

**ON ITÔ-TAYLOR EXPANSION FOR STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL
EQUATIONS WITH MARKOVIAN SWITCHING AND ITS APPLICATION
IN $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -ORDER SCHEME**

TEJINDER KUMAR AND CHAMAN KUMAR

ABSTRACT. The coefficients of the stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching (SDEwMS) additionally depend on a Markov chain and there is no notion of differentiating such functions with respect to the Markov chain. In particular, this implies that the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDEwMS is not a straightforward extension of the Itô-Taylor expansion for stochastic differential equations (SDEs). Further, higher-order numerical schemes for SDEwMS are not available in the literature, perhaps because of the absence of the Itô-Taylor expansion. In this article, first, we overcome these challenges and derive the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDEwMS, under some suitable regularity assumptions on the coefficients, by developing new techniques. Secondly, we demonstrate an application of our first result on the Itô-Taylor expansion in the numerical approximations of SDEwMS. We derive an explicit scheme for SDEwMS using the Itô-Taylor expansion and show that the strong rate of convergence of our scheme is equal to $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ under some suitable Lipschitz-type conditions on the coefficients and their derivatives. It is worth mentioning that designing and analysis of the Itô-Taylor expansion and the $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order scheme for SDEwMS become much more complex and involved due to the entangling of continuous dynamics and discrete events. Finally, our results coincide with the corresponding results on SDEs when the state of the Markov chain is a singleton set.

Keywords. Itô-Taylor expansion, $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order scheme, SDE with Markovian switching, rate of strong convergence.

AMS 2020 subject classifications: 60H35, 41A58, 65C30, 60H35.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Formulation and preliminaries	3
2.1.	Martingales associated with the Markov chain	4
3.	Notations and definitions	5
3.1.	Multi-indices	5
3.2.	Operators	6
3.3.	Multiple integrals	6
3.4.	Hierarchical and remainder sets	8
4.	Main results	9
4.1.	Itô-Taylor expansion	9

4.2. Explicit γ -order numerical scheme	12
5. Itô-Taylor expansion	20
6. Explicit γ -order scheme for SDEwMS	29
6.1. Derivation	29
6.2. Moment bound	34
6.3. Strong rate of convergence	39
References	52

1. INTRODUCTION

The stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching (SDEwMS) are widely used in many real-life situations, see, for example, [1], [6], [10], [11], [13], [14] and the references therein. The existence and uniqueness of the solution of SDEwMS are well-known in the literature, see [2] and [6]. However, the explicit solutions of such equations are often unknown, which makes the numerical approximations of SDEwMS an important subject of investigation. The numerical schemes of order 0.5 for SDEwMS, namely the Euler scheme, tamed Euler scheme and implicit Euler scheme, have received significant attention in the literature, see [6], [9], [2] and [8]. Recently, order 1.0 schemes such as (tamed) explicit Milstein-type scheme for SDEwMS and their strong rate of convergence have been studied in [4], [5] and [7]. However, no attention is given to the investigation of the higher-order numerical schemes for SDEwMS, perhaps because of the non-availability of the Itô-Taylor expansion for functions depending on a Markov chain. The derivation of the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDEwMS is not a straightforward extension of the corresponding results on SDEs (see [3]), mainly because the coefficients of SDEwMS depend additionally on a Markov chain and there is no notion of derivative of a Markov chain dependent function with respect to the Markov chain.

In this article, we present novel strategies to address these challenges to derive and demonstrate the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDEwMS. More precisely, in the derivation of the Itô-Taylor expansion for the traditional SDEs, as discussed in [3], authors deal with two types of integrals, namely, Riemann integral and Itô's integral. However, to derive the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDEwMS, we have to deal with one more form of integral, which is the integral with respect to the optional process $\{[M_{i_0 k_0}](t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ (see Subsection 2.1) associated with the Markov chain. Thus, the techniques developed for SDEs in [3] can not be extended in a straightforward manner to SDEwMS, which necessitates the development of novel strategies. Indeed, multi-indices, multiple integrals, hierarchical and remainder sets are defined by taking into consideration the new integrals with respect to $\{[M_{i_0 k_0}](t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ which brings additional complexity in the derivation and proofs of the main results on the Itô-Taylor expansion and the explicit $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order scheme for SDEwMS. Here, it is worth mentioning that designing and

analysis become difficult because of the random switching of the Markov chain and entangling of the continuous dynamics of the state and discrete events arising due to switching of the Markov chain. Further, if the state space of the Markov chain is a singleton set, then SDEwMS become SDEs. In such a case, our Itô-Taylor expansion reduces to the classical Itô-Taylor expansion for SDEs given in [3]. We provide an application of the Itô-Taylor expansion in the derivation of higher-order numerical schemes for SDEwMS. We show that the strong rate of convergence of the general numerical scheme for SDEwMS is $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. For a Markov chain with singleton state space, *i.e.*, when SDEwMS reduce to SDEs, our $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order scheme is the same as the $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order scheme discussed in [3]. In this case, the regularity requirement on the coefficients is much weaker in our setting when compared with the corresponding results for SDEs given in [3].

The paper is organized as follows. The formulation and preliminaries of the article are given in Section 2. In Section 3, notations and definitions are given, which are used throughout the paper. In Section 4, the main results on the Itô-Taylor expansion and its application in $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order numerical schemes for SDEwMS are stated. The technical lemmas required to prove the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDEwMS, including the proof of the Itô-Taylor expansion, are given in Section 5. In the last section, we explain the derivation of the $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order numerical scheme and establish its moment stability and the strong rate of convergence.

2. FORMULATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a complete probability space. Assume that $W := \{(W^1(t), W^2(t), \dots, W^m(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ is an \mathbb{R}^m -valued standard Wiener process and denote the natural filtration of W by $\mathbb{F}^W := \{\mathcal{F}_t^W : t \geq 0\}$. For a fixed $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, define a set $\mathcal{S} := \{1, \dots, m_0\}$ and an $m_0 \times m_0$ matrix $Q := \{q_{i_0 k_0} : i_0, k_0 \in \mathcal{S}\}$ such that $q_{i_0 i_0} = - \sum_{k_0 \neq i_0 \in \mathcal{S}} q_{i_0 k_0}$ for any $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ where $q_{i_0 k_0} \geq 0$ for $i_0 \neq k_0$. Now, consider a continuous-time Markov chain $\alpha := \{\alpha(t) : t \geq 0\}$ with state space \mathcal{S} and generator Q . Clearly, the transition probability of α is given by,

$$P(\alpha(t + \delta) = k_0 | \alpha(t) = i_0) = \begin{cases} q_{i_0 k_0} \delta + o(\delta), & \text{if } i_0 \neq k_0, \\ 1 + q_{i_0 k_0} \delta + o(\delta), & \text{if } i_0 = k_0, \end{cases}$$

for any $t \geq 0$, $i_0, k_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ where $\delta > 0$. Also, let $\mathbb{F}^\alpha := \{\mathcal{F}_t^\alpha : t \geq 0\}$ be the natural filtration of α . We assume that α is independent of W . Define $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_t^W \vee \mathcal{F}_t^\alpha$ for any $t \geq 0$ and equip the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) with the filtration $\mathbb{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_t : t \geq 0\}$. For $T > 0$, consider a d -dimensional stochastic differential equation with Markovian switching (SDEwMS) on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$, given by,

$$X(t) = X_0 + \int_0^t b(X(s), \alpha(s)) ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s), \alpha(s)) dW(s) \quad (2.1)$$

almost surely for any $t \in [0, T]$ where initial value $X_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable and $b : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$ are measurable functions.

We make the following assumptions for existence, uniqueness and moment stability of SDEwMS (2.1).

Assumption 1. $E|X_0|^2, E|Y_0|^2 < \infty$ and $E|X_0 - Y_0|^2 \leq Lh^{2\gamma}$ where $L > 0$ is a constant.

Assumption 2. *There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that,*

$$|b^k(x, i_0) - b^k(y, i_0)| + |\sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(y, i_0)| \leq L|x - y|$$

for all $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

The result on the existence and uniqueness of the strong solution for SDEwMS is stated in the following theorem and the proof can be found in [6], see Theorem 3.1.3.

Theorem 1. *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 be satisfied. Then, there exists a unique continuous solution $\{X(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ of SDEwMS (2.1). Moreover, the following hold,*

$$E\left(\sup_{t \in [0, T]} |X(t)|^p \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \leq C$$

$$E\left(\sup_{t \in [s, s+h]} |X(t) - X(s)|^p \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \leq Ch^{p/2}$$

where the positive constant C is independent of h .

2.1. Martingales associated with the Markov chain. Following [7], we define

$$[M_{i_0 k_0}](t) := \sum_{s \in [0, t]} \mathbb{1}\{\alpha(s-) = i_0\} \mathbb{1}\{\alpha(s) = k_0\}, \quad \langle M_{i_0 k_0} \rangle(t) := \int_0^t q_{i_0 k_0} \mathbb{1}\{\alpha(s-) = i_0\} ds$$

$$M_{i_0 k_0}(t) := [M_{i_0 k_0}](t) - \langle M_{i_0 k_0} \rangle(t)$$

almost surely for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $i_0 \neq k_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Clearly, $M_{i_0 k_0}(0) = 0$ (a.s.) and $\{M_{i_0 k_0}(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ is a purely discontinuous square integrable martingale with respect to the filtration \mathbb{F}^α . Also, $\{[M_{i_0 k_0}](t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ is an optional process and $\{\langle M_{i_0 k_0} \rangle(t) : t \in [0, T]\}$ is its predictable quadratic variation process. Moreover, the following orthogonality relations (of quadratic covariances) hold,

$$[W^i, W^j] = 0 (i \neq j), [M_{i_0 k_0}, W^i] = 0, \text{ and } [M_{i_0 k_0}, M_{i_1 k_1}] = 0 ((i_0 k_0) \neq (i_1 k_1))$$

for any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ and $i_0, k_0, i_1, k_1 \in \mathcal{S}$. For convenience, we take $M_{i_0 i_0}(t) = 0$ for any $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

The proof of the following lemma appears in [7] (see Lemma 4.1).

Lemma 1. *Let $q = \max\{-q_{i_0 i_0} : i_0 \in \mathcal{S}\}$ and $N^{(s, t]}$ denotes the number of jumps of the Markov chain α in the interval $(s, t]$ for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Then,*

- (a) $P(N^{(s,t]} \geq N) \leq q^N (s-t)^N$ whenever $N \geq 1$, and
(b) $EN^{(s,t]} \leq C(t-s)$ whenever $t-s < 1/(2q)$ where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of $t-s$.

3. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

For sets A and B , define $A \setminus B := \{x : x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B\}$, $A \cap B := \{x : x \in A, x \in B\}$ and $A \cup B := \{x : x \in A \text{ or } x \in B\}$. $A^{(i,j)}$ and $A^{(j)}$ stand for the (i, j) -th element and the j -th column of a matrix A respectively and the k -th element of a vector v is denoted by v^k . xy denotes the inner product of vectors x and y . The indicator function of a set A is denoted by $\mathbb{1}A$. φ stands for an empty set and by convention, the sum over an empty set is taken to be zero. $N^{(s,t]}$ is the no. of jump and $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{N^{(s,t]}}$ are the jump times of the Markov chain α in the interval $(s, t]$ for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. The general constant is denoted by C which can vary from place to place and does not depend on the step-size.

3.1. Multi-indices. We call a row vector $\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$, a *multi-index* of length $l(\beta) = l \in \mathbb{N}$, when $j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, l\}$ and satisfies the following condition,

- (a) if $j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$, then $j_{i-1} \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$ for all $i \in \{2, \dots, l\}$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed. Here, N_i signifies the number i and \bar{N}_i , the number $i+1$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. A *multi-index* β of length zero is denoted by ν , i.e., $l(\nu) = 0$. Here, each component of multi-index β represents a type of integral in multiple integrals which becomes more clear when we define multiple integrals in Subsection 3.3. Furthermore, the positive integer μ is related to the strong rate $\gamma \in \{n/2, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of convergence of the numerical schemes considered in this paper (see Subsection 4.2).

Also, assume that $\mathcal{M} := \{\beta : l(\beta) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\}$ denotes the set of all multi-indices of arbitrary length. We divide the set \mathcal{M} of all multi-indices into disjoint sets \mathcal{M}_1 , \mathcal{M}_2 and \mathcal{M}_3 as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_1 &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : j_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\} \cup \{\nu\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_2 &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M}_1 : j_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_3 &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M}_1 : j_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For any $\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \{\nu\}$, the deletion of its first component is represented by $-\beta = (j_2, \dots, j_l)$ and the deletion of its last component is represented by $\beta- = (j_1, \dots, j_{l-1})$. Notice that $-\beta = \beta- = \nu$ for any $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ of length $l(\beta) = 1$.

For $\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_k), \bar{\beta} = (\bar{j}_1, \dots, \bar{j}_l) \in \mathcal{M}$, the *concatenation operation* \star is defined by

$$\beta \star \bar{\beta} := (j_1, \dots, j_k, \bar{j}_1, \dots, \bar{j}_l) \in \mathcal{M} \text{ with } \nu \star \bar{\beta} = \bar{\beta} \text{ and } \beta \star \nu = \beta.$$

Also, for $\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} n(\beta) &: \text{number of components of } \beta \text{ which are equal to } k \text{ where } k \in \{1, \dots, m\}, \\ \bar{n}(\beta) &: \text{number of components of } \beta \text{ which are equal to } 0, \\ [n](\beta) &: \text{number of components of } \beta \text{ which are equal to } k \text{ where } k \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}, \end{aligned}$$

$\eta(\beta) = n(\beta) + 2\bar{n}(\beta) + \mu_{\max}(\beta)$ where $\mu_{\max}(\beta) = \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, l\}} \{j_i : j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$. Clearly, $\eta(\nu) = 0$.

