

# Exponential sums over Piatetski-Shapiro primes in arithmetic progressions

S. I. Dimitrov

2022

## Abstract

Let  $\gamma < 1 < c$  and  $19(c - 1) + 171(1 - \gamma) < 9$ . In this paper, we establish an asymptotic formula for exponential sums over Piatetski-Shapiro primes  $p = [n^{1/\gamma}]$  in arithmetic progressions.

**Keywords:** Piatetski-Shapiro prime · Asymptotic formula · Arithmetic progression

**2020 Math. Subject Classification:** 11L07 · 11L20 · 11N05 · 11N25

## 1 Notations

Let  $x$  be a sufficiently large positive number. The letter  $p$  with or without subscript will always denote prime number. By  $\delta$  we denote a fixed positive number, it can be chosen arbitrarily small; its value needs not be the same in all occurrences. As usual  $\Lambda(n)$  denotes von Mangoldt's function. We use  $[t]$  and  $\{t\}$  to denote the integer part, respectively, the fractional part of  $t$ . Moreover  $e(y) = e^{2\pi iy}$  and  $\psi(t) = \{t\} - 1/2$ . We denote by  $\tau_k(n)$  the number of solutions of the equation  $m_1 m_2 \dots m_k = n$  in natural numbers  $m_1, \dots, m_k$ . Instead of  $m \equiv n \pmod{k}$  we write for simplicity  $m \equiv n(k)$ . Throughout this paper unless something else is said, we suppose that  $\gamma < 1 < c$  and  $19(c - 1) + 171(1 - \gamma) < 9$ . Define

$$\pi(x, d, a, t, c) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} e(tp^c); \quad (1)$$

$$\pi_\gamma(x, d, a, t, c) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p = [n^{1/\gamma}] \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} e(tp^c). \quad (2)$$

## 2 Introduction and statement of the result

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  denotes the set of all prime numbers. In 1953 Piatetski-Shapiro [6] has shown that for any fixed  $\frac{11}{12} < \gamma < 1$  the set

$$\mathbb{P}_\gamma = \{p \in \mathbb{P} \mid p = [n^{1/\gamma}] \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is infinite. The prime numbers of the form  $p = [n^{1/\gamma}]$  are called Piatetski-Shapiro primes of type  $\gamma$ . Denote

$$\pi_\gamma(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p = [n^{1/\gamma}]} } 1.$$

Piatetski-Shapiro's result states that

$$\pi_\gamma(x) = \frac{x^\gamma}{\log x} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^\gamma}{\log^2 x}\right) \quad (3)$$

for  $\frac{11}{12} < \gamma < 1$ . The admissible range for  $\gamma$  in this theorem has been extended many times over the years, and the best results up to now belong to Rivat and Sargos [7] with (3) for  $\frac{2426}{2817} < \gamma < 1$  and to Rivat and Wu [8] with

$$\pi_\gamma(x) \gg \frac{x^\gamma}{\log x}$$

for  $\frac{205}{243} < \gamma < 1$ . On the other hand Siegel-Walfisz theorem is extremely important result in analytic number theory and plays a significant role in various applications. It is a refinement both of the prime number theorem and of Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions. It states that for any fixed  $A > 0$  there exists a positive constant  $c$  depending only on  $A$  such that

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) = \frac{x}{\varphi(d)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{e^{c\sqrt{\log x}}}\right), \quad (4)$$

whenever  $x \geq 2$ ,  $(a, d) = 1$ ,  $d \leq (\log x)^A$  and  $\varphi(n)$  is Euler's function.

In 2013 Baker, Banks, Brüdern, Shparlinski and Weingartner [1] have considered for first time the distribution Piatetski-Shapiro primes in arithmetic progressions. They showed that when  $a$  and  $d$  are coprime integers, then for any fixed  $\frac{17}{18} < \gamma < 1$  we have

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p = [n^{1/\gamma}] \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} 1 = \gamma x^{\gamma-1} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} 1 + \gamma(1-\gamma) \int_2^x y^{\gamma-2} \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} 1 dy + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{\frac{17}{39} + \frac{7\gamma}{13} + \delta}\right).$$

