

Weyl groupoids and superalgebraic sets.

Ian M. Musson
Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
email: musson@uwm.edu

November 21, 2022

Abstract

This paper is a contribution to the study of the geometry of algebras related to the Weyl groupoid initiated in [Mus22]. The Nullstellensatz gives a bijection between radical ideals of such an algebra and their zero loci, the superalgebraic sets. Such sets are exactly the (Zariski) closed sets that are invariant under the action of a suitable groupoid, and the smallest superalgebraic set containing a given closed set can be described explicitly. Here we give several examples of superalgebraic sets. We also give several characterizations of Laurent super-symmetric polynomials. These adapt to unite several definitions of one of the algebras of interest, $J(G)$ that may be found in the literature.

1 Background.

Throughout $[k]$ denotes the set of the first k positive integers and iff means if and only if. We work over an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic zero. In this Section we summarize the results from [Mus22] that we will need later. Let \mathbf{k} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

1.1 Algebras related to the Weyl groupoid.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(A, \tau)$ be a contragredient Lie superalgebra as defined in [Kac77] or [Mus12] Equation (5.2.1). We adopt the terminology Kac-Moody Lie superalgebra of finite type or KM algebra for this algebra, which is more descriptive, and becoming more current. Let $Z(\mathfrak{g})$ be the center of the enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$. There is an injective Harish-Chandra map $\psi : Z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{h})^W$, whose image $I(\mathfrak{h})$ we describe in (1.2) below. Let \mathfrak{h} and W be a Cartan subalgebra and the Weyl group of the reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}_0 . Let (\cdot, \cdot) be a non-degenerate, symmetric, W -invariant bilinear form on \mathfrak{h}^* given by [Mus12] Theorem 5.4.1 and Remark 5.4.2. Non-degeneracy of the form (\cdot, \cdot) yields an isomorphism $\mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$, denoted $h_\alpha \mapsto \alpha$. For $\alpha \in \Delta_{iso}$, the set of isotropic roots of \mathfrak{g} , set

$$\Pi_\alpha = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* | (\lambda, \alpha) = 0\}. \quad (1.1)$$

By work of Gorelik and Kac, [Gor04] [Kac84] see also [Mus12], Theorem 13.1.1, the image of ψ is

$$I(\mathfrak{h}) = \{f \in S(\mathfrak{h})^W \mid f(\lambda) = f(\lambda + t\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_{iso}, \lambda \in \Pi_\alpha \text{ and } t \in \mathbf{k}\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Several other definitions of $I(\mathfrak{h})$ can be given based on [Mus12], Lemma 12.1.1.

The other algebra of interest is the reduced Grothendieck \mathbb{Z} -algebra or supercharacter ring $J(G)$, constructed from finite dimensional representations of G . Here G is a suitable Lie supergroup with Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g} . There is a description of $J(G)$ parallel to (1.2). Let \mathbb{T} be a maximal torus of G_0 and $X(\mathbb{T})$ the character group of \mathbb{T} . Let D_α be the derivation of $\mathbb{Z}[X(\mathbb{T})]$ given by

$$D_\alpha(e^\beta) = (\alpha, \beta)e^\beta. \quad (1.3)$$

Then in the KM case, we have by [SV11] Equation (1),

$$J(G) = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[X(\mathbb{T})]^W \mid D_\alpha f \in (e^\alpha - 1) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Delta_{iso}\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Various conditions equivalent to that defining $J(G)$ are given in Section 3. To bring out the analogy with $I(\mathfrak{h})$ we extend scalars to \mathbf{k} and study $J(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k}$. The action of the continuous Weyl groupoids \mathfrak{W}^c and \mathfrak{W}_*^c on \mathfrak{h}^* or \mathbb{T} is defined in [Mus22] and it is shown that the algebras $I(\mathfrak{h})$ and $J(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k}$ arise as invariant rings for these actions. All orbits of these groupoids are closed under these actions. In this paper we will only be concerned with the case where $G = GL(m|n)$.

Example 1.1. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$ and $G = GL(m|n)$ the algebras $I(\mathfrak{h})$ and $J(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k}$ are the algebras of supersymmetric polynomials and Laurent supersymmetric polynomials over \mathbf{k} respectively.

1.2 The Nullstellensatz.

For simplicity we state some results from [Mus22] Section 4 in the case of $I(\mathfrak{h})$ only, but similar results also hold for $J(G) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbf{k}$. First we recall the weak Nullstellensatz.

