

THE GROUP DETERMINANTS FOR $\mathbb{Z}_n \times H$

BISHNU PAUDEL AND CHRISTOPHER PINNER

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{Z}_n denote the cyclic group of order n . We show how the group determinant for $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$ can be simply written in terms of the group determinant for H . We use this to get a complete description of the integer group determinants for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ where D_8 is the dihedral group of order 8, and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ where Q_8 is the quaternion group of order 8.

1. INTRODUCTION

At the meeting of the American Mathematical Society in Hayward, California, in April 1977, Olga Taussky-Todd [13] asked whether one could characterize the values of the group determinant when the entries are all integers. There was particular interest in the case of \mathbb{Z}_n , the cyclic group of order n , where the group determinant corresponds to the $n \times n$ circulant determinant. For a prime p , a complete description was obtained for the cyclic groups \mathbb{Z}_p and \mathbb{Z}_{2p} in [6] and [11], and for D_{2p} and D_{4p} in [1]. Here D_{2n} denotes the dihedral group of order $2n$. In general though this quickly becomes a hard problem, with only partial results known even for \mathbb{Z}_{p^2} once $p \geq 7$ (see [7] and [5]). A complete description has though been obtained for all groups of order less than 16 (see [12] and [8]), and for 6 of the 14 groups of order 16, D_{16} and the five abelian groups \mathbb{Z}_{16} , $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$, \mathbb{Z}_2^4 , \mathbb{Z}_4^2 and $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ (see [1, 16, 17, 15, 18] and [19]). We write $\mathcal{S}(G)$ for the set of integer group determinants for the group G .

Our goal here is to show how the group determinant for a group of the form $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$ can be straightforwardly related to the group determinants for the group H . We use this to give a complete description for two more non-abelian groups of order 16, namely $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ and $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ where Q_8 is the quaternion group.

Here we shall think of the group determinants as being defined on elements of the group ring $\mathbb{C}[G]$

$$\mathcal{D}_G \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) = \det (a_{gh^{-1}}),$$

although our ultimate interest is of course in the integer group determinants $\mathbb{Z}[G]$. We observe the multiplicative property

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{D}_G(xy) = \mathcal{D}_G(x)\mathcal{D}_G(y),$$

Date: December 20, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11C20, 15B36; Secondary: 11C08, 43A40.

Key words and phrases. group determinant, dihedral group.

using that

$$x = \sum_{g \in G} a_g g, \quad y = \sum_{g \in G} b_g g \Rightarrow xy = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\sum_{hk=g} a_h b_k \right) g.$$

2. PRODUCTS WITH \mathbb{Z}_n

We show that when $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$ we can write our integer group G -determinant as a product of n group H -determinants of elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_n][H]$, where $\omega_n := e^{2\pi i/n}$.

Theorem 2.1. *If $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$ then for any a_{ih} in \mathbb{C}*

$$\mathcal{D}_G \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{h \in H} a_{ih}(i, h) \right) = \prod_{y^n=1} \mathcal{D}_H \left(\sum_{h \in H} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ih} y^i \right) h \right).$$

Results of this flavour have been obtained before [14], but here we do not need to assume that H is abelian.

Proof. One way to see this is to use Frobenius' factorisation [3] of the group determinant in terms of the irreducible, non-isomorphic, representations ρ of G (see for example [2] or [4])

$$\mathcal{D}_G \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g g \right) = \prod_{\rho} \det \left(\sum_{g \in G} a_g \rho(g) \right)^{\deg(\rho)}.$$

Observe that every representation ρ for H extends to n representations for G

$$\rho_y(i, h) = y^i \rho(h),$$

where y runs through the n th roots of unity.

More directly we can alternatively follow Newman's proof [6] of the factorization of the group determinant for $G = \mathbb{Z}_n$. Newman observes that the group matrix M for $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n} A_i i$, that is the circulant matrix with first row A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} , takes the form

$$M = A_0 I_n + A_1 P + \dots + A_{n-1} P^{n-1}, \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now P has eigenvalues y , $y^n = 1$, so the matrix M will have the same eigenvectors as P but with eigenvalues

$$(2) \quad A_0 + A_1 y + \dots + A_{n-1} y^{n-1}, \quad y = 1, \omega_n, \omega_n^2, \dots, \omega_n^{n-1}.$$

Hence the matrix of eigenvectors B will yield a diagonal matrix $B^{-1} M B$ with the values (2) down the diagonal.

Now suppose that $H = \{h_1, \dots, h_m\}$ and order the elements so that the first row of the $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$ group matrix \mathcal{M} for $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_n, h \in H} a_{ih}(i, h)$ consists of the

$$a_{0h_1}, \dots, a_{0h_m}, a_{1h_1}, \dots, a_{1h_m}, \dots, a_{(n-1)h_1}, \dots, a_{(n-1)h_m}.$$

Then it is not hard to see that first m rows of our G group matrix \mathcal{M} will consist of $m \times m$ blocks A_0, A_1, \dots, A_{n-1} , where A_i is the group H matrix associated to $\sum_{h \in H} a_{ih} h$, and the subsequent rows the same blocks cyclically permuted.

Hence if we take the $n \times n$ matrix B and replace each entry a_{ij} with the $m \times m$ block $a_{ij}I_m$ we obtain an $nm \times nm$ matrix \mathcal{B} , where $\mathcal{B}^{-1}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{B}$ will now be a block matrix with entries the same linear combinations of the blocks A_i as occurred for the elements in $B^{-1}MB$; that is blocks (2) down the diagonal and zeros elsewhere. The result is then plain. \square

Notice that if we start with an integer G group determinant, we can assemble the n determinants in $\mathbb{Z}[\omega_n]$ into a product of $\tau(n)$ integer H group determinants; namely, one for each $d \mid n$ by combining the primitive d th roots of unity:

$$\prod_{\substack{y=\omega_d^j \\ \gcd(j,d)=1}} \mathcal{D}_H \left(\sum_{h \in H} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ih} y^i \right) h \right) = \mathcal{D}_H \left(\prod_{\substack{y=\omega_d^j \\ \gcd(j,d)=1}} \sum_{h \in H} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{ih} y^i \right) h \right).$$

The resulting coefficients will be symmetric expressions in the conjugates and hence in \mathbb{Z} . Combining all of them we get:

Corollary 2.2. *If $G = \mathbb{Z}_n \times H$ then every integer group G determinant is an integer group H determinant.*

Of course if $G = K \times H$ with K abelian, this says that the integer group G determinants are contained in the set of integer group H determinants; [15, Theorem 1.4] says that they are also contained in the group K determinants.