In 2015 Guo [2] enlarged the range of  $\gamma$  to  $\frac{13}{14} < \gamma < 1$ . Recently Guo, Li and Zhang [3] achieved the best result up to now with  $\frac{11}{12} < \gamma < 1$ . Motivated by these results we establish an asymptotic formula for exponential sums over Piatetski-Shapiro primes in arithmetic progressions. More precisely we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $a$  and  $d$  be coprime integers,  $d \geq 1$ . Assume that  $|t| \leq x^\delta$  with a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$  and  $\gamma < 1 < c$  which satisfy*

$$\frac{19}{9}(c-1) + 19(1-\gamma) < 1. \quad (5)$$

Then the sums (1) and (2) are connected by the asymptotic formula

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\gamma(x, d, a, t, c) &= \gamma x^{\gamma-1} \pi(x, d, a, t, c) + \gamma(1-\gamma) \int_2^x y^{\gamma-2} \pi(y, d, a, t, c) dy \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(x^{\frac{c}{18} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{143}{342} + \delta}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

### 3 Preliminary lemmas

**Lemma 1.** *For every  $H \geq 1$ , we have*

$$\psi(t) = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} a(h) e(ht) + \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{|h| \leq H} b(h) e(ht)\right),$$

where

$$a(h) \ll \frac{1}{|h|}, \quad b(h) \ll \frac{1}{H}. \quad (7)$$

*Proof.* See [12]. □

**Lemma 2.** *Suppose that  $f''(t)$  exists, is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and satisfies*

$$f''(t) \asymp \lambda \quad (\lambda > 0) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) \right| \ll (b-a) \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

*Proof.* See ([11], Theorem 5.9). □

**Lemma 3.** Suppose that  $f'''(t)$  exists, is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and satisfies

$$f'''(t) \asymp \lambda \quad (\lambda > 0) \quad \text{for } t \in [a, b].$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{a < n \leq b} e(f(n)) \right| \ll (b-a)\lambda^{\frac{1}{6}} + \lambda^{-\frac{1}{3}}.$$

*Proof.* See ([9], Corollary 4.2). □

**Lemma 4.** Let  $I$  be a subinterval of  $(X, 2X]$ . For any complex numbers  $z(n)$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{n \in I} z(n) \right|^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{X}{Q}\right) \sum_{|q| < Q} \left(1 - \frac{|q|}{Q}\right) \sum_{n, n+q \in I} z(n+q) \overline{z(n)},$$

where  $Q \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* See ([5], Lemma 5). □

**Lemma 5.** Let  $G(n)$  be a complex valued function. Assume further that

$$\begin{aligned} P > 2, \quad P_1 \leq 2P, \quad 2 \leq U < V \leq Z \leq P, \\ U^2 \leq Z, \quad 128UZ^2 \leq P_1, \quad 2^{18}P_1 \leq V^3. \end{aligned}$$

Then the sum

$$\sum_{P < n \leq P_1} \Lambda(n)G(n)$$

can be decomposed into  $O(\log^6 P)$  sums, each of which is either of Type I

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ P < ml \leq P_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} G(ml)$$

and

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ P < ml \leq P_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} G(ml) \log l,$$

where

$$L \geq Z, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a(m) \ll \tau_5(m) \log P$$

or of Type II

$$\sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ P < ml \leq P_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b(l)G(ml)$$

where

$$U \leq L \leq V, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a(m) \ll \tau_5(m) \log P, \quad b(l) \ll \tau_5(l) \log P.$$

*Proof.* See ([4]). □

**Lemma 6.** *Let*

$$L(H) = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i H^{a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j H^{-b_j},$$

where  $A_i, B_j, a_i$  and  $b_j$  are positive. Assume further that  $H_1 \leq H_2$ . Then there exists  $H_0 \in [H_1, H_2]$  such that

$$L(H_0) \ll \sum_{i=1}^m A_i H_1^{a_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n B_j H_2^{-b_j} + \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n \left( A_i^{b_j} B_j^{a_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{a_i + b_j}}.$$

*Proof.* See ([10], Lemma 3). □

## 4 Proof of the theorem

From (2) we have

$$\pi_\gamma(x, d, a, t, c) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} ([-p^\gamma] - [-(p+1)^\gamma]) e(tp^c) = \Gamma_1(x) + \Gamma_2(x), \quad (8)$$

where

$$\Gamma_1(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} ((p+1)^\gamma - p^\gamma) e(tp^c), \quad (9)$$

$$\Gamma_2(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} (\psi(-(p+1)^\gamma) - \psi(-p^\gamma)) e(tp^c). \quad (10)$$

