

# COHOMOLOGY AND DEFORMATION THEORY OF MODIFIED ROTA-BAXTER LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

YIZHENG LI AND DINGGUO WANG<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we define the cohomology of a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra with coefficients in a suitable representation. As applications of our cohomology, we study formal one-parameter deformations and abelian extensions of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Leibniz algebras, representations and their cohomology theory	2
2. Representations of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras	3
3. Cohomology theory of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras	7
3.1. Cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter operators	8
3.2. Cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras	8
4. Formal deformations of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras	9
5. Abelian extensions of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras	11
References	16

## INTRODUCTION

In 1960, Baxter [1] introduced the notion of Rota-Baxter operators on associative algebras in his study of fluctuation theory in probability. Rota-Baxter operators have been found many applications, including in Connes-Kreimer's algebraic approach to the renormalization in perturbative quantum field theory [2]. More precisely, for a fixed constant  $\lambda$ , a Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$  is a linear operator  $P$  on an associative algebra  $R$  that satisfies the Rota-Baxter equation:

$$(1) \quad P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) + \lambda P(xy), \forall x, y \in R.$$

For more details on the Rota-Baxter operator, see [7].

Semonov-Tian-Shansky [11] found that, under suitable conditions, the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation is precisely the Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0 on a Lie algebra. As a modified form of the operator form of the classical Yang-Baxter equation, he also introduced in that paper the modified classical Yang-Baxter equation whose solutions are called modified  $r$ -matrices, modified  $r$ -matrices have been found many applications, including applications in the study of generalized Lax pairs and affine geometry on Lie groups. Recently, the authors have considered formal deformations of modified  $r$ -matrices motivated by the deformations of classical  $r$ -matrices in [8].

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author

Date: November 21, 2022.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16E40 16S80 17A30.

*Key words and phrases.* Modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra, cohomology, deformation, Abelian extension.

A Rota-Baxter operator gives a modified Rota-Baxter operator through a linear transformation [6]. Guo et al. studied the Rota-Baxter type algebra and constructed the free object uniformly in that category in [14]. Recently, the author has obtained the cohomology and formal deformations of modified Rota-Baxter algebras in [5].

The concept of Leibniz algebras was introduced by Loday [9, 10] in the study of the algebraic  $K$ -theory. Relative Rota-Baxter operators on Leibniz algebras were studied in [13], which is the main ingredient in the study of the twisting theory and the bialgebra theory for Leibniz algebras [12]. Recently, the author has considered weighted relative Rota-Baxter operators on Leibniz algebras in [4]. Our aim in this paper is to consider modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras, and define the cohomology of a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra with coefficients in a suitable representation. As applications of our cohomology, we study formal one-parameter deformations and abelian extensions of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we recall some basic definitions about Leibniz algebras and their cohomology. In Section 2, we consider modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras and introduce their representations. In Section 3, we define the cohomology of a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra with coefficients in a suitable representation. In Section 4, we study formal deformation theory and rigidity of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras. Finally, abelian extensions are considered in Section 5.

Throughout this paper, let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a field of characteristic 0. Except specially stated, vector spaces are  $\mathbf{k}$ -vector spaces and all tensor products are taken over  $\mathbf{k}$ .

## 1. LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS, REPRESENTATIONS AND THEIR COHOMOLOGY THEORY

We start with the background of Leibniz algebras and their cohomology that we refer the reader to [3, 10] for more details.

**Definition 1.1.** A Leibniz algebra is a vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  together with a bilinear operation (called bracket)  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  satisfying

$$[x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \text{for } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

A Leibniz algebra as above may be denoted by the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  or simply by  $\mathfrak{g}$  when no confusion arises. A Leibniz algebra whose bilinear bracket is skewsymmetric is nothing but a Lie algebra. Thus, Leibniz algebras are the non-skewsymmetric analogue of Lie algebras.

**Definition 1.2.** A representation of a Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  consists of a triple  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R)$  in which  $V$  is a vector space and  $\rho^L, \rho^R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  are linear maps satisfying for  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \rho^L([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \rho^L(x)\rho^L(y) - \rho^L(y)\rho^L(x), \\ \rho^R([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \rho^L(x)\rho^R(y) - \rho^R(y)\rho^L(x), \\ \rho^R([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = \rho^L(x)\rho^R(y) + \rho^R(y)\rho^R(x). \end{cases}$$

It follows that any Leibniz algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a representation of itself with

$$\rho^L(x) = L_x = [x, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho^R(x) = R_x = [\cdot, x]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Here  $L_x$  and  $R_x$  denotes the left and right multiplications by  $x$ , respectively. This is called the regular representation.

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a Leibniz algebra and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R)$  be a representation of it. The cohomology of the Leibniz algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with coefficients in  $V$  is the cohomology of the cochain complex  $\{C^*(\mathfrak{g}, V), \delta\}$ , where  $C^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}, V)$  for  $n \geq 0$ , and the coboundary operator  $\delta :$

$C^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} & (\delta^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho^L(x_i) f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} \rho^R(x_{n+1}) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^i f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{j-1}, [x_i, x_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ . The corresponding cohomology groups are denoted by  $H_{\text{Leib}}^*(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . This cohomology has been first appeared in [3] and rediscover by Loday and Pirashvili [10]. This cohomology is also the Loday-Pirashvili cohomology.

## 2. REPRESENTATIONS OF MODIFIED ROTA-BAXTER LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

In this section, we consider modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras and introduce their representations. We also provide various examples and new constructions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$ . Given a Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu = [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , it is called a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$ , if there is a modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  of weight  $\lambda$  such that

$$(2) \quad [K(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = K([K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

A modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  is a triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  consisting of a Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  together with a modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K$  of weight  $\lambda$  on it.

