

LAGRANGIAN MULTI-SECTIONS AND THEIR TORIC EQUIVARIANT MIRROR

YONG-GEUN OH AND YAT-HIN SUEN

ABSTRACT. The SYZ conjecture suggests a folklore that “Lagrangian multi-sections are mirror to holomorphic vector bundles”. In this paper, we prove this folklore for Lagrangian multi-sections inside the cotangent bundle of a vector space, which are equivariantly mirror to complete toric varieties by the work of Fang-Liu-Treumann-Zaslow. We also introduce the *Lagrangian realization problem*, which asks whether one can construct an unobstructed Lagrangian multi-section with asymptotic conditions prescribed by a tropical Lagrangian multi-section. We solve the realization problem for tropical Lagrangian multi-sections over a complete 2-dimensional fan that satisfy the so-called N -generic condition. As an application, we show that every rank 2 toric vector bundle on the projective plane is mirror to a Lagrangian multi-section.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgment	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Equivariant coherent-constructible correspondence	4
2.2. Fukaya categories and microlocalization	5
3. Microlocalization functor over the Novikov field	7
4. Lagrangian multi-sections and their equivariant mirror	10
4.1. Tautological unobstructedness of Lagrangian multi-sections	11
4.2. Lagrangian multi-sections are mirror to toric vector bundles	12
5. Lagrangian realization problem	14
5.1. The N -generic condition	14
5.2. Local model and smoothing of tropical Lagrangian multisections	16
5.3. Control of embeddedness of the gluing annulus	19
5.4. Higher rank	21
6. SYZ mirror to rank 2 toric vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2	22
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

In [FLTZ12], Fang-Liu-Treumann-Zaslow proved the *equivariant homological mirror symmetry* of complete toric variety X_Σ via *coherent-constructible correspondence* (abbreviated as CCC) [FLTZ11]

$$\kappa : \mathcal{P}erf_T(X_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_\Sigma)$$

and *microlocalization* [NZ09, Nad09]

$$\mu_\Sigma : Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\sim} Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma).$$

Here, $Y := T^*M_{\mathbb{R}} = M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and

- $\mathcal{P}erf_T(X_\Sigma)$ is the category of equivariant perfect complexes.
- $Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ is the dg-category of complex of sheaves that are cohomologically constructible, compactly supported and with singular support laying in the conical Lagrangian subset

$$\Lambda_\Sigma := \bigcup_{\tau \in \Sigma} (\tau^\perp + M) \times (-\tau).$$

- $Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ is the Fukaya category generated by exact Lagrangian submanifold with asymptotic condition prescribed by Λ_Σ .

Furthermore, they realized the functor $\mu_\Sigma \circ \kappa : \mathcal{P}erf_T(X_\Sigma) \rightarrow Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ as a T -duality suggested by the celebrated SYZ program [SYZ96]. Namely, toric line bundles on X_Σ correspond to Lagrangian sections of the projection map $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ via SYZ transform. Moreover, as $\mathcal{P}erf_T(X_\Sigma)$ is generated by line bundles, one can immediately deduced that $Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ is generated by Lagrangian sections of $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Despite the beauty of such homological mirror symmetry (abbreviated as HMS) statement, it doesn't tell us how geometric objects correspond to each other due to the abstract algebraic construction of the Fukaya category. A question that we want to address in this paper is the following

Question 1.1. What kind of objects in $Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ are mirror to toric vector bundles?

Before giving a symplecto-geometric answer to this question, Treumann has already provided a sheaf-theoretic condition to determine when a perfect complex on X_Σ is quasi-isomorphic to a 1-term complex, that is, a single toric vector bundle.

Theorem 1.2 (=Theorem 1.9 in [Tre17]). *Let X_Σ be a complete toric variety. Let $\mathcal{E}^\bullet \in \mathcal{P}erf_T(X_\Sigma)$ and $\kappa(\mathcal{E}^\bullet) \in Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ be the corresponding complex of constructible sheaves. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- \mathcal{E}^\bullet is quasi-isomorphic to a 1-term complex concentrated at degree 0.
- For any $m \in M$, $\sigma \in \Sigma_{max}$ and $\xi \in \text{Int}(\sigma)$, the microlocal stalk

$$\mu_{m, -\xi}(\kappa(\mathcal{E}^\bullet)) \in Sh(pt)$$

is concentrated at degree 0.

To answer Question 1.1, we borrow an idea in the SYZ proposal and other works [LYZ00, CS19]. As Lagrangian sections are mirror to holomorphic line bundles, *Lagrangian multi-sections* should be mirror to holomorphic vector bundles. By a Lagrangian multi-section we mean a (graded but not necessarily exact) Lagrangian immersion $i : \tilde{L} \rightarrow Y$ that has at most finitely many double point singularities and the composition $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ i : \tilde{L} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a branched covering map (Definition 4.1 and 4.3). We denote by $L \subset Y$ the image $i(\tilde{L})$.

Note that having a non-trivial branching set here is important as $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is contractible. Otherwise, all multi-sections are simply disjoint union of sections, which can only be mirror to direct sum of line bundles. To a Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} , we can associate a *canonical grading* to \mathbb{L} so that the degree between \mathbb{L} and a generic fiber $F_\xi \subset Y$ of $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is always n , the dimension of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. A Lagrangian multi-section equipped with such grading is called *canonically graded*. However, due to the non-exactness of general multi-sections, we need to pass to Novikov field \mathbb{K} . There is a natural A_∞ -embedding

$$Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma) \hookrightarrow Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma),$$

where $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ denotes the *tautologically unobstructed immersed Fukaya category over Novikov field \mathbb{K}* whose objects have similar asymptotic behaviour prescribed by Λ_Σ . Such asymptotic condition ensures holomorphic disks bounded by such Lagrangian immersion won't escape to infinity and gives rise to a well-controlled Floer theory. It turns out that the category $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ is quasi-equivalent to the exact Fukaya category $Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$, but defined over the Novikov field \mathbb{K} (Proposition 3.3). In particular, equivariant HMS holds the Fukaya category $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ and the category of perfect complex of the toric variety X_Σ defined over \mathbb{K} . The first question is the determined whether a Lagrangian multi-section is an object of $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$.

Theorem 1.3 (=Theorem 4.4). *For $n \leq 3$, any embedded Lagrangian multi-sections of Y are tautologically unobstructed. In particular, we have $HF^\bullet(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}) = H_{dR}^\bullet(L; \mathbb{K})$.*

Our first main result is to provide a partial symplecto-geometric interpretation of Treumann's result.

Theorem 1.4 (=Theorem 4.11). *If $\mathbb{L} \in Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ is quasi-isomorphic to a canonically graded Lagrangian multi-section, then for any $m \in M$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, the Floer complex $Hom_{Fuk(Y)}(D_{m, -\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L})$ is concentrated at degree 0, where $D_{m, -\sigma} \subset Y$ is the linking disk associated to $(m, -\sigma)$.*

As $Hom_{Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(D_{m, -\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L})$ computes the microlocal stalk of the sheaf associated to \mathbb{L} (Theorem 3.6 in Section 2.2 or Theorem 1.1 in [GPS20b]), Theorem 1.4 allows us to deduce the following

Corollary 1.5 (=Corollary 4.12). *The mirror of a canonically graded Λ_Σ -admissible Lagrangian multi-section is quasi-isomorphic to a toric vector bundle over X_Σ .*

In Section 5, we recall the notion of tropical Lagrangian multi-sections, which was introduced in [Pay09, Sue21]. For each tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} , it determines a Lagrangian subset $\Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}} \subset \Lambda_{\Sigma} \subset Y$. We then ask ourselves the following fundamental question.

Question 1.6. Given a tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} over a complete fan Σ , is there a tautologically unobstructed Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L} \in Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ such that $\mathbb{L}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}}^{\infty}$?

Question 1.6 is called the *Lagrangian realization problem*. If \mathbb{L}^{trop} can be realized by a Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} in the sense of Question 1.6, by Corollary 1.5, its mirror is a toric vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}}$ whose associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}}}^{trop}$ is nothing but \mathbb{L}^{trop} . However, in [Sue21], the second-named author gave an example of a 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section over the fan of \mathbb{P}^2 that *does not* arise from toric vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 . By mirror symmetry, we should not expect it can be realized by an unobstructed Lagrangian submanifold in Y . Hence there must be some extra assumptions that need to be put on \mathbb{L}^{trop} in order to get an affirmative answer to the realization problem. In Section 5.1, we restrict ourselves to 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-sections over a complete 2-dimensional fan and introduce the *N -generic condition* (Definition 5.2), which is a pure combinatorial condition on such tropical Lagrangian multi-sections. The reason for us to consider N -generic objects is due to the following observation. When the underlying branched covering map of \mathbb{L}^{trop} is (topologically) the branched covering map $z \mapsto z^2$ on \mathbb{C} , [Sue21, Theorem 5.9] can be applied to deduce that \mathbb{L}^{trop} can be realized by a rank 2 toric vector bundle over X_{Σ} if and only if it is N -generic with $N \geq 3$ (Proposition 5.4). Therefore, we may expect the Lagrangian realization problem can be solved when $N \geq 3$. The second main result of this work gives an affirmative answer to this.

Theorem 1.7 (=Theorem 5.9). *Let \mathbb{L}^{trop} be a N -generic 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section over a complete 2-dimensional fan Σ with $N \geq 3$. Then there is a spin, graded and immersed 2-fold Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} in Y , whose immersed sector is concentrated at degree 1 and $\mathbb{L}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}}^{\infty}$. In particular, \mathbb{L} is tautologically unobstructed. When \mathbb{L} is embedded, the topology of the underlying surface has Betti numbers $b_0(\mathbb{L}) = 1$, $b_1(\mathbb{L}) = N - 3$, $b_2(\mathbb{L}) = 0$.*

Remark 1.8. A similar realization problem was studied by Hicks in [Hic]. The tropical object he considered was a tropical subvariety T in a vector space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$. In contrary to our situation, under the SYZ fibration map, the Lagrangian submanifold that realize T does not cover the whole space $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the sheaf that realizing T is a sheaf whose support being an algebraic subvariety in $(\mathbb{C}^{\times})^n$, which cannot be locally free.

The construction of \mathbb{L} in Theorem is explicit in the sense that the topology of \mathbb{L} is completely determined. Combining Theorem 1 with equivariant HMS and Corollary 1.5, this explicit description of the Lagrangian multi-section provides us the following

Corollary 1.9 (=Corollary 5.11). *Suppose \mathbb{L}^{trop} is a N -generic 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section over a complete fan Σ on $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ with $N \geq 3$. Then there is an indecomposable rank 2 toric vector bundles \mathcal{E} on X_{Σ} such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{K}} Ext_T^0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) &= 1, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} Ext_T^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) &= N - 3, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} Ext_T^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}}^{trop} = \mathbb{L}^{trop}$.

The N -genericity condition can actually be generalized to any maximal r -fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section over a 2-dimensional complete fan (Definition 5.12) and our construction for $r = 2$ can be easily generalized and obtain the following partial result for $r \geq 3$.

Theorem 1.10 (=Theorem 5.13). *A maximal r -fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} over a complete 2-dimensional fan Σ can be realized by an r -fold embedded (and hence unobstructed) Lagrangian multi-section if it is $\lfloor 2(\frac{d}{r} + 1) \rfloor$ -generic for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(r, d) = 1$. In particular, such \mathbb{L}^{trop} can be realized by a rank r toric vector bundle over X_{Σ} .*

In Section 6, we apply Theorem 1.7 to prove the following

Theorem 1.11 (=Theorem 6.3). *The mirror of a rank 2 indecomposable toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^2 is quasi-isomorphic to an embedded, simply connected, and canonically graded Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset Y$ such that $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}}^{trop}}^{\infty}$.*

The proof of Theorem 1.11 relies on the classification result of Kaneyama [Kan75], leading to equivariant rigidity of indecomposable rank 2 toric vector bundles.

Remark 1.12. The multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is exact as it is simply connected. Hence it defines an object in the exact Fukaya category $Fuk(Y, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ over \mathbb{C} .

Acknowledgment. The second author is grateful to Hanwool Bae, Hongtaek Jung, Dogancan Karabas, Man-Chun Lee, Sangjin Lee for useful discussions and suggestions. We also thank Cheol-Hyun Cho, Hansol Hong, Siu-Cheong Lau, Yu-Shen Lin, Kaoru Ono for their interest in this work. Both authors are supported by the IBS project # R003-D1.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section contains a brief review of equivariant coherent-constructible correspondence, microlocalization, and homological mirror symmetry for toric varieties.