### 4.1 Asymptotic formula for $\Gamma_1(x)$

Using (9), the well-known asymptotic formula

$$(p+1)^\gamma - p^\gamma = \gamma p^{\gamma-1} + \mathcal{O}(p^{\gamma-2})$$

and Abel's summation formula we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_1(x) &= \gamma \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} p^{\gamma-1} e(tp^c) + \mathcal{O}(1) \\
&= \gamma x^{\gamma-1} \pi(x, d, a, t, c) + \gamma(1-\gamma) \int_2^x \left( \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} e(tp^c) \right) y^{\gamma-2} dy + \mathcal{O}(1) \\
&= \gamma x^{\gamma-1} \pi(x, d, a, t, c) + \gamma(1-\gamma) \int_2^x y^{\gamma-2} \pi(y, d, a, t, c) dy + \mathcal{O}(1). \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

## 4.2 Upper bound for $\Gamma_2(\mathbf{x})$

Define

$$\Gamma_3(x) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ p \equiv a \pmod{d}}} (\log p) (\psi(-(p+1)^\gamma) - \psi(-p^\gamma)) e(tp^c), \tag{12}$$

$$\Gamma_4(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) (\psi(-(n+1)^\gamma) - \psi(-n^\gamma)) e(tn^c). \tag{13}$$

On the one hand (10), (12) and Abel's summation formula yield

$$\Gamma_2(x) = \frac{\Gamma_3(x)}{\log x} + \int_2^x \frac{\Gamma_3(y)}{y \log^2 y} dy. \tag{14}$$

On the other hand (12) and (13) give us

$$\Gamma_3(x) = \Gamma_4(x) + \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{x}). \tag{15}$$

Splitting the range of  $n$  into dyadic subintervals of the form  $(x/2, x]$  from (13) we get

$$\Gamma_4(x) \ll (\log x) |\Gamma_5(x)|, \tag{16}$$

where

$$\Gamma_5(x) = \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) (\psi(-(n+1)^\gamma) - \psi(-n^\gamma)) e(tn^c). \tag{17}$$

Using (17) and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$\Gamma_5(x) = \Gamma_6(x) + \Gamma_7(x) + \Gamma_8(x), \tag{18}$$

where

$$\Gamma_6(x) = \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} a(h) \left( e(-h(n+1)^\gamma) - e(-hn^\gamma) \right) e(tn^c), \quad (19)$$

$$\Gamma_7(x) \ll \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) \sum_{|h| \leq H} b(h) e(-hn^\gamma), \quad (20)$$

$$\Gamma_8(x) \ll \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) \sum_{|h| \leq H} b(h) e(-h(n+1)^\gamma). \quad (21)$$

### Upper bound for $\Gamma_6(x)$

By (7) and (19) we deduce

$$\Gamma_6(x) \ll \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) \Phi_h(n) e(tn^c - hn^\gamma) \right|, \quad (22)$$

where

$$\Phi_h(y) = e(hy^\gamma - h(y+1)^\gamma) - 1.$$

Bearing in mind the estimates

$$\Phi_h(y) \ll |h|y^{\gamma-1}, \quad \Phi'_h(y) \ll |h|y^{\gamma-2}$$

and using Abel's summation formula from (22) we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_6(x) &\ll \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \frac{1}{|h|} \left| \Phi_h(x) \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) e(tn^c + hn^\gamma) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \frac{1}{|h|} \int_{x/2}^x \left| \Phi'_h(y) \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq y \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) e(tn^c + hn^\gamma) \right| dy \\ &\ll x^{\gamma-1} |\Gamma_9(x_1)|, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where

$$\Gamma_9(x_1) = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x_1 \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) e(tn^c + hn^\gamma) \right| \quad (24)$$

for some number  $x_1 \in (x/2, x]$ . Now the well-known formula

$$\sum_{k=1}^d e\left(\frac{kn}{d}\right) = \begin{cases} d, & \text{if } d \mid n, \\ 0, & \text{if } d \nmid n \end{cases}$$

and (24) lead to

$$\Gamma_9(x_1) = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \frac{1}{d} \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{x/2 < n \leq x_1} \Lambda(n) e \left( tn^c + hn^\gamma + \frac{(n-a)k}{d} \right) \right|. \quad (25)$$

From (25) we understand that it is sufficient to estimate the sum

$$\Gamma_{10}(x_1) = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{x/2 < n \leq x_1} \Lambda(n) e(tn^c + hn^\gamma + kd^{-1}n) \right|. \quad (26)$$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $x_1 \leq x$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1 < c$  and  $|t| \leq x^{\gamma-c-\delta}$  for a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Then for the sum (26) we have*

$$\Gamma_{10}(x_1) \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{3}{4}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{5}{8}} + H^{\frac{3}{4}} x^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{22}{25}} \right).$$