3. THE GROUP $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$

Notice that when $n = 2$ we can write an integer $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times H$ group determinant as a product of two integer H group determinants:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times H} \left(\sum_{h \in H} a_h(0, h) + \sum_{h \in H} b_h(1, h) \right) = \mathcal{D}_H \left(\sum_{h \in H} (a_h + b_h)h \right) \mathcal{D}_H \left(\sum_{h \in H} (a_h - b_h)h \right).$$

In the case of $H = D_8 = \langle F, R \mid F^2 = 1, R^4 = 1, RF = FR^3 \rangle$ we take the coefficients of the group elements $(0, R^j)$, $(1, R^j)$, $(0, FR^j)$ and $(1, FR^j)$, as the coefficients of x^j in four cubics, f_1, f_2, g_1 and g_2 respectively. The $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ determinant, which we will denote $\mathcal{D}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2)$, is then the product of two D_8 determinants, which from [1] can be written

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{D}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2) = \mathcal{D}(1)\mathcal{D}(-1), \quad \mathcal{D}(z) = m_1(z)m_2(z)\ell(z)^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(z) &= (f_1(1) + zf_2(1))^2 - (g_1(1) + zg_2(1))^2, \\ m_2(z) &= (f_1(-1) + zf_2(-1))^2 - (g_1(-1) + zg_2(-1))^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \ell(z) = |f_1(i) + zf_2(i)|^2 - |g_1(i) + zg_2(i)|^2.$$

We obtain a complete description of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ integer group determinants.

Theorem 3.1. *For $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ the set of odd integer group determinants is*

$$A := \{m(m + 16k) : m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \text{ odd}\}.$$

The even determinants are the $2^{16}m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Notice the set of achieved odd values A consists of all the integers $1 \pmod{16}$ and exactly those integers $9 \pmod{16}$ which contain a prime $p \equiv \pm 3$ or $\pm 5 \pmod{16}$. These are the same as the odd values found in [15] for $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8$. In fact $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_8)$. Sets of this type occur for other 2-groups.

Proposition 3.1. *The odd integer group determinants for $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$ are the*

$$\{m(m + |G|k) : m, k \in \mathbb{Z}, m \text{ odd}\}.$$

The odd integer group determinants for $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ are the $m \equiv 1 \pmod{|G|}$.

Note, for any group the $m \equiv 1 \pmod{|G|}$ are in $\mathcal{S}(G)$, with $1 + k|G|$ obtained by taking $a_g = 1 + k$ for the identity and $a_g = k$ for the others.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Achieving the values. We write $H(x) := (x+1)(x^2+1)$.

We achieve the values in A with $m = \pm 1, \pm 9 \pmod{16}$ from

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + kH, kH, kH, kH) = 1 + 16k.$$

We get those with $\pm m \equiv 5 \pmod{16}$ from $(5 + 16t)(5 + 16k)$ achieved with

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + x + x^2 + (t+k)H, x - x^3 + (t-k)H, 1 + x + (t+k)H, 1 - x^2 + (t-k)H)$$

and those with $\pm m \equiv 3 \pmod{16}$ from $(3 + 16t)(3 + 16k)$ achieved with

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + x + (t+k)H, 1 + x - x^2 - x^3 + (t-k)H, 1 + x - x^3 + (t+k)H, x - x^3 + (t-k)H).$$

We achieve the even values $2^{18}m$ using

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + x + x^2 - mH, 1 - x^2 - x^3 - mH, 1 + x - x^3 + mH, x + mH),$$

the $2^{17}(2m+1)$ with

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + mH, 1 + x + mH, x + mH, 1 + x^2 - x^3 + mH),$$

the $2^{16}(1+4m)$ from

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + mH, 1 + x - x^2 - x^3 + mH, 1 + x - x^2 - x^3 + mH, 1 - x + mH),$$

and the $2^{16}(-1+4m)$ from

$$\mathcal{D}(1 + x + x^2 - mH, 1 + x - x^3 - mH, 1 - x^3 - mH, x - x^2 - mH).$$

The odd values. We show that any odd determinant must lie in A . We know that any $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ determinant must be the product of two D_8 determinants, which we can write

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{D}_1 = m_1 m_2 \ell_1^2, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = m_3 m_4 \ell_2^2$$

with

$$m_1 = f(1)^2 - g(1)^2, \quad m_2 = f(-1)^2 - g(-1)^2, \quad \ell_1 = |f(i)|^2 - |g(i)|^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} m_3 &= (f(1) + 2h(1))^2 - (g(1) + 2k(1))^2, \\ m_4 &= (f(-1) + 2h(-1))^2 - (g(-1) + 2k(-1))^2, \\ \ell_2 &= |f(i) + 2h(i)|^2 - |g(i) + 2k(i)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2$ is odd. Switching f and g and replacing f by $-f$ as necessary, we shall assume that $f(1) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $2 \mid g(1)$. The result will follow once we show that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}_2 \pmod{16}.$$