2.1. Equivariant coherent-constructible correspondence. We denote by \mathbb{K} the Novikov field over \mathbb{C} . Let $N \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$, $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $M := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(N, \mathbb{Z})$, $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = N_{\mathbb{R}}^*$. Throughout the whole paper, Σ is a complete fan on N and X_{Σ} the associated toric variety over \mathbb{K} . The *equivariant coherent-constructible correspondence* states that we have a quasi-equivalent

$$\kappa : \text{Perf}_T(X_{\Sigma}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\Sigma}),$$

where $\text{Perf}_T(X_{\Sigma})$ is the triangulated dg-category of perfect complexes of toric vector bundles on X_{Σ} and $\text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ is defined as follows.

We denote by $\text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ the triangulated dg-category of complexes of sheaves over k on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ whose cohomology sheaves are compactly supported and constructible with respect to some Whitney stratification.

Definition 2.1 ($\text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$). The category $\text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ is the full subcategory of $\text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ whose objects have singular support contained in the conical subset

$$(2.1) \quad \Lambda_{\Sigma} := \bigcup_{\tau \in \Sigma} (\tau^{\perp} + M) \times -\tau = \bigcup_{m \in M} \bigcup_{\tau \in \Sigma} (\tau^{\perp} + m) \times -\tau$$

We shall give a brief review on microlocal stalk and singular support too [KS90]. Let \mathcal{F} be a complex of sheaves on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, its microlocal stalk $\mu_{x, -\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ at $(x, -\xi) \in T^*M_{\mathbb{R}} = M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined as follows. By viewing $-\xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ as a linear function on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$, for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we consider the subcomplex $\Gamma_{-\xi \leq t}(U, \mathcal{F})$ of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F})$ consisting of sections supported on the closed subset $\{x \in U : -\xi(x) \leq t\}$. This functor is left exact and so we obtain its right derived functor $\text{R}\Gamma_{-\xi \leq t}(U, -)$. This gives a complex of vector spaces

$$\mu_{x, -\xi}(\mathcal{F}) := \varinjlim_{U \ni x} \text{R}\Gamma_{-\xi \leq -\xi(x)}(U, \mathcal{F}),$$

which is known as the *microlocal stalk* of \mathcal{F} at $(x, -\xi)$. The *singular support* is defined as

$$SS(\mathcal{F}) := \overline{\{(x, -\xi) \in T^*M_{\mathbb{R}} : \mu_{x, -\xi}(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0\}}.$$

When \mathcal{F} is constructible, $SS(\mathcal{F})$ is a (singular) Lagrangian subset of the symplectic manifold $(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}, \omega_{std})$ and when $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$, the stalks $\mu_{x, -\xi}(\mathcal{F})$ actually glued to a sheaf $\mu_m(\mathcal{F})$ on $T_m^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$, which is constructible with respect to $-\Sigma$. In particular, the microlocal stalk is independent of $\xi \in \text{Int}(\sigma)$ and so we simply denote it by $\mu_{x, -\sigma}(\mathcal{F})$.

Treumann further refines the equivariant CCC by establishing the following

Theorem 2.2 (=Theorem 1.9 in [Tre17]). *Let $\mathcal{E}^{\bullet} \in \text{Perf}_T(X_{\Sigma})$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The complex \mathcal{E}^{\bullet} is quasi-isomorphic to a 1-term complex concentrated in degree 0.*
- (2) *For each $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ and $m \in M$, the microlocal stalk $\mu_{m, -\sigma}(\kappa(\mathcal{E}^{\bullet}))$ is concentrated at degree 0.*

2.2. Fukaya categories and microlocalization. Let $N, N_{\mathbb{R}}, M, f_{\mathbb{R}}$ as before. We use (ξ_j) for an affine coordinates of $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and (x_j) for those of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Equip $Y := T^*M_{\mathbb{R}} = N_{\mathbb{R}} \times M_{\mathbb{R}}$ the Liouville 1-form

$$\lambda := \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j dx_j$$

and the symplectic structure $\omega := d\lambda$. This gives the volume form

$$\omega^n := d\xi_1 \wedge dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n \wedge dx_n.$$

Equip Y with the complex structure $z_j := \xi_j + \sqrt{-1}x_j$ and the standard flat metric

$$g = \sum_j |d\xi_j|^2 + |dx_j|^2 = \operatorname{Re} \sum_j d\bar{z}_j dz_j.$$

Let Ω be the holomorphic volume form $dz_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_n$. Then, we have the compatibility equation

$$\frac{\omega^n}{n!} = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \right)^n \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}.$$

In particular $(T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}, \omega, \Omega)$ defines a Calabi-Yau manifold structure. Denote by $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$, $p_{M_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ the two natural projections. For a point $\xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we use the notation F_{ξ} for the fiber $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}^{-1}(\xi)$ of $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 2.3. A Lagrangian immersion of Y is a pair $\mathbb{L} := (\tilde{L}, i)$, where \tilde{L} is a smooth manifold of dimension n and $i : \tilde{L} \rightarrow Y$ is an immersion such that $i^*\omega = 0$. We denote the image $i(\tilde{L}) \subset Y$ by L . We further assume L has only double point singularities.

Recall that a *grading* on an oriented Lagrangian immersion $i : \tilde{L} \rightarrow Y$ is defined to be a choice of smooth function $\theta_{\tilde{L}} : \tilde{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that

$$i^*\Omega = e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_{\tilde{L}}} \operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{L}},$$

where $\operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{L}}$ is a volume form on \tilde{L} with $|\operatorname{vol}_{\tilde{L}}| = 1$ (with respect to the induced metric).

We introduce a canonical grading on fibers of $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the zero section $0_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}$.

Definition 2.4 (Canonical grading). For each $\xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, the *canonical grading* of F_{ξ} is given by $\theta_{F_{\xi}} := \frac{n\pi}{2}$ which is induced by the choice of the volume form

$$\operatorname{vol}_{F_{\xi}} := dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n$$

on the fiber $F_{\xi} \subset Y$. For the zero section $0_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}$, the *canonical grading* is defined to be 0 which is induced by the choice of the volume form

$$\operatorname{vol}_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} := d\xi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge d\xi_n.$$

Let $\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2$ be two graded Lagrangian immersions so that their image L_1, L_2 intersect transversally away from their self-intersection points. The *degree* of an intersection point $p \in L_1 \cap L_2$ is defined as follows. As $Y \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ as Calabi-Yau manifolds, there is a $U(n)$ matrix $\psi_p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_p(T_p L_1) &= \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}, \\ \psi_p(T_p L_2) &= \{(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_1} x_1, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta_n} x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta_i \in (0, \pi)$ only depends on p and the gradings $\theta_{\mathbb{L}_1}, \theta_{\mathbb{L}_2}$. The degree of p from \mathbb{L}_1 to \mathbb{L}_2 is defined to be

$$\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2}(p) := \frac{1}{\pi}(\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_n + \theta_{\mathbb{L}_1}(p) - \theta_{\mathbb{L}_2}(p)) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We have $\operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2}(p) + \operatorname{deg}_{\mathbb{L}_2, \mathbb{L}_1}(p) = n$.

The Fukaya categories of Y we consider here are those considered in [FLTZ12]. We recall their definitions. Let (X, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Consider the unit disk bundle

$$D^*X := \{(x, \xi) \in T^*X : \|\xi\|_g \leq 1\} \subset T^*X$$

and the unit sphere bundle

$$S^*X := \{(x, \xi) \in T^*X : \|\xi\|_g = 1\} \subset D^*X.$$

There is an embedding $\iota : T^*X \rightarrow D^*X$, defined by

$$\iota : (x, \xi) \mapsto \left(x, \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{1 + \|\xi\|_g^2}} \right).$$

Given any subset $L \subset T^*X$, we define

$$L^\infty := \overline{\iota(L)} \cap D^*X.$$

Definition 2.5. Fix an analytic-geometric category \mathcal{C} . Let X be a real analytic manifold and equip T^*X with the standard Liouville structure λ . A *Lagrangian brane* of T^*X is a pair (\mathbb{L}, E) , where \mathbb{L} is a graded λ -exact Lagrangian immersion with at most finitely many double point singularities and spin structure on the domain \tilde{L} so that

- (1) $p_X(L) \subset X$ is compact,
- (2) $\iota(L)$ is a \mathcal{C} -set (see Appendix A.1. of [FLTZ12]),
- (3) L admits a tamed perturbation data as in [NZ09],

and E is a $U_{\mathbb{K}}$ -local system on L , where $U_{\mathbb{K}} := \ker(\text{val} : \mathbb{K}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{R})$.

Let $(\mathbb{L}_1, E_1), (\mathbb{L}_2, E_2)$ be immersed Lagrangian branes of Y . To define their morphism space, Nadler-Zaslow introduced the notion of a fringed set.

Definition 2.6. An open subset $R_2 \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}^2$ is called fringed if $\pi_2 : R_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has image being an interval $(0, \delta)$ and whenever $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in R$, we have $(\delta'_1, \delta_2) \in R$ for all $\delta'_1 \in (0, \delta_1)$.

They also proved that there exists a fringed set R_2 and controlled Hamiltonian functions¹ H_1, H_2 , there exists a fringed set R_2 so that for any $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in R_2$, there exists $r > 0$ so that

$$\overline{\varphi_{H_1, \delta_1}(L_1)} \cap \overline{\varphi_{H_2, \delta_2}(L_2)} \subset Y_{\leq r} := Y \cap \{\|\xi\|_g \leq r\},$$

The morphism space from \mathbb{L}_1 to \mathbb{L}_2 is then defined to be

$$CF(\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2) := \bigoplus_{p \in \varphi_{H_1, \delta_1}(L_1) \cap \varphi_{H_2, \delta_2}(L_2)} \text{Hom}(E_{1,p}, E_{2,p}),$$

which is \mathbb{Z} -graded. The Floer differential and the A_∞ -infinity maps are defined as usual.

Definition 2.7. The triangulated envelope of the A_∞ -category generated by embedded exact Lagrangian branes of T^*X is denoted by $Fuk(T^*X)$. Let $\Lambda \subset T^*X$ be a conical Lagrangian subset. A Lagrangian brane (L, E) is said to be Λ -admissible if it further satisfies the asymptotic condition $L^\infty \subset \Lambda^\infty$. The A_∞ -category generated by Λ -admissible embedded Lagrangian branes is denoted by $Fuk(T^*X, \Lambda)$, which is a full subcategory of $Fuk(T^*X)$.

Now, we apply Definition 2.5 to $X = M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the conical Lagrangian

$$\Lambda_\Sigma := \bigcup_{\tau \in \Sigma} (\tau^\perp + M) \times -\tau$$

This gives two Fukaya categories $Fuk(Y), Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$.

In [NZ09, Nad09], Nadler-Zaslow proved that there is a quasi-equivalence

$$\mu : Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \xrightarrow{\cong} Fuk(Y)$$

which restricts to a quasi-equivalence

$$\mu_\Sigma : Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_\Sigma) \xrightarrow{\cong} Fuk(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma).$$

Their construction goes as follows. First, they identify $Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ as the triangulated envelope of the Morse category $Mor(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ (so they share the same derived category), whose objects are given by (U, f) , for $U \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ a bounded open subset and $m : \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ is a real-analytic function so that $m(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in \partial U$. The morphism space is given by the relative de Rham complex

$$\text{Hom}_{Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}})}((U_0, f_0), (U_1, f_1)) := (\Omega_{dR}(\bar{U}_0 \cap U_1, \partial U_0 \cap U_1), d).$$

¹A controlled Hamiltonian function is a function $H : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ so that outside a vertically compact set K , containing the zero section, we have $H(x, \xi) = |\xi|$ with respect to the flat metric.

The functor $Mor(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ is given by

$$(U, f) \mapsto i_{U*}\underline{\mathbb{K}}_U,$$

where $i_U : U \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the inclusion and $\underline{\mathbb{K}}_U$ denotes the \mathbb{K} -valued constant sheaf on U . Then one can define $Mor(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow Fuk(Y)$ by mapping (U, f) to the Lagrangian

$$L_{U*} := \Gamma_{d \log(f)} := \{(x, d \log(f(x))) \in Y : x \in U\}.$$

(See [Kas98], [KO01] for an earlier appearance of such correspondence in the Floer theory.) This Lagrangian carries a natural brane structure and it's called a *standard brane*. Then μ is defined by taking $i_{U*}\underline{\mathbb{K}}_U$ to L_{U*} . Taking Verdier dual, we have the costandard object $i_{U!}\underline{\mathbb{K}}_U[n]$, which get mapped to the *costandard brane*

$$L_{U!} := \Gamma_{-d \log(f)}.$$

This defines $\mu : Sh_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow Fuk(Y)$ and its restriction.