*Proof.* It follows by the same arguments used in ([3], pp. 10–11), since under the hypotheses on  $t$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{d^j}{dy^j} (ty^c + hy^\gamma + kd^{-1}y) \right| \asymp \left| \frac{d^j}{dy^j} (hy^\gamma + kd^{-1}y) \right|$$

for  $j = 2, 3$  whenever  $1 \leq h \leq H$  and  $x/2 < y \leq x$ . □

**Lemma 8.** *Set*

$$S_I = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml) \right| \quad (27)$$

and

$$S'_I = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml) \log l \right|, \quad (28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L &\geq x^{\frac{38c+115}{342}}, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1 < c < \frac{28}{19}, \\ a(m) &\ll \tau_5(m) \log x, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \quad x_1 \leq x, \quad x^{\gamma-c-\delta} \leq |t| \leq x^\delta, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

with a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Then

$$S_I, S'_I \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( Hx^{\frac{c}{9} + \frac{569}{684}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} - \frac{c}{18} + \frac{569}{684}} + Hx^{1-\frac{\gamma}{3}} \right).$$



*Proof.* First we notice that (27) and (29) imply

$$ML \asymp x. \quad (30)$$

Denote

$$f(l) = tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml. \quad (31)$$

By (27), (29) and (31) we write

$$S_I \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left| \sum_{L' < l \leq L'_1} e(f(l)) \right|, \quad (32)$$

where

$$L' = \max \left\{ L, \frac{N}{m} \right\}, \quad L'_1 = \min \left\{ L_1, \frac{N_1}{m} \right\}. \quad (33)$$

From (29), (31) and (33) we have

$$f'''(l) = c(c-1)(c-2)tm^c l^{c-3} + \gamma(\gamma-1)(\gamma-2)hm^\gamma l^{\gamma-3} \asymp |t|M^c L^{c-3} + |h|M^\gamma L^{\gamma-3}. \quad (34)$$

Now (29), (30), (32), (34) and Lemma 3 yield

$$\begin{aligned} S_I &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left( L(|t|M^c L^{c-3} + |h|M^\gamma L^{\gamma-3})^{\frac{1}{6}} + (|t|M^c L^{c-3} + |h|M^\gamma L^{\gamma-3})^{-\frac{1}{3}} \right) \\ &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left( |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} M^{\frac{c}{6}} L^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} + |h|^{\frac{1}{6}} M^{\frac{\gamma}{6}} L^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} + |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} M^{-\frac{c}{3}} L^{1 - \frac{c}{3}} + |h|^{-\frac{1}{3}} M^{-\frac{\gamma}{3}} L^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{3}} \right) \\ &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left( |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} x^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} + |h|^{\frac{1}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} + |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} x^{1 - \frac{c}{3}} + |h|^{-\frac{1}{3}} x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{3}} \right) \\ &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \left( H |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} x^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} + H |t|^{-\frac{1}{3}} x^{1 - \frac{c}{3}} + H^{\frac{2}{3}} x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{3}} \right) \\ &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \left( H x^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{6}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{1}{2}} + H x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{3} + \frac{\delta}{3}} + H^{\frac{2}{3}} x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{3}} \right) \\ &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( H x^{\frac{c}{9} + \frac{569}{684}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} - \frac{c}{18} + \frac{569}{684}} + H x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{3}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

To estimate the sum defined by (28) we apply Abel's summation formula and proceed in the same way to deduce

$$S'_I \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( H x^{\frac{c}{9} + \frac{569}{684}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} - \frac{c}{18} + \frac{569}{684}} + H x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{3}} \right).$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 9.** *Set*

$$S_{II} = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b(l) e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml) \right|, \quad (35)$$

where

$$2^{-10} x^{\frac{56-38c}{171}} \leq L \leq 2^7 x^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad 0 < \gamma < 1 < c < \frac{28}{19}, \quad (36)$$

$$a(m) \ll \tau_5(m) \log x, \quad b(l) \ll \tau_5(l) \log x, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \quad x_1 \leq x, \quad x^{\gamma-c-\delta} \leq |t| \leq x^\delta,$$

with a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Then

$$S_{II} \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( H x^{\frac{c}{4} + \frac{7}{12}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{7}{12}} + H x^{\frac{c}{9} + \frac{143}{171}} + H x^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} + H x^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{13}{18}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{13}{18}} + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\frac{5}{6}} \right. \\ \left. + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8} + \frac{5}{6}} \right).$$

