

A PRYM–NARASIMHAN–RAMANAN CONSTRUCTION OF PRINCIPAL BUNDLE FIXED POINTS

G. BARAJAS AND O. GARCÍA-PRADA

Dedicated to the memory of M.S. Narasimhan (1932–2021).

ABSTRACT. Let X be a compact Riemann surface and G be a connected complex reductive Lie group with centre Z . Consider the moduli space $M(X, G)$ of polystable principal holomorphic G -bundles on X . There is an action of the group $H^1(X, Z)$ of isomorphism classes of Z -bundles over X on $M(X, G)$ induced by the multiplication $Z \times G \rightarrow G$. Let Λ be a finite subgroup of $H^1(X, Z)$. Our goal is to find a Prym–Narasimhan–Ramanan-type construction to describe the fixed points of $M(X, G)$ under the action of Λ . A main ingredient in this construction is the theory of ”twisted equivariant bundles” on a Galois cover of X developed in [1].

1. INTRODUCTION

In [11] Mumford introduced the construction of an abelian variety, known as the **Prym variety**, for an unramified morphism of degree 2 between algebraic curves. This is defined as the kernel of the norm map between the Jacobians of the two curves. In [12] Narasimhan and Ramanan generalized this notion to unramified covers of arbitrary degree, and used it, in particular, to give a description of the fixed points in the moduli space of vector bundles with rank n and fixed determinant (where n and the degree are coprime) over a compact Riemann surface X under tensorization by a line bundle L of order n . More explicitly, they found that the fixed point subvariety is isomorphic, via pushforward, to a variety, that they call **generalized Prym variety** of the Galois cover of X determined by L . The goal of this paper is to generalize the **Prym–Narasimhan–Ramanan** construction to find the fixed points $M(X, G)^\Lambda$ in the moduli space $M(X, G)$ of holomorphic principal G -bundles over a compact Riemann surface X under the action of a finite subgroup Λ of $H^1(X, Z)$, where G is a connected reductive complex Lie group with centre Z , and $H^1(X, Z)$ is the group of isomorphism classes of holomorphic principal Z -bundles over X . Our main results are Theorems 3.6 and 3.7. Note in particular that Theorem 3.6 partially generalizes the results in [7], where Λ is assumed to be a finite cyclic subgroup.

Following [5], in Section 2, we recall the relevant notions of stability for principal G -bundles over a compact Riemann surface, where G is a reductive complex Lie group and

Date: 22 November 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14H60; Secondary 53C07, 58D19.

Key words and phrases. Principal bundle, moduli, finite group action, twisted equivariant bundle, covering.

The first author received the support of a fellowship from ”la Caixa” Foundation (ID 100010434 and code LCF/BQ/DI19/11730022). The second author was partially supported by the Spanish MICINN under the ICMAT Severo Ochoa grant CEX2019-000904-S, and grants PID2019-109339GB-C31.

define the moduli space $M(X, G)$ of polystable holomorphic principal G -bundles. In Section 2.1 we consider the case when G is a connected. The stability criterium in this situation is of course equivalent to that defined by Ramanathan [17, 18], but the formulation given in [5] is more adapted to our approach. In Section 2.2 we consider the non-connected case. A detailed study of principal bundles with non-connected structure group is given in [1, 5]. It is important to point out that, although in the original problem the group G is connected, non-connected groups appear naturally in our construction.

Given a group of automorphisms Γ of X , in Section 2.3 we define **twisted Γ -equivariant G -bundles over X** as principal G -bundles equipped with a Γ -action which *twists* the action of the structure group via a homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$; additionally, the action of the product of two elements γ and γ' in Γ differs from the composition of the respective actions by an element of Z which depends on γ and γ' — a **2-cocycle** of Γ with values in Z . We also define the stability notions for twisted equivariant bundles. Since, to our knowledge, there is no construction of moduli spaces of twisted equivariant bundles in the literature, we sketch a construction in Section 2 using GIT.

In Section 2.4 we consider G to be non-connected and recall a natural equivalence of categories proved in [1]. To a given G -bundle E over X we associate the Γ -bundle E/G_0 , where G_0 is the connected component of G and $\Gamma = G/G_0$. Note that Γ is finite since G is reductive, and hence E/G_0 may be regarded as a Galois cover of X of finite degree with Galois group Γ . We may then roughly state Proposition 2.6 by saying that the category of principal G -bundles with fixed Galois Γ -covering $Y \rightarrow X$ is equivalent to the category of twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundles over Y . The twist is determined by the extension G of G_0 which, according to Proposition 2.5, is isomorphic to the **twisted product** $G_0 \times_{\tau, c} \Gamma$, where $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0)$ is any homomorphism lifting the characteristic homomorphism of the extension and c is a 2-cocycle of Γ with values in the centre of G_0 . This twisted product only depends, up to isomorphism, on the class of c in the Galois 2-cohomology group $H^2(\Gamma, Z)$, where the action of Γ on Z is determined by the characteristic homomorphism of the extension.

We deal with Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 in Section 3. In Section 3.1 we consider G to be connected and define the action of $H^1(X, Z)$ on $M(X, G)$, induced by the natural multiplication action of Z on G . We take Λ a finite subgroup of $H^1(X, Z)$. Given a homomorphism $\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$, in Section 3.2 we study the interplay between the subgroup $G^\theta \leq G$ of fixed points in G under the corresponding Λ -action and the subgroup G_θ of elements which are fixed up to elements of Z . An important ingredient is a natural embedding of G_θ/G^θ in $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)$. Sections 3.3 and 3.4 are preliminary to the proof of Theorem 3.6. In this theorem we describe the simple and stable fixed point locus $M_{ss}(X, G)^\Lambda$ in $M(X, G)^\Lambda$ as a subvariety of a union of components $\widetilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta)$; this is defined as the moduli of polystable G -bundles which are extensions of structure group of G_θ -bundles F for some homomorphism $\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$, and such that $F/G^\theta \cong \Lambda$. Here Λ is thought of as an element in $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)) \cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda, H^1(X, Z))$ (the subgroup $\Lambda < H^1(X, Z)$ provides a tautological homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow H^1(X, Z)$), and F/G^θ may be considered as a $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)$ -bundle by extension of structure group. Conversely, this union is contained in $M(X, G)^\Lambda$. The images $\widetilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta)$ are parametrized by the class of θ in the character variety $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G)) := \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))/\text{Int}(G)$, where $\text{Int}(G)$ acts by conjugation.

In Section 3.6 we state Theorem 3.7, which is obtained as an application of Proposition 2.6. In the theorem we describe each of the components $\widetilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta) \subseteq M(X, G)^\Lambda$ as the image of a union of moduli spaces of twisted equivariant G_0^θ -bundles over Galois covers α of X , where G_0^θ is the connected component of G_θ and α is a G_θ/G_0^θ -bundle whose quotient by G^θ is isomorphic to Λ . Combining this with Theorem 3.6 we find that $M_{ss}(X, G)^\Lambda$ is contained in the union of the images of the moduli spaces of twisted equivariant G_0^θ -bundles over certain Galois covers of X , where the class of θ runs through $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$, and conversely these images are contained in $M(X, G)^\Lambda$.

In Section 4 we study the Prym–Narasimhan–Ramanan construction in some examples with cyclic Λ , where $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and E_7 . The first one shows explicitly that the construction in [12] is obtained as a particular case of our results. Finally, Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the study of the fixed points in the moduli spaces of vector bundles of rank n and symplectic vector bundles of rank $2n$ over X respectively under the action of a finite subgroup of the Jacobian of X .

We expect our results to be useful for cohomological calculations as in [12]. In [14] there is a counting of the number of components in the intersection of the fixed point loci for the action of two different elements of the Jacobian with the same order using the Weil pairing which, together with Proposition 5.14, may help testing the existence of certain moduli spaces of twisted equivariant vector bundles over Galois covers with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$.

Our analysis will be extended in a forthcoming paper [2] to fixed points in moduli spaces of G -Higgs bundles under the action of finite subgroups of $H^1(X, Z) \times \text{Out}(G) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, where the action of this larger group is defined following [7].

2. MODULI SPACES OF PRINCIPAL BUNDLES AND EQUIVARIANT STRUCTURES

Throughout this section G will denote a reductive complex Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , and X will be a compact Riemann surface. Sections 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 follow [1].

2.1. Principal bundles with connected reductive complex structure group. In this section we assume G to be connected. In this case, the moduli space of holomorphic principal G -bundles was constructed by Ramanathan in [17] and [18]. We recall the stability notions for G -bundles using the approach in [5], which is more adapted to our approach. Fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and a non-degenerate pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g} extending the Killing form. Every element $s \in i\mathfrak{k}$ determines a parabolic subgroup P_s with Lie algebra \mathfrak{p}_s of G , namely

$$P_s := \{g \in G : e^{ts}ge^{-ts} \text{ remains bounded as } t \rightarrow \infty\}.$$

If L_s is its Levi subgroup then $K_s := K \cap L_s$ is a maximal compact subgroup of L_s and its inclusion in P_s is a homotopy equivalence. Now let E be a G -bundle with a holomorphic reduction $\sigma \in H^0(X, E(G/P_s))$, where $E(G/P_s)$ is the G/P_s -bundle associated to E via the natural action of G ; we call E_σ to the corresponding P_s -bundle. Then there is a smooth reduction $\sigma' \in \Omega^0(X, E_\sigma/K_s)$, and we may equip the corresponding K_s -bundle with a connection A with curvature F_A . We define

$$\deg E(\sigma, s) := \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \chi_s(F_A),$$

where χ_s is the image of s under the isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ induced by the non-degenerate pairing.

On the other hand, following [6], the topology of E defines an element $d(E) \in i\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}$, where $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ is the centre of \mathfrak{k} : fix a volume form ω on X such that $\text{vol}(X) = 1$. For each K -invariant degree one homogeneous polynomial $p : \mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and any smooth reduction $h \in \Omega^0(X, E/K)$ with Chern connection A_h and curvature F_h , we have a topological invariant $\int_X p(F_h)$ of E . The space of K -invariant linear homogeneous polynomials coincides with the space of \mathbb{R} -linear maps $\mathfrak{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ factoring through $\mathfrak{k}/[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \cong \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}$, hence it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}^*$. Thus E determines an element of $(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}^*)^* \cong \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}$, and multiplying by i we get $d(E) \in i\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}$.

Definition 2.1. A G -bundle E over X is:

- **Semistable** if $\deg E(\sigma, s) \geq \langle d(E), s \rangle$ for any $s \in i\mathfrak{k}$ and any reduction of structure group $\sigma \in H^0(X, E(G/P_s))$.
- **Stable** if $\deg E(\sigma, s) > \langle d(E), s \rangle$ for any $s \in i\mathfrak{k}$ and any reduction of structure group $\sigma \in H^0(X, E(G/P_s))$.
- **Polystable** if it is semistable and, if $\deg E(\sigma, s) = \langle d(E), s \rangle$ for some $s \in i\mathfrak{k}$ and a reduction $\sigma \in H^0(X, E(G/P_s))$, there is a further holomorphic reduction of structure group $\sigma' \in H^0(X, E_{\sigma}(P_s/L_s))$.

The G -bundle E is **simple** if its group of automorphisms is equal to Z .

There exists a moduli space $M(X, G)$ classifying isomorphism classes of polystable G -bundles ([17, 18]) whose subvariety of smooth points $M_{ss}(X, G)$ are the simple and stable points. In the case where $G = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ we obtain, via the correspondence with vector bundles of rank n , the usual definition of (poly, semi)stability for vector bundles. Stable $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles are always simple, but this is not true for general G . One has the following theorem which, stated in terms of connections like in [3], is equivalent to a generalization of the Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem [13] due to Ramanathan [16]

Theorem 2.2. *A G -bundle E is polystable if and only if it has a metric $h \in \Omega^0(X, E/K)$ such that the curvature F_h of the corresponding Chern connection satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad F_h = -id(E)\omega,$$

where ω is a volume form on X .

2.2. Principal bundles with non-connected reductive complex structure group.

We may extend the notions of (poly, semi)stability of G -bundles for a general (possibly non-connected) reductive complex group G , following [5]. Let G_0 be the connected component of G with centre Z and let $\Gamma := G/G_0$ be the group of connected components; note that Γ is finite, since G is reductive (i.e. it is the complexification of a compact group). The group G is then an **extension** of G_0 by Γ , expressed by the short exact sequence

$$(2.2) \quad 1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

Recall that there is an equivalence relation on the set of extensions making another extension G' of G_0 by Γ equivalent to G if and only if there is an isomorphism $G \cong G'$ fitting in

a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & G_0 & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & G_0 & \longrightarrow & G' & \longrightarrow & \Gamma \longrightarrow 1 \end{array} .$$

The extension (2.2) defines a characteristic homomorphism

$$a : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_0); \gamma \mapsto a_\gamma.$$

By [20] there exists a lift $\text{Out}(G_0) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0)$ of the natural quotient, hence in particular we have a homomorphism $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0)$ fitting in the commutative diagramm

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Aut}(G) & \longrightarrow & \text{Out}(G_0) \\ & \nearrow \tau & \uparrow a \\ & & \Gamma \end{array} .$$

The notions of (poly, semi)stability for G -bundles are very much the same as in Section 2.1, except now we need to make the following restrictions: choose K to be a maximal compact subgroup of G whose connected component is preserved by $\tau(\Gamma)$. The topological invariant d may be defined in the same way. The element s considered in the definition of (poly, semi)stability must then be in $i\mathfrak{k}^\Gamma$, where $\mathfrak{k}^\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{k}$ is the subalgebra of Γ -invariant elements. A moduli space classifying isomorphism classes of polystable G -bundles may be constructed using GIT, see Section 2.6 in [19].

Theorem 2.2 also holds in this general setting; see [5], where this result is obtained in the more general context of Higgs pairs and restricts to Theorem 2.2 when the Higgs field is trivial.

2.3. Twisted equivariant bundles. This section follows Section 3.1 in [1]. Let G_0 be a connected reductive complex Lie group with centre Z . Consider a finite group Γ of automorphisms of X with centre $Z(\Gamma)$ together with a homomorphism $a : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_0)$. Since $\text{Int}(G)$ acts trivially on Z , this defines a left action of Γ on Z which we denote by $a_\gamma(\bullet)$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. We define a **2-cocycle** as a map $c : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow Z$ satisfying

$$c(1, \gamma) = c(\gamma, 1) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_\gamma(c(\gamma', \gamma''))c(\gamma, \gamma'\gamma'') = c(\gamma\gamma', \gamma'')c(\gamma, \gamma').$$

This is (2.4) in [1] after replacing $c(\gamma', \gamma'')^\gamma$ by its explicit realization, which is $a_\gamma(c(\gamma', \gamma''))$. The set consisting of these objects is written $Z_a^2(\Gamma, Z)$ or $Z_\tau^2(\Gamma, Z)$, where $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0)$ is any lift of a (this always exists by [20]).

Definition 2.3. Given $c \in Z_\tau^2(\Gamma, Z)$, a (τ, c) -**twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundle over X** is a G_0 -bundle E over X equipped with a right holomorphic action of Γ descending to the inverse of the action of Γ on X and satisfying

$$(2.3) \quad (eg) \cdot \gamma = (e \cdot \gamma)\tau_{\gamma^{-1}}(g) \quad \text{and} \quad (e \cdot \gamma) \cdot \gamma' = (ec(\gamma, \gamma')) \cdot (\gamma\gamma')$$

for every $g \in G_0$ and γ and $\gamma' \in \Gamma$. A **morphism** between (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundles over X is a Γ -equivariant homomorphism of G_0 -bundles.

Note that the definition is independent of the lift τ of a in the sense that, given another lift τ' , there is a natural equivalence of categories between (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundles over X and (τ', c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundles over X (see Section 2.4 in [1]). Thus, in what follows we may assume the lift τ to be chosen so that it preserves a maximal compact subgroup K of G .

We obtain notions of (poly, semi)stability by changing slightly the definitions in Section 2.1 [5]. First of all the elements $s \in i\mathfrak{k}$ must actually be $\tau(\Gamma)$ -invariant. Then the action of Γ on G preserves P_s , and so we have an action on G_0/P_s . Given a (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundle E over X , we can see that the associated bundle $E(G_0/P_s)$ is then Γ -equivariant, where Γ acts simultaneously on E on the right and on G_0/P_s by the inverse of its left action. Using to this Γ -equivariant structure, we need to further impose that the reductions of structure group σ and σ' in Definition 2.1 are Γ -invariant.

We may construct a moduli space $M(X, \tau, c, G_0, \Gamma)$ classifying isomorphism classes of polystable (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundles over X using GIT. To our knowledge this has not been done in the literature, but we hope to address this in an upcoming paper. Here we define an isomorphism of (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundles as a Γ -equivariant isomorphism of G_0 -bundles.

Given γ in $Z(\Gamma)$ and a (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundle E over X , there is an induced (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant action γ^*E given by pullback; note that γ needs to be in $Z(\Gamma)$ for this to be true, since otherwise the pullback of the action does not descend to the inverse of the action of Γ on X . We then define a $Z(\Gamma)$ -**isomorphism** between two twisted equivariant bundles E and E' to be a Γ -equivariant isomorphism of G_0 bundles $E \rightarrow \gamma^*E'$ for some $\gamma \in Z(\Gamma)$. The moduli space of $Z(\Gamma)$ -isomorphism classes of (τ, c) -twisted Γ -equivariant G_0 -bundles over X is just the quotient $M(X, \tau, c, G_0, \Gamma)/Z(\Gamma)$, where the $Z(\Gamma)$ -action is given by pullback. To distinguish between isomorphisms as defined earlier and $Z(\Gamma)$ -isomorphisms we sometimes call the former ones **fibre-preserving isomorphisms**.

2.4. An equivalence of categories. This Section follows Section 4 in [1]. There is a very explicit relation between principal bundles with non-connected structure group and twisted equivariant bundles which is crucial in our Prym–Narasimhan–Ramanan construction and we explain next. Let G_0 be the connected component of a reductive group G , Z the centre of G_0 and $\Gamma := G/G_0$. We have a short exact sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 1 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

Let $a : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(G_0)$ be the characteristic homomorphism of (2.4) and consider a lift $\tau : \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0)$.

Definition 2.4. Given a 2-cocycle c , we define the (τ, c) -**twisted product of G_0 by Γ** , written $G_0 \times_{(\tau, c)} \Gamma$, to be the group which is equal to $G_0 \times \Gamma$ as a set and has multiplication

$$(g, \gamma)(g', \gamma') = (g\tau_\gamma(g')c(\gamma\gamma'), \gamma\gamma')$$

for every g and g' in G_0 and every γ and γ' in Γ .