Notice that $\eta(\beta)$ may be equal for two different $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ whose length is not same. For example, $\eta((1, N_1)) = \eta((N_1, 1, N_1)) = 2$. Further, $\{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \eta(\beta) < 0\}$ is an empty set.

Example 1. Let $m = 4$ and consider a multi-index $\beta = (0, N_2, 2, 1, N_3, 0)$ of length 6. Clearly, β satisfies conditions (a) mentioned above. Then, $-\beta = (N_2, 2, 1, N_3, 0)$ and $\beta- = (0, N_2, 2, 1, N_3)$. Also, $n(\beta) = 2$, $\bar{n}(\beta) = 2$ and $\mu_{\max}(\beta) = \max\{N_2, N_3\} = 3$ which yields $\eta(\beta) = 9$. Further, for $\bar{\beta} = (4, 0, 0, N_3, 1, \bar{N}_1)$,

$$\beta \star \bar{\beta} = (0, N_2, 2, 1, N_3, 0, 4, 0, 0, N_3, 1, \bar{N}_1) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Clearly, $\beta \star \bar{\beta}$ satisfies conditions (a) and is a multi-index of length 12.

3.2. Operators. The following operators are used throughout this article,

$$L_{i_0}^0 := \sum_{k=1}^d b^k(\cdot, i_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma^{(k,j)}(\cdot, i_0) \sigma^{(l,j)}(\cdot, i_0) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^k \partial x^l},$$

$$L_{i_0}^j := \sum_{k=1}^d \sigma^{(k,j)}(\cdot, i_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \quad j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

for any $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, for $\beta \in \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : j_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$

$$J_{i_0}^\beta := L_{i_0}^{j_1} \cdots L_{i_0}^{j_l}$$

$$J_{i_0 k_0}^\beta := J_{k_0}^\beta - J_{i_0}^\beta$$

for any $i_0, k_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. If $\beta = \nu$, then $J_{i_0}^\beta$ is taken as the identity operator.

3.3. Multiple integrals. Let $\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be $(n(\beta) + 2\bar{n}(\beta))$ -times continuously differentiable function in the first component. The multiple integral $I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}$ can be defined recursively as,

$$I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} := \begin{cases} f(X(t), \alpha(t)) & \text{if } l(\beta) = 0, \text{ i.e., } \beta = \nu \\ \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_l} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,s_1} dW^{j_l}(s_1) & \text{if } j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \\ \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = r\} I_{\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,s_1} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) & \text{if } j_l \in \{N_r\}, r \in \{1, \dots, \mu\} \\ \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} > \mu\} I_{\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,s_1} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) & \text{if } j_l = \bar{N}_\mu \end{cases}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where $dW^0(s_1) := ds_1$. Notice that if the state of the Markov chain α is fixed in f , say $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,t} := \begin{cases} f(X(t), i_0) & \text{if } l(\beta) = 0 \\ \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_l} f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,s_1} dW^{j_l}(s_1) & \text{if } j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \end{cases}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Furthermore, we define,

$$I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} := \begin{cases} f(X(s), \alpha(s)) & \text{if } l(\beta) = 0, \text{ i.e., } \beta = \nu \\ \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_l} f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,s_1} dW^{j_l}(s_1) & \text{if } j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \\ \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = r\} I_{\beta-}[f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,s_1} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) & \text{if } j_l \in \{N_r\}, r \in \{1, \dots, \mu\} \\ \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} > \mu\} I_{\beta-}[f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,s_1} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) & \text{if } j_l = \bar{N}_\mu \end{cases}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$. As before, if the state of α is fixed in f , say $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, then

$$I_\beta[f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,t} := \begin{cases} f(X(s), i_0) & \text{if } l(\beta) = 0 \\ \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_l} f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,s_1} dW^{j_l}(s_1) & \text{if } j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \end{cases}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Clearly, for $\beta \in \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : j_i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$,

$$I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} := \begin{cases} f(X(s), \alpha(s)) & \text{if } l(\beta) = 0 \\ \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_l} f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,s_1} dW^{j_l}(s_1) & \text{if } j_l \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \end{cases}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. We assume that all of the above multiple integrals are well defined.

Remark 1. *When the state space \mathcal{S} of the Markov chain α is a singleton set, then the SDE_{wMS} (2.1) reduces to an SDE and the integrals corresponding to the components $\{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$ in the multiple integrals vanish. In such a situation, the multi-indices and multiple integrals defined above reduce to the case as discussed in Section 5.2 of [3]. The components $\{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$ in multi-indices and the corresponding multiple integrals appear due to the switching of the Markov chain.*

Example 2. *Let $\beta = (N_1, k, N_1) \in \mathcal{M}$ for a $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then, using the definitions of multiple integrals, one has*

$$I_{(N_1, k, N_1)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} I_{(N_1, k)}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,s_1} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} \int_s^{s_1} I_{(N_1)}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^k f(X(\cdot), k_0) - L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^k f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,s_2} dW^k(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
&= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} \int_s^{s_1} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{s_2} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,s_2)} = 1\} \\
&\quad I_\nu[(L_{k_1}^k f(X(\cdot), k_0) - L_{i_1}^k f(X(\cdot), k_0)) - (L_{k_1}^k f(X(\cdot), i_0) - L_{i_1}^k f(X(\cdot), i_0))]_{s,s_3} \\
&\quad d[M_{i_1 k_1}](s_3) dW^k(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
&= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} \int_s^{s_1} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{s_2} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,s_2)} = 1\} \\
&\quad ((L_{k_1}^k f(X(s_3), k_0) - L_{i_1}^k f(X(s_3), k_0)) - (L_{k_1}^k f(X(s_3), i_0) - L_{i_1}^k f(X(s_3), i_0))) \\
&\quad d[M_{i_1 k_1}](s_3) dW^k(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Example 3. Let $\beta = (0, N_1) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then, the definition of multiple integrals yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{(0, N_1)}[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} I_{(0)}[f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,s_1} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
&= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} \int_s^{s_1} I_\nu[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^0 f(X(s), k_0) - L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^0 f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,s_2} ds_2 d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
&= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} \int_s^{s_1} (L_{\alpha(s)}^0 f(X(s), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s)}^0 f(X(s), i_0)) ds_2 d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

3.4. Hierarchical and remainder sets. A subset \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{M} is called a *hierarchical set* if $\sup_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}} l(\beta) < \infty$ and $-\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ for every $\beta \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{\nu\}$.

The *remainder set* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})$ corresponding to a hierarchical set \mathcal{A} is define as,

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) := \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A} : -\beta \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

If $\mathcal{A} = \varnothing$, then $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) = \{\nu\}$.

Example 4. Let $\mathcal{A} := \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \eta(\beta) \leq 2\}$ with $\mu = 3$. Then

$$\mathcal{A} = \{\nu, (N_1), (N_2), (0), (k), (k, N_1), (N_1, k), (k_1, k), (N_1, k, N_1); k, k_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}$$

is the hierarchical set and corresponding remainder set is

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{(N_3), (\bar{N}_3), (0, N_1), (0, N_2), (k, N_2), (N_1, 0), (N_2, 0), (N_3, 0), (\bar{N}_3, 0), (0, 0), (k, 0), (N_2, k), \\
&\quad (N_3, k), (\bar{N}_3, k), (0, k), (N_2, k, N_1), (N_3, k, N_1), (\bar{N}_3, k, N_1), (0, k, N_1), (k_1, k, N_1), (0, N_1, k), \\
&\quad (k_1, N_1, k), (N_1, k_1, k), (N_2, k_1, k), (N_3, k_1, k), (\bar{N}_3, k_1, k), (0, k_1, k), (k_2, k_1, k), (0, N_1, k, N_1), \\
&\quad (k_1, N_1, k, N_1); k, k_1, k_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

4. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we introduce two key findings of this paper, namely, the Itô-Taylor expansion for functions additionally depending on the Markov chain α (see Subsection 4.1) and the explicit numerical scheme of arbitrary order $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ for SDEwMS (2.1) (see Subsection 4.2).

4.1. Itô-Taylor expansion. In the Itô-Taylor expansion, the regularity of the drift coefficient b of SDEwMS (2.1) is identified with the help of following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_b &:= \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \beta \star (0) \star \bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}), \text{ the components of } \bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{M} \text{ are not equal to } 0\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_b &:= \max_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_b} \{n(\beta) + 2\bar{n}(\beta)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for the regularity of the diffusion coefficient σ , we define,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_\sigma &:= \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \beta \star (j) \star \bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}), j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, \text{ the components of } \bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{M} \text{ are} \\ &\text{not equal to } k \text{ where } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}, \\ \mathcal{K}_\sigma &:= \max_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_\sigma} \{n(\beta) + 2\bar{n}(\beta)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for the regularity of the function f , we define,

$$\mathcal{K}_f := \max_{\beta \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})} \{n(\beta) + 2\bar{n}(\beta)\}.$$

Moreover, we write the hierarchical set \mathcal{A} as $\mathcal{A} = \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \cup (\mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}})$ where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{A} : j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}.$$

We make the following assumption on the coefficients of SDEwMS (2.1).

Assumption 3. *For a hierarchical set \mathcal{A} and for any $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $b^k(\cdot, i_0)$ and $\sigma^{(k,j)}(\cdot, i_0)$ be \mathcal{K}_b - and \mathcal{K}_σ -times continuously differentiable functions respectively.*

The following theorem is the first main result of this article which is proved in Section 5.

Theorem 2 (Itô-Taylor expansion). *For a hierarchical set \mathcal{A} , let Assumption 3 be satisfied and assume that $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a \mathcal{K}_f -times continuously differentiable function. Then, for all $s < t \in [0, T]$,*

$$f(X(t), \alpha(t)) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}$$

almost surely, provided all the multiple integrals appearing above exist.

The above expansion is characterized by the specific selection of the hierarchical set \mathcal{A} . Later in Subsection 6.1, it is used to construct the numerical scheme of arbitrary order $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, see equation (4.1).

Remark 2. *The aforementioned expansion can be considered as a generalization of the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDE discussed in Theorem 5.5.1 of [3] in the sense that the coefficients of*

SDE additionally depend on a Markov chain. Indeed, if the state space \mathcal{S} of the Markov chain α is a singleton set, then SDEwMS (2.1) reduces to an SDE and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \varphi$ which leads to the Itô-Taylor expansion for SDE. The terms corresponding to $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ in the above expansion appear due to the presence of the Markov chain in the coefficients of the SDE.

The following two examples illustrate the Itô-Taylor expansion (Theorem 2) for some specific hierarchical sets \mathcal{A} .

Example 5. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{\nu\}$. Then, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \varphi$, $\mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\nu\}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) = \{(N_1), \dots, (N_\mu), (\bar{N}_\mu), (0), (1), \dots, (m)\}$. Further, $\mathcal{D}_b = \{\nu\}$ which gives $\mathcal{K}_b = 0$. Similarly, $\mathcal{K}_\sigma = 0$ and $\mathcal{K}_f = 2$. Thus, by Theorem 2,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X(t), \alpha(t)) = & I_\nu[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} I_{(N_r)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(\bar{N}_\mu)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ & + I_{(0)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=1}^m I_{(j)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

which on using the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X(t), \alpha(t)) = & f(X(s), \alpha(s)) + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t]} = r\} (f(X(s_1), k_0) - f(X(s_1), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\ & + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t]} > \mu\} (f(X(s_1), k_0) - f(X(s_1), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\ & + \int_s^t L_{\alpha(s_1)}^0 f(X(s_1), \alpha(s_1)) ds_1 + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^t L_{\alpha(s_1)}^j f(X(s_1), \alpha(s_1)) dW^j(s_1) \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Example 6. Let $\mathcal{A} := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : \eta((j_1, \dots, j_l)) \leq 2, \text{ if } j_i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, 1, \dots, m\}, \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\} \cup \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : \eta((j_1, \dots, j_l)) \leq 1 \text{ if } j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, 1, \dots, m\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$ with $\mu = 3$. Clearly, $\mathcal{A} = \{\nu, (0), (N_1), (k); k \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{(N_1)\}$, $\mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \{\nu, (0), (k); k \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) = \{(N_2), (N_3), (\bar{N}_3), (N_1, 0), (N_2, 0), (N_3, 0), (\bar{N}_3, 0), (0, 0), (k, 0), (0, N_1), (k, N_1), (N_1, k), (N_2, k), (N_3, k), (\bar{N}_3, k), (0, k), (k_1, k); k, k_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}$. Further, $\mathcal{D}_b = \mathcal{D}_\sigma = \{\nu, (N_1), (N_2), (N_3), (\bar{N}_3), (0), (k); k \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}$ which gives $\mathcal{K}_b = 2$, $\mathcal{K}_\sigma = 2$ and $\mathcal{K}_f = 4$. Moreover, by Theorem 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(X(t), \alpha(t)) = & I_\nu[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + I_{(0)}[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{k=1}^m I_{(k)}[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} \\ & + I_{(N_1)}[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(N_2)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(N_3)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(\bar{N}_3)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^3 I_{(N_i, 0)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(\bar{N}_3, 0)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(0, 0)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{k=1}^m I_{(k,0)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(0,N_1)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{k=1}^m I_{(k,N_1)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^m I_{(N_i,k)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{k=1}^m I_{(\bar{N}_3,k)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{k=1}^m I_{(0,k)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\
 & + \sum_{k,k_1=1}^m I_{(k_1,k)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}
 \end{aligned}$$

which on using the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(X(t), \alpha(t)) & = f(X(s), \alpha(s)) + \int_s^t L_{\alpha(s)}^0 f(X(s), \alpha(s)) ds_1 + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t L_{\alpha(s)}^k f(X(s), \alpha(s)) dW^k(s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} (f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 2\} (f(X(s_1), k_0) - f(X(s_1), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 3\} (f(X(s_1), k_0) - f(X(s_1), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} > 3\} (f(X(s_1), k_0) - f(X(s_1), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_s^t \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,s_1)} = i\} (L_{k_0}^0 f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{i_0}^0 f(X(s_2), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) ds_1 \\
 & + \int_s^t \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,s_1)} > 3\} (L_{k_0}^0 f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{i_0}^0 f(X(s_2), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) ds_1 \\
 & + \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^0 L_{\alpha(s_2)}^0 f(X(s_2), \alpha(s_2)) ds_2 ds_1 \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^k L_{\alpha(s_2)}^0 f(X(s_2), \alpha(s_2)) dW^k(s_2) ds_1 \\
 & + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} \int_s^{s_1} (L_{\alpha(s_2)}^0 f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s_2)}^0 f(X(s_2), i_0)) ds_2 d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = 1\} \int_s^{s_1} (L_{\alpha(s_2)}^k f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s_2)}^k f(X(s_2), i_0)) dW^k(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,s_1)} = i\} (L_{k_0}^k f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{i_0}^k f(X(s_2), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) dW^k(s_1) \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,s_1)} > 3\} (L_{k_0}^k f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{i_0}^k f(X(s_2), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) dW^k(s_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^0 L_{\alpha(s_2)}^k f(X(s_2), \alpha(s_2)) ds_2 dW^k(s_1) \\
& + \sum_{k, k_1=1}^m \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^{k_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^k f(X(s_2), \alpha(s_2)) dW^{k_1}(s_2) dW^k(s_1)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$.

