

Transformer variational wave functions for frustrated quantum spin systems

Luciano Loris Viteritti,^{1,*} Riccardo Rende,^{2,*} and Federico Becca¹

¹*Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trieste, Strada Costiera 11, I-34151 Trieste, Italy*

²*International School for Advanced Studies (SISSA), Via Bonomea 265, I-34136 Trieste, Italy*

(Dated: November 11, 2022)

The *Transformer architecture* has become the state-of-art model for natural language processing tasks, avoiding recurrent and convolutional structures. Its key feature is the ability to describe long-range correlations among the elements of the input sequences. This approach has also been adapted to computer vision tasks, thus defining the *Vision Transformer* (ViT) architecture, obtaining competitive results compared to state-of-art deep Convolutional-Neural Networks. Motivated by these achievements, we propose an adaptation of the ViT architecture with complex parameters to define a new class of variational neural-network states for quantum many-body systems, the *ViT wave function*. We apply this idea to the one-dimensional J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model, demonstrating that a relatively simple parametrization gets excellent results for both gapped and gapless phases. In particular, the ViT state accurately describes exponentially decaying spin-spin correlations and the emergence of dimer order in the former case, as well as long-range spin-spin correlations within the latter one. The success of the ViT wave function relies on mixing both local and global operations, thus enabling the study of large systems with high accuracy.

Introduction. Variational approaches for studying quantum many-body systems have proved fundamental for understanding the properties of extremely complicated physical systems, famous examples being the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer state [1] and Laughlin [2] wave functions to explain superconductivity and fractional quantum Hall effect, respectively. Given the exponential growth of the many-body Hilbert space, a compact representation of the ground state, encoding the correct physical properties, is a highly non-trivial task for strongly-interacting systems. Recently, a class of wave functions, based on neural networks, has been introduced and developed [3, 4]. Starting from Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs) [3], which are the simplest neural-network *Ansatz* (namely only one fully-connected hidden layer), numerous studies have been carried out testing different types of architectures; examples include Convolutional-Neural Networks (CNNs) [5–8], Recurrent-Neural Networks (RNNs) [9, 10], Autoregressive-Neural Networks [11, 12], but also combinations of neural networks with standard variational wave functions (e.g., Gutzwiller-projected fermionic ones) [13, 14].

In the last few years, the Transformer architecture [15] has become the state-of-art choice in natural-language processing tasks. Its key feature is the ability to model relationships among all elements of an input sequence (regardless of their positions), by efficiently *transforming* input sequences into abstract representations. Inspired by successes in natural-language processing, very small modifications led to the so-called Vision Transformer (ViT) [16], which has been applied to image classification tasks, achieving competitive results with respect to state-of-art deep CNNs, while being much more efficient

than them. Within many-body problems, Transformer networks have recently been employed to perform quantum tomography for reconstructing quantum states in presence of noise [17].

In this Letter, we demonstrate that the ViT architecture can be adapted to define a new class of neural-network quantum states, here dubbed as ViT wave functions. We apply our *Ansatz* to the one-dimensional J_1 - J_2 Heisenberg model, which represents a non-trivial example where the competition between nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor interactions stabilizes an entangled ground state. The Hamiltonian is defined by

$$\hat{H} = J_1 \sum_R \hat{S}_R \cdot \hat{S}_{R+1} + J_2 \sum_R \hat{S}_R \cdot \hat{S}_{R+2} \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{S}_R = (S_R^x, S_R^y, S_R^z)$ is the $S = 1/2$ spin operator at site R and $J_1 > 0$ and $J_2 \geq 0$ are nearest- and next-nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic couplings, respectively. In the thermodynamic limit, its phase diagram is well established by analytical and numerical studies [18]. For small values of J_2/J_1 , the ground state has power-law spin-spin correlations and the excitation spectrum is gapless, even though no symmetry breaking is present; for large values of J_2/J_1 , the ground state is two-fold degenerate, leading to long-range dimer order (but exponentially decaying spin-spin correlations), and the spectrum is fully gapped. These two phases are separated by a critical point at $(J_2/J_1)_c = 0.241167 \pm 0.000005$ [19, 20]. Interestingly, for $J_2/J_1 > 0.5$, incommensurate (but short-range) spin-spin correlations have been found, whereas dimer–dimer correlations are always commensurate. In the following, we assess the ground-state properties of the J_1 - J_2 model on finite clusters, imposing periodic boundary conditions ($\hat{S}_{L+1} = \hat{S}_1$).

