

A VERTEX OPERATOR REFORMULATION OF THE KANADE-RUSSELL CONJECTURE MODULO 9

SHUNSUKE TSUCHIOKA

ABSTRACT. We reformulate the Kanade-Russell conjecture modulo 9 via the vertex operators for the level 3 standard modules of type $D_4^{(3)}$. Along the same line, we arrive at three partition theorems which may be regarded as an $A_4^{(2)}$ analog of the conjecture. One had been proven by Andrews-van Ekeren-Heluanı and we point out that the others are easily proved from their results.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **The Rogers-Ramanujan identities.** The Rogers-Ramanujan (RR, for short) identities

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_\infty}, \quad \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty}$$

are undoubtedly one of the most famous q -series identities in mathematics (see [2, 3, 22, 50]). Here, the Pochhammer symbols are defined by

$$(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq) \cdots (1-aq^{n-1}), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_k; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_k; q)_n.$$

As noted by Schur and MacMahon, the RR identities are equivalent to the following statement, which is often called the RR partition theorem.

Let $i = 1$ or 2 . For each nonnegative integer n , the number of partitions of n whose successive differences are at least two and whose minimum parts are at least i is equal to the number of partitions of n whose parts are congruent to i or $5-i$ modulo 5.

Recall that a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ of a nonnegative integer n is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers (called parts) whose sum $|\lambda|$ is n . We denote the length ℓ by $\ell(\lambda)$ and put the multiplicities as $m_j(\lambda) = |\{1 \leq i \leq \ell \mid \lambda_i = j\}|$.

Let $\text{Par}(n)$ (resp. Par) denote the set of partitions of n (resp. partitions). We say that two subsets \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} of Par are partition theoretically equivalent (abbreviated to $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} \mathcal{D}$) if we have $|\mathcal{C} \cap \text{Par}(n)| = |\mathcal{D} \cap \text{Par}(n)|$ for $n \geq 0$. For example, the RR partition theorem is briefly written as $\text{RR}_i \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{i, 4-i}^{(5)}$ for $i = 1, 2$, where

$$\text{RR}_1 = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} \geq 2 \text{ for } 1 \leq j < \ell(\lambda)\},$$

$$\text{RR}_2 = \text{RR}_1 \cap \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid m_1(\lambda) = 0\},$$

$$T_{a_1, \dots, a_k}^{(N)} = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \lambda_j \equiv a_1, \dots, a_k \pmod{N} \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \ell(\lambda)\}.$$

Date: Dec 4, 2022.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11P84, Secondary 05E10.

Key words and phrases. integer partitions, Rogers-Ramanujan identities, affine Lie algebras, vertex operators, Kanade-Russell conjecture.




FIGURE 1. The Dynkin diagrams $A_1^{(1)}$, $A_2^{(2)}$, $A_4^{(2)}$, $D_4^{(3)}$ and A_ℓ , D_4

1.2. The Kanade-Russell conjecture modulo 9. About a decade ago, Kanade-Russell found a celebrated conjectural partition theorem below (see [31, §4]), which is now well-known in the community under the name of the Kanade-Russell conjecture (e.g., see [15, 35, 59]).

Conjecture 1.1 ([31]). *For $1 \leq a \leq 3$, we have $\text{KR}_a \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{2^{a-1}, 3, 6, 9-2^{a-1}}^{(9)}$, where*

$$\text{KR}_1 = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+2} \geq 3 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \ell(\lambda) - 2 \text{ and } P(\lambda, 0) \text{ holds}\},$$

$$\text{KR}_2 = \text{KR}_1 \cap \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid m_1(\lambda) = 0\},$$

$$\text{KR}_3 = \text{KR}_1 \cap \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid m_1(\lambda) = m_2(\lambda) = 0\}$$

and the condition $P(\lambda, k)$ for a partition λ and an integer k stands for

$$\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} \leq 1 \text{ implies } \lambda_j + \lambda_{j+1} \equiv k \pmod{3} \text{ for } 1 \leq j < \ell(\lambda).$$

Like the RR partition theorem, the Kanade-Russell conjecture has an equivalent q -series identity reformulation [35, §3]. Because the double sums due to Kurşungöz are well-known, we give a reformulation in terms of triple sums. Recall that Kanade-Russell also conjectured $\text{KR}_4 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{2, 3, 5, 8}^{(9)}$ and $\text{KR}_5 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{1, 4, 6, 7}^{(9)}$ [31, 48], where

$$\text{KR}_4 = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+2} \geq 3 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \ell(\lambda) - 2, P(\lambda, 2) \text{ holds and } m_1(\lambda) = 0\}$$

$$\text{KR}_5 = \{\lambda \in \text{Par} \mid \lambda_j - \lambda_{j+2} \geq 3 \text{ for } 1 \leq j \leq \ell(\lambda) - 2, P(\lambda, 1) \text{ holds and } m_2(\lambda) \leq 1\}.$$

Proposition 1.2. *For $1 \leq a \leq 5$, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \text{KR}_a} x^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|} = \sum_{i, j, k \geq 0} \frac{q^{3\binom{i}{2} + 8\binom{j}{2} + 6\binom{k}{2} + 4ij + 3ik + 6jk + A_{a,1}i + A_{a,2}j + A_{a,3}k}}{(q; q)_i (q^2; q^2)_j (q^3; q^3)_k} x^{i+2j+2k},$$

where $A_1 = (1, 4, 3)$, $A_2 = (2, 6, 6)$, $A_3 = (3, 8, 6)$, $A_4 = (2, 6, 5)$ and $A_5 = (1, 6, 4)$.

Because it is routine to derive a q -difference equation for the right (resp. left) hand side by a q -analog of Sister Celine's technique [46] (resp. by Andrews' linked partition ideals [1, 2] or the regularly linked sets by Takigiku and the author [56]), we omit an automatic proof of Proposition 1.2. See also §5, [55, §7.1] and [15, §4].

1.3. A main result. Recall that for a standard module (a.k.a., integrable highest weight module) V of an affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra [27], the character $\chi(\Omega_V)$ of the vacuum space Ω_V with respect to the principal Heisenberg subalgebra (see also §2.2) is obtained by the Lepowsky numerator formula [40, Proposition 8.4].

Let $i = 1$ or 2 and consider the level 3 standard module $V = V((i+1)\Lambda_0 + (2-i)\Lambda_1)$ of the affine algebra $\mathfrak{g}(A_1^{(1)})$ (see Figure 1). Lepowsky-Milne observed that

$$\chi(\Omega_V) = \frac{1}{(q^i, q^{5-i}; q^5)_\infty},$$

is the infinite product in the RR identities [37]. In a seminal paper [40] (see also [39]), Lepowsky-Wilson showed (see [40, Theorem 10.4])

$$\chi((\Omega_V)^{[n]}/(\Omega_V)^{[n-1]}) = \frac{q^{n^2+n(i-1)}}{(q; q)_n},$$

for $n \geq 0$, where $(\Omega_V)^{[n]}$ is the associated Z -filtration, which coincides with the s -filtration $(\Omega_V)_{[n]}$ [40, §5]. For that purpose, Lepowsky-Wilson proved that the set of Z -monomials parameterized by RR_i

$$\{Z_{-\lambda_1} \cdots Z_{-\lambda_\ell} v_0 \mid (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \text{RR}_i\}$$

forms a basis of Ω_V , where v_0 is a highest weight vector of V and Z_i is the Z -operator (see [40, (3.13)] and a review in §2) associated with the root β_1 of A_1 .

Our main result gives a weaker vertex operator interpretation of the Kanade-Russell conjecture in the following way.

Theorem 1.3. *For $1 \leq a \leq 3$, the set of Z -monomials parameterized by KR_a*

$$\{Z_{-\lambda_1} \cdots Z_{-\lambda_\ell} w_0 \mid (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in \text{KR}_a\}$$

spans $\Omega_{V(\Lambda^{(a)})}$, where Z_i is the Z -operator associated with the root β_1 of D_4 (see Figure 1), $w_0^{(a)}$ is a highest weight vector of the standard module $V(\Lambda^{(a)})$ of type $D_4^{(3)}$ whose highest weight is given by $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1$, $\Lambda^{(2)} = 3\Lambda_0$ and $\Lambda^{(3)} = \Lambda_2$.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3 and the infinite product expression of $\chi(\Omega_{V(\Lambda^{(a)})})$ is that the Kanade-Russell Conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) is equivalent to the claim that the Z -monomials in Theorem 1.3 are linearly independent.

Our proof is a variant of [40, §6] and thus standard in vertex operator theory (see also similar calculations in [11, 12, 26, 28, 40, 41, 42, 44, 54, 55, 58]) once a suitable set of relations between the Z -operators is available. In our case, four kinds of “generalized commutation relations” (see Theorem 3.2) which are sometimes called with adjectives “anti” and “partial” (see [25, §3] and §3.4, §3.5, §3.6, §3.7) suffice. It would be interesting to find a higher structure (e.g., vertex operator algebra structures as in [24, 25]) in our calculation as well as to find a proof of linear independence (e.g., as in [12, 40, 42, 58]). We remark that the arguments in §4.7, §4.8, §4.9 are related to overlap ambiguities (resp. critical pairs) in non-commutative Gröbner basis theory [7] (resp. theory of term rewriting [10, 45]).

1.4. An $A_4^{(2)}$ analog. The work of Lepowsky-Wilson [40] initiated intensive research on explicit realizations of the generalized RR identity

$$\chi(\Omega_V) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \chi((\Omega_V)^{[n]}/(\Omega_V)^{[n-1]})$$

for a standard module V of type $X_N^{(r)}$ (see also [40, Theorem 7.5]). We give a brief review for types $A_1^{(1)}$, $A_2^{(2)}$ and $A_2^{(1)}$ with levels greater than 2.

For $A_1^{(1)}$ arbitrary level, Lepowsky-Wilson showed that the Z -monomials parameterized by partitions in the Andrews-Gordon-Bressoud partition theorem (see [50, §3.2] and the references therein) spans Ω_V [41, Corollary 13.2, Lemma 14.3], which were proved to be linearly independent by Meurman-Primc [42, §9, Appendix].

For $A_2^{(2)}$ level 3 (resp. 4), Capparelli [11] (resp. Nandi [44]) obtained a set of X -monomials which spans V as a module over the principal Heisenberg algebra.

