ -matrix as  $S = (1 - iK)/(1 + iK)$ . The latter generalizes a well-known relation between the OPF and the joint probability density  $\mathcal{P}(u, v)$  of real  $u$  and complex  $v$  parts of the local Green's function  $G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, E + i\eta) = u - iv$  [58, 59].

Equations (4) reduce the analysis of the mean density of the resonance poles in the framework of nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model to exploiting the knowledge of the functional dependence of the OPF on its parameters. In the rest of the paper we analyse various cases where such knowledge is available. We will concentrate in particular on the ‘‘perfect coupling’’ limit when  $g = 1$  (fully open channels). The latter condition physically corresponds to the absence of the so-called fast ‘‘direct reflection’’ processes, so that all the incoming flux penetrates the medium and participates in the formation of long-living resonances, see Refs. [2, 10] for a discussion and further references.

*Zero-dimensional (0D) limit.*—The simplest case is that of a fully ergodic RMT-like system with the OPF  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \lambda_1; \eta) = e^{-(2\pi\eta/\Delta)(\lambda_1 - \lambda)}$  [50] implying via Eq. (4) the resonance density

$$\rho^{(0D)}(y) = \frac{(-1)^M y^{M-1}}{(M-1)!} \frac{\partial^M}{\partial y^M} \left( e^{-yg} \frac{\sinh y}{y} \right), \quad (5)$$

obtained originally by a different method in Ref. [15]. In the perfect coupling limit, this resonance density has a maximum at  $y \approx M/2$ , with a tail  $\rho^{(0D)}(y) \approx M/2y^2$  at  $y > M/2$ .

*Good metal.*—In a good metal, the OPF can be calculated in the Gaussian approximation and has a form similar to the 0D case:  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \lambda_1; \eta) = e^{-c(\eta)(\lambda_1 - \lambda)}$  with  $c(\eta) = 1/\Pi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \eta)$  and  $\Pi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \eta) = \langle \mathbf{x} | (-D\nabla^2 + 2\eta)^{-1} | \mathbf{x} \rangle / \pi\nu$  being the diffusion propagator with Neumann boundary conditions, corresponding to an isolated sample. For a hypercube of size  $L$  with the waveguide coupled to its corner,

$$\Pi(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \eta) = \frac{\Delta}{\pi} \sum_{\mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{D\mathbf{q}^2 + 2\eta}, \quad (6)$$

summation runs over  $\mathbf{q} = (\pi/L)(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_d)$ , with non-negative integer  $n_i$ . A detailed analysis of  $\Pi(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, \eta)$  is provided in [54].

Consider first the case of a quasi-1D disordered system, physically describing a diffusive wire of length  $L$  supporting many propagating transverse modes. The relevant parameters are the wire conductance  $g_L = \xi/L$ , the localization length  $\xi = 2\pi\nu D$ , and the Thouless energy  $E_{\text{Th}} = D/L^2$ . The Gaussian approximation is valid provided  $y \gg \max(1, g_L^{-1})$ . One finds in this case  $c(\eta) = (g_L/2)f(2\eta/E_{\text{Th}})$  with  $f(z) = \sqrt{z} \tanh \sqrt{z}$ .

In what follows we focus on the perfect-coupling case,  $g = 1$ . For a *short wire* ( $L \ll \xi$ ,  $g_L \gg 1$ ), the resonance density  $\rho_{\text{short}}^{(1D)}(y)$  interpolates between  $M/4y^2$  (partial ergodization) at  $1 \ll y \ll g_L$  to  $M/2y^2$  (full ergodization, 0D case, RMT result) at  $y \gg g_L$ . Both regimes of  $\rho(y)$  are pretty universal, with the diffusion coefficient dropping from the answer. For a *long wire* ( $L \gg \xi$ ,  $g_L \ll 1$ ), one obtains

$$\rho_{\text{long}}^{(1D)}(y) \approx \begin{cases} 2g_L/y, & g_L y \ll 1; \\ g_L^{1/2}/(2y)^{3/2}, & 1 \ll g_L y \ll M^2; \\ M/4y^2, & M^2 \ll g_L y. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Note that the region  $g_L y \ll 1$  cannot be treated by the present perturbative technique and is discussed below.

The appearance of the regime with  $\rho(y) \propto y^{-3/2}$  in the case of a large number of channels  $M \gg 1$  [the second line of Eq. (7)] can be qualitatively understood following the argument of Ref. [48]. In a diffusive system, a typical time to leave the sample by reaching the absorbing boundary from a distance  $r$  is  $t \sim r^2/D$ . Associating the level widths with inverse times and using  $\rho(\Gamma) d\Gamma \sim dr/L$ , we immediately get the density  $\rho(\Gamma) \sim \sqrt{D}/L\Gamma^{3/2}$ , in accordance with Eq. (7). The crossover between the diffusive and ergodic regimes happens at widths  $\Gamma_{\text{erg}} \sim D/L_{\text{erg}}^2$ , where the spatial scale  $L_{\text{erg}}$  can be estimated by comparing  $1/\Gamma_{\text{erg}}$  with the time of runaway to the lead  $\nu L_{\text{erg}}/M$ . This procedure yields  $L_{\text{erg}} \sim \nu D/M \sim \xi/M$  corresponding to  $y_{\text{erg}} \sim M^2/g_L$ , in agreement with Eq. (7).

Note that the Gaussian approximation does not allow to evaluate the resonance density for  $g_L y < 1$  for long wires, as fluctuations become strong signifying the necessity to account for the localization effects.

Before switching to the full solution of this problem in a quasi-1D wire, let us explore the case of a good 3D metal, where the localization effects can be neglected. Following the same lines as above, we find

$$\rho^{(3D)}(y) \approx \frac{M}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{y^2} - \frac{1}{(y + \pi g_l)^2} \right], \quad (8)$$

where  $g_l = 2\pi\nu D l \gg 1$  is the system's microscopic conductance at the scale of the mean free path  $l$ . Equation (8) interpolates between the RMT limit at  $1 \ll y \ll g_l$  and  $\rho^{(3D)}(y) = g_l \pi / y^3$  at  $g_l \ll y \ll g_L$ .

*Quantum wires.*—The diffusive approximation breaks down for long wires ( $L \gg \xi$ ,  $g_L \ll 1$ ) at  $y < 1/g_L$  that requires a nonperturbative solution of the nonlinear sigma model via a transfer matrix technique, see further detail of the procedure in [54]. For  $L \gg \xi$ , the OPF with exponential accuracy coincides with the zero mode  $\Psi$  of the associated transfer-matrix operator [60]. For the unitary symmetry (class A), the latter is known explicitly in terms of the modified Bessel functions [61]:  $\Psi(\lambda, \lambda_1) = K_0(p)qI_1(q) + pK_1(p)I_0(q)$ , where




FIG. 2. Distribution function of resonances  $\rho^{1D}(y)$  computed for BRM ( $b = 30$ , corresponding to  $\xi = 600$ ) with  $M = 10$  channels, perfectly coupled to the edge. Solid: exact diagonalization for various system sizes (indicated on the legend). Dashed: exact analytical result given by Eq. (11).

$p = \kappa\sqrt{(\lambda_1 + 1)/2}$ ,  $q = \kappa\sqrt{(\lambda + 1)/2}$ , and the dimensionless parameter  $\kappa$  is defined as

$$\kappa^2 = 8\Gamma/\Delta_\xi = 8g_L y, \quad (9)$$

with  $\Delta_\xi = D/\xi^2$  being the level spacing at the localization length. The value of  $\kappa$  distinguishes between the localized ( $\kappa \ll 1$ ) and diffusive ( $\kappa \gg 1$ ) regimes. Substitution  $\mathcal{F} = \Psi$  in Eqs. (4) eventually leads to the following expression valid for arbitrary coupling [54]:

$$\rho^{(1D)}(y) = -\frac{16g_L^2}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \int_0^\kappa \frac{q dq}{\kappa^2} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \frac{(p^2 - q^2)^n}{n!(2p)^n} K_n(p) I_0(q), \quad (10)$$

where  $p = \kappa\sqrt{(g+1)/2} = \kappa/\sqrt{T}$ .

