

KLEIN CORDIAL TREES AND ODD CYCLIC CORDIAL FRIENDSHIP GRAPHS

WILLIAM Q. ERICKSON, DANIEL HERDEN, JONATHAN MEDDAUGH,
MARK R. SEPANSKI, ISAAC ECHOLS, CORDELL HAMMON, JORGE
MARCHENA-MENENDEZ, JASMIN MOHN, BLANCA RADILLO-MURGUIA,
INDALECIO RUIZ-BOLANOS

ABSTRACT. For a graph G and an abelian group A , a labeling of the vertices of G induces a labeling of the edges via the sum of adjacent vertex labels. Hovey introduced the notion of an A -cordial vertex labeling when both the vertex and edge labels are as evenly distributed as possible. Much work has since been done with trees, hypertrees, paths, cycles, ladders, prisms, hypercubes, and bipartite graphs. In this paper we show that all trees are \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial except for P_4 and P_5 . In addition, we give numerous results relating to \mathbb{Z}_m -cordiality of the friendship graph F_n . The most general result shows that when m is an odd multiple of 3, then F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all n . We also give a general conjecture to determine when F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
2. Preliminaries	3
3. Generalities and Finite Exceptions to Cordiality	5
4. Tree Cordiality Set-Up and Reductions	6
5. \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -Cordiality for Trees with $4k$ Vertices	7
6. \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -Cordiality for Trees	12
7. General Obstruction to Friendship Cordiality	12
8. Friendship Reductions	13
9. MAD Pairs and Construction to $F_{\frac{m}{3}}$	15
10. Construction to $F_{\frac{m}{2}}$	19
11. Construction to $F_{\frac{2m}{3}-1}$	21
12. Construction to F_m for $3 \mid m$	24
13. Closing Remarks	25
14. Appendix: MAD Details	26

Date: November 21, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 05C78; Secondary: 05C05.

Key words and phrases. Cordial labelings, trees, friendship graphs, MAD pairs.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many applications of graph theory reduce to questions of graph labeling. This has given rise to hundreds of labeling methods in the past 60 years [Gal98]. For a graph G and an abelian group A , Hovey [Hov91] introduced the idea of an *A-cordial labeling of G* . These begin with a labeling of the vertices of G by elements in A , and then induce a labeling on the edges via the sum of adjacent vertex labels (see Definition 2.1 below). The labeling is said to be *A-cordial* if the distribution of vertex labels is as evenly distributed as possible and if the distribution of edge labels is as evenly distributed as possible (Definition 2.2). If G admits an *A-cordial labeling*, we say that G is *A-cordial*. It has been shown that determining whether or not a graph admits an *A-cordial labeling* is NP-complete [CE00].

The \mathbb{Z}_2 -cordiality of all trees was noted in [Cah87], while Hovey [Hov91] demonstrated that all trees also admit cordial labelings for \mathbb{Z}_3 , \mathbb{Z}_4 , and \mathbb{Z}_5 . These results were extended to \mathbb{Z}_6 - and \mathbb{Z}_7 -cordial labelings by Driscoll, Krop, and Nguyen [DKN17, Dri19]. Cordiality for hypertrees was studied by Cichacz, Görlich, and Tuza [CGT13], Tuczyński, Wenus, and Wesek [TWW19], and Cichacz and Görlich [CG21].

Moving beyond trees, cordiality for paths and cycles was studied by Patrias and Pechenik [PP21] and Cichacz [Cic22]. Moving beyond cyclic groups, \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordiality has been studied for numerous graphs. For example, Riskin [Ris07] looked at K_n and $K_{m,n}$, while Pechenik and Wise [PW12] looked at paths, cycles, ladders, prisms, and hypercubes.

In this paper, we give a complete answer to the “seemingly difficult problem” [Ris07] of classifying all trees that admit a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial labeling. Precisely, Theorem 6.1 shows that all trees, except P_4 and P_5 , are \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial. We also conjecture (Conjecture 6.3) that trees are weakly *A-cordial* for any abelian group A , where *weakly A-cordial* means that all but a finite number of trees are *A-cordial*.

Next we turn our attention to \mathbb{Z}_m -cordiality for friendship graphs. A *friendship graph F_n* is formed by joining n copies of C_3 at a central vertex (Definition 2.4). It has been shown that all friendship graphs are \mathbb{Z}_4 -, \mathbb{Z}_5 -, and \mathbb{Z}_7 -cordial [KR14, KM15, Kan14].

In this paper, for m odd, we first show that F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all n if and only if F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m are \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial (Theorem 8.3). When

also $3 \mid m$, we use this to show that F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all n (Corollary 12.3). In general, we show that F_n is never \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial when

$$2 \mid n, 4 \nmid n, m = \frac{3n}{d}$$

for d a positive, odd divisor of $3n$ (Theorem 7.1). In fact (Conjecture 7.2), we conjecture that this is the only obstruction to F_n being \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial.

A key idea for studying \mathbb{Z}_m -cordiality for friendship graphs is that of *maximally additively disjoint* (MAD) pairs in an abelian group A . These are pairs $(a_i, b_i) \in A^2$, $1 \leq i \leq \mu$, such that

$$a_1, b_1, \dots, a_\mu, b_\mu, a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_\mu + b_\mu$$

are all distinct. See [HHOr04, HOr07] for closely related ideas. In Theorem 9.2, we show that \mathbb{Z}_m has exactly $\lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor$ MAD pairs when $m \not\equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ and $\lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor - 1$ MAD pairs when $m \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$. As an application, this can be used to show that $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{\lfloor m/3 \rfloor}$ are \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial when $m \not\equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ (Corollary 9.5). In fact, for m odd, this can be further pushed all the way up to $F_{\lfloor 2m/3 \rfloor - 1}$ or $F_{\lfloor 2m/3 \rfloor}$ (Theorems 10.1 and 11.2), depending on a parity condition.

Finally, we observe that for a fixed graph G , there are at most a finite number of abelian groups A for which G is not A -cordial (Theorem 3.2). This includes an explicit lower bound on $|A|$ that guarantees A -cordiality.

2. PRELIMINARIES


Write \mathbb{N} for $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Throughout this paper, we will work with finite, simple, undirected graphs $G = (V, E)$, where $V = V(G)$ is the set of vertices and $E = E(G)$ is the set of edges. We write A for an abelian group. Given a labeling of the vertices of G by elements of A , we will induce a labeling of the edges of G by summing the corresponding vertex labels.

Definition 2.1. Given a labeling $\ell : V \rightarrow A$ of the vertices of G by elements of A , the *induced labeling* $\ell : E \rightarrow A$ on the edges is given by

$$\ell((v_1, v_2)) = \ell(v_1) + \ell(v_2)$$

for $(v_1, v_2) \in E$.

For example, the vertex labeling of P_3 in Figure 2.1 gives rise to the displayed edge labels. When context allows, we will frequently refer to an edge or vertex by its label.

FIGURE 2.1. A labeling of P_3 by elements of \mathbb{Z}

Given a labeling of the vertices of G by an abelian group A , we will say the labeling is *cordial* if the labels of V are as evenly distributed as possible and if the induced labels of E are as evenly distributed as possible.