Subsequently, they were proved to be linearly independent by [4, 12, 58] (resp. [56]). On corresponding q -series identities, see [9, 36, 57] and the references therein.

For $A_2^{(1)}$ level 3, the author showed a set of X -monomials parameterized by certain 2-color partitions (or bipartitions) forms a basis of V as a module over the principal Heisenberg algebra [55, Theorem 1.3].

Although there are a lot of literature devoted to higher levels such as [13, 23, 33, 43, 57] (resp. [5, 14, 16, 18, 20, 29, 34, 55, 60, 61]) for $A_2^{(2)}$ (resp. $A_2^{(1)}$), relations to representation theory remain unknown except the aforementioned levels. As in [40, (6.8)], it is natural to expect that there exist certain $n(X_N^{(r)})$ -color partitions whose corresponding Z -monomials form a basis of Ω_V , where

$$n(X_N^{(r)}) = \frac{\text{the number of roots of type } X_N}{\text{the } r\text{-twisted Coxeter number of } X_N}.$$

For example, $n(A_1^{(1)}) = n(A_2^{(2)}) = 1$ and $n(A_2^{(1)}) = n(A_4^{(2)}) = n(D_4^{(3)}) = 2$ [17, §8]. Thus, each of the results listed above can be regarded as an incarnation of that expectation. On the other hand, for low levels such as level 2, sometimes Ω_V has a basis of Z -monomials parameterized by a certain partitions as in [26, 28, 53, 54, 55, 58]. While conjectural, Theorem 1.3 may be thought of as a similar example.

It would be interesting to find more examples similar to Theorem 1.3 for other types A with $n(A) > 1$ (or other levels). We note that, as shown in [26], “the Kanade-Russell conjecture modulo 12” [32], which is now a theorem [8, 47], can be understood as a kind of these examples for type $A_9^{(2)}$ level 2.

Theorem 1.4. *Let F be the set consisting of the following 13 partitions*

$$(1, 1, 1), (2, 1, 1), (2, 2, 1), (3, 2, 1), (3, 3, 1), (5, 3, 3), (4, 4, 1, 1), \\ (5, 4, 1, 1), (5, 4, 2, 1), (5, 5, 2, 1), (6, 5, 3, 1, 1), (6, 6, 3, 1, 1), (7, 6, 4, 2, 1)$$

and define three sets I_1, I_2, I_3 as follows.

$$I_1 = \{(1), (5, 4, 2, 2), (9, 8, 6, 4, 2, 2)\}, \quad I_2 = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (4, 3, 1)\}, \\ I_3 = \{(1, 1), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 2), (3, 3), (4, 3, 1), (4, 4, 1), (5, 4, 2), (6, 5, 3, 1)\}.$$

For $1 \leq a \leq 3$, let L_a be the set of partitions which does not begin with c for $c \in I_a$ and does not match $(b_1 + k, \dots, b_p + k)$ for $(b_1, \dots, b_p) \in F$ and $k \geq 0$ (see §5). We have $L_1 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{2,3,4,5,11,12,13,14}^{(16)}$, $L_2 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_1^{(2)}$ and $L_3 \stackrel{\text{PT}}{\sim} T_{1,4,6,7,9,10,12,15}^{(16)}$.

We arrived at the statement of Theorem 1.4 by checking

$$\{Z_{-\lambda_1} \cdots Z_{-\lambda_\ell} u_0 \mid (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell) \in L_a \cap \text{Par}(n)\}$$

is a set of linearly independent vectors for $1 \leq a \leq 3$ and $0 \leq n \leq 17 - 2^{a-1}$. Here, Z_i is the Z -operator associated with the root β_1 of A_4 (see Figure 1) and u_0 is a highest weight vector of the standard module $V(\Upsilon^a)$ of type $A_4^{(2)}$ whose highest weight is given by $\Upsilon^{(1)} = 3\Lambda_0$, $\Upsilon^{(2)} = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1$ and $\Upsilon^{(3)} = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_2$.

After submission to arXiv of the first version of this paper, where Theorem 1.4 was stated as a conjecture, we learned from Matthew Russell that Theorem 1.4 for $a = 1$ is a theorem due to Andrews-van Ekeren-Heluanı [6, Theorem 3]. In §5.4, we point out that the case $a = 2, 3$ is also easily proved based on their work [6, Proposition 4.4]. It is interesting that they seemed to find Theorem 1.4 for $a = 1$ via the simple vertex algebra associated with the $(3, 4)$ Virasoro minimal model of central charge $c = 1/2$, unlike via the level 3 standard modules of type $A_4^{(2)}$.

Organization of the paper. We review the Z -operators in §2, establish the four relations among them in §3 and prove Theorem 1.3 in §4. In §5, we advertize the regularly linked sets [56] by demonstrating an automatic derivation of an q -difference equation for the generating function of L_a (see Theorem 5.6). By using Theorem 5.6 and [6, Proposition 4.4], we show Theorem 1.4 for $a = 2, 3$ in §5.4.

2. THE Z -OPERATORS

In this section, an affine Dynkin diagram $X_N^{(r)}$ of type ADE is fixed.

2.1. The principal automorphisms. Consider a lattice $L = \mathbb{Z}^N$ with a symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle = {}^t \mathbf{x} X_N \mathbf{y}$. Then, the standard basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$ of L , which we write as $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$, is a set of simple roots for the set of roots $\Phi = \{\beta \in L \mid \langle \beta, \beta \rangle = 2\}$. The twisted Coxeter automorphism $\nu : L \rightarrow L$ is defined by $\nu = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_j} \sigma'$, where

- (1) $\sigma_i : L \rightarrow L, \mathbf{x} \mapsto \mathbf{x} - \langle \mathbf{x}, \beta_i \rangle \beta_i$ is a reflection,
- (2) $\sigma' : L \rightarrow L, \beta_i \mapsto \beta_{\sigma(i)}$ is the Dynkin diagram automorphism of order r induced from that on indices $\sigma : \{1, \dots, N\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, N\}$, and
- (3) $\{i_1, \dots, i_j\}$ is a set of complete representatives for the orbits of the action of the cyclic group $\{\sigma^s \mid 0 \leq s < r\}$ of order r on the set of indices $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

In the following, we fix an integer m to the order of ν and fix a m -th primitive root of unity ω . As in [17, (5.1)], we define a map $\varepsilon : L \times L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ by

$$\varepsilon(\beta, \beta') = \prod_{p=1}^{m-1} (1 - \omega^{-p})^{\langle \nu^p \beta, \beta' \rangle}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} L$ be a complexification of L and define a complex vector space $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \bigoplus_{\beta \in \Phi} \mathbb{C} x_\beta$, where x_β is a formal symbol attached to a root β . It is known that \mathfrak{g} with brackets determined by

$$[\beta_i, x_\beta] = \langle \beta_i, \beta \rangle x_\beta = -[x_\beta, \beta_i], \quad [x_\beta, x_{\beta'}] = \begin{cases} \varepsilon(-\beta, \beta) \beta & (\text{if } \langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = -2) \\ \varepsilon(\beta, \beta') x_{\beta+\beta'} & (\text{if } \langle \beta, \beta' \rangle = -1) \\ 0 & (\text{if } \langle \beta, \beta' \rangle \geq 0), \end{cases}$$

where $\beta, \beta' \in \Phi$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$, is isomorphic to the Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(X_N)$ of type X_N . The form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is extended to \mathfrak{g} by $\langle \beta_i, x_\beta \rangle = 0 = \langle x_\beta, \beta_i \rangle$ and $\langle x_\beta, x_{\beta'} \rangle = \varepsilon(\beta, \beta') \delta_{\beta+\beta', 0}$. The map ν is also extended to \mathfrak{g} by $\nu(x_\beta) = x_{\nu(\beta)}$. Then, ν is a principal automorphism of \mathfrak{g} and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a non-degenerate invariant form which is ν -invariant (see [17, §6, §9]).

2.2. The Lepowsky-Wilson Z -algebras. The ν -twisted affinization $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ given by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_{(i)} \otimes t^i \oplus \mathbb{C} c \oplus \mathbb{C} d,$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_{(i)} = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \nu^i(x) = \omega^i x\}$, with brackets determined by

$$[x \otimes t^i, y \otimes t^j] = [x, y] \otimes t^{i+j} + \frac{i \delta_{i+j, 0}}{m} \langle x, y \rangle c, \quad [d, x \otimes t^i] = t x \otimes t^i,$$

and by the condition that c is central, is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{g}(X_N^{(r)})$ (see [27, Chapter 8] and [17, Proposition 9.4]).

For $k \in \mathbb{C}^\times$, as in [40, §3], let \mathbf{C}_k be the full subcategory of the category of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ -modules whose objects V satisfy the following three conditions.

- (1) c acts as a scalar multiplication by k , i.e., V has level k .
- (2) V has a simultaneous eigenspace decomposition $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}^*} V_\lambda$ with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{g}_{(0)} \otimes 1 \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ (see [17, §9.3]).
- (3) For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, there exists $i_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $V_{z+i} = \{0\}$ for $i > i_0$.

For an object V in \mathbf{C}_k , the Lepowsky-Wilson Z -algebra Z_V (in the principal picture) is defined to be the subalgebra of $\text{End } V$ generated by d and $Z_i(\beta)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta \in \Phi$ [40, p.222], where $\text{pr}_i : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{(i)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a projection and

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta, \zeta) &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Z_i(\beta) \zeta^i = E^-(\beta, \zeta, k) X(\beta, \zeta) E^+(\beta, \zeta, k), \\ X(\beta, \zeta) &= \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\text{pr}_i(x_\beta) \otimes t^i) \zeta^i, \\ E^\pm(\beta, \zeta, r) &= \sum_{\pm i \geq 0} E_i^\pm(\beta) \zeta^i = \exp \left(m \sum_{\pm j > 0} \frac{\text{pr}_j(\beta) \otimes t^j}{rj} \zeta^j \right). \end{aligned}$$

As in [40, Proposition 4.7], the vacuum space Ω_V is defined as

$$\Omega_V = \{v \in V \mid av = 0 \text{ for } a \in \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_+\},$$

where $\hat{\mathfrak{a}} = [\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{a}}] = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_+ \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_- \oplus \mathbb{C}c$ is the principal Heisenberg subalgebra defined by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{a}} = \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_+ \oplus \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_- \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d, \quad \hat{\mathfrak{a}}_\pm = \bigoplus_{\pm i > 0} (\mathfrak{g}_{(i)} \cap \mathfrak{a}) \otimes t^i.$$

For a complex vector space U , let $U\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r\}$ be the complex vector space of formal Laurent series in the variables ζ_1, \dots, ζ_r with coefficients in U , i.e.,

$$U\{\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_r\} = \{ \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_r \in \mathbb{Z}} u_{n_1, \dots, n_r} \zeta_1^{n_1} \cdots \zeta_r^{n_r} \mid u_{n_1, \dots, n_r} \in U \}.$$

The equalities in the following citations are those in $\text{End}(V)\{\zeta_1, \zeta_2\}$ or $\text{End}(V)\{\zeta\}$, where V is a object in \mathbf{C}_k , $\beta, \beta' \in \Phi$ and $r, s \geq 1$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. As usual, the formal delta is defined by $\delta(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \zeta^n$ and let $D = \zeta \cdot d/d\zeta$ so that $D\delta(\zeta) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} n\zeta^n$.