**Example 2.2.** Consider the three-dimensional Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  given with respect to a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  whose nonzero products are given as follows:

$$[e_1, e_1] = e_3.$$

Then  $K = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$  is a modified Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$  on  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  if and only if

$$[Ke_i, Ke_j]_{\mathfrak{g}} = K([Ke_i, e_j]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [e_i, Ke_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[e_i, e_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall i, j = 1, 2, 3.$$

We have  $[Ke_1, Ke_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3, a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} = a_{11}^2 e_3$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & K([Ke_1, e_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [e_1, Ke_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[e_1, e_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= K([a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3, e_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [e_1, a_{11}e_1 + a_{21}e_2 + a_{31}e_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda e_3 \\ &= 2a_{11}Ke_3 + \lambda e_3 \\ &= 2a_{11}a_{13}e_1 + 2a_{11}a_{23}e_2 + 2a_{11}a_{33}e_3 + \lambda e_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by  $[Ke_1, Ke_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} = K([Ke_1, e_1]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [e_1, Ke_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[e_1, e_1]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , we have

$$a_{11}a_{13} = 0, a_{11}a_{23} = 0, a_{11}^2 = 2a_{11}a_{33} + \lambda.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & a_{11}a_{12} = a_{12}a_{33}, \quad a_{12}a_{13} = 0, \quad a_{12}a_{23} = 0; \\ & a_{11}a_{13} = a_{13}a_{33}, \quad a_{13}a_{13} = 0, \quad a_{13}a_{23} = 0; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_{12}a_{11} &= a_{12}a_{33}, \quad a_{12}a_{13} = 0, \quad a_{12}a_{23} = 0; \\ a_{13}a_{11} &= a_{13}a_{33}, \quad a_{13}a_{13} = 0, \quad a_{13}a_{23} = 0, \\ a_{12}^2 &= 0, \quad a_{13}^2 = 0, \quad a_{12}a_{13} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summarize the above discussion, we have the following two cases:

(1) If  $a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{13} = 0$ , then any  $K = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$  is a modified Rota-Baxter operator of weight 0 on  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

(2) If  $a_{12} = a_{13} = a_{23} = 0$  and  $a_{11} \neq 0$ , then any  $K = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$  is a modified Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $(a_{11}^2 - 2a_{11}a_{33})$  on  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, K')$  be two modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras of weight  $\lambda$ . A morphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}', [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}'}, K')$  is a Leibniz algebra homomorphism  $\phi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$  satisfying  $\phi \circ K = K' \circ \phi$ .

Denote by  $\text{MLeib}$  the category of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras of weight  $\lambda$  with obvious morphisms.

Recall that a linear map  $T : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is said to be a Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$  on the Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  if  $T$  satisfies

$$[T(x), T(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} = T([T(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, T(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \text{for } x, y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

A Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  is a triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$  consisting of a Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  together with a Rota-Baxter operator  $T$  of weight  $\lambda$  on it.

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(x), (2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= [2T(x) + \lambda x, 2T(y) + \lambda y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= 4[T(x), T(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + 2\lambda[T(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + 2\lambda[x, T(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= 4T([T(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, T(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &\quad + 2\lambda[T(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + 2\lambda[x, T(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \lambda^2[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= (2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})([ (2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(x), y ]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, (2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) - \lambda^2[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, 2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of  $-\lambda^2$ .

According to the above discussion, we have

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$  be a Leibniz algebra. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$  is a Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  if and only if  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, 2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $-\lambda^2$ .*

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R)$  be a representation over Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . We say that  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  is a representation over modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  of weight  $\lambda$  if  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R)$  is endowed with a linear operator  $K_V : V \rightarrow V$  such that the following equations

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^L(K(x))K_V(v) &= K_V(\rho^L(K(x))v + \rho^L(x)K_V(v)) + \lambda\rho^L(x)v \\ \rho^R(K(x))K_V(v) &= K_V(\rho^R(K(x))v + \rho^R(x)K_V(v)) + \lambda\rho^R(x)v \end{aligned}$$

hold for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $v \in V$ .

**Example 2.6.** It is ready to see that  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  itself is a representation over the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  of weight  $\lambda$ .

**Definition 2.7.** [4] Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$  be a Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R)$  be a representation over Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . We say that  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, T_V)$  is a representation over Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$  of weight  $\lambda$  if  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R)$  is endowed with a linear operator  $T_V : V \rightarrow V$  such that the following equations

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho^L(T(x))T_V(v) &= T_V(\rho^L(T(x))v) + \rho^L(x)T_V(v) + \lambda\rho^L(x)v \\ \rho^R(T(x))T_V(v) &= T_V(\rho^R(T(x))v) + \rho^R(x)T_V(v) + \lambda\rho^R(x)v \end{aligned}$$

hold for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $v \in V$ .

