

# TRANSVERSELY PRODUCT SINGULARITIES OF FOLIATIONS IN PROJECTIVE SPACES

RUDY ROSAS

ABSTRACT. We prove that a transversely product component of the singular set of a holomorphic foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is necessarily a Kupka component.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $U$  be an open set of a complex manifold  $M$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\eta$  be a holomorphic  $k$ -form on  $U$  and let  $\text{Sing } \eta := \{p \in U : \eta(p) = 0\}$  denote the singular set of  $\eta$ . We say that  $\eta$  is integrable if each point  $p \in U \setminus \text{Sing } \eta$  has a neighborhood  $V$  supporting holomorphic 1-forms  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$  with  $\eta|_V = \eta_1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta_k$ , such that  $d\eta_j \wedge \eta = 0$  for each  $j = 1, \dots, k$ . In this case the distribution

$$\mathcal{D}_\eta: \mathcal{D}_\eta(p) = \{v \in T_p M : i_v \eta(p) = 0\}, \quad p \in U \setminus \text{Sing } \eta$$

defines a holomorphic foliation of codimension  $k$  on  $U \setminus \text{Sing } \eta$ . A singular holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of codimension  $k$  on  $M$  can be defined by an open covering  $(U_j)_{j \in J}$  of  $M$  and a collection of integrable  $k$ -forms  $\eta_j \in \Omega^k(U_j)$  such that  $\eta_i = g_{ij} \eta_j$  for some  $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$  whenever  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ . The singular set  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$  is the proper analytic subset of  $M$  given by the union of the sets  $\text{Sing } \eta_j$ . From now on we only consider foliations  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$  has no component of codimension one.

Given a singular holomorphic foliation  $\mathcal{F}$  of codimension  $k$  on  $M$  as above, the Kupka singular set of  $\mathcal{F}$ , denoted by  $K(\mathcal{F})$ , is the union of the sets

$$K(\eta_j) = \{p \in U_j : \eta_j(p) = 0, d\eta_j(p) \neq 0\}.$$

This set does not depend on the collection  $(\eta_j)$  of  $k$ -forms used to define  $\mathcal{F}$ . It is well known that, given  $p \in K(\mathcal{F})$ , the germ of  $\mathcal{F}$  at  $p$  is holomorphically equivalent to the product of a one-dimensional foliation with an isolated singularity by a regular foliation of dimension  $(\dim \mathcal{F} - 1)$ . More precisely, if  $\dim M = k + m + 1$ , there exist a holomorphic vector field  $X = X_1 \partial_{x_1} + \dots + X_{k+1} \partial_{x_{k+1}}$  on  $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$  with a unique singularity at the origin, a neighborhood  $V$  of  $p$  in  $M$  and a biholomorphism  $\psi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{D}^m$ ,  $\psi(p) = 0$ , which conjugates  $\mathcal{F}$  with the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_X$  of  $\mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{D}^m$  generated by the commuting vector fields  $X, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_m}$ , where  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_m)$  are the coordinates in  $\mathbb{D}^m$ . If  $\mu = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k+1}$ , the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_X$  is also defined by the  $k$ -form  $\omega = i_X \mu$  and the Kupka condition  $d\omega(0) \neq 0$  is equivalent to the inequality  $\text{div } X(0) \neq 0$ .

Following [7], we say that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a transversely product at  $p \in \text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$  if as above there exist a holomorphic vector field  $X$  and a biholomorphism  $\psi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{D}^m$  conjugating  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $\mathcal{F}_X$ , except that it is not assumed that  $\text{div } X(0) \neq 0$ . We say that  $\Gamma$  is a local transversely product component of  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$  if  $\Gamma$  is a compact irreducible component of  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a transversely product at each  $p \in \Gamma$ . In particular, if  $\Gamma \subset K(\mathcal{F})$  we say that  $\Gamma$  is a Kupka component — for more