Theorem 2.1 ([40, Theorem 3.10], [17, Theorem 7.3]).

$$\begin{aligned} &F_{\beta, \beta'}(\zeta_1/\zeta_2) Z(\beta, \zeta_1) Z(\beta', \zeta_2) - F_{\beta', \beta}(\zeta_2/\zeta_1) Z(\beta', \zeta_2) Z(\beta, \zeta_1) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{p \in C_{-1}} \varepsilon(\nu^p \beta, \beta') Z(\nu^p \beta + \beta', \zeta_2) \delta(\omega^{-p} \zeta_1/\zeta_2) + \frac{k \langle x_\beta, x_{-\beta} \rangle}{m^2} \sum_{p \in C_{-2}} D\delta(\omega^{-p} \zeta_1/\zeta_2) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_\ell = \{0 \leq p < m \mid \langle \nu^p \beta, \beta' \rangle = \ell\}$ and $F_{\beta, \beta'}(x) = \prod_{p=0}^{m-1} (1 - \omega^{-p} x)^{\langle \nu^p \beta, \beta' \rangle / k}$.

Proposition 2.2 ([40, Proposition 3.4]).

$$E^+(\beta, \zeta_1, r) E^-(\beta', \zeta_2, s) = E^-(\beta', \zeta_2, s) E^+(\beta, \zeta_1, r) F_{\beta, \beta'}(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)^{1/rs}.$$

Proposition 2.3 ([40, Theorem 3.3], [17, Theorem 7.2]). $Z(\nu^p \beta, \zeta) = Z(\beta, \omega^p \beta)$.

Proposition 2.4 ([40, Proposition 3.2.(3)]). $DE^\pm(\beta, \zeta, r) = \frac{m}{r} \beta^\pm(\zeta) E^\pm(\beta, \zeta, r)$, where $\beta^\pm(\zeta) = \sum_{\pm j > 0} (\text{pr}_j(\beta) \otimes t^j) \zeta^j$.

2.3. The basic representations. As shown in [17, Theorem 9.7] (see also [19, 38, 49]), giving (an isomorphism class of) a basic representation of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the same as giving a ν -invariant group homomorphism $\rho : L \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ (i.e., $\rho(\nu(\beta)) = \rho(\beta)$ for $\beta \in L$). The corresponding basic representation $V^{(\rho)}$ is given by the underlying $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}$ -module $V = \text{Ind}_{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}c \oplus \mathbb{C}d}^{\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}} \mathbb{C} (\cong U(\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_-))$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{a}}_+ \oplus \mathbb{C}d$ (resp. c) acts trivially (resp. as the identity) on \mathbb{C} and the action by

$$X(\beta, \zeta) = \frac{\rho(\beta)}{m} E^-(-\beta, \zeta, 1) E^+(-\beta, \zeta, 1). \quad (1)$$

3. THE (PARTIAL) GENERALIZED (ANTI)COMMUTATION RELATIONS

3.1. The affine type $D_4^{(3)}$. We specialize the general theory reviewed in §2 to $D_4^{(3)}$ (see Figure 1). Our convection of the Dynkin diagram automorphism σ is given by $\sigma(1) = 3, \sigma(3) = 4, \sigma(4) = 1, \sigma(2) = 2$ and that of the twisted Coxeter automorphism is given by $\nu = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma'$.

Then, the order m of ν is 12 and the set of roots $\{\beta_j^{(i)} \mid i = 1, 2 \text{ and } 0 \leq j < m\}$, where $\beta_j^{(i)} = \nu^j(\beta_i)$ is given by $\nu^6 = -\text{id}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1^{(1)} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3, & \beta_2^{(1)} &= \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4, & \beta_3^{(1)} &= \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4, \\ \beta_4^{(1)} &= \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \beta_4, & \beta_5^{(1)} &= \beta_2 + \beta_4, & \beta_1^{(2)} &= -\beta_1 - \beta_2, \\ \beta_2^{(2)} &= -\beta_3, & \beta_5^{(2)} &= -\beta_4, & \beta_3^{(2)} &= -\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_4, & \beta_4^{(2)} &= -\beta_2 - \beta_3. \end{aligned}$$

3.2. Summary. Recall $m = 12$. As in §2, ω is a fixed primitive m -th root of unity, namely a solution of the m -th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_m(x) = x^4 - x^2 + 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \text{S} &= 4 + 4\omega + 2\omega^3, & \text{T} &= -24 - 28\omega + 14\omega^3, & \text{U} &= 42 + 48\omega - 24\omega^3, \\ \text{M} &= -6 - 8\omega + 4\omega^3, & \text{N} &= 14 + 16\omega - 8\omega^3, & \text{P} &= 4 - 8\omega^2 - 6\omega^3, & \text{Q} &= 2 - 4\omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.1. For integers a_0, \dots, a_5 , we define a formal power series

$$H_{a_0, \dots, a_5}(x) = \prod_{p=0}^5 (1 - \omega^{-p}x)^{a_p/3} (1 + \omega^{-p}x)^{-a_p/3}.$$

We also define $G_i(x) = \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(i)} x^p$ for $1 \leq i \leq 6$ by

$$\begin{aligned} G_1 &= H_{2,1,1,0,-1,-1}, & G_2 &= H_{-1,1,1,0,-1,-1}, & G_3 &= H_{-1,1,-2,0,2,-1}, \\ G_4 &= H_{2,-2,-2,0,2,2}, & G_5 &= H_{2,-2,1,0,-1,2}, & G_6 &= G_4 - \frac{\text{P}}{\text{Q}} G_5. \end{aligned}$$

The four relations in Theorem 3.2 will be proved in this order in §3.4, §3.5, §3.6, §3.7 after a preparation in §3.3.

Theorem 3.2. Let $W = (V^{(\rho)})^{\otimes 3}$ be a triple tensor product of the basic representation $V^{(\rho)}$ of type $D_4^{(3)}$, where $\rho : \mathbb{Z}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the trivial group homomorphism.

Put $Z_i = Z_i(\beta_1)$ and $Z'_i = Z_i(\beta_2)$. In the Z -algebra Z_W , for $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(1)} (Z_{A-p} Z_{B+p} - Z_{B-p} Z_{A+p}) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon(\nu^4 \beta_1, \beta_1)}{m} (\omega^{-2A+2B} - \omega^{2A-2B}) Z_{A+B} + \frac{\varepsilon(\nu^5 \beta_1, \beta_1)}{m} (\omega^{4A+9B} - \omega^{9A+4B}) Z'_{A+B} + \frac{3\varepsilon(\beta_1, -\beta_1)}{m^2} \delta_{A+B,0} A (-1)^A, \\ & \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(2)} (Z_{A-p} Z_{B+p} + Z_{B-p} Z_{A+p}) \\ &= \frac{S}{m} (\omega^{-2A+2B} + \omega^{2A-2B}) Z_{A+B} + \frac{T}{m} (\omega^{4A+9B} + \omega^{9A+4B}) Z'_{A+B} + \frac{3U}{m^2} \delta_{A+B,0} (-1)^A + \frac{4}{m} (-1)^{A+B} Z_{A+B}. \end{aligned}$$

If $A + B$ is not divisible by 3, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(3)} (Z_{A-p} Z_{B+p} + Z_{B-p} Z_{A+p}) = \frac{M}{m} (\omega^{4A+9B} + \omega^{9A+4B}) Z'_{A+B} + \frac{3N}{m^2} \delta_{A+B,0} (-1)^A + \frac{12}{m} (-1)^{A+B} Z_{A+B}, \\ & \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(6)} (Z_{A-p} Z_{B+p} - Z_{B-p} Z_{A+p}) = \frac{P}{m} (\omega^{-2A+2B} - \omega^{2A-2B}) Z_{A+B} + \frac{12}{m^2} (1 - \frac{3P}{Q}) \delta_{A+B,0} (-1)^A A. \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Auxiliary Fourier expansions. Let $\bar{\cdot} : \mathbb{C}\{x\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{x\}$ be a \mathbb{C} -linear map defined by $\overline{\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} c_p x^p} = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} c_p x^{-p}$, which is also a \mathbb{C} -algebra homomorphism when restricted to $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ (or $\mathbb{C}[[x^{-1}]]$). The following results are elementary complex analysis exercises and we omit the detail (see also [58, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.5]).