The following result finds the relation between representation over Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras and over modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, T)$  be a Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, T_V)$  be a representation over Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Then  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, 2T_V + \lambda \text{id}_M)$  is a representation over the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, 2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})$  of weight  $-\lambda^2$ .*

*Proof.* For any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $v \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^L(2T(x) + \lambda x)(2T_V(v) + \lambda v) \\ &= 4\rho^L(T(x))T_V(v) + 2\lambda(\rho^L(T(x))v + \rho^L(x)T_V(v)) + \lambda^2\rho^L(x)v \\ &= 4T_V(\rho^L(T(x))v) + \rho^L(x)T_V(v) + \lambda\rho^L(x)v \\ & \quad + 2\lambda(\rho^L(T(x))v + \rho^L(x)T_V(v)) + \lambda^2\rho^L(x)v \\ &= (2T_V + \lambda \text{id}_M)(\rho^L((2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(x))v) + \rho^L(x)(2T_V + \lambda \text{id}_M)(v) - \lambda^2\rho^L(x)v. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho^R(2T(x) + \lambda x)(2T_V(v) + \lambda v) \\ &= (2T_V + \lambda \text{id}_M)(\rho^R((2T + \lambda \text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}})(x))v) + \rho^R(x)(2T_V + \lambda \text{id}_M)(v) - \lambda^2\rho^R(x)v. \end{aligned}$$

And completed the proof.  $\square$

In the following, we construct the semidirect product in the context of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras of any weight.

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  be a representation of it. Then  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, K \oplus K_V)$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra, where the Leibniz bracket on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  is given by the semidirect product*

$$(5) \quad [x + u, y + v]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus V} = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho^L(x)v + \rho^R(y)u,$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u, v \in V$ .

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $u, v \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & [(K \oplus K_V)(x + u), (K \oplus K_V)(y + v)]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus V} \\ &= [K(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho^L(K(x))K_V(v) + \rho^R(K(y))K_V(u) \\ &= K([K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + K_V(\rho^L(K(x))v + \rho^L(x)K_V(v)) \\ & \quad + \lambda\rho^L(x)v + K_V(\rho^R(K(y))u + \rho^R(y)K_V(u)) + \lambda\rho^R(y)u \end{aligned}$$

$$= (K \oplus K_V)([(K \oplus K_V)(x + u), y + v]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus V} + [x + u, (K \oplus K_V)(y + v)]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus V}) \\ + \lambda[x + u, y + v]_{\mathfrak{g} \oplus V}$$

This shows that  $K \oplus K_V$  is a modified Rota-Baxter operator of weight  $\lambda$  on the semidirect product Leibniz algebra. Hence the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$ . Define a new binary operation as:*

$$(6) \quad [x, y]_K := [K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then

- (i)  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_K)$  is a new Leibniz algebra, we denote this Leibniz algebra by  $\mathfrak{g}_K$ ;
- (ii) the triple  $(\mathfrak{g}_K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K, K)$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* (i) For any  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [x, [y, z]_K]_K &= [x, [K(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [y, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_K \\ &= [K(x), [K(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K[K(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(x), [y, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K[y, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= [K(x), [K(y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(x), [y, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, [K(y), K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \lambda[x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= [[K(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(y), [K(x), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [y, [K(x), K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &\quad + [[x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(y), [x, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \lambda[[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} - \lambda[y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= [[K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(y), [K(x), z]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &\quad + [y, [K(x), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [[x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(y), [x, K(z)]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= [[x, y]_K, z]_K + [y, [x, z]_K]_K. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_K)$  is a Leibniz algebra.

(ii) For any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [K(x), K(y)]_K &= [K^2(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(x), K^2(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= K([K^2(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &\quad + K([K(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K^2(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &= K([K(x), y]_K + [x, K(y)]_K) + \lambda[x, y]_K. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the triple  $(\mathfrak{g}_K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K, K)$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

The following result will be useful in the next section to construct the cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras of weight  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra of weight  $\lambda$  and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  be a representation of it. Define maps  $\rho_K^L, \rho_K^R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  by*

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_K^L(x)v &:= \rho^L(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^L(x)v), \\ \rho_K^R(x)v &:= \rho^R(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^R(x)v) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V$ . Then  $\rho_K^L, \rho_K^R$  defines a representation of the Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K)$  on  $V$ . Moreover,  $(V, \rho_K^L, \rho_K^R, K_V)$  is a representation of the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K, K)$  of weight  $\lambda$ .

*Proof.* One can show that  $\rho_K^L, \rho_K^R$  define a representation of the Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K)$  on  $V$ . In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho_K^L(x)\rho_K^L(y)v - \rho_K^L(y)\rho_K^L(x)v \\
 = & \rho_K^L(x)(\rho^L(K(y))v - K_V(\rho^L(y)v)) - \rho_K^L(y)(\rho^L(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^L(x)v)) \\
 = & \rho^L(K(x))(\rho^L(K(y))v - K_V(\rho^L(y)v)) - K_V(\rho^L(x)(\rho^L(K(y))v - \rho^L(x)K_V(\rho^L(y)v))) \\
 & \rho^L(K(y))(\rho^L(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^L(x)v)) - K_V(\rho^L(y)(\rho^L(K(x))v - \rho^L(y)K_V(\rho^L(x)v))) \\
 = & \rho^L(K(x))\rho^L(K(y))v - K_V(\rho^L(K(x))\rho^L(y)v + \rho^L(x)K_V(\rho^L(y)v) - K_V(\rho^L(x)\rho^L(y)v)) \\
 & - K_V(\rho^L(x)\rho^L(K(y))v - \rho^L(x)K_V(\rho^L(y)v)) - \rho^L(K(y))\rho^L(K(x))v \\
 & + K_V(\rho^L(K(y))\rho^L(x)v + \rho^L(y)K_V(\rho^L(x)v) - K_V(\rho^L(y)\rho^L(x)v)) \\
 & - K_V(\rho^L(y)\rho^L(K(x))v - \rho^L(y)K_V(\rho^L(x)v)) \\
 = & \rho^L([K(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}})v - K_V(\rho^L([K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}})v) - \lambda\rho^L([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
 = & \rho^L([x, y]_K)v - K_V(\rho^L([x, y]_K)v) \\
 = & \rho_K^L([x, y]_K)v.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similar to prove that