3. MICROLOCALIZATION FUNCTOR OVER THE NOVIKOV FIELD

For the purpose of this paper, exact Lagrangian submanifolds are not enough. We also need to consider some non-exact Lagrangian immersions. Therefore, we need to extend our coefficient field to the Novikov field. Moreover, as our Lagrangians are non-compact, we need to make sure holomorphic disks won't escape to infinity to ensure compactness of their moduli spaces. (We omit discussion on this C^0 -estimate and refer readers to [NZ09] for the details. See Remark 4.6 for some comments related to the choice of J in this regard.)

We now follow [AJ10] to introduce the moduli space of holomorphic disks bounded by L . Let R denote the set of connected components of $L \times_Y L$. Denote by $0 \in R$ the diagonal. Let $I \subset \{0, 1, \dots, k\}$ and $\alpha : I \rightarrow R$ so that $\alpha(i) = 0$ if $i \notin I$. Denote by Σ a genus 0 pre-stable bordered Riemann surface and $z = (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k)$ are distinct smooth points on $\partial\Sigma$. There is a continuous map $l : S^1 \rightarrow \partial\Sigma$ so that $l^{-1}(z)$ consists of two points if $z \in \partial\Sigma$ is a singular point, otherwise, $l^{-1}(z) = \{\zeta\}$ has only one point. Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \alpha, \beta)$ be the Kuranishi space, which consists of the data $(\Sigma, z, u, l, \bar{u})$ modulo obvious automorphisms, satisfying the following properties

- (a) $u : \Sigma \rightarrow Y$ is a holomorphic map so that $u(\partial\Sigma) \subset L$ and $u_*([\Sigma]) = \beta \in \pi_2(Y, L)$.
- (b) $\zeta_0, \zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k \in S^1$ are ordered counter-clockwise.
- (c) $\bar{u} : S^1 \setminus \{\zeta_i\}_{i \in I} \rightarrow L$ is a continuous map so that $u \circ l = i \circ \bar{u}$.
- (d) For any $i \in I$, we have

$$\alpha(i) = \left(\lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^-} \bar{u}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \zeta_i), \lim_{\theta \rightarrow 0^+} \bar{u}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \zeta_i) \right) \in R.$$

Define $ev_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow L \sqcup R$ to be the i -th evaluation map, given by

$$ev_i([\Sigma, z, u, l, \bar{u}]) := \begin{cases} \bar{u}(\zeta_i) \in L & \text{if } i \notin I, \\ \alpha(i) \in R & \text{if } i \in I. \end{cases}$$

Let $\sigma : R \rightarrow R$ be the obvious involution. We further define $ev : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow L \sqcup R$ to be

$$ev([\Sigma, z, u, l, \bar{u}]) := \begin{cases} \bar{u}(\zeta_0) \in L & \text{if } 0 \notin I, \\ \sigma(\alpha(0)) \in R & \text{if } 0 \in I. \end{cases}$$

We put

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \beta) := \bigsqcup_{\substack{I \subset \{0, 1, \dots, k\} \\ \alpha : I \rightarrow R}} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \alpha, \beta),$$

which is a union of Kuranishi spaces with possibly different virtual dimensions. Denote by

$$\mathbf{ev}, \mathbf{ev}_i : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \beta) \rightarrow L \sqcup R$$

the obvious evaluation maps. For later use, we also let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1, l}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \beta)$ denote the moduli space of holomorphic disks bounded L with $k+1$ boundary marked points and l interior marked points. The tameness condition (3) in Definition 2.5 ensures compactness of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1, l}(Y, \mathbb{L}; \beta)$.

To define the A_{∞} -maps, we use the de Rham model

$$CF^{\bullet}(\mathbb{L}; \mathbb{K}) := H_{dR}^{\bullet}(\tilde{L}; \mathbb{K}) \oplus \mathbb{K}\{(p^-, p^+) \in L \times L : p^- \neq p^+, i(p^-) = i(p^+)\}.$$

Each element (p^-, p^+) can be absolutely graded by the Fredholm index of certain Cauchy-Riemann operator (See [AJ10], Section 4.3). We define $m_k : CF^\bullet(\mathbb{L}; \mathbb{K})^{\otimes k} \rightarrow CF^\bullet(\mathbb{L}; \mathbb{K})$ by

$$m_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) := \sum_{\beta \in \pi_2(Y, L)} \mathbf{ev}_! (\mathbf{ev}_1^*(\alpha_1) \wedge \dots \wedge \mathbf{ev}_k^*(\alpha_k)) T^{\omega(\beta)}.$$

Then it is a standard argument that $(CF^\bullet(\mathbb{L}; \mathbb{K}), \{m_k\}_{k \geq 0})$ defines an A_∞ -algebra.

Recall a bounding cochain is a degree 1 element $b \in CF^1(L, \Lambda^+)$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} m_k(b^{\otimes k}) = 0.$$

Given a bounding cochain b , one can deform the A_∞ -algebra m_k by

$$m_k^b(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) := \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_k \geq 0} m_{k+l_1+\dots+l_k}(b^{\otimes l_1}, \alpha_1, b^{\otimes l_2}, \alpha_2, \dots, b^{\otimes l_k}, \alpha_k).$$

This gives a new A_∞ -algebra with $(m_1^b)^2 = 0$. Hence the Floer cohomology for (\mathbb{L}, b) is well-defined.

Definition 3.1. An immersed Lagrangian brane \mathbb{L} is said to be *unobstructed* if it admits a bounding cochain. We said \mathbb{L} is *tautologically unobstructed* if 0 is a bounding cochain.

For a pair of unobstructed immersed Lagrangian branes $(\mathbb{L}_1, b_1), (\mathbb{L}_2, b_2)$, one can also define their Floer cohomology by setting

$$\mathbf{n}^{b_1, b_2}(p) := \sum_{l_1, l_2 \geq 0} m_{l_1+1+l_2}^{\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2}(b_1^{\otimes l_1}, p, b_2^{\otimes l_2}),$$

where $m_{l_1+1+l_2}^{\mathbb{L}_1, \mathbb{L}_2}$ is defined by counting holomorphic disks bounded by L_1, L_2 with $l_1 + l_2 + 2$ marked points so that the first l_1 marked points land on b_1 , the $(l_1 + 1)$ -th marked point mapped to the input $p \in i_1(L_2) \cap L_2$, the $(l_1 + 2), \dots, (l_1 + l_2 + 1)$ -th marked points go to b_2 and the last one goes to an output in $i_1(L_2) \cap L_2$. It follows from the A_∞ -relation that $(m_1^{b_1, b_2})^2 = 0$.

In this paper, we are interested in tautologically unobstructed Lagrangian immersions.

Definition 3.2. Denote by $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)$ the A_∞ -category generated by tautologically unobstructed Lagrangian immersions equipped with \mathbb{K} -valued local system and by $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ the full subcategory generated by Λ_Σ -admissible ones.

The derived category of compactly support constructible sheaves on $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ over \mathbb{K} is denoted by $Sh_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}})$ and by $Sh_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_\Sigma)$ the full subcategory generated by those sheaves with microlocal support in Λ_Σ . There is a microlocalization functor

$$\mu : Sh_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)$$

given by mapping $i_{U^*} \mathbb{K}_U$ to L_{U^*} equipped with the trivial $U_{\mathbb{K}}$ -local system: Thanks to the sequence of isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_{Sh_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}})}(i_{U_1^*} \mathbb{K}_{U_1}, i_{U_2^*} \mathbb{K}_{U_2}) &\simeq (\Omega_{dR}^\bullet(\overline{U}_1 \cap U_2, \partial U_1 \cap U_2; \mathbb{K}), d) \\ &\simeq (\Omega_{dR}^\bullet(\overline{U}_1 \cap U_2, \partial U_1 \cap U_2; \mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}, d) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk(Y)}(L_{U_1^*}, L_{U_2^*}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K} \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(L_{U_1^*}, L_{U_2^*}), \end{aligned}$$

the functor μ is well-defined and fully faithful.

Proposition 3.3. *The microlocalization functor $\mu : Sh_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)$ is a quasi-equivalence. Hence $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)$ is quasi-equivalent to the exact Fukaya category $Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}(Y)$ over \mathbb{K} .*

Proof. By going through the work of Nadler [Nad09], we find that for the tautological unobstructed category, the microlocalization functor is still essentially surjective. In [Nad09], Nadler turned the $Fuk(Y)$ -module $\mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk(Y)}(P, L)$ into a twisted complex of standard modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk(Y)}(\alpha_{M_{\mathbb{R}}}(L), L_{\{x_a\}}) \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk(Y)}(P, L_{\tau_a^*}),$$

where $\mathcal{T} := \{\tau_a\}$ gives a fine enough stratification of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$ so that $L^\infty \subset \Lambda_{\mathcal{T}}^\infty$ and $\alpha_{M_{\mathbb{R}}} : T^*M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the involution $(x, \xi) \mapsto (x, -\xi)$. (Nadler's proof relies on the result that the diagonal of $T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}^- \times T^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be resolved by the standard branes via the argument of utilizing the Lagrangian correspondence functor, which

holds the case independent of the coefficient field.) The only difference here is that the (finite-dimensional) vector spaces $\text{Hom}_{Fuk(Y)}(\alpha_{M_{\mathbb{R}}}(L), L_{\{x_a\}})$, $\text{Hom}_{Fuk(Y)}(P, L_{\tau_a^*})$ and morphisms are now defined over \mathbb{K} when L, P are tautologically unobstructed. \square

As CCC [FLTZ12] is true over any field, we obtain the equivariant homology mirror symmetry over the Novikov field.

Corollary 3.4. *Let Σ be a complete fan on $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ and X_{Σ} be its associated toric variety over \mathbb{K} . There is a quasi-equivalence $\text{Perf}_T(X_{\Sigma}) \simeq \text{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$.*

Let $(\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{L}) \in \text{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{(\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{L})} \in \text{Sh}_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ be the corresponding constructible sheaf over \mathbb{K} . We want to compute the microlocal stalk of $\mathcal{F}_{(\mathbb{L}, \mathcal{L})}$. Recall that $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{\infty}$ is a (singular) Legendrian of $S^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$. We decompose it into

$$\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{\infty} := \Lambda_{sm}^{\infty} \sqcup \Lambda_{sing}^{\infty},$$

the smooth and singular part. Note that for each $m \in M$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, the subset $\{m\} \times \text{Int}(-\sigma)^{\infty}$ is a connected component of Λ_{sm}^{∞} . For each point $(m, -\xi^{\infty}) \in \{m\} \times \text{Int}(-\sigma)^{\infty}$, there is a small Legendrian linking sphere $S_{(m, -\xi^{\infty})} \subset S^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$ around the point $(m, -\xi^{\infty})$.

Propagating $S_{(m, -\xi^{\infty})}$ into $D^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$ along a path in $\text{Int}(\sigma)$ so that the radius of $S_{(m, -\xi^{\infty})}$ shrink to 0, we obtain a Lagrangian disk which we denote by $D_{(m, -\xi^{\infty})}$. It was argued in [GPS18, Section 3.3] that the quasi-isomorphism class of the Lagrangian disk $D_{(m, -\xi^{\infty})}$ only depends on the component $\{m\} \times \text{Int}(-\sigma)^{\infty}$ as long as $D_{(m, -\xi^{\infty})}$ doesn't hit the zero section $0_{M_{\mathbb{R}}}$ of $p_{M_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Hence we can simply write $D_{m, -\sigma}$ for $D_{(m, -\xi^{\infty})}$. In particular, for any $\xi \in \text{Int}(\sigma)$, we can move $D_{m, -\sigma}$ by a Hamiltonian flow that is parallel to the Liouville flow so that $D_{m, -\sigma} \cap F_{-\xi}$ is an open ball in $F_{-\xi}$. We denote such a choice of representative by $D_{m, -\xi}$. As $D_{m, -\xi}$ is contractible, it must be exact and graded. We equip $D_{m, -\xi}$ the unique grading $\theta_{m, -\xi}$ so that $\theta_{m, -\xi} = \frac{n_{\sigma}}{2}$ on $D_{m, -\xi} \cap F_{-\xi}$.

Definition 3.5. For $m \in M$, $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, the Lagrangian disk $D_{m, -\sigma}$ is called a *linking disk*.

