

THE WEYL TRANSFORM OF A MEASURE

MANSI MISHRA AND M. K. VEMURI

ABSTRACT. (1) Suppose μ is a smooth measure on a hypersurface of positive Gaussian curvature in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . If $n \geq 2$, then $W(\mu)$, the Weyl transform of μ , is a compact operator, and if $p > n \geq 6$ then $W(\mu)$ belongs to the p -Schatten class.

(2) There exist Schatten class operators with linearly dependent quantum translates.

1. INTRODUCTION

Suppose S is a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, whose Gaussian curvature is nonzero everywhere. By a *smooth measure on S* we mean a measure of the form $\mu = \psi\sigma$ where σ is the measure on S induced by the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n and ψ is a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^n whose support intersects S in a compact set. In Stein's book [9, p348, Theorem 1] (see also [7, Theorem 7.7.14]), it is proved that for such a measure,

$$|\widehat{\mu}(\xi)| \leq A \|\xi\|^{(1-n)/2},$$

where $\widehat{\mu}$ denotes the Fourier transform of the measure μ . A brief history of this problem is given in Stein's book. It follows from this inequality that, for $p > 2n/(n-1)$,

$$(1) \quad \widehat{\mu} \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . If $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, the *Weyl Transform* of f is the operator $W(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(W(f)\varphi)(t) = \iint f(x, y) e^{\pi i(x \cdot y + 2y \cdot t)} \varphi(t + x) dx dy.$$

More generally, if λ is a finite measure on \mathbb{R}^{2n} , the *Weyl Transform* of λ is the operator $W(\lambda) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by

$$(W(\lambda)\varphi)(t) = \int e^{\pi i(x \cdot y + 2y \cdot t)} \varphi(t + x) d\lambda(x, y).$$

Date: November 24, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 22D10, 22E30, 43A05, 43A80, 47B10.

Key words and phrases. Curvature; Heisenberg group; Schatten class.

The Weyl transform is closely related to the group Fourier transform on the Heisenberg group. Recall that the Heisenberg group G is the set of triples

$$\{(x, y, z) | x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| = 1\}$$

with multiplication defined by

$$(x, y, z)(x', y', z') = \left(x + x', y + y', zz' e^{\pi i(x \cdot y' - y \cdot x')} \right).$$

According to the *Stone-von Neumann Theorem*, there is a unique irreducible unitary representation ρ of G such that

$$\rho(0, 0, z) = zI.$$

The standard realization of this representation is on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} by the action

$$(2) \quad (\rho(x, y, z)\varphi)(t) = ze^{\pi i(x \cdot y + 2y \cdot t)} \varphi(t + x).$$

Thus, the Weyl transform of λ may be expressed as

$$(3) \quad W(\lambda) = \int \rho(x, y, 1) d\lambda(x, y),$$

where the integral is the weak integral as defined in [8, Definition 3.26]. Let $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ denote the p^{th} Schatten class of \mathcal{H} . There are analogues of the Plancherel theorem and the Hausdorff-Young theorem for the Weyl transform. Namely, W extends to an isometric isomorphism $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow S^2(\mathcal{H})$, and to a bounded linear map $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) \rightarrow S^{p'}$ where $1 \leq p \leq 2$ and $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ (see e.g. [3, Theorem 1.30] and [13, Theorem 1.3.3]).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem, which is an analogue of Equation (1) for the Weyl transform, and is proved in Section 2.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose S is a compact connected hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , $n \geq 2$, whose Gaussian curvature is positive everywhere. Let μ be a smooth measure on S . Then $W(\mu)$ is a compact operator. Moreover, when $n \geq 6$ and $p > n$,*

$$W(\mu) \in S^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

We conjecture that $W(\mu) \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$ if $p > 4n/(2n - 1)$, with no restriction on n . We cannot do better because of the following example. Let μ_r denote the normalized surface measure on the sphere $|z| = r$ in \mathbb{C}^n . In [11, Proposition 4.1], Thangavelu proved that

$$W(\mu_r) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k!(n-1)!}{(k+n-1)!} \varphi_k(r) P_k,$$

where φ_k are the Laguerre functions of type $(n - 1)$ and P_k is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto the k^{th} eigenspace of the harmonic oscillator. It follows from the asymptotic properties of the Laguerre functions (see [10, Theorem 8.22.1]) that,

$$W(\mu_r) \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$$

if and only if $p > 4n/(2n - 1)$.