Theorem 1.2. *If m is a maximal ideal of $I(\mathfrak{h})$, then there is a maximal ideal M of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ such that $m = M \cap I(\mathfrak{h})$.*

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $M_\lambda \in \text{Max } S(\mathfrak{h})$ be the ideal of functions vanishing at λ and $m_\lambda = M_\lambda \cap I(\mathfrak{h})$.

The strong Nullstellensatz is deduced from Theorem 1.2. If I is a subset of $I(\mathfrak{h})$, let $\mathcal{V}(I) = \{x \in \mathfrak{h}^* \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in I\}$. Such a set is called an *superalgebraic set*. If instead I is a subset of $S(\mathfrak{h})$, we say that $\mathcal{V}(I)$ is an *algebraic set* (or *closed*) in \mathfrak{h}^* . Thus any superalgebraic set is algebraic. In addition if V is a subset of \mathfrak{h}^* , set

$$\mathcal{I}_{I(\mathfrak{h})}(V) = \{f \in I(\mathfrak{h}) \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V\}.$$

In Section 1.4, we will also need

$$\mathcal{I}(V) = \{f \in S(\mathfrak{h}) \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in V\}.$$

Theorem 1.3. *The maps $\mathcal{I}_{I(\mathfrak{h})}$ and \mathcal{V} are inverse bijections between the set of superalgebraic sets in \mathfrak{h}^* , and the set of radical ideals in $I(\mathfrak{h})$.*

We mention a key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.2. If R is a commutative ring and $T \in R$, is a non-zero divisor we denote the localization by R_T . Let Ω be a W -invariant set of representatives of the orbits action of $\{\pm 1\}$ on Δ_{iso} and define

$$T = \prod_{\beta \in \Omega} h_\beta. \quad (1.5)$$

We can choose Ω so that T is W -invariant. Then $TS(\mathfrak{h})^W \subseteq I(\mathfrak{h})$ and we have an equality of localizations $I(\mathfrak{h})_T = S(\mathfrak{h})_T^W$. Then if $R = I(\mathfrak{h})$ or $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$, there are order preserving bijections

$$\{P \in \text{Spec } R | T \notin P\} \leftrightarrow \text{Spec } R_T. \quad (1.6)$$

This gives a bijection

$$\{P \in \text{Spec } S(\mathfrak{h})^W | T \notin P\} \leftrightarrow \{P \in \text{Spec } I(\mathfrak{h}) | T \notin P\}, \quad (1.7)$$

given by $P \mapsto P \cap I(\mathfrak{h})$. It follows easily that there is a disjoint union

$$\text{Spec } I(\mathfrak{h}) = \text{Spec } S(\mathfrak{h})_T^W \cup \phi^{-1}(\text{Spec } I(\mathfrak{h})/TS(\mathfrak{h})^W), \quad (1.8)$$

where $\phi : I(\mathfrak{h}) \rightarrow I(\mathfrak{h})/TS(\mathfrak{h})^W$ is the natural map.

Corollary 1.4. *If m is a maximal ideal of $I(\mathfrak{h})$ and $T \notin m$, the maximal ideal M of $S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ given by $M = m_T \cap S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ satisfies $m = M \cap I(\mathfrak{h})$.*

In Corollary 1.4, m and M have the same set of zeroes in \mathfrak{h}^* , so by the usual Nullstellensatz $M = \text{Rad } mS(\mathfrak{h})$. However M can strictly contain $mS(\mathfrak{h})$, see Example 2.2.

To study prime, in particular maximal ideals in $I(\mathfrak{h})/TS(\mathfrak{h})^W$, we use induction and the Duflo-Serganova functor to relate this ring to $I(\mathfrak{h}_x)$, where \mathfrak{h}_x is a Cartan subalgebra of a Lie superalgebra \mathfrak{g}_x of smaller rank.

1.3 Orbits of \mathfrak{W}^c on \mathfrak{h}^* .

An *iso-set* is a linearly independent set of mutually orthogonal isotropic roots. Define the *degree of atypicality* of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ to be

$$\text{atyp } \lambda = \max\{s | (\lambda, A) = 0 \text{ for some iso-set } A \text{ with } |A| = s\}.$$

We say λ is typical if $\text{atyp } \lambda = 0$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $F(\lambda)$ be an iso-set with $|F(\lambda)| = \text{atyp } \lambda$. Then*

- (a) $\mathfrak{W}^c \lambda = \bigcup_{w \in W} w(\lambda + \sum_{\alpha \in F(\lambda)} \mathbf{k}\alpha)$,
- (b) $\dim \mathfrak{W}^c \lambda = \text{atyp } \lambda$ and every \mathfrak{W}^c -orbit is closed.