For each $m \in M$, we choose a small neighbourhood $U_m \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$. For any $\xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we put

$$U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)} := U_m \cap \{x \in M_{\mathbb{R}} : -\xi(x) > -\xi(m)\}.$$

Then we obtain two costandard objects $L_{U_m!}, L_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}!} \in \text{Fuk}(Y)$. Their morphism space is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Fuk}(Y)}(L_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}!}, L_{U_m!}) &\simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}_{cc}(M_{\mathbb{R}})}(i_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}! \underline{\mathbb{K}}_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}, i_{U!} \underline{\mathbb{K}}_{U_m}) \\ &\simeq (\Omega_{dR}(U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{K}, d). \end{aligned}$$

Hence their Floer cohomology is generated by a degree 0 element p_0 . It follows from a fundamental result in [GPS18, Theorem 1.9] that one has the exact triangle²

$$(3.1) \quad L_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}!} \xrightarrow{p_0} L_{U_m!} \rightarrow D_{m, -\xi},$$

The following theorem should follow from [GPS20b, Theorem 1.1] by restricting to the infinitesimal wrapped subcategory. But for self-containedness, we give a direct proof for it without using the wrapped result.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathbb{L} \in \text{Fuk}(Y, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}} \in \text{Sh}_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}}, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ be the corresponding constructible sheaf over \mathbb{K} . Then*

$$\mu_{m, -\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(D_{m, -\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L}),$$

for all $m \in M$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$.

Proof. By definition of the sheaf $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}})}(i_{U!} \underline{\mathbb{K}}_U, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(L_{U!}[-n], \mathbb{L}).$$

In particular, for $\xi \in \text{Int}(\sigma)$, we have

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Sh}_{cc, \mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}})}(i_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}!} \underline{\mathbb{K}}_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\text{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(L_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}!}[-n], \mathbb{L})$$

²According to [GPS18], the first two terms in (3.1) seems need to be reversed. This difference is caused by the fact that the authors in [GPS18] actually perturb L_1 more than L_2 to define the (wrapped) Floer cohomology while in here or [NZ09, Nad09], L_2 is perturbed more. This explains the reversal of the first two terms in (3.1). Also note that in [GPS20b], Section 4.4, there is a shift of degree when defining the microlocal stalk.

and the restriction map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{Sh_{cc,\mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}})}(i_U! \mathbb{K}_{U_m}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{Sh_{cc,\mathbb{K}}(M_{\mathbb{R}})}(i_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}! \mathbb{K}_{U_m}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}})$$

can be identified with the multiplication map

$$m_2(-, p_0) : \mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(L_{U_m}![-n], \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(L_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}![-n], \mathbb{L}),$$

(See [GPS20a, Lemma 3.26] for this identification.) Let $\mathcal{F}_{-\xi \leq -\xi(m)}$ be the kernel of the restriction map $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}|_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}$. Then we obtain a short exact sequence of complexes of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{-\xi \leq -\xi(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}|_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}} \rightarrow 0,$$

which induces the long exact sequence in hypercohomologies

$$\mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(U_m, \mathcal{F}_{-\xi \leq -\xi(m)}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(U_m, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(U_m, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}|_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}) \xrightarrow{[1]}.$$

The cohomology of the microlocal stalk $\mu_{m,-\xi}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}})$ is by definition

$$H^{\bullet}(\mu_{m,-\xi}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}})) := \varinjlim_{U \ni m} \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{F}_{-\xi \leq -\xi(m)})$$

and we also have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(U, \mathcal{F}_{-\xi \leq -\xi(m)}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(U_m, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}) & \xrightarrow{Res} & \mathbb{H}^{\bullet}(U_m, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}}|_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}) & \longrightarrow \\ & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & \\ \longrightarrow & HF^{\bullet}(D_{m,-\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L}) & \longrightarrow & HF^{\bullet}(L_{U_m}![-n], \mathbb{L}) & \xrightarrow{m_2(-, p_0)} & HF^{\bullet}(L_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}![-n], \mathbb{L}) & \longrightarrow \end{array}$$

The exact triangle (3.1) induces the long exact sequence of Floer cohomologies

$$HF^{\bullet}(D_{m,-\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow HF^{\bullet}(L_{U_m}![-n], \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow HF^{\bullet}(L_{U_{-\xi > -\xi(m)}}![-n], \mathbb{L}) \xrightarrow{[1]},$$

which leads us to the conclusion by the Five Lemma that

$$H^{\bullet}(\mu_{m,-\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}})) \cong HF^{\bullet}(D_{m,-\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L})$$

as desired. \square

4. LAGRANGIAN MULTI-SECTIONS AND THEIR EQUIVARIANT MIRROR

We now introduce the notion of Lagrangian multi-sections for the projection map $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$. First, we recall the notion of branched covering maps between smooth manifolds of the same dimension.

Definition 4.1. Let M_1, M_2 be smooth manifolds with the same dimension. A smooth map $f : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is called a *r-fold branched covering map* if there exists a codimension 2 subset $B_f \subset M_2$ such that the restriction $f|_{M_1 \setminus f^{-1}(B_f)} : M_1 \setminus f^{-1}(B_f) \rightarrow M_2 \setminus B_f$ is an *r-fold covering map*. The set B_f is called the *branch locus* of f and the set of all points for which f fails to be a local diffeomorphism is called the *ramification locus* of f . We also put $S_f := f^{-1}(B_f)$.

Remark 4.2. It is known that the ramification locus of a branched covering map is a union of locally closed submanifolds of codimension at least 2.

Definition 4.3. An immersed Lagrangian $\mathbb{L} := (i : \tilde{L} \rightarrow Y)$ is called a *Lagrangian multi-section of degree r* if the composition $p_{\mathbb{L}} := p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ i : \tilde{L} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a branched *r-fold covering map*. We simply write $B_{\mathbb{L}}$ for $B_{p_{\mathbb{L}}}$ and $S_{\mathbb{L}}$ for $S_{p_{\mathbb{L}}}$. We also put

$$I_{\mathbb{L}} := \{p \in L : p \text{ is an immersed point}\},$$

which is assumed to be finite. The *canonical orientation* of \mathbb{L} is the orientation on \mathbb{L} so that $p_{\mathbb{L}} : \tilde{L} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is orientation preserving.

While single-valued Lagrangian sections are exact and so (tautologically) unobstructed in our circumstance, Lagrangian multi-sections are not necessarily exact when the branch set is non-empty.

4.1. Tautological unobstructedness of Lagrangian multi-sections. In this subsection, we examine tautological unobstructedness of general Lagrangian multi-sections. The following is a result in this direction directly relevant to our main purpose.

Theorem 4.4. *For $n \leq 3$, any embedded Lagrangian multi-sections of Y are tautologically unobstructed. In particular, we have $HF^\bullet(\mathbb{L}, \mathbb{L}) = H_{dR}^\bullet(L; \mathbb{K})$.*

By definition, tautological unobstructedness will follow if we prove that \mathbb{L} bounds no rigid holomorphic disks for a generic perturbation of \mathbb{L} or almost complex structures J .

We start with the following lemma

Lemma 4.5. *Let \mathbb{L} be any Lagrangian multi-section. Any non-constant holomorphic disks bounded by L must intersect the set $S_{\mathbb{L}} = p_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}(B_{\mathbb{L}})$.*

Proof. Indeed, $p_{\mathbb{L}}$ restricts to an unbranched covering map $L_0 := L \setminus S_{\mathbb{L}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{L}}$. Suppose $u : (D^2, \partial D^2) \rightarrow (Y, L_0)$ is a non-constant holomorphic disk. Then $\int_{D^2} u^* \omega > 0$. Consider the composition $\bar{u} := p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ u : D^2 \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$. By our assumption, $\bar{u}(D^2) \cap B_{\mathbb{L}} = \emptyset$. Hence it admits a lifting $\bar{u}' : D^2 \rightarrow L_0$ so that $[\bar{u}'] + [u]$ is a sphere class and satisfies

$$\omega([\bar{u}'] + [u]) = \int_{D^2} \bar{u}'^* \omega + \int_{D^2} u^* \omega = \int_{D^2} u^* \omega > 0,$$

which contradict to $\pi_2(Y) = 0$. Therefore, u must either be constant or intersect $S_{\mathbb{L}}$. \square

Note that this lemma has nothing to do with the dimension and holds for any Lagrangian multi-sections for any choice of J .

With the lemma said, we now restrict ourselves to *embedded* Lagrangian multi-sections so that $L = i(\tilde{L})$ is a smooth embedded submanifold of Y . We examine those disks that possibly intersect $S_{\mathbb{L}}$. For this purpose, we will apply the moduli intersection argument based on the generic evaluation transversality and the dimension counting argument. (See [Oh15] for the proof of generic transversality of the interior evaluation map which can be adapted for the boundary evaluation map as done in the more nontrivial case of contact instantons [Oh].)

Remark 4.6. Since L is noncompact, we need to examine the C^0 estimates in the study of moduli space of J -holomorphic disks in general. In this regard, we would like to attract readers' attention that our SYZ projection $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}$ is *not* the cotangent base projection $T^*M_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the multisection L is asymptotic to some cotangent fibers $\{x\} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $T^*M_{\mathbb{R}} = M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore if we consider standard almost complex structure J tame to the canonical symplectic form $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n d\xi_j \wedge dx_j$, we can apply the monotonicity formula for the C^0 estimates as done in [NZ09] in the construction of A_∞ maps for the relevant Fukaya category on Y when we regard L as an object of the category. On the other hand, towards the *vertical direction* of the SYZ projection $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}$, Condition (1) of Definition 2.5 of the category \mathcal{C} takes care of the C^0 -estimates.

Now we wrap up the proof of Theorem 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. By the above lemma, it will be enough to prove that for a generic choice of J no J -holomorphic discs intersects $i(S_{\mathbb{L}})$. For this purpose, we consider $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(L, J; \beta)$ which is the stable compactification of the moduli space

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{M}_1(L, J; \beta) := \{(u, z) \mid u : z \in \partial D^2, D^2 \rightarrow Y, u(\text{Int}(D^2)) \subset L, [u] = \beta \in \pi_2(Y, L), \bar{\partial}_J = 0\} / \sim$$

for β with $\mu_L(\beta) = 0$. Note that $\dim \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(L, J; \beta) = n + 1 - 3 = n - 2$ and hence

$$\dim \partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(L, J; \beta) = n - 3.$$

As \mathbb{L} is graded, it only bounds Maslov index 0 disks. We examine the image of the evaluation map $\text{ev}_0 : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(L, J; \beta) \rightarrow L$ in L .

Recall that $\partial \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(L, J; \beta)$ consists of the curves in

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(L, J; \beta_2) \times_{(\text{ev}_0, \text{ev}_1)} \overline{\mathcal{M}}_2(L, J; \beta_1)$$

with $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2$. (Here we follow the notations from [FOOO09] that $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{k+1}(L, J; \beta)$ is the stable-map compactification of J -holomorphic disks with $k + 1$ marked points enumerated as (z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k) and ev_i is the corresponding evaluation map at the i -th (boundary) marked point.) For a generic choice of J for which

various relevant evaluation transversalities hold, especially the one for the map $\text{ev}_0 : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(L, J; \beta) \rightarrow L$ against $i(S_{\mathbb{L}})$ in L , we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_1(\beta) \times_L S_{\mathbb{L}}) = (n-2) + (n-2) - n = n-4.$$

Hence \mathbb{L} bounds no rigid holomorphic disks when $n \leq 3$.

Similarly but more easily, we can conclude that generically disks from $\overline{\mathcal{M}}(L, J; \beta)$ do not intersect $i(S_{\mathbb{L}})$ in the interior either. For this purpose, This time we consider the moduli space $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(L, J; \beta)$. (Here $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,k}(L, J; \beta)$ is the stable map moduli space of discs with k interior points and 0 boundary marked point.) We consider the interior evaluation map

$$\text{ev}^+ : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(L, J; \beta) \rightarrow Y$$

and consider the fiber product $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(\beta) \times_Y i(S_{\mathbb{L}})$. Again by dimension counting provides

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,1}(\beta) \times_Y i(S_{\mathbb{L}})) = (n-1) + (n-2) - 2n = -3 < 0.$$

Combining the two, we have finished the proof. \square

Remark 4.7. The above proof shows that generically holomorphic disks bounded by L above do not intersect $i(S_{\mathbb{L}})$ in the interior at all *irrespective of dimension of Y* . In fact, for the higher dimensional cases, the argument used in the above proof provides an interesting quantum invariant for the SYZ fibration which we will elaborate elsewhere.

The argument used in the above proof also shows the following

Corollary 4.8. *Suppose $n = 2$. If the immersed sector of a Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} is concentrated at degree 1, then \mathbb{L} is tautologically unobstructed.*

Proof. Note that $T^*N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus i(I_{\mathbb{L}})$ has trivial second homotopy group. The argument in Theorem 4.4 allows us to rule out the holomorphic disks bounded by $i(\tilde{L} \setminus I_{\mathbb{L}})$. As the immersed sector is concentrated at degree 1, by dimension reason, L does not bound any holomorphic disks. \square

4.2. Lagrangian multi-sections are mirror to toric vector bundles. We now use microlocal Morse theory to show that Lagrangian multi-sections are mirror to toric vector bundles, which is our first main result in this paper.