In the case of perfect coupling ( $g = 1$ ) we have  $p = \kappa$  and integration in Eq. (10) can be done exactly, yielding

$$\rho^{(1D)}(y) = -\frac{16g_L^2}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} K_n(\kappa) I_{n+1}(\kappa). \quad (11)$$

Asymptotic behavior of this analytic expression is given by Eq. (7). It reproduces the Gaussian results (the second and third lines) and provides a nonperturbative answer in the limit of small widths ( $\Gamma \ll \Delta_\xi$ , the first line). In the latter regime, the resonance density  $\rho(y)$  scales as  $1/y$ , indicating the presence of states very weakly coupled to the lead. These are the states localized far away from the wire edge and decaying exponentially away, which are mainly responsible for the normalization of  $\rho(y)$  (logarithmic divergency of the normalization integral at small  $y$  is cured by the absence of widths smaller than  $\Gamma_{\min} \sim \Delta_\xi e^{-2L/\xi}$ , corresponding to the state localized at the edge opposite to the attached lead).




FIG. 3. Distribution function of resonances  $\rho^{3D}(y)$  computed numerically for  $d = 3$  BRM model of a linear size  $L = 30$  and  $M = 1$  channel attached to the corner of the lattice, with the bandwidth  $b = 3$ . The RMT result is shown by the solid black line, the dashed line corresponds to Eq. (8) with  $g_l = 1.5$ .

*Numerical study.*—In order to verify analytical expectations we have performed numerical simulation by modelling the disordered Hamiltonian  $H$  with the Hermitian Banded Random Matrices (BRM), corresponding to broken time reversal symmetry in  $d = 1$  and  $d = 3$ . We work in the middle of the spectrum (considering 10% of the eigenvalues closest to 0) and compute eigenvalues of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian in the perfect coupling limit ( $g = 1$ ):

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = H - (i/\pi\nu)\mathbb{1}_M. \quad (12)$$

The ensemble of BRM in  $d$  dimensions is defined over a hyper-cubic lattice of linear size  $L$  and is specified by the bandwidth  $b$ . The entries are normally distributed complex numbers  $H_{\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2} = H_{\mathbf{r}_2\mathbf{r}_1}^*$ , where  $\mathbf{r}_{1,2} \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ , with zero mean and the variance (here  $|\cdot|$  is the  $L_1$  norm)

$$\langle |H_{\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2}|^2 \rangle = \Theta(b - |\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|)(1 + \delta_{\mathbf{r}_1\mathbf{r}_2})/2. \quad (13)$$

For  $d = 1$ , this model belongs to the universality class of quasi-1D localization [62–65], with the localization length (in the middle of the spectral band)  $\xi = 2b^2/3$  [66]. For the numerical simulation, we pick the bandwidth  $b = 30$  and attach a lead with  $M = 10$  channels to the end-point of the wire. By exact diagonalization of the effective Hamiltonian (12) we evaluate the probability distribution  $\rho^{(1D)}(y)$  for several values of  $L \gg \xi$ , see Fig. 2. For the largest system sizes our numerical results perfectly follow Eq. (11) applicable in the limit  $L \rightarrow \infty$  (dashed lines), while for the smallest wire length, yet  $L \gg \xi$ , the distribution  $\rho^{(1D)}(y)$  clearly deviates from Eq. (11), with an apparent saturation at  $y \lesssim (L/\xi) e^{-2L/\xi} \sim 10^{-5}$ .

For  $d = 3$ , the result of simulation of the BRM model at  $L = 30$  and bandwidth  $b = 3$  is shown on the Fig. 3. We



consider a single-channel lead ( $M = 1$ ) which is coupled to a sphere of radius  $r = 3$  (we have found that coupling to a single node is not sufficient to ensure applicability of the  $\sigma$ -model description). In the same Figure, we show, with dashed lines the fit according to Eq. (8), where conductance is considered as a fitting parameter, leading to  $g_l = 1.5$ .

In conclusion, addressing the problem of wave reflection from a disordered medium via a single  $M$ -channel lead, we revealed a general relation between the mean density of  $S$ -matrix poles (resonances) and the order parameter function characterizing the medium in the non-linear sigma-model approximation. This relation allowed us to find the mean resonance density explicitly in various regimes, including the non-perturbative localization regime in quasi-one-dimensional geometry. In particular, for  $M \gg 1$  we provided a fully controlled *ab initio* derivation of the diffusive intermediate asymptotics  $\rho(\Gamma) \sim 1/\Gamma^{3/2}$  anticipated by qualitative arguments [48]. Note that some experiments related to studies of zeroes and poles of the scattering matrix in quasi-1D disordered samples have been recently reported [67]. Considering perspectives for further research, it would be certainly very interesting to understand features of the resonance density in the vicinity of the Anderson localization transition. From such a perspective studying the Anderson model on the Random Regular Graph [68] where some features of the associated OPF have been analytically addressed in recent years [69] represents a promising direction. Another interesting avenue is to study resonances in disordered media beyond nonlinear sigma-model framework, e.g., for finite number of transverse channels using the DMPK-type approach to scattering with absorption [70, 71]. Some results in this direction will be published elsewhere [72].

Y.V.F. acknowledges financial support from EPSRC Grant EP/V002473/1 “Random Hessians and Jacobians: theory and applications”.

- 
- [1] M. C. W. van Rossum and Th. M. Nieuwenhuizen. Multiple scattering of classical waves: microscopy, mesoscopy, and diffusion. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 313–371 (1999)
- [2] Y. V. Fyodorov, D. V. Savin and H.-J. Sommers. Scattering, reflection and impedance of waves in chaotic and disordered systems with absorption. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, Issue 49, 10731–10760 (2005)
- [3] D. S. Wiersma. Disordered Photonics. *Nature Photonics* **7**, 188–196 (2013)
- [4] C. Tian. Hydrodynamic and field-theoretic approaches to light localization in open media. *Physica E* **49**, 124–153 (2013)
- [5] S. Rotter, S. Gigan. Light fields in complex media: Mesoscopic scattering meets wave control *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **89** (1), 015005 (2017)
- [6] In a somewhat more mathematical language, the resonances are poles of the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent from lower half of the complex energy plane to the whole complex plane. For a detailed exposition see e.g. S. Dyatlov and M. Zworski. *Mathematical theory of scattering resonances*. in: *Graduate Studies in Mathematics* ( American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2019)
- [7] A.D. Mirlin. Statistics of energy levels and eigenfunctions in disordered systems. *Phys. Rep.* **326**, Issues 5–6, 259–382 (2000)
- [8] CWJ Beenakker. Applications of random matrix theory to condensed matter and optical physics. in: *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, edited by G. Akemann et al. (Oxford University Press, 2011), 723–758
- [9] J. J. M. Verbaarschot, H.A. Weidenmüller and M.R. Zirnbauer. Grassmann integration in stochastic quantum physics: the case of compound-nucleus scattering. *Phys. Rep.*, **129**, 367–438 (1985)
- [10] Y.V. Fyodorov and D.V. Savin. Resonance scattering of waves in chaotic systems. in: *The Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, edited by G. Akemann et al. (Oxford University Press, 2011), pp. 703–722
- [11] H. Schomerus. Random matrix approaches to open quantum systems. in: *Stochastic Processes and Random Matrices: Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School 2015*, edited by G. Schehr et al. (Oxford University Press, 2017), pp. 409–473
- [12] H.-J. Stöckmann and U. Kuhl. Microwave studies of the spectral statistics in chaotic systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55**, 383001 (2022)
- [13] V.V. Sokolov and V.G. Zelevinsky. Dynamics and statistics of unstable quantum states. *Nucl. Phys. A* **504**, Issue 3, 562–588 (1989)
- [14] F. Haake, F. Izrailev, N. Lehmann, D Saher, and H.-J. Sommers. Statistics of complex levels of random matrices for decaying systems. *Z. Phys. B: Cond. Matt.* **88**, 359–370 (1992)
- [15] Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers. Statistics of  $S$ -matrix poles in few-channel chaotic scattering: Crossover from isolated to overlapping resonances. *JETP Lett.*, **63** 1026–1030 (1996).
- [16] Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers. Statistics of resonance poles, phase shifts and time delays in quantum chaotic scattering: Random matrix approach for systems with broken time-reversal invariance. *J. Math. Phys.* **38**, Issue 4, 1918–1981 (1997)
- [17] Y. V. Fyodorov, B. A. Khoruzhenko. Systematic Analytical Approach to Correlation Functions of Resonances in Quantum Chaotic Scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **83**, 65–68 (1999)
- [18] H.-J. Sommers, Y. V. Fyodorov, and M. Titov.  $S$ -matrix poles for chaotic quantum systems as eigenvalues of complex symmetric random matrices: from isolated to overlapping resonances. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **32**, L77 (1999)
- [19] Y.V. Fyodorov and B. Mehlis. Statistics of resonances and nonorthogonal eigenfunctions in a model for single-channel chaotic scattering. *Phys Rev E* **66** (4), 045202 (2002)
- [20] U. Kuhl, R. Höhmann, J Main, and H.-J. Stöckmann. Resonance Widths in Open Microwave Cavities Studied by Harmonic Inversion. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 254201 (2008)
- [21] M. Davy and A. Z. Genack. Selectively exciting quasi-