1.4 The Geometry of Superalgebraic Sets.

For simplicity we assume $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ with $m \neq n$ or $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. Identify \mathfrak{h}^* with $\mathbf{k}^{m|n}$ and use coordinate functions $x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n$. Write an element of $\mathbf{k}^{m|n}$ as an $m + n$ -tuple

$$\lambda = (a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{k=1}^m a_k \epsilon_k + \sum_{\ell=1}^n b_\ell \delta_\ell, \quad (1.9)$$

where $a_i = x_i(\lambda)$ and $b_i = y_i(\lambda)$. We have $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i$ if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$. We define the S -topology on \mathfrak{h}^* by declaring that the S -closed sets are the \mathfrak{W}^c -stable (Zariski) closed sets. We review a procedure which gives the S -closure V^S of an arbitrary closed set $V = V_0 = \mathcal{V}(I)$ with I a radical ideal in $S(\mathfrak{h})$. If the defining equations for V are known, this can be done algorithmically using Groebner bases, see Example 2.11. We can assume that V , and hence also I are W -invariant. Let

$$\text{atyp } V = \max\{s \mid \text{for some } \lambda \in V, \text{atyp } \lambda = s\}.$$

Suppose $r = \text{atyp } V$ and choose an iso-set $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ with $(\lambda, S) = 0$ for some $\lambda \in V$. Without loss of generality we can assume $\alpha_i = \delta_{n+1-i} - \epsilon_{m+1-i}$ for all $i \in [r]$. Set $A(i) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}$ and $V_{A(i)} = V \cap \bigcap_{\alpha \in A(i)} \Pi_\alpha$. Write $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}^{m|n}$, in the form (1.9). Then define

$$p_{A(i)}(\lambda) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{m-i} a_\ell \epsilon_\ell + \sum_{\ell=1}^{n-i} b_\ell \delta_\ell \quad (1.10)$$

and

$$K_{A(i)} = \mathcal{I}(V_{A(i)}) \cap \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_{m-i}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-i}]. \quad (1.11)$$

The ideal $K_{A(i)}$ is called an *elimination ideal*, [CLO97] Chapter 3. We denote the closure of $U \subseteq \mathfrak{h}^*$ by \overline{U} . If $U_{A(i)} = p_\alpha(V_{A(i)})$, then by [CLO97] Theorem 3.2.3, $\overline{U}_{A(i)} = \mathcal{V}(K_{A(i)})$. Let $L_{A(i)}$ be the ideal of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ generated by $K_{A(i)}$ and $h_{\alpha_1}, \dots, h_{\alpha_i}$. For all $i \in [r]$, V^S contains the sets

$$Y_{A(i)} := \overline{U}_{A(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbf{k}\alpha_j,$$

and we have $Y_{A(i)} = \mathcal{V}(L_{A(i)})$. However the sets $Y_{A(i)}$ are not in general W -invariant, so we define $V_i = \bigcup_{w \in W} wY_{A(i)}$ and $I_i = \bigcap_{w \in W} wL_{A(i)}$. Then $V_i = \mathcal{V}(I_i)$.

Theorem 1.6. *The S -closure of V is $V^S = \bigcup_{i=0}^r V_i$. The set V^S is superalgebraic.*

A slightly more involved analysis shows that the superalgebraic sets are exactly the closed sets that are invariant under the Weyl groupoid \mathfrak{W}^c .