In [2, Example 2.4], Edgar and Rosenblatt proved that there exists a function in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $n \geq 2$, that has linearly dependent translates when $p > 2n/(n - 1)$. In Section 3, Theorem 1.1 is applied to prove an analogue of the result in [2] to quantum translates of a Schatten class operator.

2. THE PROOF

Let S be a compact connected hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Assume that S has a positive Gaussian curvature everywhere. Observe that this actually implies that S has positive definite second fundamental form, i.e., S is strictly convex (see [6, p122, Theorem 2.1]).

Let $\Pi_i : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $i = 1, 2$, be the projection maps on S defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) &= (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad \text{and} \\ \Pi_2(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) &= (y_1, \dots, y_n). \end{aligned}$$

We will first prove Theorem 1.1 under the following additional assumption.

Assumption 2.1. *The support of μ is contained in the set of regular points of Π_1 .*

Let U_1 denote the set of regular points of Π_1 and

$$W_1 = \{x \in \Pi_1(S) \mid x \text{ is a regular value for } \Pi_1\}.$$

Then W_1 is open by the implicit function theorem. Observe that $\Pi_1(U_1) = W_1$. Indeed, if x is the image under Π_1 of a regular point of Π_1 , then x cannot be the image of a critical point of Π_1 because S is strictly convex.

Let $S_x = \Pi_1^{-1}\{x\}$. We need the following lemma, whose proof is given in the appendix.

Lemma 2.2. *Whenever $x \in W_1$, the set $\Pi_2(S_x)$ is a smooth hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n whose Gaussian curvature is bounded below by a positive constant independent of x .*

Let \mathcal{J}_{Π_i} denote the normal Jacobian of Π_i , i.e., the absolute value of the determinant of $d\Pi_i$ restricted to the orthogonal complement of its kernel. Then \mathcal{J}_{Π_1} is strictly positive on U_1 and hence has a positive minimum on the support of μ .

By the coarea formula (see [1, p159]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(W(\mu)\varphi)(t) &= \int_S e^{\pi i(x \cdot y + 2y \cdot t)} \varphi(t+x) d\mu(x, y) \\
&= \int_S e^{\pi i(x \cdot y + 2y \cdot t)} \varphi(t+x) \psi(x, y) d\sigma(x, y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(t+x) \int_{S_x} \frac{e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi(x, y)}{\mathcal{J}_{\Pi_1}(x, y)} d\sigma_x(y) dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where σ_x denotes the volume measure on S_x . Let $\psi_1 = \psi/\mathcal{J}_{\Pi_1}$. Then ψ_1 is a compactly supported smooth function on U_1 . Observe that

$$\int_{S_x} \frac{e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi(x, y)}{\mathcal{J}_{\Pi_1}(x, y)} d\sigma_x(y) = \widehat{\eta}_x(x+2t),$$

where $\eta_x = \Pi_{2*}(\psi_1(x, \cdot) d\sigma_x)$ is the pushforward of the measure $\psi_1(x, \cdot) d\sigma_x$ by Π_2 , which is a smooth measure supported on $\Pi_2(S_x)$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2 and [7, Theorem 7.7.14], there exists a constant C independent of x such that

$$|\widehat{\eta}_x(t)| \leq C \|t\|^{(1-n)/2}.$$

Also, since the measure η_x is nonzero only when $x \in \Pi_1(S)$, it follows that $\widehat{\eta}_x(t)$ is nonzero only when $x \in \Pi_1(S)$.

Thus $W(\mu)$ is an integral operator given by the kernel

$$k(t, u) = \widehat{\eta}_{u-t}(u+t),$$

and the kernel k satisfies

$$(4) \quad |k(t, u)| \leq C \|t+u\|^{(1-n)/2}$$

and $k(t, u) = 0$ if $\|t-u\| > R$ for some constants C and R . We will now show that such an integral operator is compact.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $R > 0$ and $\alpha < 0$. If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f(t) = O(\|t\|^\alpha)$ as $\|t\| \rightarrow \infty$ and $g = f * \chi_{B_R}$ then $g(t) = O(\|t\|^\alpha)$ as $\|t\| \rightarrow \infty$.*

Proof. Since $f(t) = O(\|t\|^\alpha)$ as $\|t\| \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a constant C such that

$$|f(t)| \leq C \|t\|^\alpha$$

for large enough t . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |g(t)| &= \left| \int f(t-u) \chi_{B_R}(u) du \right| \\ &\leq \int_{B_R} |f(t-u)| du \\ &\leq C \int_{B_R} \|t-u\|^\alpha du. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the mean value theorem that there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$\left| \|t-u\|^\alpha - \|t\|^\alpha \right| \leq \alpha \|t-\lambda u\|^{\alpha-1} \|u\|.$$