Definition 2.2. Let A be an abelian group, $\ell : V \rightarrow A$ a labeling of the vertices of a graph G , and $\ell : E \rightarrow A$ the induced labeling of the edges.

- (1) Write $f_V : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ and $f_E : A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ for the *frequency distribution* of vertex and edge labels, respectively:

$$f_V(a) = |\{v \in V \mid \ell(v) = a\}|$$

$$f_E(a) = |\{e \in E \mid \ell(e) = a\}|$$

for $a \in A$.

- (2) The labeling is called *cordial* (or *A-cordial*) if both

$$\max_{a_1, a_2 \in A} |f_V(a_1) - f_V(a_2)| \leq 1$$

$$\max_{a_1, a_2 \in A} |f_E(a_1) - f_E(a_2)| \leq 1.$$

- (3) G is called *A-cordial* if there exists an *A-cordial* labeling ℓ of G .

Note that if $|A| = m$ is finite, then letting $|V| = n_V$ and $|E| = n_E$, we can write

$$n_V = q_V m + r_V \quad \text{and} \quad n_E = q_E m + r_E$$

per the Division Algorithm. Then the labeling is cordial if and only if (1) f_V has a value of $q_V + 1$ for r_V elements of A and a value of q_V for the rest of the elements of A , and (2) f_E has a value of $q_E + 1$ for r_E elements of A and a value of q_E for the rest of the elements of A .

Beginning in Section 4, we will study \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordiality for trees. There we will use the following definition.

Definition 2.3. We say a graph H is an *extension* of the graph G (alternatively, H *extends* G) if H contains G as an induced subgraph. In this case we use $V'(H)$ and $E'(H)$ to denote vertices and edges in H that are not in G .

Beginning in Section 7, we will examine \mathbb{Z}_m -cordiality for the *friendship graphs*. It is a theorem of Erdős, Rényi, and Sós [ERS66] that finite graphs in which every two vertices possess exactly one neighbor in common are exactly the friendship graphs.

Definition 2.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *friendship graph* F_n is the union of n copies of a triangle C_3 , joined at a central vertex.

For example, Figure 2.2 displays F_3 .




FIGURE 2.2. The friendship graph F_3

3. GENERALITIES AND FINITE EXCEPTIONS TO CORDIALITY

We begin with a straightforward observation, part of which can be found in [Hov91].

Lemma 3.1.

- (a) Fix $s_0 \in A$. If $\ell : V \rightarrow A$ is an A -cordial labeling of G , then so is the labeling ℓ' defined by the label shifting $\ell'(v) = \ell(v) - s_0$. Moreover, if $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(A)$, then $\varphi \circ \ell$ is an A -cordial labeling of G .
- (b) Let A be a ring with unity and let $u_0 \in A$ be a unit. If $\ell : V \rightarrow A$ is an A -cordial labeling of G , then so are the labelings ℓ' defined by $\ell'(v) = u_0\ell(v)$ and $\ell'(v) = \ell(v)u_0$, respectively.

Next, we show that a graph G can only have, at most, a finite number of (finite) abelian groups for which it is not cordial. Write $\Delta(G)$ for the maximal degree of a vertex in G .

Theorem 3.2. Let G be a graph and A an abelian group. If

$$|A| > |V| + |E|\Delta(G) - 1,$$

then G is A -cordial.

Proof. It suffices to show that, when $|A| > |V| + |E|\Delta(G) - 1$, there exists a labeling so that all edge and vertex labels have frequency 0 or 1. To do this, inductively label each vertex v one at a time. At each stage, choose an element of A that, first of all, is distinct from the previously chosen vertex labels. This excludes at most $|V| - 1$ elements of A . In addition, the vertex v has at most $\Delta(G)$ adjacent edges that become

labeled when v is labeled. As the vertex labels are distinct, these new induced edge labels will be distinct amongst themselves. It remains to force no overlap with previous edge labels. In other words, if (v, v_i) is such an edge, then the label for v must also avoid any previously labeled edge minus $\ell(v_i)$. This excludes, at most, a further $|E|\Delta(G)$ elements of A . \square

The above inequality can usually be dramatically improved by choosing a clever labeling order and keeping track of the exact number of resulting exclusions.

4. TREE CORDIALITY SET-UP AND REDUCTIONS

Lemma 4.1. *Let A be a finite abelian group with $|A| = n$, and let T be an A -cordial tree with nk vertices for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If T^* is an extension of T such that*

$$|V(T^*)| \leq nk + \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1,$$

then T^ is also A -cordial.*

Proof. This proof proceeds similarly to the proof of [Hov91, Lemma 3]; see also [PP21, Lemma 2.1]. Now, as the labeling of T is cordial, each element in A appears as a vertex label exactly k times. Since there are $nk - 1$ edges, all but one element of A appear as an edge label exactly k times, while the remaining element, which we call a_0 , appears as an edge label $k - 1$ times.

In general, if a single leaf is attached to T , then there is a unique vertex label that makes the extension cordial. Namely, the vertex label that forces the induced edge label to be a_0 . At this point, the edge labels will be equally distributed, but one vertex label is used more than the others.

We may now proceed inductively. If we attach a leaf to an A -cordial tree with $nk + j$ vertices, $1 \leq j < n$, there are j vertex labels that must be avoided in order to preserve vertex-cordiality, leaving $n - j$ viable choices for the vertex label of the added leaf. To preserve edge-cordiality, there are $j - 1$ sums to be avoided for the vertex label of the added leaf. Both are always achievable when $n - j > j - 1$. So when $j < \frac{n+1}{2}$, the added leaf can be labeled to preserve cordiality. This will give the desired result. \square

We focus on the Klein four-group, $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. We will write this group as

$$\mathbb{Z}_2^2 = \{0, a, b, c\},$$

with identity 0, $a + a = b + b = c + c = 0$, $a + b = c$, $a + c = b$, and $b + c = a$. With this in mind, we restate more specific forms of Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1. The first follows from the observation that any bijection from \mathbb{Z}_2^2 to \mathbb{Z}_2^2 that maps 0 to 0 must be an automorphism.

Lemma 4.2. *If ℓ is a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial labeling of G , then so is $\varphi \circ \ell$ for any bijection $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_2^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let T be a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial tree with $4k$ vertices for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let T^* be an extension of T such that $|V(T^*)| \leq 4k + 3$. Then T^* is \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial.*

5. \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -CORDIALITY FOR TREES WITH $4k$ VERTICES

In this section, we prove the following.

Theorem 5.1. *Let T be a tree with $4k$ vertices for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. If T is not P_4 , then T is \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial.*

Before giving the proof, we introduce two procedures.

Procedure 5.2. Let T be a tree with $4k$ vertices for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that is labeled in a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial manner, and let T^* be an extension of T with $4k + 4$ vertices in such a way that each vertex in $V'(T^*)$ is connected to a vertex in $V(T)$; see Figure 5.1, where the bottom vertices are part of T and may coincide. This procedure constructs a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial labeling on T^* .