2 Examples.

In all cases $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(m|n)$ with $m \neq n$ or $\mathfrak{gl}(m|n)$. In the latter case, by [Mus12] Theorems 13.4.1 and 12.4.1, $I(\mathfrak{h})$ is isomorphic to the algebra of supersymmetric polynomials over \mathbf{k} , which is generated by the polynomials

$$p_{m,n}^{(r)} = (x_1^r + \dots + x_m^r) + (-1)^{r-1}(y_1^r + \dots + y_n^r) \quad (2.1)$$

with $r \geq 1$. If $M \in \text{Max } \mathcal{B}$, and $m = M \cap I(\mathfrak{h})$, then

$$\mathbf{k} \subseteq I(\mathfrak{h})/m \cong (I(\mathfrak{h}) + M)/M \subseteq \mathcal{B}/M = \mathbf{k}.$$

Thus $m \in \text{Max } I(\mathfrak{h})$ and $I(\mathfrak{h})/m = \mathbf{k}$. Now by Theorem 1.2, $m = m_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. So if $q_r = p_{m,n}^{(r)} - p_{m,n}^{(r)}(\lambda)$, then the polynomials q_r , $r \geq 1$ generate m . By Theorem 1.5 $\mathcal{V}(m_\lambda) = \mathfrak{W}^c \lambda$, so q_r is independent of the choice of λ in the \mathfrak{W}^c -orbit. It would be interesting to know when m_λ is finitely generated. The algebra $I(\mathfrak{h}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I(\mathfrak{h})_n$ is graded, where $I(\mathfrak{h})_n$ consists of all homogeneous polynomials in $I(\mathfrak{h})$ of degree n . The ideal of $I(\mathfrak{h})$ generated by all polynomials $p_{m,n}^{(r)}$, with $r \geq 1$ is the irrelevant ideal $m = \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} I(\mathfrak{h})_n$. Since $I(\mathfrak{h})$ is not Noetherian by [Mus12] Corollary 13.2.11, m is not finitely generated by [Eis95] Exercise 1.4. Because m is maximal and all $p_{m,n}^{(r)}$ vanish at $0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, Theorem 1.5 implies that $\mathcal{V}(m)$ is the orbit \mathcal{O}_0 of 0 under \mathfrak{W}^c . However it is possible for \mathcal{O}_0 to be cut out by finitely many polynomials in $I(\mathfrak{h})$ as in the first Example. Thus the radical of a finitely generated ideal need not be finitely generated. Note $T = \prod_{i \in [m], j \in [n]} (x_i + y_j) \in I(\mathfrak{h})$ since T is supersymmetric. We take this as the element T from (1.5).

Example 2.1. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2,1)$. Set $p_r = x^r + y^r + (-1)^{r-1}z^r$, where x, y, z are coordinates on $\mathbf{k}^{2|1}$. Then $2T = p_1^2 - p_2$. Since all points in $\mathcal{V}(T)$ are atypical, it follows that $\mathcal{O}_0 = \mathcal{V}(T, p_1)$. This orbit is the union of two lines $(a, 0 | -a) \cup (0, a | -a)$ as a runs over \mathbf{k} .

Example 2.2. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2,1)$. In the situation of Corollary 1.4, we give an example where $mS(\mathfrak{h})$ is strictly contained in M , that is $mS(\mathfrak{h})$ is not a radical ideal. Let M be the ideal of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ corresponding to the typical point $\lambda = (1, 1 | 0)$. Then $m = M \cap I(\mathfrak{h})$ is generated by the polynomials $p_r - p_r(\lambda) = p_r - 2$ for $r \geq 1$, and $mS(\mathfrak{h})$ is the ideal of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ generated by these polynomials. To establish the result we show that $S(\mathfrak{h})/mS(\mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathbf{k}[y]/(y-1)^2$. From now on we work in the ring $S(\mathfrak{h})/mS(\mathfrak{h})$, using the same symbol for an element of $S(\mathfrak{h})$ as its residue in this ring. First we solve $p_1 = 2$ to obtain

$$z = 2 - x - y. \quad (2.2)$$

Lemma 2.3. *In $S(\mathfrak{h})/mS(\mathfrak{h})$ we have $x + y = 2, z = 0, xy = 1$ and $(u-1)^2 = 0$ for $u = x, y$.*

Proof. This follows from the analysis of the equations $p_r = 2$ for $r = 2$ and 3 . First substitute (2.2) into $p_r = 2$ for $r = 2, 3$ and simplify to obtain

$$xy - 2x - 2y + 3 = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$2 + 2x^2 + 2y^2 - 4x - 4y - x^2y - xy^2 + 4xy. \quad (2.4)$$

Now multiply (2.3) by x and by y and add both resulting equations to (2.4). This yields $x + y = 2$, and so $z = 0$. Then $xy = 1$ follows from (2.3) and combining this with $x + y = 2$ yields $(y - 1)^2 = 0$. \square