4.2. Explicit γ -order numerical scheme. For explicit numerical scheme of $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order (see equation (4.1)), we fix $\mu = 2\gamma$ and define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b & := \{\nu\} \cup \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : \eta((j_1, \dots, j_l)) \leq 2\gamma - 1, \text{ if } j_i = 0 \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\} \cup \\
& \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : \eta((j_1, \dots, j_l)) \leq 2\gamma - 2 \text{ if } j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, 1, \dots, m\} \text{ for any } i \in \\
& \{1, \dots, l\}\}. \\
\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma & := \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} : \eta(\beta) \leq 2\gamma - 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The sets \mathcal{A}_γ^b and $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma$ are hierarchical sets and their remainder sets are denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) := \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b : -\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b\}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) := \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : -\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma\}$, respectively. Further, we write $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b \cup (\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b)$ and $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma \cup (\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma)$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b & := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b : j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma & := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also, we assume that $b^k(\cdot, i_0)$ and $\sigma^{(k,j)}(\cdot, i_0)$ are sufficiently smooth functions for all $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We partition the interval $[0, T]$ into $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ sub-intervals of equal length $h = T/n_T > 0$, i.e., $t_n = nh$ for any $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}$. The explicit $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order scheme for SDEwMS (2.1) at grid point t_{n+1} is given by,

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^k(t_{n+1}) & = Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} I_\beta [b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} I_\beta [b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \right) ds \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} I_\beta [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} I_\beta [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \right) dW^j(s)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ where the initial value $Y_0 := Y(0)$ is an \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable random variable in \mathbb{R}^d .

Remark 3. *If the state space \mathcal{S} of the Markov chain α is a singleton set, then the SDEwMS (2.1) reduces to an SDE and the integrals appearing in the terms corresponding to the sets $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma$ in equation (4.1) vanish. In this case, (4.1) is the γ -order explicit numerical scheme for SDE, see Section 10.6 in [3]. Clearly, terms corresponding to the sets $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma$ in (4.1) appear due to the presence of the Markov chain.*

We now give some examples of explicit numerical scheme (4.1) for $\gamma \in \{0.5, 1.0, 1.5\}$.

Example 7 (Euler scheme for SDEwMS). If $\gamma = 0.5$, then $\mathcal{A}_{0.5}^b = \mathcal{A}_{0.5}^\sigma = \{\nu\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{0.5}^b = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{0.5}^\sigma = \varphi$. By using (4.1) for $\gamma = 0.5$ and the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3, the Euler scheme for SDEwMS (2.1) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} Y^k(t_{n+1}) &= Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\nu[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\nu[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} dW^j(s) \\ &= Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) dW^j(s) \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, see also [12]. If the state space \mathcal{S} of the Markov chain α is a singleton set, then the SDEwMS (2.1) and the above scheme reduce to the classical SDE and its Euler scheme, respectively, as detailed in section 10.2 of [3].

Example 8 (Milstein-type scheme for SDEwMS). Let $\gamma = 1.0$. Then, $\mathcal{A}_{1.0}^b = \{\nu\}$, $\mathcal{A}_{1.0}^\sigma = \{\nu, (N_1), (1), \dots, (m)\}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.0}^b = \varphi$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.0}^\sigma = \{(N_1)\}$, which on using (4.1) and the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} Y^k(t_{n+1}) &= Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\nu[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(I_\nu[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(j_1)}[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(N_1)}[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \right) dW^j(s) \\ &= Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) ds + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) dW^j(s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j, j_1=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) dW^{j_1}(s_1) dW^j(s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_n}^s \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, s]} = 1\} (\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), k_0) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) dW^j(s) \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ which is the Milstein-type scheme for SDEwMS (2.1), see also [5] and [7]. If the state space \mathcal{S} of the Markov chain α is a singleton set, then SDEwMS (2.1) is an SDE, and the last term of the above equation vanishes which leads to the Milstein scheme for SDE, as detailed in Section 10.3 of [3]. Further, if the following commutative condition,

$$L_{i_0}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0) = L_{i_0}^j \sigma^{(k, j_1)}(x, i_0)$$

hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $j, j_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then we write

$$Y^k(t_{n+1}) = Y^k(t_n) + b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))h + \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))(W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(t_n))$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, j_1=1}^m L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) ((W^{j_1}(t_{n+1}) - W^{j_1}(t_n))(W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(t_n)) - \mathbb{1}\{j = j_1\}h) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, t_{n+1})} = 1\} (\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\tau_1)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))) (W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(\tau_1))
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ where τ_1 is the first jump time of the Markov chain α in the interval (t_n, t_{n+1}) .

Example 9 (1.5-order scheme for SDEwMS). If $\gamma = 1.5$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{1.5}^b &= \{\nu, (N_1), (0), (j_1); j_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}, \\
\mathcal{A}_{1.5}^\sigma &= \{\nu, (N_1), (N_2), (0), (j_1), (j_1, N_1), (N_1, j_1), (j_1, j_2), (N_1, j_1, N_1); j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^b &= \{(N_1)\}, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^\sigma &= \{(N_1), (N_2), (j_1, N_1), (N_1, j_1), (N_1, j_1, N_1); j_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Further, by employing (4.1) for $\gamma = 1.5$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^k(t_{n+1}) &= Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(I_\nu [b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(0)} [b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
& + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(j_1)} [b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(N_1)} [b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \Big) ds \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(I_\nu [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(0)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
& + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(j_1)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(j_1, j_2)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \\
& + I_{(N_1)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(N_2)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(j_1, N_1)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
& \left. + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1, N_1)} [\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \right) dW^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

which by the definition of multiple integrals from subsection 3.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^k(t_{n+1}) &= Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) ds + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s L_{\alpha(t_n)}^0 b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) ds_1 ds \\
& + \sum_{j_1=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) dW^{j_1}(s_1) ds \\
& + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_n}^s \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, s]} = 1\} (b^k(Y(t_n), k_0) - b^k(Y(t_n), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s L_{\alpha(t_n)}^0 \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) ds_1 dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j,j_1=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) dW^{(j_1)}(s_1) dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j,j_1,j_2=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s \int_{t_n}^{s_1} L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_2} L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)) dW^{j_2}(s_2) dW^{j_1}(s_1) dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_n}^s \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n,s]} = 1\} (\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), k_0) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_n}^s \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n,s]} = 2\} (\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), k_0) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j,j_1=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_n}^s \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n,s]} = 1\} \int_{t_n}^{s_1} (L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), k_0) \\
& \quad - L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), i_0)) dW^{j_1}(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j,j_1=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_n}^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n,s_1]} = 1\} (L_{k_0}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), k_0) \\
& \quad - L_{i_0}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) dW^{j_1}(s_1) dW^j(s) \\
& + \sum_{j,j_1=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_n}^s \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n,s]} = 1\} \int_{t_n}^{s_1} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_{t_n}^{s_2} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n,s_2]} = 1\} \\
& \quad (L_{k_1}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), k_0) - L_{i_1}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), k_0)) - (L_{k_1}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), i_0) - L_{i_1}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), i_0)) \\
& \quad d[M_{i_1 k_1}](s_3) dW^{j_1}(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) dW^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. The above equation is an order 1.5 strong Taylor scheme for SDE_{wMS} (2.1). Notice that the last term on the right side of the above equation is zero. If the state space \mathcal{S} of the Markov chain α is a singleton set, then the SDE_{wMS} (2.1) reduces to an SDE and the 5th, 10th to 14th terms on the right side of the above equation, which appear due to the switching of the Markov chain α , vanish. This gives an order 1.5 strong Taylor scheme for SDE, see Section 10.4 in [3]. Now, if following commutative conditions,

$$L_{i_0}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0) = L_{i_0}^j \sigma^{(k,j_1)}(x, i_0), \quad L_{i_0}^{j_2} L_{i_0}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0) = L_{i_0}^{j_1} L_{i_0}^{j_2} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0)$$

hold for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $j, j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
Y^k(t_{n+1}) &= Y^k(t_n) + b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))h + \frac{1}{2}L_{\alpha(t_n)}^0 b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))h^2 \\
&+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))\Delta_n Z^{j_1} \\
&+ \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, t_{n+1})} = 1\}(b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\tau_1)) - b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)))(t_{n+1} - \tau_1) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))\Delta_n W^j + \sum_{j=1}^m L_{\alpha(t_n)}^0 \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))(h\Delta_n W^j - \Delta_n Z^j) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, j_1=1}^m L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))(\Delta_n W^{j_1} \Delta_n W^j - \mathbb{1}\{j = j_1\}h) \\
&+ \frac{1}{6} \sum_{j, j_1, j_2=1}^m L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_2} L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))(\Delta_n W^j \Delta_n W^{j_1} \Delta_n W^{j_2} \\
&\quad - \mathbb{1}\{j \neq j_1, j \neq j_2, j_1 = j_2\}h\Delta_n W^j - 3\mathbb{1}\{j = j_1 = j_2\}h\Delta_n W^j) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, t_{n+1})} = 1\}(\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\tau_1)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)))(W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(\tau_1)) \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^m \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, t_{n+1})} = 2\}(\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\tau_2)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n)))(W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(\tau_2)) \\
&+ \sum_{j, j_1=1}^m \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, t_{n+1})} = 1\}(L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\tau_1)) - L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))) \\
&\quad \times (W^{j_1}(\tau_1) - W^{j_1}(t_n))(W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(\tau_1)) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j, j_1=1}^m \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_n, t_{n+1})} = 1\}(L_{\alpha(\tau_1)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\tau_1)) - L_{\alpha(t_n)}^{j_1} \sigma^{(j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))) \\
&\quad \times ((W^{j_1}(t_{n+1}) - W^{j_1}(\tau_1))(W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(\tau_1)) - \mathbb{1}\{j = j_1\}(t_{n+1} - \tau_1))
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ where $\Delta_n Z^j := \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \int_{t_n}^s dW^{j_1}(s_1) ds$ is normally distributed with mean zero and variance $E(\Delta_n Z^j)^2 = h^3/3$, $\Delta_n W^j := W^j(t_{n+1}) - W^j(t_n)$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and τ_1, τ_2 are the first and second jump times of the Markov chain α in the interval (t_n, t_{n+1}) , respectively.