From the numerical perspective, density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) [21] or its modern variations based upon tensor networks *Ansätze* [22] represent one of

* These authors contributed equally.

the few approaches that can accurately assess the ground-state properties of frustrated systems in one dimension, as the J_1 - J_2 model of Eq. (1). In fact, the main limitation to the use of quantum Monte Carlo techniques [23] relies on the unknown sign structure of the ground-state wave function, which prevents one to perform unbiased projection techniques (except for $J_2 = 0$, where the so-called Marshall sign rule applies [24]). The non-trivial sign structure represents also an obstacle to the definition of accurate variational wave functions. For example, Gutzwiller-projected fermionic states [25] have a limited power to reproduce the correct signs of the ground state for $J_2/J_1 > 0.5$ [26]. By contrast, RBM states are able to reach an excellent accuracy; however, they suffer from poor scaling behavior, due to their *fully-connected* structure in which a single hidden layer is connected to all physical degrees of freedom [26]. This fact limits the applicability of RBMs to relatively small clusters. In this respect, CNN wave functions have been introduced to deal with *local* structures; nevertheless, relatively deep architectures are necessary to build long-range correlations, thus introducing severe problems in the optimization procedure. RNNs *Ansätze* have been also considered, which recurrently process inputs of a sequence one by one, implying that they are not parallelizable; in addition, it is known that learning long-term dependencies is hard [27].

In order to overcome these problems, we propose a simplified version of the standard ViT architecture. The main advantage of this *Ansatz* lies in the possibility to mix both local and global structures, thus limiting the number of variational parameters and simplifying the learning process (see below). We emphasize that a complex parametrization is adopted without an *a priori* encoding of the sign structure (i.e., no information about the exact signs). In this work, we show that the ViT wave function can reach very high accurate results compared to DMRG calculations, even on large clusters, with less than one thousand parameters and few computational resources compared to other neural-network wave functions. Most importantly, the ViT accuracy can be systematically improved by changing the hyper-parameters of the architecture. Its flexibility is demonstrated by the possibility to capture both gapless and gapped states (with power-law or exponentially decaying spin-spin correlations), also reconstructing the presence of dimer order within the gapped phase.

Methods. The fundamental ingredient of a Transformer is the *self-attention mechanism*. Given a sequence of N input vectors $(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)$, for each of them three new vectors are computed, $\mathbf{q}_i = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{x}_i$, $\mathbf{k}_i = \mathbf{K}\mathbf{x}_i$, and $\mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{x}_i$, where \mathbf{Q} , \mathbf{K} , \mathbf{V} are generic rectangular matrices of parameters. The attention vectors are then constructed, $\mathbf{A}_i = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{k}_j) \mathbf{v}_j$, where the attention weights $\alpha(\mathbf{q}_i, \mathbf{k}_j)$ determine how much the j -th input vector should contribute to \mathbf{A}_i , which is the subsequent representation of the i -th input. The functional form of these weights can be chosen according to the task [29]. To im-




FIG. 1. Scheme of the ViT wave function. The input spin configuration σ is split into patches of size b (which define a set of N vectors of dimension b). Each of them is linearly projected h times with different linear projections to produce N vectors of dimensions $r = d/h$. Then the attention function is applied in parallel and the h different r dimensional output vectors \mathbf{A}_i^μ are obtained. Then, they are concatenated to a d dimensional vector $\text{Concat}(\mathbf{A}_i^1, \dots, \mathbf{A}_i^h)$ and, after another linear projection, the non-linear function $\log[\cosh(\cdot)]$ is applied. This architecture can be replicated and stacked n_l times. The last layer simply sums all the outputs and returns the logarithm of the ViT wave function.