information about Kupka singularities and Kupka components we refer the reader to [8, 6, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. If  $\Gamma$  is a transversely product component of  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$ , we can cover  $\Gamma$  by finitely many normal coordinates like  $\psi$ , with the same vector field  $X$ : that is, there exist a holomorphic vector field  $X$  on  $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$  with a unique singularity at the origin and a covering of  $\Gamma$  by open sets  $(V_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  such that each  $V_\alpha$  supports a biholomorphism  $\psi_\alpha: V_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{D}^m$  that maps  $\Gamma \cap V_\alpha$  onto  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{D}^m$  and conjugates  $\mathcal{F}$  with the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_X$ . The sets  $(V_\alpha)$  can be chosen arbitrarily close to  $\Gamma$ .

In [7], the author proves that a local transversely product component of a codimension one foliation on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  is necessarily a Kupka component. The goal of the present paper is to generalize this theorem to foliations of any codimension.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  a holomorphic foliation of dimension  $\geq 2$  and codimension  $\geq 1$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a transversely product component of  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is a Kupka component.*

This theorem is a corollary of the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  a holomorphic foliation of dimension  $\geq 2$  and codimension  $k \geq 1$  on a complex manifold  $M$ . Suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is defined by an open covering  $(U_j)_{j \in J}$  of  $M$  and a collection of  $k$ -forms  $\eta_j \in \Omega^k(U_j)$ . Let  $L$  be the line bundle defined by the cocycle  $(g_{ij})$  such that  $\eta_i = g_{ij}\eta_j$ ,  $g_{ij} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be a transversely product component of  $\text{Sing } \mathcal{F}$  that is not a Kupka component. Then, if  $V$  is a tubular neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ , we have that  $c_1(L|_V) = 0$ .*

## 2. PROOF OF THE RESULTS

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Let  $V$  be a tubular neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ . Then the map

$$\Theta \in H_{\text{dR}}^2(V) \mapsto \Theta|_\Gamma \in H_{\text{dR}}^2(\Gamma)$$

is an isomorphism and so it suffices to prove that  $c_1(L|_\Gamma) = 0$ . Let  $\dim M = k + m + 1$ . As explained in the introduction, there exist a holomorphic vector field  $X$  on  $\mathbb{D}^{k+1}$  with a unique singularity at the origin and a covering of  $\Gamma$  by open sets  $(V_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  such that each  $V_\alpha$  is contained in  $V$  and supports a biholomorphism  $\psi_\alpha: V_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{D}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{D}^m$  that maps  $\Gamma \cap V_\alpha$  onto  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{D}^m$  and conjugates  $\mathcal{F}$  with the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_X$  generated by the commuting vector fields  $X, \partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_m}$ . Notice that  $\text{div}(X)(0) = 0$ , because  $\Gamma$  is not a Kupka component. Since  $\mathcal{F}_X$  is defined by the  $k$ -form  $\omega = i_X \mu$ , where  $\mu = dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{k+1}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{F}|_{V_\alpha}$  is defined by the  $k$ -form  $\psi_\alpha^*(\omega)$ . If  $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $\theta_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathcal{O}^*(V_\alpha \cap V_\beta)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \psi_\alpha^*(\omega) = \theta_{\alpha\beta} \psi_\beta^*(\omega).$$

We can assume that the  $k$ -forms  $\psi_\alpha^*(\omega)$  belong to the family of  $k$ -forms  $(\eta_j)_{j \in J}$  defining  $\mathcal{F}$ . Therefore the cocycle  $(\theta_{\alpha\beta})$  define the line bundle  $L$  restricted to some neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ . Thus, in order to prove that  $c_1(L|_\Gamma) = 0$  it is enough to show that each  $\theta_{\alpha\beta}|_\Gamma$  is locally constant. Fix some  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  such that  $V_\alpha \cap V_\beta \neq \emptyset$ . If we set  $\psi = \psi_\alpha \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}$  and  $\theta = \theta_{\alpha\beta} \circ \psi_\beta^{-1}$ , from (2.1) we have that  $\psi^*(\omega) = \theta\omega$ , which means that  $\psi$  preserves the foliation  $\mathcal{F}_X$ . It suffices to prove that the derivatives  $\theta_{y_1}(p), \dots, \theta_{y_m}(p)$  vanish if  $p \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{D}^m$ . Since  $\partial_{y_1}$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{F}_X$ , then the vector field  $\psi_*(\partial_{y_1})$  is tangent to  $\mathcal{F}_X$  and so we can express