Proposition 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2 G_2 + \overline{G_1^2 G_2} &= S(\delta(\omega^4 x) + \delta(\omega^{-4} x)) + T(\delta(\omega^5 x) + \delta(\omega^{-5} x)) + U \delta(-x), \\ G_1^{-1} G_2 + \overline{G_1^{-1} G_2} &= 2\delta(x), \\ G_1^2 G_3 + \overline{G_1^2 G_3} &= M(\delta(\omega^5 x) + \delta(\omega^{-5} x)) + N \delta(-x), \\ G_1^{-1} G_3 + \overline{G_1^{-1} G_3} &= 6\delta(x) - 2(\delta(\omega^2 x) + \delta(\omega^{-2} x)), \\ G_1^2 G_4 - \overline{G_1^2 G_4} &= 4D\delta(-x), \\ G_1^{-1} G_4 - \overline{G_1^{-1} G_4} &= P(\delta(\omega x) - \frac{1}{3}\delta(\omega^2 x) + \frac{1}{3}\delta(\omega^{-2} x) - \delta(\omega^{-1} x)), \\ G_1^2 G_5 - \overline{G_1^2 G_5} &= 12D\delta(-x) + Q(\delta(\omega^4 x) - \delta(\omega^{-4} x)), \\ G_1^{-1} G_5 - \overline{G_1^{-1} G_5} &= Q(\delta(\omega x) - \delta(\omega^{-1} x)). \end{aligned}$$

3.4. A proof of the generalized commutation relation. Apply Theorem 2.1 for $k = 3$ and $\beta = \beta' = \beta_1$ by using $F_{\beta, \beta'} = G_1$ and $C_{-1} = \{4, 5, 7, 8\}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \nu^{\pm 4}(\beta_1) + \beta_1 &= \nu^{\pm 2}(\beta_1), \quad \nu^5(\beta_1) + \beta_1 = \nu^9(\beta_2), \quad \nu^7(\beta_1) + \beta_1 = \nu^4(\beta_2), \\ \varepsilon(\nu^4 \beta_1, \beta_1) &= P = -\varepsilon(\nu^{-4} \beta_1, \beta_1), \quad \varepsilon(\nu^5 \beta_1, \beta_1) = -52 + 104\omega^2 + 90\omega^3 = -\varepsilon(\nu^7 \beta_1, \beta_1). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients of $\zeta_1^A \zeta_2^B$ of the identity in Theorem 2.1 using Proposition 2.3, we get the result.

3.5. A proof of the generalized anticommutation relation. As in [40, Lemma 9.1], we have $Z(\beta, \zeta) = Z^{(1)}(\beta, \zeta) + Z^{(2)}(\beta, \zeta) + Z^{(3)}(\beta, \zeta)$, where, for $1 \leq j \leq 3$, $mZ^{(j)}(\beta, \zeta)$ is the tensor product of three factors, the i ($\neq j$)-th (resp. j -th)

tensorand being (see also [58, (3.6), (3.7), (3.8)] and (1))

$$E^-(\beta, \zeta, 3)E^+(\beta, \zeta, 3) \quad (\text{resp. } E^-(\beta, \zeta, 3)^{-2}E^+(\beta, \zeta, 3)^{-2}).$$

Recall $F_{\beta_1, \beta_1} = G_1$ as in §3.4, where $F_{\beta, \beta'}$ is defined as in Theorem 2.1 for $k = 3$. By Proposition 2.2 together with

$$\frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot 2 + \frac{-2}{3} \cdot \frac{-2}{3} = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{-2}{3} + \frac{-2}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{1}{3} = -\frac{1}{3},$$

we see the following two results.

First, as in [58, (3.26)], we have $Z^{(j)}(\beta_1, \zeta_1)Z^{(j)}(\beta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{1}{m^2}F_{\beta_1, \beta_1}(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)^2 \mathbf{l}^{(j)}$, where $\mathbf{l}^{(j)}$ is the tensor product of three factors, the i -th tensorand being

$$E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3)^{1-3\delta_{ij}} E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_2, 3)^{1-3\delta_{ij}} E^+(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3)^{1-3\delta_{ij}} E^+(\beta_2, \zeta_2, 3)^{1-3\delta_{ij}}.$$

Here $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta.

Second, for $1 \leq s \neq t \leq 3$, take a unique $1 \leq u \leq 3$ such that $\{s, t, u\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then, as in [58, (3.34)], we have $Z^{(s)}(\beta_1, \zeta_1)Z^{(t)}(\beta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{1}{m^2}F_{\beta_1, \beta_1}(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)^{-1} \mathbf{J}^{(s,t)}$, where $\mathbf{J}^{(s,t)}$ is the tensor product of three factors, the s -th tensorand being

$$E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3)^{-2} E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_2, 3) E^+(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3)^{-2} E^+(\beta_2, \zeta_2, 3),$$

the t -th tensorand being

$$E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3) E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_2, 3)^{-2} E^+(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3) E^+(\beta_2, \zeta_2, 3)^{-2},$$

and the u -th tensorand being

$$E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3) E^-(\beta_1, \zeta_2, 3) E^+(\beta_1, \zeta_1, 3) E^+(\beta_2, \zeta_2, 3).$$

Let $\mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l}^{(1)} + \mathbf{l}^{(2)} + \mathbf{l}^{(3)}$ and $\mathbf{J} = \sum_{1 \leq s \neq t \leq 3} \mathbf{J}^{(s,t)}$. By Proposition 3.3 and in virtue of “the residue theorem” [40, Proposition 3.9.(2)], we see as in [58, (3.26), (3.34)]

$$\begin{aligned} & G_2(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2) + G_2(\zeta_2/\zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{S}}{m^2}(\delta(\omega^4 \zeta_1/\zeta_2) \mathbf{l}(\omega^{-4} \zeta_2, \zeta_2) + \delta(\omega^{-4} \zeta_1/\zeta_2) \mathbf{l}(\omega^4 \zeta_2, \zeta_2)) + \frac{\mathbf{U}}{m^2} \delta(-\zeta_1/\zeta_2) \mathbf{l}(-\zeta_2, \zeta_2) \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{T}}{m^2}(\delta(\omega^5 \zeta_1/\zeta_2) \mathbf{l}(\omega^{-5} \zeta_2, \zeta_2) + \delta(\omega^{-5} \zeta_1/\zeta_2) \mathbf{l}(\omega^5 \zeta_2, \zeta_2)) + \frac{2}{m^2} \delta(\zeta_1/\zeta_2) \mathbf{J}(\zeta_2, \zeta_2). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $\mathbf{l}^{(j)}(-\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = 1$ (see also [58, (3.29)]) and $\mathbf{J}^{(s,t)}(\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = mZ^{(u)}(-\beta_1, \zeta_2)$ (see also [58, (3.36)]). It is also easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{l}^{(j)}(\omega^{-4} \zeta_2, \zeta_2) &= mZ^{(j)}(\nu^{-2}(\beta_1), \zeta_2), & \mathbf{l}^{(j)}(\omega^4 \zeta_2, \zeta_2) &= mZ^{(j)}(\nu^2(\beta_1), \zeta_2), \\ \mathbf{l}^{(j)}(\omega^{-5} \zeta_2, \zeta_2) &= mZ^{(j)}(\nu^4(\beta_2), \zeta_2), & \mathbf{l}^{(j)}(\omega^5 \zeta_2, \zeta_2) &= mZ^{(j)}(\nu^9(\beta_2), \zeta_2), \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 2.3 and $\nu^{\mp 4}(\beta_1) + \beta_1 = \nu^{\mp 2}(\beta_1)$, $\nu^{-5}(\beta_1) + \beta_1 = \nu^4(\beta_2)$, $\nu^5(\beta_1) + \beta_1 = \nu^9(\beta_2)$ (see also [58, (3.27), (3.28)] and §3.1). Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_2(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2) + G_2(\zeta_2/\zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1) \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{S}}{m} \delta(\omega^{-4} \zeta_1/\zeta_2) Z(\nu^2 \beta_1, \zeta_2) + \frac{\mathbf{S}}{m} \delta(\omega^4 \zeta_1/\zeta_2) Z(\nu^{-2} \beta_1, \zeta_2) + \frac{3\mathbf{U}}{m^2} \delta(-\zeta_1/\zeta_2) \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{T}}{m} \delta(\omega^{-5} \zeta_1/\zeta_2) Z(\nu^9 \beta_2, \zeta_2) + \frac{\mathbf{T}}{m} \delta(\omega^5 \zeta_1/\zeta_2) Z(\nu^4 \beta_2, \zeta_2) + \frac{4}{m} \delta(\zeta_1/\zeta_2) Z(-\beta_1, \zeta_2). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients of $\zeta_1^A \zeta_2^B$ as in §3.4, we get the result.

3.6. A proof of the partial generalized anticommutation relation. As in §3.5, $G_3(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2) + G_3(\zeta_2/\zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1)$ is expanded as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{3N}{m^2}\delta(-\zeta_1/\zeta_2) + \frac{M}{m}(\delta(\omega^{-5}\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\nu^9\beta_2, \zeta_2) + \delta(\omega^5\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\nu^4\beta_2, \zeta_2)) \\ & + \frac{12}{m}\delta(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(-\beta_1, \zeta_2) - \frac{2}{m^2}(\delta(\omega^2\zeta_1/\zeta_2)J(\omega^{-2}\zeta_2, \zeta_2) + \delta(\omega^{-2}\zeta_1/\zeta_2)J(\omega^2\zeta_2, \zeta_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Let $J(\omega^{-2}\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} K_i \zeta_2^i$. To complete the proof, it is enough to show $K_i = 0$ when $i \notin 3\mathbb{Z}$ and the same statement holds for $J(\omega^2\zeta_2, \zeta_2)$. The argument is the same as [58, Corollary 3.9] and duplicate it below for completeness.

By direct calculation, we see that $K^{(s,t)}(\zeta_2) := J^{(s,t)}(\omega^{-2}\zeta_2, \zeta_2)$ is the tensor product of three factors, the v -th tensorand being $E^-(\gamma_v, \zeta_2, 3)E^+(\gamma_v, \zeta_2, 3)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_s &= -2\nu^{-2}(\beta_1) + \beta_1 = \beta_1 + 2\beta_2 + 2\beta_3 + 2\beta_4, \\ \gamma_t &= \nu^{-2}(\beta_1) - 2\beta_1 = -2\beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3 - \beta_4, \\ \gamma_u &= \nu^{-2}(\beta_1) + \beta_1 = \beta_1 - \beta_2 - \beta_3 - \beta_4 \end{aligned}$$

for $v = s, t, u$. Note that $\gamma_t = \nu^4(\gamma_s)$ and $\gamma_u = \nu^{-4}(\gamma_s)$. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K^{(3,1)}(\zeta_2) &= K^{(1,2)}(\omega^4\zeta_2), & K^{(2,3)}(\zeta_2) &= K^{(1,2)}(\omega^{-4}\zeta_2), \\ K^{(3,2)}(\zeta_2) &= K^{(2,1)}(\omega^4\zeta_2), & K^{(1,3)}(\zeta_2) &= K^{(2,1)}(\omega^{-4}\zeta_2), \end{aligned}$$

and $J(\omega^{-2}\zeta_2, \zeta_2) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + \omega^{4i} + \omega^{-4i})(K_i^{(1,2)} + K_i^{(2,1)})\zeta_2^i$, which shows $K_i = 0$ when $i \notin 3\mathbb{Z}$.