- normal modes in open disordered systems. *Nature Commun.* **9**, Article number: 4714 (2018)
- [22] L. Chen, S.M. Anlage and Y.V. Fyodorov. Statistics of Complex Wigner Time Delays as a counter of S-matrix poles: Theory and Experiment. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (20), 204101 (2021)
- [23] T. Kottos and U. Smilansky. Chaotic Scattering on Graphs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 968–971 (2000)
- [24] M. Glück, A.R. Kolovsky, H.-J. Korsch. Wannier–Stark resonances in optical and semiconductor superlattices. *Phys. Rep.* **366**, Issue 3, 103–182 (2002)
- [25] G. L. Celardo, F. M. Izrailev, V. G. Zelevinsky, and G. P. Berman. Open system of interacting fermions: Statistical properties of cross sections and fluctuations. *Phys. Rev. E* **76**, 031119 (2007)
- [26] P. Gaspard and S. A. Rice Semiclassical quantization of the scattering from a classically chaotic repeller. *J. Chem. Phys.* **90**, 2242 (1989)
- [27] W. T. Lu, S. Sridhar, and M. Zworski. Fractal Weyl Laws for Chaotic Open Systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 154101 (2003)
- [28] H. Schomerus and J. Tworzydło. Quantum-to-Classical Crossover of Quasibound States in Open Quantum Systems. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 154102 (2004)
- [29] H. Schomerus, J. Wiersig, and J. Main. Lifetime statistics in chaotic dielectric microresonators. *Phys. Rev. A* **79**, 053806 (2009)
- [30] M. Novaes. Resonances in open quantum maps. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** (14), 143001 (2013)
- [31] S. Nonnenmacher and M. Zworski. Distribution of Resonances for Open Quantum Maps. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **269**, 311–365 (2007)
- [32] S. Nonnenmacher, J. Sjöstrand, and M. Zworski. Fractal Weyl law for open quantum chaotic maps. *Ann. Math.* **179**, 179–251 (2014)
- [33] G. Dubach, L. Erdős. Dynamics of a rank-one perturbation of a Hermitian matrix. *arXiv:2108.13694*
- [34] M. Shcherbina and T. Shcherbina. Finite-rank complex deformations of random band matrices: sigma-model approximation. arXiv preprint arXiv: 2112.04455
- [35] Y.V. Fyodorov, B.A. Khoruzhenko, and M. Poplavskiy. Extreme eigenvalues and the emerging outlier in rank-one non-Hermitian deformations of the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble. *arXiv:2211.00180*
- [36] M. Titov and Y.V. Fyodorov. Time-delay correlations and resonances in one-dimensional disordered systems. *Phys. Rev. B* **61**, R2444 (2000)
- [37] M. Terraneo and I. Guarneri. Distribution of resonance widths in localized tight-binding models. *Eur. Phys. J. B* **18**, 303, (2000)
- [38] H. Kunz and B. Shapiro. Statistics of Resonances in a Semi-infinite Disordered Chain. *Phys. Rev. B* **77**, 054203 (2008)
- [39] J. Feinberg. Statistics of resonances in one-dimensional continuous systems. *Pramana* **73**, No. 3, 565 – 572 (2009)
- [40] J. Feinberg. Effective Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians for Studying Resonance Statistics in Open Disordered Systems. *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **50** (4), 1116–1125 (2011)
- [41] E. Gurevich and B. Shapiro. Statistics of resonances in one-dimensional disordered systems. *Lithuanian J. Phys.*, **52**, No. 2, 115–125 (2012)
- [42] I.F. Herrera-Gonzalez, J.A. Mendez-Bermudez and F.M. Izrailev. Complex energies of open one-dimensional disordered wires. *Physica E* **110**, 59–67 (2019)
- [43] F. Klopp. Resonances for large one-dimensional “ergodic” systems. *Anal. PDE* **9**, no. 2, 259–352 (2016)
- [44] F. A. Pinheiro, M. Rusek, A. Orłowski, and B. A. van Tiggelen. Probing Anderson localization of light via decay rate statistics. *Phys. Rev. E* **69**, 026605 (2004)
- [45] T. Kottos. Statistics of resonances and delay times in random media: beyond random matrix theory. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** 10761–10786 (2005)
- [46] S. E. Skipetrov and B. A. van Tiggelen. Dynamics of Anderson Localization in Open 3D Media. *Phys. Rev. Lett* **96**, 043902 (2006)
- [47] D. Gaspard and J.-M. Sparenberg. Resonance distribution in the quantum random Lorentz gas. *Physical Review A* **105**, 042205 (2022)
- [48] F. Borgonovi, I. Guarneri, and D. L. Shepelyansky. Statistics of quantum lifetimes in classically chaotic systems. *Phys. Rev. A* **43**, 4517 (1991)
- [49] F. Barra and P. Gaspard. Transport and dynamics on open quantum graphs. *Phys. Rev. E* **65**, 016205 (2001)
- [50] K.B. Efetov. Supersymmetry in disorder and chaos. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997)
- [51] F. Wegner. The mobility edge problem: continuous symmetry and a conjecture. *Z. Phys. B* **35**, 207–210 (1979)
- [52] L. Schäfer and F. Wegner. Disordered system with  $n$  orbitals per site: Lagrange formulation, hyperbolic symmetry, and Goldstone modes. *Z. Phys. B* **38**, no. 2, 113–126 (1980)
- [53] M.R. Zimbauer. Anderson localization and non-linear sigma model with graded symmetry. *Nucl. Phys. B* **265**, Issue 2, 375–408 (1986)
- [54] see Supplemental Material.
- [55] L. Chen, S.M. Anlage, Y.V. Fyodorov. Generalization of Wigner time delay to subunitary scattering systems *Phys. Rev. E* **103** (5), L050203 (2021)
- [56] E.P. Wigner. Lower limit for the energy derivative of the scattering phase shift. *Phys. Rev.* **98** (1) 145–147 (1955)
- [57] Y.V. Fyodorov, unpublished
- [58] A.D. Mirlin, Y.V. Fyodorov. Statistical properties of one-point Green functions in disordered systems and critical behavior near the Anderson transition. *J. de Phys. I* **4** (5), 655–673 (1994)
- [59] D.V. Savin, H.J. Sommers, Y.V. Fyodorov. Universal statistics of the local Green’s function in wave chaotic systems with absorption *JETP Letters* **82** (8), 544–548 (2005)
- [60] K. B. Efetov and A. I. Larkin. Kinetics of a quantum particle in a long metallic wire. *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **85**, 764 (1983) [Sov. Phys. JETP **58**, 444 (1983)].
- [61] M. A. Skvortsov and P. M. Ostrovsky. Local correlations of different eigenfunctions in a disordered wire. *Pis’ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **85**, 79 (2007) [JETP Lett. **85**, 72 (2007)].
- [62] Y.V. Fyodorov and A.D. Mirlin. Scaling properties of localization in random band matrices: a  $\sigma$ -model approach. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67** (1991), no. 18, 2405–2409 (1991)
- [63] Y.V. Fyodorov and A.D. Mirlin. Statistical properties of eigenfunctions of random quasi 1D one-particle Hamiltonians. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B* **8** (27), 3795–3842 (1994)
- [64] M. Shcherbina and T. Shcherbina. Universality for 1d random band matrices: sigma-model approximation. *J. Stat. Phys.* **172**, no. 2, 627–664 (2018)
- [65] M. Shcherbina and T. Shcherbina. Universality for 1d Random Band Matrices. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **385**,

- 667—716 (2021)
- [66] Ya. V. Fyodorov and A. D. Mirlin. Level-to-level fluctuations of the inverse participation ratio in finite quasi 1D disordered systems *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**(3), 412 (1993)
  - [67] Y. Huang, Y. Kang , and A. Z. Genack. Wave excitation and dynamics in non-Hermitian disordered systems. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, 013102 (2022)
  - [68] K. S. Tikhonov, A. D. Mirlin, and M. A. Skvortsov. Anderson localization and ergodicity on random regular graphs. *Phys.Rev.B* **94**, 220203(R) (2016)
  - [69] K. S. Tikhonov and A. D. Mirlin. Statistics of eigenstates near the localization transition on random regular graphs. *Phys.Rev.B* **99**, 024202 (2019)
  - [70] N A Bruce and J T Chalker. Multiple scattering in the presence of absorption: a theoretical treatment for quasi one-dimensional systems. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.***29** 3761 (1996)
  - [71] T. Sh. Misirpashaev, J.C.J. Paasschens, C.W.J. Beenakker. Localization in a disordered multi-mode waveguide with absorption or amplification. *Physica A: Stat. Mech. Appl.* **236**, Issues 3–4, 189–201 (1997)
  - [72] Y.V. Fyodorov and Jan Meibohm, in progress.

## SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Yan V. Fyodorov<sup>1</sup>, Mikhail A. Skvortsov<sup>2</sup> and Konstantin S. Tikhonov<sup>3,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, King's College London, London WC2E 6LS, United Kingdom*

<sup>2</sup>*L. D. Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, 142432 Chernogolovka, Russia*

<sup>3</sup>*Capital Fund Management, 23 rue de l'Université, 75007 Paris, France*

### I. A SHORT ACCOUNT OF THE HEIDELBERG MODEL

An efficient way of describing scattering of classical or quantum waves, especially convenient in the case of the medium Hamiltonians whose statistical properties can be mapped to the non-linear sigma-model framework, has been formulated in Ref. [1], see e.g. [2] for more detail. Within this framework one constructs the unitary (in the absence of absorption,  $\eta = 0$ )  $M \times M$  energy-dependent scattering matrix  $S(E)$  for a system with  $M$  open channels attached to a medium described by a self-adjoint Hamiltonian  $H$  [e.g.  $H = -d^2/d\mathbf{x}^2 + V(\mathbf{x})$ , as in the main text], which for simplicity and in appropriate energy range one may think of as described by a  $N \times N$  matrix  $H$ . The relation between  $S(E)$  and  $H$  is then provided by the following formula

$$S(E) = \frac{\mathbf{1} - iK}{\mathbf{1} + iK}, \quad K = W^\dagger \frac{1}{E - H} W \quad (\text{S1})$$

and columns  $W_a$  ( $a = 1, \dots, M$ ) of an  $N \times M$  matrix  $W$  of *coupling amplitudes* to  $M$  open scattering channels can be taken as fixed orthogonal vectors satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N W_{ai}^* W_{bi} = \gamma_a \delta_{ab}$  with  $\gamma_a > 0 \forall a = 1, \dots, M$ . The parameters  $\gamma_a$  determine the ‘‘bare’’ strength of coupling of a given channel to the scattering system. One can show that as long as the scattering system falls into the nonlinear sigma-model description, the ensemble-averaged scattering matrix in the above model is diagonal:  $\langle S(E) \rangle = \text{diag}(\langle S_1(E) \rangle, \dots, \langle S_M(E) \rangle)$ , and  $\langle S_a(E) \rangle = \frac{1 - i\gamma_a \langle G \rangle}{1 + i\gamma_a \langle G \rangle}$  where we introduced  $\langle G \rangle := \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, E + i\eta) = \langle u \rangle - i\pi\rho(E)$ , with  $\rho(E)$  being the mean density of states in the disordered medium. Writing  $\langle G \rangle = |\langle G \rangle| e^{-i\alpha}$  and defining  $\tilde{\gamma}_a := \gamma_a |\langle G \rangle|$  one finds

$$|\langle S \rangle_a|^2 = \frac{1 - 2 \sin \alpha \tilde{\gamma}_a + \tilde{\gamma}_a^2}{1 + 2 \sin \alpha \tilde{\gamma}_a + \tilde{\gamma}_a^2}, \quad \text{and} \quad g_a = \frac{1 + |\langle S_a(E) \rangle|^2}{1 - |\langle S_a(E) \rangle|^2} = \frac{1}{2 \sin \alpha} \left( \tilde{\gamma}_a + \frac{1}{\tilde{\gamma}_a} \right) \geq 1$$

with the ‘‘perfect coupling’’ value  $g_a = 1$  happening when  $\sin \alpha = 1$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_a = 1$ , corresponding to  $|\langle S_a \rangle| = 0$ .

Equivalently, entries  $S_{ab}(E)$  of the scattering matrix given by (S1) can be rewritten as

$$S_{ab}(E) = \delta_{ab} - 2i \sum_{ij} W_{ai}^* \left[ \frac{1}{E - \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}}} \right]_{ij} W_{jb}, \quad (\text{S2})$$

with an effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = H - i\Gamma, \quad \Gamma = WW^\dagger \geq 0 \quad (\text{S3})$$

whose  $N$  complex eigenvalues  $\mathcal{E}_n = E_n - i\Gamma_n$  provide poles of the scattering matrix in the complex energy plane, commonly referred to as the *resonances*. The goal of this paper is precisely to describe density of these poles in the complex plane.

### II. DERIVATION OF EQS. (4) OF THE MAIN TEXT

Our goal is to verify validity of Eqs. (4a) and (4b) of the main text. A convenient starting point is to follow [3] and define the complex-valued quantity

$$\tau_W(E, \eta) = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \log \det S(E + i\eta) \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \log \det S(E + i\eta), \quad (\text{S4})$$

where  $S(E + i\eta)$  is the (subunitary)  $M$ -channel scattering matrix describing waves reflected from the random medium via the attached lead, assuming a uniform absorption rate in the medium given by  $\eta > 0$ . Note that the presence of such an absorption rate implies that the  $M \times M$  matrix  $K$  defined in Eq. (S1) becomes now non-Hermitian:  $K^\dagger \neq K$ , and its eigenvalues become in general complex-valued.



The quantity  $\tau_W(E, \eta)$  is obviously a complex-valued generalization of the standard Wigner time delay, and reduces to it for  $\eta \rightarrow 0$  [4]. As has been found in [3] the following relation exists between the mean resonance density  $\rho_E(y)$  defined in Eq. (2) and the above-defined  $\tau_W(E, \eta)$ :

$$\text{Re} \langle \tau_W(E, \eta) \rangle = \frac{2\pi}{\Delta} \int_{\tilde{\eta}}^{\infty} \rho_E(y) dy \quad (\text{S5})$$

where  $\tilde{\eta} := \frac{2\pi\eta}{\Delta}$ . Using this relation one then arrives to the following representation for the mean resonance density:

$$\rho_E(y) = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \left\langle \log \left| \det S \left( E + iy \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \right) \right| \right\rangle \quad (\text{S6})$$

Now, using Eq. (S1) we see that

$$\log \left| \det S \left( E + iy \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \right) \right| = \sum_{a=1}^M \log \left| \frac{1 - iK_a}{1 + iK_a} \right| = \int \rho_M(\text{Re } K, \text{Im } K; \eta) \log \left| \frac{1 - iK}{1 + iK} \right| d^2 K \quad (\text{S7})$$

where we introduced the density of complex eigenvalues  $K_a = \text{Re } K_a + i \text{Im } K_a \forall a = 1, \dots, M$  of the (non-Hermitian)  $K$ -matrix at the complex energy  $E + iy \frac{\Delta}{2\pi}$ :

$$\rho_M(\text{Re } K, \text{Im } K; \eta) = \sum_{a=1}^M \delta(\text{Re } K - \text{Re } K_a) \delta(\text{Im } K - \text{Im } K_a) \quad (\text{S8})$$

and indicated the explicit dependence on the parameter  $\eta = y \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} (= \Gamma) > 0$ . Thus, to evaluate the mean resonance density  $\rho_E(y)$  one needs to know the ensemble-averaged value  $\langle \rho_M(\text{Re } K, \text{Im } K; \eta) \rangle$  for a fixed  $\eta$ . The consideration so far has been pretty general and can be applied to any microscopic model of a disordered medium described by a Hamiltonian matrix  $H$ , assuming validity of the Heidelberg construction (S1) for the  $S$ -matrix, without any reference to nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model.

The power of nonlinear  $\sigma$ -model treatment lies in ability to represent  $\langle \rho_M(u = \text{Re } K, v = \text{Im } K; \eta) \rangle$  in terms of the Order Parameter Function (OPF)  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \lambda_1; \eta)$  as

$$\rho_M(u, v; \eta) = \frac{P_0(x)}{4\pi v^2}, \quad P_0(x) = \frac{d}{dx}(x^2 - 1) \frac{d}{dx} \phi_M(x), \quad \phi_M(x) = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{(M-1)!} \int_{-1}^1 (x-\lambda)^M \frac{\partial^{M-1}}{\partial x^{M-1}} \left[ \frac{\mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; \eta)}{(x-\lambda)^2} \right] d\lambda \quad (\text{S9})$$

where we denoted  $x = \frac{u^2 + v^2 + 1}{2v} > 1$ . This expression generalizes a well-known relation between the joint probability density  $\mathcal{P}(u, v)$  of real  $u$  and complex  $v$  parts of the diagonal element of the Green's function (to which the  $K$ -matrix is proportional in  $M = 1$  case) in terms of the OPF, see [6,7]. For general  $M \geq 1$  the expression (S9) can be derived by generalizing the approach suggested in [7], and the corresponding calculation will be published elsewhere [8]. Note that especially simple form of the relation between  $\phi(x)$  and OPF  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; \eta)$  in (S9) is specific for systems with broken time reversal invariance (class A,  $\beta = 2$ ). For preserved time-reversal invariance (class AI,  $\beta = 1$ ), the relation has exactly the same functional form, but explicit expression for  $\phi_M(x)$  in terms of the corresponding OPF is considerably more complicated [7,8].