Example 10 (2.0-order scheme for SDEwMS). Let $\gamma = 2.0$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{2.0}^b &= \mathcal{A}_{1.5}^b \cup \{(N_2), (N_1, j_1), (j_1, N_1), (j_1, j_2), (N_1, j_1, N_1); j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\} \\
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{2.0}^b &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^b \cup \{(N_2,), (N_1, j_1), (j_1, N_1), (N_1, j_1, N_1); j_1 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}, \\
\mathcal{A}_{2.0}^\sigma &= \mathcal{A}_{1.5}^\sigma \cup \{(N_3), (0, N_1), (j_1, N_2), (N_1, 0), (j_1, 0), (N_2, j_1), (0, j_1), (N_1, 0, N_1), (N_2, j_1, N_1), \\
&(j_1, j_2, N_1), (N_1, j_1, N_2), (N_2, j_1, N_2), (j_1, N_1, j_2), (N_1, j_1, j_2), (j_1, j_2, j_3), (j_1, N_1, j_2, N_1), \\
&(N_1, j_1, j_2, N_1), (N_1, j_1, N_1, j_2), (N_1, j_1, N_1, j_2, N_1) ; j_1, j_2, j_3 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{2.0}^\sigma &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^\sigma \cup \{(N_3), (0, N_1), (j_1, N_2), (N_1, 0), (N_2, j_1), (N_1, 0, N_1), (N_2, j_1, N_1), (j_1, j_2, N_1), \\ &(N_1, j_1, N_2), (N_2, j_1, N_2), (j_1, N_1, j_2), (N_1, j_1, j_2), (j_1, N_1, j_2, N_1), (N_1, j_1, j_2, N_1), (N_1, j_1, N_1, j_2), \\ &(N_1, j_1, N_1, j_2, N_1); j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, m\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the application of (4.1), the 2.0-order scheme for SDE_{wMS} (2.1) is written in the form of multiple integrals as

$$\begin{aligned} Y^k(t_{n+1}) &= Y^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{1.5}^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^b} I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(j_1, j_2)}[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^b} I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(N_2)}[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1)}[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(j_1, N_1)}[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \left. \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1, N_1)}[b^k(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \right) ds \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{1.5}^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(j_1, 0)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\ &+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(0, j_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3=1}^m I_{(j_1, j_2, j_3)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{1.5}^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(N_3)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(0, N_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(j_1, N_2)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(N_1, 0)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_2, j_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + I_{(N_1, 0, N_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_2, j_1, N_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(j_1, j_2, N_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1, N_2)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1=1}^m I_{(N_2, j_1, N_2)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(j_1, N_1, j_2)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1, j_2)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(j_1, N_1, j_2, N_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1, j_2, N_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\ &+ \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1, N_1, j_2)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \left. \sum_{j_1, j_2=1}^m I_{(N_1, j_1, N_1, j_2, N_1)}[\sigma^{(k, j)}(Y(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \right) dW^j(s) \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. If the Markov chain α has singletin state space \mathcal{S} , then SDEwMS (2.1) reduces to an SDE and fourth to eighth and thirteen to twenty-nine terms on the right side of the above equation become zero and this leads to 2.0-order strong Taylor scheme for SDE, one can refer to Section 10.5 in [3].

In order to investigate the strong rate of convergence of the explicit numerical scheme (4.1) for SDEwMS (2.1), we make the following assumptions.

Assumption 4. *There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that*

$$|J_{i_0}^\beta b^k(x, i_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(y, i_0)| + |J_{i_0}^\beta \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} \sigma^{(k,j)}(y, i_0)| \leq L|x - y|$$

for all $\beta \in (\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b) \setminus \{\nu\}$ and $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \{\nu\}$, $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Assumption 5. *There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for all $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2}^{\beta_2} J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, i_1) - J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2}^{\beta_2} J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(y, i_1)| \leq L|x - y|$$

where $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$.

Assumption 6. *There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that, for all $\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,*

$$|J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2}^{\beta_2} J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_1) - J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2}^{\beta_2} J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(y, i_1)| \leq L|x - y|$$

where $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 1\}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$.

To state the forthcoming assumptions, we define the following sets,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b &:= \{(j) \star \beta : j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}, \beta \in \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b : \\ &j_i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\} \cup \{\nu\}\} \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma &:= \{(j) \star \beta : j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}, \beta \in \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : \\ &j_i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\} \cup \{\nu\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Assumption 7. *For all $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\beta \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b) \cap \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\bar{\beta} \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}_1$, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that*

$$|J_{i_0}^\beta b^k(x, i_0)| + |J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0)| \leq L(1 + |x|).$$

Assumption 8. *For all $\beta \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b) \cap (\mathcal{M}_2 \cup \mathcal{M}_3)$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that*

$$|J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2}^{\beta_2} J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, i_1)| \leq L(1 + |x|)$$

where $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma\}$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

Assumption 9. For all $\beta \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_\gamma^\sigma) \cap (\mathcal{M}_2 \cup \mathcal{M}_3)$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 1\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, there exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$|J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2}^{\beta_2} J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_1)| \leq L(1 + |x|),$$

where $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma\}$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$.

The following theorem is the second main result of this article.

Theorem 3. Let Assumptions 1, 2 and 4 to 9 be satisfied. Then, the numerical scheme (4.1) converges in \mathcal{L}^2 -sense to the true solution of SDE_wMS (2.1) with a rate of convergence equal to $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, i.e., there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of h , such that

$$E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} |X(t_n) - Y(t_n)|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma}$$

where $0 < h < 1/(2q)$ with $q := \max\{-q_{i_0 i_0} : i_0 \in \mathcal{S}\}$.

We conclude this section by following remarks.

Remark 4. If the Markov chain α has singleton state space \mathcal{S} , then SDE_wMS (2.1) reduces to an SDE and Assumptions 5, 6, 8 and 9 does not appear.

Remark 5. Due to the Assumptions 2 and 4,

$$|J_{i_0}^\beta b^k(x, i_0)| + |J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_0)| \leq C(1 + |x|)$$

for all $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b$, $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma$, $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

We obtain the following remarks due to Assumptions 5 and 6.

Remark 6. Notice that, for all $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b$, the expansion of $J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, i_1)) - J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(y, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(y, i_1))$ consists of 2^r terms of the type $J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{\rho_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{\rho_2}^{\beta_2} J_{\rho_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, \rho_1) - J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{\rho_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{\rho_2}^{\beta_2} J_{\rho_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(y, \rho_1)$ where $\rho_j \in \{i_j, k_j\}$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}, k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Hence, Assumption 5 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & |J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, i_1)) - J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(y, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(y, i_1))| \\ & \leq C2^r |x - y| \leq C2^{2\gamma-2} |x - y| \leq C|x - y| \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$. Similarly, for all $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}+1} \star (N_{\bar{\mu}_{\bar{r}}}) \star \bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}} \star \dots \star (N_{\bar{\mu}_2}) \star \bar{\beta}_2 \star (N_{\bar{\mu}_1}) \star \bar{\beta}_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma$, Assumption 6 yields,

$$|J_{\bar{i}_{\bar{r}+1}}^{\bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}+1}} J_{\bar{i}_{\bar{r}} \bar{k}_{\bar{r}}}^{\bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}}} \dots J_{\bar{i}_2 \bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\beta}_2} (J_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\beta}_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, \bar{k}_1) - J_{\bar{i}_1}^{\bar{\beta}_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, \bar{i}_1))|$$

$$-J_{i_{\bar{r}+1}}^{\bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}+1}} J_{i_{\bar{r}} k_{\bar{r}}}^{\bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}}} \cdots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\bar{\beta}_2} (J_{k_1}^{\bar{\beta}_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(y, \bar{k}_1) - J_{i_1}^{\bar{\beta}_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(y, \bar{i}_1))| \leq C|x - y|$$

where $\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_{\bar{r}+1}, \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{r}} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\bar{r}} \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 1\}$, $\bar{\beta}_1, \dots, \bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$, $\bar{r} \leq 2\gamma - 1$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 7. Due to Remark 6, for all $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \cdots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}, k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \cdots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, i_1))| \leq C(1 + |x|)$$

where $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$. As before, for all $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}+1} \star (N_{\bar{\mu}_{\bar{r}}}) \star \bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}} \star \cdots \star (N_{\bar{\mu}_2}) \star \bar{\beta}_2 \star (N_{\bar{\mu}_1}) \star \bar{\beta}_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma$,

$$|J_{i_{\bar{r}+1}}^{\bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}+1}} J_{i_{\bar{r}} k_{\bar{r}}}^{\bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}}} \cdots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\bar{\beta}_2} (J_{k_1}^{\bar{\beta}_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, \bar{k}_1) - J_{i_1}^{\bar{\beta}_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, \bar{i}_1))| \leq C(1 + |x|)$$

where $\bar{i}_1, \dots, \bar{i}_{\bar{r}+1}, \bar{k}_1, \dots, \bar{k}_{\bar{r}} \in \mathcal{S}$, $\bar{\mu}_1, \dots, \bar{\mu}_{\bar{r}} \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 1\}$, $\bar{\beta}_1, \dots, \bar{\beta}_{\bar{r}+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$, $\bar{r} \leq 2\gamma - 1$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

As a result of the Assumptions 8 and 9, we get the following remarks.

Remark 8. Notice that, for all $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \cdots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1 \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b) \cap (\mathcal{M}_2 \cup \mathcal{M}_3)$, the expression of $J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \cdots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, i_1))$ consists of 2^r terms of the type $J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{\rho_r}^{\beta_r} \cdots J_{\rho_2}^{\beta_2} J_{\rho_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, \rho_1)$ where $\rho_j \in \{i_j, k_j\}$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1}, k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathcal{S}$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma\}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$. Thus, Assumption 8 yields,

$$|J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \cdots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(x, i_1))| \leq C2^r(1 + |x|) \leq C2^{2\gamma-2}(1 + |x|) \leq C(1 + |x|)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Remark 9. By using Assumption 9 and adopting the similar arguments as used in Remark 8, for all $\beta \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma) \cap (\mathcal{M}_2 \cup \mathcal{M}_3)$, $i_1, \dots, i_{r+1} \in \mathcal{S}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have

$$|J_{i_{r+1}}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \cdots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \sigma^{(k,j)}(x, i_1))| \leq C(1 + |x|)$$

where $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \cdots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma\}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 1\}$.

5. ITÔ-TAYLOR EXPANSION

In this section, we prove our first main result on the Itô-Taylor expansion, *i.e.*, Theorem 2, but first we establish several lemmas and corollaries required in the proof.

The proof of the following lemma can be found in [7] (see Lemma 2.2).

Lemma 2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differentiable function in the first argument. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X(t), \alpha(t)) &= f(X(s), \alpha(s)) + \int_s^t L_{\alpha(u)}^0 f(X(u), \alpha(u)) du + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^t L_{\alpha(u)}^j f(X(u), \alpha(u)) dW^j(u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t (f(X(u), k_0) - f(X(u), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) \\ &= I_\nu[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + I_{(0)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=1}^m I_{(j)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^\mu I_{(N_r)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(\bar{N}_\mu)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$.

When the state of the Markov chain α is fixed, then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For every $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, let $f(\cdot, i_0) : \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a twice continuously differential function in the first argument. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} f(X(t), i_0) &= f(X(s), i_0) + \int_s^t L_{\alpha(u)}^0 f(X(u), i_0) du + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^t L_{\alpha(u)}^j f(X(u), i_0) dW^j(u) \\ &= I_\nu[f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,t} + I_{(0)}[f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=1}^m I_{(j)}[f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$.

For the following lemma, we define

$$\mathbb{I}_{(s,t)}^j = \begin{cases} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = r\} & \text{if } j = N_r, r \in \{1, \dots, \mu\} \\ \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} > \mu\} & \text{if } j = \bar{N}_\mu \end{cases}$$

for any $s < t \in [0, T]$ where $j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$.

Lemma 3. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $l(\beta) \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. Then, for a sufficiently smooth function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = \begin{cases} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} & \text{if } \beta \in \mathcal{M}_1 \\ I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} & \text{if } \beta \in \mathcal{M}_2 \\ I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} & \text{if } \beta \in \mathcal{M}_3 \end{cases}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$, provided all the multiple integrals appearing above exist. If $l(\beta) = 0$, then the cases $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_2$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_3$ on the right side of the above expression is dropped and when $l(\beta) = 1$, the case $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_2$ does not appear.

Proof. First, we establish the result for $l(\beta) \in \{0, 1\}$. Let $l(\beta) = 0$, i.e., $\beta = \nu \in \mathcal{M}_1$. By using the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Lemma 2, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= f(X(t), \alpha(t)) \\ &= I_\nu[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + I_{(0)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=1}^m I_{(j)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} I_{(N_r)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + I_{(\bar{N}_\mu)}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Now, let $l(\beta) = 1$ and $\beta = (j_1) \in \mathcal{M}_1$ for $j_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$. As before, we use the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Lemma 2 to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \int_s^t L_{\alpha(s_1)}^{j_1} f(X(s_1), \alpha(s_1)) dW^{j_1}(s_1) \\ &= \int_s^t \left(L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_1} f(X(s), \alpha(s)) + \sum_{j=0}^m \int_s^{s_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^j L_{\alpha(s_2)}^{j_1} f(X(s_2), \alpha(s_2)) dW^j(s_2) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, s_1)} = r\} (L_{k_0}^{j_1} f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{i_0}^{j_1} f(X(s_2), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, s_1)} > \mu\} (L_{k_0}^{j_1} f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{i_0}^{j_1} f(X(s_2), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) \right) dW^{j_1}(s_1) \\ &= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Similarly, let $l(\beta) = 1$ and $\beta = (j_1) \in \mathcal{M}_3$ for $j_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$. Then, on using the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Corollary 1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t]}^{j_1} (f(X(s_1), k_0) - f(X(s_1), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\ &= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t]}^{j_1} \left((f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^m \int_s^{s_1} (L_{\alpha(s_2)}^j f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s_2)}^j f(X(s_2), i_0)) dW^j(s_2) \right) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

We use mathematical induction to prove the case $l(\beta) \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$. First, we establish the base case, *i.e.*, $l(\beta) = 2$. Let $\beta = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Due to the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^{j_1} L_{\alpha(s_2)}^{j_2} f(X(s_2), \alpha(s_2)) dW^{j_1}(s_2) dW^{j_2}(s_1) \\ &= \int_s^t \int_s^{s_1} \left(L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_1} L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_2} f(X(s), \alpha(s)) + \sum_{j=0}^m \int_s^{s_2} L_{\alpha(s_3)}^j L_{\alpha(s_3)}^{j_1} L_{\alpha(s_3)}^{j_2} f(X(s_3), \alpha(s_3)) dW^j(s_3) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_2} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, s_2)} = r\} (L_{k_0}^{j_1} L_{k_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_3), k_0) - L_{i_0}^{j_1} L_{i_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_3), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_3) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_2} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, s_2)} > \mu\} (L_{k_0}^{j_1} L_{k_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_3), k_0) \\ &\quad \left. - L_{i_0}^{j_1} L_{i_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_3), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_3) \right) dW^{j_1}(s_2) dW^{j_2}(s_1) \\ &= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Now, let $\beta = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathcal{M}_2$. As before, the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Lemma 2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t)}^{j_2} \int_s^{s_1} (L_{\alpha(s_2)}^{j_1} f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s_2)}^{j_1} f(X(s_2), i_0)) dW^{j_1}(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \\ &= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t)}^{j_2} \int_s^{s_1} \left((L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_1} f(X(s), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_1} f(X(s), i_0)) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m \int_s^{s_2} L_{\alpha(s_3)}^j (L_{\alpha(s_3)}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s_3)}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), i_0)) dW^j(s_3) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{s_2} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, s_2)} = r\} ((L_{k_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), k_0) - L_{i_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), k_0)) \\ &\quad \left. - (L_{k_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), i_0) - L_{i_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), i_0))) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_3) \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{s_2} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, s_2)} > \mu\} ((L_{k_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), k_0) - L_{i_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), k_0)) \\ &\quad \left. - (L_{k_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), i_0) - L_{i_1}^{j_1} f(X(s_3), i_0))) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_3) \right) dW^{j_1}(s_2) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Further, let $\beta = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathcal{M}_3$. By the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \int_s^t \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{I}_{(s, s_1]}^{j_1} (L_{k_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_2), k_0) - L_{i_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_2), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) dW^{j_2}(s_1) \\ &= \int_s^t \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{s_1} \mathbb{I}_{(s, s_1]}^{j_1} \left((L_{k_0}^{j_2} f(X(s), k_0) - L_{i_0}^{j_2} f(X(s), i_0)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^m \int_s^{s_2} (L_{\alpha(s_3)}^j L_{k_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_3), k_0) - L_{\alpha(s_3)}^j L_{i_0}^{j_2} f(X(s_3), i_0)) dW^j(s_3) \right) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](s_2) dW^{j_2}(s_1) \\ &= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

For the inductive arguments, we assume that the result holds for $l(\beta) = k - 1 \geq 2$. Now, consider $\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ and $k \geq 3$.

Case 1. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_1$. Then, the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 gives

$$I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} dW^{j_k}(u)$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Notice that $\beta- \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and the inductive hypothesis is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} &= I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_k} f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,u} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \end{aligned}$$

which by the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \int_s^t \left(I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(s)}^{j_k} f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \right) dW^{j_k}(u) \\ &= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Case 2. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_2$ and $j_k \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$. Due to the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3, we obtain

$$I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} dW^{j_k}(u)$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. As before, notice that $\beta- \in \mathcal{M}_2$ and the inductive hypothesis is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} &= I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < u \in [0, T]$. Thus, by applying the above equation and the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \int_s^t \left(I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \right) dW^{j_k}(u) \\ &= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Case 3. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_2$ and $j_k \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$. By using the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3, we can write

$$I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t]}^{j_k} I_{\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u)$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Notice that $\beta- \in \mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2$ and the inductive hypothesis is

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} &= I_{\beta-}[f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,u} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < u \in [0, T]$. Further, above equation and the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t]}^{j_k} \left(I_{\beta-}[f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} \right) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) \\ &= I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Case 4. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_3$ and $j_k \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$. By the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3, we have

$$I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = \int_s^t I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} dW^{j_k}(u)$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. In this case, $\beta- \in \mathcal{M}_3$ and the inductive hypothesis is

$$I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} = I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u}$$

almost surely for any $s < u \in [0, T]$. By the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and inductive hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \int_s^t \left(I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_k} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,u} \right) dW^{j_k}(u) \\ &= I_{\beta}[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Case 5. Let $\beta \in \mathcal{M}_3$ and $j_k \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}$. The definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 gives

$$I_{\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t]}^{j_k} I_{\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u)$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. Notice that $\beta- \in \mathcal{M}_3$, then the inductive hypothesis is written as

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} &= I_{\beta-}[f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,u} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < u \in [0, T]$. Hence, the above equation and the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{I}_{(s,t]}^{j_k} \left(I_{\beta-}[f(X(s), k_0) - f(X(s), i_0)]_{s,u} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta-}[f(X(\cdot), k_0) - f(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{s,u} \right) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) \\ &= I_{\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t \in [0, T]$. This completes the proof. \square

Now we will prove one of the main result of this article, *i.e.*, theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. If $\mathcal{A} = \varphi$, then the proof is trivial. We shall prove Theorem 2 for $\mathcal{A} \neq \varphi$ by induction on

$$\eta(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}} \eta(\beta)$$

where $\eta(\beta)$ is defined in Subsection 3.1. Let $\eta(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. Then, $\mathcal{A} = \{\nu\}$ with the remainder set

$$\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}) = \{(N_1), \dots, (N_\mu), (\bar{N}_\mu), (0), (1), \dots, (m)\} \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \varphi.$$

Thus, on using Lemma 2 and the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3, one has

$$\begin{aligned} f(X(t), \alpha(t)) &= f(X(s), \alpha(s)) + \int_s^t L_{\alpha(u)}^0 f(X(u), \alpha(u)) du + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_s^t L_{\alpha(u)}^j f(X(u), \alpha(u)) dW^j(u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{r=1}^{\mu} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} = r\} (f(X(u), k_0) - f(X(u), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} > \mu\} (f(X(u), k_0) - f(X(u), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$. Thus, the result holds for $\eta(\mathcal{A}) = 0$.

Now, let $\eta(\mathcal{A}) \geq 1$ and $\zeta := \{\beta \in \mathcal{A} : \eta(\beta) \leq \eta(\mathcal{A}) - 1\}$. Notice that ζ is a hierarchical set. Indeed, $\nu \in \zeta$ because $\nu \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta(\nu) = 0 \leq \eta(\mathcal{A}) - 1$. Also, for any $\beta \in \zeta \setminus \{\nu\}$, $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta(\beta) \leq \eta(\mathcal{A}) - 1$ which implies $-\beta \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta(-\beta) \leq \eta(\beta) \leq \eta(\mathcal{A}) - 1$. Further, the inductive hypothesis is

$$\begin{aligned} f(X(t), \alpha(t)) &= \sum_{\beta \in \zeta \setminus \tilde{\zeta}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\zeta}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\zeta)} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where $\tilde{\zeta} := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \zeta : j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$.

We write $\mathcal{B}(\zeta)$ as $\mathcal{B}(\zeta) = \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 \cup (\mathcal{B}(\zeta) \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3))$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\zeta) \cap \mathcal{A} : j_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\zeta) \cap \mathcal{A} : j_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu\}\}, \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in (\mathcal{B}(\zeta) \cap \mathcal{A}) \setminus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 : j_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}_1$, $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}_3$ and $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 \subset \mathcal{M}_2$. By using Lemma 3 for $\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1} \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j)\star\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_1} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \quad (5.2)$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where

$$\tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_1 := \{(j) \star \beta : \beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1, j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}\}$$

and $\eta(\beta) \geq \eta(\mathcal{A}) + 1$ for all $\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_1$. Further, for $\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2$, Lemma 3 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2} \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j) \star \beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_2} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where

$$\tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_2 := \{(j) \star \beta : \beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}\}.$$

and $\eta(\beta) \geq \eta(\mathcal{A}) + 1$ for all $\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_2$. Similarly, on using Lemma 3 for $\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3} \sum_{j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(j) \star \beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where

$$\tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3 := \{(j) \star \beta : \beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3, j \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}\}.$$

Now notice that there may be some $\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3$ such that $\eta(\beta) = \eta(\mathcal{A})$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{A}$. Thus, we define

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_4 := \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3 \cap \mathcal{A}.$$

Clearly, $\bar{\mathcal{B}}_4 \subset \bar{\mathcal{M}}_3$. By the application of Lemma 3 for $\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4$, the above equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} &= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4} \sum_{j=0}^m I_{(j) \star \beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_4} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where $\tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_4 := \{(j) \star \beta : \beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4, j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, m\}\}$ with $\eta(\beta) \geq \eta(\mathcal{A}) + 1$.

Notice that, $\zeta \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4 \subset \mathcal{A}$. Clearly $\nu \in \zeta$. Let us consider $\beta \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{\nu\}$ to prove $\mathcal{A} \subset \zeta \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4$. If $\eta(\beta) \leq \eta(\mathcal{A}) - 1$, then $\beta \in \zeta$. Now, let $\eta(\beta) = \eta(\mathcal{A})$ which implies either $\eta(-\beta) < \eta(\beta)$ or $\eta(-\beta) = \eta(\beta)$. Let $\eta(-\beta) < \eta(\beta)$, then the definition of hierarchical and remainder sets from Subsection 3.4 yields $-\beta \in \zeta$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\zeta)$ which gives $\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3$. Further, if $\eta(-\beta) = \eta(\beta)$, then $-\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3$ and $\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4$. Hence

$$\mathcal{A} = \zeta \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4 \text{ and } \tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \zeta \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{B}(\zeta) \setminus (\bar{\mathcal{B}}_1 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2 \cup \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3)) \cup \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_1 \cup \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_2 \cup (\tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3 \setminus \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4) \cup \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_4 &= \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A} : -\beta \in \zeta\} \cup \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A} : -\beta \in \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}}_1\} \cup \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A} : -\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_2\} \cup \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A} : -\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_3\} \cup \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A} : -\beta \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_4\} &= \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using (5.2), (5.3) and (5.4) in (5.1), we obtain

$$f(X(t), \alpha(t)) = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}} I_\beta[f(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A})} I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 10. *If there is no jump then $\tilde{\zeta}, \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_2, \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3, \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_2, \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_3, \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_4, \tilde{\bar{\mathcal{B}}}_4$ in preceding proof are empty sets and SDEwMS (2.1) reduces to an SDE. As a result, by repeating the preceding steps, we can prove Theorem 5.5.1 of [3], i.e., Itô-Taylor expansion for SDE.*

6. EXPLICIT γ -ORDER SCHEME FOR SDEwMS

This section is devoted to the derivation of the $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order numerical scheme for SDEwMS (2.1) and prove its moment stability and strong rate of convergence.

6.1. Derivation. In this subsection, we present the derivation of the explicit $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order scheme for SDEwMS (2.1). For this, we recall the definitions of hierarchical sets $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma$ from Subsection 4.2 and $\mathcal{A}_0^b = \mathcal{A}_0^\sigma = \varphi$. Then, we use Theorem 2 to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} b^k(X(s), \alpha(s)) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n,s} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n,s} \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b)} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n,s} \\ \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(s), \alpha(s)) &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n,s} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n,s} \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma)} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n,s} \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $s \in [t_n, t_{n+1}]$. Thus, from (2.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
X^k(t_{n+1}) &= X^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b)} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \Big) ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma)} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \Big) dW^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n \in \{0, \dots, n_T - 1\}$. Let $\mu = 2\gamma$ be fixed and notice that the remainder sets $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma)$ contain elements of \mathcal{A}_γ^b and $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma$ which are identified with the following sets,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1^b &:= \{\nu\} \cup \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b : j_i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\
\mathcal{B}_1^\sigma &:= \{\nu\} \cup \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : j_i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\
\mathcal{B}_2^b &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b : j_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\}\}, \\
\mathcal{B}_2^\sigma &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : j_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu\}\}, \\
\mathcal{B}_3^b &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \mathcal{B}_1^b : j_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}, \\
\mathcal{B}_3^\sigma &:= \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma : j_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_2^b, \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \subset \mathcal{M}_3$ and $\mathcal{B}_3^b, \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \subset \mathcal{M}_2$. Similarly, some elements of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma)$ are identified by the below mentioned sets,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_4^b &:= \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b) \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1^b \cup \mathcal{B}_2^b \cup \mathcal{B}_3^b), \\
\mathcal{B}_4^\sigma &:= \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma) \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the sets defined above, we write,

$$\begin{aligned}
X^k(t_{n+1}) &= X^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b)} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
&\quad + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_2^b \cup \mathcal{B}_3^b \cup \mathcal{B}_4^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right) ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma)} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_4^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
& + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} dW^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

which on the application of Lemma 3 for $\beta \in \{\mathcal{B}_2^b, \mathcal{B}_3^b, \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma, \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma\}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
X^k(t_{n+1}) &= X^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b)} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
& + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \cup \mathcal{B}_2^b \cup \mathcal{B}_3^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_2^b} \sum_{k_1=0}^m I_{(k_1) \star \beta}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
& + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_3^b} \sum_{k_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(k_1) \star \beta}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_4^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
& + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} ds \\
& + \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma)} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
& + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma} \sum_{k_1=0}^m I_{(k_1) \star \beta}[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
& + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma} \sum_{k_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}} I_{(k_1) \star \beta}[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_4^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
& \left. + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right) dW^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Now, to summarize the notations of the fourth, fifth, tenth and eleventh terms on the right side of the above equation, we denote by

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^b &:= \{(k_1) \star \beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}_2^b, k_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^\sigma &:= \{(k_1) \star \beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma, k_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^b &:= \{(k_1) \star \beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}_3^b, k_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}\}, \\
\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^\sigma &:= \{(k_1) \star \beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma, k_1 \in \{N_1, \dots, N_\mu, \bar{N}_\mu, 0, 1, \dots, m\}\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Also notice that there are some elements of \mathcal{A}_γ^b and $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma$ which are present in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^b$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^\sigma$, respectively and we identify them with the help of following notations,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_3^b &:= \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^b \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b, \\
\mathcal{B}_3^\sigma &:= \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^\sigma \cap \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{B}_5^b, \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma \subset \mathcal{M}_3$. Thus, by using the above notations, we write,

$$\begin{aligned}
X^k(t_{n+1}) &= X^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b)} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \cup \mathcal{B}_2^b \cup \mathcal{B}_3^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_5^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_4^b \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^b \cup (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^b \setminus \mathcal{B}_5^b)} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \Big) ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma)} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_4^\sigma \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^\sigma \cup (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^\sigma \setminus \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma)} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&+ \left. \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right) dW^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Further, on using Lemma 3 for $\beta \in \{\mathcal{B}_5^b, \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma\}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
X^k(t_{n+1}) &= X^k(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in (\mathcal{B}_1^b \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b))} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \cup \mathcal{B}_2^b \cup \mathcal{B}_3^b \cup \mathcal{B}_5^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_4^b \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^b \cup (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^b \setminus \mathcal{B}_5^b) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_5^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \Big) ds \\
&+ \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in (\mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup (\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma))} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_4^\sigma \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^\sigma \cup (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^\sigma \setminus \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_5^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} \\
&+ \left. \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} \right) dW^j(s)
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_5^b &:= \{(k_1) \star \beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}_5^b, k_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_5^\sigma &:= \{(k_1) \star \beta : \beta \in \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma, k_1 \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma \subset \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma$. Notice that $\nu \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma$. Now, we prove $\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \subset \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma$. For this, let $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \{\nu\}$ which implies $\eta(\beta) \leq 2\gamma - 1$. If $\eta(\beta) \leq 2\gamma - 2$, then $\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma$. Further, consider $\eta(\beta) = 2\gamma - 1$ which gives rise to two cases, either $\eta(-\beta) < \eta(\beta)$ or $\eta(-\beta) = \eta(\beta)$. If $\eta(-\beta) < \eta(\beta)$, then by the definition of hierarchical and remainder sets from Subsection 3.4, we have $-\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma)$ which gives $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma$. Moreover, if $\eta(-\beta) = \eta(\beta)$, then $-\beta \in \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma &= \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma \cup \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_4^\sigma \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^\sigma \cup (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^\sigma \setminus \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_5^\sigma &= \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : -\beta \in \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^\sigma\} \cup \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : -\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma\} \cup \\ &\{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : -\beta \in \mathcal{B}_2^\sigma\} \cup \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : -\beta \in \mathcal{B}_3^\sigma\} \cup \{\beta \in \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma : -\beta \in \mathcal{B}_5^\sigma\} = \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b &= \mathcal{A}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \cup \mathcal{B}_1^b \cup \mathcal{B}_2^b \cup \mathcal{B}_3^b \cup \mathcal{B}_5^b, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\gamma-0.5}^b \cup \mathcal{B}_2^b \cup \mathcal{B}_3^b \cup \mathcal{B}_5^b, \\ \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b &= \mathcal{B}_4^b \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2^b \cup (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_3^b \setminus \mathcal{B}_5^b) \cup \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_5^b.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, preceding equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}X^k(t_{n+1}) &= X^k(t_n) + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} ds \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} ds \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} ds \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} dW^j(s) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} dW^j(s) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_n, s} dW^j(s) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_n), \alpha(t_n))]_{t_n, s} dW^j(s)\end{aligned}\tag{6.1}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T - 1\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Hence, the explicit numerical scheme (4.1) of $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order for SDEwMS (2.1) is obtained by ignoring the fourth, fifth, eighth and ninth terms on the right side of (6.1).

6.2. Moment bound. In this subsection, we shall show the moment stability of the numerical scheme (4.1) of $\gamma \in \{n/2 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ -order for SDEwMS (2.1). The result on the moment bound of the scheme (4.1) is stated in Lemma 6. We first establish some preliminary lemmas which are required for proving Lemma 6.

For the following lemmas, let us recall the definitions of $\eta(\beta)$ and $l(\beta)$ from Subsection 3.1 and $J_{i_0}^\beta$ from Subsection 3.2. It is assumed that all integrals appearing in Lemmas 4 and 5 are well-defined. Also, one requires the standard stopping time arguments in the proof which we avoid to write for the sake of notational simplicity.

Lemma 4. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ and $\beta \in \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{M} : j_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\ & \leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)-l(\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(\beta)-1}} E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_{l(\beta)})}^\beta f(X(t_{l(\beta)}), \alpha(t_{l(\beta)}))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_{l(\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$.

In addition, if $|J_{i_0}^\beta f(x, i_0)| \leq L(1 + |x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ where $L > 0$ is a constant, then

$$E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)} E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} (1 + |X(u)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right).$$

Moreover, for $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{M}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |I_{\bar{\beta} * \beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\ & \leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)-l(\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(\beta)-1}} E\left(|I_{\bar{\beta}}[J_{\alpha(\cdot)}^\beta f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_{l(\beta)}}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_{l(\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Proof. The first inequality of the lemma is proved by using induction on $l(\beta)$. Let $l(\beta) = 1$ and $\beta = (0)$ which gives $\eta(\beta) = 2$. On the application of Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) &= E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} \left| \int_s^u L_{\alpha(t_1)}^0 f(X(t_1), \alpha(t_1)) dt_1 \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\ &\leq C(t-s) \int_s^t E\left(|L_{\alpha(t_1)}^0 f(X(t_1), \alpha(t_1))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_1 \\ &= C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)-l(\beta)} \int_s^t E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_1)}^\beta f(X(t_1), \alpha(t_1))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where $J_{i_0}^\beta = L_{i_0}^0$, $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Further, if $l(\beta) = 1$ and $\beta = (j)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ which yields $\eta(\beta) = 1$. Then, Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality gives,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) &= E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} \left| \int_s^u L_{\alpha(t_1)}^j f(X(t_1), \alpha(t_1)) dW^j(t_1) \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\ &\leq C \int_s^t E\left(|L_{\alpha(t_1)}^j f(X(t_1), \alpha(t_1))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_1 \\ &= C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)-l(\beta)} \int_s^t E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_1)}^\beta f(X(t_1), \alpha(t_1))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ where $J_{i_0}^\beta = L_{i_0}^j$, $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Thus, the first inequality of the lemma holds for $l(\beta) = 1$. For inductive arguments, we assume that it holds for $l(\beta) = k$ for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, consider $\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_{k+1})$ and $j_{k+1} = 0$. On using Hölder's inequality and the inductive hypothesis, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) &= E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} \left| \int_s^u I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_{k+1}} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_1} dt_1 \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\ &\leq C(t-s) \int_s^t E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t_1]} |I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_{k+1}} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_1 \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)-l(\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(\beta)-1}} E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_{l(\beta)})}^\beta f(X(t_{l(\beta)}), \alpha(t_{l(\beta)}))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_{l(\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, if $j_{k+1} \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$, then by using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and inductive hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} |I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) &= E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} \left| \int_s^u I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_{k+1}} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_1} dW^j(t_1) \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\ &\leq C \int_s^t E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t_1]} |I_{\beta-}[L_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{j_{k+1}} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_1 \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)-l(\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(\beta)-1}} E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_{l(\beta)})}^\beta f(X(t_{l(\beta)}), \alpha(t_{l(\beta)}))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_{l(\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t \in [0, T]$. This completes the proof of first inequality of the lemma. The second inequality of the lemma follows immediately from the first inequality on using $|J_{i_0}^\beta f(x, i_0)| \leq L(1 + |x|)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. By adapting the similar arguments, the third inequality of the lemma also follows. \square

For following lemma, let us define $l_k := \sum_{i=1}^k l(\beta_i)$ for $\beta_i \in \mathcal{M}$, $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and recall the definitions of operators $J_{i_0}^\beta$, $J_{i_0 k_0}^\beta$ from Subsection 3.2.

Lemma 5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathcal{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$. Then, for all $\beta \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$ where $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, \mu\}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $r = [n](\beta) \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left(|I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) &\leq C \prod_{i=1}^r \mu_i (t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1) + \dots + \eta(\beta_{r+1}) - l_{r+1}} \\
&\int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_1})} = \mu_1\} \\
&\int_s^{t_{l_1+1}} \int_s^{t_{l_1+2}} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_2}} \sum_{i_2 \neq k_2} \int_s^{t_{l_2+1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_2+1})} = \mu_2\} \dots \\
&\int_s^{t_{l_{r-1}+r-1}} \int_s^{t_{l_{r-1}+r}} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_r+r-2}} \sum_{i_r \neq k_r} \int_s^{t_{l_r+r-1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_r+r-1})} = \mu_r\} \\
&\int_s^{t_{l_r+r}} \int_s^{t_{l_r+r+1}} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_{r+1}+r-1}} \\
&E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_{l_{r+1}+r})}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \dots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(t_{l_{r+1}+r}), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(t_{l_{r+1}+r}), i_1))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\
&dt_{l_{r+1}+r} \dots dt_{l_r+r+2} dt_{l_r+r+1} d[M_{i_r k_r}](t_{l_r+r}) dt_{l_r+r-1} \dots dt_{l_{r-1}+r+1} dt_{l_{r-1}+r} \dots \\
&d[M_{i_2 k_2}](t_{l_2+2}) dt_{l_2+1} \dots dt_{l_1+3} dt_{l_1+2} d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1}) dt_{l_1} \dots dt_2 dt_1
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t_0 \in [0, T]$ provided $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_r$, otherwise, $I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t_0} = 0$.

Proof. We shall prove the lemma by induction on $r = [n](\beta)$. Let $[n](\beta) = 1$. Then, $\beta = \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$. If $\beta_1 \neq \nu$, on using Lemma 4, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left(|I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) &= E\left(|I_{\beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\
&\leq C (t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1) - l_1} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} E\left(|I_{\beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1})}[J_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t_{l_1}}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_{l_1} \dots dt_2 dt_1
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t_0 \in [0, T]$. Notice that if $\beta_1 = \nu$, then $J_{i_0}^{\beta_1}$ is the identity operator for all $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\eta(\beta_1) = l_1 = 0$ and the iterated integrals $\int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}}$ on the right side of the above expression and in the subsequent calculations disappear. Also, the above inequality becomes an equality. Further, the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left(|I_\beta[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) &\leq C (t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1) - l_1} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} \\
&E\left(|\sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_1})} = \mu_1\} I_{\beta_2}[J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1)]_{s,t_{l_1+1}} d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1})|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\
&dt_{l_1} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \\
&\leq C \mu_1 (t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1) - l_1} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_1})} = \mu_1\} \\
&E\left(|I_{\beta_2}[J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1)]_{s,t_{l_1+1}}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1}) dt_{l_1} \dots dt_2 dt_1
\end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t_0 \in [0, T]$ where the last inequality is obtained by using,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} I_{\beta_2} [J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1)]_{s, t_{l_1+1}} d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1}) \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{N(s, t_{l_1})} I_{\beta_2} [J_{\alpha(\tau_i)}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\tau_i)) - J_{\alpha(\tau_{i-1})}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\tau_{i-1}))]_{s, \tau_i} \right|^2 \\ &\leq N(s, t_{l_1}) \sum_{i=1}^{N(s, t_{l_1})} \left| I_{\beta_2} [J_{\alpha(\tau_i)}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\tau_i)) - J_{\alpha(\tau_{i-1})}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\tau_{i-1}))]_{s, \tau_i} \right|^2, \quad (6.2) \end{aligned}$$

$\tau_1 \dots, \tau_{N(s, t_{l_1})}$ are jump times of the Markov chain α in the interval $(s, t_{l_1}]$. Moreover, if $\beta_2 \neq \nu$, then the application of Lemma 4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left(|I_{\beta} [f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \\ &\leq C \mu_1 (t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1) + \eta(\beta_2) - l_2} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{N(s, t_{l_1}) = \mu_1\}} \int_s^{t_{l_1+1}} \int_s^{t_{l_1+2}} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_2}} \\ & E \left(|J_{\alpha(t_{l_2+1})}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(t_{l_2+1}), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(t_{l_2+1}), i_1))|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \\ & dt_{l_2+1} \dots dt_{l_1+3} dt_{l_1+2} d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1}) dt_{l_1} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $s < t_0 \in [0, T]$. As before, if $\beta_2 = \nu$, then $J_{i_0}^{\beta_2}$ is the identity operator for $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\eta(\beta_2) = l(\beta_2) = 0$, $l_2 = l_1$ and the iterated integrals $\int_s^{t_{l_1+1}} \int_s^{t_{l_1+2}} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_2}}$ on the right side of the above inequality does not appear. Hence, lemma holds for $[n](\beta) = 1$.

We make the inductive hypothesis that the lemma holds for $r - 1 = [n](\beta) - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now, let us consider $r = [n](\beta) \in \{2, 3, \dots\}$ and recall $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1 = \bar{\beta} \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$ where $\bar{\beta} = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2$. Clearly, $[n](\bar{\beta}) = r - 1$.

For $\beta_1 \neq \nu$, Lemma 4 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left(|I_{\beta} [f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) = E \left(|I_{\bar{\beta} \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1} [f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \\ &\leq C (t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1) - l_1} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} E \left(|I_{\bar{\beta} \star (N_{\mu_1})} [J_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_{l_1}}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) dt_{l_1} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for any $s < t_0 \in [0, T]$. As before, if $\beta_1 = \nu$, then $J_{i_0}^{\beta_1}$ is the identity operator for $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $\eta(\beta_1) = l_1 = 0$ and the iterated integrals $\int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}}$ does not appear on the right side of the above expression and in the corresponding estimates that follow. Also, the above inequality becomes an equality. Further, the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \left(|I_{\beta} [f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \leq C (t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1) - l_1} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} E \left(\left| \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \mathbb{1}_{\{N(s, t_{l_1}) = \mu_1\}} I_{\bar{\beta}} [J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1)]_{s, t_{l_1+1}} d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1}) \right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) dt_{l_1} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

which on using an estimate similar to the one obtained in (6.2) gives

$$E\left(|I_{\beta}[f(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t_0}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \leq C\mu_1(t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_1)-l_1} \int_s^{t_0} \int_s^{t_1} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_1})} = \mu_1\}$$

$$E\left(|I_{\beta}[J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1)]_{s,t_{l_1+1}}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1}) dt_{l_1} \cdots dt_2 dt_1$$

almost surely for any $s < t_0 \in [0, T]$. The proof is completed by using the following inductive hypothesis,

$$E\left(|I_{\beta}[J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1)]_{s,t_{l_1+1}}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \leq C \prod_{i=2}^r \mu_i(t_0 - s)^{\eta(\beta_2)+\cdots+\eta(\beta_{r+1})-(l_{r+1}-l_1)}$$

$$\int_s^{t_{l_1+1}} \int_s^{t_{l_1+2}} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_2}} \sum_{i_2 \neq k_2} \int_s^{t_{l_2+1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_2+1})} = \mu_2\}$$

$$\cdots \int_s^{t_{l_{r-1}+r-1}} \int_s^{t_{l_{r-1}+r}} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_r+r-2}} \sum_{i_r \neq k_r} \int_s^{t_{l_r+r-1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_r+r-1})} = \mu_r\}$$

$$\int_s^{t_{l_r+r}} \int_s^{t_{l_r+r+1}} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_{r+1}+r-1}} E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_{l_r+1+r})}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \cdots J_{i_3 k_3}^{\beta_3} (J_{k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1)))\right.$$

$$\left. - J_{i_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} f(X(\cdot), i_1))\right|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) dt_{l_r+1+r} \cdots dt_{l_r+r+2} dt_{l_r+r+1}$$

$$d[M_{i_r k_r}](t_{l_r+r}) dt_{l_r+r-1} \cdots dt_{l_{r-1}+r+1} dt_{l_{r-1}+r} \cdots d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_2+2}) dt_{l_2+1} \cdots dt_{l_1+3} dt_{l_1+2}$$

almost surely for all $s < t_0 \in [0, T]$. \square

The first inequality in the following corollary follows from Lemma 4 and Remark 5 and the second inequality from Lemma 5 and Remark 7.

Corollary 2. *Let Assumptions 1, 2 and 4 to 6 hold. Then,*

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} E(|I_\beta[b^k(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t}|^2) + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} E(|I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(s), \alpha(s))]_{s,t}|^2) \leq CE(1 + |X(s)|)^2,$$

$$\sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} E(|I_\beta[b^k(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2) + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} E(|I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(s), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2) \leq CE(1 + |X(s)|)^2,$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $s < t \in [0, T]$.

Lemma 6. *Let Assumptions 1, 2 and 4 to 6 be satisfied. Then, the γ -order explicit numerical scheme (4.1) of SDEwMS (2.1) satisfies the following*

$$E\left(\sup_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,n_T\}} |Y(t_n)|^2\right) \leq C$$

where the constant $C > 0$ does not depend on h .

Proof. From (4.1), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^k(t_n) &= Y_0^k + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} \right) ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} \right) dW^j(s) \end{aligned}$$

almost surely for all $n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. The Hölder's inequality and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality yield,

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n'\}} |Y^k(t_n)|^2 \right) \leq CE|Y_0^k|^2 \\ &+ CE \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} \right) ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ CE \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. + \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} \right) dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \\ &\leq CE|Y_0^k|^2 + C \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

for any $n' \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Further, on using Corollary 2, we obtain

$$E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n'\}} |Y^k(t_n)|^2 \right) \leq CE|Y_0^k|^2 + C + Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, i\}} |Y^k(t_n)|^2 \right)$$

for any $n' \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. The application of Gronwall's lemma completes the proof. \square

6.3. Strong rate of convergence. In this subsection, we provide the proof of Theorem 3. For this, we first establish the following lemmas.

Lemma 7. *Let Assumptions 1, 2 and 4 be satisfied. Then, for all $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b$, $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma$ such that their first component is $\bar{N}_{2\gamma}$, the following holds,*

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)} \\ E\left(|I_{\bar{\beta}}[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\bar{\beta})} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $s < t \in [0, T]$ provided $0 < (t-s) < 1/(2q)$.

Proof. Let $l(\beta) = 1$, i.e., $\beta = (\bar{N}_{2\gamma})$. On using the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Remark 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &= E\left(|I_{(\bar{N}_{2\gamma})}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) \\ &= E\left(\left|\sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^t \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} > 2\gamma\} (b^k(X(u), k_0) - b^k(X(u), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u)\right|^2\right) \\ &\leq CE\left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t)} > 2\gamma\} (N^{(s,t)})^2 E\left(\sup_{u \in [s,t]} (1 + |X(u)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_\alpha^T\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

which further implies due to Theorem 1 and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C \sum_{N=2\gamma+1} N^2 P\{N^{(s,t)} = N\} \leq C \sum_{N=2\gamma+1} N^2 ((t-s)q)^N \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{2\gamma+1} \sum_{N=0} (N+2\gamma+1)^2 ((t-s)q)^N \leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $s < t \in [0, T]$ where the series $\sum_{N=0} (N+2\gamma+1)^2 (q(t-s))^N$ is convergent for $0 < (t-s) < 1/(2q)$.

Notice that for $l(\beta) > 1$, if $j_2, \dots, j_l \in \{N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma}\}$, then $I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = 0$ and the first inequality holds trivially.

Thus, Lemma 4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &= E\left(|I_{(\bar{N}_{2\gamma})_{\star-\beta}}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(-\beta)-l(-\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(-\beta)-1}} E\left(|I_{(\bar{N}_{2\gamma})}[J_{\alpha(\cdot)}^{-\beta} b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s, t_{l(-\beta)}}|^2\right) dt_{l(-\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

which by the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 yields,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(-\beta)-l(-\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(-\beta)-1}} \\ &E\left(\left|\sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_s^{t_{l(-\beta)}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, t_{l(-\beta)})} > 2\gamma\} (J_{k_0}^{-\beta} b^k(X(u), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{-\beta} b^k(X(u), i_0)) d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u)\right|^2\right) \\ &dt_{l(-\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $s < t \in [0, T]$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Notice that, $-\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b$. Thus, by the application of Remark 5 and Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(-\beta)-l(-\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(-\beta)-1}} \\ &E\left(\left(N^{(s,t_{l(-\beta)})}\right)^2 \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l(-\beta)})} > 2\gamma\} E\left(\sup_{u \in [s,t]} (1 + |X(u)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right)\right) dt_{l(-\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(-\beta)-l(-\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(-\beta)-1}} \sum_{N=2\gamma+1} N^2 P\{N^{(s,t_{l(-\beta)})} = N\} dt_{l(-\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \end{aligned}$$

and due to Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(-\beta)-l(-\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(-\beta)-1}} \sum_{N=2\gamma+1} N^2 ((t_{l(-\beta)} - s)q)^N \\ &dt_{l(-\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \\ &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(-\beta)-l(-\beta)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l(-\beta)-1}} (t_{l(-\beta)} - s)^{2\gamma+1} \sum_{N=0} (N + 2\gamma + 1)^2 (q(t_{l(-\beta)} - s))^N \\ &dt_{l(-\beta)} \dots dt_2 dt_1 \leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $s < t \in [0, T]$ where the the last inequality is obtained by using the finiteness of the series $\sum_{N=0} (N + 2\gamma + 1)^2 (q(t_{l(-\beta)} - s))^N$ for $0 < (t-s) < 1/(2q)$. This completes the proof of the first inequality of the lemma. One can prove the second inequality of the lemma by adapting similar arguments. \square

Lemma 8. *Let Assumptions 1, 2, 8 and 9 be satisfied. Then, for all $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b$, $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma$ such that none of the components of $\beta, \bar{\beta}$ is equal to $\bar{N}_{2\gamma}$ and atleast one of their component is equal to $N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma}$, we have,*

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)} \\ E\left(|I_{\bar{\beta}}[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\bar{\beta})} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $s < t \in [0, T]$ provided $0 < (t-s) < 1/(2q)$.

Proof. Clearly, we can write $\beta = \beta_{r+1} \star (N_{\mu_r}) \star \beta_r \star \dots \star (N_{\mu_2}) \star \beta_2 \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \beta_1$ where $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma\}$, $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{r+1} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $r \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 2\}$. Further, if $\mu_1 > \mu_2 > \dots > \mu_r$ is not satisfied, then $I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t} = 0$. On using Lemma 5 and Remark 8, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) &\leq CE\left(\prod_{i=1}^r \mu_i (t-s)^{\eta(\beta_1) + \dots + \eta(\beta_{r+1}) - l_{r+1}}\right. \\ &\left. \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \dots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} \sum_{i_1 \neq k_1} \int_s^{t_{l_1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s,t_{l_1})} = \mu_1\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_s^{t_{l_1+1}} \int_s^{t_{l_1+2}} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_2}} \sum_{i_2 \neq k_2} \int_s^{t_{l_2+1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, t_{l_2+1})} = \mu_2\} \cdots \\
& \int_s^{t_{l_{r-1}+r-1}} \int_s^{t_{l_{r-1}+r}} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_r+r-2}} \sum_{i_r \neq k_r} \int_s^{t_{l_r+r-1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, t_{l_r+r-1})} = \mu_r\} \\
& \int_s^{t_{l_r+r}} \int_s^{t_{l_r+r+1}} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_{r+1}+r-1}} \\
& E\left(|J_{\alpha(t_{l_{r+1}+r})}^{\beta_{r+1}} J_{i_r k_r}^{\beta_r} \cdots J_{i_2 k_2}^{\beta_2} (J_{k_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(X(t_{l_{r+1}+r}), k_1) - J_{i_1}^{\beta_1} b^k(X(t_{l_{r+1}+r}), i_1))|^2 \Big| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right) \\
& dt_{l_{r+1}+r} \cdots dt_{l_r+r+2} dt_{l_r+r+1} d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_r+r}) dt_{l_r+r-1} \cdots dt_{l_{r-1}+r+1} dt_{l_{r-1}+r} \\
& d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_2+2}) dt_{l_2+1} \cdots dt_{l_1+3} dt_{l_1+2} d[M_{i_1 k_1}](t_{l_1+1}) dt_{l_1} \cdots dt_2 dt_1 \\
& \leq C(t-s)^{n(\beta)+2\bar{n}(\beta)-l(\beta_1)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} E\left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(s, t_{l_1})} = \mu_1\} E\left(\sup_{u \in [s, t]} (1 + |X(u)|)^2 \Big| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha\right)\right) \\
& dt_{l_1} \cdots dt_2 dt_1
\end{aligned}$$

which by the application of Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 yield,

$$\begin{aligned}
E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) & \leq C(t-s)^{n(\beta)+2\bar{n}(\beta)-l(\beta_1)} \int_s^t \int_s^{t_1} \cdots \int_s^{t_{l_1-1}} \\
& P\{N^{(s, t_{l_1})} = \mu_1\} dt_{l_1} \cdots dt_2 dt_1 \leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $s < t \in [0, T]$ where $0 < (t-s) < 1/2q$. By adopting similar arguments, one gets the second inequality of the lemma. \square

Using Lemma 4, Assumption 7 and Theorem 1, the following corollary is obtained.

Corollary 3. *Let Assumptions 1, 2 and 7 hold. Then, for all $\beta \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b) \cap \mathcal{M}_1$, $\bar{\beta} \in (\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma) \cap \mathcal{M}_1$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $s < t \in [0, T]$,*

$$E\left(|I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) + E\left(|I_{\bar{\beta}}[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{s,t}|^2\right) \leq C(t-s)^{\eta(\beta)}.$$

Lemma 9. *Let Assumptions 1, 2 hold and Assumption 8 be satisfied for all $\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b$ such that $\eta(\beta) = 2\gamma - 1$, none of the component of β is $1, \dots, m$ and at least one of its component is $N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma-1}$. Then, for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$,*

$$E\left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2\right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma}.$$

Proof. Clearly, we can write $\beta = \tilde{\beta} \star (N_{\mu_1}) \star \bar{\beta}$ where $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{M}$, $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{M}_1$ and $\mu_1 \in \{1, \dots, 2\gamma - 1\}$. Let $\bar{\beta} \neq \nu$. Then, on using the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and noticing that $d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u)$ is a positive measure along with $dM_{i_0 k_0}(u) = d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) - d\langle M_{i_0 k_0} \rangle(u)$, one

obtains,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\sup_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_{\bar{\beta}}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2\right) \\
&= E\left(\sup_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\bar{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. I_{\bar{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) ds_{l(\bar{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \right|^2\right) \\
&\leq CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\bar{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. I_{\bar{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u} dM_{i_0 k_0}(u) ds_{l(\bar{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \right|^2\right) \\
&+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\bar{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. I_{\bar{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u} d\langle M_{i_0 k_0} \rangle(u) ds_{l(\bar{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \right|^2\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. If $\bar{\beta} = \nu$, then $J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}}$ is the identity operator for $i_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, $s_0 = s$ and the iterated integrals $\int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}}$ does not appear on the right side of the above equation and in the forthcoming estimates. Notice that the first term on the right side of the above inequality is a martingale. On using Doob's martingale inequality, the definition of multiple integrals from Subsection 3.3 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\sup_{n \in \{0,1,\dots,n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_{\bar{\beta}}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2\right) \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} E \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\bar{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. I_{\bar{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u} dM_{i_0 k_0}(u) ds_{l(\bar{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \right|^2 \\
&+ C n_T \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} E \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\bar{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. I_{\bar{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u} d\langle M_{i_0 k_0} \rangle(u) ds_{l(\bar{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \right|^2 \\
&\leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} E \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\bar{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. I_{\bar{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\bar{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u} d[M_{i_0 k_0}](u) ds_{l(\bar{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \right|^2 \\
&+ C n_T \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} E \left| \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})-1}} \mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\bar{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\bar{\beta})}} q_{i_0 k_0} \mathbb{1}\{\alpha(u-) = i_0\} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& |I_{\tilde{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u} duds_{l(\tilde{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds|^2 \\
& \leq Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_{\beta}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& + Ch^{l(\tilde{\beta})+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\tilde{\beta})-1}} \sum_{i_0 \neq k_0} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\tilde{\beta})}} E \left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\tilde{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} \right. \\
& \left. E \left(|I_{\tilde{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) duds_{l(\tilde{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \tag{6.3}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Now, for different possibilities of $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{M}$, we estimate $E \left(|I_{\tilde{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right)$ for all $u \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i \in \{0, \dots, n_T - 1\}$, $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. If $\tilde{\beta} = \nu$, then by Remark 8 and Theorem 1, we have

$$E \left(|I_{\tilde{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \leq CE \left((1 + |X(u)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \leq C$$

for all $u \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i \in \{0, \dots, n_T - 1\}$, and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Now, if $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{M}_1 \setminus \{\nu\}$, then Remark 8, Lemma 4 and Theorem 1 yield,

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(|I_{\tilde{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \\
& \leq C(u - t_i)^{n(\tilde{\beta})+2\tilde{n}(\tilde{\beta})} E \left(\sup_{v \in [0, T]} (1 + |X(v)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \leq C(u - t_i)^{n(\tilde{\beta})+2\tilde{n}(\tilde{\beta})}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i \in \{0, \dots, n_T - 1\}$, $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Further, if $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{M}_2 \cup \mathcal{M}_3$, then on using Remark 8, Lemma 5 and Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(|I_{\tilde{\beta}}[J_{k_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), k_0) - J_{i_0}^{\tilde{\beta}} b^k(X(\cdot), i_0)]_{t_i, u}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \\
& \leq C(u - t_i)^{n(\tilde{\beta})+2\tilde{n}(\tilde{\beta})} E \left(\sup_{v \in [0, T]} (1 + |X(v)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \leq C(u - t_i)^{n(\tilde{\beta})+2\tilde{n}(\tilde{\beta})}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$, $i \in \{0, \dots, n_T - 1\}$, $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Hence, (6.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_{\beta}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_{\beta}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& + Ch^{l(\tilde{\beta})+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\tilde{\beta})-1}} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\tilde{\beta})}} E (\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\tilde{\beta})})} = \mu_1\}) (u - t_i)^{n(\tilde{\beta})+2\tilde{n}(\tilde{\beta})} \\
& duds_{l(\tilde{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds
\end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. By the application of Lemmas 8 and 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_{\beta}[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \\
& + Ch^{l(\tilde{\beta})+1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{t_i}^s \int_{t_i}^{s_1} \cdots \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\tilde{\beta})-1}} \int_{t_i}^{s_{l(\tilde{\beta})}} P\{N^{(t_i, s_{l(\tilde{\beta})})} = \mu_1\} (u - t_i)^{n(\tilde{\beta})+2\tilde{n}(\tilde{\beta})}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & duds_{l(\bar{\beta})} \cdots ds_2 ds_1 ds \\ & \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. \square

Lemma 10. *Let Assumptions 1, 2, 4, 7 and 8 hold. Then,*

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma},$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Proof. We write $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b = \mathcal{C}_1 \cup \mathcal{C}_{21} \cup \mathcal{C}_{22} \cup \mathcal{C}_{31} \cup \mathcal{C}_{32} \cup \mathcal{C}_{33}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_1 &:= \{\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b : \eta(\beta) = 2\gamma - 1, j_i \notin \{1, \dots, m\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}, j_k \in \{N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma}\} \text{ for any } k \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{21} &:= \{\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b : \eta(\beta) = 2\gamma - 1, j_i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}, j_i \notin \{N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma}\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{22} &:= \{\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b : \eta(\beta) = 2\gamma - 1, j_i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}, j_k \in \{N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma}\} \text{ for any } k \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{31} &:= \{\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b : \eta(\beta) \geq 2\gamma, j_1 = \bar{N}_{2\gamma}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{32} &:= \{\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b : \eta(\beta) \geq 2\gamma, j_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}_{33} &:= \{\beta = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b : \eta(\beta) \geq 2\gamma, J_1 \neq \bar{N}_{2\gamma}, j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma}\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &= \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_1} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{21}} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{22}} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{31}} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{32}} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{33}} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{33}} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right)$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Notice that $I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}$ is a martingale for every $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{21}$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{22}$. Then, Doob's martingale inequality, Hölder's inequality and Lemma 9 yield,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^k) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^k} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \\ & + Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{21}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{22}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \right) \\ & + C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{31}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{32}} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \right) \\ & + C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{33}} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Hence on using Lemmas 7, 8 and Corollary 3, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^k) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^k} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \\ & + Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{21}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{22}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds \right) \\ & + C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{31}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{32}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{C}_{33}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds \right) \\ & \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. □

Lemma 11. *Let Assumptions 1, 2, 4, 7 and 9 be satisfied. Then*

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [\sigma^{(k, j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. We write $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma = \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{D}_2 \cup \mathcal{D}_3$ where

$$\mathcal{D}_1 := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma : j_1 = \bar{N}_{2\gamma}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_2 := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma : j_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\} \forall i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\},$$

$$\mathcal{D}_3 := \{(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma : j_1 \neq \bar{N}_{2\gamma}, j_i \in \{N_1, \dots, N_{2\gamma}\} \text{ for any } i \in \{1, \dots, l\}\}.$$

On using Doob's martingale inequality and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_T^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_2} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_3} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. The application of Lemmas 7, 8 and Corollary 3 yield,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_T^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \left(\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_2} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}_3} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} ds \right) \\ & \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for all $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. \square

Lemma 12. *Let Assumptions 1, 2 and 4 hold. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma}, \\ & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We write $\mathcal{B}_1^b = \mathcal{B}_{11}^b \cup \mathcal{B}_{12}^b$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{11}^b & := \{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b : \text{the components of } \beta \text{ are not equal to } 1, \dots, m\} \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{B}_{12}^b & := \{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b : \text{at least one of the components of } \beta \text{ is equal to } 1, \dots, m\}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}$ is a martingale for every $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b$. Thus, Doob's martingale inequality and Hölder's inequality yield,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\ & = \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \quad + Ch \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

which further implies,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \quad + C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\}} |I_\beta [b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + hC \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \quad + hC \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\}} |I_\beta [b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \right) ds \\
& \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \quad + C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\}} E \left(|I_\beta [b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds \\
& \quad + C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\}} E \left(|I_\beta [b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds \\
& \quad + Ch \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \quad + Ch \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\}} E \left(|I_\beta [b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds \\
& \quad + Ch \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\}} E \left(|I_\beta [b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, Assumptions 2, 4, Remark 5 and Lemma 4 yield,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{2\gamma-1} E \left(\sup_{u \in [t_i, s]} |X(u) - X(t_i)|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{11}^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{2\gamma-1} E \left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\} E \left(\sup_{u \in [t_i, s]} (1 + |X(u)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds \\
& \quad + Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{2\gamma-2} E \left(\sup_{u \in [t_i, s]} |X(u) - X(t_i)|^2 \right) ds \\
& \quad + Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{12}^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{2\gamma-2} E \left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\} E \left(\sup_{u \in [t_i, s]} (1 + |X(u)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$. On using Theorem 1 and Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2 \right) \\
& \leq Ch^{2\gamma} + Ch^{2\gamma-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} P\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\} ds \leq Ch^{2\gamma}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, we prove the second inequality of the lemma. Due to Doob's martingale inequality and Itô's isometry, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta [\sigma^{(k, j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k, j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [\sigma^{(k, j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k, j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [\sigma^{(k, j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k, j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \quad + C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\} |I_\beta [\sigma^{(k, j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k, j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \right) ds \\
& \leq C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta [\sigma^{(k, j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k, j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& \quad + C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i, s]} \geq 1\} E \left(|I_\beta [\sigma^{(k, j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ C \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E \left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i,s]} \geq 1\} E \left(|I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i,s}|^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds$$

which by using Assumptions 2, 4, Remark 5 and Lemma 4 yield,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i,s} dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{2\gamma-1} E \left(\sup_{u \in [t_i, s]} |X(u) - X(t_i)|^2 \right) ds \\ & \quad + C \sum_{i=0}^{n_T-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{2\gamma-1} E \left(\mathbb{1}\{N^{(t_i,s]} \geq 1\} E \left(\sup_{u \in [t_i, s]} (1 + |X(u)|)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_T^\alpha \right) \right) ds \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 give

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i,s} dW^j(s) \right|^2 \right) \leq Ch^{2\gamma}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $n_T \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

Proof of theorem 3. Due to (6.1) and (4.1),

$$\begin{aligned} X^k(t_n) - Y^k(t_n) &= X_0^k - Y_0^k + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i)) - b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i,s} ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i,s} ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i,s} dW^j(s) \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i,s} dW^j(s) \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i,s} ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i,s} ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i,s} dW^j(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s)$$

which implies, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} |X^k(t_n) - Y^k(t_n)|^2\right) &\leq CE|X_0^k - Y_0^k|^2 \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i)) - b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2\right) \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2\right) \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2\right) \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2\right) \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^b) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2\right) \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[b^k(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} ds \right|^2\right) \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}_\gamma^\sigma) \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2\right) \\ &+ CE\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} \left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_1^\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s} dW^j(s) \right|^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n' \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}$. On using Doob's martingale inequality, Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and Hölder's inequality, Lemmas 10, 11, 12 and Assumption 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} |X^k(t_n) - Y^k(t_n)|^2\right) &\leq Ch^{2\gamma} \\ &+ C \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\gamma^b \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E|I_\beta[b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i)) - b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\ &+ C \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\gamma^b} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E|I_\beta[b^k(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - b^k(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(t_i)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(t_i))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds \\
& + C \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\beta \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\sigma} \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} E |I_\beta[\sigma^{(k,j)}(X(t_i), \alpha(\cdot)) - \sigma^{(k,j)}(Y(t_i), \alpha(\cdot))]_{t_i, s}|^2 ds
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n' \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}$. By the application of Assumptions 2, 4, Remark 6 and Lemmas 4, 5, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left(\sup_{n \in \{1, \dots, n'\}} |X^k(t_n) - Y^k(t_n)|^2 \right) & \leq Ch^{2\gamma} \\
& + C \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{A}_\sigma^b \cup \mathcal{A}_\sigma} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} (s - t_i)^{\eta(\beta)} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, i\}} |X^k(t_n) - Y^k(t_n)|^2 \right) ds \\
& \leq Ch^{2\gamma} + Ch \sum_{i=0}^{n'-1} E \left(\sup_{n \in \{0, 1, \dots, i\}} |X^k(t_n) - Y^k(t_n)|^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $n' \in \{1, \dots, n_T\}$. The Gronwall's lemma completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

1. J. Bao and J. Shao (2016). Permanence and extinction of regime-switching predator-prey models, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 48, 725-73.
2. K. Dareiotis, C. Kumar, and S. Sabanis (2016). On tamed Euler approximations of SDEs driven by Lévy noise with applications to delay equations, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 54-3, 1840-1872.
3. P. E. Kloeden and E. Platen (1992). *Numerical Solution of Stochastic Differential Equations*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
4. C. Kumar and T. Kumar (2020). On explicit tamed milstein-type scheme for stochastic differential equation with markovian switching. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 377, 112917.
5. C. Kumar and T. Kumar (2021). A note on explicit milstein-type scheme for stochastic differential equation with markovian switching, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, 395, 113594.
6. X. Mao and C. Yuan (2006). *Stochastic Differential Equations with Markovian Switching*, Imperial College Press, London.
7. S. L. Nguyen, T. A. Hoang, D. T. Nguyen and G. Yin (2017). Milstein-type procedures for numerical solutions of stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 55 (2), 953-979.
8. D. T. Nguyen, S. L. Nguyen, T. A. Hoang and G. Yin (2018). Tamed-Euler method for hybrid stochastic differential equations with Markovian switching, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.*, 30, 14-30.
9. S. L. Nguyen and G. Yin (2012). Pathwise convergence rates for numerical solutions of Markovian switching stochastic differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 13(3), 1170-1185.
10. S. P. Sethi and Q. Zhang (1994). *Hierarchical Decision Making in Stochastic Manufacturing Systems*, Birkhäuser, Boston.
11. G. Yin and C. Zhu (2010). *Hybrid Switching Diffusions, Properties and Applications*, Springer, New York.
12. C. Yuan and X. Mao (2004). Convergence of the euler-maruyama method for stochastic differential equations with markovian switching, *Math. Comput. Simulation*, 64(2), 223-235.

13. Q. Zhang (1998). Nonlinear filtering and control of a switching diffusion with small observation noise, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 36, 1638-1668.
14. Q. Zhang (2001). Stock trading: An optimal selling rule, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 40, 64-87.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY ROORKEE, INDIA
Email address: tkumar@ma.iitr.ac.in, chaman.kumar@ma.iitr.ac.in