FIGURE 5.1. Four added leaves of T^* , Procedure 5.2

Notice that every $l \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ appears k times as a vertex label of T . As there are $4k - 1$ edges, let $e \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$ denote the unique label that is used only $k - 1$ times as an edge label. All the remaining $l \in \mathbb{Z}_2^2$, $l \neq e$, appear exactly k times as edge labels. If $|V(T)| = 0$ we choose $e = 0$.

Then there are 4 edges in $E'(T^*)$ and each has one vertex in $V'(T^*)$ and one vertex in $V(T)$. These four vertices in T can have the following labeling patterns: all vertices share the same label, three vertices share the same label and one vertex is labeled differently, two vertices share a label and the other two vertices share some other label, two vertices share the same label and the other two vertices have distinct labels, or each vertex is labeled distinctly.

Thanks to Lemmas 3.1 and 4.2, we can relabel the vertices of T in such a way that we have one of the cases found in Figure 5.2.




FIGURE 5.2. Cordial leaves in Procedure 5.2

The indicated labelings ensure that T^* is \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial.

Procedure 5.3. Let T be a tree with $4k$ vertices for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ that is labeled in a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial manner, and let T^* be an extension of T with $4k + 4$ vertices in such a way that there is one vertex in $V'(T^*)$ that is not connected to a vertex in $V(T)$; see Figure 5.3, where the bottom vertices are part of T and need not be distinct.




FIGURE 5.3. Four added leaves of T^* , Procedure 5.3

As a result, $E'(T^*)$ contains four edges, and those edges are connected to exactly three vertices from $V(T)$. Again, thanks to Lemmas 3.1 and 4.2, there are only four cases to consider. Each of these cases is pictured in Figure 5.4. Moreover, the indicated labelings show that T^* is \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial.




FIGURE 5.4. Cordial leaves in Procedure 5.3

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . We consider three base cases. If $k = 0$, then the only tree with $4k$ vertices is the empty tree which is vacuously \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial.

If $k = 1$, then there are exactly two trees with $4k$ vertices, namely P_4 and S_3 . P_4 is not cordial as shown in [PW12, Theorem 3.4]. S_3 admits a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial labeling as seen here:





FIGURE 5.5. S_3 and P_4

If $k = 2$, then there are 23 trees with $4k$ vertices, see [Har69, p.233]. The 10 trees in Figure 5.6 result from applying Procedure 5.2 to S_3 and thus admit a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial labeling.

FIGURE 5.6. Ten trees from S_3 via Procedure 5.2

Next, the 5 trees in Figure 5.7 result from applying Procedure 5.3 to S_3 and thus admit a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial labeling.




FIGURE 5.7. Five trees from S_3 via Procedure 5.3

The last 8 trees must be verified by hand, which is done in Figure 5.8.




FIGURE 5.8. Eight remaining trees

Now, suppose that $k \geq 2$. Let T^* be any tree with $|V(T^*)| = 4k + 4$. We have three cases:

- (1) T^* has four or more leaves, in which case T^* can be obtained by applying Procedure 5.2 to a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial tree T with $|V(T)| = 4k$.
- (2) T^* has exactly three leaves, in which case T^* can be obtained by applying Procedure 5.3 to a \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial tree T with $|V(T)| = 4k$.
- (3) T^* has exactly 2 leaves, in which case T^* is a path meaning T^* is \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial [PW12, Theorem 3.4]. \square

6. \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -CORDIALITY FOR TREES

We come now to our main result on tree cordiality.

Theorem 6.1. *All trees, except P_4 and P_5 , are \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial.*

Proof. Combining Theorem 5.1 and Lemma 4.3, we are left to consider trees T^* which are an extension of P_4 such that $|V(T^*)| \leq 7$.

If T^* has three or more leaves, then it is easy to see that T^* is an extension of the \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial graph S_3 and is thus \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial by Lemma 4.3. If, on the other hand, T^* has exactly two leaves, then T^* is a path and, thus, is \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial if and only if T^* is *not* P_4 or P_5 as shown in [PW12, Theorem 3.4]. \square

We end this section with a definition and conjecture.

Definition 6.2. A family of \mathcal{G} graphs is called *weakly A -cordial* if all but a finite number of elements of \mathcal{G} are A -cordial.

For example, Theorem 6.1 shows that the family of trees is weakly \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordial.

Conjecture 6.3. *For any abelian group A , the set of trees is weakly A -cordial.*

7. GENERAL OBSTRUCTION TO FRIENDSHIP CORDIALITY

We now turn our attention to friendship graphs. Below is a general obstruction to friendship cyclic cordiality.

Theorem 7.1. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$. If*

- (1) m, n are even
- (2) $m \mid 3n$
- (3) $4 \nmid n$,

then F_n is not \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial.

Proof. As F_n has $3n$ edges and $m \mid 3n$, a cordial edge label frequency would be uniformly $\frac{3n}{m}$. As m is even, the sum of all the elements of \mathbb{Z}_m is $\frac{m}{2}$ so the sum of all the edges of a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling would be $\frac{3n}{m} \frac{m}{2} = \frac{3n}{2}$. If $4 \nmid n$, this is odd (and well-defined in \mathbb{Z}_m as m is even). On the other hand, the sum of all the edge labels is twice the sum of the circumferential vertex labels plus $2n$ times the central vertex label, which is even. Contradiction! \square

Conjecture 7.2. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$. Then F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial unless*

- (1) m, n are even
- (2) $m \mid 3n$
- (3) $4 \nmid n$.

Note that the above condition on m, n is equivalent to requiring that

$$2 \mid n, 4 \nmid n, m = \frac{3n}{d}$$

for d a positive, *odd* divisor of $3n$.

8. FRIENDSHIP REDUCTIONS

We begin with an important labeling convention. By Lemma 3.1, just using label shifting, we may always assume that a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_n labels the central vertex with 0. We will always do so.

Definition 8.1. Let $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\ell_i : V_i \rightarrow A$, $i = 1, 2$, are labelings of F_{n_i} with the central vertex labeled by 0 by both ℓ_i , write

$$F_{n_1} + F_{n_2}$$

for the labeling of $F_{n_1+n_2}$ using ℓ_1 on the first n_1 triangles and ℓ_2 on the next n_2 triangles.

For example, Figure 8.1 illustrates the sum $F_3 = F_1 + F_2$.

Theorem 8.3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$.*

- (a) *If m is odd, then F_m is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial. Moreover, F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{m-1} are \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial.*
- (b) *If m is even, then F_{2m} is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial. Moreover, F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if and only if $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{2m-1}$ are \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial.*
- (c) *If m is even, but $4 \nmid m$, then F_m is not \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial.*

Proof. For part (a), label the central vertex of F_m with 0. Then add m triangles whose circumferential vertex labels are the pairs

$$(0, 1), (2, 3), (4, 5) \dots, (m-1, 0), (1, 2), (3, 4), (5, 6), \dots, (m-2, m-1).$$

This gives $f_V(0) = 3$ and $f_V(i) = 2$ for $i \neq 0$ and so is vertex-cordial. The above numbers also give the radial edge sums. The circumferential edge sums are $1 + 4i$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. As 4 is a unit of \mathbb{Z}_m , these sums cycle through all of \mathbb{Z}_m . Therefore, $f_E(i) = 3$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ so that the labeling is edge-cordial as well.