Having (S9) at our disposal, the calculation of the resonance density starts with rewriting

$$|S(E + i\eta)|^2 = \left| \frac{1 - i\gamma(u - iv)}{1 + i\gamma(u - iv)} \right|^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{2v}(1 - \gamma^2) - \gamma + \gamma^2 x}{\frac{1}{2v}(1 - \gamma^2) + \gamma + \gamma^2 x}, \quad (\text{S10})$$

which allows to rewrite (S6) in terms of  $\rho_M(u, v; \eta)$  for a fixed absorption  $\eta > 0$  as

$$\rho_E(y) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} du \int_0^{\infty} dv \rho_M(u, v; \eta) \log \frac{\frac{1}{2v}(1 - \gamma^2) - \gamma + \gamma^2 x}{\frac{1}{2v}(1 - \gamma^2) + \gamma + \gamma^2 x}. \quad (\text{S11})$$

Substituting (S9) into (S11) it is convenient first to pass from  $u^2$  to  $x$  and from  $v$  to  $1/v$  as integration variables, yielding the resonance density in the form

$$\rho_E(y) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \int_1^{\infty} dx P_0(x) J_{\gamma}(x), \quad J_{\gamma}(x) = \int_{v_-}^{v_+} \frac{dv}{\sqrt{(v - v_-)(v_+ - v)}} \log \frac{\frac{v}{2}(1 - \gamma^2) - \gamma + \gamma^2 x}{\frac{v}{2}(1 - \gamma^2) + \gamma + \gamma^2 x}, \quad (\text{S12})$$

where we denoted  $v_{\pm} = x \pm \sqrt{x^2 - 1}$ . Using the relation (S9) between  $P_0(x)$  and  $\phi_M(x)$  and the fact that  $J_{\gamma}(x \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0$  one can use integration by parts yielding

$$\int_1^{\infty} dx P_0(x) J_{\gamma}(x) = - \int_1^{\infty} \tilde{P}_0(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} J_{\gamma}(x) dx, \quad \tilde{P}_0(x) = (x^2 - 1) \frac{d}{dx} \phi_M(x). \quad (\text{S13})$$

To evaluate  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} J_{\gamma}(x)$  one first changes  $v = v_- + (v_+ - v_-) \frac{1 + \cos \theta}{2}$ ,  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  which after introducing the effective coupling parameter  $g = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma + \gamma^{-1})$  so that  $\sqrt{g^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{2} |\gamma - \gamma^{-1}|$  allows to bring the integral over  $v$  in (S12) to the form

$$J_{\gamma}(x) = \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \log \frac{-1 + gx + \sqrt{(g^2 - 1)(x^2 - 1)} \cos \theta}{1 + gx + \sqrt{(g^2 - 1)(x^2 - 1)} \cos \theta} \quad (\text{S14})$$

Now one can use the formula  $\int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta}{a + b \cos \theta} = \pi / \sqrt{a^2 - b^2}$ ,  $|a| > |b|$  and find after straightforward manipulations that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} J_{\gamma}(x) = \frac{2}{x^2 - 1} \times \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x > g; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad (\text{S15})$$

which after substituting into (S13) gives

$$\int_1^{\infty} dx P_0(x) J_{\gamma}(x) = -2 \int_g^{\infty} dx \frac{d}{dx} \phi_M(x) = 2\phi_M(g) \quad (\text{S16})$$

using  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; \eta) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ . Equation (S16) when combined with the definition of  $\phi_M(x)$  in (S9) yields upon substituting to (S12) exactly the main formula for the resonance density,

### III. TRANSFER-MATRIX APPROACH TO THE 1D NONLINEAR SIGMA-MODEL

#### A. Transfer-matrix Hamiltonian and its zero model

In the transfer-matrix treatment, the solution of the 1D nonlinear sigma-model is described via the following imaginary-time evolution equation for the function  $\Psi(Q)$

$$\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} = -2\hat{H}\Psi \quad (\text{S17})$$

with the initial condition  $\Psi(Q) = 1$  at  $t = 0$ , and the role of time  $t$  played by the appropriately chosen coordinate along the system (measured in units of the localization length  $\xi$ ) [9,10]. In the unitary symmetry case the function  $\Psi$  depends only on the compact Cartan variable  $\lambda \in [-1, 1]$  and non-compact  $\lambda_1 \in [0, \infty)$ , with the transfer-matrix Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$  given by Eq. (9) of [9]:

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} \frac{\lambda_1^2 - 1}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \frac{1 - \lambda^2}{(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \right] + \frac{\Gamma}{2\Delta_{\xi}} (\lambda_1 - \lambda), \quad (\text{S18})$$

where for the problem of resonance statistic we replaced  $\omega \rightarrow 2i\Gamma$ , and  $\Delta_{\xi} = (4\pi^2 D\nu^2)^{-1} = D/\xi^2$  standing for the mean level spacing in the samples with length equal to the localization length  $\xi = 2\pi\nu D$ .

According to Ref. [9], the zero mode of this operator, dominating in the limit of infinite sample length,  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , is given by

$$\Psi_0(\lambda, \lambda_1) = K_0(p)qI_1(q) + pK_1(p)I_0(q), \quad (\text{S19})$$

where

$$p = \kappa \sqrt{(\lambda_1 + 1)/2}, \quad q = \kappa \sqrt{(\lambda + 1)/2}, \quad (\text{S20})$$

where we defined

$$\kappa = \sqrt{8\Gamma/\Delta_{\xi}}. \quad (\text{S21})$$

### B. Derivation of Eq. (10) of the main text

The formula for the resonance density in terms of the OPF has been presented in Eq. (4a) of the main text, and is repeated below for convenience of the reader:

$$\rho^{(M)}(y) = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{2(M-1)!} \int_{-1}^1 (g-\lambda)^M \frac{\partial^{M-1}}{\partial x^{M-1}} \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; y)}{(x-\lambda)^2} \right]_{x=g} d\lambda. \quad (\text{S22})$$

It turns out to be convenient to define the generating function

$$\Pi(\alpha) = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \rho^{(M)}(y) \alpha^M, \quad (\text{S23})$$

which can be easily computed as ( $m = M - 1$ )

$$\Pi(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{-1}^1 d\lambda (g-\lambda) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{[-\alpha(g-\lambda)]^m}{m!} \frac{\partial^m}{\partial x^m} \left[ \frac{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; y)}{(x-\lambda)^2} \right]_{x=g} = \frac{\alpha}{2} \int_{-1}^1 d\lambda (g-\lambda) \frac{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x'; y)}{(x'-\lambda)^2}, \quad (\text{S24})$$

where

$$x' = g - \alpha(g - \lambda) = (1 - \alpha)g + \alpha\lambda. \quad (\text{S25})$$

For the reflection problem, with the lead attached to only one end of a quasi-1D sample, we can write the OPF at the point of contact in the semi-infinite sample limit simply as  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; y) = \Psi_0(\lambda, x)$ , with identifying  $y = 2\pi\Gamma/\Delta$ . Using (S21) we easily get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; y) = \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \frac{4}{\Delta_\xi} \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \mathcal{F}(\lambda, x; y) = -\frac{\Delta}{\pi \Delta_\xi} (x - \lambda) K_0(p) I_0(q), \quad (\text{S26})$$

implying for the generating function the following explicit representation:

$$\Pi(\alpha) = -\left(\frac{2\Delta}{\pi \Delta_\xi}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \Lambda, \quad \Lambda = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_0^\kappa \frac{q dq}{\kappa^2} K_0(p') I_0(q), \quad (\text{S27})$$

where  $p' = \sqrt{(1-\alpha)p^2 + \alpha q^2}$ , the factor  $1/(1-\alpha)$  comes from  $(g-\lambda)/(x'-\lambda)$  and we used  $d\lambda = 4q dq/\kappa^2$ .