We write

$$h(x) = \sum_{i=0}^3 a_i(x-1)^i, \quad k(x) = \sum_{i=0}^3 b_i(x-1)^i, \quad f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^3 c_i(x-1)^i, \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=0}^3 d_i(x-1)^i,$$

where $c_0 = 1 \pmod 4$ and $2 \mid d_0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} m_3 - m_1 &= 4f(1)h(1) + 4h(1)^2 - 4k(1)g(1) - 4k(1)^2 \equiv 4a_0 + 4a_0^2 - 4b_0d_0 - 4b_0^2 \pmod{16}, \\ m_4 - m_2 &= 4f(-1)h(-1) + 4h(-1)^2 - 4k(-1)g(-1) - 4k(-1)^2 \\ &\equiv 4(a_0 - 2a_1 - 2a_0c_1) + 4a_0^2 - 4(b_0d_0 - 2b_0d_1) - 4b_0^2 \pmod{16}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \ell_2 - \ell_1 &= (2h(i)\overline{f(i)} + 2\overline{h(i)}f(i) + 4|h(i)|^2) - (2k(i)\overline{g(i)} + 2\overline{k(i)}g(i) + 4|k(i)|^2) \\ &\equiv (4a_0 - 4a_0c_1 - 4a_1 + 4a_0^2) - (-4b_0d_1 + 4b_0^2) \pmod{8} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\ell_2^2 \equiv \ell_1^2 + 8(a_0 - a_0c_1 - a_1 + a_0^2 + b_0d_1 - b_0^2) \pmod{16}.$$

Since $m_1, m_2, \ell_1^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1 - \mathcal{D}_2 &\equiv 4(a_0 + a_0^2 - b_0d_0 - b_0^2) + 4(a_0 - 2a_1 - 2a_0c_1 + a_0^2 - b_0d_0 + 2b_0d_1 - b_0^2) \\ &\quad + 8(a_0 - a_0c_1 - a_1 + a_0^2 + b_0d_1 - b_0^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{16}. \end{aligned}$$

The even values. We know from [1] that the even D_8 determinants are divisible by 2^8 . So any even $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$ determinant $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2$ must be a multiple of 2^{16} , and all these are achieved. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.1. Suppose that H is an abelian 2-group and $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times H$. Then by [10, Theorem 2.3] we can write the G -determinant as a product of two H -determinants $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2$ with $\mathcal{D}_2 \equiv \mathcal{D}_1 \pmod{|G|}$, and

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times H) \subseteq \{m(m + k|G|) : m \in \mathcal{S}(H)\}.$$

For $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_t$, $t = 2^n$, the determinants take the form $\mathcal{D}_1 = \prod_{x^t=1} F(x, 1)$, $\mathcal{D}_2 = \prod_{x^t=1} F(x, -1)$ for some $F(x, y) = f(x) + yh(x)$, the coefficients of x^i in f and h corresponding to the a_g for $g = (0, i)$ and $(1, i)$ respectively. For an odd positive integer m , taking

$$F(x, y) = \prod_{p^\alpha \parallel m} \left(\frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} \right)^\alpha + k(y + 1) \left(\frac{x^t - 1}{x - 1} \right)$$

achieves $m(m + k|G|)$.

For $G = \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ all the odd values must be $1 \pmod{|G|}$ by [9, Lemma 2.1]. For $G_n = \mathbb{Z}_2^n \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ observe when $n = 1$ that $m(m + 8k) \equiv m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod 8$, and in general that if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{|G_{n-1}|}$ then $m(m + |G_n|k) \equiv m^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{|G_n|}$. \square

Interestingly (6) also holds for the non-abelian groups $H = D_8$ and Q_8 .

4. THE GROUP $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$

A $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ determinant will be a product of two Q_8 determinants, which by [12] can be written in a very similar way to (3);

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{D}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2) = \mathcal{D}(1)\mathcal{D}(-1), \quad \mathcal{D}(z) = m_1(z)m_2(z)\ell(z)^2,$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} m_1(z) &= (f_1(1) + zf_2(1))^2 - (g_1(1) + zg_2(1))^2, \\ m_2(z) &= (f_1(-1) + zf_2(-1))^2 - (g_1(-1) + zg_2(-1))^2, \end{aligned}$$

but now

$$\ell(z) = |f_1(i) + zf_2(i)|^2 + |g_1(i) + zg_2(i)|^2.$$

Writing $Q_8 = \langle A, B : A^4 = 1, B^2 = A^2, AB = BA^{-1} \rangle$, the coefficient of x^i in the cubic f_1, f_2, g_1 and g_2 , corresponds to the a_g in the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ group determinant for $g = (0, A^i), (1, A^i), (0, BA^i)$ and $(1, BA^i)$ respectively.

We obtain a complete description of the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ integer group determinants.

Theorem 4.1. *When $G = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ the odd integer group determinants are the integers 1 mod 16, plus the integers 9 mod 16 of the form*

$$s_1 s_2 (\ell_1 \ell_2)^2, \quad s_1, s_2 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}, \quad \ell_1, \ell_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

for some s_1, s_2 in \mathbb{Z} and ℓ_1, ℓ_2 in \mathbb{N} , with

$$(8) \quad s_1 \equiv s_2 \pmod{16} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \pmod{8}$$

or

$$(9) \quad s_1 \equiv s_2 + 8 \pmod{16} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 + 4 \pmod{8}.$$

The even values are the $2^{18}m$, m in \mathbb{Z} , the

$$2^{17}(2m+1)p^2, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \equiv 3 \pmod{4},$$

the $2^{16}m$ with $m \equiv 1, 3$ or $5 \pmod{8}$, and those with $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ of the form

$$(10) \quad 2^{16}(8t-1)\ell^2, \quad t \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \quad \ell \geq 5,$$

or

$$(11) \quad (8t+3)(8k-3)2^{16}, \quad t, k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For the three non-abelian groups of order 16 whose $\mathcal{S}(G)$ is now known we have:

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}(D_{16}) = \{4m+1 : m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cup \{2^{10}m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof. Achieving the odd values. We write $H(x) := (x+1)(x^2+1)$.