prove the performance of the model, Multi-Head Attention can be considered, where a set of matrices \mathbf{Q}^μ , \mathbf{K}^μ , and \mathbf{V}^μ , with $\mu = 1, \dots, h$ (with h the number of heads) is defined, thus leading to a set of attention vectors \mathbf{A}_i^μ . The latter ones are computed in parallel, concatenated together, and linearly combined. Finally, each output vector of the Multi-Head Attention is fed separately and identically to a non linearity. In general, this whole architecture is replicated n_l times. Unlike RNNs and CNNs, the Transformer does not model explicitly the information about positions of the inputs in the sequence. The common solution is to add to the inputs *positional encoding vectors*, which are deterministic functions of position only [15].

Our goal is to use the Transformer to parameterize the many-body wave function, in order to map spin configurations of the Hilbert space $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_L)$, with $\sigma_R = 2S_R^z = \pm 1$, to complex numbers $\Psi(\sigma)$. We take inspiration from the ViT [16] introduced for computer vision tasks, where the images are split into patches and these are taken as the input sequence to a Trans-




FIG. 2. Relative error $\Delta\varepsilon = |(E_{\text{ViT}} - E_{\text{DMRG}})/E_{\text{DMRG}}|$ of the ViT wave function by varying the hyper-parameters of the architecture for a cluster with $L = 100$ sites. Upper panel: $\Delta\varepsilon$ as a function of d/h , with a fixed number of heads h , for the unfrustrated case. Lower panel: $\Delta\varepsilon$ as a function of the number of heads h , with $d/h = 1$, for different values of frustration ratio. The reference energies are computed by DMRG [28] with a bond dimension up to $\chi = 600$ obtaining $E/J_1 = -0.4432295$ for $J_2/J_1 = 0$, $E/J_1 = -0.3803882$ for $J_2/J_1 = 0.4$, and $E/J_1 = -0.4216664$ for $J_2/J_1 = 0.8$.

former. In the same way, starting from a spin configuration $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_L)$, we split it into N patches of b elements: $\mathbf{x}_i = (\sigma_{(i-1)b+1}, \dots, \sigma_{(i-1)b+b})$, for $i = 1, \dots, N$ (the total number of sites must be a multiple of b). The sequence of these patches is then used to compute the attention vectors. Then, a simplification of the original ViT is considered, taking the attention weights only depending on positions i and j , but not on the actual values of the spins in these patches, thus leading to:

$$\mathbf{A}_i^\mu = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_{ij}^\mu V^\mu \mathbf{x}_j, \quad (2)$$

where V^μ is a $r \times b$ matrix with $r = d/h$, and d is the so-called *embedding dimension* that must be a multiple of the number of heads h . This approach is dictated by the fact that the attention weights should mainly depend on the relative positions among groups of spins and not on the actual values of the spins in the patches. This is expected to be true when the patches are far apart and is extended for generic positions i and j . Finally, after the concatenation of the heads, a further linear projection is taken, before the non linearity, here chosen as $\log[\cosh(\cdot)]$. This block can be repeated n_l times before applying the output layer in which all the values are summed to obtain the logarithm of the ViT wave function $\Psi_{\text{ViT}}(\sigma)$ (see Fig. 1).

In order to study frustrated quantum spin models with a non-positive ground state (in the computational basis), we choose all the parameters to be *complex numbers*. Furthermore, a translationally-invariant wave function can be easily defined, even for $b > 1$ that explicitly breaks translational symmetry, adapting the *relative positional encoding* [30] to periodic systems. Thereby, the num-




FIG. 3. The isotropic spin-spin correlations in real space $C(r)$ as computed by the ViT wave function (full dots) for the unfrustrated Heisenberg model ($J_2/J_1 = 0$) on a cluster with $L = 100$ sites. The DMRG results are also shown for comparison (empty circles). Inset: Log-log plot of the same correlation function.

ber of variational parameters for computing the attention vectors (2), i.e., the $\alpha_{i,j}^\mu$ matrices, is reduced from $O(L^2)$ to $O(L)$. In practice, we can easily define a translationally invariant state with momentum $k = 0$:

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{\text{ViT}}(\sigma) = \sum_{r=0}^{b-1} \Psi_{\text{ViT}}(\mathbf{T}_r \sigma), \quad (3)$$

where \mathbf{T}_r is the translation operator.