Now using the standard integral representation for the Macdonald function  $K_0(p')$  we find it is more convenient to work with

$$\Lambda = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_0^\kappa \frac{q dq}{\kappa^2} I_0(q) \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \exp\left[-\frac{t + [p^2 - \alpha(p^2 - q^2)]/t}{2}\right] = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \Lambda_M \alpha^M \quad (\text{S28})$$

Upon further rescaling  $t$  by  $p$ :

$$\Lambda = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \int_0^\kappa \frac{q dq}{\kappa^2} I_0(q) \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \exp\left[-p \frac{t + 1/t}{2}\right] \exp\left[\frac{\alpha(p^2 - q^2)}{2pt}\right] \quad (\text{S29})$$

and using

$$\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} e^{\alpha\xi} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^k \frac{\alpha^n \xi^n}{n!} = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \alpha^M \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} \quad (\text{S30})$$

we can readily extract the required  $M$ 'th coefficient from (S29) as:

$$\Lambda_M = \int_0^\kappa \frac{q dq}{\kappa^2} I_0(q) \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{2t} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{(p^2 - q^2)^n}{(2pt)^n} \exp\left[-\kappa \frac{t + 1/t}{2}\right] \quad (\text{S31})$$

and upon evaluating the integral over  $t$  in terms of the Macdonald function arrive to a compact representation

$$\rho_M(y) = - \left( \frac{2\Delta}{\pi\Delta_\xi} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa} \Lambda_M, \quad \Lambda_M = \int_0^\kappa \frac{q dq}{\kappa^2} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \frac{1}{n!} \frac{(p^2 - q^2)^n}{(2p)^n} K_n(p) I_0(q) \quad (\text{S32})$$

valid for any value of the lead coupling parameter  $g \in [1, \infty)$ . Recalling the relations  $\Delta_\xi = D/\xi^2$  and  $\xi = 2\pi\nu D$  we also see that

$$\frac{2\Delta}{\pi\Delta_\xi} = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{\xi^2}{\nu LD} = \frac{8\pi\nu D}{L} = 4g_L$$

showing that (S32) indeed coincides with the formula (10) of the main text.

### C. Analysis of Eq. (10) in the regimes of weak and perfect coupling to the leads

For long wires in the weak-coupling (tunneling) regime ( $T \ll 1$ ,  $g \gg 1$ ), Eq. (10) simplifies to

$$\rho(y) = - \frac{16g_L^2}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa} \left[ \frac{I_0(\kappa)}{\kappa} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} \frac{(p/2)^n}{n!} K_n(p) \right] \quad (\text{S33})$$

In the most important opposite case of the so-called perfect coupling  $g = 1$  simplifications are possible in view of the fact that in this case  $p = \kappa$ . Using

$$\int_0^\kappa q dq (\kappa^2 - q^2)^n I_0(q) = (2n)!! \kappa^{n+1} I_{n+1}(\kappa) \quad (\text{S34})$$

we immediately arrive at

$$\rho_M(y) = -16g_L^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial\kappa} \left[ \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} K_n(\kappa) I_{n+1}(\kappa) \right] \quad (\text{S35})$$

*Single-channel limit for perfect coupling.*

In the simplest case of a single-channel lead the equation (S35) takes the form

$$\rho_1(y) = 16g_L^2 R_1(\kappa), \quad R_1(\kappa) = - \frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{d}{d\kappa} \frac{K_0(\kappa) I_1(\kappa)}{\kappa} = \frac{[K_1(\kappa) I_1(\kappa) - K_0(\kappa) I_2(\kappa)]}{\kappa^2} \quad (\text{S36})$$

Using the standard asymptotic of modified Bessel functions, one easily finds

$$R_1(\kappa) = \begin{cases} (1/2)\kappa^{-2} + (3/8) \ln \kappa + \dots, & \kappa \ll 1; \\ \kappa^{-4} - (3/4)\kappa^{-5} + \dots, & \kappa \gg 1. \end{cases} \quad (\text{S37})$$

The energy dependence of the function  $R_1(\kappa)$  is shown in Fig. 1 by the blue (lower) line. In the localized regime ( $\kappa \ll 1$ ),  $R_1 \sim 1/\kappa^2 \sim 1/\Gamma$ , leading to anticipated log divergence of normalization of  $R_1$  that physically means very weak coupling to states localized far away. In the large-width regime ( $\kappa \gg 1$ ) one finds  $R_1 \sim 1/\kappa^4 \sim 1/\Gamma^2$ , which is the universal far-tail behavior.

*Many-channel limit for perfect coupling: diffusive asymptotics*

In particular, this can be used to describe the explicit intermediate asymptotic behavior of the resonance density in the limit of many perfectly open channels  $M = m + 1 \gg 1$  for the regime of resonances with widths large in




FIG. 1.  $R_1(\kappa)$  for a single-channel reflection from a semi-infinite wire vs.  $\kappa^2 = 8\Gamma/\Delta_\xi$  given by (S36) (solid, blue) and its asymptotic behavior given by (S37) (dashed).

comparison with the scale  $\Delta_\xi$ , that is  $\kappa \gg 1$ . In such regime it is natural to use the following asymptotic expressions, see e.g. [12],

$$I_n(x) \approx \frac{e^{S(n,x)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(n^2+x^2)^{1/4}}, \quad K_n(x) \approx \frac{e^{-S(n,x)}}{\sqrt{2\pi}(n^2+x^2)^{1/4}}, \quad S(n,x) = \sqrt{n^2+x^2} - n \operatorname{arcsinh}(n/x) \quad (\text{S38})$$

and writing  $m-1 \approx M$  approximate the sum over  $n$  by the integral:

$$\Lambda_m \approx \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^M dn \frac{\exp[\partial S/\partial n]}{2\sqrt{n^2+\kappa^2}}, \quad (\text{S39})$$

which upon substituting  $\partial S/\partial n = -\operatorname{arcsinh}(n/x)$  yields

$$\Lambda_M \approx \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_0^M dn \frac{1}{2\sqrt{n^2+\kappa^2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2/\kappa^2+1+n/\kappa}} = \frac{\kappa+M-\sqrt{\kappa^2+M^2}}{2\kappa^2} \quad (\text{S40})$$

so that

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa} \frac{\partial}{\partial \kappa} \Lambda_M \approx \frac{(\kappa+2M)\sqrt{\kappa^2+M^2} - (\kappa^2+2M^2)}{2\kappa^4\sqrt{\kappa^2+M^2}} = \begin{cases} 1/2\kappa^3, & \kappa \ll M \\ M/\kappa^4, & \kappa \gg M \end{cases} \quad (\text{S41})$$

Thus we see that for  $\kappa \gg M$  all terms in the summation gives the same contribution and the result is proportional to  $M$  (as can be seen just by the usual large-argument asymptotics of Bessel functions). In the opposite limit,  $\kappa \ll M$ , only  $n \sim \kappa$  terms do actually contribute.

Finally we get

$$\rho^{(M)}(y) \approx \left(\frac{2\Delta}{\pi\Delta_\xi}\right)^2 \frac{(\kappa+2M)\sqrt{\kappa^2+M^2} - (\kappa^2+2M^2)}{2\kappa^4\sqrt{\kappa^2+M^2}} = \left(\frac{2\Delta}{\pi\Delta_\xi}\right)^2 \times \begin{cases} 1/2\kappa^3, & \kappa \ll M \\ M/\kappa^4, & \kappa \gg M \end{cases} \quad (\text{S42})$$

This perfectly fits the exact expression, see Fig. 2. Recall finally that  $\kappa \sim \Gamma^{1/2}$ , so the above asymptotics describes the change from the diffusion-dominated intermediate behavior of the density of resonance widths  $\rho^{(M)}(\Gamma) \sim \Gamma^{-3/2}$  to the faster universal asymptotic decay  $\rho^{(M)}(\Gamma) \sim \Gamma^{-2}$ , as described in the main text.