Proposition 2.5. *There exists a 2-cocycle $c \in Z_a^2(\Gamma, Z)$ such that the extensions of G_0 given by G and $G_0 \times_{(\tau, c)} \Gamma$ are equivalent.*

Proof. Take a section $t : \Gamma \rightarrow G$ of (2.4) whose composition with the natural homomorphism $G \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$ restricts to τ . Every $g \in G$ can be uniquely written in the form $g_0 t_\gamma$,

where γ is the connected component where g lies and $g_0 \in G_0$. This determines a map $G \rightarrow G_0 \times \Gamma$. We can then show that this map determines an isomorphism of extensions $G \cong G_0 \times_{(\tau,c)} \Gamma$ for some map $c : \Gamma \times \Gamma \rightarrow Z$. Using associativity of the group multiplication of G it can be seen that $c \in Z_a^2(\Gamma, Z)$. \square

Slightly abusing notation, we consider the groups G and $G_0 \times_{(\tau,c)} \Gamma$ to be equal. Let E be a G -bundle over X and set $\alpha := E/G_0$, which is a principal Γ -bundle over X . Consider the Galois cover $p_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X$, defined as a connected component of α ; all the connected components are isomorphic via the Γ -action, so this is well defined. Alternatively, X_α is a subbundle of α with minimal structure group Γ_α : any two different connected reductions of structure group are in different components of α because Γ is finite. Thus, the image of $\pi_1(X)$ under any monodromy representation $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \Gamma$ of α is a subgroup of Γ conjugate to Γ_α .

There is a subgroup $G_\alpha := G \times_{(\tau,c)} \Gamma_\alpha$ of G , where we have also called τ and c to their restrictions to Γ_α and $\Gamma_\alpha \times \Gamma_\alpha$ resp., and E has a subbundle E' with structure group G_α because $E/G_\alpha \cong \alpha/\Gamma_\alpha$. Note that E'/G_0 is isomorphic to X_α . The pullback $F' := p_\alpha^* E'$ has a reduction of structure group F to G_0 given by the tautological section of $F'/G_0 \cong p_\alpha^*(E'/G_0)$. Regarded as a G_0 -bundle over X_α , F is isomorphic to $E' \rightarrow E'/G_0 \cong X_\alpha$. Using this description we may define an action of Γ_α on F : there is an injective map

$$\Gamma_\alpha \hookrightarrow G_\alpha; \gamma \mapsto (1, \gamma),$$

so we may use the prescribed right action of G_α on F . Thus, we get a (τ, c) -twisted Γ_α -equivariant G -bundle F on X_α . Conversely, given a (τ, c) -twisted Γ_α -equivariant G -bundle F on X_α , the Γ_α -action defines a G_α -right action on F making it a principal G_α -bundle over X . Extending the structure group to G we get a G -bundle.

If we fix the Γ -bundle α we get a subvariety of the moduli space $M(X, G)$ consisting of G -bundles E such that $E/G_0 \cong \alpha$, which we call $M_\alpha(X, G)$. There is a surjection $M_{X_\alpha}(X, G_\alpha) \rightarrow M_\alpha(X, G)$, where X_α is regarded as a G_α -bundle given by extension of structure group. By Proposition 4.12 in [1] this induces an isomorphism

$$M_{X_\alpha}(X, G_\alpha)/N_\Gamma(\Gamma_\alpha) \cong M_\alpha(X, G),$$

where $N_\Gamma(\Gamma_\alpha)$ is the normalizer of Γ_α in Γ . The action is given by extension of structure group via τ . Summing up, we get the following:

Proposition 2.6 (Proposition 4.5 in [1]). *The category of G_α -bundles E over X satisfying $E/G_0 \cong \Gamma_\alpha$ and the category of (τ, c) -twisted Γ_α -equivariant G_0 -bundles over X_α equipped with $Z(\Gamma)$ -isomorphisms are equivalent. The stability notions are compatible and the equivalence of categories induces an isomorphism*

$$M_{X_\alpha}(X, G_\alpha) \cong M(X_\alpha, \tau, c, G_0, \Gamma_\alpha)/Z(\Gamma_\alpha)$$

preserving the stable loci. Therefore, we have a surjection

$$M(X_\alpha, \tau, c, G_0, \Gamma_\alpha) \rightarrow M_\alpha(X, G).$$

Remark 2.7. Note that the equivalence of categories is not true if we replace the category of twisted equivariant bundles with $Z(\Gamma)$ -isomorphisms with the subcategory of twisted equivariant bundles with fibre-preserving morphisms, since an automorphism of a G -bundle E on X may not induce the identity on E/G_0 .

2.5. Twisted equivariant G -bundles and equivariant associated bundles. This Section follows Section 3.2 in [1]. Keeping the notation of previous sections, let V be a complex vector space equipped with a representation $\rho : G_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$. Assume that there is a linear right action of Γ on V satisfying

$$(2.5) \quad (\rho(g)v) \cdot \gamma = \rho(\theta_{\gamma^{-1}}(g))(v \cdot \gamma)$$

for each $v \in V$, $g \in G_0$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Proposition 2.8 (Proposition 3.16 in [1]). *There is an equivariant action of Γ on the vector bundle $E(V)$ such that $\gamma \in \Gamma$ sends $(e, v) \in E(V)$ to $(e \cdot \gamma, v \cdot \gamma)$.*

Remark 2.9. An important situation where Proposition 2.8 may be applied is the case when an embedding of $G_0 \times_{\tau, c} \Gamma$ in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is given. In this case the right action of Γ on \mathbb{C}^n given for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by multiplying on the left by the image of $(1, \gamma)^{-1}$ in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies 2.5. Hence a twisted Γ -equivariant G -bundle provides a Γ -equivariant vector bundle of rank n , and conversely an equivariant vector bundle whose bundle of frames has a reduction of structure group to G which satisfies certain conditions provides a twisted Γ -equivariant G -bundle. For applications see Sections 4, 5 and 6.

3. FIXED POINTS AND THE PRYM–NARASIMHAN–RAMANAN CONSTRUCTION

Let X be a compact Riemann surface and let G be a connected reductive complex Lie group with centre Z . Let Λ be a finite subgroup of the group $H^1(X, Z)$ of isomorphism classes of holomorphic principal Z -bundles over X .

3.1. Finite group actions on the moduli space. We may define an action of $H^1(X, Z)$ on the set of isomorphism classes of holomorphic principal G -bundles as follows. Let $\alpha \in H^1(X, Z)$ and E be a G -bundle. Consider the fibre product $E \times_X \alpha$ with respect to X , which is associated to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_X \alpha & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \alpha & \longrightarrow & X \end{array} .$$

There is a Z -action on $E \times_X \alpha$, such that $z \in Z$ sends $(e, a) \in E \times \alpha$ to (ez, az^{-1}) , so we set $E \otimes \alpha := (E \times_X \alpha)/Z$. When equipped with the right G -action given on the left factor E , this is a G -bundle over X whose isomorphism class only depends on the isomorphism classes of E and α .

Now fix a maximal compact subgroup K in G with Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} and let Λ be a finite subgroup of $H^1(X, Z)$. Since $K \cap Z$ is a maximal compact subgroup of Z , every element $\lambda \in \Lambda < H^1(X, Z)$ has a smooth reduction $h_Z \in \Omega^1(X, \lambda/K \cap Z)$ determining a Chern connection A with curvature F_A ; since λ has finite order, A induces a connection A_0 with curvature F_{A_0} on the trivial Z -bundle via tensorization. We may define $d(\lambda) \in i\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}} \cong i(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{k}}^*)^*$ using the map from the space of degree one K -invariant homogeneous polynomials to \mathbb{C} given by

$$p \mapsto \int_X p(F_A).$$

With definitions as in Section 2.1, if λ has order m we have

$$\int_X \chi_s(F_A) = \frac{1}{m} \int_X \chi_s(F_{A_0}) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X p(F_A) = \frac{1}{m} \int_X p(F_{A_0}) = 0$$

for every $s \in i\mathfrak{k}$ and every K -invariant degree one homogeneous polynomial $p : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, given a G -bundle E and a parabolic subgroup P in G , there is a natural bijection between reductions in $H^0(X, E/P)$ and reductions in $H^0(X, (E \otimes \lambda)/P)$ induced by the isomorphism $E/P \cong (E \otimes Z)/P$, which follows from $Z \subseteq P$. Similarly, a reduction of E to the Levi subgroup $L \leq P$ induces a reduction of $E \otimes \lambda$ to L . Hence, for every $s \in i\mathfrak{k}$ and every reduction $\sigma \in H^0(X, E/P_s)$, we have

$$\deg(E \otimes \lambda)(\sigma, s) = \deg E(\sigma, s) + \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \chi_s(F_A) = \deg E(\sigma, s),$$

and similarly

$$d(E \otimes \lambda) = d(E) + d(\lambda) = d(E).$$

Therefore, the action of Λ on the set of isomorphism classes of G -bundles preserves the set of (poly, semi)stable G -bundles, and it induces an algebraic group action on $M(X, G)$. Since the automorphisms of E are in natural bijection with automorphisms of $E \otimes \lambda$, this action preserves $M_{ss}(X, G)$.

The aim of this paper is to describe the fixed points in $M(X, G)$ under the action of Λ . Note that, when $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, $Z = \mathbb{C}^*$ and Λ may be regarded as a subgroup of the Jacobian of X . Thus, in particular, we describe the fixed points in the moduli space of vector bundles of rank n under the action of a finite subgroup of the Jacobian; an explicit solution may be found in Section 5.

3.2. Inner automorphisms of a reductive complex Lie group. Let $\mathrm{Int}(G)$ be the group of inner automorphisms of G . Consider a homomorphism

$$\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Int}(G); \lambda \mapsto \theta_\lambda.$$

We define

$$G^\theta := \{g \in G : \theta_\lambda(g) = g \text{ for every } \lambda \in \Lambda\} \leq G$$

and

$$G_\theta := \{g \in G : \theta_\lambda(g)g^{-1} = z(\lambda, g) \in Z \text{ for every } \lambda \in \Lambda\} \leq G;$$

note that Z is a subgroup of G^θ , and the action of Λ preserves G_θ . The group G^θ is a normal subgroup of G_θ : for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $g \in G^\theta$ and $s \in G_\theta$, we have

$$\theta_\lambda(sgs^{-1}) = z(\lambda, s)sgs^{-1}z(\lambda, s)^{-1} = sgs^{-1}.$$

To understand better how G^θ lies inside G_θ we use the exact sequence of groups

$$(3.6) \quad 1 \rightarrow G^\theta \rightarrow G_\theta \xrightarrow{c_\theta} \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, Z),$$

where c_θ sends $g \in G_\theta$ to the map

$$\Lambda \rightarrow Z; \lambda \mapsto g^{-1}\theta_\lambda(g) = \theta_\lambda(g)g^{-1} = z(\lambda, g) \in Z.$$

To see why c_θ is well defined note that, if λ and λ' are elements of Λ and $g \in G_\theta$, we have:

$$\theta_{\lambda\lambda'}(g) = \theta_\lambda(\theta_{\lambda'}(g)) = \theta_\lambda(c_\theta(\lambda')g) = c_\theta(\lambda')\theta_\lambda(g) = c_\theta(\lambda')c_\theta(\lambda)g,$$

where c_θ is evaluated at g . The exactness of (3.6) implies that c_θ factors through the quotient Γ_θ of G_θ by G^θ via an injective homomorphism $\Gamma_\theta \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)$. On the other hand, $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)$ is finite because Z is a finite extension of a torus, which implies that the set of elements in Z with a certain finite order is finite. In particular, G_θ is a finite extension of G^θ and the reductiveness of G^θ (see Proposition 3.6 in chapter 3 of [15]) is inherited by G_θ .

The homomorphism c_θ induces a morphism of pointed sets

$$\tilde{c}_\theta : H^1(X, \underline{G}_\theta) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)) \cong H^1(X, \underline{\text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)}),$$

which are the corresponding sets of equivalence classes of Čech 1-cocycles, by extension of structure group. The last isomorphism holds because $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)$ is finite. Note that the factorization of \tilde{c}_θ through the cohomology of the quotient $H^1(X, \Gamma_\theta) \rightarrow H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z))$ is injective, since it is compatible with the isomorphisms $H^1(X, \Gamma_\theta) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \Gamma_\theta)$ and $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z))$, which follow from Z and Γ_θ being abelian (the group $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)$ is abelian, and so is its subgroup Γ_θ).

3.3. Simple fixed points and elements of $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))/\text{Int}(G)$. The first step to describe the fixed points is to construct a map

$$\tilde{f} : H^1(X, \underline{G})^\Lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G));$$

here $H^1(X, \underline{G})^\Lambda$ is the set of isomorphism classes of simple G -bundles which are fixed under the action of Λ and $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G)) := \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))/\text{Int}(G)$ is the character variety consisting of equivalence classes of homomorphisms $\Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$ with equivalence relation given by conjugation by $\text{Int}(G)$. Note that $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$ is actually equal to the GIT quotient [4] of representations $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, G/Z) // G$, since the fact that Λ is finite implies that every representation of Λ is reductive [10]. Also note that G/Z is an affine algebraic variety, which implies that $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$ is affine.

Let E be a simple G -bundle over X and assume that we have an isomorphism

$$h_\lambda : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E \otimes \lambda$$

for every λ in Λ . This in turn induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{h}_\lambda : E/Z \rightarrow (E \otimes \lambda)/Z \cong E/Z.$$

Define a holomorphic map $f : E \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\Lambda, G/Z)$ in such a way that $\bar{h}_\lambda(e) = ef_\lambda(e)$ for each $e \in E/Z$. A calculation shows that

$$(3.7) \quad f(eg) = g^{-1}f(e)g$$

for every $g \in G/Z$ and $e \in E/Z$, where we are identifying elements in G/Z with constant functions.

Lemma 3.1. *For every $e \in E$, $f(e) \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, G/Z)$.*

Proof. If λ and λ' are elements of Λ , the isomorphism h_λ induces an isomorphism $E \otimes \lambda' \rightarrow E \otimes \lambda\lambda'$ which we also call h_λ . Since E is simple we have $\bar{h}_\lambda \bar{h}_{\lambda'} = \bar{h}_{\lambda\lambda'}$ and so

$$ef_{\lambda\lambda'}(e) = \bar{h}_{\lambda\lambda'}(e) = \bar{h}_\lambda \bar{h}_{\lambda'}(e) = \bar{h}_\lambda(ef_{\lambda'}(e)) = \bar{h}_{\lambda'}(e)f_\lambda(e) = ef_\lambda(e)f_{\lambda'}(e)$$

for each $e \in E/Z$, as required. \square

Because of (3.7) and Lemma 3.1 we get an algebraic morphism $X \rightarrow H^1(\Lambda, G/Z) \cong H^1(X, \text{Int}(G))$ from a projective variety to an affine variety, which must be constant. Thus, we get a map $\tilde{f} : H^1(X, \underline{G})^\Lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$ sending E to the class of $f(e)$ for any $e \in E$; this is independent of the choices made because (E, φ) is simple. We conclude the following:

Lemma 3.2. *An element $s \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, G/Z)$ is in the class $\tilde{f}(E)$ if and only if for every (or for some) $x \in X$ there exists e in the fibre of E over x such that $f(e) = s$.*

3.4. Simple G -bundles and fixed points. We are now ready to describe the fixed points in the set of isomorphism classes of G -bundles under the Λ -action, using arguments similar to [7].

First note that, given a Lie group G , an automorphism $\theta : G \rightarrow G$ and an G -bundle E , we may define the extension of structure group $\theta(E)$ induced by θ . Alternatively, this is the G -bundle with total space equal to E and G -action given by $G \times E \ni (s, e) \mapsto e\theta^{-1}(s)$, where we have written the original G -action on E by attaching elements of G on the right. If $\theta = \text{Int}_s$ for some $s \in G$ then the map $E \ni e \mapsto es$ induces an isomorphism of G -bundles $E \xrightarrow{\sim} \theta(E)$.

On the other hand, note that Λ can be thought of as an element of $H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z))$ via the (tautological) association of Λ with an element in $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, H^1(X, Z))$ and the isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, H^1(X, Z)) \cong H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z))$. This is induced by the natural isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), Z)) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(X), \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z))$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let E be a G -bundle and $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$. With notation as in Section 3.2, assume that there is a G_θ -bundle F which is a reduction of structure group of E such that*

$$(3.8) \quad \tilde{c}_\theta(F) = \Lambda.$$

Then E is isomorphic to $E \otimes \lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. It is enough to get an isomorphism

$$h_\lambda : F \xrightarrow{\sim} \theta_\gamma^{-1}(F \otimes \lambda);$$

this in turn induces an isomorphism from E to the extension $\theta_\gamma^{-1}(E \otimes \lambda) \cong \theta_\gamma^{-1}(E) \otimes \lambda$ (note that θ_γ acts trivially on $H^1(X, Z)$, since it is an inner automorphism).

Fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and choose an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X which trivializes both F and λ . Let e_i and z_i define local sections of F and λ resp. on U_i ; we get transition functions

$$g_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G, \quad z_{ij} : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow Z$$

satisfying $e_j = e_i g_{ij}$ and $z_j = z_i z_{ij}$. A set of local trivializations for $\theta_\gamma^{-1}(F \otimes \lambda)$ is then $\{U_i, e_i \otimes z_i\}$ (with the $e_i \otimes z_i$'s regarded as local sections of $\theta_\gamma^{-1}(F \otimes \lambda)$), and the corresponding transition functions are $\theta_\gamma^{-1}(g_{ij} z_{ij}) : U_i \cap U_j \rightarrow G$. But, using (3.8), we may assume that $z_{ij} = g_{ij}^{-1} \theta_\gamma(g_{ij})$ and so $\theta_\gamma^{-1}(g_{ij} z_{ij}) = \theta_\gamma^{-1}(g_{ij} g_{ij}^{-1} \theta_\gamma(g_{ij})) = g_{ij}$. Hence, we may set $h_\lambda(e_i) := e_i \otimes z_i$ and extend the isomorphism to the whole F imposing that it respects the G_θ -actions. \square

Proposition 3.4. *Let E be a simple G -bundle over X which is isomorphic to $E\lambda$ for every λ in Λ . Then a homomorphism $\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$ is in $\tilde{f}(E) \in H^1(X, \text{Int}(G))$ (definitions as in Section 3.3) if and only if there exists a G_θ -bundle F which is a reduction of structure group of E and satisfies (3.8). For each $\theta \in \tilde{f}(E)$ such a reduction is unique.*

Proof. Choose an element $\theta \in \tilde{f}(E)$ and let $s : \Lambda \rightarrow G$ be a map such that $\theta = \text{Int}_s$. For each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ consider an isomorphism $h_\lambda : E \rightarrow E \otimes \lambda$. By Lemma 3.2, if we define f as in Section 3.3, $f^{-1}(\theta)$ has non-empty intersection with every fibre of E over X . Define

$$(3.9) \quad F := \{e \in E : h_\lambda(e) = es_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda(e), z_\lambda(e) \in Z(G)\};$$

this is the preimage of $f^{-1}(\theta)$ under the natural projection $E \rightarrow E/Z$. Then, given $e \in F$ and $g \in G$, the element eg is also in F if and only if

$$e\theta_\gamma(g)s_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda(e) = (es_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda(e))g = h_\lambda(e)g = h_\lambda(eg) = egs_\lambda \otimes z_\lambda(eg)$$

for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, or equivalently $\theta_\gamma(g)g^{-1} \in Z$. This shows that F provides a reduction of structure group to G_θ . Moreover, the isomorphisms

$$E \xrightarrow{h_\lambda} E \otimes \lambda \xrightarrow{s_\lambda^{-1}} \theta_\gamma^{-1}(E) \otimes \lambda,$$

where the second map is multiplication by s_λ on the right, restricts to isomorphisms

$$F \rightarrow \theta_\gamma^{-1}(F) \otimes \lambda; e \mapsto e \otimes z_\lambda(e)$$

for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The uniqueness of the reduction follows from Proposition 3.3, the simplicity of (E, φ) and the fact that the resulting isomorphisms completely determine the reduction by (3.9). To see why (3.8) is true we fix $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and use an open cover $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ of X trivializing F equipped with local sections e_i on each U_i , so that $z_i := z_\lambda(e_i)$ is a set of local sections for λ . Setting $z_j = z_i z_{ij}$ and $e_j = e_i g_{ij}$, we have

$$(e_i s_\lambda \otimes z_i) \theta_\gamma^{-1}(g_{ij}) z_{ij} = (e_i \otimes z_i) g_{ij} s_\lambda z_{ij} = e_j s_\lambda \otimes z_j = h_\lambda(e_j) = h_\lambda(e_i g_{ij}) = (e_i s_\lambda \otimes z_i) g_{ij},$$

therefore $z_{ij} = \theta_\gamma(z_{ij}) = \theta_\gamma(g_{ij}) g_{ij}^{-1}$ as required.