Therefore

$$\|t-u\|^\alpha \leq \|t\|^\alpha + \alpha R (\|t\| - \lambda R)^{\alpha-1}.$$

Hence, for large enough t , there exists a constant C' such that

$$|g(t)| \leq C' \|t\|^\alpha.$$

□

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int |k(t, -u)| dt &= \int_{B_r(-u)} |k(t, -u)| dt \\ &\leq C \int_{B_r(-u)} \|u-t\|^{(1-n)/2} dt \\ &\leq C \int \chi_{B_r(-u)}(t) \|u-t\|^{(1-n)/2} dt \\ &= C \|\cdot\|^{(1-n)/2} * \chi_{B_r(-u)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.3, for large enough u ,

$$\int |k(t, -u)| dt \leq C' \|u\|^{(1-n)/2}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \int |k(t, u)| dt = 0.$$

Similarly, we can conclude that

$$\lim_{\|t\| \rightarrow \infty} \int |k(t, u)| du = 0.$$

Compactness of $W(\mu)$ follows from the generalized Young's inequality (see [4, p9]).

Recall that the Laplacian is the differential operator

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t_i^2}.$$

Definition 2.4. The harmonic oscillator is the differential operator

$$H = -\Delta + \|t\|^2.$$

The Hermite functions Φ_α , for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ a multi-index, are the eigenfunctions of the harmonic oscillator corresponding to the eigenvalues $(2|\alpha| + n)$, where $|\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j$ (see [13, p18]). Therefore, for $p > n$,

$$(5) \quad H^{-1} \in S^p(\mathcal{H}).$$

It follows from the dominated convergence theorem that

$$H(W(\mu)\varphi)(t) = \int (-\Delta_t + \|t\|^2)k(t, u)\varphi(u) du.$$

Let $i_x : S_x \rightarrow U_1$ denote the inclusion map. Observe that there exists a differential form ω on U_1 such that the measure on S_x induced by $i_x^*\omega$ is σ_x , where $i_x^*\omega$ denotes the pullback of ω by i_x .

Fix a point $x \in W_1$. Let $\tau \in C_0^\infty(W_1)$ be identically one in a neighborhood of x . There exists a unique vector field X_j on U_1 such that $X_j \perp \ker(d\Pi_1)$ and $d\Pi_1(X_j) = \tau \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Clearly the support of X_j is a compact subset of U_1 , and so there is a one parameter group φ_s of diffeomorphisms of U_1 generated by X_j . Then, at the point x , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int_{S_x} e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) d\sigma_x &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int_{S_x} e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) \omega \\ &= \int_{S_x} \left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \varphi_s^*(e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) \omega) \\ &= \int_{S_x} \mathcal{L}_{X_j} (e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) \omega), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{X_j}(\nu)$ denotes the Lie derivative of the differential form ν with respect to the vector field X_j . We may write $X_j = \tau(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + a_{1j}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \dots + a_{nj}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n}$, where $a_{1j}, \dots, a_{nj} \in C_0^\infty(U_1)$. Since $i_x^*\omega$ is a non-vanishing $(n-1)$ -form on the $(n-1)$ -dimensional manifold S_x , we may write $i_x^*\mathcal{L}_{X_j}\omega = f(x, y)i_x^*\omega$ for some $f \in C^\infty(U_1)$. Since X_j is

compactly supported, in fact $f \in C_0^\infty(U_1)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& i_x^* \mathcal{L}_{X_j} [e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) \omega] \\
&= (X_j e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y}) \psi_1(x, y) i_x^* \omega + e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} (X_j \psi_1)(x, y) i_x^* \omega + e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) i_x^* \mathcal{L}_{X_j} \omega \\
&= \pi i \left(\tau(x) y_j + \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k + 2t_k) a_{kj}(x, y) \right) e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) d\sigma_x + e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} (X_j \psi_1)(x, y) d\sigma_x \\
&\quad + e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) f(x, y) d\sigma_x \\
&= \pi i \sum_{k=1}^n (x_k + 2t_k) a_{kj}(x, y) e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) d\sigma_x \\
&\quad + e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} [\pi i \tau(x) y_j \psi_1(x, y) + (X_j \psi_1)(x, y) + \psi_1(x, y) f(x, y)] d\sigma_x.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and [7, Theorem 7.7.14], there exists constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_{S_x} a_{kj}(x, y) e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) d\sigma_x \right| &\leq C_1 \|x + 2t\|^{(1-n)/2}, \quad \text{and} \\
\left| \int_{S_x} e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} [\pi i \tau(x) y_j \psi_1(x, y) + (X_j \psi_1)(x, y) + \psi_1(x, y) f(x, y)] d\sigma_x \right| &\leq C_2 \|x + 2t\|^{(1-n)/2},
\end{aligned}$$

for large enough t . Therefore

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \int_{S_x} e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) d\sigma_x \right| \leq C_3 \|x + 2t\|^{(3-n)/2},$$

for large enough t . Similarly,

$$\left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \int_{S_x} e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) d\sigma_x \right| \leq C_4 \|x + 2t\|^{(5-n)/2},$$

for large enough t . Therefore

$$\left| \Delta_x \int_{S_x} e^{\pi i(x+2t) \cdot y} \psi_1(x, y) d\sigma_x \right| \leq C_5 \|x + 2t\|^{(5-n)/2},$$

for large enough t . It follows that

$$|\Delta_t k(t, u)| \leq C_5 \|t + u\|^{(5-n)/2},$$

for large enough t . Therefore, by Equation (4) and Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int |(-\Delta_t + \|t\|^2) k(t, u)| du &\leq C_5 \int \|t + u\|^{(5-n)/2} du + C \|t\|^2 \int \|t + u\|^{(1-n)/2} du \\
&\leq C_6 \|t\|^{(5-n)/2}
\end{aligned}$$

for large enough t . Hence, when $n \geq 6$, we have

$$\lim_{\|t\| \rightarrow \infty} \int |(-\Delta_t + \|t\|^2)k(t, u)| \, du = 0.$$

Similarly, when $n \geq 6$, we have

$$\lim_{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} \int |(-\Delta_t + \|t\|^2)k(t, u)| \, dt = 0.$$

Therefore $H(W(\mu))$ is a compact operator when $n \geq 6$. Since $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ is an ideal of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, it follows from Equation (5) that

$$W(\mu) \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$$

for $n \geq 6$ and $p > n$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1 under Assumption 2.1.

Now we consider the general case.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $w \in S$. Then either Π_1 or Π_2 is a submersion at w .*

Proof. Let us assume that $d\Pi_1$ and $d\Pi_2$ are not surjective i.e.,

$$\text{Rank}(d\Pi_1) \leq n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Rank}(d\Pi_2) \leq n - 1.$$

Therefore, by the rank-nullity theorem,

$$\text{Nullity}(d\Pi_1) \geq n \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Nullity}(d\Pi_2) \geq n.$$

It follows that the intersection of the null-spaces $d\Pi_1$ and $d\Pi_2$ is nonzero. This contradicts the fact that $d\Pi_1 \oplus d\Pi_2$ is injective. \square

Let U_2 be the set of regular points of Π_2 . By Lemma 2.5, $S = U_1 \cup U_2$. Let $\{\rho_1, \rho_2\}$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{U_1, U_2\}$.

Then the measure $\rho_1\mu$ satisfies Assumption 2.1, and hence $W(\rho_1\mu)$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1.1.

Define $J : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ by

$$J(x, y) = (-y, x).$$

Then JS is also a compact connected hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{2n} , $n \geq 2$, whose Gaussian curvature is positive everywhere, and $J_*(\rho_2\mu)$ is a smooth measure on JS , which in addition satisfies Assumption 2.1. Therefore $W(J_*(\rho_2\mu))$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1.1.

Let \mathcal{F} denote the Fourier transform on \mathcal{H} . It follows from Equation (2) that

$$\mathcal{F}\rho(x, y, 1) = \rho(-y, x, 1)\mathcal{F}.$$

Therefore, by Equation (3),

$$W(\rho_2\mu) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}W(J_*(\rho_2\mu))\mathcal{F},$$

and so $W(\rho_2\mu)$ satisfies the conclusions of Theorem 1.1. Since $W(\mu) = W(\rho_1\mu) + W(\rho_2\mu)$, Theorem 1.1 is proved.

3. AN APPLICATION

There is an action of \mathbb{R}^{2n} on $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ called *quantum translation* which is defined by

$$(x_1, y_1) \cdot A = \rho(x_1, y_1, 1)A\rho(x_1, y_1, 1)^{-1}.$$

The motivation for this definition may be found in [12, p28]. This action plays an important role in the works [12, 14, 15].

It follows from Equation (3) that for any finite measure λ on \mathbb{R}^{2n} ,

$$(6) \quad (x_1, y_1) \cdot W(\lambda) = W(e((x_1, y_1), \cdot)\lambda),$$

where $e : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \times \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the map

$$e((x', y'), (x, y)) = e^{2\pi i(x' \cdot y - y' \cdot x)}.$$

By the Plancherel theorem for W , this relation continues to hold when λ is replaced by a function $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$, $1 \leq p \leq 2$, be a non-zero operator and let $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)$ be distinct elements of \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then $\{(x_1, y_1) \cdot A, \dots, (x_k, y_k) \cdot A\}$ is a linearly independent set.*

Proof. Since $S^p(\mathcal{H}) \subseteq S^2(\mathcal{H})$ for $1 \leq p \leq 2$, it suffices to prove the result for $p = 2$.

Let $c_1, \dots, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

$$c_1(x_1, y_1) \cdot A + \dots + c_k(x_k, y_k) \cdot A = 0.$$

By the Plancherel theorem for W , we may write $A = W(f)$ for some nonzero $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. By Equation (6),

$$c_1(x_1, y_1) \cdot A + \dots + c_k(x_k, y_k) \cdot A = W((c_1 e((x_1, y_1), \cdot) + \dots + c_k e((x_k, y_k), \cdot))f).$$

Therefore $(c_1 e((x_1, y_1), \cdot) + \dots + c_k e((x_k, y_k), \cdot))f = 0$. Since f is a non-zero function in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|f| > \varepsilon$ on a set of positive measure. Therefore the analytic function

$$c_1 e((x_1, y_1), (x, y)) + \dots + c_k e((x_k, y_k), (x, y)) = 0$$

on a set of positive measure and thus it is identically zero. Since $\{e((x_1, y_1), \cdot), \dots, e((x_k, y_k), \cdot)\}$ is linearly independent, it follows that $c_1 = \dots = c_k = 0$. \square

The remainder of the argument is taken from Edgar and Rosenblatt [2], which we give here for completeness.

Let $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_k, y_k)$ be distinct elements of \mathbb{R}^{2n} and let c_1, \dots, c_k be nonzero scalars. Define the difference operator D on $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$DA = c_1(x_1, y_1) \cdot A + \dots + c_k(x_k, y_k) \cdot A.$$

The trigonometric polynomial

$$c_1 e((x_1, y_1), (x, y)) + \dots + c_k e((x_k, y_k), (x, y))$$

is called the characteristic trigonometric polynomial of the difference equation $DA = 0$.

Consider the difference equation given by

$$(7) \quad 2(2n-1)A = (1, 0, \dots, 0) \cdot A + (-1, 0, \dots, 0) \cdot A + \dots + (0, \dots, 0, 1) \cdot A + (0, \dots, 0, -1) \cdot A.$$

Then the characteristic trigonometric polynomial

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = 2(2n-1) - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n (\cos(2\pi x_j) + \cos(2\pi y_j))$$

has a zero set which is a disjoint union of compact $(2n-1)$ -dimensional surfaces of positive Gaussian curvature. Let S_{2n} be the connected component of the zero set containing the points with all coordinates zero except for one which is $\pm 1/4$. Let σ be the measure on S_{2n} induced by the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^{2n} . Then by Theorem 1.1, $W(\sigma) \in S^p(\mathcal{H})$ for $p > n$ and $n \geq 6$. It follows from Equation (6) that $A = W(\sigma)$ is a non-zero solution of the difference equation (7). Hence, for $p > n$ and $n \geq 6$, there exists an operator in $S^p(\mathcal{H})$ whose quantum translates are linearly dependent.

APPENDIX

We prove Lemma 2.2 here. We need another technical lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be a compact connected hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^m with positive Gaussian curvature. Let H be a plane in \mathbb{R}^m and suppose H intersects M transversally. Let $N = M \cap H$. Then N is a hypersurface in H , and for all $y \in N$ and $X, Y \in T_y N$, we have*

$$K_{(N \subseteq H)}(X, Y) \geq K_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y),$$

where $K_{(N \subseteq H)}$ and $K_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}$ are the second fundamental forms with respect to the inward pointing normal on the hypersurfaces N and M respectively.

Proof. By the Jordan-Brower separation theorem, $M = \partial\Omega$ where Ω is a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^m . Let \vec{n}_M denote the unit normal to M which points into Ω . Let $N = M \cap H$. It is a standard fact from differential topology that N is a smooth hypersurface in H . (see [5,

p30]). Let \vec{n}_N denote the unit normal vector to N which points into $\Omega \cap H$. Observe that $\vec{n}_N \cdot \vec{n}_M > 0$.