We achieve the values 1 mod 16 from

$$\mathcal{D}(1+kH, kH, kH, kH) = 1+16k.$$

To achieve the specified values 9 mod 16 we write the ℓ_i as a sum of 4 squares. Notice that since they are 3 mod 4 we must have 3 of them, A_i, B_i, C_i say, odd and one, D_i , even, with $2 \parallel D_i$ if $\ell \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $4 \mid D_i$ if $\ell \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. Hence with a choice of sign we can write

$$\ell_i = A_i^2 + B_i^2 + C_i^2 + D_i^2, \quad A_i, B_i, C_i \text{ odd}, \quad D_i \text{ even},$$

with

$$A_1 \equiv A_2 \pmod{4}, \quad B_1 \equiv B_2 \pmod{4}, \quad C_1 \equiv C_2 \pmod{4},$$

and

$$D_1 \equiv D_2 \pmod{4} \quad \text{if} \quad \ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \pmod{8},$$

and

$$D_1 \equiv D_2 + 2 \pmod{4} \quad \text{if} \quad \ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 + 4 \pmod{8}.$$

In the case $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \pmod{8}$ we get $(8m-3)(8k-3)(\ell_1\ell_2)^2$, $m \equiv k \pmod{2}$, from

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m+k)H, \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m-k)H, \\ g_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 - 2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 + 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m+k)H, \\ g_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m-k)H. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 + 4 \pmod{8}$ we get $(8m-3)(5-8k)(\ell_1\ell_2)^2$, $m \equiv k \pmod{2}$, from

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2 - 2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 + D_2 + 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 + B_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m+k)H, \\ f_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2 + 2) + \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(D_1 - D_2 - 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(B_1 - B_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m-k)H, \\ g_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 - 2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 - 2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 + C_2 + 2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 + A_2 + 2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m+k)H, \\ g_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2) + \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x - \frac{1}{4}(C_1 - C_2)x^2 - \frac{1}{4}(A_1 - A_2)x^3 + \frac{1}{2}(m-k)H. \end{aligned}$$

Odd values must be of the stated form. We proceed as in the case of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times D_8$, with (5) becoming

$$(12) \quad \mathcal{D}_1 = m_1 m_2 \ell_1^2, \quad \mathcal{D}_2 = m_3 m_4 \ell_2^2,$$

where again

$$\begin{aligned} m_1 &= f(1)^2 - g(1)^2, & m_2 &= f(-1)^2 - g(-1)^2, \\ m_3 &= (f(1) + 2h(1))^2 - (g(1) + 2k(1))^2, \\ m_4 &= (f(-1) + 2h(-1))^2 - (g(-1) + 2k(-1))^2, \end{aligned}$$

but this time

$$\ell_1 = |f(i)|^2 + |g(i)|^2, \quad \ell_2 = |f(i) + 2h(i)|^2 + |g(i) + 2k(i)|^2.$$

This does not change $\ell_1 - \ell_2 \pmod{8}$, so again we must have $\mathcal{D}_1 \equiv \mathcal{D}_2 \pmod{16}$ and $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2$ is 1 or 9 mod 16. The 1 mod 16 are all achievable, so assume $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$. Since all the $m_i \equiv m_1 \pmod{4}$, plainly the $\mathcal{D}_i \equiv m_1^2 \ell_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, so we can assume that $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$. Since the $\ell_i^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we get $m_1 m_2, m_3 m_4 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$. Since m_1 and m_2 are 1 or $-3 \pmod{8}$ we must have one of each, and $2 \parallel g(1)$ and $4 \mid g(-1)$ or vice versa. Hence d_1 must be odd and

$$\ell_1 \equiv (c_0 + c_1)^2 + c_1^2 + (d_0 + d_1)^2 + d_1^2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}.$$

From above we also know that $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \pmod{4}$. That is, $\mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{D}_2 = s_1 s_2 (\ell_1 \ell_2)^2$ with $s_1 \equiv s_2 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Plainly we have $s_1 s_2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ if $s_1 \equiv s_2 \equiv -3$ or $5 \pmod{16}$ and $s_1 s_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ if one is -3 and the other $5 \pmod{16}$, while $\ell_1 \ell_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $(\ell_1 \ell_2)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}$ if $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \equiv 3$ or $7 \pmod{8}$ and

$\ell_1\ell_2 \equiv -3 \pmod{8}$ and $(\ell_1\ell_2)^2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$ if one is 3 and the other 7 mod 8. Hence the restrictions (8) and (9) to get $\mathcal{D}_1\mathcal{D}_2 \equiv 9 \pmod{16}$.

Achieving the even values. We obtain the $2^{18}m$, m odd, from

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1 + x^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)H, & f_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(m-1)H, \\ g_1 &= -(1+x) + \frac{1}{2}(m+1)H, & g_2 &= \frac{1}{2}(m-1)H, \end{aligned}$$

and the $2^{19}m$ from

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1 + x + x^2 - mH, & f_2 &= -x - x^3 - mH, \\ g_1 &= x + x^3 + mH, & g_2 &= -x^3 + mH. \end{aligned}$$

We get the $2^{16}(4m+1)$ from

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + mH, & f_2 &= mH, \\ g_1 &= 1 - x + mH, & g_2 &= mH. \end{aligned}$$

We get $2^{16}(8t+3)(4s+1)$ from

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= 1 + x + x^2 + x^3 + (t+s)H, & f_2 &= 1 + x^2 - x^3 + (t-s)H, \\ g_1 &= (t-s)H, & g_2 &= x^3 + (t+s)H, \end{aligned}$$

with $s = 0$ giving us the $2^{16}m$, $m \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, and $s = 2k - 1$ the values (11). For $\ell \geq 5$ with $\ell \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we can write $2\ell - 4 \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$ as a sum of three squares with two of them odd and the other 2 mod 4:

$$2\ell = (4a+1)^2 + 2^2 + (4c-1)^2 + (4d-2)^2$$

and we can get $2^{16}(4m-1)\ell^2$, and hence (10), from

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= (1-x+x^2) + a(1-x^2) + mH, \\ f_2 &= -x(1+x) + a(1-x^2) + mH, \\ g_1 &= -x + (1-x^2)(c+dx) + mH, \\ g_2 &= -(1+x) + (1-x^2)(c+dx) + mH. \end{aligned}$$