Now let $\theta' : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$ be another homomorphism and assume that there is a reduction of structure group F' of E to $G_{\theta'}$ satisfying (3.8). Proposition 3.3 provides isomorphisms

$$h'_\lambda : E \xrightarrow{\sim} \theta'^{-1}(E) \otimes \lambda \xrightarrow{s'_\lambda} E \otimes \lambda,$$

where the second morphism is the action of some element $s'_\lambda \in G$ such that $\text{Int}_{s'_\lambda} = \theta'$, and these induce isomorphisms

$$\bar{h}'_\lambda : E/Z \xrightarrow{\sim} E/Z.$$

Note from the proof of Proposition 3.3 that there exists $e \in E$ such that $\bar{h}'_\lambda(e) = e\theta'$, where we regard θ' as the image of s' in $\text{Hom}(\Lambda, G/Z)$. Thus, by Lemma 3.2, $\theta' \in \tilde{f}(E)$. \square

3.5. Fixed points in the moduli space. We interpret the results of Section 3.4 in terms of moduli spaces. We start with:

Proposition 3.5. *Let $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Aut}(G))$.*

- (1) *If a G_θ -bundle F is polystable, the G -bundle obtained by extension of structure group is also polystable.*

- (2) If E is a (semi,poly)stable G -bundle with a reduction of structure group to a G_θ -bundle F , then F is (semi,poly)stable.
- (3) Given $g \in G$ and $\theta' := \text{Int}_g \theta \text{Int}_{g^{-1}}$, there is a canonical isomorphism between $M(X, G_\theta)$ and $M(X, G_{\theta'})$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M(X, G_\theta) & \longrightarrow & M(X, G) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ M(X, G_{\theta'}) & & \end{array},$$

where the morphisms to $M(X, G)$ are given by extension of structure group. It restricts to a diagram for the moduli spaces $M_\Lambda(X, G_\theta)$ of polystable G_θ -bundles satisfying (3.8).

Proof. To prove (1) we use Theorem 2.2: fix a maximal compact subgroup K' of G_θ and consider a maximal compact subgroup K of G containing it, so that $K' = K \cap G_\theta$. Let ω be a volume form on X . Then, given a polystable G_θ -bundle F with extension E , there exists a reduction $h' \in \Omega^0(F/K')$ such that the corresponding Chern connection has curvature equal to $-id(F)\omega$ by Theorem 2.2. Using the inclusion $F/K' \subset E/K$ we get a reduction $h \in \Omega^0(E/K)$ such that the corresponding Chern connection has curvature equal to $-id(F)\omega = -id(E)\omega$ (note that the curvature on E is induced by the curvature on F , hence $d(F) = d(E)$), which implies that E is polystable by Theorem 2.2.

The proof of (2) uses Definition 2.1 directly: given a G -bundle E , a holomorphic reduction F to G_θ , a G_θ -invariant element $s \in \mathfrak{ig}^\theta$ (here \mathfrak{g}^θ is the subalgebra of fixed points of θ , which is the Lie algebra of G_θ) and a holomorphic reduction $\sigma \in H^0(X, F(G_\theta/P_s))$, the element s also defines a parabolic subbundle \tilde{P}_s of G and σ determines a reduction $\tilde{\sigma} \in H^0(X, E(G/\tilde{P}_s))$. It can be seen that $\deg F(\sigma, s) = \deg E(\tilde{\sigma}, s)$ and $d(F) = d(E)$. A further holomorphic reduction of $E_{\tilde{\sigma}}$ to the Levi subgroup \tilde{L}_s of \tilde{P}_s restricts to a holomorphic reduction of $(F)_\sigma$ to the Levi subgroup L_s of P_s .

The isomorphism in (3) is given as follows: consider a G -bundle E , a homomorphism $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$ and a reduction of structure group F to G_θ . Let $g \in G$ and $\theta' := \text{Int}_g \theta \text{Int}_{g^{-1}}$; then $F' := Fg^{-1} \subseteq E$ is a reduction of structure group to $\text{Int}_g(G_\theta) = G_{\theta'}$. Moreover g^{-1} induces an isomorphism from F/G^θ to $F'/G^{\theta'}$, hence $\tilde{c}_\theta(F) = \tilde{c}_{\theta'}(F')$. \square

Given $\theta \in \text{Hom}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$, we call $\tilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta)$ to the image of $M_\Lambda(X, G_\theta)$ in $M(X, G)$. By Proposition 3.5, if $\theta' = \text{Int}_g \theta \text{Int}_{g^{-1}}$ for some $g \in G$, we have $\tilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta) = \tilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_{\theta'})$.

Let $M(X, G)^\Lambda$ be the fixed point locus of $M(X, G)$ under the action of Λ , and let $M_{ss}(X, G)^\Lambda$ be the intersection with the stable and simple locus. Given a homomorphism $\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$, we call $[\theta]$ to its class in the character variety $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$ (here $\text{Int}(G)$ acts by conjugation). Combining Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 we get:

Theorem 3.6. *We have the inclusions*

$$\bigcup_{[\theta] \in \mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))} \tilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta) \subset M(X, G)^\Lambda$$

and

$$M_{ss}(X, G)^\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{[\beta] \in \mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))} \widetilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta).$$

Moreover, the intersections

$$M_{ss}(X, G) \cap \widetilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta) = M_{ss}(X, G)^\Lambda \cap \widetilde{M}_\Lambda(X, G_\theta)$$

are disjoint for different $[\theta] \in \mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(G))$.

3.6. A Prym–Narasimhan–Ramanan construction of fixed points. The promised Prym–Narasimhan–Ramanan construction can be now given as a corollary of Proposition 2.6 which, combined with Theorem 3.6, provides a characterization of the subvariety of fixed points.

Let X be a compact Riemann surface and let G be a connected reductive complex Lie group with centre Z . Let Λ be a finite subgroup of $H^1(X, Z)$ equipped with a homomorphism $\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(G)$. Define G^θ , G_θ and $\Gamma_\theta := G_\theta/G^\theta$ as in Section 3.2. The group G^θ is connected when G is simply connected and the image of the homomorphism θ is cyclic (see chapter 8 in [21]); however, it is not connected in general. Anyway we have an extension

$$(3.10) \quad 1 \rightarrow G_0^\theta \rightarrow G_\theta \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$ is a finite group because G_θ is reductive. Of course there is a natural surjective homomorphism $\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta \rightarrow \Gamma_\theta$; we call q_θ to the composition

$$q_\theta : H^1(X, \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta) \rightarrow H^1(X, \Gamma_\theta) \hookrightarrow H^1(X, \text{Hom}(\Lambda, Z)).$$

Let $Z(G_0^\theta)$ be the centre of G_0^θ . Each $\alpha \in H^1(X, \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta)$ defines a Galois cover X_α with Galois group $\Gamma_\alpha \leq \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$ which is a connected component of α , and a subgroup $G_\alpha \leq G_\theta$ which is the preimage of Γ_α under the quotient $G_\theta \rightarrow \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$. Choose a lift $\tau^\theta : \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G_0^\theta)$ of the characteristic homomorphism of the extension (3.10). By Proposition 2.5, there exists a 2-cocycle $c^\theta \in Z_{\tau^\theta}^2(\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta, Z(G_0^\theta))$ such that $G_\theta \cong G_0^\theta \times_{(\tau^\theta, c^\theta)} \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$. On the other hand, a G_θ -bundle E over X satisfies $\tilde{c}_\theta(E) \cong \Lambda$ if and only if $E/G_0^\theta \cong \alpha$ for some element $\alpha \in H^1(X, \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta)$ such that $q_\theta(\alpha) \cong \Lambda$, where q_θ is defined as in Section 3.2. Hence, the application of Proposition (2.6) yields:

Theorem 3.7. *Given $\alpha \in H^1(X, \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta)$ such that $q_\theta(\alpha) \cong \Lambda$, the category of G_α -bundles E over X satisfying $E/G_0^\theta \cong \alpha$ and the category of (τ^θ, c^θ) -twisted Γ_α -equivariant G_0^θ -bundles on X_α with $Z(\Gamma_\alpha)$ -isomorphisms are equivalent. The stability notions are compatible and the equivalence of categories induces an isomorphism*

$$(3.11) \quad \bigsqcup_{q_\theta(\alpha) = \Lambda} M(X_\alpha, \tau^\theta, c^\theta, G_0^\theta, \Gamma_\alpha) / Z_{\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta}(\Gamma_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_\Lambda(X, G_\theta),$$

where $Z_{\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta}(\Gamma_\alpha)$ is the centralizer of Γ_α in $\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$, which acts on $M(X_\alpha, \tau^\theta, c^\theta, G_0^\theta, \Gamma_\alpha)$ via τ^θ .

Proof. Fix $\alpha \in H^1(X, \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta)$. Note that, given $z \in Z_{\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta}(\Gamma_\alpha)$, the $G_0^\theta \times_{\tau^\theta, c^\theta} \Gamma_\alpha$ -action on E provided by Proposition 2.6 induces an alternative $G_0^\theta \times_{\tau^\theta, c^\theta} \Gamma_\alpha$ -action ‘ \cdot ’ such that, for every $e \in E$ and $(g, \gamma) \in G_0^\theta \times_{\tau^\theta, c^\theta} \Gamma_\alpha$, we have

$$e \cdot (g, \gamma) := e(1, z)(g, \gamma)(1, z)^{-1}.$$

The corresponding G_0^θ action is given by $e \cdot g = e\theta_z(g)$. Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (e \cdot g) \cdot (1, \gamma) &= e(1, z)g(1, z)^{-1}(1, z)(1, \gamma)(1, z)^{-1} = e(1, z)(1, \gamma)\theta_\gamma^{-1}(g)(1, z)^{-1} = \\ &= e(1, z)(1, \gamma)(1, z)^{-1}(1, z)\theta_\gamma^{-1}(g)(1, z)^{-1} = (e \cdot (1, \gamma)) \cdot \theta_\gamma^{-1}(g) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (e \cdot (1, \gamma)) \cdot (1, \gamma') &= e(1, z)(1, \gamma)(1, z)^{-1}(1, z)(1, \gamma')(1, z)^{-1} = \\ &= e(1, z)c(\gamma, \gamma')(1, \gamma\gamma')(1, z)^{-1} = (e \cdot c(\gamma, \gamma')) \cdot (1, \gamma\gamma') \end{aligned}$$

for every $e \in E$, $g \in G_0^\theta$ and γ and $\gamma' \in \Gamma_\alpha$. Finally, if we regard E as a G_0^θ -bundle over X_α , the action of an element γ in Γ_α descends to the action of $z\gamma z^{-1} = \gamma$ on X_α ; note that this would not be true if z were not in $Z_{\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta}(\Gamma_\alpha)$. Thus we obtain a (τ^θ, c^θ) -twisted Γ_α -equivariant structure on $\tau_z^\theta(E)$.

Fix $\alpha \in H^1(X, \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta)$. Let E be a (τ^θ, c^θ) -twisted Γ_α -equivariant G_0^θ -bundle. It is left to prove that the G_θ -bundle automorphisms of the extension of structure group E_{G_θ} of E to G_θ are induced by Γ_α -equivariant isomorphisms $E \cong \tau_z^\theta(E)$ for some $z \in Z_{\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta}(\Gamma_\alpha)$. Such an equivariant isomorphism induces an automorphism of E_{G_θ} , since there is a $G_0^\theta \times_{\tau^\theta, c^\theta} \Gamma_\alpha$ -bundle isomorphism

$$\tau_z^\theta(E) \xrightarrow{\sim} E(1, z)^{-1} \subset E_{G_\theta}; e \mapsto e(1, z)^{-1}.$$

Conversely, every automorphism of E_{G_θ} induces a $\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$ -bundle automorphism $E_{G_\theta}/G_0^\theta \rightarrow E_{G_\theta}/G_0^\theta$. The image of $E/G_0^\theta \cong \alpha$ is a copy of α , and an isomorphism between two such copies of α is determined by an element $\gamma \in \widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$: once we fix an element of $\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta$ sending one copy to the other, every isomorphism is just the composition of this with an automorphism of α over X , which is an element of the Galois group Γ_α . But γ must induce an isomorphism of Γ_α -bundles, therefore it must respect the Γ_α -action and so $\gamma \in Z_{\widehat{\Gamma}_\theta}(\Gamma_\alpha)$. Hence the automorphism of E_{G_θ} induces an isomorphism of $G_0^\theta \times_{\tau^\theta, c^\theta} \Gamma_\alpha$ -bundles $E \rightarrow E \cdot z$ or, in other words, a Γ_α -equivariant isomorphism of twisted equivariant bundles. Since $E \cdot z$ is isomorphic to $\tau_z^{\theta^{-1}}(E)$ by the previous paragraph, the result follows. \square

4. EXAMPLES

4.1. Fixed points of the action of a finite order line bundle. The Prym–Narasimhan–Ramanan construction given by Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 may be applied to the case where $G = \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and Λ is cyclic to give the construction in [12].

Let L be a line bundle over X with order r ; in particular L is flat. Such a line bundle may also be seen as an element of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$, since by the Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem L corresponds to a representation of $\pi_1(X)$ in $U(1)$ whose image must be in $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. We may assume that $n = rm$ is divisible by r : otherwise there would be no fixed points, which can be seen studying the determinant. Let $\Lambda < H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})$ be the subgroup generated by L . We want to calculate the fixed points of the action of Λ in $M(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$.

Every element in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})))$ is determined by the image of the generator of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, every element in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with finite order is diagonalizable and so every class in $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}, \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))) / \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ is represented by an automorphism

of the form $\theta := \text{Int}_M$, where

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} I_{p_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta I_{p_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \zeta^{r-1} I_{p_r} \end{pmatrix},$$

ζ is a primitive root of unity, I_{p_i} is the identity matrix of size $p_i \times p_i$ and $p_1 + \dots + p_r = n$. The group of fixed points $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ under this automorphism is equal to $\text{GL}(p_1, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(p_2, \mathbb{C}) \times \dots \times \text{GL}(p_r, \mathbb{C})$.

In order to define the group $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ as defined in Section 3.2, note that the group multiplication $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ induces an inclusion of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ in the group of permutations of the eigenvalues of M , which can be regarded as elements of $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ by looking at the corresponding powers of ζ . Let A be a matrix permuting the eigenspaces of M , in the sense that it sends each eigenspace to the one obtained by permuting it; we denote by $p(A)$ the corresponding permutation of the eigenvalues of M . We then call A a **permutation matrix** if $p(A) \in \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. The group $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ is generated by $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ and a set of permutation matrices, one for each element in $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ such that the eigenspaces in each orbit have the same dimension. On the other hand, we know from Theorem 3.6 that the variety of fixed points $M_{ss}(X, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ is empty unless the homomorphism $c_\theta : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ is surjective; this happens if and only if all the eigenspaces have the same dimension, i.e. $p_1 = \dots = p_r = m$. We will assume this from now on.

Thus, $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -extension of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ generated by $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ and the permutation matrix

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_m & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I_m & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & I_m \\ I_m & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $S^d = 1$, the map

$$\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}); k \mapsto S^k$$

is a homomorphism and so the 2-cocycle involved in Proposition 2.5 is trivial. We have an isomorphism

$$\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \cong \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta \times_{(\text{Int}_S, 1)} \mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z},$$

where by abuse of notation we are writing the image of the generator instead of the whole homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$.

Let $p_L : X_L \rightarrow X$ be the Galois r -cover of X defined by L and let γ be a generator of its Galois group Γ , which is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$. According to Theorem 3.6, simple fixed points come from $(\text{Int}_S, 1)$ -twisted $\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ -bundles P over X_L . The associated vector bundles are direct sums of vector bundles of rank m ; take one of them, and call it $E = F \oplus F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_{r-1}$. The induced action of γ on E given by Remark 2.9 and the natural embedding of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ in $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is given by sending $(p, v) \in P(\mathbb{C}^n)$ to

$(p \cdot \gamma, S^{-1}v)$. Since S permutes the copies of \mathbb{C}^m in \mathbb{C}^n , this action permutes the summands. This provides an isomorphism $E \cong \gamma^*E$ permuting the summands or, in other words,

$$E \cong F \oplus \gamma^*F \oplus \gamma^{2*}F \oplus \dots \oplus \gamma^{(r-1)*}F.$$

The action of the Galois group is the permutation action on E , so that the quotient of E by this action is just the pushforward of W . In summary, denoting the degree with a superscript after the M (for example, degree d as $M^d(\dots)$), we have

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\widetilde{M}^d(X_L, \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}))$ be the image of the pushforward morphism*

$$M^d(X_L, \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})) \rightarrow M^{dr}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})).$$

Then the stable fixed point locus $M_{ss}^{dr}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ is contained in $\widetilde{M}^d(X_L, \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}))$, and $\widetilde{M}^d(X_L, \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{C}))$ is contained in the fixed point locus $M^{dr}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$.

In particular, if $r = n$ then the pushforward of the space $\mathrm{Pic}^d(X) \cong J(X)$ of degree d line bundles is contained in the fixed point locus $M^{dr}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ and contains the stable fixed point locus (recall that stable vector bundles are always simple). Note that the pushforward morphism actually factors through the quotient $\mathrm{Pic}^d(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}^d(X)/(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ by the pullback action of the Galois group of X_L , and this quotient corresponds precisely to the surjection

$$M(X_L, \mathrm{Int}_S, 1, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow M(X_L, \mathrm{Int}_S, 1, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})/(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ acts by pullback. On the other hand, fixing the determinant of the pushforward of a line bundle is equivalent to fixing the norm of the line bundle, which provides a generalized Prym variety [12]. Again, the pushforward factors through the quotient by $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and the image contains the stable fixed point locus with the prescribed determinant.