The optimization process of all the complex parameters is obtained by using standard variational Monte Carlo techniques, namely the so-called Stochastic Reconfiguration approach [23]. In addition, given the $\text{SU}(2)$ spin symmetry of the J_1 - J_2 model (1), the sampling procedure can be limited to the $S^z = \sum_R S_R^z = 0$ sector. In the following, we take $n_l = 1$, which represents the simplest possible adaptation of the Transformer architecture to define a variational wave function, and we fix the patch size $b = 4$.

Results. We first discuss how the accuracy of the ViT wave function can be systematically improved by varying its two hyper-parameters: the number of heads h and the ratio $r = d/h$. We consider a cluster with $L = 100$ sites and three different values of the frustration ratio: $J_2/J_1 = 0$ (unfrustrated, gapless), 0.4 (weakly-frustrated, gapped), and 0.8 (strongly-frustrated, gapped); the numerically reference energy is computed by using the standard DMRG approach (imposing periodic-boundary conditions on the Hamiltonian [28]). In Fig. 2, we show the accuracy of the ground-state energy for the unfrustrated case as a function of d/h fixing the number of heads h , and for the three values of J_2/J_1 when increasing the number of heads h , at fixed ratio d/h . Even though there is a general difficulty in reconstructing the exact sign structure in highly-frustrated regimes [26, 31–34], we obtain an excellent approximation of the correct energy for all the values of J_2/J_1 that have been considered, e.g., an accuracy $\Delta\varepsilon \lesssim 0.1\%$ for $J_2/J_1 = 0.8$ and $\Delta\varepsilon \approx 0.01\%$ for $J_2/J_1 = 0.4$.




FIG. 4. The spin-spin structure factor $S(k)$ as computed by the ViT wave function (full dots) for $J_2/J_1 = 0$ (upper panel), $J_2/J_1 = 0.4$ (middle panel) and $J_2/J_1 = 0.8$ (lower panel) on a cluster with $L = 100$ sites. The DMRG results are also shown for comparison (empty circles).

Let us now move to the analysis of the correlation functions. Indeed, a good accuracy in the ground-state energy does not imply having the correct long-range behavior of correlations (e.g., optimizing the energy only requires correctly describing short-range spin-spin correlations). From the previous results, we choose $h = 8$ and $d/h = 1$ as a good compromise between accuracy and complexity, for which the network can be trained on $L = 100$ sites in a few hours on ten CPUs. The spin-spin correlations are defined as

$$C^{\nu\nu}(r) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{R=0}^{L-1} \langle \hat{S}_R^\nu \hat{S}_{R+r}^\nu \rangle, \quad (4)$$

where $\nu = x, y, \text{ or } z$ and $\langle \dots \rangle$ represents the expectation value over the variational quantum state. In particular, we focus on isotropic spin-spin correlations $C(r) = [C^{zz}(r) + C^{xx}(r) + C^{yy}(r)]/3$ and the corresponding structure factor in Fourier space $S(k) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{r=0}^{L-1} e^{ikr} C(r)$. In Fig. 3, we show the results of the real-space correlations $C(r)$ for the unfrustrated Heisenberg model ($J_2/J_1 = 0$) on a cluster with $L = 100$ sites, comparing them to the DMRG outcomes (with periodic-boundary conditions). Remarkably, the ViT *Ansatz* is able to match the DMRG calculations at all distances, demonstrating that the global structure of the Multi-Head Attention layer is able to build the algebraic long-range tail.