For $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ we write $2p = A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + D^2$ where, since $2p \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$, two of A, B, C, D must be odd and two even, with one of them divisible by 4, the other 2 mod 4. Changing signs as necessary we assume that $A = 1 + 4a$, $B = 4b$, $C = 1 + 4c$, and $D = 2 + 4d$. We achieve $2^{17}(2m+1)p^2$ with

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= (1+x)(x^2+1) + a(1-x^2) + bx(1-x^2) + mH, \\ f_2 &= 1 + (x-1)(x^2+1) + a(1-x^2) + bx(1-x^2) + mH, \\ g_1 &= 1 + x + c(1-x^2) + dx(1-x^2) + mH, \\ g_2 &= x + c(1-x^2) + dx(1-x^2) + mH. \end{aligned}$$

Even values must be of the stated form. We know if the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ determinant is even, then both Q_8 determinants are even, and by [12] must each be multiples of 2^8 . Hence the even determinants must be multiples of 2^{16} . Note, if the determinant is even we must have $f(1)$ and $g(1)$ the same parity, and all the terms $m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, \ell_1, \ell_2$ in (12) must be even.

The $2^{17} \parallel \mathcal{D}$ are of the stated form. Suppose that we had a determinant $2^{17}m$, m odd, with m not divisible by the square of a prime $3 \pmod{4}$. Writing

$$(13) \quad \ell_2 - \ell_1 \equiv 4(a_0c_0 - a_0c_1 - a_1c_0 + a_0^2) + 4(b_0d_0 - b_0d_1 - b_1d_0 + b_0^2) \pmod{8}$$

we see that $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$ or $4 \mid \ell_1, \ell_2$. If $f(1), g(1)$ are both odd then $2^3 \mid m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$ and we must have $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$ (else $2^{12+8} \mid \mathcal{D}$). Now if $2^u \parallel f(1)$ and $2^v \parallel g(1)$ with $u, v \geq 1$ then $2^{2^{\min\{u,v\}}} \parallel m_1$ if $u \neq v$, while if $u = v$ we have $2^{2^{u+3}} \mid m_1$. Likewise for m_2, m_3, m_4 . To obtain an odd power of two we must therefore have at least one of the m_i with $u = v$. We can not have two of them (else $2^{5+5+4+4} \mid \mathcal{D}$). Again we can assume that $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$ (otherwise $2^{5+6+8} \mid \mathcal{D}$). Since ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 do not contain any primes $3 \pmod{4}$ we have $\ell_1 \equiv \ell_2 \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$ and (13) gives

$$(14) \quad a_0c_0 - a_0c_1 - a_1c_0 + a_0^2 + b_0d_0 - b_0d_1 - b_1d_0 + b_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Suppose first that $f(1), g(1)$ are odd. Since c_0 and d_0 are odd, (14) becomes

$$(15) \quad -a_0c_1 - b_0d_1 \equiv a_1 + b_1 \pmod{2}.$$

To get power 17, rearranging if necessary to make the highest power on m_1 , we must have $2^4 \parallel m_1, 2^3 \parallel m_2, m_3, m_4$. That is, $m_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{16}$, and $m_2 \equiv m_3 \equiv m_4 \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$. From

$$m_1 = c_0^2 - d_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{16}, \quad m_3 = (c_0 + 2a_0)^2 - (d_0 + 2b_0)^2 \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$$

we get

$$(16) \quad a_0c_0 + a_0^2 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$

From

$$\begin{aligned} m_4 &\equiv (c_0 - 2c_1 + 4c_2 + 2a_0 - 4a_1)^2 - (d_0 - 2d_1 + 4d_2 + 2b_0 - 4b_1)^2 \pmod{16} \\ &\equiv m_2 + 4a_0^2 + 4(a_0c_0 - 2a_0c_1 - 2a_1c_0) - 4b_0^2 - 4(d_0b_0 - 2d_1b_0 - 2d_0b_1) \pmod{16}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0 - 2(a_0c_1 + a_1 - d_1b_0 - b_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}.$$

Applying (15), this becomes $a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, contradicting (16).

Now suppose that $2^u \parallel f(1), g(1), u \geq 1$. Since c_0 and d_0 are even, (14) becomes

$$(17) \quad -a_0c_1 + a_0^2 - b_0d_1 + b_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Notice we can't have c_1, d_1 both odd or both even, else

$$\ell_1 = |c_0 - c_1 + ic_1 + 2\alpha|^2 + |d_0 - d_1 + id_1 + 2\beta|^2 \equiv 2c_1^2 + 2d_1^2 \pmod{4}$$

would be divisible by 4.

We can't have a_0, b_0 both odd, else (17) becomes $c_1 + d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, contradicting c_1, d_1 having opposite parity. If $u = 1$ we can rule out a_0, b_0 both even, else $2 \parallel f(1) + 2h(1) = c_0 + 2a_0, g(1) + 2k(1) = d_0 + 2b_0$ (we ruled out m_1 and m_3 both having $u = v$). If $u \geq 2$ we can't have a_0, b_0 both even, else 4 divides both terms, $2^4 \mid m_3, 2^7 \mid m_1$ and $2^{7+2+4+2+4} \mid \mathcal{D}$. So a_0, b_0 like c_1, d_1 have opposite parity. From

$$f(-1) + 2h(-1) \equiv c_0 - 2c_1 + 2a_0 \pmod{4}, \quad g(-1) + 2k(-1) \equiv d_0 - 2d_1 + 2b_0 \pmod{4}$$

we can't have $a_0 \equiv c_1 \pmod{2}$ and $b_0 \equiv d_1 \pmod{2}$, else if $u = 1$ we would have a single 2 dividing both (ruled out) and if $u = 2$ we would have 4 dividing both and $2^4 \mid m_4, 2^7 \mid m_1$. Hence we must have $a_0 \equiv d_1, b_0 \equiv c_1 \pmod{2}$ and (17) becomes $a_0^2 + b_0^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, contradicting that a_0, b_0 have opposite parity.

The $2^{16} \parallel \mathcal{D}$ are of the stated form. Suppose now that we have $\mathcal{D} = 2^{16}m$, with $m \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$, that is not of the form (10) or (11). Note, $\ell_1\ell_2$ does not contain a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or two primes $p_1, p_2 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ (else it will be type (10) with $\ell = p$ or p_1p_2), and \mathcal{D} has no factor $\pm 3 \pmod{8}$ (else it will be type (11)).

If $2^2 \mid \ell_1$ or ℓ_2 then $2^2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$ and $2^2 \parallel m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$ and $f(1), g(1)$ are even. Now $m_1/4 = (f(1)/2)^2 - (g(1)/2)^2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ and likewise for $m_2/4, m_3/4$ and $m_4/4$, with their product $-1 \pmod{8}$. Switching f and g as necessary and rearranging, we can assume $m_1/4 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ and $m_2/4, m_3/4, m_4/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. That is $4 \mid f(1)/2$ and $f(1)/2 + h(1), f(-1)/2, f(-1)/2 + h(-1)$ are all odd. From the first two $h(1)$ is odd, from the second two, $h(-1)$ is even, but $h(1)$ and $h(-1)$ must have the same parity. Hence we can assume that $2 \parallel \ell_1, \ell_2$, moreover that $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$, or one is 2 and the other $2p$ for some prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

If $f(1) = c_0$ and $g(1) = d_0$ are odd, then plainly $2^3 \parallel m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$. We rule out one of ℓ_1, ℓ_2 being $2 \pmod{8}$ and the other $6 \pmod{8}$. In this case (13) becomes

$$(18) \quad 1 \equiv -a_0c_1 - a_1 - b_0d_1 - b_1 \pmod{2}$$

But the difference of

$$m_4 \equiv (c_0 - 2c_1 + 4c_2 + 2a_0 - 4a_1)^2 - (d_0 - 2d_1 + 4d_2 + 2b_0 - 4b_1)^2 \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$$

and

$$m_2 \equiv (c_0 - 2c_1 + 4c_2)^2 - (d_0 - 2d_1 + 4d_2)^2 \equiv 8 \pmod{16}$$

gives

$$4(a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0) - 8(a_1c_0 + a_0c_1 - b_1d_0 - b_0d_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$$

where

$$4(a_0^2 + a_0c_0 - b_0^2 - b_0d_0) = m_3 - m_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{16},$$

and $a_1 + a_0c_1 - b_1 - b_0d_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, contradicting (18). This just leaves us with the case $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$ considered in the lemma below.

Suppose $f(1) = c_0 = 2c, g(1) = d_0 = 2d$ are even. If c and d have opposite parity then $2^2 \parallel m_1$, if both are odd then $2^5 \mid m_1$ and if $c = 2c', d = 2d'$ then $2^4 \parallel m_1$ if c' and d' have opposite parity and $2^6 \mid m_1$ otherwise. Moreover if c and d have the same parity and 2^2 divides

$$m_1/4 = c^2 - d^2,$$

then 2^4 must also divide at least one of the other m_i . To see this observe that if a_0 and b_0 have the same parity then 2^2 divides

$$(19) \quad m_3/4 = (c + a_0)^2 - (d + b_0)^2,$$

if c_1 and d_1 have the same parity then 2^2 divides

$$(20) \quad m_2/4 \equiv (c - c_1 + 2c_2)^2 - (d - d_1 + 2d_2)^2 \pmod{8},$$

and if both a_0 and b_0 , and c_1 and d_1 have opposite parity, then $a_0 - c_1$ and $b_0 - d_1$ have the same parity and 2^2 divides

$$(21) \quad m_4/4 \equiv (c - c_1 + 2c_2 + a_0 - 2a_1)^2 - (d - d_1 + 2d_2 + b_0 - 2b_1)^2 \pmod{8}.$$

Hence, rearranging as necessary, we can assume that $2^4 \parallel m_1$ and one other m_i , and $2^2 \parallel m_i$ for the other two m_i . In particular $c_0 = 4c'$ and $d_0 = 4d'$ with c', d' of opposite parity. Suppose now that one of ℓ_1, ℓ_2 is $2 \pmod{8}$ and the other $6 \pmod{8}$, so that (13) becomes

$$(22) \quad 1 \equiv -a_0c_1 + a_0^2 - b_0d_1 + b_0^2 \pmod{2}.$$

Notice that this rules out a_0, b_0 both even or c_1, d_1 both odd. We can rule out a_0, b_0 both odd, else $2^3 \mid m_3/4$ in (19), and c_1, d_1 both even else

$$4 \mid \ell_1 = |4c' + c_1(i-1) + 2i\alpha|^2 + |4d' + d_1(i-1) + 2i\beta|^2.$$

Hence $a_0 - c_1$ and $b_0 - d_1$ have the same parity, but can't be odd, else $2^3 \mid m_4/4$ in (21). Hence $c_1 \equiv a_0 \pmod{2}$ and $d_1 \equiv b_0 \pmod{2}$, violating (22). This just leaves the case $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$ dealt with in the next lemma. \square

Lemma 4.1. *All $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times Q_8$ determinants $2^{16}m$ with $m \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$ and $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$ in (12) must be of the form (11).*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{D} = 2^{16}m$, where $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$, and all the factors of m are $\pm 1 \pmod{8}$. We show that $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Hence any with $m \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ must have a factor $\pm 3 \pmod{8}$ and be of the form (11).