4.2. Action of a line bundle of order two on $M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$. Let $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ be the symplectic group in $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ for the standard symplectic structure. Note that any line bundle of order two L over X may be regarded as a principal bundle with structure group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, which is the centre of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, and so L acts on $M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$. If Λ is the subgroup of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ generated by L , a homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ is determined by an automorphism θ of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ of order at most two. If $\theta = 1$ then $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$, so we need θ to be an involution with non-trivial quotient $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta/\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$. If we embed $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ in $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ using the symplectic form

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

we know [8] that all the conjugacy classes of involutions of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are represented by Int_J and $\mathrm{Int}_{K_{p,q}}$, where $p + q = n$ and

$$K_{p,q} := i \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -I_p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix}$$

(I_p is the identity matrix of dimension $p \times p$). As in Section 4.1, $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ unless $\theta = \mathrm{Int}_J$ or n is even and $\theta = \mathrm{Int}_{K_{n/2, n/2}}$. However, it can be seen that

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -i \\ 1 & i \end{pmatrix}^{-1},$$

which shows that

$$S := \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

is a conjugate of J in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ (the matrix we are conjugating by is in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ up to a constant). Setting $\theta = \mathrm{Int}_S$, we find that $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta \cong \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A^{t-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ generated by $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ and J . Since $J^2 = -I$, we get an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \cong \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta \times_{\mathrm{Int}_{J, -1}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

where we call -1 to the 2-cocycle $c \in Z_{\mathrm{Int}_J}^2(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, Z(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta))$ such that $c(-1, -1) = -I_{2n}$.

On the other hand, if n is even, we set $\tau := \mathrm{Int}_{K_{n/2, n/2}}$. Then $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\tau \cong \mathrm{Sp}(n/2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n/2, \mathbb{C})$ is the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & B & 0 \\ 0 & O & 0 & P \\ C & 0 & D & 0 \\ 0 & Q & 0 & R \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} O & P \\ Q & R \end{pmatrix}$$

are in $\mathrm{Sp}(n/2, \mathbb{C})$. The group $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\tau$ is generated by $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\tau \cong \mathrm{Sp}(n/2, \mathbb{C}) \times \mathrm{Sp}(n/2, \mathbb{C})$ and

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n/2} & 0 & 0 \\ I_{n/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I_{n/2} \\ 0 & 0 & I_{n/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has order two. Thus, $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\tau \cong \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\tau \times_{\mathrm{Int}_{T, 1}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let X_L be the Galois cover of X associated to L . Consider the action of Γ on \mathbb{C}^{2n} such that the generator of Γ multiplies vectors by J on the left; this is the action provided by Remark 2.9 and the embedding of $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ in $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. By Proposition 2.8 we may describe $(\mathrm{Int}_J, -1)$ -twisted $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles over X_L in terms of

vector bundles of rank $2n$ over X_L which have the form $E \oplus E^*$, where E is a vector bundle of rank n over X_L and the action of the generator γ of the Galois group exchanges the two summands. Let $\psi : E \rightarrow \gamma^*E^*$ and $\psi' : E^* \rightarrow \gamma^*E$ be the restrictions of the homomorphism induced by the action of γ on $E \oplus E^*$. On the one hand the equivariance of the Γ -action implies that $\gamma^*(\psi)\psi' = 1$. On the other, the fact that the action preserves the symplectic form implies

$$\langle e, e^* \rangle = \omega(e, e^*) = \omega(\psi(e), \psi'(e^*)) = -\langle \psi'(e^*), \psi(e) \rangle = -\langle \psi'^*\psi(e), e^* \rangle$$

for each $e \in E$ and $e^* \in E^*$, where $\langle \bullet, \bullet \rangle$ denotes the natural pairing between E and E^* and ω is the corresponding symplectic form. Thus $\psi' = -\psi^{*-1}$, and we conclude that $\gamma^*(\psi^*) = -\psi$. The action of the Galois group on $E \oplus E^*$ given by $\psi \oplus -\psi^*$ is induced by the natural permutation action on $E \oplus \gamma^*E$ using the isomorphism $\gamma^*(\psi)$ between γ^*E and E^* .

Similarly, when n is even, by Remark 2.9 we know that $(\text{Int}_T, 1)$ -twisted $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariant $\text{Sp}(n/2, \mathbb{C})$ -bundles over X_L have associated vector bundles of the form $E \oplus E'$, where both E and E' are symplectic vector bundles. The action of the Galois group exchanges E and E' , so that $E' \cong \gamma^*E$ and the symplectic form on E' is just the pullback of the form on E .

Thus, according to Propositions 3.3 and 3.4 and Theorem 3.7, we conclude:

Proposition 4.2. *A simple symplectic bundle of rank $2n$ over X whose isomorphism class is fixed by L is the pushforward of a vector bundle E of rank n over X_L , and the symplectic form is induced either by an isomorphism $f : E \cong \gamma^*E^*$ satisfying*

$$(4.12) \quad \gamma^*(\psi^*) = -\psi,$$

*or (only when n is even) a symplectic form on E . Conversely, the pushforward of any vector bundle E of rank n over X_L equipped with either a symplectic form or an isomorphism $f : E \cong \gamma^*E^*$ satisfying (4.12) provides a fixed point.*

4.3. Action of a $Z(\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ -bundle of order two on $M(X, \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}))$. First we briefly recall the construction of the group $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$; for more details see [9]. Consider a complex vector space V of dimension n equipped with an orthogonal form ω . The tensor algebra $T(V) := \bigoplus_k V^{\otimes k}$ has an ideal $I(V, \omega)$ generated by elements of the form $v \otimes v + \omega(v)$, where $v \in V$ and $\omega(v) := \omega(v, v)$, and the quotient is the Clifford algebra $\text{Cl}(V, \omega) := T(V)/I(V, \omega)$. We define the group $\text{Pin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ to be the multiplicative group generated by elements $v \in V$ such that $\omega(v) = \pm 1$, and $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}) < \text{Pin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ to be the subgroup of elements of even length. We have a degree two covering

$$f : \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

given by associating an element of $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ to its adjoint action on V . The fibre of the action of $\text{Ad}_{v_1 \dots v_{2k}}$ is $\pm v_1 \dots v_{2k}$. We assume that ω is the standard orthogonal form on $V = \mathbb{C}^n$.

According to [8], the automorphisms of $\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ are given, up to conjugation by $\text{Int}(G)$, by $\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}$ (for any p, q such that $p + q = n$) and, when n is even, Int_J , where

$$J := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{n/2} \\ -I_{n/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{p,q} := \begin{pmatrix} -I_p & 0 \\ 0 & I_q \end{pmatrix}.$$

The only inner automorphisms up to conjugation are $\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}$ for one of p or q even (and $p + q = n$) and Int_J for $n = 4m$; this is of course also true for $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$, since $\text{Int}(\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})) \cong \text{Int}(\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}))$. If we assume without loss that p is even, we find

$$\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}} = \text{S}(\text{O}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}(q, \mathbb{C})) = \text{SO}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SO}(q, \mathbb{C}) \sqcup \text{O}^-(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}^-(q, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $\text{O}^-(p, \mathbb{C})$ is the non-trivial coset of $\text{SO}(p, \mathbb{C})$ in $\text{O}(p, \mathbb{C})$.

We split our analysis for $\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}$ in two cases: first consider that $p \neq q$. Then $\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}}$ is equal to $\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}}$. Therefore $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}} = f^{-1}(\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}})$ is an extension of $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}}$ by at most $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. But $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}}$ is connected, since $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is simply connected [15], so that necessarily

$$\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})^{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}} = f^{-1}(\text{SO}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SO}(q, \mathbb{C})) = \text{Spin}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(q, \mathbb{C}).$$

An element in $f^{-1}(\text{O}^-(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{O}^-(q, \mathbb{C}))$ with order two is $s := iv_1v_{p+1}$, where $v_1 \in \mathbb{C}^p$ and $v_{p+1} \in \mathbb{C}^q$ have norm 1. The adjoint action on $\text{Spin}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(q, \mathbb{C})$ is determined by reflections on v_1 and v_{p+1} , and

$$s^2 = -v_1v_{p+1}v_1v_{p+1} = v_1v_1v_{p+1}v_{p+1} = (-1)(-1) = 1,$$

so that the 2-cocycle c given by Proposition 2.5 is trivial. Thus we get an isomorphism

$$\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\text{Int}_{I_{p,q}}} \cong (\text{Spin}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(q, \mathbb{C})) \times_{\text{Int}_s, 1} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z},$$

a semidirect product, where by abuse of notation we are writing the image of the generator instead of the whole homomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\text{Spin}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(q, \mathbb{C})).$$

When $n = 4m$ we can have the remaining case $p = q = 2m$, where $\text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})_{\text{Int}_{I_{2m,2m}}}$ is generated by $\text{SO}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SO}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ and J . In this case $\text{Spin}(4m, \mathbb{C})_{\text{Int}_{I_{2m,2m}}}$ is generated by $\text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C})$, one of the elements $J' \in f^{-1}(J)$ and s . Since $J'^2 = J^2 = -1$, we have an isomorphism

$$\text{Spin}(4m, \mathbb{C})_{\text{Int}_{I_{2m,2m}}} \cong \text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \times_{\tau, c} (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2;$$

if the generators of $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$ are a and b then $\tau_a = \text{Int}_{J'}$, which exchanges the two factors, and $\tau_b = \text{Int}_s$. The 2-cocycle c is trivial except for the pairs (b, a) , (a, a) , (ba, b) and (b, ab) .

Finally, when $n = 4m$ we have that $\text{SO}(4m, \mathbb{C})^J \cong \text{GL}(2m, \mathbb{C})$ is the subgroup of matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\text{SO}(4m, \mathbb{C})_J \cong \text{GL}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \times_{\text{Int}_{I_{2m,2m}}, 1} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

The preimage of each component in $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is connected: we may define a path from $1 \in \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ to -1 in $f^{-1}(\text{SO}(4m, \mathbb{C})^J)$ by choosing a vector v of norm -1 , so that $vv = 1$, and rotating one of the factors inside a two-dimensional subspace of V until it gets to $-v$. Since f is a degree two covering given by quotienting by $\{\pm 1\}$, this proves that $f^{-1}(\text{SO}(4m, \mathbb{C})^J)$ is connected. Since this is the preimage of the connected component of $\text{SO}(4m, \mathbb{C})_J$, the preimage of the remaining component is also connected.

Now let L be a $Z(\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ -bundle of order two over X , and let X_L be the (connected) Galois cover associated to it. If $n \neq 4m$ then, with definitions as in Section 2.3, the image of

$$\bigcup_{p \text{ even}, p+q=n} M(X_L, \text{Int}_s, 1, \text{Spin}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(q, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

in $M(X, \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ must contain the stable and simple fixed point locus. When $n = 4m$ there are several possibilities depending on the image of the monodromy representation of L : if this is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ then the image of

$$M(X_L, \tau, c, \text{Spin}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(q, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

contains the stable and simple fixed point locus. If it is a subgroup of order 2 in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ whose image under f is $\pm 1 \in \text{SO}(n, \mathbb{C})$ then we need to consider the image of either

$$M(X_L, \text{Int}_J, -1, \text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cup M(X_L, \text{Int}_{I_{2m, 2m}}, 1, \text{GL}(2m, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

or

$$M(X_L, \text{Int}_{J_s}, 1, \text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(2m, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cup M(X_L, \text{Int}_{I_{2m, 2m}}, 1, \text{GL}(2m, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}),$$

depending on the actual monodromy. Finally, if the image of the monodromy is $f^{-1}(1) = \pm 1 \in \text{Spin}(4m, \mathbb{C})$ then, again, we need to look at the image of

$$\bigcup_{p \text{ even}, p+q=n} M(X_L, \text{Int}_s, 1, \text{Spin}(p, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Spin}(q, \mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}).$$

4.4. Action of a line bundle of order two on E_7 . Let E_7 be the simply connected group with exceptional Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_7 . We briefly review the construction of E_7 ; for more details see [22]. Recall that the Cayley algebra \mathfrak{C} is the \mathbb{R} -algebra generated by the group of octonions, which is equipped with a conjugation. The exceptional Jordan algebra \mathfrak{J} consists of 3×3 hermitian matrices over \mathfrak{C} , and we may construct its complexification $\mathfrak{J}^{\mathbb{C}}$ and define the Freudenthal vector space

$$\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathfrak{J}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathfrak{J}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

Given two elements u and v in $\mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$, we may define a \mathbb{C} -linear mapping $u \times v : \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$. We define E_7 as the group of \mathbb{C} -linear automorphisms $f : \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that

$$f(u \times v)f^{-1} = f(u) \times f(v);$$

this has centre $\{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Two elements in E_7 are ι and λ , defined by

$$\iota : \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}; (u, v, a, b) \mapsto (-iu, iv, -ia, ib)$$

and

$$\lambda : \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}^{\mathbb{C}}; (u, v, a, b) \mapsto (v, -u, b, -a).$$

They anticommute and their squares are both -1 , so that the corresponding inner automorphisms have order 2. According to [22], if E_6 is the simply connected group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{e}_6 then $E_7^{\text{Int}_\iota} \cong (E_6 \times \mathbb{C}^*)/(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$, where $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$ acts by simultaneous multiplication on both factors. The action of $\tau := \text{Int}_\lambda$ on $(E_6 \times \mathbb{C}^*)/(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$ is given by transposing and inverting the factor E_6 and inverting the factor \mathbb{C}^* , and we have

$$(E_7)_{\text{Int}_\iota} \cong (E_6 \times \mathbb{C}^*)/(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}) \times_{\tau, -1} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}.$$

Now let L be a line bundle of order 2 on X , which may be regarded as $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -bundle, and let Λ be the subgroup of $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ generated by L . Let X_L be associated Galois cover. According to the previous paragraph and Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, the image of

$$M(X_L, \tau, -1, (E_6 \times \mathbb{C}^*)/(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$$

is contained in $M(X, E_7)^\Lambda$ and its intersection with the smooth locus is a union of connected components of $M_{ss}(X, E_7)^\Lambda$.

5. FIXED POINTS OF THE ACTION OF A FINITE SUBGROUP OF THE JACOBIAN

Let X be a compact Riemann surface. Applying Theorems 3.6 and 3.7 we find a description for the locus of stable fixed vector bundles of rank n whose isomorphism class is fixed under the action of a finite subgroup Λ in the Jacobian $J(X) = H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)$. In other words, we give a description of $M(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$. We write $\Lambda^* := \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)$; this will be our Γ_α , i.e. the Galois group of the étale cover constructed in Section 3.6.

5.1. Antisymmetric pairings and the character variety $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})))$. The first step is to achieve a better understanding of $\mathfrak{X}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$, which is crucial in the statement of Theorem 3.6.

Let $l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ be an **antisymmetric pairing**, i.e. a homomorphism whose associated pairing satisfies $\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle = 1$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. In particular note that

$$(5.13) \quad 1 = \langle \lambda\lambda', \lambda\lambda' \rangle = \langle \lambda, \lambda' \rangle \langle \lambda', \lambda \rangle$$

for every λ and $\lambda' \in \Lambda$. Consider a maximal subgroup $\iota : \Delta \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ satisfying that the induced homomorphism $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is trivial, which we call a **maximal isotropic subgroup**. In particular, the kernel of $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is equal to Δ . Indeed, if it were bigger than Δ then there would be an element $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $\langle \lambda, \delta \rangle = 1$ for each $\delta \in \Delta$, hence because of the antisymmetry of l the subgroup of Λ generated by λ and Δ would pair trivially with itself, contradicting the maximality of Δ . Hence we get an injection

$$f : \Lambda/\Delta \hookrightarrow \Delta^*.$$

Consider a homomorphism

$$s : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*n} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$$

landing in the subgroup of diagonal matrices of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The set of weights for the action of Δ on \mathbb{C}^n is a subset $\widehat{\Delta} \subseteq s(\Delta)^* \leq \Delta^*$; we call W_δ to the weight space in \mathbb{C}^n with weight $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$. A matrix whose only non-zero entries are contained in blocks corresponding to each weight space of $s(\Delta)$ is called a **Δ -matrix**. Given a Δ -matrix M , we call M_δ to the block which is the restriction to the weight space W_δ for each $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$. A matrix M which is given by a set of linear isomorphisms $M_{\delta'\delta}$ going from a weight space $W_{\delta'}$ to the weight space W_δ , where δ and $\delta' \in \widehat{\Delta}$, is called a **permutation matrix**; the element $\delta \in \Delta^*$ is denoted $p(M)$. In particular, Δ -matrices are permutation matrices with trivial p -image.

Definition 5.1. A **representative triple** for Λ is a triple (l, Δ, s) , where $l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ is an antisymmetric pairing, $\Delta \leq \Lambda$ is a maximal isotropic subgroup and $s : \Delta \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is a map satisfying that:

- (1) It restricts to a homomorphism $s|_\Delta : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*n} \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.
- (2) The map Int_s is a homomorphism.

(3) The antisymmetric pairing

$$\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*; \lambda \mapsto (\lambda' \mapsto s_\lambda s_{\lambda'} s_\lambda^{-1} s_{\lambda'}^{-1}),$$

which is well defined because of (2) and the fact that Λ is abelian, is equal to l . In particular, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the matrix s_λ is a permutation matrix such that $p(s_\lambda) = \iota^* l(\lambda)^{-1}$. Note that this condition only depends on the class of Int_s in $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$

(4) The image of s consists of permutation matrices whose blocks are multiples of the identity.

Lemma 5.2. *For every class in the character variety $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})))$ there exists a representative triple (l, Δ, s) such that Int_s is in the class.*

Proof. Let

$$\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})); \lambda \mapsto \text{Int}_{s_\lambda}$$

be a homomorphism. Since Λ is abelian, we get an antisymmetric pairing

$$l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*; \lambda \mapsto (\lambda' \mapsto s_\lambda s_{\lambda'} s_\lambda^{-1} s_{\lambda'}^{-1}).$$

Choose a maximal isotropic subgroup $\iota : \Delta \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ and call the corresponding injection $f : \Lambda/\Delta \hookrightarrow \Delta^*$. Since the elements in $s(\Delta)$ are semisimple (a finite power of each of them is in \mathbb{C}^*) and commute with each other, they can be simultaneously diagonalised and so we may assume (after conjugating θ if necessary) that they are all diagonal. Moreover, we may assume after rescaling that the map $s|_\Delta$ is a homomorphism and every element of $s(\Delta)$ has some diagonal entries equal to one. The set of weights is a subset $\widehat{\Delta} \subseteq s(\Delta)^* \leq \Delta^*$ containing 1. A matrix M whose conjugation by the elements in $s(\Delta)$ induces an element $\delta \in \Delta^*$ must be a permutation matrix with $p(M) = \delta$; therefore, the homomorphism $\Lambda/\Delta \xrightarrow{ps} \Delta^*$ induced by p is precisely equal to the multiplicative inverse of f . Given a weight $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta} \subset \Delta^*$ there is a coset of weights $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, and the dimensions of all the weight spaces in a given coset must be equal. The subgroup $f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ of Δ^* preserves $\widehat{\Delta}$, since the corresponding cosets are the orbits of the conjugation action of $s(\Lambda)$.