This gives a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial construction of F_m . The general result follows from Lemma 8.2.

For part (b), label the central vertex of F_{2m} with 0. Then add $2m$ triangles whose circumferential vertex labels are the pairs

$$(0, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (m-1, 0), (0, 0), (1, 1), (2, 2), \dots, (m-1, m-1).$$

This gives $f_V(0) = 5$ and $f_V(i) = 4$ for $i \neq 0$ and so is vertex-cordial. The above numbers also give the radial edge sums. The circumferential edge sums are $1 + 2i$ and $2i$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$. As m is even, these sums cycle twice through the odds and evens, respectively, in \mathbb{Z}_m . Therefore, $f_E(i) = 6$ for $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ so that the labeling is edge-cordial as well. This gives a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial construction of F_{2m} . The general result follows from Lemma 8.2.

For part (c), apply Theorem 7.1. □

9. MAD PAIRS AND CONSTRUCTION TO $F_{\frac{m}{3}}$

Definition 9.1. Let A be a finite abelian group and let $\mu = \lfloor \frac{|A|}{3} \rfloor$. We say that A contains n *additively disjoint pairs* if there exists a family $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ of n pairs, $(a_i, b_i) \in A^2$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, so that

$$a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n, a_1 + b_1, \dots, a_n + b_n$$

are all distinct. We say that A is *maximally additively disjoint (MAD)* if it contains μ additively disjoint pairs. More generally, we will refer to any set of additively disjoint pairs $\{(a_i, b_i)\}$ of maximum size as a family of *MAD pairs*. If A is MAD, then there will exist μ MAD pairs.

Here is our main result on MAD pairs.

Theorem 9.2. *The group \mathbb{Z}_m is MAD if and only if $m \not\equiv 6 \pmod{12}$. If $m \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$, then \mathbb{Z}_m contains $\mu - 1$ MAD pairs.*

Proof. We prove this via an algorithm for constructing MAD pairs. Before giving the algorithm, we choose the set

$$(9.1) \quad S = \left\{ -\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor, -\left\lfloor \frac{m-1}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, \dots, \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - 1, \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor \right\}$$

of representatives for elements of \mathbb{Z}_m . We regard S itself as a subset of \mathbb{Z} , which inherits its ordering and addition from \mathbb{Z} . We define

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \text{nearest integer to } \frac{m}{4}, & m \equiv 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \text{ or } 5 \pmod{12}, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{m}{4} \right\rfloor, & m \equiv 7 \pmod{12}, \\ \text{nearest half-integer to } \frac{m}{4}, & m \equiv 8, 9, 10, \text{ or } 11 \pmod{12}, \end{cases}$$

where we round up in case of a tie. This α acts somewhat as an axis of symmetry for our algorithm below. The idea is that we can choose “half” of the MAD pairs by starting at α and working our way outward, staying within the positive elements of S ; then the other “half” of the MAD pairs are simply the negatives of the first half. The algorithm is given below for \mathbb{Z}_m with $m \not\equiv 6 \pmod{12}$. Example 9.3 and Figure 9.1 illustrate the algorithm for $m = 31$.


- (0) In the special case $m \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{12}$, begin by choosing the MAD pair $(a_0, b_0) = (-\lfloor \frac{m}{6} \rfloor, \lfloor \frac{m}{6} \rfloor)$.
- (1) Let $b_1 \in S$ be the greatest element such that $b_1 < \alpha$, and let $c_1 \in S$ be the least element such that $c_1 > \alpha$. Then choose the MAD pair (a_1, b_1) , where $a_1 = c_1 - b_1$. Repeat to obtain MAD pairs (a_i, b_i) , where b_i decreases by 1 and c_i increases by 1 with each step. This process must terminate just before the j th step, as soon as some b_j has already been used as one of the a_i .
- (2) Now choose the MAD pair (a_j, b_j) , where $b_j = c_{j-1} + 1$ and $a_j < \alpha$ is the greatest element that has not yet been used in a MAD pair. Repeat to obtain MAD pairs (a_i, b_i) , where b_i increases by 1 with each step. This process must terminate once we have used all positive elements less than α . (This always occurs before some b_k is the sum of a previous pair.)
- (3) For each MAD pair (a_i, b_i) already chosen ($i \geq 1$), also choose its negative pair $(-a_i, -b_i)$. (For $m \equiv 2$ or $8 \pmod{12}$, this will not be possible for the pair with the greatest sum, since the negative of this sum is not an element of S .)
- (3*) In the special case $m \equiv 0, 1, \text{ or } 7 \pmod{12}$, choose the additional pair $(-\alpha, 2\alpha)$.

- (4) There is now one final MAD pair available, consisting of an element and its negative (except in the case $m \equiv 0$ or $1 \pmod{12}$, where we already chose this as the 0th pair).

The fact that the algorithm truly produces a family of MAD pairs, i.e., that the a_i , b_i , and $a_i + b_i$ are all distinct, and that there are μ such pairs, is a straightforward calculation. As it involves numerous cases, the explicit calculations are relegated to the Appendix, Section 14.

It remains to show that \mathbb{Z}_m is not MAD when $m \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $m = 12k + 6$ and \mathbb{Z}_m were MAD. Then $\mu = 4k + 2$ and there would exist a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_μ , where the central vertex is labeled 0 and the rest of the circumferential vertex pairs are labeled (a_i, b_i) for $1 \leq i \leq \mu$. However, this contradicts Theorem 7.1, since (1) both m and μ are even, (2) we have $m \mid 3\mu (= m)$, and (3) we have $4 \nmid \mu$. Nevertheless, we can at least find $\mu - 1$ MAD pairs by following the algorithm for the $m \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$ case and omitting the pair $(-\frac{m}{2}, \frac{m}{2})$, see (MAD-3) in the Appendix, Section 14. \square

Example 9.3. Let $m = 31 \equiv 7 \pmod{12}$. Then $S = \{-15, \dots, 15\}$, and $\alpha = 7$. Each step of the algorithm is given below. The results are pictured in Figure 9.1. Representatives from the set S are placed on the circle. Each MAD pair is shown as a pair of chords whose common vertex is their sum.

FIGURE 9.1. MAD pairs for \mathbb{Z}_{31}

- (1) We have $b_1 = 6$ and $c_1 = 8$, and thus $a_1 = 2$. Next, $b_2 = 5$ and $c_2 = 9$, so $a_2 = 4$. This step now terminates, since we cannot have $b_3 = 4 = a_2$. Hence we have obtained the MAD pairs $(2, 6)$ and $(4, 5)$.
- (2) Since $c_2 = 9$, we set $b_3 = 10$, and we have $a_3 = 3$. Next we have $b_4 = 11$ and $a_4 = 1$. As we have run out of candidates for a_i , this step terminates and we have obtained the MAD pairs $(3, 10)$ and $(1, 11)$.
- (3) Taking the negatives of the MAD pairs above, we now obtain the pairs

$$(-2, -6), (-4, -5), (-3, -10), \text{ and } (-1, -11).$$

- (3*) We obtain the additional pair $(-7, 14)$.
- (4) The last available MAD pair is $(15, -15)$.