3.7. A proof of the partial generalized commutation relation. We note $D\delta(-\zeta_1/\zeta_2)|^{(j)} = D\delta(-\zeta_1/\zeta_2)$ by the same argument as [58, Proposition 3.10] in virtue of “the residue theorem” [40, Proposition 3.9.(3)], Proposition 2.4 and $\frac{-2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 0$. Thus, as in §3.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & G_6(\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2) - G_6(\zeta_2/\zeta_1)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_2)Z(\beta_1, \zeta_1) \\ &= \frac{12}{m^2}\left(1 - \frac{3P}{Q}\right)D\delta(-\zeta_1/\zeta_2) + \frac{P}{m}(\delta(\omega^{-4}\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\nu^2\beta_1, \zeta_2) - \delta(\omega^4\zeta_1/\zeta_2)Z(\nu^{-2}\beta_1, \zeta_2)) \\ &+ \frac{P}{3m^2}(\delta(\omega^2\zeta_1/\zeta_2)J(\omega^{-2}\zeta_2, \zeta_2) - \delta(\omega^{-2}\zeta_1/\zeta_2)J(\omega^2\zeta_2, \zeta_2)), \end{aligned}$$

because by Proposition 3.3 we know

$$\begin{aligned} G_1^2G_6 - \overline{G_1^2G_6} &= 4\left(1 - \frac{3P}{Q}\right)D\delta(-x) - P(\delta(\omega^4x) - \delta(\omega^{-4}x)), \\ G_1^{-1}G_6 - \overline{G_1^{-1}G_6} &= \frac{P}{3}(\delta(\omega^2x) - \delta(\omega^{-2}x)). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the coefficients of $\zeta_1^A \zeta_2^B$ as in §3.6, we get the result.

4. A PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Recall $\Lambda^{(a)}$ and $w_0^{(a)}$ for $1 \leq a \leq 3$ in Theorem 1.3 and let $V = V(\Lambda^{(a)})$.

4.1. An elimination of Z'_i . In virtue of [40, Theorem 7.1], we have $\Omega_V = Z_V w_0^{(a)}$. By substituting $A = B$ or $A = B + 1$ of the second equality in Theorem 3.2 (i.e., the generalized anticommutation relation proved in §3.5), we see that $\Omega_V = Z_V^{(1)} w_0^{(a)}$ holds, where $Z_V^{(1)}$ is a subalgebra of Z_V generated by Z_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ (and d).

4.2. A Synopsis.

Definition 4.1 ([40, §6], [58, §4]). For $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$ and $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell'}$, where ℓ and ℓ' are non-negative integers, we say that \mathbf{i} is higher than \mathbf{j} (denoted by $\mathbf{i} > \mathbf{j}$) if $\ell < \ell'$ or

$$\ell = \ell', \mathbf{i} \neq \mathbf{j} \text{ and } i_p + \dots + i_\ell \geq j_p + \dots + j_\ell \text{ for } 1 \leq p \leq \ell.$$

For $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{\ell'}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\ell'}$, we define $T(\mathbf{j})$ (resp. $T^=(\mathbf{j})$), which is also denoted by $T(j_1, \dots, j_{\ell'})$ (resp. $T^=(j_1, \dots, j_{\ell'})$), to be a vector space spanned by (in the sense of [40, §4, §6]) all $Z_{i_1} \cdots Z_{i_\ell}$ with $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) > \mathbf{j}$ (resp. $(i_1, \dots, i_\ell) \geq \mathbf{j}$).

To prove Theorem 1.3, it is enough to show the following seven statements.

- (F1) For $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $A > B$, we have $Z_A Z_B \in T(A, B)$.
- (F2) For $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2A \notin 3\mathbb{Z}$, $Z_A Z_A \in T(A, A)$.
- (F3) For $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2A + 1 \notin 3\mathbb{Z}$, $Z_A Z_{A+1} \in T(A, A + 1)$.
- (F4) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $Z_{3i} Z_{3i} Z_{3i} \in T(3i, 3i, 3i)$.
- (F5) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $Z_{3i} Z_{3i} Z_{3i+2} \in T(3i, 3i, 3i + 2)$.
- (F6) For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $Z_{3i-2} Z_{3i} Z_{3i} \in T(3i - 2, 3i, 3i)$.
- (I) We have $Z_{-1} w_0^{(2)} = 0$, $Z_{-1} w_0^{(3)} = 0$ and $Z_{-2} w_0^{(3)} = 0$.

The statement (Fa) (resp. (I)) is proved in §4.a + 3 (resp. §4.10) for $1 \leq a \leq 6$.

4.3. Convention. In the following, for $1 \leq a \leq 4$, we denote by $\theta_{A,B}^{(a)}$ the left hand side minus the right hand side of the a -th equation in Theorem 1.3.

In the calculation, we use the following explicit values and $c_0^{(p)} = 1$ for $1 \leq p \leq 5$.

$$\begin{aligned} T &= -24 - 28\omega + 14\omega^3, & M &= -6 - 8\omega + 4\omega^3, & P &= 4 - 8\omega^2 - 6\omega^3, \\ Q &= 2 - 4\omega^2, & \varepsilon(\nu^5 \alpha, \alpha) &= -52 + 104\omega^2 + 90\omega^3, & c_0^{(6)} &= -1 - 2\omega - \omega^3, \\ c_1^{(1)} &= \frac{-6 - 4\omega + 2\omega^3}{3}, & c_1^{(2)} &= \frac{-4\omega + 2\omega^3}{3}, & c_1^{(3)} &= \frac{6 - 4\omega + 2\omega^3}{3}, \\ c_1^{(4)} &= \frac{8\omega - 4\omega^3}{3}, & c_1^{(5)} &= \frac{-6 + 8\omega - 4\omega^3}{3}, & c_1^{(6)} &= \frac{4\omega - 2\omega^3}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

4.4. A proof of (F1). For $A > B$, we define

$$\Delta_{A,B} = \theta_{A,B}^{(1)} T(\omega^{4A+9B} + \omega^{9A+4B}) - \theta_{A,B}^{(2)} \varepsilon(\nu^5 \beta_1, \beta_1)(\omega^{4A+9B} - \omega^{9A+4B}).$$

The coefficient $d_{A,B}$ of $Z_A Z_B$ in $\Delta_{A,B}$ is given by

$$d_{A,B} = c_0^{(1)} T \cdot (\omega^{4A+9B} + \omega^{9A+4B}) - c_0^{(2)} \varepsilon(\nu^5 \beta_1, \beta_1) \cdot (\omega^{4A+9B} - \omega^{9A+4B}).$$

One can check by case by case substitution that it is nonzero if $A+5 \not\equiv B \pmod{12}$.

In the case $A+5 \equiv B \pmod{12}$, we consider $-\theta_{A+1,B-1}^{(1)} + \theta_{A+1,B-1}^{(2)}$. Then, the coefficient of $Z_A Z_B$ (resp. $Z_{A+1} Z_{B-1}$) is $c_1^{(2)} - c_1^{(1)} = 2(\neq 0)$ (resp. $c_0^{(2)} - c_0^{(1)} = 0$) and that of Z'_{A+B} is 0 because the following two values are equal.

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\nu^5 \beta_1, \beta_1)(\omega^{4A+9B} - \omega^{9A+4B}) &= \omega^{A+8}(-52 + 104\omega^2 + 90\omega^3)(\omega - 1), \\ T(\omega^{4A+9B} + \omega^{9A+4B}) &= \omega^{A+8}(-24 - 28\omega + 14\omega^3)(\omega + 1). \end{aligned}$$

4.5. A proof of (F2). Consider $\theta_{A,A}^{(2)} - \frac{T}{M}\theta_{A,A}^{(3)}$. The coefficient of $Z_A Z_A$ is $2(-1 - 2\omega + \omega^3)$, which is non-zero.

4.6. **A proof of (F3).** Consider $c_0^{(6)}(\theta_{A,A+1}^{(2)} - \frac{T}{M}\theta_{A,A+1}^{(3)}) + (1 - \frac{T}{M})\theta_{A,A+1}^{(4)}$. The coefficient of $Z_A Z_{A+1}$ is non-zero because of

$$c_0^{(6)}\left((c_0^{(2)} + c_1^{(2)}) - \frac{T}{M}(c_0^{(3)} + c_1^{(3)})\right) + \left(1 - \frac{T}{M}\right)(c_0^{(6)} - c_1^{(6)}) = 8(2 + 2\omega - \omega^3).$$

4.7. **A proof of (F4).** Recall §4.4 and §4.6. We define

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta'_{A,B} &= \Delta_{A,B}/d_{A,B} = \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(A,B)} Z_{A-p} Z_{B+p} + d^{(A,B)} Z_{A+B} + e^{(A,B)}, \\ \Delta'_{C,C+1} &= \left(c_0^{(6)}\left(\theta_{C,C+1}^{(2)} - \frac{T}{M}\theta_{C,C+1}^{(3)}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{T}{M}\right)\theta_{C,C+1}^{(4)}\right) / (8(2 + 2\omega - \omega^3)) \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(C,C+1)} Z_{C-p} Z_{C+1+p} + d^{(C,C+1)} Z_{2C+1} + e^{(C,C+1)}\end{aligned}$$

for $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $A > B$ and $A + 5 \not\equiv B \pmod{12}$, $2C + 1 \notin 3\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $c_0^{(A,B)} = c_0^{(C,C+1)} = 1$ and $c_p^{(C,C+1)}$ does not depend on C .