The high flexibility of the ViT state is also demonstrated by considering the three different regimes, with commensurate or incommensurate correlations, see Fig. 4. Here, a quasi-ordered gapless phase with a logarithmic divergence at $k = \pi$ in $S(k)$ is achieved for $J_2/J_1 = 0$; a gapped phase with commensurate corre-




FIG. 5. Dimer-dimer correlations as computed by the ViT wave function (full circles) for $J_2/J_1 = 0$ (upper panel), $J_2/J_1 = 0.4$ (middle panel) and $J_2/J_1 = 0.8$ (lower panel) on a cluster with $L = 100$ sites. The DMRG results are also shown for comparison (empty circles).

lations and a peak at $k = \pi$ is obtained for $J_2/J_1 = 0.4$; most notably, a gapped phase with incommensurate spin-spin correlations with a peak between $k = \pi$ and $k = \pi/2$ is correctly reproduced for $J_2/J_1 = 0.8$.

The gapped phase is characterized by a finite dimer order (implied by the two-fold degeneracy of the ground state, in the thermodynamic limit). On any finite system, there is an exponentially small gap between the two states, with $k = 0$ and $k = \pi$, and the resurgence can be detected from the connected dimer-dimer correlations:

$$D(r) = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{R=0}^{L-1} \langle \hat{S}_R^z \hat{S}_{R+1}^z S_{R+r}^z \hat{S}_{R+r+1}^z \rangle - [C^{zz}(r=1)]^2, \quad (5)$$

where $C^{zz}(r=1)$ is the z component of the spin-spin correlation function at distance $r = 1$ defined in eq. (4). Notice that this definition considers only the z component of the spin operators, which is sufficient to detect dimer order [35]. In Fig. 5, we show the results for the three values of J_2/J_1 considered in this work. Again, the agreement with DMRG calculations is excellent in all cases, and the ViT state is able to perfectly reproduce the presence of dimer order. For the unfrustrated model, dimer-dimer correlations have a power-law decay with the distance r , while in the other two cases $|D(r)|$ tends to a constant. An oscillating common behavior, with $(-1)^r$, exist for all values of J_2/J_1 , independently on the possible incommensurate behavior of the spin-spin correlations.

Conclusions. We have introduced a promising class of variational wave functions, which are based upon Transformer neural-network architectures (in particular, Vision Transformers). Their main advantages, with respect to previously defined *Ansätze*, is the mixing of *local* and

global structures, which makes them very flexible to describe a variety of different quantum phases, with both gapped and gapless spectra. Remarkably, even working with a relatively simple architecture, with $n_l = 1$, excellent results are obtained for a frustrated spin model in one spatial dimension. The present calculations demonstrate that ViT states can accurately capture the ground-state properties of frustrated quantum spin models. A limited number of parameters is sufficient to get results comparable to the ones obtained within DMRG, which, on large systems with periodic boundary conditions, re-

quires many states (or large bond dimensions, in modern language) to be accurate. Generalizations to two-dimensional models, where ground-state properties are still under debate, are desirable and represent the topic for future investigations.

Acknowledgments. We thank A. Laio and S. Goldt for having drawn our attention to Transformers and E. Tirrito for useful discussions about DMRG implementations, which have been performed within the iTensor library [36]. The variational quantum Monte Carlo and the ViT architecture were implemented in JAX [37].