If W is a submanifold of the Riemannian manifold Z , let $\vec{K}_{(W \subseteq Z)}$ denote the vector-valued second fundamental form of W in Z , i.e.

$$\vec{K}_{(W \subseteq Z)}(X, Y) = \text{Proj}_{TZ \ominus TW} \nabla_X Y,$$

where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection on Z and $TZ \ominus TW$ denotes the orthogonal complement of the tangent bundle of W in the tangent bundle of Z . Moreover, if \vec{n} is a unit normal vector field along W , we may define the second fundamental form of W with respect to \vec{n} by

$$K_{(W \subseteq Z)} = \vec{K}_{(W \subseteq Z)} \cdot \vec{n}.$$

Let $x \in N$ and let $X, Y \in T_x N$. We have orthogonal decompositions

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{K}_{(N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y) &= \vec{K}_{(N \subseteq H)}(X, Y) + \vec{K}_{(H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y), & \text{and} \\ \vec{K}_{(N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y) &= \vec{K}_{(N \subseteq M)}(X, Y) + \vec{K}_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\vec{K}_{(H \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y) = 0$, it follows that

$$\vec{K}_{(N \subseteq H)}(X, Y) = \vec{K}_{(N \subseteq M)}(X, Y) + \vec{K}_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y)$$

Since $\vec{K}_{(N \subseteq M)}(X, Y)$ is tangent to M , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_{(N \subseteq H)}(X, Y) \vec{n}_N \cdot \vec{n}_M &= \vec{K}_{(N \subseteq H)}(X, Y) \cdot \vec{n}_M \\ &= \vec{K}_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y) \cdot \vec{n}_M \\ &= K_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$K_{(N \subseteq H)}(X, Y) = \frac{K_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y)}{\vec{n}_M \cdot \vec{n}_N} \geq K_{(M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m)}(X, Y).$$

□

Taking H to be the plane $\{(x, y) \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ in Lemma 3.2, we see that S_x is a smooth hypersurface in H with $K_{(S_x \subseteq H)}(X, Y) \geq K_{(S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n})}(X, Y)$. Since Π_2 is an isometry between H and \mathbb{R}^n , it follows that $\Pi_2(S_x)$ is a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^n with $K_{(\Pi_2(S_x) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n)}(X, Y) \geq K_{(S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2n})}(X, Y)$. Therefore the smallest principal curvature of $\Pi_2(S_x)$ is greater than or equal to the smallest principal curvature of S . Therefore the Gaussian curvature of S_x is bounded below by the $(n - 1)$ -th power of the smallest principal curvature of S .

REFERENCES

1. Isaac Chavel, *Riemannian geometry*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006, A modern introduction. MR 2229062
2. G. A. Edgar and J. M. Rosenblatt, *Difference equations over locally compact abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **253** (1979), 273–289. MR 536947
3. Gerald B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 122, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989. MR 983366
4. ———, *Introduction to partial differential equations*, second ed., Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995. MR 1357411
5. Victor Guillemin and Alan Pollack, *Differential topology*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1974. MR 0348781
6. Heinz Hopf, *Differential geometry in the large*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1000, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983, Notes taken by Peter Lax and John Gray, With a preface by S. S. Chern. MR 707850
7. Lars Hörmander, *The analysis of linear partial differential operators. I*, second ed., Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 256, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, Distribution theory and Fourier analysis. MR 1065993
8. Walter Rudin, *Functional analysis*, second ed., International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991. MR 1157815
9. Elias M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 43, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993, With the assistance of Timothy S. Murphy, Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. MR 1232192
10. Gabor Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, New York, 1939. MR 0000077
11. S. Thangavelu, *Spherical means on the Heisenberg group and a restriction theorem for the symplectic Fourier transform*, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana **7** (1991), no. 2, 135–155. MR 1133375
12. ———, *On Paley-Wiener theorems for the Heisenberg group*, J. Funct. Anal. **115** (1993), no. 1, 24–44. MR 1228140
13. Sundaram Thangavelu, *Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 159, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998. MR 1633042
14. M. K. Vemuri, *Realizations of the canonical representation*, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci. **118** (2008), no. 1, 115–131. MR 2434197
15. ———, *Benedicks' theorem for the Weyl transform*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **452** (2017), no. 1, 209–217. MR 3628015

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, IIT(BHU), VARANASI 221005.