Consider $\Delta'_{3i,3i+1} Z_{3i-1} - Z_{3i} \Delta'_{3i+1,3i-1}$, which is 0, and has the expansion

$$\sum_{p \geq 1} c_p^{(3i,3i+1)} Z_{3i-p} Z_{3i+1+p} Z_{3i-1} - \sum_{r \geq 1} c_r^{(3i+1,3i-1)} Z_{3i} Z_{3i+1-r} Z_{3i-1+r}.$$

modulo $T(3i, 3i, 3i)$. For $p \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$, by §4.4 we have

$$Z_{3i+1+p} Z_{3i-1} \in T(3i + p, 3i), \quad Z_{3i+1-r} Z_{3i-1+r} \in T(3i, 3i),$$

which imply

$$Z_{3i-p} Z_{3i+1+p} Z_{3i-1}, Z_{3i} Z_{3i+1-r} Z_{3i-1+r} \in T(3i, 3i, 3i).$$

Thus, we have $-c_1^{(3i+1,3i-1)} Z_{3i} Z_{3i} Z_{3i} \in T(3i, 3i, 3i)$ and

$$c_1^{(3i+1,3i-1)} = \frac{c_1^{(1)} T(1 + \omega^{10}) - c_1^{(2)} \varepsilon(\nu^5 \beta_1, \beta_1)(1 - \omega^{10})}{T(1 + \omega^{10}) - \varepsilon(\nu^5 \beta_1, \beta_1)(1 - \omega^{10})} = \frac{\omega(2 - \omega^2)}{3}$$

4.8. **A proof of (F5).** Recall §4.5 and define

$$\Delta'_{A,A} = \left(\theta_{A,A}^{(2)} - \frac{T}{M}\theta_{A,A}^{(3)}\right) / (2(-1 - 2\omega + \omega^3)) = \sum_{p \geq 0} c_p^{(A,A)} Z_{A-p} Z_{A+p} + d^{(A,A)} Z_{2A} + e^{(A,A)}$$

for $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2A \notin 3\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $c_0^{(A,A)} = 1$ and $c_p^{(A,A)}$ does not depend on A . By a similar argument as in §4.7 applied to $\Delta'_{3i,3i+1} Z_{3i+1} - Z_{3i} \Delta'_{3i+1,3i+1}$, we have $-c_1^{(3i+1,3i+1)} Z_{3i} Z_{3i} Z_{3i+2} \in T(3i, 3i, 3i + 2)$ and

$$c_1^{(3i+1,3i+1)} = \frac{2(c_1^{(2)} - \frac{T}{M}c_1^{(3)})}{2(-1 - 2\omega + \omega^3)} = \frac{3 + 2\omega - \omega^3}{3}.$$

4.9. **A proof of (F6).** Apply a similar argument as in §4.8 to $\Delta'_{3i-1,3i-1} Z_{3i} - Z_{3i-2} \Delta'_{3i,3i}$, we have $c_1^{(3i-1,3i-1)} Z_{3i-2} Z_{3i} Z_{3i} \in T(3i - 2, 3i, 3i)$.

4.10. **A proof of (I).** One can easily check that in $W = (V^{(\rho)})^{\otimes 3}$ each of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_2 &= 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1, \\ \mathbf{u}_1 &= B(-1) \otimes 1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes B(-1) \otimes 1, \\ \mathbf{u}_3 &= B(-1) \otimes B(-1)B(-1) \otimes 1 - B(-1)B(-1) \otimes B(-1) \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + B(-1)B(-1) \otimes 1 \otimes B(-1) - B(-1) \otimes 1 \otimes B(-1)B(-1) \\ &\quad + 1 \otimes B(-1) \otimes B(-1)B(-1) - 1 \otimes B(-1)B(-1) \otimes B(-1) \end{aligned}$$

is a highest weight vector of weight $3\Lambda_0, 3\Lambda_0 - \alpha_0 (= \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1 - \delta)$ and $3\Lambda_0 - 2\alpha_0 - \alpha_1 (= \Lambda_2 - 2\delta)$ respectively where $B(-1) = \text{pr}_{-1}(\beta_1) \otimes t^{-1}$ (see §2.2, §2.3 and Theorem 3.2). By direct calculation, we have $Z_{-1}\mathbf{u}_2 = 0$, $Z_{-1}\mathbf{u}_3 = 0$ and $Z_{-2}\mathbf{u}_3 = 0$. Note that these are forced by the values of principal characters of $V(\Lambda^{(2)})$ and $V(\Lambda^{(3)})$.

Remark 4.2. For any non-zero $(a_1, a_2, a_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3$ with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$, one can take a vector $a_1(B(-1) \otimes 1 \otimes 1) + a_2(1 \otimes B(-1) \otimes 1) + a_3(1 \otimes 1 \otimes B(-1))$ as \mathbf{u}_1 .

5. AUTOMATIC DERIVATIONS VIA THE REGULARLY LINKED SETS

Recall Theorem 1.4. A main purpose of this section is to give an automatic derivation of the generating function $f_{L_a}(x, q)$, where we write $f_{\mathcal{C}}(x, q) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}} x^{\ell(\lambda)} q^{|\lambda|}$ for $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \text{Par}$, by the regularly linked sets [56], which generalize Andrews' linked partition ideals [1, 2] by finite automata in formal language theory [30, 51].

5.1. **A survey of [56] for modulus 1.** As in [56, §3], for a non-empty set Σ , let Σ^* be the set of words $w_1 \cdots w_\ell$ of finite length of Σ . By the word concatenation \cdot and the empty word ε , the set Σ^* is regarded as a free monoid generated by Σ . For $A, B \subseteq \Sigma^*$, we define the sum, the product and the Kleene star by

$$A + B = A \cup B, \quad AB = \{ww' \mid w \in A, w' \in B\}, \quad A^* = \{\varepsilon\} + A + A^2 + \cdots.$$

Definition 5.1. For a positive integer m and finite subsets $F, I \subseteq \text{Par} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, we define a subset \mathcal{C} of Par which consists of partitions λ such that

- (1) $m_j(\lambda) < m$ for $j \geq 1$,
- (2) λ does not begin with \mathbf{c} for $\mathbf{c} \in I$, and
- (3) λ does not match $(b_1 + k, \dots, b_p + k)$ for $(b_1, \dots, b_p) \in F$ and $k \geq 0$.

Recall that as in [56, Definition 1.1], we say that a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell)$ begins with (resp. matches) (d_1, \dots, d_r) if $\ell \geq r$ and $(\lambda_{\ell-r+1}, \dots, \lambda_\ell) = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ (resp. $(\lambda_{i+1}, \dots, \lambda_{i+r}) = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ for some $0 \leq i \leq \ell - r$).

Definition 5.2. For a non-empty partition λ and $m \geq 1$, let $\text{sat}_m(\lambda)$ be a finite subset of Par which consists of partitions μ such that $\mu_1 = \lambda_1$, $m_j(\mu) < m$ for $j \geq 1$ and μ matches λ .

Example 5.3. $\text{sat}_2(\lambda)$ for $\lambda = (5, 3, 2)$ consists of the following partitions.

$$\begin{aligned} &(5, 5, 3, 2, 2), (5, 3, 2, 2), (5, 5, 3, 2), (5, 3, 2), (5, 5, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1), (5, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1), \\ &(5, 5, 3, 2, 1, 1), (5, 3, 2, 1, 1), (5, 5, 3, 2, 2, 1), (5, 3, 2, 2, 1), (5, 5, 3, 2, 1), (5, 3, 2, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Let $K = \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ and define a map $\pi : K \rightarrow \text{Par}$ by $\pi(i) = \underbrace{(1, \dots, 1)}_i$ for

$i \in K$. As in [56, Definition 2.5], we have an injection

$$\pi^\bullet : \text{Seq}(K, \pi) \hookrightarrow \text{Par},$$

where $\text{Seq}(\mathbb{K}, \pi)$ is the set of infinite sequences $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots)$ in \mathbb{K} (i.e., $i_j \in \mathbb{K}$ for $j \geq 1$) such that $i_j \neq 0$ holds only for finitely many j and $\mu = \pi^\bullet(\mathbf{i})$ is the partition characterized by $m_j(\mu) = j$ for $j \geq 1$.

By construction, π^\bullet gives a bijection to \mathbb{C} when restricted to

$$\{(i_1, i_2, \dots) \in \text{Seq}(\mathbb{K}, \pi) \mid (i_1, \dots, i_j) \notin \mathbb{K}^* \cdot \iota(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}) \cdot \mathbb{K}^* + \iota(\tilde{\mathbb{I}}) \cdot \mathbb{K}^* \text{ for } j \geq 1\}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbb{F}} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}} \text{sat}_m(\lambda), \quad \tilde{\mathbb{I}} = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{I}} \text{sat}_m(\lambda), \quad \iota(\mu) = m_1(\mu) \cdots m_{\mu_1}(\mu) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mu_1} (\subseteq \mathbb{K}^*)$$

(i.e., $\iota(\mu) = (m_1(\mu), \dots, m_{\mu_1}(\mu)) \in \mathbb{K}^{\mu_1}$) for a non-empty partition μ .

Remark 5.4. *By the notation in [56, Definition 3.8], the set (2) is written as $\text{avoid}(\text{Seq}(\mathbb{K}, \pi), \iota(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}), \iota(\tilde{\mathbb{I}}))$. Note that $\mathbb{F} = \emptyset$ (resp. $\mathbb{I} = \emptyset$) is allowed while we exclude the case that the empty partition \emptyset belongs to \mathbb{F} (resp. \mathbb{I}) to satisfy the condition “ $X, X' \subseteq \Sigma^+$ ” in [56, Definition 3.7], where $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$. We also note that the condition is for simplicity and is not essential.*

Let $\mathcal{M} = (Q, \mathbb{K}, \delta, s, F)$ be a deterministic finite automaton (DFA, for short) such that (see [56, Definition 3.1], [56, Definition 3.2] and [56, Appendix A])

$$L(\mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{K}^* \cdot \iota(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}) \cdot \mathbb{K}^* + \iota(\tilde{\mathbb{I}}) \cdot \mathbb{K}^*. \quad (3)$$

Then, [56, Theorem 3.14] gives a simultaneous q -difference equation

$$f_{\mathbb{C}^{(v)}}(x, q) = \sum_{u \in Q \setminus F} \left(\sum_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{K} \\ u = \delta(v, a)}} x^{\ell(\pi(a))} q^{|\pi(a)|} \right) f_{\mathbb{C}^{(u)}}(xq, q),$$

where (see [56, Definition 3.11] and [56, (3.7)]) $M_v = (Q, \mathbb{K}, \delta, v, F)$ and

$$\mathbb{C}^{(v)} = \pi^\bullet(\{(i_1, i_2, \dots) \mid (i_1, \dots, i_j) \notin L(M_v) \text{ for } j \geq 1\}).$$

Because of $\mathbb{C}^{(s)} = \mathbb{C}$, it gives a q -difference equation for $f_{\mathbb{C}}(x, q)$ in virtue of the Murray-Miller algorithm (see a review in [56, Appendix B]).