We show that there exists a Δ -matrix S such that $\text{Int}_S \theta \text{Int}_S^{-1}(\Lambda)$ consists of conjugations by permutation matrices whose blocks are multiples of the identity; since conjugating by a Δ -matrix does not change the elements of $s(\Delta)$, it is enough to choose representatives $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of each coset $\lambda\Delta \in \Lambda/\Delta$ and find a Δ -matrix S so that $Ss(\lambda)S^{-1}$ is a permutation matrix with blocks in \mathbb{C}^* for each $\lambda\Delta \in \Lambda/\Delta$. Choose a representative $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$ of each orbit $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta) \in \widehat{\Delta}/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. Consider the Δ -matrix S determined by $S_{\delta\delta'} = s(\lambda_{\delta'})_{\delta\delta',\delta}^{-1}$, where $\lambda_{\delta'} \in \Lambda$ is any element in $f^{-1}(\delta')$ and $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$ is the representative of $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$; here $s(\lambda_{\delta'})_{\delta\delta',\delta}$ is just the square matrix representing the restriction of $s(\lambda_{\delta'})$ to the δ -weight space, whose image is the $\delta\delta'$ -weight space. We show that S satisfies the claim.

Indeed, let $\lambda\Delta \in \Lambda/\Delta$ be represented by $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We want to show that

$$S_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta)} s(\lambda)_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta),\delta'} S_{\delta'}^{-1} = s(\lambda'')_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta),\delta}^{-1} s(\lambda)_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta),\delta'} s(\lambda')_{\delta',\delta}$$

is a multiple of the identity for each $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta) \in \widehat{\Delta}/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ and $\delta' \in \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, where $f(\lambda''\Delta) = \delta^{-1}\delta'f(\lambda\Delta)$ and $f(\lambda'\Delta) := \delta^{-1}\delta'$. But, since θ is a homomorphism, $s(\lambda'') =$

$s(\lambda)s(\lambda')d$ for some Δ -matrix d such that $d_\delta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ for each $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$, hence

$$s(\lambda'')^{-1}_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta),\delta} s(\lambda)_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta),\delta'} s(\lambda')_{\delta',\delta} = d_\delta^{-1} s(\lambda')^{-1}_{\delta',\delta} s(\lambda)^{-1}_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta),\delta'} s(\lambda)_{\delta'f(\lambda\Delta),\delta'} s(\lambda')_{\delta',\delta} = d_\delta^{-1},$$

as required. \square

5.2. The homomorphism c_θ . Let $\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ be a homomorphism; when studying fixed points we only care about the class of θ in $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})))$ by Theorem 3.6, hence by Lemma 5.2 we may assume that $\theta = \text{Int}_s$ for some representative triple (l, Δ, s) . The group $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ defined in Section 3.2 consists of all the invertible Δ -matrices M such that $M_\delta = M_{\delta'}$ whenever δ and δ' are elements of $\widehat{\Delta}$ in the same coset of $\widehat{\Delta}/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. From Section 3.2 we have a homomorphism

$$c_\theta : \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \rightarrow \Lambda^*.$$

Recall that the objects $M_\Lambda(X, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta)$ in the statement of Theorem 3.6 are defined as the subvariety of $M(X, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta)$ consisting of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ -bundles E such that $c_\theta(E) \cong \Lambda$. Thus the second step in the description of $M(X, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ is to understand c_θ .

Lemma 5.3. *The image of c_θ is equal to*

$$\Gamma_\theta := \{ \gamma \in \Lambda^* : \gamma|_{\Delta\widehat{\Delta}} = \widehat{\Delta} \quad \text{and} \quad \dim W_{\gamma\delta} = \dim W_\delta \text{ for every } \delta \in \widehat{\Delta} \},$$

where W_δ is the δ -weight space. Moreover, there is a subgroup $\Gamma_\theta^Z < \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ containing the centre $Z(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ such that the restriction $c_\theta|_{\Gamma_\theta^Z}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_\theta^Z/Z(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta) \cong \Gamma_\theta.$$

Proof. For each element $\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta$ we define a permutation matrix M^γ such that $p(M^\gamma) = \gamma|_\Delta$ and

$$(5.14) \quad \text{Int}_{s(\lambda)}(M^\gamma) = \gamma(\lambda)M^\gamma$$

for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$. First choose a representative $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$ of each coset $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta) \in \widehat{\Delta}/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. Then, for each $\delta' \in \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, choose $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $f(\lambda\Delta) = \delta'\delta^{-1}$ and define

$$M_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'}^\gamma := s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\lambda)^{-1}_{\delta',\delta} \gamma(\lambda)^{-1}.$$

This is independent of the choice of λ since, for every $\delta_0 \in \Delta = \ker f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(\lambda\delta_0)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\lambda\delta_0)^{-1}_{\delta',\delta} \gamma(\lambda\delta_0)^{-1} &= ds(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\delta_0)_{\delta'} d^{-1} s(\lambda)_{\delta',\delta}^{-1} s(\delta_0)_\delta^{-1} \gamma(\lambda)^{-1} \gamma(\delta_0)^{-1} = \\ &= s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\lambda)^{-1}_{\delta',\delta} \gamma(\lambda)^{-1} \gamma(\delta_0) \gamma(\delta_0)^{-1} = s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\lambda)^{-1}_{\delta',\delta} \gamma(\lambda)^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $d \in \mathbb{C}^*$ depends on λ and δ_0 . Moreover, for each element $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, we have

$$s(\lambda')_{f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta'\gamma,\delta'} M_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'}^\gamma s(\lambda')^{-1}_{f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta',\delta'} = s(\lambda')_{f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\lambda)^{-1}_{\delta',\delta} s(\lambda')^{-1}_{f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta',\delta'} \gamma(\lambda)^{-1}$$

which, since $s(\lambda)s(\lambda')$ and $s(\lambda\lambda')$ differ by a constant, is equal to

$$s(\lambda\lambda')_{f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta'\gamma,\delta'} s(\lambda\lambda')^{-1}_{f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta',\delta} \gamma(\lambda)^{-1} = M_{f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta'\gamma,f(\lambda'\Delta)\delta'}^\gamma \gamma(\lambda');$$

this shows that M^γ satisfies $\text{Int}_{s_\lambda}(M^\gamma) = \gamma(\lambda)M^\gamma$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Note that, if $\gamma \in \Lambda^*$ did not restrict to an element of Δ^* preserving $\widehat{\Delta}$, there would be no matrix M satisfying $\text{Int}_\delta M = \gamma(\delta)M$ for each $\delta \in \Delta$, since this implies that M is a permutation matrix such that $p(M) = \gamma|_\Delta$; the automorphism M would then send some non-zero weight space to a trivial weight space via an isomorphism, which is absurd.

Something similar happens when W_δ and $W_{\gamma\delta}$ have different dimension for some $\delta \in \widehat{\Delta}$. Therefore, the map

$$\{M^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta} \xrightarrow{c_\theta} \Gamma_\theta$$

is a bijection.

Now we prove that, if $Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta) \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{|\widehat{\Delta}/f(\Lambda/\Delta)|}$ is the centre of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$, the set $\Gamma_\theta^Z := Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)\{M^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta}$ is actually a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, so that c_θ induces an isomorphism $\Gamma_\theta^Z/Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta) \cong \Gamma_\theta$. Indeed, it is enough to see that whenever γ and $\gamma' \in \Lambda^*$ preserve $\widehat{\Delta}$ and the corresponding weight spaces have the same dimension, the matrix $M^\gamma M^{\gamma'}$ is equal to $M^{\gamma\gamma'}$ multiplied by an element of $Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$, which is a Δ -matrix whose restriction to each orbit of $\widehat{\Delta}/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ is constant. Given $\delta' \in \Delta^*$, the chosen representatives $\delta \in \delta'f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ and $\delta_0 \in \delta'\gamma'f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ and elements λ and $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$ satisfying $f(\lambda\Delta) = \delta'\delta^{-1}$ and $f(\lambda_0\Delta) = \delta\delta_0^{-1}\gamma'$, we have

$$M_{\delta'\gamma\gamma', \delta'\gamma'}^\gamma M_{\delta'\gamma', \delta'}^{\gamma'} = s(\lambda\lambda_0)_{\delta'\gamma\gamma', \delta_0\gamma} s(\lambda\lambda_0)_{\delta'\gamma', \delta_0}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda\lambda_0)^{-1} s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma', \delta\gamma'} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma'(\lambda)^{-1},$$

which is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma\gamma', \delta\gamma'} s(\lambda_0)_{\delta\gamma\gamma', \delta_0\gamma} s(\lambda_0)_{\delta\gamma', \delta_0}^{-1} s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma', \delta\gamma'}^{-1} s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma', \delta\gamma'} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma\gamma'(\lambda)^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_0)^{-1} = \\ s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma\gamma', \delta\gamma'} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma\gamma'(\lambda)^{-1} [s(\lambda_0)_{\delta\gamma\gamma', \delta_0\gamma} s(\lambda_0)_{\delta\gamma', \delta_0}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_0)^{-1}] = \\ M_{\delta'\gamma\gamma', \delta'}^{\gamma\gamma'} [s(\lambda_0)_{\delta\gamma\gamma', \delta_0\gamma} s(\lambda_0)_{\delta\gamma', \delta_0}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_0)^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

The expression in brackets only depends on δ, δ_0, γ and γ' , so that $M_{\delta'\gamma\gamma', \delta'\gamma'}^\gamma M_{\delta'\gamma', \delta'}^{\gamma'}$ and $M_{\delta'\gamma\gamma', \delta'}^{\gamma\gamma'}$ differ by an element of $Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ as required. \square

Corollary 5.4. *The homomorphism $c_\theta : \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ is surjective if and only if the set of weights is the whole Δ^* and the weight spaces have all the same dimension. In particular, under this assumption $s|_\Delta$ is injective, $\widehat{\Delta}$ is identified with Δ^* via s^* and the order of Δ must divide n .*

We call (l, Δ, s) an **admissible triple** if any of the two equivalent conditions in the statement of Corollary 5.4 are met. In particular this implies, by injectivity of $s|_\Delta$ and $f = ps$, that $\theta = \mathrm{Int}_s$ is injective.

5.3. Admissible triples and components of the fixed point variety. With definitions as in Section 3.5 we show that $\widetilde{M}_\Lambda(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta)$ is empty unless the triple (l, Δ, s) is admissible. Moreover, we parametrize the components of the fixed point locus using solely antisymmetric pairings.

Corollary 5.5. *If $M_{ss}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ is the stable fixed point locus, the intersection*

$$M_{ss}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda \cap \widetilde{M}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta)$$

is empty unless (l, Δ, s) is an admissible triple.

Proof. The whole point here is that the monodromy of Λ when considered as an element of $H^1(X, \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*))$ is precisely Λ^* by Proposition 5.6. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.6, in order for the smooth fixed point locus $M_{ss}(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ to be non-empty we need Γ_θ to be isomorphic to Λ^* via the homomorphism $c_\theta : \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \rightarrow \Lambda^*$; equivalently, c_θ must be surjective. \square

Let $p : X_\Lambda \rightarrow X$ be the étale cover which underlies the Λ^* -bundle Λ .

Proposition 5.6. *The cover X_Λ is connected. In other words, the monodromy of Λ is equal to Λ^* .*

Proof. We prove this by induction on the minimal number of generators of Λ . Let $\Lambda = \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k \rangle$ be a choice of generators identifying Λ with a product of cyclic groups. Let $\Lambda' := \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k-1} \rangle \leq \Lambda$ (this may be trivial). Let $p' : X_{\Lambda'} \rightarrow X$ be the connected Galois cover determined by Λ . By induction hypothesis $p' : X_{\Lambda'} \rightarrow X$ is connected and has Galois group Λ'^* , so it is enough to show that the monodromy of Λ contains an element of Λ^* which is trivial on Λ' and has order equal to the order of λ_k .

First note that the kernel of the pullback $p'^* : J(X) \rightarrow J(X_{\Lambda'})$ is equal to Λ' : indeed, there is an equivalence of categories between line bundles on X and Λ'^* -equivariant bundles on $X_{\Lambda'}$. The only possible actions on the trivial bundle are elements of $(\Lambda'^*)^* \cong \Lambda'$ multiplied by the trivial action (holomorphic functions are trivial on $X_{\Lambda'}$, and the composition of any action with the inverse of the trivial action is just a group of holomorphic functions), and each of those actions determines an element of Λ' and vice versa. Thus, since no power of $p'^* \lambda_k$ is in Λ' by assumption, its order is equal to the order of λ_k . Hence the monodromy of $p'^* \lambda_k$ must contain an element of \mathbb{C}^* with order equal to the order of λ_k , in other words there is an element of $\pi_1(X_\Lambda) \leq \pi_1(X)$ whose image $\gamma \in \Lambda^*$ via holonomy satisfies that $\gamma(\lambda_k)$ has this order. On the other hand, the fact that $p'^* \Lambda'$ is trivial implies that γ is trivial on Λ' . \square

Remark 5.7. In fact the proof of Proposition 5.6 provides an inductive construction of X_Λ in terms of Galois covers associated to line bundles with finite order. It also shows that we may characterize X_Λ by the condition that $\ker(p_\Lambda^* : J(X) \rightarrow J(X_\Lambda)) = \Lambda$.

Lemma 5.8. *Given an antisymmetric pairing $l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ such that the order of a maximal isotropic subgroup $\Delta \leq \Lambda$ divides n , there exists a map $s : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ making (l, Δ, s) an admissible triple. Moreover, the class of $\theta := \mathrm{Int}_s$ in $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}_s)$ is unique, i.e. it only depends on l .*

Remark 5.9. The assumption is independent of the choice of Δ , since $|\Delta|$ only depends on n and l : given two maximal isotropic groups Δ and Δ' in Λ , the pairing l induces an injection $\Delta'/\Delta \cap \Delta' \hookrightarrow (\Delta/\Delta \cap \Delta')^*$; indeed, the kernel of $\Delta' \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is $\Delta \cap \Delta'$ because otherwise the group generated by the kernel and Δ would be isotropic and would strictly contain Δ , and $\Delta \cap \Delta'$ is in the kernel of $\iota^* l(\Delta')$, where $\iota : \Delta \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ is the inclusion. This implies that $|\Delta'| \leq |\Delta|$, and by symmetry we get $|\Delta'| = |\Delta|$.

Proof of Lemma 5.8. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the pairing associated to l . We define the restriction of s to Δ to be an isomorphism to a subgroup of diagonal matrices of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ whose set of weights is Δ^* and whose weight spaces have the same dimension (this is possible because $|\Delta| = |\Delta^*|$ divides n). It is easy to see that any such choice of $s|_\Delta$ is in the same class of $\mathfrak{X}(\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$.

Let $\bar{\lambda}_1, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m$ be a minimal set of generators for Λ/Δ (this gives an isomorphism between Λ/Δ and a product of finite cyclic groups). Consider the quotient $\kappa : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda/\Delta$ and assume that s has been defined for a subgroup $\kappa^{-1}(H)$, where $H < \Lambda/\Delta$ is generated by the first $k-1$ generators. Set $\bar{\lambda} := \overline{\lambda_k}$ with representative $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and let $H' \leq \Lambda/\Delta$ be

the subgroup generated by H and $\bar{\lambda}$. We extend s over the subgroup $\kappa^{-1}(H')$ of Λ as follows: first consider a permutation matrix M^γ defined as in the proof of Lemma 5.3, where $\gamma := l(\lambda) \in H^*$; the matrix M^γ satisfies

$$(5.15) \quad s(h)M^\gamma s(h)^{-1} = \langle h, \lambda \rangle M^\gamma$$

for each $h \in \kappa^{-1}(H)$ and, in particular, $p(M^\gamma) = \gamma|_\Delta$. Note that multiplying M^γ by a Δ -matrix d whose restriction to

$$W_{\delta f(H)} := \bigoplus_{\delta' \in \delta f(H)} W_{\delta'}$$

is a multiple of the identity for each $\delta \in \Delta^*$ preserves (5.15), where $f : H \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is the homomorphism induced by l ; we may choose d such that $(M^\gamma d)^{|\bar{\lambda}|}$ is a multiple of $s(\lambda^{|\bar{\lambda}|})$. Set $s(\lambda) := M^\gamma d$ and define $s(h\lambda^r) := s(h)s(\lambda)^r$ for each $h \in \kappa^{-1}(H)$ and natural number r . Note that the elements of $s(\kappa^{-1}(H))$ commute up to multiplication by \mathbb{C}^* . Moreover, given an element $h \in H$, the fact that $s(h\lambda^r)^{|\kappa(h\lambda^r)|}$ is a multiple of the correct diagonal matrix (namely $s((h\lambda^r)^{|\kappa(h\lambda^r)|})$, where $(h\lambda^r)^{|\kappa(h\lambda^r)|} \in \Delta$) follows from the corresponding properties of the generators and the fact that $s|_\Delta$ is a homomorphism. The minimality of the generators chosen in Λ/Δ implies that the new definitions are compatible with the old ones. The fact that the map s induces the pairing l follows from (5.13) and (5.15).

Finally note that, given $s|_{\kappa^{-1}(H)}$, the choice of $s(\lambda)$ (or rather $\text{Int}_{s(\lambda)}$) is determined by $l(\lambda)|_{\kappa^{-1}(H)}$ up to conjugation by a Δ -matrix which is constant on each set of blocks defined by a coset of $\Delta^*/f(H)$; of course, conjugating s by such a matrix does not change $s|_{\kappa^{-1}(H)}$. Moreover, by induction $\text{Int}_s|_{\kappa^{-1}(H)}$ is unique up to conjugation by an element $\text{Int}_g \in \text{Int}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$, and it can be seen that $gs(\lambda)g^{-1}$ satisfies (5.15) if $s|_{\kappa^{-1}(H)}$ is replaced by $gs|_{\kappa^{-1}(H)}g^{-1}$. Thus the class of $\theta = \text{Int}_s$ in $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ only depends on l and Δ . To see that it does not depend on Δ notice that, given another maximal isotropic subgroup $\Delta' \leq \Lambda$, by Lemma 5.2 there exists a homomorphism $\theta' = \text{Int}_{s'}$ in the class of θ such that (l, Δ', s') is admissible, and by the previous argument this only depends on l and Δ' . Thus the class of θ is the same for Δ and Δ' , as required. \square

5.4. Fixed points as twisted equivariant bundles. Let (l, Δ, s) be an admissible triple. In order to apply Theorem 3.7 we need to describe the homomorphism τ fitting in the commutative diagram

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_\theta^Z & \xrightarrow{\text{Int}} & \text{Aut}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta) \\ \varphi \uparrow \downarrow c_\theta & \nearrow \tau & \\ \Lambda^* & & \end{array} .$$

This map lifts the characteristic homomorphism $\Gamma_\theta \rightarrow \text{Out}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ of the extension $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$. Recall that Γ_θ^Z consists of permutation matrices with constant blocks and the conjugation action of $a \in \Gamma_\theta^Z$ on a Δ -matrix M is described by the equation $(aMa^{-1})_\delta = M_{(\iota^* c_\theta(a))\delta}$ for every $\delta \in \Delta^*$. Hence, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $\tau(\lambda)$ permutes the blocks of an element in $\text{Aut}(\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ according to the multiplication by $\iota^*(\lambda)^{-1}$ in Δ^* . Since an element $M \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ satisfies $M_\delta = M_{\delta'}$ for each δ and δ' in the same coset of $\Delta^*/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, the automorphism $\tau(\lambda)$ is actually determined by the action of the coset of $\iota^*(\lambda)^{-1}$ in

$\Delta^*/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. Choosing a map $\varphi : \Lambda^* \rightarrow \Gamma_\theta^Z$ such that $c_\theta\varphi = 1$ gives an isomorphism $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta \times_{\tau, c} \Lambda^*$ for a suitable 2-cocycle $c \in Z_\tau^2(\Lambda^*, Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta))$ as in Proposition 2.5.

Let X_Λ be the (connected by Proposition 5.6) Galois cover determined by the Λ^* -bundle Λ . We may simplify the description of polystable (τ, c) -twisted Λ^* -equivariant $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ -bundles over the Galois cover $X_\Lambda \rightarrow X$ determined by the Λ^* -bundle Λ as follows:

Definition 5.10. The antisymmetric homomorphism l induces a pairing on $\iota^{*-1}(f(\Lambda/\Delta)) = l(\Lambda) \cong \Lambda/\ker l$, which we will also denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Let E be a vector bundle of rank $n/|\Delta|$ over X_Λ equipped with an action χ of $l(\Lambda)$ descending to the inverse of the action on X_Λ and satisfying

$$(5.17) \quad \chi_\gamma \chi_{\gamma'} = \langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle \chi_{\gamma'} \chi_\gamma \text{ for every } \gamma \text{ and } \gamma' \in l(\Lambda).$$

We also assume that $\chi_\gamma^{|\gamma|}$ is a fixed multiple of the identity for each $\gamma \in l(\Lambda)$. We call the pair (E, χ) **stable** if every χ -invariant subbundle has smaller slope (which is the degree divided by the rank), and we call it **polystable** if it is a direct sum of stable χ -invariant subbundles with the same slope. We denote the moduli space parametrizing isomorphism classes of such polystable pairs $M(X_\Lambda, l, n)$.

Lemma 5.11. *There is a surjective morphism $M(X_\Lambda, l, n) \rightarrow M(X_\Lambda, \tau, c, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \Lambda^*)$, where the moduli spaces are given in Definition 5.10 and Section 2.3 resp. The preimage of the stable locus of $M(X_\Lambda, \tau, c, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \Lambda^*)$ is the subvariety U of stable pairs $(E, \chi) \in M(X_\Lambda, l, n)$ such that E is not $\chi|_{l(\Lambda)}$ -equivariantly isomorphic to γ^*E for any $\gamma \in \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)$. The restriction of the surjection induces an isomorphism $U/(\Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)) \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{ss}(X_\Lambda, \tau, c, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \Lambda^*)$, where $\Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)$ acts via pullback.*

Proof. First let E' be a (τ, c) -twisted Λ^* -equivariant $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ -bundle with Λ^* -action $\tilde{\chi}$. The associated vector bundle E is equal to a direct sum of $|\Delta^*| = |\Delta|$ vector bundles of rank $m := n/|\Delta|$ such that any two summands corresponding to elements δ and δ' in the same coset of $\Delta^*/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ are isomorphic; set $E = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \Delta^*} E_\delta$, where $E_{\delta'} \cong E_\delta$ for every δ and $\delta' \in \Delta^*$ such that $\delta^{-1}\delta' \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. The (τ, c) -twisted Λ^* -equivariant action on E' induces, by Remark 2.9, an action $\chi : l(\Lambda) = \iota^{*-1}(f(\Lambda/\Delta)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E_1)$ on the summand E_1 . Note that, for each $\gamma \in l(\Lambda)$, the element $\varphi(\gamma) \in P$ is a permutation matrix with blocks equal to multiples of the identity and satisfying the commutativity relations (5.15), which implies that it is equal to $s_\lambda D$ for any $\lambda \in l^{-1}(\gamma)$ and some $D \in Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ (depending on λ). But, for any pair of elements λ and $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, we have $s_\lambda s_{\lambda'} = \langle \lambda, \lambda' \rangle s_{\lambda'} s_\lambda$, and this does not change if we introduce elements of $Z(\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ because such elements commute with $s_{\lambda'}$ and s_λ . This implies (5.17).

Conversely, let (E_1, χ) be a vector bundle of rank m with an $l(\Lambda)$ -action χ satisfying (5.17). In particular we have isomorphisms $\gamma^*E_1 \cong \gamma'^*E_1$ for each γ and γ' in the same coset of $\Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)$, so that we write $\bar{\gamma}^*E_1$ instead of γ^*E_1 , where $\bar{\gamma}$ is the coset of $\gamma \in \Lambda^*$. Let $F := \bigoplus_{\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)} \bar{\gamma}^*E_1$. We define an action $\tilde{\chi}$ on F as follows: first we define the action of $l(\Lambda)$ on E_1 so that $\tilde{\chi}_\gamma|_{E_1} \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma'}|_{E_1} = c(\gamma, \gamma')_1 \tilde{\chi}_{\gamma'}|_{E_1} \tilde{\chi}_\gamma|_{E_1}$ for every γ and $\gamma' \in l(\Lambda)$, which is done rescaling the action χ . For example, we may choose a minimal set of generators $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$ of Λ^* defining an isomorphism with a product of cyclic groups. We define $\tilde{\chi}(\gamma_k)|_{E_1} := z_k \chi(\gamma_k)$, where $z_k \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is such that $z_k^{|\gamma_k|} = \varphi(\gamma_k)_1^{|\gamma_k|} \chi(\gamma_k)^{-|\gamma_k|}$. Then define $\tilde{\chi}(\gamma_1^{r_1} \dots \gamma_m^{r_m}) := \gamma \tilde{\chi}(\gamma_1)^{r_1} \dots \chi(\gamma_m)^{r_m}$, where $\gamma = [\varphi(\gamma_1)^{r_1} \dots \varphi(\gamma_m)^{r_m} \varphi(\gamma_1^{r_1} \dots \gamma_m^{r_m})^{-1}]_1$ is completely determined by c . Then $\tilde{\chi}(\gamma) \tilde{\chi}(\gamma') \tilde{\chi}(\gamma\gamma')^{-1}$ depends solely on the commutators

of $\chi(\Lambda^*)$, which are the ones of $\varphi(\Lambda^*)$, and so it is equal to $c(\gamma, \gamma')_1$. We then extend $\tilde{\chi}|_{E_1}$ arbitrarily (but independently of the choice of (E_1, χ)) to get a Λ^* -action on E_1 (note that the images of elements in $\Lambda^* \setminus l(\Lambda)$ are not in E_1 though) and, for each γ and $\gamma' \in \Lambda^*$, we set $\tilde{\chi}(\gamma')|_{\overline{\gamma^* E_1}} := [\varphi(\gamma')\varphi(\gamma)\varphi(\gamma\gamma')^{-1}]_{\gamma}\tilde{\chi}(\gamma\gamma')\tilde{\chi}(\gamma)^{-1}$. Note that this is compatible with the existing definitions because of the definition of $\tilde{\chi}(l(\Lambda))|_{E_1}$, and moreover it is independent of the choice of γ in $\overline{\gamma} \in \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\chi}(\gamma'')|_{(\overline{\gamma\gamma'})^* E_1} \tilde{\chi}(\gamma')|_{\overline{\gamma^* E_1}} = \\ & [\varphi(\gamma'')\varphi(\gamma\gamma')\varphi(\gamma\gamma'\gamma'')^{-1}\varphi(\gamma')\varphi(\gamma)\varphi(\gamma\gamma')^{-1}]_{\gamma}\tilde{\chi}(\gamma\gamma'\gamma'')\tilde{\chi}(\gamma\gamma')^{-1}\tilde{\chi}(\gamma\gamma')\tilde{\chi}(\gamma)^{-1} = \\ & \quad [\varphi(\gamma'')\varphi(\gamma')\varphi(\gamma)\varphi(\gamma\gamma'\gamma'')^{-1}]_{\gamma\gamma'\gamma''}\tilde{\chi}(\gamma\gamma'\gamma'')\tilde{\chi}(\gamma)^{-1} = \\ & \quad [\varphi(\gamma'')\varphi(\gamma')\varphi(\gamma'\gamma'')^{-1}]_{\gamma'\gamma''}[\varphi(\gamma'\gamma'')\varphi(\gamma)\varphi(\gamma\gamma'\gamma'')^{-1}]_{\gamma\gamma'\gamma''}\tilde{\chi}(\gamma\gamma'\gamma'')\tilde{\chi}(\gamma)^{-1} = \\ & \quad c(\gamma'', \gamma')\tilde{\chi}(\gamma'\gamma'')|_{\overline{\gamma^* E_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that, if E is a direct sum of $|l(\Lambda)/(\Lambda/\Delta)^*|$ copies of F and E' is the $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ -bundle whose extension of structure group to $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the bundle of frames of E , then $\tilde{\chi}$ induces a (τ, c) -twisted Λ^* -equivariant action on E' .

To see the compatibility between the stability notions suppose that E_1 is polystable; the decomposition of E_1 into stable $l(\Lambda)$ -subbundles with the same slope induces a decomposition of F into $l(\Lambda)$ -invariant subbundles with the same slope, so we may further assume that E_1 is stable. Note that polystability of E' is equivalent to the fact that F is a direct sum of Λ^* -invariant subbundles, each of which has no proper Λ^* -invariant subbundle with larger or equal slope. First let $\Gamma \leq \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)$ be the subgroup of elements γ such that there are isomorphisms $\psi_\gamma : E_1 \cong \gamma^* E_1$ compatible with the respective restrictions of the $l(\Lambda)$ -action. For each homomorphism $\mu : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ set

$$F_\mu := \left\{ \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mu_\gamma \psi_\gamma(e) : e \in E_1 \right\} \subset F.$$

This has no proper Γ -invariant proper subbundles with slope bigger than or equal to the slope of E_1 (which is equal to the slope of F): any subbundle with bigger or equal slope has non-trivial projection on $\gamma^* E_1$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and so the only possibility is that it has the same slope and the projection is an isomorphism, which implies that it is the whole F_μ . Moreover $\bigoplus \gamma^* E_1 \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda^*} F_\mu$ is a decomposition into Λ -invariant subbundles which are isomorphic to E_1 via projection, thus having the same slope as F .

We claim that $F'_\mu := \sum_{\gamma l(\Lambda) \in \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)} \tilde{\chi}_\gamma(F_\mu) \subseteq F$ is stable as a Λ^* -invariant subbundle of F ; since $F = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Lambda^*} F'_\mu$, this will be enough. Let F_0 be a Λ^* -invariant subbundle of F'_μ with slope equal or greater. The projection on some summand of F'_μ must be non-trivial, and the image must have slope smaller or equal to the summand because it is Λ -invariant. Thus the kernel must have slope greater than or equal to the slope of F_μ . Repeating the same argument with the kernel we arrive at a situation where the projection on a summand has trivial kernel. At this point we conclude that the slope of F_0 must be equal to the slope of F_μ and the projection is an isomorphism, let's say to F_μ without loss. If the projection to every other summand is zero then we get a subbundle of F_0 contained in F_μ and, by Λ^* -equivariance, F_0 must be the whole F'_μ . Otherwise we get a second summand, say $\tilde{\chi}_\gamma(F_\mu)$ with $\gamma \in \Lambda^* \setminus \Lambda$, such that the corresponding projection is also an isomorphism. Since

projections are $l(\Lambda)$ -equivariant this yields an $l(\Lambda)$ -equivariant isomorphism $F_\mu \cong \tilde{\chi}_\gamma(F_\mu)$, which contradicts the definition of Λ .

Finally, given two pairs (E_1, χ) and (E'_1, χ') in $U \subseteq M(X_\Lambda, l, n)$ such that the corresponding bundles $F := \bigoplus_{\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)} \bar{\gamma}^* E_1$ and $F' := \bigoplus_{\bar{\gamma} \in \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)} \bar{\gamma}^* E'_1$ are Λ^* -equivariantly isomorphic. Because of the definition of U this implies that E_1 is isomorphic to $\bar{\gamma}^* E'_1$ for some $\gamma \in \Lambda^*/l(\Lambda)$, which proves the last statement. \square

5.5. Fixed points as pushforwards. Let $p_\Delta : X_\Delta \rightarrow X$ be the étale cover of X determined by the Δ^* -bundle $\Delta < J(X)$. Note that there is a natural isomorphism $\Delta^* \cong \Lambda^*/l(\Delta)$ given by the short exact sequence of abelian groups

$$1 \rightarrow (\Lambda/\Delta)^* \rightarrow \Lambda^* \rightarrow \Delta^* \rightarrow 1$$

and the fact that the homomorphism $\Delta \rightarrow (\Lambda/\Delta)^*$ induced by l is surjective (this follows from injectivity of f). The pairing l determines an isomorphism $\Lambda/\Delta \cong l(\Lambda)/l(\Delta)$ (this is injective because l induces the injection $f : \Lambda/\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^*$).

Definition 5.12. Consider the moduli space $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))$ of vector bundles of rank $n/|\Delta|$ over X_Δ . We define a subvariety $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Lambda)}$ consisting of isomorphism classes of polystable vector bundles E such that $E \cong l(\lambda)^* E \otimes p_\Delta^* \lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. There is a natural pushforward morphism

$$p_{\Delta*} : M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Lambda)} \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})).$$

On the other hand, by the equivalence of categories between $l(\Delta)$ -equivariant bundles over X_Λ and bundles over X_Δ , we have a morphism

$$p_l : M(X_\Lambda, l, n) \rightarrow M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Lambda)}.$$

Indeed, given a twisted equivariant bundle $E \in M(X_\Lambda, l, n)$, the $l(\Delta)$ -action on E is equivariant and so $E/l(\Delta)$ is a vector bundle over X_Δ . Moreover, the $l(\Lambda)$ -action satisfies (5.17) and so, for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $E \cong l(\lambda)^* E$ and the induced $l(\Delta)$ -action on $l(\lambda)^* E$ is defined, for each $\delta \in \Delta$, as the pullback of the $l(\delta)$ -action on E multiplied by $\langle \lambda, \delta \rangle = (l(\delta)(\lambda))^{-1}$. In other words, E is equivariantly isomorphic to $l(\lambda)^* E$ tensored by the trivial line bundle on X_Λ equipped with the $l(\Delta)$ -action determined by λ^{-1} . But this is the natural $l(\Delta)$ -action on $p_\Delta^*(\lambda)$, so we get $l(\Delta)$ -equivariant isomorphisms $E/l(\Delta) \cong l(\lambda)^*(E/l(\Delta)) \otimes p_\Delta^* \lambda$ as required. A stable bundle $E \in M(X_\Lambda, l, n)$ contains no proper $l(\Delta)$ -invariant subbundles with greater or equal slope and so the corresponding image in $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Lambda)}$ contains no proper subbundles with greater or equal slope, so the stability notions are compatible.

Lemma 5.13. *The composition*

$$M(X_\Lambda, l, n) \rightarrow M(X_\Lambda, \tau, c, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \Lambda^*) \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})_\theta) \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})),$$

where the last morphism is defined in Proposition 3.5 and the second one is defined in Theorem 3.7, factors as

$$M(X_\Lambda, l, n) \rightarrow M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Lambda)} \xrightarrow{p_{\Delta*}} M(X, \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})).$$

In particular, by Lemma 5.8 and Proposition 3.5, the image of the pushforward is independent of the choice of maximal isotropic subgroup Δ .

The morphism p_l is not surjective. Indeed, (5.17) implies that, for every polystable vector bundle $E \in p_l(M(X_\Delta, l, n))$, the corresponding isomorphisms $f_\lambda : E \xrightarrow{\sim} l(\lambda)^*E \otimes p_\Delta^*\lambda$ satisfy

$$(l(\lambda')^*f_\lambda \otimes \text{id}_{p_\Delta^*\lambda'}) \circ f_{\lambda'} = \langle \lambda, \lambda' \rangle (l(\lambda)^*f_{\lambda'} \otimes \text{id}_{p_\Delta^*\lambda}) \circ f_\lambda$$

for every λ and $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, so that l fixes the "commutators" of the isomorphisms. On the other hand, any polystable bundle $E \in M(X_\Delta, \text{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Lambda)}$ has isomorphisms $f_\lambda : E \xrightarrow{\sim} l(\lambda)^*E \otimes p_\Delta^*\lambda$ such that

$$f_{\lambda\lambda'} = c(l(\lambda)^*f_{\lambda'} \otimes \text{id}_{p_\Delta^*\lambda}) \circ f_\lambda$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$ depending on λ and $\lambda' \in \Lambda$; this is trivial if E is stable because E is simple, and in general this follows by redefining the original isomorphisms so that both the left and right hand sides send each stable summand of E to the same stable summand in $l(\lambda\lambda')^*E \otimes p_\Delta^*(\lambda\lambda')$ (and maybe rescaling the restriction to each summand). Thus either way we may choose the isomorphisms f_λ so that the "commutators" are multiples of the identity, which defines an antisymmetric pairing $l' : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*$. Note that this pairing is trivial on Δ , so that E is actually in the image of $M(X_\Delta, l', \Delta)$. We conclude that

$$(5.18) \quad M(X_\Delta, \text{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Lambda)} = \bigcup_l p_l(X_\Delta, l, n),$$

where l runs over all antisymmetric pairings of Λ having Δ as a maximal isotropic subgroup.

We conclude:

Proposition 5.14. *We have the inclusions*

$$\bigcup_l p_{\Delta^*} M(X_\Delta, \text{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Gamma)} \subset M(X, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Gamma$$

and

$$M_{ss}(X, \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Gamma \subset \bigcup_l p_{\Delta^*} M(X_\Delta, \text{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Gamma)},$$

where $M(X_\Delta, \text{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}))^{l(\Gamma)}$ is defined in Definition 5.12. The parameter l runs over all antisymmetric pairings on Λ such that the order of a maximal isotropic subgroup Δ divides n ; the choice of Δ is fixed for each l .

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 5.2, 5.8, 5.11 and 5.13, Theorems 3.6 and 3.7, Corollary 5.5 and (5.18). \square

5.6. Fixed points with fixed determinant. In particular we have a corresponding result for the fixed point locus in $M(X, \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$ under the action of Λ ; it is easy to see that this is empty unless $\Lambda \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$. Given a twisted equivariant bundle $(E, \chi) \in M(X_\Delta, l, n)$, there is an induced Λ^* -equivariant action on the associated vector bundle of rank n by Proposition 2.8. This induces a Λ^* -action on the determinant, which by the proof of Lemma 5.11 is equal to $\det E' = \bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Lambda} \det \gamma^* E^{|\Lambda|/|\Delta|}$. When Λ is cyclic or the pairing l is trivial this is just the norm of E , namely $\bigotimes_{\gamma \in \Lambda^*} \gamma^* E$, equipped with the Λ^* -equivariant action consisting of the permutation of the factors multiplied by the determinant of the regular representation of Λ^* . Let $M(X_\Delta, l, n)_0$ be the subvariety consisting of isomorphism classes of polystable pairs (E, χ) such that $\det E'/\Lambda^*$ is trivial or, in other words, $\det E'$ is trivial with induced trivial Λ^* -action. It is clear that the image of $M(X_\Delta, l, n)_0$ is precisely equal to $\widetilde{M}(X_\Delta, l, n) \cap M(X, \text{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))$, and we have:

Corollary 5.15. *Let $\widetilde{M}(X_\Lambda, l, n)_0$ be the image of the morphism*

$$M(X_\Lambda, l, n)_0 \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})).$$

Then $\bigcup_i \widetilde{M}(X_\Lambda, l, n)_0$ contains the stable fixed locus $M_{ss}(X, \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ and is contained in the fixed point locus $M(X, \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$, where the union runs over all the antisymmetric pairings l of Λ such that the order of a maximal isotropic subgroup divides n .

5.7. The case when $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. We apply Proposition 5.14 to the case when Λ has order 4 with two generators a and b . We consider its action on the moduli space of vector bundles of rank n and degree d . There are two possible antisymmetric pairings, the trivial one and the non-degenerate one l . Maximal isotropic subgroups have order 4 and 2 resp. Thus the smooth fixed point locus is empty unless $n = 4m$ or $4m + 2$ for some natural number m .

- (1) If $n = 4m + 2$ then 4 does not divide n and so the smooth fixed point locus is equal to the image of $M(X_\Lambda, l, n)$. Let $p_a : X_a \rightarrow X$ be the étale cover of X associated to a . By Lemma 5.13 this consists of pushforwards of vector bundles E of rank $m + 1$ and degree d on X_Λ equipped with an isomorphism $E \cong \gamma^* E \otimes p_a^* b$, where γ is the generator of the Galois group of X_a . Call the variety of such vector bundles $M(X_a, m + 1, d)^b$.
- (2) If $n = 4m$ then we have a second component corresponding to the trivial pairing, namely the pushforward of the moduli space of vector bundles of rank m and degree d over X_Λ . In this case the smooth fixed point locus is contained in the union of $p_{\Lambda^*}(M(X_\Lambda, m, d))$ and $p_{a^*}(M(X_a, 2m, d)^b)$.

6. ACTION OF A FINITE GROUP OF LINE BUNDLES OF ORDER 2 ON $M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$

Let $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ be the symplectic group in $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ for the standard symplectic structure. The centre is $\{1, -1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let $\Lambda \subset H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ be a finite subgroup. Note that, via the Narasimhan–Seshadri Theorem, we may identify $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)$ with the subgroup of $J(X)$ consisting of elements of order at most 2; since $J(X)$ is an abelian variety of dimension equal to the genus g of X , this is isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. We adapt the definitions and arguments of Section 5 to describe $M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$, and we divide it into analogous sections to emphasize the parallelism.

6.1. Antisymmetric pairings and the character variety $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})))$.

Definition 6.1. Recall that an **antisymmetric pairing** on Λ is a homomorphism $l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^* := \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Lambda, \mathbb{C}^*)$ such that every element of Λ pairs trivially with itself. We may choose a maximal **isotropic** subgroup $\iota : \Delta \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ where the pairing is trivial, and we have an induced injection $f : \Lambda/\Delta \hookrightarrow \Delta^*$.

A **representative quadruple** for Λ is a quadruple (l, Δ, q, s) , where $l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ is an antisymmetric pairing, Δ is a maximal isotropic subgroup, $q \in \Delta^*$ and $s : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is a map satisfying that:

- (1) It induces a homomorphism $\mathrm{Int}_s : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$.
- (2) It restricts to a map $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{*2n} \subset \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

(3) The antisymmetric pairing

$$\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*; \lambda \mapsto (\lambda' \mapsto s_\lambda s_{\lambda'} s_\lambda^{-1} s_{\lambda'}^{-1})$$

is equal to l . In particular, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the element s_λ is a permutation matrix such that $p(s_\lambda) = \iota^* l(\lambda)$.

- (4) The image of s consists of permutation matrices whose blocks are multiples of the identity.
- (5) For each $\delta \in \Delta$, the eigenspaces of \mathbb{C}^{2n} for the action of $s(\delta)$ are either isotropic (i.e. the restriction of the symplectic form is trivial) or symplectic (i.e., the restriction of the symplectic form is non-degenerate). This provides a homomorphism $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ which maps λ to -1 if the eigenspaces are isotropic and to 1 otherwise, which must be equal to q .

We call q the **characteristic homomorphism** of s .

The only statement in (5) which is not tautological is the fact that the characteristic homomorphism is equal to q : note that (1) imposes that the eigenvalues of $s(\delta)$ be either ± 1 or $\pm i$, thus there are only two eigenspaces. The fact that $s(\delta)$ preserves the symplectic form implies that the eigenspaces are isotropic if and only if the eigenvalues are $\pm i$ (with each isotropic subspace having opposite eigenvalue), and they are ± 1 if and only if the eigenspaces are symplectic. It follows from (2) that the characteristic homomorphism is an actual homomorphism: given δ and $\delta' \in \Delta$, the eigenspaces of $s(\delta\delta')$ are isotropic/symplectic if and only if the eigenspaces of $s(\delta)s(\delta')$ are isotropic/symplectic (by (1) they differ by a constant), if and only if the eigenvalues of $s(\delta)s(\delta')$ are $\pm i/\pm 1$. These are the product of the eigenvalues of $s(\delta)$ and $s(\delta')$, hence the result reduces to checking the possibilities.

Lemma 6.2. *For every class in the character variety $\mathfrak{X}(\Lambda, \text{Int}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})))$ there exists a representative quadruple (l, Δ, q, s) such that Int_s is in the class.*

Proof. Let

$$\theta : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Int}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})); \lambda \mapsto \text{Int}_{s_\lambda}$$

be a homomorphism. Since Λ is abelian, we get an antisymmetric pairing

$$l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*; \lambda \mapsto (\lambda' \mapsto s_\lambda s_{\lambda'} s_\lambda^{-1} s_{\lambda'}^{-1}).$$

Choose a maximal isotropic subgroup $\iota : \Delta \hookrightarrow \Lambda$ and consider the corresponding injection $f : \Lambda/\Delta \hookrightarrow \Lambda^*$. Since the elements in $s(\Delta)$ are semisimple (the square of each of them is in $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$) and commute with each other, they can be simultaneously diagonalised by symplectic matrices (this follows, for example, from the fact that every two maximal tori in $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ are conjugate to each other). Thus we may assume, after conjugating θ if necessary, that they are all diagonal.

Let $q \in \Delta^*$ be the characteristic homomorphism of s . It follows from the fact that every element of Δ has order two that, for each $\delta \in \Delta$, the diagonal matrix $s(\delta)$ has eigenvalues ± 1 if $q(\delta) = 1$ and $\pm i$ otherwise. Thus we may further assume after rescaling by ± 1 that the first vector of the standard basis of \mathbb{C}^{2n} has eigenvalue 1 or $-i$. For convenience we redefine the eigenvalues of $s(\delta)$ so that they are always ± 1 by multiplying them by i if $q(\delta) = -1$; note that, under this convention, the first vector of the standard basis always has eigenvalue 1 . We call a simultaneous eigenspace for the action of $s(\Delta)$ a weight space,

whose corresponding weight is an element of $s(\Delta)^* \leq \Delta^*$ which associates its eigenvalue (in this new sense, so that it takes values ± 1) to each $\delta \in \Delta$. The fact that each weight is a homomorphism $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ follows from the first vector of the standard basis having weight 1, since $s(\delta\delta') = \pm s(\delta)s(\delta')$ for every δ and $\delta' \in \Delta$. Note that, since the only possible eigenvalues are 1 and -1 , the eigenspaces of each element in $s(\Delta)$ determine that element. This implies that the set of weights is precisely equal to $s(\Delta)^* \leq \Delta^*$.

As in the proof of Lemma 5.2, the homomorphism $\Lambda/\Delta \xrightarrow{ps} \Delta^*$ induced by p is equal to the multiplicative inverse of f , which in this case is equal to f . Given a weight $\delta \in s(\Delta)^* \subset \Delta^*$ we get an orbit of weights $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, and the dimensions of all the weight spaces in a given orbit must be equal. In particular the subgroup $f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ of Δ^* must be contained in $s(\Delta)^*$. For each $\delta \in s(\Delta)^*$ we call W_δ to the corresponding weight space.

As in the proof of Lemma 5.2, we choose representatives $\lambda \in \Lambda$ of each coset $\lambda\Delta \in \Lambda/\Delta$ and show that there exists a symplectic Δ -matrix S such that $Ss(\lambda)S^{-1}$ is a permutation matrix with blocks in \mathbb{C}^* for each $\lambda\Delta \in \Lambda/\Delta$. Choose a representative $\delta \in s(\Delta)^*$ of each coset $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta) \in s(\Delta)^*/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. We split the argument into three cases: first assume that the characteristic homomorphism $q \in \Delta^*$ is non-trivial and $q \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. Choose an element $\delta_q \in q^{-1}(-1)$ and consider the subgroup $\ker \delta_q \subset f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, which has degree 2 (because $\delta_q \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)^*$ has order at most two and $q(\delta_q) = -1$). Consider the Δ -matrix S determined by $S_{\delta\delta'} = s(\lambda_{\delta'})_{\delta\delta',\delta}^{-1}$ and $S_{(q\delta)\delta'} = s(\lambda_{\delta'})_{q\delta\delta',q\delta}^{-1}$, where $\delta' \in \ker \delta_q$, $\lambda_{\delta'} \in \Lambda$ is any element whose coset $\lambda_{\delta'}\Delta \in \Lambda/\Delta$ is equal to $f^{-1}(\delta')$ and $\delta \in s(\Delta)^*$ is the representative of $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. Note that there is a decomposition into symplectic subspaces

$$\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \bigoplus_{\delta \in \ker \delta_q} W_\delta \oplus W_{q\delta},$$

where W_δ is isotropic; this follows from the fact that $W_{q\delta}$ is contained in the same eigenspaces as W_δ for elements in $\ker q$, which have symplectic eigenspaces, and in different eigenspaces for elements in $q^{-1}(-1)$, which decompose \mathbb{C}^{2n} into maximal isotropic subspaces. Thus $s(\lambda_{\delta'})_{\delta\delta',\delta}^{-1} \oplus s(\lambda_{\delta'})_{q\delta\delta',q\delta}^{-1}$ may be regarded as an automorphism of $\mathbb{C}^{\dim W_\delta} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{\dim W_\delta}$ preserving the standard symplectic form, which shows that $S \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. The same calculation as in the proof of Lemma 5.2 shows that $Ss(\lambda)S^{-1}$ has constant blocks for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $l(\lambda) \in \ker \delta_q$. We take this for granted hereafter.

Now choose $\lambda_q \in \Lambda$ such that $f(\lambda_q\Delta) = q$. Since λ_q has order two we know that, for each $\delta \in \ker \delta_q \leq s(\Delta)^*$, $s(\lambda_q)_{q\delta,\delta}s(\lambda_q)_{\delta,q\delta}$ is equal to 1 or -1 . Since $s(\lambda_q)$ preserves the symplectic form, we have $s(\lambda_q)_{q\delta,\delta} = -s(\lambda_q)_{\delta,q\delta}^{-1}$ (recall that the restriction of the standard symplectic form to $W_\delta \oplus W_{q\delta}$ is the standard one in lower dimension). Thus $s(\lambda_q)_{\delta,q\delta}$ is either symmetric or skew-symmetric and so it is diagonalizable, which implies that it has a square root $s(\lambda_q)_{\delta,q\delta}^{1/2}$ in $\text{GL}(\dim W_\delta, \mathbb{C})$. Now let $S' \in \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ be the Δ -matrix such that $S'_\delta = s(\lambda_q)_{\delta,q\delta}^{-1/2}$ and $S'_{q\delta} = s(\lambda_q)_{\delta,q\delta}^{1/2}$ for every $\delta \in \ker \delta_q \leq s(\Delta)^*$. The matrix S' is a

multiple of a symplectic matrix because, for every (skew-)symmetric matrix A ,

$$\begin{pmatrix} A^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & A^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (A^{1/2})^t & 0 \\ 0 & (A^{-1/2})^t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^{1/2} \\ -A^{-1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pm A^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & \pm A^{-1/2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \pm 1 \\ \mp 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover, since Int_s is a homomorphism, $s(\lambda)$ has constant blocks for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $l(\lambda) \in \ker \delta_q$ and $f(\Lambda/\Delta) = \ker \delta_q \sqcup q \ker \delta_q$, we know that $s(\lambda_q)_{\delta\delta', q\delta\delta'}$ is a multiple of $s(\lambda_q)_{q\delta, \delta}$ for every $\delta' \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$; this implies that the restrictions of S' to W_δ and $W_{\delta\delta'}$ differ by a constant and so a permutation matrix M with $p(M) \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ satisfies $(S'MS'^{-1})_\delta = cM_\delta$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Therefore, $S's(\lambda)S'^{-1}$ still has constant blocks for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that $l(\lambda) \in \ker \delta_q$. Hence, since Int_s is a homomorphism, in order to prove that the image of $S'S'^{-1}$ consists of permutation matrices with constant blocks it is enough to show that $S's(\lambda_q)S'^{-1}$ has constant blocks:

$$(S's(\lambda_q)S'^{-1})_{\delta, q\delta} = S'_\delta s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta} S'^{-1}_{q\delta} = s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta}^{-1/2} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta}^{-1/2} = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (S's(\lambda_q)S'^{-1})_{q\delta, \delta} &= S'_{q\delta} s(\lambda_q)_{q\delta, \delta} S'^{-1}_\delta = s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta}^{1/2} s(\lambda_q)_{q\delta, \delta} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta}^{1/2} = \\ &= \pm s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta}^{1/2} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta}^{-1} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta, q\delta}^{1/2} = \pm 1 \end{aligned}$$

for each $\delta \in \ker \delta_q$.

It remains to consider the cases when either q is trivial or $q \notin f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. If q is trivial then the weight spaces are all symplectic vector spaces with the standard symplectic form. The elements of $s(\Lambda)$ are permutation matrices whose blocks preserve this form, hence the matrix S defined in the proof of Lemma 5.2, which is a Δ -matrix built up from these blocks, must be symplectic. If q is not trivial and $q \notin f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, choose $\delta_q \in q^{-1}(-1)$ as before. In this situation the kernel of δ_q in $f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ is equal to the whole $f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, so the matrix S which we defined when addressing the first case (with non-trivial $q \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$) does the trick. \square

6.2. The homomorphism c_θ . Let $\theta = \text{Int}_s$ be the homomorphism corresponding to a representative quadruple (l, Δ, q, s) . The group $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ consists of all the symplectic Δ -matrices M such that $M_\delta = M_{\delta'}$ whenever δ and δ' are elements of $s(\Delta)^*$ in the same orbit of $s(\Delta)^*/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. From Section 3.2 we have a homomorphism

$$c_\theta : \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \rightarrow \Lambda^*.$$

Lemma 6.3. *The image of c_θ is equal to*

$$\Gamma_\theta := \{\gamma \in \Lambda^* : \gamma|_\Delta \in s(\Delta)^* \text{ and } \dim W_{\gamma\delta} = \dim W_\delta \text{ for every } \delta \in s(\Delta)^*\}.$$

Moreover, there is a subgroup $\Gamma_\theta^Z < \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ containing the centre $Z(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ such that the restriction $c_\theta|_{\Gamma_\theta^Z}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\Gamma_\theta^Z/Z(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta) \cong \Gamma_\theta.$$

Proof. Choose representatives $\delta \in s(\Delta)^*$ for each coset $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta) \in s(\Delta)^*/f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ and define

$$M_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'}^\gamma := s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta',\delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda)^{-1} = s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta',\delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda)$$

as in the proof of Lemma 5.3, where $\delta' \in \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$ is such that $p(s(\lambda)) = \delta'\delta^{-1} = \delta'\delta$; recall that this definition is independent of the choice of λ . We start by showing that, for each $\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta$, the matrix M^γ is equal to a symplectic matrix multiplied by an element of the centre $Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s})$ of the fixed point subgroup $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s}$ of $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$; note that this symplectic matrix still satisfies (5.14).

First suppose that the characteristic homomorphism q is trivial. In this situation every weight space for the action of $s(\Delta)$ is symplectic with standard symplectic form. Thus $s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta\gamma}$ and $s(\lambda)_{\delta',\delta}$ are both symplectic. Moreover $\gamma(\lambda) = \pm 1$, which also preserves the symplectic form, so $M^\gamma \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$.

Now let q be non-trivial. If $q \notin f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ then we may assume that, if δ is the chosen representative for $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$, the element $q\delta \in q\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ also represents its class and so, on the one hand, for every $\delta' \in \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$,

$$M_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'}^\gamma = s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta',\delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda)$$

and, on the other,

$$M_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta'q}^\gamma = s(\lambda)_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta q\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta'q,\delta q}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda).$$

If $\gamma \neq q$ then, since $s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta\gamma} \oplus s(\lambda)_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta q\gamma}$ and $s(\lambda)_{\delta',\delta} \oplus s(\lambda)_{\delta'q,\delta q}$ preserve the standard symplectic form, the restriction of M^γ to $W_{\delta'} \oplus W_{q\delta'}$ is symplectic for every $\delta' \in s(\Delta)^*$.