Case 1: $f(1)$ and $g(1)$ are even. Since $|f(i)|^2$ and $|g(i)|^2$ are both even, we must have one of them 2 and the other 0. Switching f and g and replacing $f(x)$ by $\pm f(\pm x)$ as necessary, we can assume that $f(i) = 1 + i$ and $g(i) = 0$. Hence we can write

$$f(x) = 1 + x + (x^2 + 1)v(x), \quad g(x) = (x^2 + 1)u(x).$$

Note 2^2 divides $|g(i) + 2k(i)|^2$, so this term must also be zero, while $f(i) + 2h(i) = \varepsilon + \delta i$ with $\delta, \varepsilon = \pm 1$, and

$$f(x) + 2h(x) = \varepsilon + \delta x + (x^2 + 1)(v(x) + 2h_1(x)), \quad g(x) + 2k(x) = (x^2 + 1)(u(x) + 2k_1(x)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} m_1/4 &= (1 + v(1))^2 - u(1)^2, & m_2/4 &= v(-1)^2 - u(-1)^2, \\ m_3/4 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + \delta) + v(1) + 2h_1(1)\right)^2 - (u(1) + 2k_1(1))^2, \\ m_4/4 &= \left(\frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon - \delta) + v(-1) + 2h_1(-1)\right)^2 - (u(-1) + 2k_1(-1))^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note, one of $1 + v(1)$ and $v(-1)$ must be odd, and hence $u(1), u(-1)$ must be even (else $2^3 \mid m_1/4$ or $m_2/4$).

(i) Suppose that $v(1)$ is odd. Since $m_2/4 \not\equiv -3 \pmod{8}$ we must have $m_2/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid u(-1)$, and

$$\frac{m_1}{2^4} = \left(\frac{1 + v(1)}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(1)}{2}\right)^2.$$

If $\delta = -\varepsilon$ then $m_3/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid (u(1) + 2k_1(1))$, and

$$\frac{m_4}{2^4} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon + v(-1)}{2} + h_1(-1)\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(-1)}{2} + k_1(-1)\right)^2.$$

If $2 \mid u(1)/2$ then $2 \mid k_1(1)$, $2 \mid (u(-1)/2 + k_1(-1))$, and $m_1/2^4, m_4/2^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. If $2 \nmid u(1)/2$ then $2 \nmid k_1(1)$, $2 \nmid (u(-1)/2 + k_1(-1))$, and $m_1/2^4, m_4/2^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$. In both cases $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 / 2^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

If $\delta = \varepsilon$ we have $m_4/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid (u(-1) + 2k_1(-1))$, $2 \mid k_1(-1), k_1(1)$ and

$$\frac{m_3}{2^4} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon + v(1)}{2} + h_1(1)\right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(1)}{2} + k_1(1)\right)^2.$$

If $2 \mid u(1)/2$ then $m_1/2^4, m_3/2^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and if $2 \nmid u(1)/2$ both are $-1 \pmod{8}$. Again $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 / 2^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

(ii) **Suppose that $v(1)$ is even.** In this case $m_1/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid u(1)$ and

$$\frac{m_2}{2^4} = \left(\frac{v(-1)}{2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(-1)}{2} \right)^2.$$

If $\delta = -\varepsilon$ then $m_4/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid (u(-1) + 2k_1(-1))$, and

$$\frac{m_3}{2^4} = \left(\frac{v(1)}{2} + h_1(1) \right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(1)}{2} + k_1(1) \right)^2.$$

If $2 \mid u(-1)/2$ then $m_2/2^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ and $2 \mid k_1(-1)$, $2 \mid (u(1)/2 + k_1(1))$ and $m_3/2^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. If $2 \nmid u(-1)/2$ then $m_2/2^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$, $2 \nmid k_1(-1)$, $2 \nmid (u(1)/2 + k_1(1))$ and $m_3/2^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$. Again, $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 / 2^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

If $\delta = \varepsilon$ then $m_3/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid (u(1) + 2k_1(1))$, $2 \mid k_1(1), k_1(-1)$ and

$$\frac{m_4}{2^4} = \left(\frac{v(-1)}{2} + h_1(-1) \right)^2 - \left(\frac{u(-1)}{2} + k_1(-1) \right)^2.$$

If $2 \mid u(-1)/2$ then $m_2/2^4, m_4/2^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. If $2 \nmid u(-1)/2$ then $m_2/2^4, m_4/2^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$. In both cases $m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 / 2^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

In conclusion, there are no cases where $\mathcal{D}/2^{16} = m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4 / 2^{12} \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$.

Case 2: $f(1)$ and $g(1)$ are odd. In this case we have $2^3 \parallel m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4$. From $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 2$ we must have $f(i), g(i), f(i) + 2h(i), g(i) + 2k(i) = \pm 1$ or $\pm i$. Multiplying the f and h or the g and k through by ± 1 or $\pm x$ we can assume that $f(i) = 1$ and $g(i) = 1$ and

$$f(x) = 1 + (x^2 + 1)v(x), \quad g(x) = 1 + (x^2 + 1)u(x).$$

Clearly we must have $f(i) + 2h(i), g(i) + 2k(i) = \pm 1$ and

$$f(x) + 2h(x) = \varepsilon + (x^2 + 1)(v(x) + 2h_1(x)), \quad g(x) + 2k(x) = \delta + (x^2 + 1)(u(x) + 2k_1(x))$$

for some $\varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1$. Hence

$$\frac{m_1}{4} = (1 + u(1) + v(1))(v(1) - u(1)),$$

$$\frac{m_3}{4} = \left(\frac{\varepsilon + \delta}{2} + v(1) + u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1) \right) \left(\frac{\varepsilon - \delta}{2} + v(1) - u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1) \right).$$

Similarly for $m_2/4$ and $m_4/4$ with $u(-1), v(-1), h_1(-1), k_1(-1)$ in place of $u(1), v(1), h_1(1), k_1(1)$.

(i) **Suppose that $u(1) + v(1)$ is even.** In this case $m_1/8 = \alpha_1 \alpha_2$ with

$$\alpha_1 = 1 + u(1) + v(1), \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(v(1) - u(1))$$

When $\delta = -\varepsilon$ we have $m_3/8 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$, with

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v(1) + u(1)) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon + v(1) - u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1).$$

Recall that by assumption all these factors are $\pm 1 \pmod{8}$. Since

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon \alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$

we have $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$ and

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon\alpha_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{4}.$$

Hence $\lambda_2 \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{8}$ and $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$. So

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon\alpha_2 + (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1))\varepsilon\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1\alpha_2 + (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1) \pmod{4},$$

and $m_3/8 \equiv m_1/8 \pmod{4}$, and $m_1m_3/2^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, iff $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$. Clearly $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$ iff $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(-1) + k_1(-1)$, giving $m_1m_3/2^6 \equiv m_2m_4/2^6 \pmod{8}$, and $m = m_1m_2m_3m_4/2^{12} \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

Similarly, when $\delta = \varepsilon$ we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(v(1) - u(1)) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon + v(1) + u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1).$$

Since

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$

we have $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$, and

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon\alpha_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{4}.$$

So $\lambda_2 \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$ and

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon\alpha_2 - (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1))\varepsilon\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1\alpha_2 - (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1) \pmod{4},$$

giving $m_1m_3/2^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, iff $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$. Again $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

(ii) Suppose that $u(1) + v(1)$ is odd. In this case

$$\alpha_1 = v(1) - u(1), \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 + u(1) + v(1)).$$

When $\delta = -\varepsilon$ we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + v(1) - u(1)) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$

$$\lambda_2 = v(1) + u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1).$$

So

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1),$$

and $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$, giving

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon\alpha_1 + (1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + 2h_1(1) + 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{4}.$$

Hence $\lambda_2 \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$ and

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon\alpha_2 - (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1))\varepsilon\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1\alpha_2 - (1 + \varepsilon)u(1) - 2k_1(1) \pmod{4}.$$

Thus $m_1m_3/2^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ iff $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$. Again $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$.

When $\delta = \varepsilon$ we have

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon + v(1) + u(1)) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$

$$\lambda_2 = v(1) - u(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1).$$

Hence

$$\lambda_1 = \varepsilon\alpha_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1),$$

and $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) + k_1(1)$, giving

$$\lambda_2 = \varepsilon\alpha_1 - (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + (1 - \varepsilon)v(1) + 2h_1(1) - 2k_1(1) \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{4}.$$

So $\lambda_2 \equiv \varepsilon\alpha_1 \pmod{8}$, $4 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)v(1) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + h_1(1) - k_1(1)$, and

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2 \equiv (\varepsilon\alpha_2 + (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1))\varepsilon\alpha_1 \equiv \alpha_1\alpha_2 + (1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + 2k_1(1) \pmod{4}.$$

Hence $m_1m_3/2^6 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ iff $2 \mid \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon)u(1) + k_1(1)$. Again $m \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Boerkoel & C. Pinner, *Minimal group determinants and the Lind-Lehmer problem for dihedral groups*, Acta Arith. **186** (2018), no. 4, 377-395. arXiv:1802.07336 [math.NT].
- [2] K. Conrad, *The origin of representation theory*, Enseign. Math. (2) **44** (1998), no. 3-4, 361-392.
- [3] F. G. Frobenius, *Über die Primefactoren der Gruppensdeterminante*, Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Band III, Springer, New York, 1968, pp. 38-77. MR0235974
- [4] K. Johnson, *Group Matrices Group Determinants and Representation Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2233, Springer 2019.
- [5] M. Mossinghoff and C. Pinner, *Prime power order circulant determinants*, (arxiv 2205.12439v2.)
- [6] M. Newman, *On a problem suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd*, Ill. J. Math. **24** (1980), 156-158.
- [7] M. Newman, *Determinants of circulants of prime power order*, Linear Multilinear Algebra **9** (1980), no. 3, 187-191. MR0601702.
- [8] B. Paudel and C. Pinner, *Integer circulant determinants of order 15*, Integers **22** (2022), Paper No. A4.
- [9] D. DeSilva and C. G. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for \mathbb{Z}_p^n* . Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **142** (2014) 1935-1941.
- [10] D. DeSilva, M. Mossinghoff, V. Pigno and C. Pinner, *The Lind-Lehmer constant for certain p -groups*. Math. Comp. **88** (2019), no. 316, 949-972.
- [11] H. T. Laquer, *Values of circulants with integer entries*, in A Collection of Manuscripts Related to the Fibonacci Sequence, Fibonacci Assoc., Santa Clara, 1980, pp. 212-217. MR0624127.
- [12] C. Pinner and C. Smyth, *Integer group determinants for small groups*, Ramanujan J. **51** (2020), no. 2, 421-453.
- [13] O. Taussky Todd, *Integral group matrices*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1977), no. 3, A-345. Abstract no. 746-A15, 746th Meeting, Hayward, CA, Apr. 22-23, 1977.
- [14] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, *Remark on Laquer's theorem for circulant determinants*, Int. J. Group Theory 2022, arXiv:2202.06952 [math.RT].
- [15] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, *Generalized Dedekind's theorem and its application to integer group determinants*, 2022. arXiv:2203.14420v2 [math.RT].
- [16] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, *Integer circulant determinants of order 16*, Ramanujan J., arXiv:2204.05014 [math.NT].
- [17] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, *Integer group determinants for C_2^4* , 2022. arXiv:2203.14420v2 [math.RT].
- [18] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, *Integer group determinants for C_2^4* , arXiv:2211.01597 [math.NT].
- [19] Y. Yamaguchi and N. Yamaguchi, *Integer group determinants for abelian groups of order 16*, arXiv:2211.14761 [math.NT].

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN, KS 66506, USA
 Email address: bpaudel@ksu.edu, pinner@math.ksu.edu