-
- [1] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper, and J. R. Schrieffer, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [2] R. B. Laughlin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50**, 1395 (1983).
- [3] G. Carleo and M. Troyer, *Science* **355**, 602 (2017).
- [4] I. Glasser, N. Pancotti, M. August, I. Rodriguez, and J. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. X* **8**, 011006 (2018).
- [5] K. Choo, T. Neupert, and G. Carleo, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 125124 (2019).
- [6] X. Liang, W.-Y. Liu, P.-Z. Lin, G.-C. Guo, Y.-S. Zhang, and L. He, *Phys. Rev. B* **98**, 104426 (2018).
- [7] A. Chen, K. Choo, N. Astrakhantsev, and T. Neupert, *Phys. Rev. Research* **4**, L022026 (2022).
- [8] C. Roth and A. MacDonald, “Group convolutional neural networks improve quantum state accuracy,” (2021).
- [9] M. Hibat-Allah, M. Ganahl, L. Hayward, R. Melko, and J. Carrasquilla, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 023358 (2020).
- [10] M. Hibat-Allah, R. Melko, and J. Carrasquilla, “Supplementing recurrent neural network wave functions with symmetry and annealing to improve accuracy,” (2022).
- [11] D. Luo, Z. Chen, K. Hu, Z. Zhao, V. Hur, and B. Clark, “Gauge invariant autoregressive neural networks for quantum lattice models,” (2021).
- [12] O. Sharir, Y. Levine, N. Wies, G. Carleo, and A. Shashua, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 020503 (2020).
- [13] Y. Nomura, A. Darmawan, Y. Yamaji, and M. Imada, *Phys. Rev. B* **96**, 205152 (2017).
- [14] F. Ferrari, F. Becca, and J. Carrasquilla, *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 125131 (2019).
- [15] A. Vaswani, N. Shazeer, N. Parmar, J. Uszkoreit, L. Jones, A. Gomez, L. Kaiser, and I. Polosukhin, “Attention is all you need,” (2017).
- [16] A. Dosovitskiy, L. Beyer, A. Kolesnikov, D. Weissenborn, X. Zhai, T. Unterthiner, M. Dehghani, M. Minderer, G. Heigold, S. Gelly, J. Uszkoreit, and N. Houlsby, “An image is worth 16x16 words: Transformers for image recognition at scale,” (2021).
- [17] P. Cha, P. Ginsparg, F. Wu, J. Carrasquilla, P. McMahon, and E.-A. Kim, *Machine Learning: Science and Technology* **3**, 01LT01 (2021).
- [18] S. White and I. Affleck, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, 9862 (1996).
- [19] S. Eggert, *Phys. Rev. B* **54**, R9612 (1996).
- [20] A. Sandvik, *AIP Conference Proceedings* **1297**, 135 (2010).
- [21] S. White, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 2863 (1992).
- [22] U. Schollwöck, *Annals of Physics* **326**, 96 (2011).
- [23] F. Becca and S. Sorella, *Quantum Monte Carlo Approaches for Correlated Systems* (Cambridge University Press, 2017).
- [24] W. Marshall, *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **232**, 48 (1955).
- [25] F. Ferrari, A. Parola, S. Sorella, and F. Becca, *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 235103 (2018).
- [26] L. Viteritti, F. Ferrari, and F. Becca, *SciPost Phys.* **12**, 166 (2022).
- [27] Y. Bengio, P. Simard, and P. Frasconi, *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks* **5**, 157 (1994).
- [28] P. Pippa, S. White, and H. Evertz, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 081103 (2010).
- [29] Y. Tay, M. Dehghani, D. Bahri, and D. Metzler, (2020), 10.48550/arXiv.2009.06732.
- [30] P. Shaw, J. Uszkoreit, and A. Vaswani, “Self-attention with relative position representations,” (2018).
- [31] T. Westerhout, N. Astrakhantsev, K. Tikhonov, M. Katsnelson, and A. Bagrov, *Nature Communications* **11**, 1593 (2020).
- [32] A. Szabó and C. Castelnovo, *Phys. Rev. Research* **2**, 033075 (2020).
- [33] C.-Y. Park and M. Kastoryano, “Expressive power of complex-valued restricted boltzmann machines for solving non-stoquastic hamiltonians,” (2021).
- [34] M. Bukov, M. Schmitt, and M. Dupont, *SciPost Phys.* **10**, 147 (2021).
- [35] L. Capriotti, F. Becca, A. Parola, and S. Sorella, *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 212402 (2003).
- [36] M. Fishman, S. White, and M. Stoudenmire, *SciPost Phys. Codebases*, 4 (2022).
- [37] J. Bradbury, R. Frostig, P. Hawkins, M. Johnson, C. Leary, D. Maclaurin, G. Necula, A. Paszke, J. VanderPlas, S. Wanderman-Milne, and Q. Zhang, “JAX: composable transformations of Python+NumPy programs,” (2018).