In this case, $\mu = \lfloor \frac{31}{3} \rfloor = 10$ and, indeed, we have produced 10 pairs above. Since the sums, $a_i + b_i$, are

$$0, 7, \pm 8, \pm 9, \pm 12, \pm 13,$$

we see they are distinct and distinct from the constructed pairs. Therefore the algorithm produced MAD pairs.

Examination of the proof of Theorem 9.2 results in the following.

Remark 9.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 1$, and let $\mu = \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor$. Let S be the set in Equation (9.1). Note that all MAD pairs from Theorem 9.2, and their sums, live in S , and depend only on the \mathbb{Z} -arithmetic within S . Moreover, for $m \not\equiv 6 \pmod{12}$, there are $\mu - 1$ additively disjoint pairs in $S \setminus \{0\}$.

Corollary 9.5. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$. If $m \not\equiv 6 \pmod{12}$, then F_j is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor$. Otherwise, F_j is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for $0 \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{3} \rfloor - 1$.*

10. CONSTRUCTION TO $F_{\frac{m}{2}}$

In the proof of the theorem below, we refer to the explicit constructions of MAD pairs from Theorem 9.2, (MAD-1) to (MAD-4), that are given in the Appendix, Section 14.

Theorem 10.1. *Let $m \equiv r \pmod{12}$ with $0 \leq r \leq 11$ and $r \neq 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$. Then F_j is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all $j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$. For $m \equiv 2 \pmod{12}$, F_j is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all $j \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1$.*

Proof. Write $m = 12n + r$ with $0 \leq r \leq 11$ and use the notation from Section 14.

Case 1: $r = 0$ or 1 . We make use of the (MAD-1) construction for $F_{\lfloor m/3 \rfloor} = F_{4n}$.

For $r = 0$, notice that each element of \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once. Other than 0, the sums $a_i + b_i$ are not used as vertex labels. The complement of these sums in \mathbb{Z}_m are the circumferential vertex labels, the a_i and b_i , and appear as labels exactly once.

For $r = 1$, the only unused element is $-6n$. By appending the triangle with vertices $-6n$ and $3n$ with edge sum $-3n$, each element from \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once (except $\pm 3n$ which is used twice) and each sum $a_i + b_i$ (other than 0 and $3n$) is not used as a vertex label.

In either case, we can now append the following $2n - 1$ triangles to F_{4n} for $r = 0$ and F_{4n+1} for $r = 1$:

- vertices $(3n + 1)$ and $-(4n - 1)$ which has edge sum $-n + 2$,
- \vdots
- vertices $(4n - 1)$ and $-(3n + 1)$ which has edge sum $n - 2$,

vertices $(5n)$ and $-(6n - 1)$ which has edge sum $-n + 1$,
 \vdots
 vertices $(6n - 1)$ and $-(5n)$ which has edge sum $n - 1$.

For $r = 1$, this yields a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_{6n} with every element in \mathbb{Z}_m used as a vertex label exactly once.

For $r = 0$, this yields a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_{6n-1} with every element in \mathbb{Z}_m (other than the missing $3n$) used as a vertex label exactly once. By appending the triangle with vertices $-6n$ and $3n$ with edge sum $-3n$, this can be extended to a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_{6n} .

Case 2: $r = 2$. In this case, we will use the revised (MAD-2) construction for $F_{\lfloor m/3 \rfloor} = F_{4n}$ from Section 14. There we have two unused elements of \mathbb{Z}_m , $-2n + 1$ and $-4n - 2$. By appending the triangle with vertices $-2n + 1$ and $-4n - 2$ and edge sum $-6n - 1$, each element from \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once (except $6n + 1$ which is used twice) and each sum $a_i + b_i$ (other than 0) is not used as a vertex label.

We can now append the following $2n - 1$ triangles to F_{4n+1} :

vertices $(3n + 2)$ and $-(4n + 1)$ which has edge sum $-n + 1$,
 \vdots
 vertices $(4n + 1)$ and $-(3n + 2)$ which has edge sum $n - 1$,
 vertices $(5n + 2)$ and $-(6n)$ which has edge sum $-n + 2$,
 \vdots
 vertices $(6n)$ and $-(5n + 2)$ which has edge sum $n - 2$.

This yields a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_{6n} with every element in \mathbb{Z}_m (other than the missing $6n + 1$) used as a vertex label exactly once.

Case 3: $r = 3, 5, 7$. In this case, we will use the (MAD-2) construction for $F_{\lfloor m/3 \rfloor}$ for $r = 3, 5$ and the (MAD-3) construction for $r = 7$ from Section 14. For $r = 3$, notice that each element from \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once and each sum $a_i + b_i$ (other than 0) is not used as a vertex label.

For $r = 5$, there are two unused elements, $\pm(6n + 2)$. By appending the triangle with vertices $\pm(6n + 2)$ and edge sum 0, each element from \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once (except 0 which is used twice) and each sum $a_i + b_i$ (other than 0) is not used as a vertex label.

Similarly, for $r = 7$ there is one unused element, $-(6n + 2)$. By appending the triangle with vertices $-(6n + 2)$ and $3n + 1$ and edge sum $-(3n + 1)$, each element from \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once (except $\pm(3n + 1)$ which is used twice) and each sum $a_i + b_i$ (other than 0 and $3n + 1$) is not used as a vertex label.

We can now append the following $2n$ triangles to F_{4n+1} for $r = 3$, F_{4n+2} for $r = 5$, and F_{4n+3} for $r = 7$:

- vertices $(3n + 2)$ and $-(6n + 1)$ which has edge sum $-3n + 1$,
- \vdots
- vertices $(4n + 1)$ and $-(5n + 2)$ which has edge sum $-n - 1$,
- vertices $(5n + 2)$ and $-(4n + 1)$ which has edge sum $n + 1$,
- \vdots
- vertices $(6n + 1)$ and $-(3n + 2)$ which has edge sum $3n - 1$.

This yields a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_{6n+1} for $r = 3$, F_{6n+2} for $r = 5$, and F_{6n+3} for $r = 7$.

Case 4: $r = 9$ or 11 . In this case, we will use the (MAD-4) construction for $F_{\lfloor m/3 \rfloor} = 4n + 3$ from Section 14. For $r = 9$, notice that each element from \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once and each sum $a_i + b_i$ (other than 0) is not used as a vertex label.

For $r = 11$, there are two unused elements, $\pm(6n + 5)$. By appending the triangle with vertices $\pm(6n + 5)$ and edge sum 0, each element from \mathbb{Z}_m is used as an edge label exactly once (except 0 which is used twice) and each sum (other than 0) is not used as a vertex label.