The Lemma shows that $S(\mathfrak{h})/mS(\mathfrak{h})$ is a factor algebra of $\mathbf{k}[y]/(y - 1)^2$. It remains to show that the remaining equations $p_r = 2$, equivalently

$$x^r + y^r = 2 \quad (2.5)$$

for $r \geq 3$ impose no further relations on $S(\mathfrak{h})/mS(\mathfrak{h})$. From the Binomial Theorem $u^r \equiv 1 + r(u - 1) \pmod{(u - 1)^2}$ for $u = x, y$. Thus (2.5) reduces to $x + y = 2$. The proof shows the following

Corollary 2.4. *The ideal m is generated by $p_r - 2$ for $r = 1, 2, 3$.*

Example 2.5. Let $m = n = 2$ and consider the \mathfrak{W}^c -orbit \mathcal{O} of $(0, b, 0, c)$ where b, c are fixed and $b + c \neq 0$. It is a union of 4 lines, L_i .

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \{(a, b | -a, c)\}, & L_2 &= \{(b, a | -a, c)\}, \\ L_3 &= \{(a, b | c, -a)\}, & L_4 &= \{(b, a | c - a,)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Here a runs over \mathbf{k} . Note that the L_i form a single W orbit.

Lemma 2.6. *Suppose $b = c = 1$, and set $q_r = p^{(r)} + (-1)^r - 1$ for $r \geq 1$. If Q is the ideal of $I(\mathfrak{h})$ given by $Q = (T, q_1, q_2)$. Then $\mathcal{V}(Q) = \mathcal{O}$.*

Proof. Left to the reader. \square

Example 2.7. For $\mathfrak{gl}(2, 2)$, apart from the orbits in Example 2.5, there is only one other infinite orbit of \mathfrak{W}^c on \mathfrak{h}^* . The orbit \mathcal{O}_0 of $(0, 0|0, 0)$ is the union of 2 planes,

$$P_1 = \{(a, b | -a, -b)\} \quad \text{and} \quad P_2 = \{(b, a | -a, -b)\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Here a, b run over \mathbf{k} .

Example 2.8. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2, 1)$, then $\Delta_{iso} = \{\pm\beta, \pm\gamma\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}^* = \mathbf{k}^{2|1}$. We can choose coordinates so that h_β, h_γ vanish on the horizontal and vertical axes. We have $I(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathbf{k} + h_\beta h_\gamma S(\mathfrak{h})^W$ and W is generated by a reflection that interchanges the two axes, [Mus12] Example 13.1.3. If $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{osp}(3, 2)$, then all of the above holds except that W is generated by two commuting reflections, each of which interchanges the two axes. Let V be a circle with center at the origin. Then the S -closure of V is the union of V and the two axes.

Example 2.9. *The S-closure of a non-singular quadric.* Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(n, n)$ and consider the W -stable quadric hypersurface $V = V_0 = \mathcal{V}(f) \subset \mathbf{k}^{m|n}$ defined by

$$f_n = p_{n,n}^{(2)} - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - 1.$$

The S-closure V^S of V involves quadrics in lower dimensional spaces. We compute V^S using the method and notation of Section 1.4. Note V contains the typical point $(1, 1, \dots, 1|0, 1, \dots, 1)$, and $\text{atyp } V = n - 1$. Let $\alpha_i = \delta_{n+1-i} - \epsilon_{n+1-i}$. Then set $A(i) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}$. If $\alpha = \alpha_1$, then K_α is the ideal generated by f_{n-1} , Y_α is the closure of the set $\{p_\alpha(\lambda) + \mathbf{k}\alpha | \lambda \in V_\alpha\}$, and $V_1 = \cup_{w \in W} wY_\alpha$. We have $V^S = V \cup V_1^S$. More generally $K_{A(i)}$ is generated by f_{n-i} , $Y_{A(i)}$ is the closure of the set $\{p_{A(i)}(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^i \mathbf{k}\alpha_j | \lambda \in V_{A(i)}\}$, and if $V_i = \cup_{w \in W} wY_{A(i)}$, we have $V^S = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} V_i$.

Example 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(2, 2)$ and consider the supersymmetric polynomial $p = x_1 + x_2 + y_1 + y_2$. Then $V = \mathcal{V}(p)$ contains typical points, for example $(2, 3| -1, -4)$. In addition V contains the orbit \mathcal{O} of $(0, 0|0, 0)$ as in Example 2.7, all of whose points have atypicality degree 2. However, it is easy to see that V contains no points of atypicality degree 1. Note that if V is irreducible as a superalgebraic set, but V has 2 irreducible components as an algebraic set.