Definition 4.9. Given a Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} in Y . A point $\xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be *regular* if $\xi \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus B_{\mathbb{L}}$ and $F_{\xi} \cap I_{\mathbb{L}} = \emptyset$. The fiber over a regular point is called a *regular fiber*.

Given a graded Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} of Y , a regular fiber F_{ξ} always intersects L transversally. Hence we can talk about the degree of each intersection point. We define the *degree map* $\text{deg}_{\mathbb{L}} : \tilde{L} \setminus (p_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}(B_{\mathbb{L}}) \cup I_{\mathbb{L}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$\text{deg}_{\mathbb{L}} : l \mapsto \text{deg}_{F_{p_{\mathbb{L}}(l)}, \mathbb{L}}(i(l)).$$

This map is continuous as $\theta_i, \theta_{\mathbb{L}}$ vary continuously in p and $\theta_{F_{\xi}}$ is a constant. In particular, when \tilde{L} is connected, $\text{deg}_{\mathbb{L}}$ is constant as $p_{\mathbb{L}}^{-1}(B_{\mathbb{L}}) \cup I_{\mathbb{L}}$ is of codimension ≥ 2 . Moreover, around a generic point, the image L is locally Hamiltonian isotopic to a horizontal section $\{x\} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ of the SYZ projection $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which can be graded by 0. As parity doesn't change under isotopy, we see that $\text{deg}_{\mathbb{L}} \in n + 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 4.10. Let \mathbb{L} be a graded Lagrangian multi-section of $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$. The *canonical grading* of \mathbb{L} is the grading so that $\text{deg}_{\mathbb{L}} = n$.

For a Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L} of $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$, we would like to compute

$$\text{Hom}_{Fuk_{\mathbb{R}}^0(Y)}(D_{m, -\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L})$$

for $m \in M$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma$ be maximal and $\xi \in \text{Int}(\sigma)$ be regular. We choose a proper path $\xi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \text{Int}(\sigma)$ starting from ξ so that $-\xi(t)$ is regular for all $t \geq 0$ and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\xi(t)\| = \infty.$$

Such a path always exists because $B_{\mathbb{L}} \cup p_{\mathbb{L}}(I_{\mathbb{L}})$ are of codimension at least 2. Let $p_{-\xi(t)} \in F_{-\xi(t)} \cap L$ and consider its limit

$$p_{-\xi}^{\infty} := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p_{-\xi(t)} \in M \times \text{Int}(-\sigma)^{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{\Sigma}^{\infty}.$$

Put

$$m(p_{-\xi}) := p_{M_{\mathbb{R}}}(p_{-\xi}^{\infty}) \in M.$$

Although $p_{-\xi}^{\infty}$ depends on the choice of the path $\xi(t)$, the lattice element $m(p_{-\xi})$ does not; it only depends on the intersection point $p_{-\xi} \in F_{-\xi} \cap L$. It is obvious that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(D_{m,-\xi}[-n], \mathbb{L}) \neq 0$$

only when $m = m(p_{-\xi})$ for some $p_{-\xi} \in F_{-\xi} \cap L$ because we can always move the linking disk closer to $\Lambda_{\Sigma}^{\infty}$.

Theorem 4.11. *If $(\mathbb{L}, E) \in \mathrm{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ is a canonically graded Lagrangian multi-section, then for any $m \in M$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$, the Floer complex $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(D_{m,-\sigma}[-n], (\mathbb{L}, E))$ is concentrated at degree 0.*

Proof. We only need to consider the case E is trivial. Let $\sigma \in \Sigma(n)$ and $m \in M$. Fix a regular point $-\xi_0 \in \mathrm{Int}(\sigma)$ with $\|\xi_0\| > R_m$ and $m(p_{-\xi_0}) = m$. Let $D_{m,-\xi_0}$ be a representative of the linking disk $D_{m,-\sigma}$ so that $D_{m,-\xi_0} \cap F_{-\xi_0}$ projects to an open ball $U_{m,-\xi_0} \subset M_{\mathbb{R}}$ centered at m . Then there exists $R_{m,-\xi_0} > 0$ such that the open subset (of L)

$$\mathbb{L}_{>R_{m,-\xi_0}} := \{p_{-\xi} \in L : -\xi \in \mathrm{Int}(\sigma) \text{ is regular, } \|\xi\| > R_{m,-\xi_0}, m(p_{-\xi}) = m\}$$

is an embedded Lagrangian submanifold of $T^*U_{m,-\xi_0}$ (see Figure 1)




FIGURE 1. The linking disk $D_{m,-\xi_0}$ agrees with $F_{-\xi_0}$ on $T^*U_{m,-\xi_0}$.

By the definition and the choice of $D_{m,-\xi_0}$, it agrees with $F_{-\xi_0}$ on $T^*U_{m,-\xi_0}$ and hence

$$D_{m,-\xi_0} \cap L = F_{-\xi_0} \cap T^*U_{m,-\xi_0} \cap L.$$

With respect to the canonical grading, each intersection point of $F_{-\xi_0}$ and L is of degree n . As the canonical grading of $D_{m,-\xi_0}$ is same as $F_{-\xi_0}$ whenever they overlap, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Fuk}_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(D_{m,-\xi_0}[-n], \mathbb{L})$ is concentrated at degree 0. \square

By combining Theorem 4.11, equivariant CCC [FLTZ11], HMS [FLTZ12] for toric varieties and Theorem 1.2 in the introduction, we obtain the following

Corollary 4.12. *Let Σ be a complete fan. The mirror of a canonically graded Λ_{Σ} -admissible Lagrangian multi-section is quasi-isomorphic to a toric vector bundle over X_{Σ} .*

Remark 4.13. Let \mathbb{L} be an Λ_{Σ} -admissible Lagrangian multi-section and $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}}$ its mirror. At each torus fixed point $X_{\sigma} \subset X_{\Sigma}$, the proof of Theorem 4.11 and Theorem 1.7 in [Tre17] allow us to deduce that

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}}|_{X_{\sigma}})_m \cong H^0(\mu_{m,-\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}})) \cong HF^0(D_{m,-\xi}[-n], \mathbb{L}),$$

for all $\xi \in \mathrm{Int}(\sigma)$ and $m \in M$. In particular, the degree of the branched covering map $p_{\mathbb{L}} : L \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ equals to the rank of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}}$. Hence we think of the link disk $D_{m,-\sigma}$ as the “fiber of Y at infinity”.

5. LAGRANGIAN REALIZATION PROBLEM

Recall that a tropical Lagrangian multi-section over a fan Σ is a branched covering map of cone complexes $p : (L, \Sigma_L) \rightarrow (N_{\mathbb{R}}, \Sigma)$ together with a piecewise linear function $\varphi^{trop} : L \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. (See [Sue21, Definition 3.1] for the precise definition of *cone complex* which is in turn an adaptation of one from [Pay09].) As pointed out in [Pay09, Sue21], these combinatorial objects should be thought of as the “tropicalization” of toric vector bundles. In this section, we try to address the following

Question 5.1. Given a tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} over a complete fan Σ , is there a (tautologically) unobstructed Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L} \in Fuk_{\mathbb{R}}^0(Y, \Lambda_{\Sigma})$ whose mirror $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}} \in \mathcal{P}er_T(X_{\Sigma})$ has associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} ?

Of course, one cannot expect this is true for any \mathbb{L}^{trop} . As was shown in Example 5.1 in [Sue21], there is a 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section that does not arise from toric vector bundles even in dimension 2. Hence we don’t expect it has the corresponding A-side object. Therefore, we need to restrict ourselves to some special tropical Lagrangian multi-sections. (See [AP20, Hic] for the related works.)

5.1. The N -generic condition. We restrict ourselves to 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-sections over a complete 2-dimensional fan. Topologically, there are two possibilities for such a 2-fold covering.

- (O) If $p : L^{trop} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a maximal covering, then L^{trop} is homeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 , and $p : L^{trop} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is topologically identified with the square map $z \mapsto z^2$ on \mathbb{C} . Therefore, the subset $C := p^{-1}(S^1) \subset L^{trop}$ is a circle. (See [Pay09, Definition 2.26 & Section 2.5] for the definition of *maximal covering*.)
- (E) If $p : L^{trop} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is not maximal, then we can pass to a maximal covering $p_{max} : L_{max}^{trop} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ which is topologically the trivial covering map $N_{\mathbb{R}} \sqcup N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$. Therefore, the subset $C := p^{-1}(S^1) \subset L^{trop}$ are two disjoint circles $C^{(1)}, C^{(2)}$.

In Case (O), we have a unique non-trivial deck transformation $\gamma \in Deck(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. If we parametrize the circle C by $[0, 2\pi)$, then $\gamma : \theta \mapsto \theta + \pi \bmod 2\pi$.

Definition 5.2. Let $\mathbb{L}^{trop} = (L^{trop}, \Sigma_L, \varphi^{trop})$ be a 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section over a 2-dimensional complete fan Σ . We say \mathbb{L}^{trop} is *N -generic* if it satisfies one of the following conditions.

- (O) If \mathbb{L} is maximal, the graph of the function $\varphi^{trop}|_{[0, \pi)}$ intersects that of $\varphi^{trop} \circ \gamma|_{[0, \pi)}$ transversely at N smooth points.
- (E) If \mathbb{L} is not maximal, the graph of the function $\varphi^{trop}|_{C^{(1)}}$ intersects that of $\varphi^{trop}|_{C^{(2)}}$ transversely at N smooth points.

Remark 5.3. It can be easily shown that the parity of N only depends on the topology of L^{trop} , namely, we have N is odd in Case (O) and N is even in Case (E).

The reason why we consider N -generic objects is the following relationship with the realizability of *tropical Lagrangian multi-section* by rank 2 toric vector bundles.

Proposition 5.4. *A maximal 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} over a complete 2-dimensional fan Σ can be realized by a rank 2 toric vector bundle over X_{Σ} if and only if $N \geq 3$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of a combination of Proposition 3.21 and Theorem 5.9 in [Sue21]. Indeed, Proposition 3.21 there shows that the graph of the function $\varphi^{trop}|_{[0, \pi)}$ intersects that of $\varphi^{trop} \circ \gamma|_{[0, \pi)}$ only at smooth points and the intersections are transverse. Theorem 5.9 there implies \mathbb{L}^{trop} satisfies the slope condition (Definition 5.8 therein), which is equivalent to $N > 1$ (hence ≥ 3) by the intermediate value theorem. \square

Remark 5.5. The realization problem is trivial for Case (E) because we can easily construct two Lagrangian sections by smoothing the two piecewise linear functions suitably. However, if we ask ourselves whether \mathbb{L}^{trop} can be realized by *embedded object*, the question becomes non-trivial and this is what we are going to address.

We now provide an abundant source of N -generic objects with $N \geq 3$.

Definition 5.6. Let Σ be a complete fan on $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$. Choose an anti-clockwise ordering for the set of rays $\Sigma(1) = \{\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_N\}$ of Σ . Let v_{ρ} be the primitive generator of ρ which induces two tangent vectors $v_{\rho^{(1)}}, v_{\rho^{(2)}}$ along the lifts $\rho^{(1)}, \rho^{(2)} \in \Sigma_L(1)$. A 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} over Σ is said to

be satisfying the *alternating slope condition* if there exists a cyclic ordering of $\Sigma(1)$ such that the piecewise linear function φ^{trop} satisfies

$$\varphi^{trop}(v_{\rho_{2i}^{(1)}}) > \varphi^{trop}(v_{\rho_{2i}^{(2)}}) \text{ and } \varphi^{trop}(v_{\rho_{2i+1}^{(2)}}) > \varphi^{trop}(v_{\rho_{2i+1}^{(1)}}),$$

for all i .

By the intermediate value theorem, the alternating slope condition implies $N = \#\Sigma(1) \geq 3$.

Example 5.7. On a smooth projective surface X_Σ , the associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section of the tangent bundle T_{X_Σ} satisfies the alternating slope condition since it takes the value 1 on a lift of v_ρ and the value 0 on the other lift.

At this moment, L^{trop} carries no smooth structure and thus we cannot talk about smoothing of p . Nevertheless, L^{trop} has a smooth structure away from a neighbourhood of the minimal cone.