Remark 5.5. *Unlike Nandi’s partitions [44], where very roughly speaking \mathbb{F} is an infinite set (with modulus 2), a q -difference equation for $f_{\mathbb{C}}(x, q)$ can be obtained by Andrews’ linked partition ideals (see [56, Appendix E]). Yet, an approach via the regularly linked sets still has an advantage in that the minimum forbidden patterns and forbidden prefixes are automatically detected [56, Appendix D]. In other words, one has a chance to get a smaller simultaneous q -difference equation.*

5.2. An application to \mathbb{L}_3 . Apply $m = 3$, $\mathbb{F} = F$ (or $\mathbb{F} = F \setminus \{(1, 1, 1)\}$) and $\mathbb{I} = I_3$ (see Theorem 1.4), we get the minimal DFA (unique up to isomorphism) $\mathcal{M} = (Q, \mathbb{K}, \delta, q_0, \{q_3\})$ where $Q = \{q_0, \dots, q_{10}\}$, $\mathbb{K} = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and the values $\delta(v, a)$ of the transition $\delta : Q \times \mathbb{K} \rightarrow Q$ are displayed as follows.

$a \setminus v$	q_0	q_1	q_2	q_3	q_4	q_5	q_6	q_7	q_8	q_9	q_{10}
0	q_1	q_4	q_1	q_3	q_6	q_8	q_6	q_4	q_6	q_5	q_4
1	q_2	q_5	q_3	q_3	q_7	q_3	q_7	q_2	q_{10}	q_3	q_3
2	q_3	q_3	q_3	q_3	q_3	q_3	q_9	q_3	q_3	q_3	q_3

Thus, we have a simultaneous q -difference equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{\mathcal{C}(q_0)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_1)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_2)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_4)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_5)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_6)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_7)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_8)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_9)}(x, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_{10})}(x, q) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & xq & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & xq & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & xq & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & xq & 0 & x^2q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & xq & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & xq \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} f_{\mathcal{C}(q_0)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_1)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_2)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_4)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_5)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_6)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_7)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_8)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_9)}(xq, q) \\ f_{\mathcal{C}(q_{10})}(xq, q) \end{pmatrix}$$

The pseudo code in [56, Algorithm 1] stops at the 9-th iteration and gives a q -difference equation for $f_{\mathcal{C}(q_0)}(x, q)$, which is written in Theorem 5.6 below.

Theorem 5.6. For $1 \leq a \leq 3$, we have $\sum_{r=0}^8 p_r^{(a)}(x, q) f_{\mathcal{L}_a}(xq^r, q) = 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^{(1)} &= (1 - xq^5)(1 - x^2q^9), & p_1^{(1)} &= -(1 - xq^5)(1 + xq + xq^2 - xq^3 - xq^4 + x^2q^6 - x^2q^8 - x^2q^9), \\ p_2^{(1)} &= xq(1 - q^2 - q^3 + xq^2 + xq^3 - 2xq^5 - xq^6 + xq^7 + xq^8 - x^2q^9 + x^2q^{11} + x^2q^{12} - x^3q^{13}), \\ p_3^{(1)} &= -x^2q^3(1 - q^2)(1 + q - q^3 + xq^3 + xq^4 + xq^5 - xq^6 - xq^7 - x^2q^{10} - x^2q^{11}), \\ p_4^{(1)} &= -x^2q^5(1 + q)(1 + xq^4)(1 - xq + xq^4 - xq^5 - xq^6 + x^2q^8), \\ p_5^{(1)} &= x^3q^9(1 - q^2)(1 + q - xq - xq^2 - xq^3 + xq^4 + xq^5 - x^2q^6 - x^2q^7 + x^2q^9), \\ p_6^{(1)} &= -x^3q^{10}(1 + xq^4 - xq^6 - xq^7 - x^2q^5 - x^2q^6 + 2x^2q^8 + x^2q^9 - x^2q^{10} - x^2q^{11} - x^3q^{11} + x^3q^{13} + x^3q^{14}), \\ p_7^{(1)} &= x^4q^{15}(1 - xq^3)(1 - q^2 - q^3 + xq^3 + xq^4 - xq^5 - xq^6 + x^2q^{10}), & p_8^{(1)} &= x^4q^{18}(1 - xq^3)(1 - x^2q^7), \\ p_0^{(2)} &= (1 - xq^3)(1 - xq^5)(1 - xq^6)(1 - x^2q^9), \\ p_1^{(2)} &= -(1 - xq^5)(1 - xq^6)(1 + xq - xq^3 - xq^4 - x^2q^4 + x^2q^6 - x^2q^9 - x^3q^{10} + x^3q^{11} + x^3q^{12}), \\ p_2^{(2)} &= -xq^3(1 - xq^5)(1 - xq^6)(q - x + x^2q^3 - x^2q^5 - x^2q^8 + x^3q^9), \\ p_3^{(2)} &= -x^2q^3(1 - q^2)(1 - xq^6)(1 + q^2 - xq^5 - x^2q^6 - 2x^2q^9 - x^2q^{11} + x^3q^{11} + x^3q^{13} + x^3q^{14}), \\ p_4^{(2)} &= -x^2q^6(1 + q)(1 + xq^4)(1 - x + xq - xq^2 - 2xq^4 + xq^5 - 2xq^6 + x^2q^3 - x^2q^4 + x^2q^5 + x^2q^6 \\ &\quad + x^2q^9 + x^2q^{10} + x^2q^{11} - x^3q^8 + x^3q^9 - x^3q^{10} - 2x^3q^{12} + x^3q^{13} - 2x^3q^{14} + x^4q^{16}), \\ p_5^{(2)} &= x^3q^8(1 - q^2)(1 - xq^2)(1 + q^2 + q^3 - xq^3 - 2xq^6 - xq^8 - x^2q^{10} + x^3q^{13} + x^3q^{15}), \\ p_6^{(2)} &= -x^3q^{11}(1 - xq^2)(1 - xq^3)(1 + xq^2 - xq^4 - xq^7 - x^2q^7 + x^3q^{16}), \\ p_7^{(2)} &= x^4q^{17}(1 - xq^2)(1 - xq^3)(1 - q - q^2 + xq^2 - xq^4 + xq^7 - x^2q^7 + x^2q^9 + x^2q^{10} - x^3q^{14}), \\ p_8^{(2)} &= x^4q^{19}(1 - xq^2)(1 - xq^3)(1 - xq^5)(1 - x^2q^7), \\ p_0^{(3)} &= (1 - x^2q^9)(1 - x^2q^{10}), & p_1^{(3)} &= -(1 - x^2q^{10})(1 + xq + xq^2 - xq^4 - xq^5 - x^2q^9), \\ p_2^{(3)} &= xq^2(1 - q^2 - q^3 + xq + xq^2 + xq^3 - xq^4 - 2xq^5 - xq^6 + xq^8 \\ &\quad + x^2q^8 - x^2q^{10} + x^2q^{12} + x^2q^{13} - x^3q^{12} - x^3q^{13} + x^3q^{15} + x^3q^{16} - x^4q^{18}), \\ p_3^{(3)} &= -x^2q^5(1 + q)(1 - q^3)(1 - q + xq + xq^3 - xq^5 + x^2q^8 - x^2q^9 - x^3q^{13}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
p_4^{(3)} &= -x^2q^7(1+q)(1-xq^2+xq^3+xq^5-xq^6-x^2q^3-x^2q^5+x^2q^6+2x^2q^8 \\
&\quad -x^2q^9-x^2q^{10}-x^2q^{11}-x^3q^{10}+x^3q^{11}+x^3q^{13}-x^3q^{14}+x^4q^{16}), \\
p_5^{(3)} &= x^3q^{11}(1+q)(1-q^3)(1-xq^3+xq^4-x^2q^4-x^2q^6+x^2q^8-x^3q^{11}+x^3q^{12}), \\
p_6^{(3)} &= -x^3q^{14}(1+xq^2+xq^3-xq^5-xq^6-x^2q^6+x^2q^8-x^2q^{10}-x^2q^{11}-x^3q^7-x^3q^8 \\
&\quad -x^3q^9+x^3q^{10}+2x^3q^{11}+x^3q^{12}-x^3q^{14}-x^4q^{14}+x^4q^{16}+x^4q^{17}), \\
p_7^{(3)} &= -x^4q^{22}(1-x^2q^6)(1-x-xq+xq^3+xq^4-x^2q^7), \quad p_8^{(3)} = x^4q^{23}(1-x^2q^6)(1-x^2q^7).
\end{aligned}$$

5.3. A proof of Theorem 5.6. For L_1 (resp. L_2), the calculations are similar. One just need to perform the automatic calculation (e.g., by computer algebra as in [56, Remark 4.3]) for the minimum DFA \mathcal{M} such that (3) for $m = 3$, $F = F$ (or $F = F \setminus \{(1, 1, 1)\}$) and $l = I_1$ (resp. $l = I_2$). We omit the details.

5.4. A proof of Theorem 1.4. Note that the case $a = 1$ was shown in [6] by

$$f_{L_1}(x, q) = \sum_{m, k \geq 0} \frac{q^{m^2+3km+4k^2}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_m} (1 - q^k + q^{k+m}) x^{2k+m}$$

(see [6, Theorem 1.7.c]) and by a finite version of a q -series identity [6, Proposition 4.3]. We follow a more or less similar line.

We perform an automatic calculation of a q -difference equation for each of

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(x, q) &= \sum_{m, k \geq 0} \frac{q^{m^2+3km+4k^2+k+m}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_m} (1 + xq^{3k+1}) x^{2k+m}, \\
g_3(x, q) &= \sum_{m, k \geq 0} \frac{q^{m^2+3km+4k^2+2k}}{(q; q)_k (q; q)_m} (1 - x^2q^{4m+8k+6}) x^{2k+m},
\end{aligned}$$

via a q -analog of Sister Celine's technique (see [55, §7.1]). We see that it is the same as that of $f_{L_a}(x, q)$ in Theorem 5.6 for $a = 2, 3$, which implies that we have $f_{L_a}(x, q) = g_a(x, q)$. By taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ in [6, Proposition 4.4], we have

$$f_{L_2}(1, q) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{q^{2k^2+k}}{(q; q)_{2k+1}}, \quad f_{L_3}(1, q) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{q^{2k^2+2k}}{(q; q)_{2k+1}}.$$

Thanks to Slater's list [52, (9), (38)], the right hand side of the former (resp. latter) is equal to $1/(q; q^2)_\infty$ (resp. $1/(q, q^4, q^6, q^7, q^9, q^{10}, q^{12}, q^{15}; q^{16})_\infty$).