Example 2.11. Consider the polynomials

$$g_1 = x^2 + y + z - 1, \quad g_2 = y^2 - y - x^2 + x, \quad g_3 = 2x^2y + x^4 - x^2, \quad g_4 = x^6 - 4x^4 + 4x^3 - x^2.$$

These are the polynomials in Equation (3) of [CLO97], Section 3.1, with x and z interchanged. Let \mathcal{I} be the ideal of $\mathbf{k}[x, y, z]$ generated by these polynomials. By [CLO97], page 114 we have $\mathcal{I}_1 := \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbf{k}[x, y] = (g_2, g_3, g_4)$ and $\mathcal{I}_2 := \mathcal{I} \cap \mathbf{k}[x] = (g_4)$. Now we turn this into an example for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(3, 3)$. Let

$$Y_0 = \{(x, y, z | u, v, w) | g_i(x, y, z) = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}. \quad (2.8)$$

Since $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{I})$ is non-empty, and there is no restriction on u, v, w , V contains points with any degree of atypicality between 0 and 3. For $i = 1, 2, 3$ set $\alpha_i = \delta_{4-i} - \epsilon_{4-i}$ and $A(i) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_i\}$.

Using the notation of Subsection 1.4, we have

$$Y_{A(1)} = \{(x, y, z | u, v, -z) | g_2 \equiv g_3 \equiv g_4 \equiv 0\},$$

$$Y_{A(2)} = \{(x, y, z | u, -y, -z) | g_4 \equiv 0\},$$

$$Y_{A(3)} = \{(x, y, z | -x, -y, -z)\}.$$

Now let $V_0 = \cup_{w \in W} wY_0$ and $V_i = \cup_{w \in W} wY_{A(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then V_0 is W -invariant, and the S-closure of V_0 is $\bigcup_{i=0}^3 V_i$.

3 On Laurent supersymmetric polynomials.

Let m, n be positive integers. The ring

$$\Lambda_{m,n} = \{f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_n^{\pm 1}]^W \mid x_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} + y_j \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_j} \in (x_i - y_j)\} \quad (3.1)$$

is called the *ring of Laurent supersymmetric polynomials*, see [Ser19] Definition 4.1. Let G be the supergroup $GL(m|n)$, and $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie } GL(m|n) = \mathfrak{gl}(m, n)$. In (3.1) $W = \mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n$ is the Weyl group of \mathfrak{g}_0 , a direct product of symmetric groups. By [SV11] Proposition 7.2, $\Lambda_{m,n} \cong J(G)$ the supercharacter ring of the category of finite dimensional G -modules. We mention some alternate characterizations of Laurent supersymmetric polynomials with coefficients. If α is the isotropic root $\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_1$, then Lemma 3.1 (e) is a condition on α alone without regard to W -invariance, so by making appropriate changes, which we leave to the reader, we obtain alternate characterizations of other supercharacter rings $J(G)$ from [SV11], Section 7. Note condition (e) appears in (1.4), while (d) appears in [HR18] Equation (2-3) and (f) is close to conditions in [Gor22] Section 4.2.

Let $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_m, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\}$ be the standard basis for the group P of integral weights of \mathfrak{g}_0 . There is an isomorphism $P \rightarrow X(\mathbb{T})$, which we write as $\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^\alpha$. Then

$$S = \mathbb{Z}[X(\mathbb{T})] = \mathbb{Z}[x_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_n^{\pm 1}],$$

where $x_i = \mathbf{e}^{\epsilon_i}$ and $y_i = \mathbf{e}^{\delta_i}$. The direct product of symmetric groups $W = \mathcal{S}_m \times \mathcal{S}_n$ acts on S in the obvious way. Obviously if $f \in S$ is W -invariant, and

$$x_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} + y_1 \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_1} \in (x_1 - y_1), \quad (3.2)$$

then f is Laurent supersymmetric. We consider the condition (3.2) independently of W -invariance. For $f \in S^W$, Lemma 3.1 shows that condition (3.2) is equivalent to f being Laurent supersymmetric.