On the complement of the disk $D_R := \{\|\xi\| \leq R\}$ with $R > 0$ sufficiently large, we define

$$C_{\geq R} := \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \setminus D_{\sqrt{R}} & \text{if } N \text{ is odd,} \\ (\mathbb{C} \setminus D_R) \sqcup (\mathbb{C} \setminus D_R) & \text{if } N \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

and identify $N_{\mathbb{R}}$ with \mathbb{C} with complex coordinate $\xi = \xi_1 + \sqrt{-1}\xi_2$. Let $h : C_{\geq R} \rightarrow L^{trop} \setminus p^{-1}(D_R)$ be a homeomorphism so that

$$(p \circ h)(l) = p_{std}(l) := \begin{cases} l^2 & \text{if } N \text{ is odd,} \\ l & \text{if } N \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We first construct a Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}$ over $N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus D_R$ with asymptotic condition at infinity determined by \mathbb{L}^{trop} as follows. The tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} determines a conical Lagrangian subset

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}} := \bigcup_{\tau' \in \Sigma_L} m(\tau') \times (-\tau) \subset \Lambda_\Sigma,$$

where we regard the slope $m(\tau')$ of φ^{trop} along $\tau' \in \Sigma_L$ as a coset of $M_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Lemma 5.8. *Suppose \mathbb{L}^{trop} is N -generic. Then there exists a smoothing $\varphi_{\geq R}$ of $\varphi^{trop} \circ h$ such that*

$$\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}} := \{((p_{std}^*)^{-1}d(\varphi_{\geq R}(l)), p_{std}(l)) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} : l \in C_{\geq R}\}$$

is a Lagrangian multi-section over $N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus D_R$ and satisfies $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}^\infty \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}}^\infty$.

Proof. Let (r, θ) be the polar coordinate of $C_{\geq R}$. We regard the map $\theta \mapsto (\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, \theta)$ as a 2π -periodic function on \mathbb{R} . Let $\delta > 0$ be small and $\phi : \mathbb{R}_{>R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth function such that

$$\phi(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \theta \in (-\delta, \delta), \\ 0 & \text{if } \theta \notin (-2\delta, 2\delta). \end{cases}$$

Let $\theta = \alpha_i$ be the angles where $(\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, -)$ are not smooth. Note that α_i is independent of r . Then the convex combination

$$\phi(r(\theta - \alpha_i))(\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, \alpha_i) + (1 - \phi(r(\theta - \alpha_i)))(\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, \theta)$$

is smooth around α_i . When R is sufficiently large, all the smoothing neighbourhoods of the minimal cones are disjoint. Therefore, we can apply this construction to every α_i separately and obtain a smooth function $\varphi_{\geq R} : C_{\geq R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since each smoothing neighbourhood shrinks to the corresponding rays $\{\theta = \alpha_i\}$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} (p_{std}^*)^{-1}d(\varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta)) = m(\tau'),$$

if $(r, \theta) \in h^{-1}(\tau')$. Define

$$(5.2) \quad \mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}} := \{((p_{std}^*)^{-1}d(\varphi_{\geq R}(l)), p_{std}(l)) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} : l \in C_{\geq R}\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}$ is a multi-section over $N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus D_R$. Moreover, it follows from (5.1) that $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}^\infty \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}}^\infty$.

It remains to show that $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}$ is embedded. A straightforward calculation shows that being embedded is equivalent to

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(l^{(1)}) \neq \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(l^{(2)}) \text{ or } \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(l^{(1)}) \neq \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(l^{(2)}),$$

where $l^{(1)}, l^{(2)} \in C_{\geq R}$ are two distinct p_{std} -preimage points of a point on $N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus D_R$.

In the odd case, using polar coordinate $l = (r, \theta)$, we have

$$(\varphi^{trop} \circ h)|_{\sigma^{(i)}}(r, \theta) = r^2 (a_i \cos(2\theta) + b_i \sin(2\theta)),$$

for some $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $(a_1, b_1) \neq (a_2, b_2)$ by the intersection property. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \varphi^{trop} \circ h|_{\sigma^{(i)}} &= 2r^2 (-a_i \sin(2\theta) + b_i \cos(2\theta)), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \varphi^{trop} \circ h|_{\sigma^{(i)}} &= 2r (a_i \cos(2\theta) + b_i \sin(2\theta)). \end{aligned}$$

An elementary calculation shows that there are no solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, \theta + \pi) \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, \theta + \pi) \end{cases}$$

Hence there are no solutions to

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(r, \theta + \pi) \\ \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta + \pi) \end{cases}$$

outside the smoothing neighbourhood. Within the smoothing neighbourhood, we may have some points that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta + \pi).$$

By N -genericity, the graph of the function $(\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, -)$ intersects with $(\varphi^{trop} \circ h)(r, - + \pi)$ only at smooth points and therefore, we have

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(r, \alpha_i) \neq \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(r, \alpha_i + \pi)$$

for all i . Hence the radial derivatives won't equal in a small neighbourhood of α_i 's. By choosing a smaller smoothing neighbourhood if necessary, we may assume the radial equation never holds within the smoothing neighbourhoods. Hence $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}$ must be embedded. The even case is similar and actually even easier since $\varphi^{trop} \circ h$ is linear on each maximal cone. \square

Now we need to glue back the disk $D_R \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$. To do so, we need a local model of Lagrangian multi-section over D_R with a good controlled immersed sector to guarantee unobstructedness, the explanation of which is now in order.

5.2. Local model and smoothing of tropical Lagrangian multisections. When $N \geq 3$, such a local model is determined by the number N as follows.

(O) If $N = 2k + 1 > 1$, we put $g = k - 1$. Consider the hyper-elliptic curve

$$L_{f_{2g+1}} := \{(x, \xi) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} : x^2 = f_{2g+1}(\xi)\},$$

where the complex structure on $M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is given by $x := x_1 - \sqrt{-1}x_2, \xi := \xi_1 + \sqrt{-1}\xi_2$ and

$$f_{2g+1}(\xi) := a_{2g+1}\xi^{2g+1} + a_{2g}\xi^{2g} + \cdots + a_1\xi \in \mathbb{R}[\xi],$$

is a polynomial with roots having multiplicity at most 2 and $a_{2g+1} > 0$. The second projection $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : L_{f_{2g+1}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a 2-fold branched covering map. The underlying topological surface of $L_{f_{2g+1}}$ has arithmetic genus g and 1 puncture.

(E) If $N = 2k + 2 > 2$, we put $g = k - 1$. Consider the hyper-elliptic curve

$$L_{f_{2g+2}} := \{(x, \xi) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} : x^2 = f_{2g+2}(\xi)\}$$

where

$$f_{2g+2}(\xi) := a_{2g+2}\xi^{2g+2} + a_{2g+1}\xi^{2g+1} + \cdots + a_1\xi \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]$$

is a polynomial with roots having multiplicity at most 2 and $a_{2g+2} > 0$. The second projection $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : L_{f_{2g+2}} \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a 2-fold branched covering map. The underlying topological surface of $L_{f_{2g+2}}$ has arithmetic genus g and 2 punctures.

For $d \in \{2g+1, 2g+2\}$, as L_{f_d} is a holomorphic curve, it becomes a (special) Lagrangian immersion after hyper-Kähler rotation. Clearly L_{f_d} is a 2-fold multi-section of $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} : Y \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$, but it doesn't have any control at the infinity Y^{∞} .

From now on, we assume $N \geq 3$. We want to glue L_{f_d} with $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}$ along their boundaries, so we need to write L_{f_d} as (5.2). However, due to the non-trivial topology of L_{f_d} , it may not be possible. But luckily, we don't need (5.2) to hold everywhere, we just need it to hold on the cylindrical ends of L_{f_d} . To do so, we consider the complex coordinate functions $x(l), \xi(l)$ of L_{f_d} . Let $d \in \{2g+1, 2g+2\}$. In any case, we can regard $\sqrt{f_d}$ as a single-valued holomorphic function on L_{f_d} . We want to solve for a function φ so that

$$(p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}^*)^{-1}(d\varphi(l)) = (x_1(l), x_2(l)),$$

which can be written as

$$d\varphi = \operatorname{Re}(\sqrt{f_d} p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}^* d\xi).$$

This leads us to consider the holomorphic 1-form

$$\alpha_{f_d} := \sqrt{f_d} p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}}^* d\xi.$$

Note that N and d have the same parity, so

$$C_{\geq R} = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} \setminus D_{\sqrt{R}} & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ (\mathbb{C} \setminus D_R) \sqcup (\mathbb{C} \setminus D_R) & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

Let $F : C_{\geq R} \rightarrow L_{f_d}$ be a holomorphic embedding so that F maps $C_{\geq R}$ to the cylindrical ends of L_{f_d} and $p_{N_{\mathbb{R}}} \circ F = p_{std}$. We have

$$F^* \alpha_{f_d} = \begin{cases} 2l \sqrt{f_d(l^2)} dl & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ \pm \sqrt{f_d(l)} dl & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

For some $R \gg 1$ and $|l| > R$, we have a power series expansion

$$F^* \alpha_{f_d} = \begin{cases} 2\sqrt{a_d} l^{d+1} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i l^{-2i} \right) dl & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ \pm \sqrt{a_d} l^{\frac{d}{2}} \left(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i l^{-i} \right) dl & \text{if } d \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

for some constant $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$. By integrating term-by-term, we get a multi-valued primitive $\varphi_{std}^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $F^* \alpha_{f_d}$, which has the form

$$\varphi_{std}^{\mathbb{C}}(l) := \begin{cases} l^{d+2} \left(c_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i l^{-2i} \right) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ \pm l^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \left(c_0 + \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ i \neq \frac{d}{2}+1}} c_i l^{-i} \right) + c_{\frac{d}{2}+1} \log(l) & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

after absorbing the constants into c_i . As f_d has real coefficients, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $c_0 > 0$. Therefore, the real part φ_{std} of $\varphi_{std}^{\mathbb{C}}$ is single-valued and hence a primitive of $\operatorname{Re}(F^* \alpha_{f_d})$. Using the polar coordinate (r, θ) on $C_{\geq R}$, this primitive is given by

$$\varphi_{std}(r, \theta) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i r^{d+2-2i} \cos((d+2-2i)\theta) & \text{if } d \text{ is odd,} \\ \pm \sum_{\substack{i \geq 1 \\ i \neq \frac{d}{2}+1}} c_i r^{\frac{d}{2}+1-i} \cos\left(\left(\frac{d}{2}+1-i\right)\theta\right) + c_{\frac{d}{2}+1} \log(r) & \text{if } d \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

When $R > 0$ is sufficiently large, by using the implicit function theorem, we can find a small $\varepsilon > 0$ and a smooth function $\theta_i^{std}(r)$ such that for all $r \in [R, R + \varepsilon]$, we have

$$\varphi_{std}(r, \theta_i^{std}(r)) = \varphi_{std}(r, \theta_i^{std}(r) + \pi),$$

when d is odd and

$$\varphi_{std}^{(1)}(r, \theta_i^{std}(r)) = \varphi_{std}^{(2)}(r, \theta_i^{std}(r)),$$

when d is even. In any case, there are exactly $(d+2)$ of them.

Let $\theta_i \in [0, 2\pi)$ be the angles where

$$\varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta_i) = \varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta_i + \pi),$$

when d is odd and

$$\varphi_{\geq R}^{(1)}(r, \theta_i) = \varphi_{\geq R}^{(2)}(r, \theta_i),$$

when d is even. Again, there are $d+2$ of them. We assume $\theta_i < \theta_{i+1}$. Consider a smooth family of strictly increasing smooth functions $\{\rho_r\}_{r \geq R}$ so that

$$\rho_r(\theta_i) = \theta_i^{std}(r)$$

and $\rho_r(\theta + \pi) = \rho_r(\theta) + \pi$ when d is odd and $\rho_r(\theta + 2\pi) = \rho_r(\theta) + 2\pi$ when d is even. Choose $R \gg 1$. As $\frac{\partial \rho_r}{\partial \theta} > 0$, for all $r \geq R$ and θ , they induce a family of 1-parameter diffeomorphisms

$$\rho^t : (r, \theta) \mapsto (r, \theta + t(\rho_r(\theta) - \theta))$$

on $C_{\geq R}$. By using a partition of unity argument, we can then extend $\rho := \rho^1$ to $C_{\geq R}$ so that

$$\rho|_{C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}} = Id_{C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}}.$$

Define

$$\varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta) := (\varphi_{std} \circ \rho)(r, \theta).$$

By changing the branch of φ_{std}^ρ if necessary, we may assume

$$(5.3) \quad \varphi_{std}^\rho(l^{(1)}) - \varphi_{std}^\rho(l^{(2)}) > 0 \iff \varphi_{\geq R}(l^{(1)}) - \varphi_{\geq R}(l^{(2)}) > 0$$

and hence the same inequality relation is true for their radial derivatives. The (embedded) Lagrangian

$$L_{\geq R} := \{(p_{std}^*)^{-1}(d\varphi_{std}^\rho(l)), p_{std}(l) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} : l \in C_{\geq R}\}$$

now agrees with L_{f_d} when $|l| \in [R, R+\varepsilon]$ and therefore can be extended to an immersed Lagrangian multi-section $L_{\leq R+\varepsilon} \subset Y$ over the disk $D_{R+\varepsilon}$.