$$\psi_*(\partial_{y_1}) = \lambda X + \lambda_1 \partial_{y_1} + \dots + \lambda_m \partial_{y_m},$$

where  $\lambda, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$  are holomorphic. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\psi^*(\partial_{y_1})}\omega = \mathcal{L}_{\lambda X}\omega = \lambda \mathcal{L}_X\omega + d\lambda \wedge i_X\omega = \lambda \mathcal{L}_X\omega = \lambda \operatorname{div}(X)\omega,$$

where the last equality follows from the identity  $\omega = i_X\mu$ . Thus, since

$$\psi^*\left(\mathcal{L}_{\psi^*(\partial_{y_1})}\omega\right) = \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_1}}\psi^*\omega = \mathcal{L}_{\partial_{y_1}}(\theta\omega) = \theta_{y_1}\omega,$$

we obtain that

$$\theta_{y_1}\omega = \psi^*(\lambda \operatorname{div}(X)\omega) = \lambda(\psi) \operatorname{div}(X)(\psi)\theta\omega$$

and therefore  $\theta_{y_1}(p) = 0$  if  $p \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{D}^m$ , because  $\operatorname{div}(X)$  vanishes along  $\{0\} \times \mathbb{D}^m$ . In the same way we prove that  $\theta_{y_2}(p) = \dots = \theta_{y_m}(p) = 0$  if  $p \in \{0\} \times \mathbb{D}^m$ , which finishes the proof.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is not a Kupka component. Let  $L$  be the line bundle associated to  $\mathcal{F}$  as in the statement of Theorem 2. We notice that  $c_1(L) \neq 0$ , otherwise  $\mathcal{F}$  will be defined by a global  $k$ -form on  $\mathbb{P}^n$ , which is impossible. Then, if we take an algebraic curve  $\mathcal{C} \subset \Gamma$ , we have  $c_1(L) \cdot \mathcal{C} \neq 0$ . Therefore, if  $\Omega$  is a 2-form on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  in the class  $c_1(L)$  and  $V$  is a tubular neighborhood of  $\Gamma$ ,

$$c_1(L|_V) \cdot \mathcal{C} = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \Omega|_V = \int_{\mathcal{C}} \Omega = c_1(L) \cdot \mathcal{C} \neq 0,$$

which contradicts Theorem 2.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. Brunella, Sur les feuilletages de l'espace projective ayant une composante de Kupka. L'enseignement mathématique (2) 55 (2009), 1-8.
- [2] O. Calvo-Andrade, *Foliations with a Kupka component on Algebraic Manifolds*, Bol. Soc. Brasil. Mat. 30 (1999), No. 2, 183-197.
- [3] O. Calvo-Andrade, *Foliations of codimension greater than one with a Kupka component*, Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst. 8 (2009), No. 2, 241-253.
- [4] O. Calvo-Andrade, *Foliations with a radial Kupka set on projective spaces*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 47 (2016), No. 4, 1071-1083.
- [5] M. Corrêa Jr., O. Calvo-Andrade and A. Fernández-Pérez, *Higher codimensional foliations with Kupka singularities*, International Journal of Mathematics Vol. 28 (2017), No. 3, Doi: 10.1142/S0129167X17500197
- [6] D. Cerveau and A. Lins Neto, *Codimension-one foliations in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , with Kupka components*, Astérisque 222 (1994), 93-132.
- [7] A. Lins Neto, *Local transversely product singularities*, Annales Henri Lebesgue 4 (2021), pp. 485 - 502.
- [8] I. Kupka, *The singularities of integrable structurally stable pfaffian forms*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 52 (1964), 1431-1432.