We can now append the following $2n + 1$ triangles to F_{4n+3} for $r = 9$ and F_{4n+4} for $r = 11$:

- vertices $(3n + 3)$ and $-(6n + 4)$ which has edge sum $-3n - 1$,
- \vdots
- vertices $(4n + 2)$ and $-(5n + 5)$ which has edge sum $-n - 3$,
- vertices $(5n + 5)$ and $-(4n + 3)$ which has edge sum $n + 2$,
- \vdots
- vertices $(6n + 4)$ and $-(3n + 4)$ which has edge sum $3n$,
- vertices $(4n + 3)$ and $-(3n + 3)$ which has edge sum n ,

This yields a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_{6n+4} for $r = 9$ and of F_{6n+5} for $r = 11$. □

11. CONSTRUCTION TO $F_{\frac{2m}{3}-1}$

We begin with a direct construction for the cordiality of the halfway mark.

Theorem 11.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and write $m = 2k + 1$. Then $F_{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} = F_k$ is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial.*

Proof. First look at the case of k odd. Label the central vertex of F_k with 0. Then add k triangles whose circumferential vertex labels are

the pairs

$$(1, 2), (3, 4), \dots, (m-4, m-3), (m-2, m-1).$$

This gives $f_V(i) = 1$ for all i and so is vertex-cordial. The above numbers also give the radial edge sums. The circumferential edge sums are $3 + 4i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-1$. As 4 is a unit of \mathbb{Z}_m , these sums are all distinct. In addition, observe that 0 appears as the sum of the pair $(k, k+1)$. In fact, it is convenient to work with \mathbb{Z}_m centered about 0 so that the circumferential edge sums are 0 and $\pm 4i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$. Therefore, $f_E(\pm 4i) = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$ and $f_E(j) = 1$ for the remaining elements of \mathbb{Z}_m . Thus, the labeling is edge-cordial as well.

Turn to the case of k even. Label the central vertex of F_k with 0. Then add k triangles whose circumferential vertex labels are the pairs

$$(1, m-1) \text{ and } (2, 3), (4, 5), \dots, (m-5, m-4), (m-3, m-2).$$

This gives $f_V(i) = 1$ for all i and so is vertex-cordial. The above numbers also give the radial edge sums. The circumferential edge sums are 0 and $5 + 4i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-2$. As 4 is a unit of \mathbb{Z}_m , the sums $5 + 4i$ are all distinct. Again, observe that 0 also appears as the sum of the pair $(k, k+1)$ so that the circumferential edge sums are 0, 0, and $\pm 4i$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k-2}{2}$. Therefore, $f_E(0) = 2$, $f_E(\pm 4i) = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq \frac{k-2}{2}$, and $f_E(j) = 1$ for the remaining elements of \mathbb{Z}_m . Thus, the labeling is edge-cordial as well.

For use with later theorems, we also construct an alternate cordial labeling in both cases.

For k odd, label the central vertex of F_k with 0. Then add k triangles whose circumferential vertex labels are the pairs

$$(1, m-1) \text{ and } (2, 3), (4, 5), \dots, (m-5, m-4), (m-3, m-2).$$

This gives $f_V(i) = 1$ for all i and so is vertex-cordial. The above numbers also give the radial edge sums. The circumferential edge sums are 0 and $5 + 4i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-2$ and are distinct. The later sums may be rewritten as $\pm(2 + 4i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-3}{2}$. Therefore, $f_E(\pm(2 + 4i)) = 2$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-3}{2}$ and $f_E(j) = 1$ for the remaining elements of \mathbb{Z}_m . Thus, the labeling is edge-cordial as well.

For k even, label the central vertex of F_k with 0. Then add k triangles whose circumferential vertex labels are the pairs

$$(1, m-1), (2, m-2) \text{ and } (3, 4), (5, 6), \dots, (m-6, m-5), (m-4, m-3).$$

This gives $f_V(i) = 1$ for all i and so is vertex-cordial. The above numbers also give the radial edge sums. The circumferential edge sums are 0, 0, and $7 + 4i$ for $0 \leq i \leq k-3$, where the later sums are distinct

and nonzero. These sums $7 + 4i$ may be rewritten as $\pm(2 + 4i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-4}{2}$. Therefore, $f_E(0) = 2$, $f_E(\pm(2 + 4i)) = 2$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-4}{2}$, and $f_E(j) = 1$ for the remaining elements of \mathbb{Z}_m . Thus, the labeling is edge-cordial as well. \square

We now extend cordiality up to $F_{\lfloor 2m/3 \rfloor - 1}$.

Theorem 11.2. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and write $m = 2k + 1$. Then F_j is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor \leq j \leq \lfloor \frac{2m}{3} \rfloor - \varepsilon$ where $\varepsilon = 1$ when k is even and $3 \nmid k$ and $\varepsilon = 0$ otherwise.*

Proof. Begin with k odd and the second construction of F_k given in the proof of Theorem 11.1. Recall that construction had a uniform vertex distribution of $f_V(i) = 1$ for all i . The edge distribution was $f_E(\pm(2 + 4i)) = 2$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-3}{2}$ and $f_E(j) = 1$ for the remaining elements of \mathbb{Z}_m .

As a result, we may preserve cordiality by adding triangles with circumferential vertex labels (a_r, b_r) as long as, cumulatively, $a_r, b_r, a_r + b_r$ are distinct and not of the form $\pm(2 + 4i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-3}{2}$. The subset of \mathbb{Z}_m of all elements distinct from $\pm(2 + 4i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-3}{2}$ is given by

$$\{-m - 1, -m + 3, \dots, -8, -4, 0, 4, 8, \dots, m - 3, m + 1\}$$

which can be written as $4 \cdot S$ where S is the set defined by

$$\left\{ -\frac{m+1}{4}, -\frac{m+1}{4} + 1, \dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{m+1}{4} - 1, \frac{m+1}{4} \right\}.$$

Working with $\mathbb{Z}_{(m+3)/2}$ in Remark 9.4 (and multiplying by 4 at the end), we observe that we may add an additional $\lfloor \frac{m+3}{6} \rfloor$ cordial triangles to F_k . (Note here that $\frac{m+3}{2} \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ implies $m \equiv 9 \pmod{24}$ which is impossible!) Writing $k = 2s + 1$ so that $m = 4s + 3$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} k + \left\lfloor \frac{m+3}{6} \right\rfloor &= 2s + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{2s+3}{3} \right\rfloor \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{8s+6}{3} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2m}{3} \right\rfloor \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Turn now to the case of k even and use the second construction of F_k given in the proof of Theorem 11.1. Recall that construction had a uniform vertex distribution of $f_V(i) = 1$ for all i . The edge distribution was $f_E(0) = 2$, $f_E(\pm(2 + 4i)) = 2$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-4}{2}$, and $f_E(j) = 1$ for the remaining elements of \mathbb{Z}_m .