Now, we glue $L_{\leq R+\varepsilon}$ with $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}$ along $C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}$. Consider the function $\chi_{R, \varepsilon} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\chi_{R, \varepsilon}|_{[0, R]} \equiv 0, \chi_{R, \varepsilon}|_{[R+\varepsilon, \infty)} \equiv 1, \chi'_{R, \varepsilon} \geq 0.$$

Define $\varphi_{f_d} : C_{\geq R-\varepsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\varphi_{f_d}(r, \theta) := \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r)\varphi_{\geq R} + (1 - \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r))\varphi_{std}^{rho}(r, \theta).$$

It follows from the choice of $\chi_{R, \varepsilon}$ that

$$\varphi_{f_d} = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\geq R} & \text{if } r \geq R + \varepsilon, \\ \varphi_{std}^\rho & \text{if } r \leq R. \end{cases}$$

Then we obtain a Lagrangian multi-section

$$\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}} := \begin{cases} \{(p_{std}^*)^{-1}(d\varphi_{f_d}(l)), p_{std}(l) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus D_R : l \in C_{\geq R}\} & \text{over } N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus D_{\geq R-\varepsilon} \\ L_{f_d} & \text{over } D_{R-\varepsilon} \end{cases}$$

that satisfies the asymptotic condition $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}^\infty \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}}^\infty$. We now prove that by choosing the leading coefficient $a_d > 0$ of f_d large enough, the immersed sector of $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ are exactly given by the roots of f_d that have multiplicity 2. This will follow once we show that $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ is embedded on the annulus $C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}$.

5.3. Control of embeddedness of the gluing annulus. Let's consider the odd case. Embeddedness fails if and only if there exists $(r, \theta) \in C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{f_d}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial \varphi_{f_d}}{\partial r}(r, \theta + \pi) \text{ and } \frac{\partial \varphi_{f_d}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) = \frac{\partial \varphi_{f_d}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta + \pi).$$

The angular equation can be written as

$$(5.4) \quad \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta + \pi) \right) = (1 - \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r)) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^\rho}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta + \pi) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^\rho}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) \right).$$

For each θ_i , let I_i be a small open interval of θ_i . Then

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta + \pi) \text{ and } \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^\rho}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta + \pi) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^\rho}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta)$$

have the same sign by construction. It is immediate that (5.4) fails to hold on $[R, R + \varepsilon] \times I_i$ for all i . Let

$$K := [0, 2\pi] \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^d I_i,$$

which is of course compact. Note that

$$|\varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta) - \varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta + \pi)| > 0$$

on the compact subset $[R, R + \varepsilon] \times K \subset C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}$. Hence we can choose the leading coefficient $a_d > 0$ of f_d large enough so that

$$|\varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta) - \varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta + \pi)| \geq |\varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta) - \varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta + \pi)|,$$

for all $(r, \theta) \in [R, R + \varepsilon] \times K$. Now, the radial equation can be written as

$$(5.5) \quad \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}}{\partial r}(r, \theta + \pi) \right) + (1 - \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r)) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^\rho}{\partial r}(r, \theta) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^\rho}{\partial r}(r, \theta + \pi) \right) \\ = \chi'_{R, \varepsilon}(r) (\varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta + \pi) - \varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta) - \varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta + \pi) + \varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta)).$$

Recall by construction that $\varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta) - \varphi_{std}^\rho(r, \theta + \pi)$, $\varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta) - \varphi_{\geq R}(r, \theta + \pi)$, and their radial derivatives always have the same sign. Then it is straightforward to check that the two sides of the radial equation (5.5) have opposite signs on $[R, R + \varepsilon] \times K$. As a whole, we conclude that $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ must also be embedded on $C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}$.

In the even case, we have two components $\varphi_{\geq R}^{(1)}, \varphi_{\geq R}^{(2)}$ of $\varphi_{\geq R}$ and $\varphi_{std}^{\rho, (1)}, \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (2)}$ for φ_{std}^ρ . We may assume

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}^{(1)}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta_i) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}^{(2)}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta_i) \text{ and } \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (1)}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta_i) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (2)}}{\partial \theta}(r, \theta_i)$$

are of the same sign for all i . If embeddedness fails at some point $l = (r, \theta) \in C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]} = C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}^{(1)} \sqcup C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}^{(2)}$, the angular and radial equations become

$$\chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}^{(1)}}{\partial \theta}(l) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}^{(2)}}{\partial \theta}(l) \right) = (1 - \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r)) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (2)}}{\partial \theta}(l) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (1)}}{\partial \theta}(l) \right).$$

and

$$\chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}^{(1)}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{\geq R}^{(2)}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) \right) + (1 - \chi_{R, \varepsilon}(r)) \left(\frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (1)}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) - \frac{\partial \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (2)}}{\partial r}(r, \theta) \right) \\ = \chi'_{R, \varepsilon}(r) (\varphi_{std}^{\rho, (1)}(r, \theta) - \varphi_{\geq R}^{(1)}(r, \theta) + \varphi_{\geq R}^{(2)}(r, \theta) - \varphi_{std}^{\rho, (2)}(r, \theta)).$$

Then similar argument in the odd case can be used to derive a contradiction. This gives embeddedness of $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ on $C_{[R, R+\varepsilon]}$ in the even case.

Combining the above, we now conclude our construction of Lagrangian multisections which will be mirror to toric vector bundles.

Theorem 5.9. *The Lagrangian immersion $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ is a spin, graded, tame 2-fold multi-section with $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}^\infty \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}_{\text{trop}}}^\infty$ and there is a 1-1 correspondence between the immersed double points of $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ and the roots of f_d with multiplicity 2. The immersed sector of $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ is concentrated at degree 1. In particular, $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ is tautologically unobstructed.*

Proof. Being spin follows from the vanishing of $H^2(\tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. For being graded, note that the local model L_{f_d} is a holomorphic curve, so $\text{Im}(\Omega|_{L_{f_d}}) = \text{vol}_{L_{f_d}}$. Hence the phase class $[\theta] \in H^1(\tilde{L}; U(1))$ vanishes on the compact part of \tilde{L} . Since $H_1(\tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the cycles of the compact part, we have $\int_\gamma \theta = 0$ for all $\gamma \in H_1(\tilde{L}; \mathbb{Z})$. This implies $[\theta] = 0$ and so $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ can be graded. Tameness follows from the fact that the closure $\overline{\iota(\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}})} \subset D^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$ is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}^\infty \times (r, \infty]$ around the infinity $S^*M_{\mathbb{R}}$. Then the argument provided in the proof of Lemma 5.4.5 in [NZ09] gives tameness.

The conclusion of unobstructedness follows from Corollary 4.8. It remains to show that the immersed sector of $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ is concentrated at degree 1. At an immersed point $p \in L$, it has the local model

$$L_+ \cup L_- = \{x = \xi \cdot g(\xi)\} \cup \{x = -\xi \cdot g(\xi)\}$$

for some germ of non-vanishing holomorphic function g at $\xi = 0$ with real Taylor coefficients. Now, as L_\pm are special Lagrangian submanifolds obtained by hyper-Kähler rotating holomorphic curves, they can be equipped with zero grading. Recall that $x = x_1 - \sqrt{-1}x_2$ and $\xi = \xi_1 + \sqrt{-1}\xi_2$, so

$$\begin{aligned} L_+ &= \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_1 \text{Re}(g) - \xi_2 \text{Im}(g), -\xi_2 \text{Re}(g) - \xi_1 \text{Im}(g)) \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \times M_{\mathbb{R}} : (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in N_{\mathbb{R}}\}, \\ L_- &= \{(\xi_1, \xi_2, -\xi_1 \text{Re}(g) + \xi_2 \text{Im}(g), \xi_2 \text{Re}(g) + \xi_1 \text{Im}(g)) \in N_{\mathbb{R}} \times M_{\mathbb{R}} : (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in N_{\mathbb{R}}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Their tangent spaces at $(x, \xi) = 0$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} T_p L_+ &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} + g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} - g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right\}, \\ T_p L_- &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} - g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} + g(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

In terms of standard complex coordinates $z_i := \xi_i + \sqrt{-1}x_i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_p L_+ &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \sqrt{-1}g(0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 - \sqrt{-1}g(0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \\ T_p L_- &= \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{-1}g(0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 + \sqrt{-1}g(0) \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the unitary matrix

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+g(0)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 + \sqrt{-1}g(0) \\ 1 - \sqrt{-1}g(0) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

carries $T_p L_+$ to $\mathbb{R}^2 \subset \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{-1}g(0) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+g(0)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 - g(0)^2 - 2\sqrt{-1}g(0) \end{pmatrix}, \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 + \sqrt{-1}g(0) \end{pmatrix} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+g(0)^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 - g(0)^2 + 2\sqrt{-1}g(0) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the sum of the Kähler angles equals π and this proves $\text{deg}(p) = 1$. \square

Remark 5.10. When d is even, there is a distinguished degeneration of the hyperelliptic curve

$$x^2 = a_{2g+2}\xi^2(\xi - r_1)^2 \cdots (\xi - r_g)^2,$$

with distinct r_i 's in \mathbb{C}^\times by having a pair of r_i 's merge. Then $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ is actually given by a union of two Lagrangian sections and is mirror to the direct sum of the line bundles corresponding to the two ‘‘indecomposable’’ (see [Sue21] for the precise notion) components of \mathbb{L}^{trop} .

Now, as $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}$ is a graded multi-section, we can give it the canonical grading. By Corollary 4.12, its mirror is a rank 2 toric vector bundle $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}}$. The corresponding associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section is \mathbb{L}^{trop} . Indeed, for any $\sigma \in \Sigma(2)$, we have

$$(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}}|_{X_\sigma})_m \simeq \mu_{m, -\sigma}(\kappa(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}})) = \mu_{m, -\sigma}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}}) \simeq \text{Hom}_{Fuk_{\mathbb{K}}^0(Y)}(D_{m, -\sigma}[-n], \mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}).$$

By the asymptotic condition $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}^\infty \subset \mathbb{L}_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}}^\infty$, the right hand side is non-zero if and only if $m = d\varphi^{trop}|_{\text{Int}(\sigma')}$ for some lift $\sigma' \in \Sigma_L(2)$ of σ . Hence $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{f_d}}}}^{trop} = \mathbb{L}^{trop}$.

Corollary 5.11. *Suppose \mathbb{L}^{trop} is a N -generic 2-fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section over a complete fan Σ on $N_{\mathbb{R}} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ with $N \geq 3$. Then there is an indecomposable rank 2 toric vector bundles \mathcal{E} on X_{Σ} such that*

$$\begin{aligned}\dim_{\mathbb{K}} Ext_T^0(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) &= 1, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} Ext_T^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) &= N - 3, \\ \dim_{\mathbb{K}} Ext_T^2(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}}^{trop} = \mathbb{L}^{trop}$.

5.4. Higher rank. The higher rank realization problem is now no more difficult than the rank 2 case. As every tropical Lagrangian multi-section can be decomposed into a union of maximal ones, it suffices to consider a maximal r -fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} over a 2-dimensional complete fan Σ . With this assumption, the underlying r -fold covering map is topologically the map $p_{std} : z \mapsto z^r$ on \mathbb{C} . Hence the preimage of the circle $S^1 \subset N_{\mathbb{R}}$ around the branch point under p is a single circle $C \subset L^{trop}$ and $Deck(C) \cong \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. Parametrizing C by $[0, 2\pi)$. Then a deck transformation in $Deck(C)$ can be identified with the map $\theta \mapsto \theta + \frac{2\pi i}{r}$ mod 2π for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. In this case, the notion of N -genericity is generalized as follows.

Definition 5.12. Let \mathbb{L}^{trop} be a maximal r -fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section over a complete 2-dimensional fan Σ . We say \mathbb{L}^{trop} is N -generic if for any distinct deck transformations $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in Deck(C)$, the graph of $\varphi^{trop} \circ \gamma_1|_{[0, \frac{2\pi}{r})}$ intersects that of $\varphi^{trop} \circ \gamma_2|_{[0, \frac{2\pi}{r})}$ transversely at exactly N smooth points.

We can now give a partial result for higher ranks.