*Proof.* First we notice that (35) and (36) give us

$$ML \asymp x. \quad (37)$$

From (31), (36), (37), Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 4 with

$$1 \leq Q \leq L \quad (38)$$

it follows

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b(l) e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml) \right|^2 \\ \ll \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} |a(m)|^2 \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left| \sum_{\substack{L < l \leq L_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} b(l) e(f(l)) \right|^2 \\ \ll M^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}} \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \frac{L}{Q} \sum_{|q| < Q} \left( 1 - \frac{q}{Q} \right) \sum_{\substack{L < l, l+q \leq L_1 \\ x/2 < m(l+q) \leq x_1 \\ x < m(l+q) \leq x_1}} b(l+q) \overline{b(l)} e(f(l+q) - f(l)) \\ \ll \frac{LM^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}}}{Q} \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} \left( L^{1+\frac{\delta}{2}} + \sum_{1 \leq |q| < Q} \left( 1 - \frac{q}{Q} \right) \sum_{\substack{L < l, l+q \leq L_1 \\ x < ml \leq x_1 \\ x < m(l+q) \leq x_1}} b(l+q) \overline{b(l)} e(f(l+q) - f(l)) \right) \\ \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \frac{x^2}{Q} + \frac{x}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq |q| \leq Q} \sum_{L < l, l+q \leq L_1} \left| \sum_{M' < m \leq M'_1} e(g(m)) \right| \right), \quad (39)$$

where

$$M' = \max \left\{ M, \frac{x}{l}, \frac{x}{l+q} \right\}, \quad M'_1 = \min \left\{ M_1, \frac{x_1}{l}, \frac{x_1}{l+q} \right\} \quad (40)$$

and

$$g(m) = tm^c(l+q)^c - tm^cl^c + hm^\gamma(l+q)^\gamma - hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta mq. \quad (41)$$

By (36), (40) and (41) we have

$$g''(m) \asymp |t|M^{c-2}L^{c-1}|q| + |h|M^{\gamma-2}L^{\gamma-1}|q|. \quad (42)$$

Now (36), (37), (39), (42) and Lemma 2 lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b(l) e(tm^cl^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml) \right|^2 \\ & \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \frac{x^2}{Q} + \frac{x}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq |q| \leq Q} \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} M \left( |t|M^{c-2}L^{c-1}|q| + |h|M^{\gamma-2}L^{\gamma-1}|q| \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left( |t|M^{c-2}L^{c-1}|q| + |h|M^{\gamma-2}L^{\gamma-1}|q| \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ & \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( \frac{x^2}{Q} + \frac{x}{Q} \sum_{1 \leq |q| \leq Q} \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} |t|^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{c}{2}} L^{\frac{c}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} |q|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |h|^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} L^{\frac{\gamma}{2}-\frac{1}{2}} |q|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} M^{1-\frac{c}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{c}{2}} |q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + |h|^{-\frac{1}{2}} M^{1-\frac{\gamma}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\gamma}{2}} |q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) \\ & \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( x^2 Q^{-1} + x^{1+\frac{c}{2}} |t|^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}} |h|^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + x^{2-\frac{c}{2}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}} |h|^{-\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} Q^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (43) \end{aligned}$$

From (38), (43) and Lemma 6 it follows that there exists an optimal  $Q$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b(l) e(tm^cl^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml) \right|^2 \\ & \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( x^{1+\frac{c}{2}} |t|^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{1+\frac{\gamma}{2}} |h|^{\frac{1}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^2 L^{-1} + x^{2-\frac{c}{2}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{2-\frac{\gamma}{2}} |h|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + x^{\frac{4}{3}+\frac{c}{3}} |t|^{\frac{1}{3}} L^{\frac{1}{3}} + x^{\frac{4}{3}+\frac{\gamma}{3}} |h|^{\frac{1}{3}} L^{\frac{1}{3}} + x^{\frac{3}{2}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{3}{2}-\frac{c}{4}+\frac{\gamma}{4}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}} |h|^{\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{3}{2}+\frac{c}{4}-\frac{\gamma}{4}} |t|^{\frac{1}{4}} |h|^{-\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b(l) e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + \theta ml) \right| \\
& \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \left( x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{4}} |t|^{\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4}} |h|^{\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + xL^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{1-\frac{c}{4}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}} + x^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} |h|^{-\frac{1}{4}} + x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{c}{6}} |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} \right. \\
& \left. + x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\gamma}{6}} |h|^{\frac{1}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} + x^{\frac{3}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + x^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{c}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{8}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{8}} |h|^{\frac{1}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + x^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8}} |t|^{\frac{1}{8}} |h|^{-\frac{1}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} \right). \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