Acknowledgements. We would like to express our sincere thanks to Kana Ito and Matthew Russell for helpful discussions, especially to Matthew Russell for bringing the literature [6] to our attention that we had missed. This work was supported by the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, an International Joint Usage/Research Center located in Kyoto University, the TSUBAME3.0 supercomputer at Tokyo Institute of Technology, JSPS Kakenhi Grant 20K03506, the Inamori Foundation, JST CREST Grant Number JPMJCR2113, Japan and Leading Initiative for Excellent Young Researchers, MEXT, Japan.

REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, *A general theory of identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Bull.Amer.Math.Soc. **80** (1974), 1033–1052.

- [2] G.E. Andrews, *The theory of partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol.2, Addison-Wesley, 1976.
- [3] G.E. Andrews, *q-series: their development and application in analysis, number theory, combinatorics, physics, and computer algebra*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 66. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1986.
- [4] G. Andrews, *Schur's theorem, Capparelli's conjecture and q-trinomial coefficients*, Contemp.Math. **166** AMS (1994), 141–154.
- [5] G.E. Andrews, A. Schilling and S.O. Warnaar, *An A_2 Bailey lemma and Rogers-Ramanujan-type identities*, J.Amer.Math.Soc. **12** (1999), 677–702.
- [6] G.E. Andrews, J. van Ekeren and R. Heluani, *The Singular Support of the Ising Model*, to appear in International Mathematics Research Notices, rnab328.
- [7] G. Bergman, *The diamond lemma for ring theory*, Adv.Math. **29** (1978), 178–218.
- [8] K. Bringmann, C. Jennings-Shaffer and K. Mahlburg, *Proofs and reductions of various conjectured partition identities of Kanade and Russell*, J.Reine Angew.Math. **766** (2020), 109–135.
- [9] K. Baker, S. Kanade, M. Russell and C. Sadowski, *Principal subspaces of basic modules for twisted affine Lie algebras, q-series multisums, and Nandi's identities*, arXiv:2208.14581
- [10] F. Baader and T. Nipkow, *Term rewriting and all that*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [11] S. Capparelli, *On some representations of twisted affine Lie algebras and combinatorial identities*, J.Algebra **154** (1993), 335–355.
- [12] S. Capparelli, *A construction of the level 3 modules for the affine algebra $A_2^{(2)}$ and a new combinatorial identity of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. **348** (1996), 481–501.
- [13] S. Capparelli, *On some theorems of Hirschhorn*, Comm.Algebra **32** (2004), 629–635.
- [14] S. Corteel, J. Dousse, Jehanne and A. Uncu, *Cylindric partitions and some new A_2 Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc. **150** (2022), 481–497.
- [15] S. Chern and Z. Li, *Linked partition ideals and Kanade-Russell conjectures*, Discrete Math. **343** (2020), 111876, 24pp.
- [16] S. Corteel and T. Welsh, *The A_2 Rogers-Ramanujan identities revisited*, Ann.Comb. **23** (2019), 683–694.
- [17] L. Figueiredo, *Calculus of Principally Twisted Vertex Operators*, Mem.Amer.Math.Soc. **371** AMS (1987).
- [18] B. Feigin, O. Foda and T. Welsh, *Andrews-Gordon type identities from combinations of Virasoro characters*, Ramanujan J. **17** (2008), 33–52.
- [19] I. Frenkel and V. Kac, *Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance models*, Invent.Math. **62** (1980/81), 23–66.
- [20] O. Foda and T. Welsh, *Cylindric partitions, Wr characters and the Andrews-Gordon-Bressoud identities*, J.Phys.A **49** (2016), 164004, 37 pp.
- [21] I.M. Gessel and C. Krattenthaler, *Cylindric partitions*, Trans.Amer.Math.Soc. **349** (1997), 429–479.
- [22] G.H. Hardy, *Ramanujan*, Cambridge University Press, 1940.
- [23] M.D. Hirschhorn, *Some partition theorems of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, J.Comb.Th.A **27** (1979), 33–37.
- [24] C. Husu, *Extensions of the Jacobi identity for vertex operators, and standard $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules*, Mem.Amer.Math.Soc. **106** AMS (1993).
- [25] C. Husu, *The Jacobi identity for relative twisted vertex operators associated with the roots of the Lie algebras $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$, and the generating function identities for level- k standard $A_1^{(1)}$ and $A_2^{(2)}$ -modules*, Comm.Algebra **38** (2010), 2000–2025.
- [26] K. Ito, *Level 2 standard modules for $A_9^{(2)}$ and partition conditions of Kanade-Russell*, arXiv:2211.03652
- [27] V. Kac. *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*. Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [28] S. Kanade, *Structure of certain level 2 standard modules for $A_5^{(2)}$ and the Göllnitz-Gordon identities*, Ramanujan J. **45** (2018), 873–893.
- [29] S. Kanade, *Coloured \mathfrak{sl}_r invariants of torus knots and characters of W_r algebras*, arXiv:2207.03685

- [30] D.C. Kozen, *Automata and Computability*, Undergraduate Texts in Computer Science, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [31] S. Kanade and M.C. Russell, *IdentityFinder and some new identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type*, *Exp.Math.* **24** (2015), 419–423.
- [32] S. Kanade and M.C. Russell, *Staircases to analytic sum-sides for many new integer partition identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type*, *Electron.J.Combin.* **26** (2019), 33pp.
- [33] S. Kanade and M.C. Russell, *On q -series for principal characters of standard $A_2^{(2)}$ -modules*, *Adv.Math.* **400** (2022), Paper No. 108282, 24pp.
- [34] S. Kanade and M.C. Russell, *Completing the A_2 Andrews-Schilling-Warnaar identities*, arXiv:2203.05690
- [35] K. Kurşungöz, *Andrews-Gordon Type Series for Kanade-Russell Conjectures*, *Ann.Comb.* **23** (2019), 835–888.
- [36] K. Kurşungöz, *Andrews-Gordon Type Series for Capparelli’s and Göllnitz-Gordon Identities*, *J.Combin.Theory Ser.A* **165** (2019), 117–138.
- [37] J. Lepowsky and S. Milne, *Lie algebraic approaches to classical partition identities*, *Adv.Math.* **29** (1978), 15–59.
- [38] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, *Construction of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , *Comm.Math.Phys.* **62** (1978), 43–53.
- [39] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, *A Lie theoretic interpretation and proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, *Adv.Math.* **45** (1982), 21–72.
- [40] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, *The structure of standard modules. I. Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, *Invent.Math.* **77** (1984), 199–290.
- [41] J. Lepowsky and R. Wilson, *The structure of standard modules. II. The case $A_1^{(1)}$, principal gradation*, *Invent.Math.* **79** (1985), 417–442.
- [42] A. Meurman and M. Primc, *Annihilating ideals of standard modules of $sl(2, \mathbf{C})^\sim$ and combinatorial identities*, *Adv.Math.* **64** (1987), 177–240.
- [43] J. McLaughlin and A.V. Sills, *Ramanujan-Slater type identities related to the moduli 18 and 24*, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.* **344** (2008), 765–777.
- [44] D. Nandi, *Partition identities arising from the standard $A_2^{(2)}$ -modules of level 4*, Ph.D. Thesis (Rutgers University), 2014.
- [45] M.H.A. Newman, *On theories with a combinatorial definition of ‘equivalence’*, *Ann.of Math.(2)* **43** (1942), 223–243.
- [46] A. Riese, *q MultiSum – a package for proving q -hypergeometric multiple summation identities*, *J.Symbolic Comput.* **35** (2003), 349–376.
- [47] H. Rosengren, *Proofs of some partition identities conjectured by Kanade and Russell*, arXiv:1912.03689.
- [48] M. Russell, *Using experimental mathematics to conjecture and prove theorems in the theory of partitions and commutative and non-commutative recurrences*, PhD thesis (Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, 2016).
- [49] G. Segal, *Unitary representations of some infinite-dimensional groups*, *Commun.Math.Phys.* **80** (1981) 301–342.
- [50] A.V. Sills, *An invitation to the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. With a foreword by George E. Andrews*, CRC Press, (2018).
- [51] M. Sipser, *Introduction to the Theory of Computation*, Course Technology, Boston, MA, 2013.
- [52] L.J. Slater, *Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type*, *Proc.London Math.Soc.(2)* **54** (1952), 147–167
- [53] M. Tamba, *Level two standard $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ -modules*, *J.Algebra* **166** (1994) 651–666.
- [54] M. Tamba, *Structure of the level two standard modules for the affine Lie algebra $D_4^{(3)}$* , *Comm.Algebra* **21** (1993) 1037–1041.
- [55] S. Tsuchioka, *An example of A_2 Rogers-Ramanujan bipartition identities of level 3*, arXiv:2205.04811.
- [56] M. Takigiku and S. Tsuchioka, *A proof of conjectured partition identities of Nandi*, to appear in the American journal of Mathematics, arXiv:1910.12461.
- [57] M. Takigiku and S. Tsuchioka, *Andrews-Gordon type series for the level 5 and 7 standard modules of the affine Lie algebra $A_2^{(2)}$* , *Proc.Amer.Math.Soc.* **149** (2021) 2763–2776.

- [58] M. Tamba and C. Xie, *Level three standard modules for $A_2^{(2)}$ and combinatorial identities*, J.Pure Appl.Algebra **105** (1995), 53–92.
- [59] A. Uncu and W. Zudilin, *Reflecting (on) the modulo 9 Kanade–Russell (conjectural) identities*, arXiv:2106.02959
- [60] S.O. Warnaar, *Hall-Littlewood functions and the A_2 Rogers-Ramanujan identities*, Adv.Math. **200** (2006) 403–434.
- [61] S.O. Warnaar, *The A_2 Andrews-Gordon identities and cylindric partitions*, arXiv:2111.07550

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL AND COMPUTING SCIENCES, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, TOKYO 152-8551, JAPAN

Email address: `tshun@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp`