

# A LIPSCHITZ VERSION OF DE RHAM THEOREM FOR $L_p$ -COHOMOLOGY

VLADIMIR GOL'DSHTAIN AND ROMAN PANENKO

**ABSTRACT.** We focus our attention on the de Rham operators' underlying properties which are specified by intrinsic effects of differential geometry structures. And then we apply the procedure of regularization in the context of Lipschitz version of de Rham calculus on metric simplicial complexes with bounded geometry.

**Key words and phrases:** differential forms, Lipschitz analysis, de Rham complex, mollifier, metric simplicial complex, bounded geometry, de Rham theorem, Whitney form

**Mathematic Subject Classification 2000:** 58A12, 58A15, 53C65, 57Q05, 57R12, 51F30, 46E30

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The reasons which lie at the roots of the present text could be abstracted as follows. Despite the fact that the notion of de Rham's regularization operators has a long history and some useful applications, primarily, it was a tool that allows to reduce cohomology of Banach chain complexes to the case which is more familiar and convenient since it is presented by a subcomplex of smooth objects. It does not leave the impression of clarity. Indeed, de Rham's initial exposition on the subject and further applications, focusing on the analytic aspect of the matter, seem to tend cloudy intrinsic elegance and simplicity of that construction. Such situation inherently encourages us to reopen the discourse on the subject in order to embellish the prevailing approach and see how far that construction could be generalized.

Beginning with the first decades of the 20th century when the basic notions of the exterior calculus were formulated thanks to Élie Cartan's works and further through Georges de Rham's contribution one got the perfectly clear language to talk about global properties of manifolds. In particular, his explorations led up to emergence of the concept of so-called de Rham's complex, namely, that work elucidated the analogies between differential forms and chains. One can notice that the concept of chain complex was quite known within the frames of algebraic topology and homological algebra that was being formed at that time. Also we should attribute to that period (around the thirties) the de Rham's theorem establishing an isomorphism between the cohomology of differential forms and the singular cohomology. Eventually, those reasons led up to the notion of current generalizing essential characteristics shared by both chains and forms. Later de Rham extensively developed the theory of currents involving as the foundation of Lauren Schwartz's work on distributions. That yielded subsequently the

results on approximation of currents by smooth forms and required to introduce the regularization operators defined in the weak sense. It was regarded as relying on a duality between currents and compactly supported smooth forms. Let us take a closer look at the subject.

There is the well-known idea to approximate a value of locally integrable function  $f$  at every point with its mean value over a bounded neighbourhood of the such point. And more generally, using a convolution with a smooth kernel  $\varphi$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1,$$

we can define a regularization operator

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(f) = f * \varphi_\varepsilon.$$

Let  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a space of continuous functionals on the space  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  endowed with the usual topology. The convolution  $T * \varphi$  of a distribution  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a function  $\varphi(y) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by

$$\{T * \varphi\}(x) = T(\varphi(x - y))$$

that is the operator  $T \mapsto \langle T, \tau_x \tilde{\varphi} \rangle$  where  $\tilde{\varphi}(y) = \varphi(-y)$ ,  $\tau_x \varphi(y) = \varphi(y + x)$ .

We can sum up that approach in the following way, see for example [7].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\varphi_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a sequence of positive functions such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_\varepsilon(x) dx = 1$$

*and  $\text{supp}(\varphi_\varepsilon)$  is a ball with radius  $\varepsilon$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  it follows that  $T * \varphi_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and that  $T * \varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow T$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

In the light of the above it is reasonable to talk about the regularization of distribution in the following sense

$$\Phi_\varepsilon(T) = T * \varphi_\varepsilon.$$

If  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  is a symmetric kernel, that is  $\varphi_\varepsilon(x) = \varphi_\varepsilon(-x)$ , and  $g \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have

$$\langle T * \varphi_\varepsilon, g \rangle = \langle T, g * \varphi_\varepsilon \rangle.$$

Then we can define a regularization operator with a symmetric kernel on the space of distributions as follows

$$\{\Phi_\varepsilon(T)\}(g) = T(\Phi_\varepsilon(g)).$$

Let  $M$  be a differentiable manifold and let  $(\Omega^*(M), d)$  be the de Rham DG-algebra (differential graded algebra) on  $M$ , that is the algebra of smooth differential forms. In particular, there is defined a chain complex

$$0 \longrightarrow C^\infty(M) \longrightarrow \Omega^1(M) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \Omega^n(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Following the de Rham approach we turn to the subcomplex of compactly supported forms and its dual complex of currents. Consistent with the above, we intend to define a regularization operator on currents analogously to the case of distributions:

$$RT[\omega] = T[R^*\omega].$$

In line with what was said above there emerges a reasonable question how we should think of a procedure of computing the mean value of a differential form  $R^*\omega$ . It is quite clear that we need to define the operator under consideration in such a manner that preserves cohomology classes.

First of all we should clarify the notion of homotopy. Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be an additive category. Consider the category of chain complexes  $\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})$ . We can introduce the homotopy category of chain complexes  $\text{K}(\mathbf{A})$  taking into account a concept of ‘equivalent deformation’  $\eta$  of morphisms  $f, g \in \text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})}(V, W)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & f & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ V & & W \\ & \Downarrow \eta & \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & g & \end{array} .$$

Take a look at this construction in more detail. The homotopy  $\eta$  we assume to be a set of morphisms  $\{\eta^i \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{A}}(V^i, W^{i-1})\}$  which satisfy

$$f^i - g^i = d_W^{i-1}\eta^i + \eta^{i+1}d_V^i.$$

It should be noted that we do not involve the requirement that  $\eta$  is a chain morphism. The condition of existence of such a homotopy between morphisms equips the set  $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ch}(\mathbf{A})}(V, W)$  with an equivalence relation. And so  $\text{K}(\mathbf{A})$  can be introduced as a category of chain complexes with morphisms defined modulo homotopy. We can reveal the point by turning to the well-studied case of Abelian categories which are the classical setting for the treatment of homological algebra. It is not hard to see that homotopic morphisms induce the same morphism between the corresponding cohomology groups and every homotopy equivalence  $f: V \rightarrow W$  defines the isomorphism of cohomologies. Thus a two-sided invertible morphism in the category  $\text{K}(\mathbf{A})$  corresponds to an isomorphism of cohomologies. In particular, a homotopy equivalence between topological spaces induces isomorphism between the singular chain complexes in  $\text{K}(\mathbf{A})$ . That could be extracted as the essence of the Poincaré lemma.

Another example of chain homotopy will serve as the central part of our interpretation of the regularization operators. Assume that  $X$  is a vector field, then Lie derivative  $\mathcal{L}_X$  is the 0-derivation of the  $DG$ -algebra such that there exists a -1-derivation  $\iota_X$  being the homotopy between  $\mathcal{L}_X$  and the zero map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & 0 & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ (\Omega^*(M), d) & & (\Omega^*(M), d) \\ & \Downarrow \iota_X & \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \mathcal{L}_X & \end{array} .$$

That is to say, Lie derivative satisfies Cartan’s formula:

$$\mathcal{L}_X = d\iota_X + \iota_X d.$$

At every point  $x$  the map  $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{L}_X\omega$  induces a function with values in the exterior power of the cotangent space at  $x$

$$\mathcal{L}_X\omega_x: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bigwedge^n T_x^*M$$

$\mathcal{L}_X\omega$  is precisely nothing else than the instantaneous velocity in the exterior power of the cotangent space, that is a magnitude of change of the form  $\omega$  under the infinitesimal translation along an integral curve  $\phi_X(t)$ . That corresponds to the zero endomorphism of  $(\Omega^*(M), d)$  in the homotopy category of chain complexes. In other words, for every closed form  $\omega$  the form  $\mathcal{L}_X\omega$  is an exact form.

We can compute the integral of the function  $\mathcal{L}_X\omega_x$ . Owing to the linearity of integration and that that it commutes with the exterior differential we can see that the following

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{L}_X\omega dt = \phi_{t=1}^*\omega - \omega$$

implies the homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{\phi_{t=1}^*} & \\ (\Omega^*(M), d) & \Downarrow & (\Omega^*(M), d) \\ & \xleftarrow{\text{Id}} & \end{array}$$

That makes sense to talk about the procedure of regularization on differential forms. Namely the pullback of translation along vector fields preserves cohomology classes. And as a result, combining the intrinsic attribute of smooth manifolds expressed in the Cartan's formula and the classic idea of mollifier we can define a form representing the mean value of the given differential form at every point of smooth manifold.

Turning back to the de Rham's construction it is not hard to see that the operator

$$RT[\omega] = T[R^*\omega]$$

defined on currents inherits the property to preserve cohomology classes

$$\begin{aligned} RT[\omega] &= T[R^*\omega] = T[A^*d\omega + dA^*\omega] = T[A^*d\omega] + T[dA^*\omega] \\ &= AT[d\omega] + \partial T[A^*\omega] = \{\partial AT + A\partial T\}[\omega]. \end{aligned}$$

The next step in that direction was made in the work [4]. Where authors focused on a special kind of currents which can be presented as elements of Sobolev space of differential forms  $\Omega_{p,p}^*(M)$ . It is clear that being a special case of currents such forms hold all basic properties of the regularization. The crucial result consists in the proof that we have the same diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{R} & \\ (\Omega_{p,p}^*(M), d) & \Downarrow & (\Omega_{p,p}^*(M), d) \\ & \xleftarrow{\text{Id}} & \end{array}$$

in the category of chain complexes of Banach spaces. That allows us to generalize the de Rham's theorem to the case of  $L_p$ -cohomology of triangulable noncompact manifolds.

We call a simplicial complex  $K$  having bounded geometry if every vertex of the 1-skeleton of  $K$  has a uniformly bounded degree as a vertex of graph and the length of every edge is in the interval  $[L^{-1}, L]$  for some  $L \geq 1$ .

We introduce a class of differential forms  $S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)$  on a simplicial complex  $K$  which are locally images of smooth forms defined on a subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  under bi-Lipschitz homomorphisms and have a finite graph norm on the domain of  $S\mathcal{L}^k(K) \xrightarrow{d} S\mathcal{L}^{k+1}(K)$  in the sense of  $L_p$ -spaces. Let  $\Omega_{p,p}^*(K)$  denote the closure of that class under a topology induced from the graph norm. The main result of the present work can be summed up in two assertions:

- *Let  $K$  be a complex of bounded geometry. Then there exists the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^k(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k+1}(K) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \\
 & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} \\
 \dots & & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k+1}(K) \dots \\
 & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\
 \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^k(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k+1}(K) \xrightarrow{d} \dots
 \end{array}$$

*with commutative squares in the category of Banach spaces  $\text{Ban}_\infty$ . Moreover, the map  $\mathcal{R}$  is homotopic to the identity*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{R} & \\
 (\Omega_{p,p}^*(M), d) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & (\Omega_{p,p}^*(M), d) \\
 & \downarrow \mathcal{A} & \\
 & \text{Id}_{\Omega_{p,p}^*} &
 \end{array}$$

- *Under the same conditions the following commutative triangle of isomorphisms takes place in the category  $\text{Vec}_\mathbb{R}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & H^k(S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)) & \\
 \mathcal{R} \nearrow & & \searrow \mathcal{I} \\
 H^k(\Omega_{p,p}^*(K)) & \dashrightarrow & H^k(C_p^*(K))
 \end{array}$$

It should notice that such complexes could be useful as a bi-Lipschitz triangulation of Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry, see Appendix A.

## 2. HOMOTOPY AND LIE DERIVATIVE

Most of the content included in this section could be found in [9].

Let  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a ring of smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The differentiation of smooth functions defines a derivation in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -module consisting of 1-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$d: \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

that is a homomorphism of the respective additive groups which satisfies the condition

$$d(fg) = fdg + gdf.$$

As usual, we define all operation in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  pointwisely. There are the well-known algebraic reasons which imply a number of facts about local structure of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the space of germs of smooth functions at a point  $x$ . Then  $\mathcal{C}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is a commutative local ring, that means that non-invertible elements, namely germs of functions which vanish at  $x$ , form a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_x$ . And in consequence the quotient ring  $\mathcal{C}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathfrak{m}_x$  is a field. As a result we can conclude that  $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$  is a vector space. Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_x^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  then due to the Taylor's theorem we can write down the following

$$f - f(x) = \langle \nabla_x f, \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i - \xi_i(x)) \vec{e}_i \rangle + h, \quad h \in \mathfrak{m}_x^2.$$

and as a result we obtain the representation of  $f$  at the point  $x$  as an element of vector space  $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$

$$f \mapsto f - f(x) \in \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2.$$

To summarize, fibers  $x \mapsto \mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2$  specify a vector bundle. Considering that components of the vector  $f - f(x)$  change smoothly on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we obtain a vector field corresponding the element of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . So we can sum up that the procedure outlined above allows us to define a derivation in the commutative ring  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with values in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -module consisting of vector fields. As a consequence, there exists a related derivation in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with values in the dual  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -module consisting of 1-forms

$$d: \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now we can define the de Rham  $DG$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a graded algebra

$$\Omega^*(\mathbb{R}^n) = \bigoplus_{k=0}^n \bigwedge^k \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad \bigwedge^0 \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

endowed with an antiderivation, i. e. an endomorphism satisfying the graded Leibnitz rule with a commutator factor  $-1$ , which is specified as the exterior derivative  $d$  in the usual sense:

- define the derivative in accordance with the derivation in a ring  $d: \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for 0-forms;
- $d^2 = 0$ ;
- $d(\omega \wedge \theta) = (d\omega) \wedge \theta + (-1)^{\deg \omega} \omega \wedge (d\theta)$ .

Let  $X$  be a vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\phi_X: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a corresponding flow.

Consider a -1-antiderivation on the graded algebra  $\Omega^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defined as the following.

**Definition 1.** Let  $X$  be a vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The interior product is a map

$$\iota_X: \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega^{n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

satisfying a number of conditions

- If  $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we put  $\iota_X \omega = \langle \omega, X \rangle$ , i. e.  $\iota$  is the canonical pairing;
- $\iota(\omega \wedge \theta) = (\iota_X \omega) \wedge \theta + (-1)^{\deg \omega} \omega \wedge (\iota_X \theta)$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $X$  be a vector field on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The Lie derivative is a map

$$\mathcal{L}_X: \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \Omega^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

defined in the following way

$$\mathcal{L}_X: \omega \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \phi_X^* \omega.$$

**Theorem 2.** Under the above assumptions the Cartan's magic formula holds:

$$\mathcal{L}_X \omega = \iota_X \circ d\omega + d \circ \iota_X \omega$$

It could be proven by following the well-known idea, namely, one only needs to check out a number of simple assertions:

- $\mathcal{L}_X$  satisfies Leibniz's law;
- $[\mathcal{L}_X, d] = 0$ ;
- The anticommutator  $\{\iota_X, d\}$  is a 0-derivation of  $DG$ -algebra and  $\{[\iota_X, d], d\} = 0$  (almost evident);
- Now it just remains to check by induction that a couple of derivations of  $DG$ -algebra, which agree for dimensions  $n = 0, 1$  and commute with  $d$ , are equal for all dimensions.

**Corollary 1.** The Poincaré lemma

$$H^i(\Omega^*(U)) = 0 \text{ for } i < n, \text{ where } U \text{ is an open ball in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

can be derived from the Cartan's formula.

Let us consider the change of the form  $\omega$  along a segment  $\phi_X(x, t): [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of the integral curve which starts at the point  $x$ . A parametrized differential form  $\phi_X^* \omega(t) \in \Omega^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  defines a family of multilinear skew-symmetric maps on the tangent space  $T_x \mathbb{R}^n$  for an integral curve that starts at the point  $x$ :

$$f(t) A_x^0(t) \wedge \cdots \wedge A_x^{k-1}(t): \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad A_x^i(t) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$$

that is, there is specified function

$$F(t) = f(t) \det(A_x^i(t) \xi_j)$$

at every  $\xi_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi_{k-1}$ , and so we can define  $\frac{d}{dt} F(t)$  and  $\int_0^1 F'(t) dt$ .

That induces a couple of maps:

$$\mathcal{L}_X \omega(t): \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \text{ at every point } t$$

where

$$\{\mathcal{L}_X \omega(t)\}(x) = \mathcal{L}_{X(\phi_t(x))} \{\phi_X^*(t)\} \omega$$

and

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{L}_X \omega(t) dt: \bigwedge^k \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \mathcal{L}_X \omega dt = \phi_X^*|_{t=1} \omega - \omega \\ & = \int_0^1 \iota_{X(\phi_t(x))} \circ \{\phi_X^*(t)\} (d\omega) dt + d \left( \int_0^1 \iota_{X(\phi_t(x))} \circ \{\phi_X^*(t)\} (\omega) dt \right) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.** Let  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Define an associated flow:

$$s_v: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

as the translation along  $v$ :

$$s_{tv}(x) = x + tv.$$

Then we can use Cartan's formula

$$s_v^* \omega - \omega = Q_v d\omega + dQ_v \omega$$

where

$$Q_v = \left\{ \int_0^1 dt \right\} \circ \iota_v \circ \phi_X^*(t).$$

### 3. DE RHAM OPERATORS ON $\Omega^*(\mathbb{R}^n)$

Let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a compactly supported smooth function such that  $\text{supp}(f) \subset B_1$ ,  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(v) dv^0 \dots dv^{n-1} = 1$ ,  $f(v) \geq 0$  and  $f(v) = f(-v)$ . Let us put  $\tau(v) = f(v) dv^0 \dots dv^{n-1}$ . Using the previous argumentation, we can integrate the equation

$$s_v^* \omega \cdot \tau(v) - \omega \cdot \tau(v) = dQ_v(\omega) \cdot \tau(v) + Q_v(d\omega) \cdot \tau(v)$$

at every point  $x$ , namely this integration procedure is induced by integration of forms of the following type  $g(v) \det(\langle A^i(v), * \rangle) \tau$ , where  $\omega(x) = g(v) A^0(v) \wedge \dots \wedge A^k(v) (* \wedge \dots \wedge *)$ :

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (s_v^* \omega(x) \cdot \tau(v) - \omega(x) \cdot \tau(v)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (dQ_v(\omega(x)) \cdot \tau(v) + Q_v(d\omega(x)) \cdot \tau(v)),$$

that is what allows us to specify a chain homotopy:

$$\mathcal{R}(\omega) - \omega = d\mathcal{A}(\omega) + \mathcal{A}(d\omega).$$



There is a diffeomorphism  $h$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  onto the open ball  $\mathbf{B}_1$  with centre 0 and radius 1. Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbf{B}_1 \subset U$ . We can define  $\mathfrak{s}_v: U \rightarrow U$

$$\mathfrak{s}_v x = \begin{cases} h s_v h^{-1}(x), & \text{if } x \in \mathbf{B}_1; \\ x, & \text{if } x \notin \mathbf{B}_1. \end{cases}$$

It was shown in de Rham's book [6] that  $\mathfrak{s}_{tv}^*$  produces a group action of the additive group of real numbers on  $U$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{s}_{(t_0+t_1)v}^* = \mathfrak{s}_{t_1v}^* \circ \mathfrak{s}_{t_0v}^*$ . And also we can say that  $\mathfrak{X}_v = d_{h^{-1}(x)}h(v)$  is a vector field consisted of tangent vectors to  $\mathfrak{s}_{tv}(x)$ , then we have

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} \mathfrak{s}_{tv}^* \omega = d \circ \iota_{\mathfrak{X}_v}(\omega) + \iota_{\mathfrak{X}_v} \circ d(\omega);$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon(\omega) - \omega = d\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\omega) + \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(d\omega).$$

where

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \omega = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon v}^* \omega(x) \cdot \tau(v), \quad \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon(\omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^1 \iota_{\mathfrak{X}_{\varepsilon v}(\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon v t}(x))}(\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon v t}^* \omega) dt \right) \cdot \tau(v).$$

It was shown [4] that

**Lemma 1.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the maps  $\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon$  are bounded on  $\Omega_p^k(\mathbf{B}_1)$  with respect to the  $L_p$ -norm and moreover the following estimations hold*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon\|_p \leq C(\varepsilon),$$

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon\|_p \leq M(\varepsilon);$$

where  $C(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 1$ ,  $M(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

From now on, we will use  $\text{res}_{V,U}$  for the restriction map  $\Omega^k(U) \rightarrow \Omega^k(V)$  where  $V \subset U$  if it is well-defined in the context of consideration.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{B}_1$  be a closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with centre 0 and radius 1,  $\mathbf{B}_1 \subset U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any compact  $F \subset \text{Int } \mathbf{B}_1$  the map  $\text{res}_{F,U} \circ \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon$  is a bounded operator  $\Omega_p^k(U) \rightarrow \Omega_\infty^k(F)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in \Omega_p^k(U)$  then  $|\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \omega|$  is a smooth function that implies it is bounded and there is a point  $\xi$  such that  $\sup_{x \in F} |\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \omega|(x) = |\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \omega|(\xi)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \omega|^p(\xi) &\leq \left( \int_{\text{supp}(f)} |\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon v}^* \omega|(\xi) \cdot \tau(v) \right)^p \\ &\leq C \int_{\text{supp}(f)} |\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon v}^* \omega|^p(\xi) dv^0 \dots dv^{n-1} \leq C \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(U)}^p \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = \text{mes}(\text{supp}(f))^{p-1} (\sup_{x \in \text{supp}(f)} f)^p$  □

It follows that taking the closure of  $\Omega^k(\mathbf{B}_1)$  with respect to the  $L_p$ -norm induces bounded maps on Banach spaces  $\Omega_p^k(\mathbf{B}_1)$  and there exists the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k-1}(\mathbf{B}_1) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^k(\mathbf{B}_1) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k+1}(\mathbf{B}_1) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \\ & & \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \downarrow & \swarrow \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon & \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \downarrow & \swarrow \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon & \downarrow \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k-1}(\mathbf{B}_1) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^k(\mathbf{B}_1) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k+1}(\mathbf{B}_1) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \end{array}$$

with commutative squares and such morphisms that the equation bellow holds

$$\mathcal{R}(\omega) - \omega = d\mathcal{A}(\omega) + \mathcal{A}(d\omega).$$

Consider bi-Lipschitz homomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbf{B}_1 \rightarrow B \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  then we can define operators  $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_p^k(B) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon} & \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(B) & \Omega_p^k(B) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} & \Omega_p^{k-1}(B) \\ \varphi^* \downarrow & & \uparrow (\varphi^{-1})^* & \varphi^* \downarrow & & \uparrow (\varphi^{-1})^* \\ \Omega_p^k(\mathbf{B}_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon} & \Omega_{\text{smooth}}^k(\mathbf{B}_1) & \Omega_p^k(\mathbf{B}_1) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon} & \Omega_p^{k-1}(\mathbf{B}_1) \end{array}$$

It is not hard to see that the commutative squares are squares in the category of normed Banach spaces because all arrows are bounded maps and moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon\|_p &\leq \tilde{C}(\varepsilon), \\ \|\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon\|_p &\leq \tilde{M}(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Just as we did above take the closure of  $\Omega^k(B)$  with respect to the  $L_p$ -norm it induces bounded maps on Banach spaces  $\Omega_p^k(B)$  and there exists the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k-1}(B) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^k(B) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k+1}(B) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \\ & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon & & \downarrow \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon \\ \dots & & \bigwedge^{k-1} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(B) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} & \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(B) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon} & \bigwedge^{k+1} \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(B) \dots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k-1}(B) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^k(B) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_p^{k+1}(B) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \end{array}$$

with commutative squares and such morphisms that the equation bellow holds

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_\varepsilon(\omega) - \omega = d\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon(\omega) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_\varepsilon(d\omega).$$

#### 4. CLASSES OF DIFFERENTIAL FORMS ON A METRIC SIMPLICIAL COMPLEX

Denote by  $\mathbf{Fin}_+$  the category of finite nonempty sets and partial maps and by  $\mathbf{Set}$  the usual category of sets. A simplicial complex  $K$  can be defined as a functor

$$\mathbf{K}: \mathbf{Fin}_+^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$$

where  $\text{Fin}_+^{\text{op}}$  is the opposite category of  $\text{Fin}_+$ . Fixe some set  $V$  and put

$$K([n]) = \{\rho: [n] \rightarrow V \mid \rho \text{ is a partial injective function}\}$$

In other words, elements of  $\mathbf{K}([n]) = K[n]$  serve as indices to  $n$ -simplices and

$$f: [m] \rightarrow [n]$$

induces the embedding of faces of  $K$

$$K(f): K[n] \rightarrow K[m]$$

as follows

$$K(f)\langle \rho \rangle = \rho \circ f.$$

The condition below was introduced in [5] in the context of studying noncompact Riemannian manifolds and its proper (in the sence of metric geometry) triangulations.

**Definition 4.** *We will call  $K$  star-bounded if there exists  $C > 0$  such that for every  $v \in K[0]$  the cardinality of a set*

$$\Psi_v = \{\iota \in \text{Hom}(K[0], K[1]) \mid v \in \text{Dom } \iota\}$$

*satisfies the following*

$$|\Psi_v| \leq C.$$

Define a geometric realisation of the simplicial complex  $K$  as a topological space

$$|K| = \coprod_{i=0}^n (\Delta_i \times K[i]) / \sim$$

where

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ (t_0, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_i = 1, t_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

and  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation defined by gluing of simplices. We also can endow  $|K|$  with the simplicial metric, that is Euclidian on each simplex. From now on, we will follow the terminology of [2]. Let every simplex of  $K$  be isometric to the standard simplex in the Euclidian space. Thus each morphism

$$[k] \rightarrow [m]$$

induces for each  $m$ -simplex  $\sigma^m$  an isometric embedding of its face  $\sigma^k$

$$\sigma^k \rightarrow \sigma^m$$

Also how was mentioned in [2] we can introduce a length-metric on  $K$  in such a manner that each simplex is isometrically embedded in  $K$ . In more detail, a piecewise-linear path  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow K$  is a path such that its domain can be broken into finitely many intervals  $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$  so that the image  $\gamma([a_i, a_{i+1}])$  is a piecewise-linear path contained in a single simplex of  $K$ . The length of  $\gamma$  is defined using Euclidian metric on simplices of  $K$

$$|\gamma| = \sum_i |\gamma([a_i, a_{i+1}])|$$

and so we can define the distance as follows

$$d(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} |\gamma|$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths connecting  $x$  and  $y$  in the class of piecewise-linear maps.

**Remark 1.** *The path-metric  $d$  is complete and turn  $K$  to a geodesic metric space.*

**Definition 5.** *A metric simplicial complex  $K$  has bounded geometry if it is connected, star-bounded and there exists  $L \geq 1$  such that the length of every edge is in the interval  $[L^{-1}, L]$ .*

Below we will assume that all complexes have bounded geometry with  $L = 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}(|K|)$  be a space of locally Lipschitz functions on  $|K|$ . We require that for every morphism

$$f: [k] \rightarrow [m]$$

there is a restriction  $\text{res}_{K[k], K[m]}: \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}(|K[m]|) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}(|K[k]|)$  induced by an isometric embedding of its face which can be implemented by the consecutive vanishing of  $m - k$ -sets of barycentric coordinates  $t_j$  with indexes  $j \notin \{j_0, \dots, j_k\}$  on every  $m$ -simplex  $\Delta$ .

Define  $\mathcal{C}^\infty \mathcal{L}(|K|) \subset \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}(|K|)$  as a space of locally Lipschitz functions which are from the class  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  on every topological space  $(\Delta_n, \alpha)$ ,  $\alpha \in K[n]$ .

**Theorem 3.** (Rademacher's theorem) *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be locally Lipschitz. Then  $f$  is differentiable at almost every point in  $U$ .*

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex define barycentric coordinates  $t_i: |K| \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  where

$$\sum_i t_i = 1, \quad t_i \geq 0.$$

The restrictions of coordinate functions to every simplex of  $K$  are smooth and then germs of function which are locally Lipschitz on  $|K|$  and smooth inside simplices generate a correctly defined tangent space for every interior point  $x$  of each simplex of  $K$ . If  $x \in |K[n-1]|$  then every coordinate function  $t_i$  is not differentiable at  $x$  as function on  $|K|$ . It implies that every Lipschitz function  $f(t_0, \dots, t_n)$  is not differentiable at  $x$  as well. In spite of that fact the Rademacher's theorem allows us to define the  $n-1$ -dimensional tangent space almost everywhere on the  $n-1$ -dimensional skeleton of our complex using the restriction of coordinate functions to the  $|K[n-1]|$ . It follows that we can define the tangent space almost everywhere on a skeleton of each dimension. Let  $\mathcal{C}^\infty \mathcal{L}_c(|K|)$  be a subspace of compactly supported functions in  $\mathcal{C}^\infty \mathcal{L}(|K|)$ . Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}(|K|)$ . Then define  $df$  in the sense of distributions. Due the Rademacher's theorem at almost every point  $x$  of  $|K|$  we can consider continuous germ

$$f - f(x) = \langle \nabla_x f, \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i - \xi_i(x)) \vec{e}_i \rangle + o\left(\left| \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i - \xi_i(x)) \vec{e}_i \right|\right).$$

that implies that it is reasonable to assign to  $f$  a vector

$$f - f(x) = \langle \nabla_x f, \sum_{i=1}^n (\xi_i - \xi_i(x)) \vec{e}_i \rangle \quad \text{mod } \mathfrak{o}_x.$$

And so the function  $f$  induces a cotangent vector  $df$  at almost every point  $x$  it implies that

$$\int_U df \wedge h$$

is defined for every  $U \subset |K|$ , where  $h$  is  $n - 1$ -form defined on every simplex as exterior product of differential of functions from  $\mathcal{C}^\infty \mathcal{L}_c(|K|)$ . Summarizing, we can define  $df$  over  $U$  as a functional:

$$df(h) = - \int_U f dh$$

such that the following holds for every  $h$

$$\int_U df \wedge h = - \int_U f dh.$$

As a result locally almost everywhere we have a finitely generated  $L_\infty$ -module and an epimorphism  $L_\infty^n \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$  induced by the map  $df \mapsto \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} dx_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} dx_n$ , where  $\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$  is the  $L_\infty$ -module of Lipschitz 1-forms.

**Definition 6.** We will use the following notation for  $L_p$ -norms on  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}(|K|)$ :

- $f \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{loc}}(|K|)$ ,  $\|f\|_{L_p} = (\sum_{T \in K(\{n\})} \int_T |f(x)|^p dx)^{\frac{1}{p}}$   
In the case  $p = \infty$  we put  $\|f\|_{L_\infty} = \text{ess sup } |f(x)|$

**Definition 7.** We will use the following notation for  $L_p$ -norms on spaces of differential forms on  $|K|$ :

- $\omega \in \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ ,  $\|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}} = (\|\omega\|_{L_p}^p + \|d\omega\|_{L_p}^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ ;
- $\omega \in \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(K)$ ,  $\|\omega\|_{\Omega_{\infty,\infty}} = \max\{\|\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty}, \|d\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty}\}$ .

**Definition 8.** We will define a Sobolev spaces of differential forms on  $|K|$  as the following:

$$\Omega_{p,p}^k(K) = \overline{\left( \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(K) \right)_{\Omega_{p,p}}}$$

i. e.  $\Omega_{p,p}^k(K)$  is the closure of the graded module of Lipschitz forms with respect to the norm of Sobolev spaces.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\Delta$  be a simplex and  $\partial\Delta$  be its boundary. Then any  $\omega \in \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(\partial\Delta)$  can be extended to the whole  $\Delta$  in such a way that  $\tilde{\omega} \in \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(\Delta)$  and  $\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(\Delta)} \leq \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(\partial\Delta)}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I = [0, 1]$ . Consider a Lipschitz form  $\omega \in \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(\partial I^n)$ . Our aim is to define  $\tilde{\omega} \in \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(\partial I^n \times I)$  in such a manner that

$$\tilde{\omega}|_{\partial I^n} = \omega, \text{ and } \|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(\partial I^n \times I)} \leq \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(\partial I^n)}.$$

Define a functions  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as the following


$$t \mapsto 1 - t$$

Then we can define  $\tilde{\omega}$  as the following


$$\tilde{\omega}(x, t) = f(t)\omega(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)}^p &= \int_{\partial I^n \times I} |\tilde{\omega}|^p dx dt = \int_I dt \int_{\partial I^n} |f(t)|^p |\omega(x)|^p dx \\
&= \int_0^1 |1-t|^p dt \int_{\partial I^n} |\omega(x)|^p dx \leq \frac{1}{(1+p)^p} \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)}^p \\
d\tilde{\omega} &= df \wedge \omega + f d\omega = (1-t)d\omega(x) - dt \wedge \omega(x) \\
|d\tilde{\omega}| &\leq |1-t| |d\omega(x)| + |\omega(x)| \\
\|d\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)} &\leq \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)} + \|(1-t)d\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)} \\
\|(1-t)d\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)}^p &= \int_I dt \int_{\partial I^n} |1-t|^p |d\omega(x)|^p dx = \frac{1}{(1+p)^p} \|d\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)}^p \\
\|d\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)} &\leq \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)} + \|d\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)} \\
\|d\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)}^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)}^p + 2^{p-1} \|d\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)}^p \\
\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)}^p + \|d\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n \times I)}^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)}^p + 2^{p-1} \|d\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)}^p + \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\partial I^n)}^p \\
&\leq (2^{p-1} + 1) \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(\partial I^n)}^p
\end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $\Delta$  is an  $n$ -dimensional simplex and  $\omega \in \wedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(\partial\Delta)$  is a Lipschitz form.



There exist Lipschitz map  $\gamma: \partial I^n \rightarrow \partial\Delta$  and a couple of Lipschitz maps  $g, h$  as illustrated below



We can extend  $\gamma^*\omega$  as was shown above. And then put the following  $\tilde{\omega} = (g^{-1}h^{-1})^*\gamma^*\omega$ . Hence we have  $\tilde{\omega}|_{\phi_\xi(\partial\Delta)} = 0$  and we can consider  $\tilde{\omega}$  to be zero over  $\phi_\xi(\Delta)$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimensional simplicial complex and  $K[m]$  be its  $m$ -dimensional skeleton. Then any  $\omega \in \Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m])$  can be extended to the whole  $K$  in such a way that  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{p,p}^*(K)$  and*

$$\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K)} \leq \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m])}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\omega \in \Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m])$  and there is  $\{\omega_i\} \subset \bigwedge^k \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}(K[m])$  such that  $\|\omega_i - \omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m])} \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

In the light of previous lemma there exists  $\tilde{\omega}_i \in \Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m+1])$  which satisfies the following estimation

$$\|\tilde{\omega}_i\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m+1])} \leq \|\omega_i\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m])}$$

for each  $i$ . It is not hard to see that  $\{\tilde{\omega}_i\}$  is a Cauchy sequence since the procedure of extension is linear:

$$\|\tilde{\omega}_i - \tilde{\omega}_j\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m+1])} \rightarrow 0, \quad i, j \rightarrow \infty.$$

And so

$$\lim \|\tilde{\omega}_i\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m+1])} \leq \lim \|\omega_i\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m])} = \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K[m])}$$

Denote a limit of the sequence as the following


$$\lim \tilde{\omega}_i = \tilde{\omega}$$

Repeating this construction for every dimension as a result we obtain an extension to the whole complex.  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *It is not hard to see that the same argument holds for  $S\mathcal{L}_p(K)$ .*

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $S^k$  be a  $k$ -sphere and  $B \subset S^k$  be a  $k$ -ball. Any Lipschitz  $k$ -form  $\omega \in \Omega^k(B)$  can be extended by zero to the Lipschitz  $k$ -form on  $S^k$ .*

*Proof.* There is a homotopy  $\varphi: S^k \times [0, 1] \rightarrow S^k$  such that  $\varphi(x, 0) = \text{Id}$  and  $\varphi(B, 1) = B'$  where  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$ .



So we can extend any  $k$ -form  $\omega \in \Omega^k(B)$  by a zero  $k$ -form to the whole  $S^k$ . Define first  $\omega$  over  $B'$  as follows

$$\tilde{\omega} = (\varphi_{t=1}^{-1})^* \omega.$$

Let  $\omega = f^0 df^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df^k$ . Then for every  $a \in \partial B$  we can put  $f^i(\varphi(a, t)) = f^i(a)$ . So as a result we have the following. Let  $v_1$  be a tangent vector to the curve  $\varphi(a, t): [0, 1] \rightarrow S^k$ . Consider a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_k$ . Then  $\nabla f^i$  has the zero component corresponding to the direction  $v_1$ . So we obtain

$$df^i = f_{v_2}^i v_2^* + \cdots + f_{v_k}^i v_k^*.$$

And as a result

$$df^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df^k = 0.$$

Now let  $\beta: S^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a 'bump' function such that  $\beta(B) = \{1\}$  and  $\text{supp}(\beta) \subset S^k \setminus B'$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{supp}(\beta\tilde{\omega}) &\subseteq B. \\ d(\beta\tilde{\omega}) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

□

## 5. DE RHAM OPERATORS ON SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $K$  be a complex of bounded geometry with  $L = 1$ . Then there exists the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^k(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k+1}(K) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} & & \downarrow \mathcal{R} \\ \cdots & & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k+1}(K) \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^k(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k+1}(K) \xrightarrow{d} \cdots \end{array}$$

with commutative squares in the category of Banach spaces  $\text{Ban}_\infty$ . Moreover the following holds

$$\mathcal{R} - \text{Id}_{\Omega_{p,p}^*} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}d$$

In order to prove the above theorem we will state a number of lemmas about the arrows of the diagram. Let  $K$  be a star-bounded complex. Assume that  $K'$  is the first barycentric subdivision of  $K$ . Let  $\Sigma'_i$  be the star of vertex  $e_i$  in  $K'$ . Let  $\varphi_i$  be a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi_i: \text{Int } \Sigma_i \rightarrow U$  such that  $\mathbf{B}_1 \subset U$  and  $\Sigma'_i \subset \text{Int } \varphi_i^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_1)$ .

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , define operators  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i$

$$\mathcal{R}_i \omega = \begin{cases} (\varphi_i^{-1})^* \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \varphi_i^* \omega & \text{on } \Sigma_i \\ \omega, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad ; \quad \mathcal{A}_i \omega = \begin{cases} (\varphi_i^{-1})^* \mathcal{A}_\varepsilon \varphi_i^* \omega & \text{on } \Sigma_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$


Consider operators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}\omega &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \dots \mathcal{R}_i \omega \\ \mathcal{A}\omega &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1} \mathcal{A}_i \omega \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 6.** *The arrow  $\Omega_{p,p}^*(K) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{R}} S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K)$  is a morphism in the category  $\text{Ban}_\infty$ , namely,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a bounded operator.*



*Proof.* Consider a star  $\Sigma_i$  of  $K$ . Assume that there is a set  $X_i$  such that  $\Sigma'_i \subset \text{Int } X_i \subset \text{Int } \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_1)$ . We can represent  $\omega$  as a sum  $\omega = \omega_1 + \omega_2$



where  $\omega_1 = \alpha\omega$  and  $\omega_2 = (1 - \alpha)\omega$ , i. e.  $\text{supp}(\omega_2) \subset K \setminus X_i$ .

For any  $\mathcal{R}_j$  and  $\eta \in \Omega^k(K)$  such that  $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset K \setminus X_i$ , choosing  $\varepsilon > 0$  sufficiently small, we can achieve  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{R}_j\eta) \subset K \setminus \Sigma'_i$  that implies  $\mathcal{R}_j\eta = 0$  on  $\Sigma'_i$ . Due to this fact, for each  $j$  we can choose  $\varepsilon_j$  in the definition of the operator  $\mathcal{R}_j$  in such a way that  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_j\omega_2) \subset K \setminus \Sigma'_i$  and correspondingly  $\mathcal{R}\omega = \mathcal{R}\omega_1$  on  $\Sigma'_i$ .

Let  $\Sigma_i$  be spanned by points  $\{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}\}$ . For every form  $\theta$  such that  $\text{supp}(\theta) \subset \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_1) \subset \Sigma_i$  we can see that only for  $k \in \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$  the operator  $\mathcal{R}_k$  is distinct from the identity. Choosing sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  each time we face such an operator  $R_k \in \{R_{j_1}, \dots, R_{j_n}\}$  in the composition  $\mathcal{R}_1 \mathcal{R}_2 \dots \mathcal{R}_j$  we can obtain a map preserving the support of a form derived at this step inside  $\text{Int } \Sigma_i$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_j\omega_1 = \mathcal{R}_{j_1} \dots \mathcal{R}_{j_n}\omega_1$  for each  $j$ . And so  $\mathcal{R}\omega_1 = \mathcal{R}_{j_1} \dots \mathcal{R}_{j_n}\omega_1$ .

We know that

$$\mathcal{R}_{j_k} : \Omega_p^*(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow \Omega_p^*(\Sigma_i)$$

and moreover the operator

$$\|\mathcal{R}_{j_k, (\varepsilon)}\|_p \leq 1 + \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{R}\|_p = \|\mathcal{R}_{j_1} \dots \mathcal{R}_{j_n}\|_p \leq 1 + O(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

We should make a note that  $d\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}d$  and the above argument holds for  $d\omega$ .

As a result for each  $i$  we have

$$\|\text{res}_{\Sigma'_i, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^k(\Sigma'_i)} \leq (1 + O(\varepsilon_i)) \|\text{res}_{\Sigma_i, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^k(\Sigma_i)}, \quad \varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0.$$

Due to the star-boundedness of the complex we can choose  $\varepsilon = \min_i \varepsilon_i$ . Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{p,p}^k(K)$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^k(K)} &= \sum_i \|\text{res}_{\Sigma'_i, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^k(K)} \leq \sum_i (1 + O(\varepsilon)) \|\text{res}_{\Sigma_i, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^k(\Sigma_i)} \\ &\leq \frac{(1 + O(\varepsilon))}{n} \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^k(K)} \end{aligned}$$

In the light of what we have just said,  $\mathcal{R}$  is a bounded map:

$$\mathcal{R} : \Omega_{p,p}^*(K) \rightarrow \Omega_{p,p}^*(K).$$

Moreover, it is not hard to see that  $\mathcal{R}: \Omega_{p,p}^*(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)$ . Indeed, we know that every  $\mathcal{R}_i = (\varphi_i^{-1})^* \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \varphi_i^*$ , then

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \varphi_i^*: \Omega_{p,p}^*(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow \Omega_{\text{smooth}}^*(U),$$

and  $(\varphi_i^{-1})^*$  is a Lipschitz piecewise smooth map.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *For every  $m$ -dimensional skeleton  $K[m]$  of  $K$  the operator  $\text{res}_{K[m], K} \circ \mathcal{R}$  is a morphism  $\Omega_{p,p}^*(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K[m])$  in  $\text{Ban}_\infty$  (a bounded operator).*

*Proof.* From now on we will follow the notation stated in the proof of Lemma 2. In particular, let a star  $\Sigma_i$  be spanned by points  $\{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}\}$ . Every  $n$ -dimensional simplex  $\sigma$  can be covered by stars of  $K'$

$$\sigma \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{n-1} \Sigma'_{j_k}$$

that applying Lemma 3 and the argument from the proof of Lemma 2 implies

$$\|\text{res}_{\sigma, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty^*(\sigma)} \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \|\text{res}_{\Sigma'_{j_k}, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty^*(\Sigma'_{j_k})} \leq C \sum_{k=0}^n \|\text{res}_{\Sigma_{j_k}, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\Sigma_{j_k})}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \|\text{res}_{\sigma_i, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty^*(\sigma_i)} &\leq C \sum_i \sum_{k=0}^n \|\text{res}_{\Sigma_{j_k}^i, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\Sigma_{j_k}^i)} \\ &\leq CN \sum_j \|\text{res}_{\Sigma_j, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\Sigma_j)} \leq CNn \sum_i \|\text{res}_{\sigma_i, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(\sigma_i)} = C' \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{res}_{K[m], K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(K[m])} &= \sum_i \|\text{res}_{\tau_i, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(\tau_i)} \\ &\leq \sum_i (\text{mes } \tau_i)^{\frac{1}{p}} \|\text{res}_{\tau_i, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty^k(\tau_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\|\text{res}_{\tau_i, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty^k(\tau_i)} \leq \sum_{\sigma \in K[n], \tau_i \hookrightarrow \sigma} \|\text{res}_{\sigma, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty^k(\sigma)}$$

As a result we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\text{res}_{K[m], K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(K[m])} &\leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{m+1}}{m! \sqrt{2^m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \sum_i \sum_{\sigma \in K[n], \tau_i \hookrightarrow \sigma} \|\text{res}_{\sigma, K} \circ \mathcal{R}\omega\|_{\Omega_\infty^k(\sigma)} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{m+1}}{m! \sqrt{2^m}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \binom{n+1}{m+1} C' \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^*(K)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we should again make a note that  $d\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}d$  and the above argument holds for  $d\omega$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *The arrow  $\Omega_{p,p}^k(K) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}} \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K)$  is a morphism in the category  $\text{Ban}_\infty$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega \in \Omega_{p,p}^k(M)$  consider  $\mathcal{A}_i\omega$ . It is not hard to see that  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{A}_i\omega) \subset \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_1)$ . Indeed,  $\mathfrak{s}_{tv}^*$  acts on the complement  $K \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_1)$  leaving points fixed. It follows that  $\mathfrak{X}_v = 0$  and, consequently,  $\iota_{\mathfrak{X}_v}$  is a zero map at every point belonging  $K \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_1)$ .

Let  $\Sigma_i$  be spanned by points  $\{e_{j_1}, \dots, e_{j_n}\}$ . For every form compactly supported inside  $\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{B}_1) \subset \Sigma_i$  there are only a fixed number of operators, namely  $\mathcal{R}_{j_1}, \dots, \mathcal{R}_{j_n}$ , which are distinct from the identity. Similarly to the above we can choose sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$  for each operator  $\mathcal{R}_{j_k}$  in the composition  $\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i$ , that allows us to preserve the support of a form derived under every partial composition inside  $\text{Int } \Sigma_i$ . Then we have  $\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i\omega = \mathcal{R}_{j_1} \dots \mathcal{R}_{j_n}\mathcal{A}_i\omega$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{j_1} \dots \mathcal{R}_{j_n}\mathcal{A}_i\omega = 0$  outside  $\Sigma_i$ . Now we can estimate the norm  $\|\mathcal{A}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^{k-1}(K)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{R}_{j_1} \dots \mathcal{R}_{j_n}\mathcal{A}_i\omega\|_{\Omega_p^{k-1}(\Sigma_i)} &\leq C_p^n M_p \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(\Sigma_i)}. \\ \|\mathcal{A}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^{k-1}(K)}^p &= \|\mathcal{A}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^{k-1}(K)}^p + \|d\mathcal{A}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^{k-1}(K)}^p \\ &= \int_K \left| \sum_i \mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i\omega \right|^p dx + \int_K \left| \sum_i d\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i\omega \right|^p dx \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in K[n]} \left( \int_{\sigma} \left| \sum_i \text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i\omega \right|^p dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\sigma} \left| \sum_i \text{res}_{\sigma, K} d\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i\omega \right|^p dx \right) \end{aligned}$$

Every simplex  $\sigma = \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}$  is the intersection of stars assigned to its vertices  $\sigma = \bigcap_{e_i \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \Sigma_i$ , and moreover  $\sigma$  lies in no other star. And it follows that

$$\sum_i \text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i\omega = \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{j-1}\mathcal{A}_j\omega$$

and

$$\sum_i \text{res}_{\sigma, K} d\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{i-1}\mathcal{A}_i\omega = \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \text{res}_{\sigma, K} d\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{j-1}\mathcal{A}_j\omega$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{A}\omega\|_{\Omega_p^{k-1}(K)}^p &= \sum_{\sigma \in K[n]} \int_{\sigma} \left| \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{j-1}\mathcal{A}_j\omega \right|^p dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{\sigma \in K[n]} \int_{\sigma} \left| \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \text{res}_{\sigma, K} d\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{j-1}\mathcal{A}_j\omega \right|^p dx \\ &= (n+1)^{p-1} \sum_{\sigma \in K[n]} \int_{\sigma} \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} |\text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{j-1}\mathcal{A}_j\omega|^p dx \\ &\quad + (n+1)^{p-1} \sum_{\sigma \in K[n]} \int_{\sigma} \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} |\text{res}_{\sigma, K} d\mathcal{R}_1 \dots \mathcal{R}_{j-1}\mathcal{A}_j\omega|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

Let us check the following

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\sigma} \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} |\text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots R_{j-1} \mathcal{A}_j \omega|^p dx \\
&= \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \int_{\sigma} |\text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots R_{j-1} \mathcal{A}_j \omega|^p dx \\
&\leq \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \int_{\Sigma_j} |\mathcal{R}_1 \dots R_{j-1} \mathcal{A}_j \omega|^p dx \\
&= \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \int_{\Sigma_j} |\mathcal{R}_{j_0} \dots R_{j_n} \mathcal{A}_j \omega|^p dx \\
&= \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} \|\mathcal{R}_{j_0} \dots R_{j_n} \mathcal{A}_j \omega\|_{\Omega_p^{k-1}(\Sigma_j)}^p \\
&\leq \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} C_p^n M_p \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(\Sigma_j)}
\end{aligned}$$

That implies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in K[n]} \int_{\sigma} \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} |\text{res}_{\sigma, K} \mathcal{R}_1 \dots R_{j-1} \mathcal{A}_j \omega|^p dx \\
&\leq \sum_{\sigma \in K[n]} \sum_{e_j \in \{e_0, \dots, e_n\}} C' \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(\Sigma_j)} \leq C'(n+1) \|\omega\|_{\Omega_p^k(K)}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can estimate  $\|d_{\mathcal{A}} \omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K)}^p$ . And as a result we have

$$\|d_{\mathcal{A}} \omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K)}^p \leq C'' \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^k(K)}^p$$

□

Summing up the results of preceding lemmas we can conclude that the *Theorem 4* holds.

**Theorem 5.** *There is an isomorphism  $H^k(S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)) \cong H^k(\Omega_{p,p}^*(K))$  in the category  $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\omega$  be a form lying in  $\Omega_{p,p}^*(K)$  such that  $\omega \in \ker d$ . Due to the existence of homotopy between  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $\text{Id}_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(K)}$  we can see that  $\mathcal{R}\omega \in S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)$  and  $\omega$  belong to the same cohomological class in  $H^k(\Omega_{p,p}^*(K))$ . □

## 6. DE RHAM THEOREM

Let  $K$  be an  $n$ -dimension simplicial complex and  $S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)$  be a chain complex of differential forms of Lipschitz-Sullivan's type on  $K$ . Put the following

$$\mathcal{I} : \omega \mapsto f_{\omega}(\sigma) = \int_{\sigma} \omega$$

In order to prove the lemma below we will introduce an  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear map

$$\mathcal{W} : C_p^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K).$$

Due to Hassler Whitney's works, where such transformation was introduced, see for example [10], an object in  $\mathscr{W}$  is usually called the set of Whitney's forms.

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex there exists a short strictly exact sequence of the following type in the category of Banach spaces  $\text{Ban}_\infty$ :*

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker^k(\mathcal{I}) \longrightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{I}} C_p^k(K) \longrightarrow 0$$

In other words, the map  $\mathcal{I}$  is a split epimorphism

$$S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) \cong C_p^k(K) \oplus \ker^k(\mathcal{I})$$

*Proof.* First of all, we should confirm that  $\mathcal{I}$  is in fact a morphism between  $L_p$ -spaces. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{I}\omega\|_{C_p^k(K)}^p &= \sum_{\tau \in K[k]} \left| \int_{\tau} \omega \right|^p \leq \sum_{\tau \in K[k]} \left( \int_{\tau} |\omega| \right)^p \\ &\leq \{\text{mes } \tau\}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{\tau \in K[k]} \int_{\tau} |\omega|^p \right) \leq \left( \frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{k! \sqrt{2^k}} \right)^{p-1} \|\omega\|_{S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K)}^p \end{aligned}$$

Assume  $c \in C_p^k(K)$ , let us represent  $c$  as a sum in the Banach space which converges absolutely

$$c = \sum_{\sigma \in K([k])} c(\sigma) \chi_{\sigma}, \text{ where } \chi_{\sigma}(\sigma') = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \sigma' = \sigma; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

that is,  $\sum_{\sigma \in K([k])} |c(\sigma)|^p < \infty$ . In other words we can define  $C_c^k(K)$  as a closure of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space  $C_c^k(K)$  generated by elements  $\{\chi_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in K([k])}$ , or equivalently a closure of  $\mathbb{R}$ -vector space of compactly supported cochains.

Define  $\mathscr{W}$  as the following. Firstly, let us write it down for elements of basis

$$\mathscr{W}(\chi_{\sigma}) = k! \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i t_i dt_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i-1} \wedge dt_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k,$$

where  $\{t_i\}$  is a set of barycentric coordinates assigned to vertices of the simplex  $\sigma$ . The  $\text{supp}(\mathscr{W}(\chi_{\sigma}))$  contains only one simplex belonging  $k$ -skeleton of  $K$ , namely  $\sigma$ . It implies that any sum  $\mathscr{W}(\chi_{\sigma}) + \mathscr{W}(\chi_{\sigma'})$  can be uniquely restricted to every  $k$ -simplex. Then we can extend our map to the space  $C_c^k(K)$  by linearity. It is clear that  $\mathscr{W}(C_c^k(K))$  is a set of compactly supported differential forms, and moreover, the support of every form  $\mathscr{W}(\chi_{\sigma})$  contains at most  $N$  simplexes due to star-boundedness of the complex. Let us check that  $\mathscr{W}: C_c^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K)$  is a bounded map. Assume that

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^m c(\tau_i) \chi_{\tau_i}$$

then

$$\|\mathscr{W}(c)\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma)}^p \leq \binom{n+1}{k+1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^m |c(\tau_i)|^p \|\mathscr{W}(\chi_{\tau_i})\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma)}^p.$$

As mentioned above, there is only a finite number  $q$  of simplexes belonging to the support  $\bigcup_{i=1}^m \text{supp}(\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\tau_i}))$  of  $\mathcal{W}(c)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{W}(c)\|_{SL_p(K)}^p &= \sum_{j=1}^q \|\mathcal{W}(c)\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma_j)}^p \\ &\leq \binom{n+1}{k+1}^{p-1} \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^m |c(\tau_i)|^p \|\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\tau_i})\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma_j)}^p \end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to see that there is a constant  $C$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\tau_i})\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma_j)}^p \leq C, \text{ if } \tau_i \text{ is a } k\text{-face of } \sigma_j,$$

and

$$\|\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\tau_i})\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma_j)}^p = 0, \text{ otherwise.}$$

As a result we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^q \sum_{i=1}^m |c(\tau_i)|^p \|\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\tau_i})\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma_j)}^p &= \sum_{i=1}^m |c(\tau_i)|^p \sum_{j=1}^q \|\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\tau_i})\|_{\Omega_{\infty, \infty}(\sigma_j)}^p \\ &\leq NC \sum_{i=1}^m |c(\tau_i)|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the map

$$\mathcal{W} : C_c^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K)$$

enjoys the following property

$$\|\mathcal{W}(c)\|_{S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K)}^p \leq NC \binom{n+1}{k+1}^{p-1} \|c\|_{C_p^k(K)}$$

Now we can extend  $\mathcal{W}$  to the closure  $\overline{(C_c^k(K))}_{\|\cdot\|_{C_p^k}} = C_p^k(K)$  by

$$\mathcal{W}(c) = \sum_{\sigma \in K([k])} c(\sigma) \mathcal{W}(\chi_{\sigma}) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}(c_i), \text{ as } c_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} c, \{c_i\} \subset C_c^k(K),$$

and it follows that

$$\mathcal{W} : C_p^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K).$$

It remains to verify that  $\mathcal{S}$  is a retraction. First, let  $\sigma \in K[k]$  it is clear that  $\text{supp}(\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\sigma})) \cap K[k] = \{\sigma\}$  and so

$$\langle \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{W}(\chi_{\sigma}), (\sigma') \rangle = 0, \text{ if } \sigma' \neq \sigma.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{W}(\chi_{\sigma}) = k! \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i t_i dt_0 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i-1} \wedge dt_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k,$$

so we have  $t_0 = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^k t_i$  inside  $\sigma$ . As a result we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{k!} \int_{\sigma} \mathcal{W}(\chi_{\sigma}) &= \int_{\sigma} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^k t_i) dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k \\
 &+ \int_{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i t_i d(1 - \sum_{j=1}^k t_j) \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i-1} \wedge dt_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k \\
 &= \int_{\sigma} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^k t_i) dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k \\
 &+ \int_{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} t_i d(\sum_{j=1}^k t_j) \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i-1} \wedge dt_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k \\
 &= \int_{\sigma} (1 - \sum_{i=1}^k t_i) dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k \\
 &+ \int_{\sigma} \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} t_i dt_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i-1} \wedge dt_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k \\
 &= \int_{\sigma} dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k = \frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{k! \sqrt{2^k}}
 \end{aligned}$$

since

$$dt_i \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i-1} \wedge dt_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k = (-1)^{i-1} dt_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{i-1} \wedge dt_i \wedge dt_{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_k.$$

Hence we have

$$\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}(\chi_{\sigma}) = \frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{\sqrt{2^k}} \chi_{\sigma}$$

and so for  $c \in C_p^k(K)$  the following holds

$$\mathcal{I} \circ \mathcal{W}(c) = \frac{\sqrt{k+1}}{\sqrt{2^k}} c.$$

Now we can denote

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}} = \frac{\sqrt{2^k}}{\sqrt{k+1}} \mathcal{W}$$

that implies that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C_p^k(K) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathcal{W}}} & S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) \\
 & \searrow \text{Id}_{C_p^k(K)} & \downarrow \mathcal{I} \\
 & & C_p^k(K)
 \end{array}$$

and  $\mathcal{I}$  is a retraction of morphism  $\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ . □

**Lemma 10.** *In the following diagram in  $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{R}}$*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & 0 & & \\
 & \searrow & \text{---} & \searrow & \\
 \text{im}(d^k) & \longrightarrow & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k+1}(K) & \xrightarrow{d^{k+1}} & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k+2}(K) \\
 & \swarrow & \uparrow & & \\
 & & \text{ker}(\mathcal{I}) & & 
 \end{array}$$

the map  $\text{ker}(\mathcal{I})$  factors through  $\text{im}(d^k)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\omega \in \text{ker}(\mathcal{I})$  is  $k$ -form. Let  $\sigma$  be a  $k$ -dimensional simplex. Consider a complex

$$0 \longrightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^0(\sigma, \partial\sigma) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \xrightarrow{d} S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(\sigma, \partial\sigma) \xrightarrow{d} S\mathcal{L}_p^k(\sigma, \partial\sigma) \longrightarrow 0$$

It is known that

$$H^i(S\mathcal{L}_p^*(\sigma, \partial\sigma)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{for } i = k, \\ 0 & \text{for } i < k. \end{cases}$$

in particular, we can say that the cohomology group  $H^k(\Omega_p^*(\sigma, \partial\sigma))$  consists of elements of the following type  $[c\omega]$  where  $\omega$  is a cocycle such that  $\int_{\sigma} \omega \neq 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . To put it otherwise, every closed form  $\theta$  can be presented as below

$$\theta = c\omega + d\eta, \quad c = \frac{\int_{\sigma} \theta}{\int_{\sigma} \omega}.$$

Hence we can say that  $\text{im}(d^{k-1})$  is precisely a set of forms which have zero integral over  $\sigma$ . Since those forms constitute a closed set, so do elements of  $\text{im}(d^{k-1})$ . Thereby the following map

$$d: S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(\sigma, \partial\sigma) \rightarrow \text{im}(d^{k-1})$$

is an epimorphism in the category  $\text{Ban}_{\infty}$  and by the Banach inverse operator theorem there exists a constant  $C$  such that for  $d: \eta \mapsto \text{res}_{\sigma, K}\omega$  we have the estimation  $\|\eta\|_{\Omega_{\infty}} \leq C\|\text{res}_{\sigma, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_{\infty}}$ .

To summarize, for every  $\sigma \in K[k]$  there exists  $\eta_{\sigma} \in S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(\sigma, \partial\sigma)$  such that  $\text{res}_{\sigma, K}\omega = d\eta_{\sigma}$ . Moreover, for the set of forms  $\{\eta_{\sigma}\}_{\sigma \in K[k]}$  holds  $\text{res}_{\sigma' \cap \sigma, \sigma}\eta_{\sigma} = \text{res}_{\sigma' \cap \sigma, \sigma'}\eta_{\sigma'} = 0$  for any couple of simplexes  $\sigma, \sigma' \in K[k]$ . As a result we have a  $\text{res}_{K[k], K}\omega = d\eta$  where  $\text{res}_{\sigma, K[k]}\eta = \eta_{\sigma}$  and

$$\|\eta\|_{S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K[k])}^p = \sum_{\sigma \in K[k]} \|\eta_{\sigma}\|_{\Omega_{\infty}^*(\sigma)}^p \leq C \sum_{\sigma \in K[k]} \|\text{res}_{\sigma, K}\omega\|_{\Omega_{\infty}^*(\sigma)}^p \leq C\|\omega\|_{S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K)}^p.$$

Then we have a bounded map

$$\gamma: S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K[k], K[k-1])$$

It is known that for every simplex  $\delta \in K[k+1]$  and every form  $\alpha \in S\mathcal{L}_p^k(\partial\delta)$  there is a morphism of normed spaces  $s: S\mathcal{L}_p^k(\partial\delta) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(\delta)$  continuing forms off the boundary of simplex to its interior.

In the light of previous steps, it was in fact established that

$$s\gamma: S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K[k+1])$$



is a bounded map. Let us look at  $\omega' = \text{res}_{K[k+1], K}\omega - d(s\gamma\omega)$ . It is clear that  $\omega'$  is a closed form, as well as  $\omega$ , and  $\omega' \in S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K[k+1], K[k])$ . The restriction of  $\omega'$  to every simplex  $\sigma \in K[k+1]$  is an exact form since  $H^k(S\mathcal{L}_p^*(\sigma, \partial\sigma)) = 0$ . In other words  $\text{im}(d^k) = \ker(d^{k+1})$  and

$$d: S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(\sigma, \partial\sigma) \rightarrow \text{Im}(d^{k-1})$$

is an epimorphism in the category  $\text{Ban}_\infty$ . Using the Banach inverse operator theorem and the above argument we can see that there exists a bounded map

$$\gamma^1: S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K[k+1], K[k])$$

Then we can take

$$s\gamma^1: S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K[k+2])$$

and so on. In essence, repeating this construction for every dimension as a result we obtain a finite composition of bounded operators which can be presented as follows

$$\ker(\mathcal{I}) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K).$$

And moreover, the map  $\ker(\mathcal{I})$  factors through  $\text{im}(d^k)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Assume  $K$  is a simplicial complex of bounded geometry then the following cohomology groups are isomorphic in the category  $\text{Vec}_\mathbb{R}$ :*

$$H^k(C_p^*(K)) \cong H^k(S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)).$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(S\mathcal{L}_p^*(K)) &\cong H^k(C_p^*(K) \oplus \ker^* \mathcal{I}) \\ &\cong H^k(C_p^*(K)) \oplus H^k(\ker^* \mathcal{I}) \cong H^k(C_p^*(K)) \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

## A. APPENDIX

It is not hard to see that obtained results hold for metric simplicial complexes of bounded geometry with arbitrary  $L \geq 1$ . We should notice that such complexes emerge naturally as a triangulation of Riemannian manifolds with bounded geometry (see, for example, [1], [2]):

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold of bounded geometry with geometric bounds  $a, b, \epsilon$ . Then  $M$  admits a triangulation  $K$  of bounded geometry (whose geometric bounds depend on  $n, a, b, \epsilon$ ) and an  $L$ -bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $f: K \rightarrow M$ , where  $L = L(n, a, b, \epsilon)$ .*

Also we can generalize our results in the following way.

**Definition 9.** *We will call an  $n$ -bouquet of  $m$  balls a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  obtained as a union of  $m$   $n$ -balls of radius 1 with a common centre.*

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $R$  be an  $n$ -bouquet. Then any  $\omega \in \Omega_{p,p}^k(R)$  can be extended to an  $n+1$ -ball  $B_1$  of radius 1 in such a way that  $\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega_{p,p}(B_1)$  and  $\|\tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(B_1)} \leq \|\omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}^*(R)}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\omega \in \Omega_{p,p}^k(R)$ . Due to the definition of an  $n$ -bouquet  $B_1 \setminus R$  is a number of disconnected sets which are contractible to a point.

$$B_1 \setminus R = \coprod N_i$$

Fix some  $i$  and let  $M = R \cap \overline{N}_i$ . So  $M \times [0, 1]$  is homeomorphic to  $\overline{N}_i$  and  $M$  is a retract of  $N_i$ . Then using the argument of *Lemma 4* we can define a bounded map

$$\rho: \Omega_{p,p}^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{p,p}^k(M \times [0, 1])$$

as follows

$$\omega \mapsto \tilde{\omega} = (1 - s)\omega.$$

□

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $K$  be a complex of bounded geometry and a star  $\Sigma_i$  of  $K$  at a vertex  $e_i$  is homeomorphic to an  $n$ -bouquets. Then there exist operators  $\mathcal{R}_i$  and  $\mathcal{A}_i$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^k(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k+1}(K) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \\ & & \downarrow \mathcal{R}_i & & \downarrow \mathcal{R}_i & & \downarrow \mathcal{R}_i \\ \dots & & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_i} & S\mathcal{L}_p^k(K) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}_i} & S\mathcal{L}_p^{k+1}(K) \dots \\ & & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow & \swarrow & \downarrow \\ \dots & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^k(K) & \xrightarrow{d} & \Omega_{p,p}^{k+1}(K) \xrightarrow{d} \dots \end{array}$$

has commutative squares in the category of Banach spaces  $\mathbf{Ban}_\infty$ . Moreover the following holds

$$\mathcal{R}_i - \text{Id}_{\Omega_{p,p}^*} = d\mathcal{A}_i + \mathcal{A}_i d$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $X \in T(M \times [0, 1])$  then

$$X = a \frac{\partial}{\partial s} + V, \quad V \in T(M)$$

and

$$\iota_X((1-s)\omega) = (1-s)\omega \iota_X(\omega) = (1-s)\iota_V(\omega)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_i \tilde{\omega} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \left( \int_0^1 \iota_{\mathfrak{x}_{\varepsilon x}(\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon x t}(t))}(\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon x t}^* \tilde{\omega}) dt \right) \cdot \tau(x) \\ &= C(s) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left( \int_0^1 \iota_{\mathfrak{x}_{\varepsilon v}(\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon x t}(t))}(\mathfrak{s}_{\varepsilon v t}^* \omega) dt \right) \cdot \tau(v) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\|\mathcal{A}_i \tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_{p,p}(M \times [0, 1])} = \|C(s)\mathcal{A}_i \omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}(M \times [0, 1])} = C \|\mathcal{A}_i \omega\|_{\Omega_{p,p}(M)}$$

and so

$$\|\mathcal{A}_i \tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_{p,p}(B_1)} = C \|\mathcal{A}_i \tilde{\omega}\|_{\Omega_{p,p}(R)}.$$

Let a star  $\Sigma_i$  of complex  $K$  is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to an  $n$ -bouquet  $R$

$$\varphi: \Sigma_i \rightarrow R$$

As above we have a bounded map

$$\rho: \Omega_{p,p}^k(R) \rightarrow \Omega_{p,p}^k(B_1)$$

It was shown that there exists a bounded map

$$\rho^{-1}\mathcal{A}_i\rho$$

moreover

$$\rho^{-1}\mathcal{R}_i\rho$$

is bounded as well since  $\mathcal{R}_i\rho(\omega) \in S\mathcal{L}_p^k(B_1)$ . And as a result we can define

$$\varphi^{-1}\rho^{-1}\mathcal{A}_i\rho\varphi: \Omega_{p,p}^k(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow \Omega_{p,p}^{k-1}(\Sigma_i)$$

and

$$\varphi^{-1}\rho^{-1}\mathcal{R}_i\rho\varphi: \Omega_{p,p}^k(\Sigma_i) \rightarrow S\mathcal{L}_p^k(\Sigma_i).$$

In the light of the above de Rham regularization preserves all established properties for simplicial complexes with stars which are homeomorphic to  $n$ -bouquets.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] O. Attie, *Quasi-isometry classification of some manifolds of bounded geometry*, Mathematische Zeitschrift, **216** (1994), 501-527
- [2] C. Druţu and M. Kapovich, *Geometric Group Theory*, Volume 63 of Colloquium Publication, American Mathematical Soc., 2018
- [3] V. Goldshtein, V. Kuzminov, I. Shvedov, *Differential forms on a Lipschitz manifold* Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., **23:2** (1982), 16-30; English transl.: Siberian Math. J., **23:2** (1982), 151-161
- [4] V. Goldshtein, V. Kuzminov, I. Shvedov, *A property of de Rham regularisation operators* Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., **25:2** (1984), 104-111; English transl.: Siberian Math. J., **25:2** (1984), 251-257
- [5] V. Goldshtein, V. Kuzminov, I. Shvedov, *The de Rham isomorphism of the  $L_p$ -cohomology of noncompact Riemannian manifolds* Sibirsk. Mat. Zh., **29:2** (1988), 34-44; English transl.: Siberian Math. J., **29:2** (1988), 190-197
- [6] G. de Rham, *Differentiable manifolds*, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1984
- [7] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1991
- [8] D. Sullivan, *Infinitesimal computation in topology*, Publications Mathématiques de L'Institut des Hautes Scientifiques **47** (1977), 269-331
- [9] F. W. Warner, *Foundation of Differential manifolds and Lie groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, NY, 1983
- [10] H. Whitney, *Geometric integration theory*, Princeton Legacy Library, Princeton University Press, 1957

V. GOL'DSHTAIN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P. O. BOX 653, BEER SHEVA, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* vladimir@bgu.ac.il

R. PANENKO: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, P. O. BOX 653, BEER SHEVA, ISRAEL  
*Email address:* panenkora@gmail.com