Theorem 5.13. *A maximal r -fold tropical Lagrangian multi-section \mathbb{L}^{trop} over a complete 2-dimensional fan Σ can be realized by an r -fold embedded (and hence unobstructed) Lagrangian multi-section if it is $\lfloor 2(\frac{d}{r} + 1) \rfloor$ -generic for some $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\text{g.c.d.}(r, d) = 1$. In particular, such \mathbb{L}^{trop} can be realized by a rank r toric vector bundle over X_{Σ} .*

Sketch of proof. The smoothing argument in Lemma 5.8 can be used to construct an r -fold Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{\geq R}}$ over $N_{\mathbb{R}} \setminus D_R$. To glue back the disk D_R , we use the embedded local model

$$L_{r,d} := \{(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : x^r = f_d(\xi)\},$$

where $f_d \in \mathbb{R}[\xi]$ is a polynomial of degree d with leading coefficient $a_d > 0$, $\text{g.c.d.}(r, d) = 1$, and distinct roots. The underlying surface is embedded and has one cylindrical end. Projection onto the ξ -coordinate is an r -fold branched covering map. It is easy to write $L_{r,d}$ as

$$\{((p_{std}^*)^{-1}(d\varphi_{r,d}(l)), p_{std}(l)) \in M_{\mathbb{R}} \times N_{\mathbb{R}} : l \in C_{\geq R}\},$$

where $\varphi_{\geq R}$ is given in terms of the polar coordinate (r, θ) on the cylindrical end $C_{\geq R}$ by

$$\varphi_{r,d}(r, \theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i r^{d+r-ri} \cos((d+r-ri)\theta),$$

for $r \geq R \gg 1$ and some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c_0 > 0$. By considering the leading order term of $\varphi_{r,d}$, we note that for any distinct $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$, the equation

$$\varphi_{r,d}\left(r, \theta + \frac{2\pi i}{r}\right) = \varphi_{r,d}\left(r, \theta + \frac{2\pi j}{r}\right)$$

has $\lfloor 2(\frac{d}{r} + 1) \rfloor$ solutions on $[0, \frac{2\pi}{r})$. Then we can apply the previous gluing method to obtain an embedded r -fold Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{fd}}$ that satisfies the asymptotic condition $\mathbb{L}_{\varphi_{fd}}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}^{trop}}^{\infty}$. \square

Remark 5.14. When $r = 2$, we have $\lfloor 2(\frac{d}{2} + 1) \rfloor = d + 2 \geq 3$, which is the necessary and sufficient condition for solving the $r = 2$ Lagrangian realization problem. On the other hand, we call Theorem 5.13 a partial result because we don't know whether the $\lfloor 2(\frac{d}{r} + 1) \rfloor$ -genericity condition is necessary when $r \geq 3$.

6. SYZ MIRROR TO RANK 2 TORIC VECTOR BUNDLES ON \mathbb{P}^2

We now apply Theorem 5.9 to prove that every indecomposable³ rank 2 toric vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 has mirror being a Lagrangian multi-section.

In [Kan75], Kaneyama classified indecomposable rank 2 toric vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 by the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(aD_0) \oplus \mathcal{O}(bD_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(cD_2) \rightarrow E_{a,b,c} \rightarrow 0,$$

for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and the first map is given by $1 \mapsto (Z_0^a, Z_1^b, Z_2^c)$, where (Z_i) denote the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^2 and $D_i := \{Z_i = 0\}$. Then any indecomposable toric vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 is isomorphic to $E_{a,b,c}(D)$ or $E_{a,b,c}^*(D)$, for some integers $a, b, c > 0$ and a toric divisor D .

Let's focus on the case $D = 0$. The associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section

$$\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}^{trop} := (L^{trop}, \Sigma_L, \mu_L, p, \varphi_{a,b,c}^{trop})$$

of $E_{a,b,c}$ is depicted in Figure 2.




FIGURE 2. The associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section of $E_{a,b,c}$. The domain L is homeomorphic to \mathbb{C} and the branched covering map $p : L \rightarrow N_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be topologically identified with the square map $z \mapsto z^2$ on \mathbb{C} .

It is clear that $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}^{trop}$ satisfies the alternating slope condition and hence $\#\Sigma(1)$ -generic. It can therefore be realized by a Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}$. In this case, as $k = 1$, we have $d = 1$ and $g = 0$. Hence $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}$ is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{R}^2 . Let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}}$ be the mirror of $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}$. We show that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}} \cong E_{a,b,c}$. The following lemma shows that an indecomposable rank 2 toric vector bundle on \mathbb{P}^2 is equivariantly rigid.

Lemma 6.1. *For any $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and toric divisor D , $E_{a,b,c}(D)$ and $E_{a,b,c}^*(D)$ are equivariantly rigid.*

Proof. Suppose $E_{a,b,c}(D)$ and $E_{a',b',c'}(D')$ share the same associated tropical Lagrangian multi-section. Then $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c} = \mathbb{L}_{a',b',c'}(D' - D)$. It thus suffices to consider the case $D = 0$. Write $D' = k_0D_0 + k_1D_1 + k_2D_2$. In this case, by comparing the associated tropical Lagrangian section of $E_{a,b,c}$ and $E_{a',b',c'}(D')$, one can easily show that $k_i = 0$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2$. Hence we must have $a' = a, b' = b, c' = c$. The same argument applies to $E_{a,b,c}^*$ which has the tropical Lagrangian multi-section $-\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}$. \square

As $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}}}^{trop} = \mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}^{trop}$, we have $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}} \cong E_{a,b,c}$ by Lemma 6.1. We immediately deduce the following

Theorem 6.2. *For $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the mirror of $E_{a,b,c}$ is quasi-isomorphic to an embedded, simply connected, and canonically graded Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c} \subset Y$ so that $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{a,b,c}^{\infty}$.*

Now, for the case $E_{a,b,c}^*$, we have the corresponding conical Lagrangian subset

$$\Lambda_{-\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}^{trop}} := \bigcup_{\tau' \in \Sigma_L} -m(\tau') \times -\tau.$$

It is then easy to see that

$$-\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c} := \{(-(p_{std}^*)^{-1}(d\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b,c}(l)), p_{std}(l)) \in Y : l \in \mathbb{R}^2\}$$

³The case of decomposable rank 2 toric vector bundle is trivial as its mirror is the union of two Lagrangian sections.

is the mirror Lagrangian multi-section of $E_{a,b,c}^*$, which is also embedded. For a toric divisor D , let $\varphi_D : N_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smoothing of φ_D^{trop} that asymptotic to the slopes of φ_D^{trop} , the associated piecewise linear function of D . We have

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}(D)} := \bigcup_{\tau' \in \Sigma_L} (m(\tau') + m_D(\tau)) \times -\tau,$$

where $m_D(\tau)$ is the slope of φ_D^{trop} along τ . We define

$$\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}(D) := \{(p_{std}^*)^{-1}(d(\tilde{\varphi}_{a,b,c} + \varphi_D \circ p_{std})(l)), p_{std}(l) \in Y : l \in \mathbb{R}^2\},$$

which is again embedded as one can easily check. As $E_{a,b,c}(D)$ is also equivariantly rigid, the same argument of the proof of Theorem 4.4 allows us to conclude that $\mathbb{L}_{a,b,c}(D)$ is mirror to $E_{a,b,c}(D)$. Therefore, our result can be extended to arbitrary rank 2 indecomposable toric vector bundles on \mathbb{P}^2 .

Theorem 6.3. *The mirror of a rank 2 indecomposable toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} on \mathbb{P}^2 is quasi-isomorphic to an embedded, simply connected, and canonically graded Lagrangian multi-section $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset Y$ so that $\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\infty} \subset \Lambda_{\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{E}}^{trop}}^{\infty}$.*

Remark 6.4. The rank 2 toric vector bundle $E_{m,n}$ over \mathbb{P}^2 considered in [CMS22] is actually isomorphic to $E_{m-n,m-n,m-n}((2n-m)D_0)$ and so it has mirror $\mathbb{L}_{m-n,m-n,m-n}((2n-m)D_0)$.

Remark 6.5. Let $\bar{Y} := Y/M$ be the obvious quotient. Theorem 6.3 and the non-equivariant HMS for \mathbb{P}^2 suggest that the non-equivariant mirror of a rank 2 toric vector bundle \mathcal{E} is given by the quotient $\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \bar{Y}$, which can be immersed in general. As $Ext_T^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) = 0$ when \mathcal{E} is indecomposable, we see that the immersed sector of $\bar{\mathbb{L}}_{\mathcal{E}}$ are responsible for the whole $Ext^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E})$, which can be arbitrarily large as $a, b, c \rightarrow \infty$.

REFERENCES

- [AJ10] M. Akaho and D. Joyce, *Immersed Lagrangian Floer theory*, J. Differential Geom. **86** (2010), no. 3, 381–500.
- [AP20] Hülya Argüz and Thomas Prince, *Real Lagrangians in Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **121** (2020), no. 2, 287–311. MR 4093957
- [CMS22] Kwokwai Chan, Ziming Nikolas Ma, and Yat-Hin Suen, *Tropical Lagrangian multi-sections and smoothing of locally free sheaves over degenerate Calabi-Yau surfaces*, Adv. Math. **401** (2022), Paper No. 108280. MR 4387852
- [CS19] K. Chan and Y.-H. Suen, *SYZ transforms for immersed Lagrangian multisections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **372** (2019), no. 8, 5747–5780.
- [FLTZ11] B. Fang, C.-C. M. Liu, D. Treumann, and E. Zaslow, *A categorification of Morelli’s theorem*, Invent. Math. **186** (2011), no. 1, 79–114.
- [FLTZ12] ———, *T-duality and homological mirror symmetry for toric varieties*, Adv. Math. **229** (2012), no. 3, 1875–1911.
- [FOOO09] Kenji Fukaya, Yong-Geun Oh, Hiroshi Ohta, and Kaoru Ono, *Lagrangian intersection Floer theory: anomaly and obstruction. Part I*, AMS/IP Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 46, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; International Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- [GPS18] Sheel Ganatra, John Pardon, and Vivek Shende, *Sectorial descent for wrapped Fukaya categories*, preprint (2018), arXiv:1809.03427.
- [GPS20a] ———, *Covariantly functorial wrapped Floer theory on Liouville sectors*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **131** (2020), 73–200.
- [GPS20b] ———, *Microlocal Morse theory of wrapped Fukaya categories*, preprint (2020), arXiv:1809.08807.
- [Hic] Jeff Hicks, *Realizability in tropical geometry and unobstructedness of Lagrangian submanifolds*, preprint (2022), arXiv:2204.064325.
- [Kan75] Tamafumi Kaneyama, *On equivariant vector bundles on an almost homogeneous variety*, Nagoya Math. J. **57** (1975), 65–86. MR 376680
- [Kas98] Rajesh Kasturirangan, *The Floer homology of standard pairs*, 1998, Thesis (Ph.D.)—The University of Wisconsin – Madison.
- [KO01] Rajesh Kasturirangan and Yong-Geun Oh, *Floer homology of open subsets and a relative version of Arnold’s conjecture*, Math. Z. **236** (2001), no. 1, 151–189.
- [KS90] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 292, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, With a chapter in French by Christian Houzel, x+512 pp.
- [LYZ00] N. C. Leung, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *From special Lagrangian to Hermitian-Yang-Mills via Fourier-Mukai transform*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2000), no. 6, 1319–1341.
- [Nad09] David Nadler, *Microlocal branes are constructible sheaves*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **15** (2009), no. 4, 563–619. MR 2565051
- [NZ09] David Nadler and Eric Zaslow, *Constructible sheaves and the Fukaya category*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), no. 1, 233–286. MR 2449059
- [Oh] Yong-Geun Oh, *Bordered contact instantons and their Fredholm theory and generic transversalities*, preprint, submitted for the proceedings of Bumsig Kim’s Memorial Conference, October 2021, KIAS, arXiv:2209.03548(v2).

- [Oh15] ———, *Symplectic Topology and Floer Homology. vol. 1.*, New Mathematical Monographs, 28., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
- [Pay09] S. Payne, *Toric vector bundles, branched covers of fans, and the resolution property*, J. Algebraic Geom. **18** (2009), no. 1, 1–36.
- [Sue21] Y.-H. Suen, *Tropical Lagrangian multi-sections and toric vector bundles*, preprint (2021), arXiv:2106.05705(v2).
- [SYZ96] A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau, and E. Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry is T-duality*, Nuclear Phys. B **479** (1996), no. 1-2, 243–259.
- [Tre17] David Treumann, *Morse theory and toric vector bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 1, 1–29. MR 3557766

CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE (IBS), & POSTECH, POHANG 37673, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `yongoh1@postech.ac.kr`

CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, INSTITUTE FOR BASIC SCIENCE (IBS), POHANG 37673, REPUBLIC OF KOREA

Email address: `yhsuen@ibs.re.kr`