$$\rho_K^R([x, y]_K) = \rho_K^L(x)\rho_K^R(y) - \rho_K^R(y)\rho_K^L(x).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho_K^R(y)\rho_K^L(x)v + \rho_K^R(y)\rho_K^R(x)v \\
 = & \rho_K^R(y)(\rho^L(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^L(x)v)) + \rho_K^R(y)(\rho^R(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^R(x)v)) \\
 = & \rho^R(K(y))(\rho^L(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^L(x)v)) - K_V(\rho^R(y)(\rho^L(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^L(x)v))) \\
 & + \rho^R(K(y))(\rho^R(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^R(x)v)) - K_V(\rho^R(y)(\rho^R(K(x))v - K_V(\rho^R(x)v))) \\
 = & 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \rho_K^L(K(x))K_V(v) \\
 = & \rho^L(K^2(x))K_V(v) - K_V(\rho^L(K(x))K_V(v)) \\
 = & K_V(\rho^L(K^2(x))v + \rho^L(N(x))N_V(v)) + \lambda\rho^L(K(x))v \\
 & - K_V^2(\rho^L(K(x))v + \rho^L(x)K_V(v)) - \lambda K_V(\rho^L(x)v) \\
 = & K_V(\rho_K^L(K(x))v + \rho_K^L(x)K_V(v)) + \lambda\rho_K^L(x)v.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(V, \rho_K^L, \rho_K^R, K_V)$  is a representation of the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_K, [\cdot, \cdot]_K, K)$ .  $\square$

### 3. COHOMOLOGY THEORY OF MODIFIED ROTA-BAXTER LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will define a cohomology theory for modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras.

### 3.1. Cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter operators.

Firstly, let's study the cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter operators.

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  be a representation over it. Recall that Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 2.11 give a new Leibniz algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_K$  and a new representation  $V$  over  $\mathfrak{g}_K$ . Consider the cochain complex of  $\mathfrak{g}_K$  with coefficients in  $V$ :

$$C_{\text{Leib}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_K, V) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} C_{\text{Leib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_K, V).$$

More precisely, for  $n \geq 0$ ,  $C_{\text{Leib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_K, V) = \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes n}, V)$  and its differential

$$\partial^n : C_{\text{Leib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}_K, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{Leib}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}_K, V)$$

is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial^n f)(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} \rho_K^L(x_i) f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n+1}) + (-1)^{n+1} \rho_K^R(x_{n+1}) f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} (-1)^i f(x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{j-1}, [x_i, x_j]_K, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_{n+1}), \end{aligned}$$

for  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra and  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  be a representation over it. Then the cochain complex  $(C_{\text{Leib}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}_K, V), \partial)$  is called the cochain complex of modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K$  with coefficients in  $(V, \rho_K^L, \rho_K^R, K_V)$ , denoted by  $C_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . The cohomology of  $C_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , denoted by  $H_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , are called the cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K$  with coefficients in  $(V, \rho_K^L, \rho_K^R, K_V)$ .

When  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  is the regular representation  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ , we denote  $C_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  by  $C_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  and call it the cochain complex of modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K$ , and denote  $H_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  by  $H_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$  and call it the cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K$ .

### 3.2. Cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras.

In this subsection, we will combine the cohomology of Leibniz algebras and the cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter operators to define a cohomology theory for modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras.

Let  $V = (V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  be a representation over a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}, \mu = [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ . Now, let's construct a chain map

$$\Phi^{\bullet} : C_{\text{Leib}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{MO}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}, V),$$

i.e., the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} C_{\text{Leib}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^0} & C_{\text{Leib}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \cdots & C_{\text{Leib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \xrightarrow{\delta^n} & C_{\text{Leib}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \cdots \\ \downarrow \Phi^0 & & \downarrow \Phi^1 & & \downarrow \Phi^n & & \downarrow \Phi^{n+1} & \\ C_{\text{MO}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \xrightarrow{\partial^0} & C_{\text{MO}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \cdots & C_{\text{MO}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \xrightarrow{\partial^n} & C_{\text{MO}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) & \cdots \end{array}$$

Define  $\Phi^0 = \text{Id}_{\text{Hom}(k, V)} = \text{Id}_V$ , and for  $n \geq 1$  and  $f \in C_{\text{Leib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , define  $\Phi^n(f) \in C_{\text{MO}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  as:

$$\Phi^n(f)(x_1, \dots, x_n) = f(K(x_1), \dots, K(x_n))$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n, r \text{ odd}} (-\lambda)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} K_V \circ f(K(x_1), \dots, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}, \dots, K(x_n)) \\
 & - \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n, r \text{ even}} (-\lambda)^{\frac{r}{2}+1} K_V \circ f(K(x_1), \dots, x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}, \dots, K(x_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *The map  $\Phi^\bullet : C_{\text{Leib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{MO}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is a chain map.*

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $V = (V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  be a representation over a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}, \mu = [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ . We define the cochain complex  $(C_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V), d^\bullet)$  of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  with coefficients in  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  to the negative shift of the mapping cone of  $\Phi^\bullet$ , that is, let

$$C_{\text{MLeib}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) = C_{\text{Leib}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) \quad \text{and} \quad C_{\text{MLeib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) = C_{\text{Leib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) \oplus C_{\text{MO}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V), \quad \forall n \geq 1,$$

and the differential  $d^n : C_{\text{MLeib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{MLeib}}^{n+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  is given by

$$d^n(f, g) = (\delta^n(f), -\partial^{n-1}(g) - \Phi^n(f))$$

for any  $f \in C_{\text{Leib}}^n(\mathfrak{g}, V)$  and  $g \in C_{\text{MO}}^{n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . The cohomology of  $(C_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V), d^\bullet)$ , denoted by  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , is called the cohomology of the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  with coefficients in  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$ . When  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V) = (\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$ , we just denote  $C_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$ ,  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g})$  by  $C_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ ,  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  respectively, and call them the cochain complex, the cohomology of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, T)$  respectively.

There is an obvious short exact sequence of complexes:

$$(8) \quad 0 \rightarrow C_{\text{MO}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow C_{\text{Leib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow 0$$

which induces a long exact sequence of cohomology groups

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow H_{\text{MLeib}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H_{\text{Leib}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H_{\text{MO}}^0(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H_{\text{MLeib}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H_{\text{Leib}}^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow \dots \\
 \dots \rightarrow H_{\text{Leib}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H_{\text{MO}}^p(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H_{\text{MLeib}}^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H_{\text{Leib}}^{p+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. FORMAL DEFORMATIONS OF MODIFIED ROTA-BAXTER LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

In this section, we will study formal deformations of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras and interpret them via lower degree cohomology groups of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras defined in last section.

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra. Consider a 1-parameterized family:

$$\mu_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mu_i t^i, \quad \mu_i \in C_{\text{Leib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}), \quad K_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} K_i t^i, \quad K_i \in C_{\text{MO}}^1(\mathfrak{g}).$$

**Definition 4.1.** A 1-parameter formal deformation of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  is a pair  $(\mu_t, K_t)$  which endows the flat  $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$ -module  $\mathfrak{g}[[t]]$  with a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra structure over  $\mathbf{k}[[t]]$  such that  $(\mu_0, K_0) = (\mu, K)$ .

Power series  $\mu_t$  and  $K_t$  determine a 1-parameter formal deformation of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  if and only if for any  $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the following equations hold :

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_t(x, \mu_t(y, z)) &= \mu_t(\mu_t(x, y), z) + \mu_t(y, \mu_t(x, z)) \\ \mu_t(K_t(x), K_t(y)) &= K_t(\mu_t(K_t(x), y) + \mu_t(x, K_t(y))) + \lambda\mu_t(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

By expanding these equations and comparing the coefficient of  $t^n$ , we obtain that  $\{\mu_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  and  $\{K_i\}_{i \geq 0}$  have to satisfy: for any  $n \geq 0$ ,

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i+j=n} \mu_i(x, \mu_j(y, z)) = \sum_{i+j=n} \mu_i(\mu_j(x, y), z) + \mu_i(y, \mu_j(x, z)),$$

$$(10) \quad \sum_{i+j+k=n} \mu_i(K_j(x), K_k(y)) = \sum_{i+j+k=n} K_i(\mu_j(K_k(x), y) + \mu_j(x, K_k(y))) + \lambda\mu_k(x, y).$$

Obviously, when  $n = 0$ , the above conditions are exactly the associativity of  $\mu = \mu_0$  and Equation (2) which is the defining relation of a modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K = K_0$ .

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  be a 1-parameter formal deformation of a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$ . Then  $(\mu_1, K_1)$  is a 1-cocycle in the cochain complex  $C_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

*Proof.* When  $n = 1$ , Equations (9) and (10) become

$$[x, \mu_1(y, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_1(x, [y, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}) = [\mu_1(x, y), z]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [y, \mu_1(x, z)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_1([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}, z) + \mu_1(y, [x, z]_{\mathfrak{g}}),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}& [K_1(x), K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [K(x), K_1(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \mu_1(K(x), K(y)) \\ &= K_1([K(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + K(\mu_1(K(x), y) + \mu_1(x, K(y))) + \lambda[x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} \\ &+ K([K_1(x), y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [x, K_1(y)]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \lambda\mu_1(x, y).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the first equation is exactly  $\delta^2(\mu_1) = 0 \in C_{\text{Leib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$  and that second equation is exactly to

$$\Phi^2(\mu_1) = -\partial^1(K_1) \in C_{\text{MO}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}).$$

So  $(\mu_1, K_1)$  is a 2-cocycle in  $C_{\text{MLeib}}^\bullet(\mathfrak{g})$ . □

**Definition 4.3.** The 1-cocycle  $(\mu_1, K_1)$  is called the infinitesimal of the 1-parameter formal deformation  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  of a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$ .

**Definition 4.4.** Let  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, K'_t)$  be two 1-parameter formal deformations of a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$ . A formal isomorphism from  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, K'_t)$  to  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  is a power series  $\psi_t = \sum_{i=0} \psi_i t^i : \mathfrak{g}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}[[t]]$ , where  $\psi_i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  are linear maps with  $\psi_0 = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ , such that:

$$(11) \quad \psi_t \circ \mu'_t = \mu_t \circ (\psi_t \otimes \psi_t),$$

$$(12) \quad \psi_t \circ K'_t = K_t \circ \psi_t.$$

In this case, we say that the two 1-parameter formal deformations  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  and  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, K'_t)$  are equivalent.

Given a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$ , the power series  $\mu_t, K_t$  with  $\mu_i = \delta_{i,0}\mu, K_i = \delta_{i,0}K$  make  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  into a 1-parameter formal deformation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$ . Formal deformations equivalent to this one are called trivial.

**Theorem 4.5.** *The infinitesimals of two equivalent 1-parameter formal deformations of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  are in the same cohomology class in  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi_t : (\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu'_t, K'_t) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  be a formal isomorphism. Expanding the identities and collecting coefficients of  $t$ , we get from Equations (11) and (12):

$$\begin{aligned}\mu'_1 &= \mu_1 + \mu \circ (\text{Id} \otimes \psi_1) - \psi_1 \circ \mu + \mu \circ (\psi_1 \otimes \text{Id}), \\ K'_1 &= K_1 + K \circ \psi_1 - \psi_1 \circ K,\end{aligned}$$

that is, we have

$$(\mu'_1, K'_1) - (\mu_1, K_1) = (\delta^1(\psi_1), -\Phi^1(\psi_1)) = d^1(\psi_1, 0) \in C_{\text{MLeib}}^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{g}).$$

□

**Definition 4.6.** A modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  is said to be rigid if every 1-parameter formal deformation is trivial.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra. If  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  is rigid.*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  be a 1-parameter formal deformation of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$ . By Proposition 4.2,  $(\mu_1, K_1)$  is a 2-cocycle. By  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ , there exists a 1-cochain

$$(\psi'_1, x) \in C_{\text{MLeib}}^1(\mathfrak{g}) = C_{\text{Leib}}^1(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \text{Hom}(k, \mathfrak{g})$$

such that  $(\mu_1, K_1) = d^1(\psi'_1, x)$ , that is,  $\mu_1 = \delta^1(\psi'_1)$  and  $K_1 = -\partial^0(x) - \Phi^1(\psi'_1)$ . Let  $\psi_1 = \psi'_1 + \delta^0(x)$ . Then  $\mu_1 = \delta^1(\psi_1)$  and  $K_1 = -\Phi^1(\psi_1)$ , as it can be readily seen that  $\Phi^1(\delta^0(x)) = \partial^0(x)$ .

Setting  $\psi_t = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} - \psi_1 t$ , we have a deformation  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \bar{\mu}_t, \bar{K}_t)$ , where

$$\bar{\mu}_t = \psi_t^{-1} \circ \mu_t \circ (\psi_t \times \psi_t)$$

and

$$\bar{K}_t = \psi_t^{-1} \circ K_t \circ \psi_t.$$

It can be easily verify that  $\bar{\mu}_1 = 0, \bar{K}_1 = 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}_t &= \mu + \bar{\mu}_2 t^2 + \cdots, \\ \bar{K}_t &= K + \bar{K}_2 t^2 + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

By Equations (9) and (10), we see that  $(\bar{\mu}_2, \bar{K}_2)$  is still a 2-cocycle, so by induction, we can show that  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu_t, K_t)$  is equivalent to the trivial extension  $(\mathfrak{g}[[t]], \mu, K)$ . Thus,  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, K)$  is rigid. □

## 5. ABELIAN EXTENSIONS OF MODIFIED ROTA-BAXTER LEIBNIZ ALGEBRAS

In this section, we study abelian extensions of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras and show that they are classified by the first cohomology, as one would expect of a good cohomology theory.

Notice that a vector space  $V$  together with a linear transformation  $K_V : V \rightarrow V$  is naturally a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra where the multiplication on  $V$  is defined to be  $[u, v]_V = 0$  for all  $u, v \in V$ .

**Definition 5.1.** An abelian extension of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras is a short exact sequence of morphisms of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras

$$(13) \quad 0 \rightarrow (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V) \xrightarrow{i} (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{K}) \xrightarrow{p} (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K) \rightarrow 0,$$

that is, there exists a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & K_V \downarrow & & \hat{K} \downarrow & & K \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \xrightarrow{i} & \hat{\mathfrak{g}} & \xrightarrow{p} & \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  satisfies  $[\cdot, v]_V = 0$  for all  $v \in V$ .

We will call  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{K})$  an abelian extension of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{K}_1)$  and  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{K}_2)$  be two abelian extensions of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$ . They are said to be isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras  $\zeta : (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, \hat{K}_1) \rightarrow (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, \hat{K}_2)$  such that the following commutative diagram holds:

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V) & \xrightarrow{i} & (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{K}_1) & \xrightarrow{p} & (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \zeta \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V) & \xrightarrow{i} & (\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{K}_2) & \xrightarrow{p} & (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

A section of an abelian extension  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{K})$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  is a linear map  $s : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  such that  $p \circ s = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ .

We will show that isomorphism classes of abelian extensions of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  are in bijection with the second cohomology group  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ .

Let  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}, \hat{K})$  be an abelian extension of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  having the form Equation (13). Choose a section  $s : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and define  $\rho^L, \rho^R : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$

$$\rho^L(x)v := [s(x), i(v)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \quad \rho^R(x)v := [i(v), s(x)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$$

for all  $x \in \mathfrak{g}, v \in V$ .

**Proposition 5.3.** *With the above notations,  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  is a representation over  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward to check that  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R)$  is a representation over  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ . Moreover,  $\hat{K}(s(x)) - s(K(x)) \in V$  means that  $\rho^L(\hat{K}(s(x)))v = \rho^L(s(K(x)))v$  and  $\rho^R(\hat{K}(s(x)))v = \rho^R(s(K(x)))v$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^L(K(x))K_V(v) &= \rho^L(s(K(x)))K_V(v) \\ &= K_V(\rho^L(\hat{K}(s(x))))v + \rho^L(s(x))K_V(v) + \lambda\rho^L(s(x))v \\ &= K_V(\rho^L(K(x)))v + \rho^L(x)K_V(v) + \lambda\rho^L(x)v. \end{aligned}$$

Similar to prove that

$$\rho^R(K(x))K_V(v) = K_V(\rho^R(K(x)))v + \rho^R(x)K_V(v) + \lambda\rho^R(x)v.$$

Hence,  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  is a representation over  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ .  $\square$

We further define linear maps  $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  and  $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  respectively by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x, y) &= [s(x), s(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ \chi(x) &= \hat{K}(s(x)) - s(K(x)), \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}.\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 5.4.** *The pair  $(\psi, \chi)$  is a 2-cocycle of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  with coefficients in the representation  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  introduced in Proposition 5.3.*

The proof is by direct computations, so it is left to the reader.

The choice of the section  $s$  in fact determines a splitting

$$0 \longrightarrow V \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{i} \\ \xleftarrow{t} \end{array} \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p} \\ \xleftarrow{s} \end{array} \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow 0$$

subject to  $t \circ i = \text{Id}_V$ ,  $t \circ s = 0$  and  $it + sp = \text{Id}_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ . Then there is an induced isomorphism of vector spaces

$$\begin{pmatrix} p & t \end{pmatrix} : \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathfrak{g} \oplus V : \begin{pmatrix} s \\ i \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can transfer the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra structure on  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  to  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  via this isomorphism. It is direct to verify that this endows  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  with a Leibniz bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}$  and a modified Rota-Baxter operator  $K_{\chi}$  defined by

$$(15) \quad [x + u, y + v]_{\psi} = [x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho^L(x)v + \rho^R(y)u + \psi(x, y),$$

$$(16) \quad K_{\chi}(x + u) = K(x) + \chi(x) + K_V(u),$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $u, v \in V$ . Moreover, we get an abelian extension

$$0 \rightarrow (V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V) \begin{pmatrix} s & i \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, K_{\chi}) \begin{pmatrix} p \\ t \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K) \rightarrow 0$$

which is easily seen to be isomorphic to the original one (13).

Now we investigate the influence of different choices of sections.

**Proposition 5.5.** (i) *Different choices of the section  $s$  give the same representation on  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$ ;*  
 (ii) *the cohomological class of  $(\psi, \chi)$  does not depend on the choice of sections.*

*Proof.* Let  $s_1$  and  $s_2$  be two distinct sections of  $p$ . We define  $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  by  $\gamma(x) = s_1(x) - s_2(x)$ .

Since the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  satisfies  $[u, v]_V = 0$  for all  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$\rho^L(s_1(x))u = \rho^L(s_2(x) + \gamma(x))u = \rho^L(s_2(x))u.$$

So different choices of the section  $s$  give the same representation on  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$ ;

We show that the cohomological class of  $(\psi, \chi)$  does not depend on the choice of sections.

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_1(x, y) &= [s_1(x), s_1(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s_1([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= [s_2(x) + \gamma(x), s_2(y) + \gamma(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - (s_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) + \gamma([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\ &= ([s_2(x), s_2(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) + [s_2(x), \gamma(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\gamma(x), s_2(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - \gamma([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= ([s_2(x), s_2(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - s_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) + [x, \gamma(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} + [\gamma(x), y]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} - \gamma([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\ &= \psi_2(x, y) + \delta(\gamma)(x, y)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_1(x) &= \hat{K}(s_1(x)) - s_1(K(x)) \\
&= \hat{K}(s_2(x) + \gamma(x)) - (s_2(K(x)) + \gamma(K(x))) \\
&= (\hat{K}(s_2(x)) - s_2(K(x))) + \hat{K}(\gamma(x)) - \gamma(K(x)) \\
&= \chi_2(x) + K_V(\gamma(x)) - \gamma(K(x)) \\
&= \chi_2(x) - \Phi^1(\gamma)(x).
\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $(\psi_1, \chi_1) = (\psi_2, \chi_2) + d^1(\gamma)$ . Thus  $(\psi_1, \chi_1)$  and  $(\psi_2, \chi_2)$  form the same cohomological class in  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ .  $\square$

We show now the isomorphic abelian extensions give rise to the same cohomology classes.

**Proposition 5.6.** *Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $K_V \in \text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(V)$ . Then  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra with trivial Leibniz bracket. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  be a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra. Two isomorphic abelian extensions of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  give rise to the same cohomology class in  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{K}_1)$  and  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{K}_2)$  are two isomorphic abelian extensions of  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$  by  $(V, [\cdot, \cdot]_V, K_V)$  as is given in (14). Let  $s_1$  be a section of  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}, \hat{K}_1)$ . As  $p_2 \circ \zeta = p_1$ , we have

$$p_2 \circ (\zeta \circ s_1) = p_1 \circ s_1 = \text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Therefore,  $\zeta \circ s_1$  is a section of  $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2}, \hat{K}_2)$ . Denote  $s_2 := \zeta \circ s_1$ . Since  $\zeta$  is a homomorphism of modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebras such that  $\zeta|_V = \text{id}_V$ ,  $\zeta(\rho^L(x)m) = \zeta(\rho^L(s_1(x))m) = \rho^L(s_2(x))m = \rho^L(x)m$ , so  $\zeta|_V : V \rightarrow V$  is compatible with the induced representation. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_2(x, y) &= [s_2(x), s_2(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2} - s_2([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= [\zeta(s_1(x)), \zeta(s_1(y))]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_2} - \zeta(s_1([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}})) \\
&= \zeta([s_1(x), s_1(y)]_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_1}) - s_1([x, y]_{\mathfrak{g}}) \\
&= \zeta(\psi_1(x, y)) \\
&= \psi_1(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_2(x) &= \hat{K}_2(s_2(x)) - s_2(K(x)) = \hat{K}_2(\zeta(s_1(x))) - \zeta(s_1(K(x))) \\
&= \zeta(\hat{K}_1(s_1(x)) - s_1(K(x))) = \zeta(\chi_1(x)) \\
&= \chi_1(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, two isomorphic abelian extensions give rise to the same element in  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ .  $\square$

Now we consider the reverse direction.

Let  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$  be a representation over a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, K)$ , given two linear maps  $\psi : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  and  $\chi : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$ , one can define a Leibniz bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\psi}$  and an operator  $K_{\chi}$  on  $\mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  by Equations (15) and (16). The following fact is important:

**Proposition 5.7.** *The triple  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_\psi, K_\chi)$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra if and only if  $(\psi, \chi)$  is a 2-cocycle of the modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, K)$  with coefficients in  $(V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V)$ . In this case, we obtain an abelian extension*

$$0 \rightarrow (V, \rho^L, \rho^R, K_V) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \text{id} \end{pmatrix}} (\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, K_\chi) \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} \text{id} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}} (\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_\mathfrak{g}, K) \rightarrow 0,$$

and the canonical section  $s = \begin{pmatrix} \text{id} & 0 \end{pmatrix} : (\mathfrak{g}, K) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, K_\chi)$  endows  $V$  with the original representation.

*Proof.* If  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_\psi, K_\chi)$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra, then  $[\cdot, \cdot]_\psi$  implies

$$(17) \quad \psi(x, [y, z]_\mathfrak{g}) + \rho^L(x)\psi(y, z) = \psi([x, y]_\mathfrak{g}, z) + \rho^L(y)\psi(x, z) + \rho^R(z)\psi(x, y),$$

which means  $\delta^2(\psi) = 0$  in  $C^\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ . Since  $K_\chi$  is a modified Rota-Baxter operator, for any  $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $u, v \in V$ , we have

$$[K_\chi(x+u), K_\chi(y+v)]_\psi = K_\chi([K_\chi(x+u), y+v]_\psi + [x+u, K_\chi(y+v)]_\psi) + \lambda[x+u, y+v]_\psi.$$

That is,

$$\partial^1(\chi) + \Phi^2(\psi) = 0.$$

Hence,  $(\psi, \chi)$  is a 2-cocycle.

Conversely, if  $(\psi, \chi)$  is a 2-cocycle, one can easily check that  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_\psi, K_\chi)$  is a modified Rota-Baxter Leibniz algebra.

The last statement is clear.  $\square$

Finally, we show the following result:

**Proposition 5.8.** *Two cohomologous 2-cocycles give rise to isomorphic abelian extensions.*

*Proof.* Given two 2-cocycles  $(\psi_1, \chi_1)$  and  $(\psi_2, \chi_2)$ , we can construct two abelian extensions  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_1}, K_{\chi_1})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_2}, K_{\chi_2})$  via Equations (15) and (16). If they represent the same cohomology class in  $H_{\text{MLeib}}^2(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ , then there exists two linear maps  $\gamma_0 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V, \gamma_1 : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  such that

$$(\psi_1, \chi_1) = (\psi_2, \chi_2) + (\delta^1(\gamma_1), -\Phi^1(\gamma_1) - \delta^0(\gamma_0)).$$

Notice that  $\delta^0 = \Phi^1 \circ \delta^0$ . Define  $\gamma : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V$  to be  $\gamma_1 + \delta^0(\gamma_0)$ . Then  $\gamma$  satisfies

$$(\psi_1, \chi_1) = (\psi_2, \chi_2) + (\delta^1(\gamma), -\Phi^1(\gamma)).$$

Define  $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \oplus V \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \oplus V$  by

$$\zeta(x+u) := x - \gamma(x) + u.$$

Then  $\zeta$  is an isomorphism of these two abelian extensions  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_1}, K_{\chi_1})$  and  $(\mathfrak{g} \oplus V, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\psi_2}, K_{\chi_2})$ .  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos. 11871301, 12271292).

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960) 731-742. [1](#)
- [2] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem. I. The Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 210 (2000) 249-273. [1](#)
- [3] C. Cuvier, Homology of Leibniz algebras, PhD Thesis, Louis Pasteur University (1991). [2](#), [3](#)
- [4] A. Das, Weighted relative Rota-Baxter operators on Leibniz algebras and post-Leibniz algebras structures, preprint, arXiv:2202.03162. [2](#), [5](#)
- [5] A. Das, Cohomological study of modified Rota-Baxter algebras, preprint, arXiv:2207.02273. [2](#)
- [6] K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Loday-type algebras and the Rota-Baxter relation, *Lett. Math. Phys.* 61 (2002) 139-147. [2](#)
- [7] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebra (Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2012). [1](#)
- [8] J. Jiang and Y. Sheng, Cohomologies and deformations of modified  $r$ -matrices, preprint, arXiv:2206.00411 [1](#)
- [9] J.-L. Loday, Une version non commutative des algèbres de Lie: les algèbres de Leibniz, *Enseign. Math.* (2) 39(1993) 269-293. [2](#)
- [10] J.-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili, Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology, *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993) 139-158. [2](#), [3](#)
- [11] M. Semonov-Tian-Shansky, What is a classical R-matrix? *Funct. Anal. Appl.* (1983) 259-272 [1](#)
- [12] R. Tang and Y. Sheng, Leibniz bialgebras, relative Rota-Baxter operators and the classical Leibniz Yang-Baxter equation, *J. Noncommutative Geom.* to appear. [2](#)
- [13] R. Tang, Y. Sheng and Y. Zhou, Deformations of relative Rota-Baxter operators on Leibniz algebras, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* 17(2020) 2050174. [2](#)
- [14] X. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Free modified Rota-Baxter algebras and Hopf algebras, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra* 25 (2019) 12-34. [2](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, QUFU 273165, P. R. OF CHINA  
*Email address:* yzli1001@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, QUFU 273165, P. R. OF CHINA  
*Email address:* dgwang@qfnu.edu.cn