Let \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{T}_1 be the subtori of \mathbb{T} defined by $\mathbb{T} = \text{Ker } x_1 y_1^{-1}$, $\mathbb{T}_1 = \text{Ker } x_1 \cap \text{Ker } y_1$, and let $\mathbb{T}_2 = \{c_t = (t, 1, \dots, 1 | t, 1, \dots, 1) \mid t \in \mathbf{k}^*\}$. Then we have a direct product $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_1 \mathbb{T}_2$. Next set

$$R = \mathbb{Z}[X(\mathbb{T}_1)] = \mathbb{Z}[x_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, x_m^{\pm 1}, y_2^{\pm 1}, \dots, y_n^{\pm 1}],$$

$x = x_1, y = y_1, z_+ = (1 - \frac{x}{y})$, and $z_- = (1 - \frac{y}{x})$. Note that $(1 - z_+)^{-1} = (1 - z_-)$ and hence $z_- = z_+(z_+ - 1)^{-1}$. It follows that $S = R[x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1}] = R[x^{\pm 1}, z_{\pm}]$, $Sz_+ = Sz_-$, and

$$S = R[x^{\pm 1}, (1 - z_+)^{\pm 1}] = R[x^{\pm 1}, (1 - z_+), (1 - z_-)]. \quad (3.3)$$

If $\lambda = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m | y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$, $t \neq 0 \in \mathbf{k}$ and $f \in S$, set $f_t(\lambda) = f(c_t \lambda)$. It is easy to see that derivation $D = D_\alpha$, given in (1.3) satisfies $Df = x\partial/\partial x + y\partial/\partial y$, where the partial derivatives vanish on R . The result below is the Laurent analog of [Mus12] Lemma 12.1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $z = z_+$. For $f \in S$ the following conditions are equivalent*

- (a) $f \in R + Sz$
- (b) $f(x = y = t)$ is independent of $t \neq 0$
- (c) For $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, and $c_t \in \mathbb{T}_2$, we have $f(\lambda) = f(c_t \lambda)$.
- (d) $x\partial f/\partial x + y\partial f/\partial y \in (x - y)$
- (e) $D_\alpha f \in (\mathbf{e}^\alpha - 1)$
- (f) If $f = \sum_{\nu \in P} m_\nu \mathbf{e}^\nu$, then $(\nu, \alpha) \neq 0$ implies that $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{\nu+i\alpha} = 0$.

Proof. Since $\alpha = \epsilon_1 - \delta_1$, we have $\mathbf{e}^\alpha = \frac{x}{y}$. Thus we have an equality of ideals of S ; $(x - y) = (\mathbf{e}^\alpha - 1)$, and the equivalence of (d) and (e) follows from the remarks preceding the Lemma.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) If $f \in R$ then $f(x = y = t) = f$ is independent of t , while if $f \in Sz$ then $f(x = y = t) = 0$.

(a) \Rightarrow (d) This is similar to the proof of (a) \Rightarrow (b).

(c) \Leftrightarrow (d) This holds since Df vanishes on \mathbb{T} iff $f_t(\lambda)$ is constant for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Using (3.3), we can write f uniquely as a finite sum

$$f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}, j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{i,j} x^i (1 - z)^j, \quad (3.4)$$

with $r_{i,j} \in R$ for all i, j . Since $(1 - z)(x = y = t) = 1$, $f(x = y = t) = \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{i,j} t^i$, so if (b) holds then for all $i \neq 0$, we have

$$r_{i,0} = - \sum_{j \neq 0} r_{i,j}. \quad (3.5)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{0,j} (1 - z)^j + \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq 0} r_{i,j} x^i (1 - z)^j \\ &= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} r_{0,j} (1 - z)^j + \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{Z}, i \neq 0 \neq j} r_{i,j} x^i ((1 - z)^j - 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

In (3.6) the second sum is contained in $R + Sz$ and we can write the first sum as

$$r_{0,0} + \sum_{j > 0} r_{0,j} (1 - z)^j + \sum_{j < 0} r_{0,j} (1 - z_-)^{-j} \in R + Sz.$$

(c) \Rightarrow (a) Given $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$, we can find $\lambda' \in \mathbb{T}_1$ and $c_s \in \mathbb{T}_2$ such that $\lambda = c_s \lambda'$. Hence (c) is equivalent to $f(\lambda) = f(c_t \lambda)$ for all $c_t \in \mathbb{T}_2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_1$. Write f as in (3.4). Then an argument similar to the one used in the previous step of the proof shows that if (c) holds, then again (3.5) holds (with $r_{i,j}(\lambda)$ replacing $r_{i,j}$) for all non-zero

$i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since this holds for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}_1$, and $r_{i,j} \in R = \mathbb{Z}[X(\mathbb{T}_1)]$, we see that (3.5) holds exactly as stated. Thus (a) follows as before.

(e) \Leftrightarrow (f). Define an equivalence relation \sim on P by $\lambda \sim \mu$ iff $\lambda - \mu \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha$. Choose a representative ν for each equivalence class $[\nu]$. Then

$$f = \sum_{[\nu]} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{\nu+i\alpha} \mathbf{e}^{\nu+i\alpha}, \text{ and } D_\alpha(f) = \sum_{[\nu]} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{\nu+i\alpha}(\nu, \alpha) \mathbf{e}^{\nu+i\alpha}.$$

On the other hand, suppose that $g = \sum_{[\nu]} \sum_{i=p(\nu)}^{q(\nu)} a_{\nu+i\alpha} \mathbf{e}^{\nu+i\alpha}$, with $p(\nu) \leq q(\nu)$. Then $(\mathbf{e}^\alpha - 1)g = \sum_{[\nu]} \sum_{i=p(\nu)}^{q(\nu)} (a_{\nu+(i-1)\alpha} - a_{\nu+i\alpha}) \mathbf{e}^{\nu+i\alpha}$. Thus $D_\alpha(f) = (\mathbf{e}^\alpha - 1)g$ holds, then for all ν with $(\nu, \alpha) \neq 0$, we have

$$(\nu, \alpha)m_{\nu+i\alpha} = a_{\nu+(i-1)\alpha} - a_{\nu+i\alpha} \text{ whenever } p(\nu) \leq i \leq q(\nu) + 1. \quad (3.7)$$

If (3.7) holds, then since $a_{\nu+(p-1)\alpha} = a_{\nu+(q+1)\alpha} = 0$, we obtain $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{\nu+i\alpha} = 0$. Conversely if $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} m_{\nu+i\alpha} = 0$ holds for all ν with $(\nu, \alpha) \neq 0$, then we can solve Equations (3.7) recursively for the $a_{\nu+i\alpha}$ to find g with $D_\alpha(f) = (\mathbf{e}^\alpha - 1)g$. \square

References

- [CLO97] D. Cox, J. Little, and D. O’Shea, *Ideals, varieties, and algorithms*, 2nd ed., Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. An introduction to computational algebraic geometry and commutative algebra.
- [DS05] M. Duflo and V. V. Serganova, *On associated variety for Lie superalgebras*, arXiv:math/0507198. (2005).
- [Eis95] D. Eisenbud, *Commutative algebra*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 150, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [Gor04] M. Gorelik, *The Kac construction of the centre of $U(\mathfrak{g})$ for Lie superalgebras*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **11** (2004), no. 3, 325–349.
- [Gor06] ———, *Shapovalov determinants of Q -type Lie superalgebras*, IMRP Int. Math. Res. Pap. (2006), Art. ID 96895, 71.
- [Gor20] ———, *Depths and cores in the light of DS-functors.*, arXiv:2010.05721. (2020).
- [Gor22] ———, *On the character ring of a quasireductive Lie superalgebra.*, arXiv:2203.04932. (2022).
- [GHSS22] M. Gorelik, C. Hoyt, V. Serganova, and A. Sherman, *The Duflo-Serganova functor, vingt ans après.*, arXiv:2203.00529. (2022).
- [HR18] C. Hoyt and S. Reif, *Grothendieck rings for Lie superalgebras and the Duflo–Serganova functor*, Algebra Number Theory **12** (2018), no. 9, 2167–2184.
- [Kac77] V. G. Kac, *Lie superalgebras*, Advances in Math. **26** (1977), no. 1, 8–96.
- [Kac84] ———, *Laplace operators of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and theta functions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **81** (1984), no. 2, Phys. Sci., 645–647.
- [Mus12] I. M. Musson, *Lie Superalgebras and Enveloping Algebras*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 131, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.
- [Mus22] ———, *On the geometry of algebras related to the Weyl groupoid.*, In preparation. (2022).
- [Ser19] A. N. Sergeev, *On rings of supersymmetric polynomials*, J. Algebra **517** (2019), 336–364.
- [SV11] A. N. Sergeev and A. P. Veselov, *Grothendieck rings of basic classical Lie superalgebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 2, 663–703.