#### D. Single-channel perfectly coupled wire of finite length in the metallic regime

Consider a finite piece of metal of length  $L \ll \xi$  and further assume  $\Gamma \gg \Delta$ . In this (perturbative) regime it is well-known that the sigma-model manifold can be treated as essentially “flat”, and the function  $\Psi(\lambda, \lambda_1)$  will be






FIG. 2. Resonance density  $\rho^{(M)}(y)$  in units of  $(2\Delta/\pi\Delta_\xi)^2$  vs.  $\kappa$  for  $M = 100$  perfectly open channels. Blue: exact expression obtained from (S35). Red: behavior (S42) for  $\kappa \gg 1$ ; one can clearly see the intermediate behavior  $1/2\kappa^3$  for  $1 \ll \kappa \ll M$ . Black dashed: asymptotics  $1/2\kappa^2$  in the localized regime.

dominated by vicinity of the origin  $\lambda_1 = \lambda = 1$ . In this situation one can rewrite the transfer-matrix Hamiltonian (S18) in the angular variables  $\lambda_1 = \cosh x$  and  $\lambda = \cos y$  by expanding in small  $x$  and  $y$ . In this way one gets:

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{2}{x^2 + y^2} \left( x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\Gamma}{4\Delta_\xi} (x^2 + y^2). \quad (\text{S43})$$

Now we seek for the solution of the Schrödinger equation (S17) in the form

$$\Psi(x, y, t) = \exp[-C(t)(x^2 + y^2)/2]. \quad (\text{S44})$$

Using the flat Hamiltonian (S43) we see that  $2H\Psi = [\Gamma/2\Delta_\xi - C^2](x^2 + y^2)\Psi$  and therefore this Ansatz satisfies the equation provided the function  $C(t)$  obeys

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial C}{\partial t} = \Gamma/2\Delta_\xi - C^2 = \kappa^2/16 - C^2, \quad (\text{S45})$$

where  $\kappa$  is defined in (S21).

Solving with the initial condition  $\Psi = 1$  at  $t = 0$  we find

$$C(t) = \frac{\kappa}{4} \tanh \frac{\kappa t}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma}{2\Delta_\xi}} \tanh \sqrt{\frac{2\Gamma}{E_{\text{Th}}}}, \quad (\text{S46})$$

where  $E_{\text{Th}} = D/L^2$ . An equivalent expression can be found in Eq. (24) of [11], where it was derived using our mapping to the Coulomb problem [9] (less straightforward derivation). Yet another derivation will be given in the framework of perturbative approximation to the nonlinear sigma-model described in the next section.

#### IV. PERTURBATIVE TREATMENT OF THE NONLINEAR SIGMA-MODEL IN THE GOOD METAL REGIME.

In the good metal regime one can calculate the OPF in the framework the perturbative approximation which we sketch below following the book [13]. In such a regime fluctuations of supermatrices  $Q$  around the supermatrix  $\Lambda = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1) \equiv \text{diag}(\mathbf{1}, -\mathbf{1})$  are expected to be small, and to exploit this smallness one may first parametrizes the sigma-model manifold around the point  $\Lambda$  in terms of supermatrices  $P$  of the form  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ \bar{B} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and then expands in  $P$ , retaining in the leading approximation only two lowest order terms:

$$Q = \Lambda(\mathbf{1} + iP)(\mathbf{1} - iP)^{-1} \approx \Lambda(\mathbf{1} + 2iP - 2P^2 + \dots) \quad (\text{S47})$$

In this approximation the sigma-model action (1) takes the form

$$\mathcal{S}[P] \approx -\pi\nu \int d^d r \text{Str} [D(\nabla P)^2 + 2\eta P^2], \quad (\text{S48})$$

whereas the measure of integration is essentially "flat", i.e.  $d\mu(Q) = dP$ , making the corresponding theory purely Gaussian. The integral defining the OPF via (3) is then straightforwardly evaluated, yielding

$$F_{\mathbf{x}}(Q; \eta) = \int_{P_{\mathbf{x}}=P} \prod_{\mathbf{y} \neq \mathbf{x}} dP_{\mathbf{y}} \exp(-\mathcal{S}[P]) = \exp(c(\mathbf{x}; \eta) \text{Str} P^2) \approx \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}c(\mathbf{x}; \eta) \text{Str} Q_{\mathbf{x}}\Lambda\right) \quad (\text{S49})$$

where we used (S47) to restore  $Q$  in the final formula and introduced  $c(\mathbf{x}; \eta) = 1/\Pi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \eta)$ , with  $\Pi(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}, \eta) = \langle \mathbf{x} | (-D\nabla^2 + 2\eta)^{-1} | \mathbf{x} \rangle / \pi\nu$  being the so-called diffusion propagator. Denoting for brevity  $c(\eta) := c(\mathbf{x}; \eta)$  we therefore get the OPF in the standard parametrization of  $Q$  matrices in terms of the compact and noncompact Cartan coordinates  $(\lambda, \lambda_1)$  as  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \lambda_1; \eta) = e^{-c(\eta)(\lambda_1 - \lambda)}$ . The validity of this perturbative expression for the OPF is restricted by the condition  $c(\eta) \gg 1$ .

### A. Resonance density in the good metal regime.

Identifying  $\eta \rightarrow \Gamma$  and substituting the perturbative OPF into the main formula (4) we can analyse the ensuing probability density of properly scaled resonance widths  $y = 2\pi\Gamma/\Delta$  in terms of the inverse diffusion propagator  $c(y)$ . We will consider separately the single open channel case  $M = 1$  and the case of many channels  $M \gg 1$ .

#### Single open channel $M = 1$

In this case the perturbative expression for the resonance density can be evaluated as

$$\rho^{(1)}(y) \approx \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 (g - \lambda) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \frac{e^{c(y)(g-\lambda)}}{(g-\lambda)^2} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{c'(y)}{c(y)} \left( e^{-(g-1)c(y)} - e^{-(g-1)c(y)} \right) \right] \quad (\text{S50})$$

$$\approx -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left[ \frac{c'(y)}{c(y)} e^{-(g-1)c(y)} \right] \quad (\text{S51})$$

where we exploited  $c(y) \gg 1$ . Especially simple expression arises for the perfect coupling case:

$$\rho^{(1)}(y) \approx -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \ln c(y) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \ln \Pi(0, 0, y) \quad (\text{S52})$$

where we assumed for simplicity that the waveguide is coupled to the corner of disordered sample, which we choose as the origin.

#### Many open channels $M \gg 1$

In this case it is convenient first to rewrite the main formula (4) in an equivalent form via a contour integral over complex variable  $\zeta$  replacing the non-compact real variable  $\lambda_1$ :

$$\rho^{(M)}(y) = \frac{(-1)^{M-1}}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \int_{-1}^1 (g - \lambda)^M d\lambda \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \zeta; y\Delta/2\pi)}{(\zeta - g)^M (\zeta - \lambda)^2} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i}, \quad (\text{S53})$$

where the contour  $\mathcal{C}$  encircles the point  $\zeta = g$  on the real axis. Substituting here the perturbative OPF  $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \zeta; \eta) = e^{-c(y)(\zeta - \lambda)}$  one can further bring (S53) to the form

$$\rho^{(M)}(y) = \frac{(-1)^M}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} [c'(y) P_M(y)], \quad P_M(y) = \int_{-1}^1 (g - \lambda)^M d\lambda \oint_{\mathcal{C}} \frac{e^{-c(y)(\zeta - \lambda)}}{(\zeta - g)^M (\zeta - \lambda)} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i}, \quad (\text{S54})$$

The latter relation immediately implies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} P_M(y) = -c'(y) J_1(c(y)) J_2(c(y)), \quad J_1(c) = \int_{-1}^1 (g - \lambda)^M e^{c\lambda} d\lambda, \quad J_2(c) = \oint_c \frac{e^{-c\zeta}}{(g - \zeta)^M} \frac{d\zeta}{2\pi i} \quad (\text{S55})$$

In the limit  $M \gg 1$  the integrals  $J_1(c)$  and  $J_2(c)$  are dominated by the saddle-points at  $\lambda = \xi = g - \frac{M}{c}$  and simple calculation yields the corresponding leading order the contribution:

$$J_1(c) J_2(c) \approx (-1)^M \frac{M}{c^2}, \quad \text{if } \frac{M}{g+1} < c < \frac{M}{g-1} \quad (\text{S56})$$

and exponentially small otherwise. As  $c(y) \rightarrow \infty$  for  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , the above allows to restore  $P_{M \gg 1}(y)$  in the form

$$P_{M \gg 1}(y) \approx -(-1)^M M \int_y^\infty \frac{c'(\tilde{y})}{c^2(\tilde{y})} d\tilde{y} = (-1)^M \frac{M}{c(y)} \quad (\text{S57})$$

implying for the resonance density for  $M \gg 1$  the following expression:

$$\rho^{(1)}(y) \approx \frac{M}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \ln \Pi(0, 0, y), \quad \frac{M}{g+1} < \frac{1}{\Pi(0, 0, y)} < \frac{M}{g-1} \quad (\text{S58})$$

We thus see that in the good metal case the density in the case of perfect coupling  $g = 1$  for  $M = 1$  and  $M \gg 1$  are described essentially by the same expression in terms of the diffusion propagator. We therefore need to understand the functional dependence  $c(y)$  in different spatial dimensions.