As a result, we may preserve cordiality by adding triangles with circumferential vertex labels (a_r, b_r) as long as, cumulatively, $a_r, b_r, a_r + b_r$ are distinct and not of the form 0 or $\pm(2 + 4i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-4}{2}$. The subset of \mathbb{Z}_m of all elements distinct from 0 and $\pm(2+4i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq \frac{k-4}{2}$ is given by

$$\{-m-3, -m+1, \dots, -8, -4, 4, 8, \dots, m-1, m+3\}$$

which can be written as $4 \cdot S$ where S is the set defined by

$$\left\{ -\frac{m+3}{4}, -\frac{m+3}{4} + 1, \dots, -2, -1, 1, 2, \dots, \frac{m+3}{4} - 1, \frac{m+3}{4} \right\}.$$

Working with $\mathbb{Z}_{(m+5)/2}$ in Remark 9.4, we observe that we may add an additional $\lfloor \frac{m+5}{6} \rfloor - 1$ cordial triangles to F_k . (Note here that $\frac{m+5}{2} \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ implies $m \equiv 7 \pmod{24}$ which is impossible!) Writing $k = 2s$ so that $m = 4s + 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} k + \left\lfloor \frac{m+5}{6} \right\rfloor - 1 &= 2s + \left\lfloor \frac{2s+3}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{8s+3}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{8s+2}{3} \right\rfloor - 1 = \left\lfloor \frac{2m}{3} \right\rfloor - 1. \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, $k + \lfloor \frac{m+5}{6} \rfloor - 1$ is equal to $\lfloor \frac{2m}{3} \rfloor$ exactly when $3 \mid s$ and, otherwise, when $m = 12q + 5$ or $m = 12q + 9$, is one less. \square

12. CONSTRUCTION TO F_m FOR $3 \mid m$

The following defines a shift of the labels of just the circumferential vertices of F_n .

Definition 12.1. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m \geq 1$ and $\ell : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$ be a labeling of the vertices of F_n . For $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, write $F_n^{i_0}$ for F_n equipped with a new vertex labeling, ℓ' , given by

$$\ell'(v) = \begin{cases} \ell(v), & \text{if } v \text{ is the central vertex} \\ \ell(v) + i_0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $v \in V$.

Note that $F_n^{i_0}$ shifts the radial edges by i_0 and the circumferential edges by $2i_0$.

Theorem 12.2. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ be odd and divisible by 3. Then F_j is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for $\frac{2m}{3} \leq j \leq m$.

Proof. Write $m = 3(2k + 1)$ so that $\frac{m}{3} = 2k + 1$. We begin with an alternate construction of F_{2k+1} that depends on $3 \mid m$. Label the central vertex with 0. Then add $2k + 1$ circumferential triangles with labels

$$(m - 1, 1), (2, 4), (5, 7), \dots, (m - 4, m - 2).$$

The sums are then $6i$ for $0 \leq i \leq 2k$. The distribution is $f_V(3i) = 0$ for $0 < 3i < m$ with $f_V(i) = 1$ otherwise and $f_E(i) = 1$ for all i .

Consider now $F_{2k+1}^1 + F_{2k+1}^{-1}$. This labeling has $\frac{2m}{3} = 4k + 2$ triangles, but is not quite cordial. However, we will get a \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial labeling of F_{4k+2} if we remove the triangles with circumferential vertices $(0, \pm 2)$ and replace them with *two* copies of $(2, -2)$. The distribution of this construction is $f_V(\pm 2) = 2$, $f_V(3i) = 2$ for $0 < 3i < m$, and $f_V(i) = 1$ otherwise and $f_E(i) = 2$ for all i .

We may now add to F_{4k+2} the triangles from F_{2k+1} , one at a time, except for $(2, 4)$ and $(-2, -4)$. This preserves cordiality and finishes the proof for F_j with $\frac{2m}{3} \leq j \leq m - 2$. It only remains to construct F_{m-1} . This can be done by taking the cordial construction of F_m from Theorem 8.3(a) and removing the triangles with circumferential vertices $(0, \pm 1)$ and replacing them with the triangle $(1, -1)$. \square

Combining Theorems 8.3, 10.1, 11.2, 12.2 and Corollary 9.5, we establish Conjecture 7.2 for the case of m odd with $3 \mid m$.

Corollary 12.3. *Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with m odd and divisible by 3. Then F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial for all n .*

13. CLOSING REMARKS

A proof of Conjecture 6.3, that trees are weakly A -cordial for any abelian group A , seems far off at this time. However, further partial results would be very interesting.

It would also be very interesting to verify Conjecture 7.2 in general, that is, to show that F_n is always \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial except when

$$2 \mid n, 4 \nmid n, m = \frac{3n}{d}$$

for d a positive, odd divisor of $3n$.

For m odd, ad hoc arguments can be given to show F_n is \mathbb{Z}_m -cordial in numerous other cases. For example, for $m = 8k + 1$, the missing cordiality from F_m down to $F_{\lfloor 2m/3 \rfloor}$ can be constructed with the following techniques: Begin by removing $(0, \pm 1)$ and replacing those triangles with $(1, -1)$ in the construction from Theorem 8.3(a). After that remove the roughly $\frac{m}{4}$ triangles of the form $(2 + 4i, 3 + 4i)$. After that,

remove triangles $(8 + 16i, 9 + 16i)$. Finally, remove additively disjoint pairs from the remaining vertices labeled with multiples of 16.

It is worth noting that Theorem 8.3 and Corollary 9.5 allow computer verification of \mathbb{Z}_m -cordiality of F_n for all n when m is not very large. At the very least, this would allow verification of Conjecture 7.2 for many m .

For m even, prohibited cordiality cases from Theorem 7.1 come into play and, presumably, increase the complexity. For example, it is fairly straightforward to inductively show that F_n is \mathbb{Z}_2 -cordial if and only if n is odd or if n is (even and) divisible by 4. However, when $4 \mid m$, the cases are expected to be easier. For example, using techniques from this paper, it is straightforward to show that F_n is \mathbb{Z}_4 -cordial for all n . In general, the case of m even seems more difficult than the case of m odd.

14. APPENDIX: MAD DETAILS

Here we give the explicit MAD pairs and their sums that are constructed from the algorithm in Theorem 9.2. For the sake of exposition, we have re-indexed the a_i and b_i . The results depend upon the residue class of m modulo 12 and so we write $m = 12n + r$, with $0 \leq r \leq 11$. There are four main cases:

Case 1: $r = 0$ or 1 . In this case $\mu = 4n$.

$$(MAD-1) \quad (a_i, b_i) = \begin{cases} (2n - 2i, 2n + i), & 1 \leq i < n, \\ (2i - 2n + 1, 6n - i - 1), & n \leq i < 2n, \\ (-a_{i-2n+1}, -b_{i-2n+1}), & 2n \leq i \leq 4n - 2, \\ (-3n, 6n), & i = 4n - 1, \\ (-2n, 2n), & i = 4n. \end{cases}$$

This yields the following sets in which each element appears exactly once (with ellipses denoting consecutive integers):

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_i\} &= \pm\{1, \dots, 2n - 1\} \cup \{-2n, -3n\}, \\ \{b_i\} &= \pm\{2n + 1, \dots, 3n - 1, 4n, \dots, 5n - 1\} \cup \{2n, 6n\}, \\ \{a_i + b_i\} &= \pm\{0, 3n + 1, \dots, 4n - 1, 5n, \dots, 6n - 1\} \cup \{3n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $r = 3, 4$, or 5 . [See below for $r = 2$.] In this case $\mu = 4n + 1$.

$$(MAD-2) \quad (a_i, b_i) = \begin{cases} (2n - 2i + 2, 2n + i), & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ (2i - 2n - 1, 6n - i + 2), & n < i \leq 2n, \\ (-a_{i-2n}, -b_{i-2n}), & 2n < i \leq 4n, \\ (-3n - 1, 3n + 1), & i = 4n + 1. \end{cases}$$

We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_i\} &= \pm\{1, \dots, 2n\} \cup \{-3n - 1\}, \\ \{b_i\} &= \pm\{2n + 1, \dots, 3n, 4n + 2, \dots, 5n + 1\} \cup \{3n + 1\}, \\ \{a_i + b_i\} &= \pm\{0, 3n + 2, \dots, 4n + 1, 5n + 2, \dots, 6n + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

When $r = 2$, we have $\mu = 4n$, and we modify the construction (MAD-2) by removing the pair $(a_{4n}, b_{4n}) = (-2n + 1, -4n - 2)$. This is because in the case $r = 2$, we have $a_{2n} + b_{2n} = a_{4n} + b_{4n} = 6n + 1$.

Case 3: $r = 7$. [See below for $r = 6$.] In this case $\mu = 4n + 2$.

$$(MAD-3) \quad (a_i, b_i) = \begin{cases} (2n - 2i + 2, 2n + i), & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ (2i - 2n - 1, 6n - i + 2), & n < i \leq 2n, \\ (-a_{i-2n}, -b_{i-2n}), & 2n < i \leq 4n, \\ (-3n - 1, 6n + 2), & i = 4n + 1, \\ (-6n - 3, 6n + 3), & i = 4n + 2. \end{cases}$$

We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_i\} &= \pm\{1, \dots, 2n\} \cup \{-3n - 1, -6n - 3\}, \\ \{b_i\} &= \pm\{2n + 1, \dots, 3n, 4n + 2, \dots, 5n + 1\} \cup \{6n + 2, 6n + 3\}, \\ \{a_i + b_i\} &= \pm\{0, 3n + 2, \dots, 4n + 1, 5n + 2, \dots, 6n + 1\} \cup \{3n + 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case $r = 6$ (where \mathbb{Z}_m is not MAD), we remove the final pair (a_{4n+2}, b_{4n+2}) from the construction (MAD-3).

Case 4: $r = 9, 10$, or 11 . [See below for $r = 8$.] In this case $\mu = 4n + 3$.

$$(MAD-4) \quad (a_i, b_i) = \begin{cases} (2n - 2i + 3, 2n + i + 1), & 1 \leq i \leq n + 1, \\ (2i - 2n - 2, 6n - i + 5), & n + 2 \leq i \leq 2n + 1, \\ (-a_{i-2n-1}, -b_{i-2n-1}), & 2n + 2 \leq i \leq 4n + 2, \\ (-5n - 4, 5n + 4), & i = 4n + 3. \end{cases}$$

We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_i\} &= \pm\{1, \dots, 2n+1\} \cup \{-5n-4\}, \\ \{b_i\} &= \pm\{2n+2, \dots, 3n+2, 4n+4, \dots, 5n+3\} \cup \{5n+4\}, \\ \{a_i + b_i\} &= \pm\{0, 3n+3, \dots, 4n+3, 5n+5, \dots, 6n+4\}. \end{aligned}$$

When $r = 8$, we have $\mu = 4n + 2$, and we modify the construction (MAD-4) by removing the pair $(a_{4n+2}, b_{4n+2}) = (-2n, -4n-4)$. This is because in the case $r = 8$, we have $a_{2n+1} + b_{2n+1} = a_{4n+2} + b_{4n+2} = 6n+4$.

REFERENCES

- [Cah87] I. Cahit. Cordial graphs: a weaker version of graceful and harmonious graphs. *Ars Combin.*, 23:201–207, 1987.
- [CE00] N. Cairnie and K. Edwards. The computational complexity of cordial and equitable labelling. *Discrete Math.*, **216**:29–34, 2000.
- [CG21] S. Cichacz and A. Görlich. $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ -cordial cycle-free hypergraphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **41**:1021–1040, 2021.
- [CGT13] S. Cichacz, A. Görlich, and Z. Tuza. Cordial labeling of hypertrees. *Discrete Math.*, **313**:2518–2524, 2013.
- [Cic22] S. Cichacz. On some graph-cordial abelian groups. *Discrete Math.*, **345**:112815, 7pp., 2022.
- [DKN17] K. Driscoll, E. Krop, and M. Nguyen. All trees are six-cordial. *Electron. J. Graph Theory Appl.*, **5**:21–35, 2017.
- [Dri19] K. Driscoll. All trees are seven-cordial. arXiv:1909.12351, 2019.
- [ERS66] P. Erdős, A. Rényi, and V.T. Sós. On a problem of graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, **1**:215–235, 1966.
- [Gal98] J.A. Gallian. A dynamic survey of graph labeling. *Electron. J. Combin.*, **5**:1–47, 1998.
- [Har69] F. Harary. *Graph Theory*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-Menlo Park, Calif.-London, 1969.
- [HHOr04] H. Haanpää, A. Huima, and P. Östergård. Sets in \mathbb{Z}_n with distinct sums of pairs. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **138**:99–106, 2004. Optimal discrete structures and algorithms (ODSA 2000).
- [HOOr07] H. Haanpää and P. Östergård. Sets in abelian groups with distinct sums of pairs. *J. Number Theory*, **123**:144–153, 2007.
- [Hov91] M. Hovey. A -cordial graphs. *Discrete Math.*, **93**:183–194, 1991.
- [Kan14] K. Kanani. 7-cordial labeling of some standard graphs. *Int. J. Appl. Math. Res.*, **3**:547–560, 2014.
- [KM15] K. Kanani and M. Modha. 5-cordial labeling of some standard graphs. In *Proceedings of 8th National Level Science Symposium*, volume **2**, pages 43–48, 2015.
- [KR14] K. Kanani and N. Rathod. Some new 4-cordial graphs. *J. Math. Comp. Sci.*, **4**:834–848, 2014.
- [PP21] R. Patrias and O. Pechenik. Path-cordial abelian groups. *Australas. J. Combin.*, **80**:157–166, 2021.
- [PW12] O. Pechenik and J. Wise. Generalized graph cordiality. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **32**:557–567, 2012.
- [Ris07] A. Riskin. \mathbb{Z}_2^2 -cordiality of complete and complete bipartite graphs. arXiv:0709.0290, 2007.
- [TWW19] M. Tuczyński, P. Wenus, and K. Wesek. On cordial labeling of hypertrees. *Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci.*, **21**:#5658, 14pp., 2019.

ALL AUTHORS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BAYLOR UNIVERSITY, 1410 S. 4TH STREET, WACO, TX 76706, USA

Email address: will_erickson@baylor.edu, daniel_herden@baylor.edu, jonathan_meddaugh@baylor.edu, mark_sepanski@baylor.edu