Bearing in mind (35), (36) and (44) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{II} & \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left( x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{4}} |t|^{\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4}} |h|^{\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + xL^{-\frac{1}{2}} + x^{1-\frac{c}{4}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}} + x^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} |h|^{-\frac{1}{4}} \right. \\
& \left. + x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{c}{6}} |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} + x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\gamma}{6}} |h|^{\frac{1}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} + x^{\frac{3}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + x^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{c}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{8}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{8}} |h|^{\frac{1}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + x^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8}} |t|^{\frac{1}{8}} |h|^{-\frac{1}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \\
& \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \left( Hx^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{4}} |t|^{\frac{1}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + HxL^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Hx^{1-\frac{c}{4}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{3}{4}} x^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} \right. \\
& \left. + Hx^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{c}{6}} |t|^{\frac{1}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\gamma}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} + Hx^{\frac{3}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\frac{3}{4} - \frac{c}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{8}} |t|^{-\frac{1}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8}} |t|^{\frac{1}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \\
& \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{4}} \left( Hx^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + HxL^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Hx^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{\delta}{4}} + H^{\frac{3}{4}} x^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{c}{6} + \frac{\delta}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\gamma}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} + Hx^{\frac{3}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{\delta}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8} + \frac{\delta}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \\
& \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( Hx^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\gamma}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + HxL^{-\frac{1}{2}} + Hx^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{c}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\gamma}{6}} L^{\frac{1}{6}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\frac{3}{4}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{3}{4} + \frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8}} L^{\frac{1}{4}} \right) \\
& \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( Hx^{\frac{c}{4} + \frac{7}{12}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{7}{12}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{9} + \frac{143}{171}} + Hx^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{13}{18}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{13}{18}} + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\frac{5}{6}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8} + \frac{5}{6}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.  $\square$

It remains to apply Heath-Brown's identity to the sum  $\Gamma_{10}(x_1)$ .

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $x_1 \leq x$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1 < c < \frac{28}{19}$  and  $x^{\gamma-c-\delta} \leq |t| \leq x^\delta$  with a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Then for the sum (26) we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{10}(x_1) & \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( Hx^{\frac{c}{4} + \frac{7}{12}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{7}{12}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{9} + \frac{143}{171}} + Hx^{1-\frac{\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{13}{18}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{13}{18}} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\frac{5}{6}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} - \frac{c}{18} + \frac{569}{684}} + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{c}{8} - \frac{\gamma}{8} + \frac{5}{6}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Take

$$U = 2^{-10} x^{\frac{56-38c}{171}}, \quad V = 2^7 x^{\frac{1}{3}}, \quad Z = x^{\frac{38c+115}{342}}. \tag{45}$$

According to Lemma 5, the sum  $\Gamma_{10}(x_1)$  can be decomposed into  $O(\log^6 x)$  sums, each of which is either of Type I

$$S_I = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + kd^{-1}ml) \right|$$

and

$$S'_I = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + kd^{-1}ml) \log l \right|,$$

where

$$L \geq Z, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a(m) \ll \tau_5(m) \log x$$

or of Type II

$$S_{II} = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{M < m \leq M_1 \\ x/2 < ml \leq x_1}} a(m) \sum_{L < l \leq L_1} b(l) e(tm^c l^c + hm^\gamma l^\gamma + kd^{-1}ml) \right|,$$

where

$$U \leq L \leq V, \quad M_1 \leq 2M, \quad L_1 \leq 2L, \quad a(m) \ll \tau_5(m) \log x, \quad b(l) \ll \tau_5(l) \log x.$$

Bearing in mind (45), Lemma 8 and Lemma 9 we establish the statement in the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $x_1 \leq x$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1 < c < \frac{28}{19}$  and  $|t| \leq x^\delta$  with a sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ . Then for the sum (26) we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{10}(x_1) \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} & \left( Hx^{\frac{c}{4} + \frac{7}{12}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{7}{4} + \frac{5}{8}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{9} + \frac{143}{171}} + Hx^{1 - \frac{7}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{6} + \frac{13}{18}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{7}{6} + \frac{3}{4}} \right. \\ & \left. + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\frac{5}{6}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{7}{6} - \frac{c}{18} + \frac{569}{684}} + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{c}{8} - \frac{7}{8} + \frac{5}{6}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Lemma 7 and Lemma 10.  $\square$