## B. Diffusion propagators for different dimensions

In our explicit calculations we for simplicity consider an isolated sample of random medium in the form of a hypercube of size  $L$  with the waveguide coupled to its corner. The diffusion propagator, proportional to the Green's function of the diffusion equation, can be found in a given spatial dimension e.g. by finding all eigenfunctions of the classical diffusion equation with Neumann boundary conditions.

$$d=1$$

First we consider the simplest case  $d = 1$  where the complete orthonormal set of eigenfunctions  $y_n(x), x \in [0, L]$  solving  $(-D \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 2\eta) y_n(x) = \lambda_n y_n(x)$  with the Neumann conditions  $y'_n(0) = y'_n(L) = 0$  is given by

$$y_n(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2}{L}} \cos\left(\frac{\pi n x}{L}\right), & n = 1, 2, \dots \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{L}}, & n = 0 \end{cases}, \quad \lambda_n = D \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + 2\eta. \quad (\text{S59})$$

The corresponding Green's function solving  $(-D \frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 2\eta) G(x, x'; \eta) = \delta(x - x')$  is then readily given by

$$G(x, x'; \eta) = \frac{1}{2\eta L} + \frac{2}{L} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pi n x}{L}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi n x'}{L}\right)}{D \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + 2\eta} \quad (\text{S60})$$

which for the required diffusion propagator immediately gives:

$$\Pi(0, 0; \eta) = \frac{1}{\pi \nu L} \left[ \frac{1}{2\eta} + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{D \frac{\pi^2 n^2}{L^2} + 2\eta} \right] = \frac{1}{\pi \nu} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2\eta D}} \frac{\cosh\left(L \sqrt{2\eta/D}\right)}{\sinh\left(L \sqrt{2\eta/D}\right)}, \quad (\text{S61})$$

where we used the well-known identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2 + b^2} = \frac{\pi \cosh(\pi b)}{2b \sinh(\pi b)} - \frac{1}{2b^2}.$$

Finally, upon using the notations  $g_L = \xi/L$ , with  $\xi = 2\pi\nu D$  and  $E_{Th} = D/L^2$ , the propagator (S61) implies the expression  $c(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}g_L f(2\eta/E_{Th})$  with  $f(z) = \sqrt{z} \tanh \sqrt{z}$  mentioned in the main text. It also naturally coincides with the function  $C(t)$  obtained in (S46) by the transfer-function method. Indeed, these two functions are essentially the same object, with the only difference that  $c(\eta)$  emphasizes the dependence on absorption (imaginary frequency)  $\eta$  (eventually replaced with the resonance width  $\Gamma$ ) whereas  $C(t)$  emphasizes the dependence on the length of the sample  $t$  measured in units of the localization length.

$$d \geq 2$$

To find the diffusion propagator in cubic sample of any dimension, one starts by representing it into the sum over discrete Fourier modes as

$$\Pi_d(0, 0, \eta) \approx \frac{1}{2\pi\nu\eta L^d} + \frac{1}{\pi\nu L^d} \sum_{q_1, \dots, q_d} \frac{1}{D(q_1^2 + \dots + q_d^2) + 2\eta} \quad (\text{S62})$$

where we explicitly separated the zero mode contribution, so that in a cube of linear size  $L$  the summation goes over positive integers  $n_i = 1, 2, \dots, n_{max}$  which parametrize mode vectors  $q_i = \frac{\pi n_i}{L}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, d$ . The cutoff parameter  $n_{max}$  should be chosen to ensure  $max(q_i) \sim \pi/l$ , with  $l$  being the mean free path due to underlying disordered potential, in order to stay inside the range of validity of the diffusion approximation. The good metal perturbation theory is controlled by the two large parameters: dimensionless conductance  $g_L = 2\pi\nu D L^{d-2} = 2\pi E_{Th}/\Delta \gg 1$  and the large effective absorption/resonance widths  $y = \frac{2\pi\eta}{\Delta} \gg 1$ . We also will consider samples of the linear length  $L$  much exceeding the mean free path  $l$ , which implies  $E_c \ll \tau^{-1}$ , with  $\tau = l^2/D$  being the mean-free time. Now passing to continuum limit by replacing the summation by integration, and using spherical coordinates in the Fourier space implies

$$\Pi_d(0, 0, \eta) \approx \frac{1}{\pi\nu} \left[ \frac{1}{2\eta L^d} + \frac{1}{\pi^{d/2} 2^{d-1} \Gamma(d/2)} \int_{|q|=\frac{\pi}{L}}^{|q|=\frac{\pi}{l}} \frac{|q|^{d-1} dq}{D|q|^2 + 2\eta} \right] \quad (\text{S63})$$

In particular, for the most interesting cases  $d = 2$  and  $d = 3$  one can evaluate the integral explicitly. In particular,

$$\Pi_{d=2}(0, 0, y) \approx \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{2\pi g_L} \ln \left( \frac{y + \pi a}{y + \pi b} \right), \quad a = \frac{\pi^2}{\Delta\tau}, \quad b = \frac{\pi^2 E_{Th}}{\Delta} = \pi g_L/2 \quad (\text{S64})$$

so that  $b/a = E_{Th}\tau \ll 1$ . Note that in the parametrically big range  $1 \ll y \ll g_L$  the expression (S64) takes the form

$$\Pi_{d=2}(0, 0, y) \approx \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{C_2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{\pi g_L}{\ln(\frac{L}{l})} \quad (\text{S65})$$

Similarly, for  $d = 3$  we have:

$$\Pi_{d=3}(0, 0, y) \approx \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{\pi} \left( \frac{1}{g_l} - \frac{1}{g_L} \right) + \frac{(2y)^{1/2}}{\pi^2 g_L^{3/2}} \left[ \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{g_l}{g_L} \sqrt{\frac{2y}{g_L}} \right) - \arctan \left( \frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2y}{g_L}} \right) \right], \quad (\text{S66})$$

where  $g_L = 2\pi\nu D L \gg g_l = 2\pi\nu D l$ . Hence in the range  $1 \ll y \ll g_L$  we have

$$\Pi_{d=3}(0, 0, y) \approx \frac{1}{y} + \frac{1}{C_3}, \quad C_3 = \pi g_l \quad (\text{S67})$$

Substituting (S67) into (S58) yields the resonance density provided by the formula (8) of the main text.

1. J. J. M. Verbaarschot, H.A. Weidenmüller and M.R. Zirnbauer. Grassmann integration in stochastic quantum physics: the case of compound-nucleus scattering. *Phys. Rep.*, **129**, 367–438 (1985)
2. Y. V. Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers. Statistics of resonance poles, phase shifts and time delays in quantum chaotic scattering: Random matrix approach for systems with broken time-reversal invariance. *J. Math. Phys.* **38**, Issue 4, 1918–1981 (1997)
3. L. Chen, S.M. Anlage, Y.V. Fyodorov. Generalization of Wigner time delay to subunitary scattering systems *Phys. Rev. E* **103** (5), L050203 (2021)
4. E.P. Wigner. Lower limit for the energy derivative of the scattering phase shift. *Phys. Rev.* **98** (1) 145–147 (1955)
5. L. Chen, S.M. Anlage, Y.V. Fyodorov. Statistics of Complex Wigner Time Delays as a counter of S-matrix poles: Theory and Experiment. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127** (20), 204101 (2021)
6. A.D. Mirlin, Y.V. Fyodorov. Statistical properties of one-point Green functions in disordered systems and critical behavior near the Anderson transition. *J. de Phys. I* **4** (5), 655–673 (1994)
7. D.V. Savin, H.J. Sommers, Y.V. Fyodorov. Universal statistics of the local Green's function in wave chaotic systems with absorption *JETP Letters* **82** (8), 544–548 (2005)
8. Y.V. Fyodorov, unpublished.
9. M. A. Skvortsov and P. M. Ostrovsky. Local correlations of different eigenfunctions in a disordered wire. *Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **85**, 79 (2007) [*JETP Lett.* **85**, 72 (2007)].
10. D. A. Ivanov, P. M. Ostrovsky and M. A. Skvortsov. Correlations of the local density of states in quasi-one-dimensional wires. *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 205108 (2009)
11. T. Micklitz. Spectral correlations in finite-size Anderson insulators. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 094201 (2016).
12. see e.g. Digital Library of Mathematical Functions <http://dlmf.nist.gov/10.41.E3>
13. K.B. Efetov. *Supersymmetry in disorder and chaos*. (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997)