Now (23), (25), (26) and Lemma 11 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_6(x) \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} & \left( Hx^{\frac{c}{4} + \gamma - \frac{5}{12}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{5\gamma}{4} - \frac{3}{8}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{9} + \gamma - \frac{28}{171}} + Hx^{\frac{3\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{6} + \gamma - \frac{5}{18}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{7\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{4}} \right. \\ & \left. + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\gamma - \frac{1}{6}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{7\gamma}{6} - \frac{c}{18} - \frac{115}{684}} + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{c}{8} + \frac{7\gamma}{8} - \frac{1}{6}} \right). \quad (46) \end{aligned}$$

### Upper bound for $\Gamma_7(\mathbf{x})$

By (4), (7) and (20) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_7(x) &\ll |b_0| \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) + \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} |b(h)| \left| \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) e(-hn^\gamma) \right| \\
&\ll \frac{x}{H\varphi(d)} + \frac{1}{H} \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) e(-hn^\gamma) \right| \\
&\ll H^{-1}x + H^{-1}\Gamma_{11}(x), \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{11}(x) = \sum_{1 \leq |h| \leq H} \left| \sum_{\substack{x/2 < n \leq x \\ n \equiv a \pmod{d}}} \Lambda(n) e(-hn^\gamma) \right|.$$

Estimating the sum  $\Gamma_{11}(x)$  as in ([3], (3.7)) we obtain

$$\Gamma_{11}(x) \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{3}{4}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{5}{8}} + H^{\frac{3}{4}} x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{22}{25}} \right). \tag{48}$$

Now (47) and (48) give us

$$\Gamma_7(x) \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( H^{-1}x + H^{\frac{1}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{3}{4}} + H^{\frac{1}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{5}{8}} + H^{-\frac{1}{4}} x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}} + x^{\frac{22}{25}} \right). \tag{49}$$

### Upper bound for $\Gamma_8(\mathbf{x})$

In the same way for the sum defined by (21) we get

$$\Gamma_8(x) \ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( H^{-1}x + H^{\frac{1}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{3}{4}} + H^{\frac{1}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{5}{8}} + H^{-\frac{1}{4}} x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}} + x^{\frac{22}{25}} \right). \tag{50}$$

From (18), (46), (49) and (50) we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_5(x) &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( Hx^{\frac{c}{4} + \gamma - \frac{5}{12}} + H^{\frac{5}{4}} x^{\frac{5\gamma}{4} - \frac{3}{8}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{9} + \gamma - \frac{28}{171}} + Hx^{\frac{3\gamma}{4}} + Hx^{\frac{c}{6} + \gamma - \frac{5}{18}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{7\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{4}} \right. \\
&\quad + H^{\frac{9}{8}} x^{\gamma - \frac{1}{6}} + H^{\frac{7}{6}} x^{\frac{7\gamma}{6} - \frac{c}{18} - \frac{115}{684}} + H^{\frac{7}{8}} x^{\frac{c}{8} + \frac{7\gamma}{8} - \frac{1}{6}} + H^{\frac{1}{6}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{3}{4}} + H^{\frac{1}{4}} x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{5}{8}} + H^{-\frac{1}{4}} x^{1 - \frac{\gamma}{4}} \\
&\quad \left. + H^{-1}x + x^{\frac{22}{25}} \right). \tag{51}
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that (51) holds for any real  $H \geq 1$  and using Lemma 6 we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_5(x) &\ll x^{\frac{\delta}{2}} \left( x^{\frac{c}{4} + \gamma - \frac{5}{12}} + x^{\frac{5\gamma}{4} - \frac{3}{8}} + x^{\frac{c}{9} + \gamma - \frac{28}{171}} + x^{\frac{3\gamma}{4}} + x^{\frac{c}{6} + \gamma - \frac{5}{18}} + x^{\frac{7\gamma}{6} - \frac{1}{4}} + x^{\gamma - \frac{1}{6}} \right. \\
&\quad + x^{\frac{7\gamma}{6} - \frac{c}{18} - \frac{115}{684}} + x^{\frac{c}{8} + \frac{7\gamma}{8} - \frac{1}{6}} + x^{\frac{\gamma}{6} + \frac{3}{4}} + x^{\frac{\gamma}{4} + \frac{5}{8}} + x^{\frac{22}{25}} + x^{\frac{c}{20} + \frac{43}{60}} + x^{\frac{37}{48}} + x^{\frac{c}{45} + \frac{656}{855}} \\
&\quad + x^{\frac{4}{5} - \frac{\gamma}{20}} + x^{\frac{c}{30} + \frac{67}{90}} + x^{\frac{53}{68}} + x^{\frac{26}{33} - \frac{\gamma}{44}} + x^{\frac{20768}{26163} - \frac{c}{102}} + x^{\frac{c}{36} + \frac{20}{27}} + x^{\frac{17}{20}} + x^{\frac{13}{16}} + x^{\frac{c}{8} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{7}{24}} \\
&\quad + x^{\frac{5\gamma}{9} + \frac{7}{18}} + x^{\frac{c}{18} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{143}{342}} + x^{\frac{3\gamma}{8} + \frac{1}{2}} + x^{\frac{c}{12} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{13}{36}} + x^{\frac{7\gamma}{13} + \frac{11}{26}} + x^{\frac{8\gamma}{17} + \frac{23}{51}} + x^{\frac{7\gamma}{13} - \frac{c}{39} + \frac{683}{1482}} \\
&\quad \left. + x^{\frac{c}{15} + \frac{7\gamma}{15} + \frac{17}{45}} + x^{\frac{\gamma}{7} + \frac{11}{14}} + x^{\frac{\gamma}{5} + \frac{7}{10}} \right). \tag{52}
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5) and (52) we find

$$\Gamma_5(x) \ll x^{\frac{c}{18} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{143}{342} + \frac{\delta}{2}}. \quad (53)$$

Bearing in mind (14), (15), (16) and (53) we deduce

$$\Gamma_2(x) \ll x^{\frac{c}{18} + \frac{\gamma}{2} + \frac{143}{342} + \delta}. \quad (54)$$

It is easy to see that (5) and (54) yield

$$\Gamma_2(x) \ll x^{\gamma - \varepsilon},$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

### 4.3 The end of the proof

Summarizing (8), (11) and (54) we establish the asymptotic formula (6).

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Acknowledgements.** Professor Victor Guo kindly sent his paper [3] to the author and the author is very grateful to him.

## References

- [1] R. C. Baker, W. Banks, J. Brüdern, I. E. Shparlinski, A. Weingartner, *Piatetski-Shapiro sequences*, Acta Arith., **157**, (2013), 37 – 68.
- [2] V. Guo, *Piatetski-Shapiro primes in a Beatty sequence*, J. Number Theory, **156**, (2015), 317 – 330.
- [3] V. Guo, J. Li, M. Zhang, *Piatetski-Shapiro primes in arithmetic progressions*, Ramanujan J., (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11139-022-00636-7>.
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Prime numbers in short intervals and a generalized Vaughan identity*, Canad. J. Math., **34**, (1982), 1365 – 1377.
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, *The Piatetski-Shapiro prime number theorem*, J. Number Theory, **16**, (1983), 242 – 266.
- [6] I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro, *On the distribution of prime numbers in sequences of the form  $[f(n)]$* , Mat. Sb., **33**, (1953), 559 – 566.

- [7] J. Rivat, P. Sargos, *Nombres premiers de la forme  $[n^c]$* , *Canad. J. Math.*, **53**, (2001), 414 – 433.
- [8] J. Rivat, J. Wu, *Prime numbers of the form  $[n^c]$* , *Glasg. Math. J.*, **43**, 2, (2001), 237 – 254.
- [9] P. Sargos, *Points entiers au voisinage d'une courbe, sommes trigonométriques courtes et paires d'exposants*, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **70**, 2, (1995), 285 – 312.
- [10] B. Srinivasan, *The lattice point problem of many-dimensional hyperboloids II*, *Acta Arith.*, **8**, (1963), 173 – 204.
- [11] E. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-function* (revised by D. R. Heath-Brown), Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986).
- [12] J. D. Vaaler, *Some extremal problems in Fourier analysis*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **12**, (1985), 183 – 216.

S. I. Dimitrov  
Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Informatics  
Technical University of Sofia  
Blvd. St.Kliment Ohridski 8,  
Sofia 1756, Bulgaria  
e-mail: sdimitrov@tu-sofia.bg