If $q = \gamma$ then $M_{\delta'\gamma,\delta'}^\gamma$ and $M_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta'q}^\gamma$ are inverses of each other, in other words M^γ exchanges $W_{\delta'}$ and $W_{q\delta'}$ with the restrictions being inverses of each other. By antisymmetry of the symplectic form the restriction to $W_{\delta'} \oplus W_{q\delta'}$ multiplies the symplectic form by -1 . If we choose representatives δ' of each coset $\delta'\{1, q\} \subset \Delta^*$ in such a way that the action of $f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ preserves representatives (recall that $q \notin f(\Lambda/\Delta)$) then we may define a Δ -matrix D which is equal to 1 when restricted to $W_{\delta'}$ and -1 when restricted to $W_{\delta'q}$; it is clear that D is constant on

$$W_{\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)} := \bigoplus_{\delta' \in \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)} W_{\delta'}$$

for every $\delta \in s(\Delta)^*$, hence it is in $Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s})$. Moreover D multiplies the symplectic matrix by -1 , so DM^γ is symplectic as required.

Now assume that $q \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ and choose $\lambda_q \in \Lambda$ such that $f(\lambda_q) = q$. Let $d = \pm 1$ such that $s(\lambda\lambda_q) = ds(\lambda)s(\lambda_q)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta'q}^\gamma &= s(\lambda\lambda_q)_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta\gamma} s(\lambda\lambda_q)_{\delta'q,\delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda\lambda_q) = ds(\lambda)_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta q\gamma} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q\gamma,\delta\gamma} d^{-1} s(\lambda)_{\delta'q,\delta q}^{-1} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q,\delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda\lambda_q) = \\ &= [s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q\gamma,\delta\gamma} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q,\delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_q)] s(\lambda)_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta q\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta'q,\delta q}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where the expression in square brackets only depends on q, γ and the coset $\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. Since $s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma,\delta\gamma} \oplus s(\lambda)_{\delta'q\gamma,\delta q\gamma}$ and $s(\lambda)_{\delta',\delta} \oplus s(\lambda)_{\delta'q,\delta q}$ are symplectic, this shows that the restriction of M^γ to $\bigoplus_{\delta' \in \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)} W_{\delta'} \oplus W_{q\delta'}$ multiplies the symplectic form by a constant, which implies that multiplying this restriction by a suitable complex number (namely $[s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q\gamma,\delta\gamma} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q,\delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_q)]^{-1/2}$) yields a symplectic transformation. In other words, M^γ is equal to a symplectic matrix multiplied by a diagonal matrix which is constant on

$W_{\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)}$ for every $\delta \in s(\Delta)^*$ yields a symplectic matrix. But such diagonal matrix is in $Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s})$, as required.

Hereafter we rename the matrices M^γ so that they are symplectic.

Note that, if $\gamma \in \Lambda^*$ did not restrict to an element of $s(\Delta)^*$, there would be no matrix M satisfying $\mathrm{Int}_\delta M = \gamma(\delta)M$ for each $\delta \in \Delta$, since this implies that M is a permutation matrix such that $p(M) = \gamma|_\Delta$; the automorphism M would then send some non-zero weight space to a trivial weight space via an isomorphism, which is absurd. Similarly, given δ and $\gamma \in s(\Delta)^*$, the weight spaces W_δ and $W_{\delta\gamma}$ must have the same dimension if there is a permutation invertible matrix M with $p(M) = \gamma$, since the image of W_δ after applying the linear transformation M is $W_{\delta\gamma}$. Therefore, the map

$$\{M^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta} \xrightarrow{c_\theta} \Gamma_\theta$$

is a bijection.

In the proof of Lemma 5.3 we showed that, for every γ and $\gamma' \in \Gamma_\theta$, $M^\gamma M^{\gamma'} = zM^{\gamma\gamma'}$ for some $z \in Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s})$. The new definition of M^γ only differs from the old one by an element of $Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s})$, so this still holds. Moreover, since M^γ is symplectic for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta$, it follows that $z \in Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s}) \cap \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. But, on the one hand, $Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s}) \cap \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s} \cap \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}) = \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$. On the other, the adjoint action of $Z(\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s})$ on $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta < \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^{\mathrm{Int}_s}$ is trivial. Thus $z \in Z(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)$ and so we may define $\Gamma_\theta^Z := Z(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)\{M^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta}$. \square

Corollary 6.4. *The homomorphism $c_\theta : \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \rightarrow \Lambda^*$ is surjective if and only if $s(\Delta)^*$ is identified with Δ^* via s^* and all the weight spaces have the same dimension. In particular, under this assumption $s|_\Delta$ is injective and the order of $\ker q \leq \Delta$ must divide n .*

Proof. The first statement follows from Lemma 6.3. To show that the order of $\ker q$ divides n we distinguish two cases: if q is trivial then the restriction of the symplectic form to every weight space is the standard one, which implies that the intersection of each weight space with a copy of \mathbb{C}^n in \mathbb{C}^{2n} has half its dimension. Dividing n by this dimension (which is equal for every weight space) gives the number of weights, which is equal to the order of $\Delta = \ker q$. If q is non-trivial then $\ker q$ is a subgroup of Δ of degree 2. Since the quotient of $2n$ by the dimension of any weight space gives the number of weights, which is equal to $|\Delta| = 2|\ker q|$, the order of $\ker q$ must divide n as required. \square

We call (l, Δ, q, s) an **admissible quadruple** if any of the two equivalent conditions in the statement of Corollary 6.4 are met. In particular this implies, by injectivity of $s|_\Delta$ and $f = ps$, that $\theta = \mathrm{Int}_s$ is injective.

6.3. Admissible quadruples as components of the fixed point variety. Consider the image $\widetilde{M}(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta)^\Lambda$ of the extension of structure group morphism

$$M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta) \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$$

given in Proposition 3.5.

Corollary 6.5. *If $M_{ss}(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ is the moduli space of stable fixed points, the intersection $M_{ss}(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda \cap \widetilde{M}(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta)$ is empty unless (l, Δ, q, s) is an admissible quadruple.*

Proof. The monodromy of Λ when considered as an element of

$$H^1(X, \Lambda^*) \cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda, H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2)) \cong \text{Hom}(\Lambda, H^1(X, \mathbb{C}^*)),$$

where the last isomorphism follows from the Theorem of Narasimhan–Seshadri, is equal to Λ^* by Proposition 5.6. Therefore, according to Theorem 3.6, in order for the smooth fixed point locus $M_{ss}(X, \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))^\Lambda$ to be non-empty we need Γ_θ to be isomorphic to Λ^* via the homomorphism $c_\theta : \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta \rightarrow \Lambda^*$; equivalently, c_θ must be surjective. \square

Lemma 6.6. *Given an antisymmetric pairing $l : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda^*$, a maximal isotropic subgroup $\Delta \leq \Lambda$ and an element $q \in \Delta^*$ such that the order of $\ker q$ divides n , there exists a map $s : \Lambda \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ making (l, Δ, q, s) an admissible quadruple.*

Proof. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the pairing associated to l . Choose an injective map $s' : \ker q \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ whose image consists of diagonal matrices with eigenvalues ± 1 and weight spaces of dimension $n/\ker q$. Take the composition

$$s|_{\ker q} : \ker q \xrightarrow{s' \oplus s'} \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C}).$$

If q is non-trivial we then choose $\delta_q \in q^{-1}(-1)$, set

$$s(\delta_q) := \begin{pmatrix} iI_n & 0 \\ 0 & -iI_n \end{pmatrix}$$

and define $s|_\Delta$ so that s preserves the group multiplication up to factors of ± 1 (note that $\Delta = \ker q \sqcup \delta_q \ker q$).

Since every element of Λ has order two, we may find a set of generators $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ identifying Λ with a product of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$'s and such that Δ is generated by the first k of them. We prove the statement by induction on the number of generators of Λ/Δ , so assume that we have a map $s : \Lambda' := \langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{m-1} \rangle \rightarrow \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ making $(l|_{\Lambda'}, \Delta, q, s)$ an admissible quadruple for some $m > k$. Let $\gamma := l(\lambda_m)|_{\Lambda'}$ and $M^\gamma \in \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ as defined in the proof of Lemma 6.3; we claim that $(M^\gamma)^2 = \pm 1$. Note that γ cannot be in $l(\Lambda')$, since $f : \Lambda/\Delta \rightarrow \Delta^*$ is an injection. Hence we may choose the representative of each coset in $\Delta^*/f(\Lambda'/\Delta)$ so that, if δ represents $\delta f(\Lambda'/\Delta)$, so does $\gamma\delta$ in $\gamma\delta f(\Lambda'/\Delta)$. Recall that if q is trivial or $q \notin f(\Lambda'/\Delta)$ then

$$M_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'}^\gamma = s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda) \quad \text{or} \quad s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda) D_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'},$$

where D is defined in the proof of Lemma 6.3, depending on whether $q \neq \gamma$ or $q = \gamma$ resp.; whereas, if q is non-trivial and $q \in f(\Lambda'/\Delta)$,

$$M_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'}^\gamma = [s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q \gamma, \delta \gamma} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q, \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_q)]^{-1/2} s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda),$$

where $f(\lambda) = \delta'\delta$ and $f(\lambda_q\Delta) = q$. In the first case, if $q \neq \gamma$ then

$$(M^\gamma)_{\delta', \delta'}^2 = M_{\delta', \delta'\gamma}^\gamma M_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'}^\gamma = s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda) s(\lambda)_{\delta'\gamma, \delta'\gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda) = 1.$$

If $q = \gamma$ then, since M^γ and D anticommute (the restrictions of D to W_δ and $W_{q\delta}$ differ by -1 for every $\delta \in \Delta^*$ and $M^\gamma = M^q$ permutes them), we have, by the previous calculation,

$$1 = (M^\gamma D)^2 = -(M^\gamma)^2 D^2 = -(M^\gamma)^2.$$

In the second case, i.e. if $q \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ is non-trivial,

$$(M^\gamma)_{\delta', \delta'}^2 = [s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q, \delta} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q \gamma, \delta \gamma}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_q)]^{-1/2} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta} s(\lambda)_{\delta' \gamma, \delta \gamma}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda) \\ [s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q \gamma, \delta \gamma} s(\lambda_q)_{\delta q, \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda_q)]^{-1/2} s(\lambda)_{\delta' \gamma, \delta \gamma} s(\lambda)_{\delta', \delta}^{-1} \gamma(\lambda) = 1,$$

assuming that we have chosen the square roots in a compatible way.

Now it can be seen that the map $s : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ which sends $\lambda \lambda_m^k$ to $s(\lambda)(M^\gamma)^k$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda'$ induces a homomorphism Int_s : indeed, $(s(\lambda)M^\gamma)^2$ is equal to ± 1 because the square of each factor is ± 1 and they commute up to multiplication by ± 1 . Moreover, s yields an admissible quadruple: for example, the antisymmetry of l and the construction of s imply that l is induced by s . \square

Remark 6.7. Unlike the case of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ (see Lemma 5.8), given the data l, Δ and q , the class of $\theta = \mathrm{Int}_s$ in $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})))$ is not unique. Indeed, on each inductive step in the proof of Lemma 6.6 we have a choice: having already defined $s|_{\Lambda'}$ and letting $\gamma := l(\lambda_m)|_{\Lambda'}$, let us think about the possible choices for a permutation matrix in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ with constant blocks satisfying (5.15) and having square ± 1 (any such a matrix would extend s to Λ by setting $s(\lambda_m) := M^\gamma$, in such a way that (l, Δ, q, s) is an admissible quadruple). We know by Lemma 6.6 that this matrix exists, namely the matrix M^γ defined in the proof of Lemma 6.3. Another symplectic matrix satisfying the requirements is equal to $M^\gamma C$, where C is a symplectic Δ -matrix which is equal to a constant in \mathbb{C}^* when restricted to $W_{\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)} := \bigoplus_{\delta' \in \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)} W_{\delta'}$ for every $\delta \in \Delta^*$. We split the argument into three different cases.

If $q \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$ then, according to the proof of Lemma 6.6 we may choose M^γ to have order 2. Moreover, C is symplectic if and only if its restriction to $W_{\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)}$ is equal to ± 1 for every $\delta \in \Delta^*$, since $W_{\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)}$ is symplectic. The condition $(CM^\gamma)^2 = \pm 1$ implies that the restriction of C to $W_{\delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)}$ is equal to ± 1 multiplied by its restriction to $W_{\gamma \delta f(\Lambda/\Delta)}$. A representative of the $+1$ case is just $C = 1$, whereas we call a representative for the -1 case C^- . Each sign yields a different class in $\mathfrak{X}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})))$: the matrices M^γ and $M^\gamma C^-$ have different order (2 and 4 resp.), since $(M^\gamma C^-)^2 = -(M^\gamma)^2 (C^-)^2 = -1$. Now, if Int_{M^γ} and $\mathrm{Int}_{M^\gamma C^-}$ were conjugate in $\mathrm{Int}(\mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ then M^γ would be conjugate to $\pm M^\gamma C^-$, which has different order, so this is impossible. Hence we have two different choices for the class of Int_s completely determined by the order of $s(\lambda_m)$.

If $q \notin f(\Lambda'/\Delta)$ and $q \neq \gamma$ then, for every $\delta \in \Delta^*$, the subspaces $W_{\delta \Lambda'/\Delta}$ and $W_{\delta q \Lambda'/\Delta}$ are different. The automorphism $C|_{W_{\delta \gamma \Lambda'/\Delta}}$ may differ from $C|_{W_{\delta \Lambda'/\Delta}}$ by a factor, say k , but then the fact that C is symplectic implies that $C|_{W_{\delta \gamma q \Lambda'/\Delta}} = k^{-1} C|_{W_{\delta q \Lambda'/\Delta}}$. However, conjugation by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k^{1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

which is defined on $W_{\delta \Lambda'/\Delta} \oplus W_{\delta \gamma \Lambda'/\Delta} \oplus W_{\delta q \Lambda'/\Delta} \oplus W_{\delta \gamma q \Lambda'/\Delta}$ (note that this preserves the standard symplectic form), reduces the possible cases to $k = 1$. Then C is of the form $c \oplus c \oplus c^{-1} \oplus c^{-1}$ on $W_{\delta \Lambda'/\Delta} \oplus W_{\delta \gamma \Lambda'/\Delta} \oplus W_{\delta q \Lambda'/\Delta} \oplus W_{\delta \gamma q \Lambda'/\Delta}$, and so $M^\gamma C$ is conjugate to

M^γ via the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c^{-1/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c^{1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c^{1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

so there is only one possible choice for the class of Int_s in this case.

Finally, if $q = \gamma$, the matrix C is symplectic if and only if its restriction to $W_{\delta\Lambda'/\Delta} \oplus W_{\delta q\Lambda'/\Delta}$ is equal to $c \oplus c^{-1}$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Then $M^\gamma C$ is conjugate to M^γ via the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} c^{-1/2} & 0 \\ 0 & c^{1/2} \end{pmatrix},$$

so again there is only one possible choice for the class of Int_s .

6.4. Fixed points as twisted equivariant bundles. Let (l, Δ, q, s) be an admissible quadruple. As we saw in section 5, the group $\text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ consists of Δ -matrices M such that $M_\delta = M_{\delta'}$ whenever $\delta\delta' \in f(\Lambda/\Delta)$. We have a similar description for $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta = \text{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta \cap \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\Gamma_\theta^Z := Z(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta)\{M^\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\theta} < \text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ as in the proof of Lemma 6.3. According to Lemma 6.3 the group $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta$ is generated by $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ and Γ_θ^Z and the commutative diagram (5.16) restricts to

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Gamma_\theta^Z & \xrightarrow{\text{Int}} & \text{Aut}(\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta) \\ \varphi \uparrow \downarrow c_\theta & \nearrow \tau & \\ \Lambda^* & & \end{array},$$

where φ is a section of c_θ . Let X_Λ be the (connected by Proposition 5.6) étale cover determined by the Λ^* -bundle Λ . According to Lemma 5.11 (τ, c) -twisted Λ^* -equivariant $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ -bundles over X_Λ are in correspondence with twisted $l(\Lambda)$ -equivariant vector bundles of rank $2n/|\Delta|$ over X_Λ , where the action satisfies (5.17). Hence (τ, c) -twisted Λ^* -equivariant $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$ -bundles may be described in terms of twisted $l(\Lambda)$ -equivariant vector bundles equipped with some "symplectic form". There are two main possibilities depending on q :

- (1) If q is trivial then we get symplectic vector bundles of rank $2n/|\Delta|$ equipped with an $l(\Lambda)$ -action satisfying (5.17) which respects the symplectic form. Note that a symplectic form on a vector bundle E may be regarded as an isomorphism $\psi : E \cong E^*$ such that, for every e and $e' \in E$,

$$\psi(e)(e') = -\psi(e')(e) = -\psi^*(e)(e'),$$

i.e. $\psi^* = -\psi$.

- (2) If q is not trivial then, because of the description of $\text{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta$, we get a symplectic form on $E \oplus q^*E$, where by abuse of notation $q \in \Lambda^*$ is an extension of q and E is a vector bundle of rank $2n/|\Delta|$ with a symplectic $l(\Lambda)$ -action satisfying (5.17). The symplectic form ω is codified by an isomorphism $\psi : E \xrightarrow{\sim} q^*E^*$ which is equivariant with respect to the $l(\Delta)$ -action on E and the dual of the pullback of this action on q^*E^* . The restriction of ω to E is equal to ψ , whereas the restriction to q^*E is

equal to $q^*\psi$. The antisymmetry of ω is equivalent to $q^*\psi^* = -\psi$ since, for every $e \in E$ and $e' \in q^*E$,

$$q^*\psi^*(e)(e') = q^*\psi(e')(e) = -\psi(e)(e').$$

Remark 6.8. A subtle point of contrast with the case of $\mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ is the ambiguity in the choice of θ for each triple (l, Δ, q) , see Remark 6.7; this implies that we have different choices for the orders of the different elements of the $l(\Lambda)$ -action. We have not made explicit mention of this so far, but see Remark 6.10 for a more clear interpretation in terms of pushforwards.

For each antisymmetric pairing l , choose a maximal isotropic subgroup $\Delta \leq \Gamma$ and let $M(X_\Lambda, l, \Delta, 2n, q)$ be the moduli space of triples (E, ψ, χ) , where E is a vector bundle of rank $2n/|\Delta|$, $\psi : E \xrightarrow{\sim} q^*E^*$ is an isomorphism such that $q^*\psi^* = -\psi$ and χ is an $l(\Lambda)$ -action on E respecting ψ and satisfying (5.17). Cases (1) and (2) form a partition of these moduli spaces. We have morphisms $M(X_\Lambda, l, \Delta, 2n, q) \rightarrow M(X_\Lambda, \tau, c, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \Lambda^*)$ which follow as in Lemma 5.11

6.5. Fixed points as pushforwards. As in the case of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ we have an interpretation of the moduli spaces $M(X_\Lambda, l, \Delta, 2n, q)$ in terms of pushforwards.

Definition 6.9. Let $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)$ be the moduli space of pairs (E, ψ) consisting of a vector bundle E of rank $2n/|\Delta|$ and an isomorphism $\psi : E \xrightarrow{\sim} q^*E$ such that $q^*\psi^* = -\psi$ (this may be constructed using the techniques in [19]). We define $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Lambda)}$ to be the subvariety parametrizing pairs (E, ψ) such that $l(\lambda)^*(E \otimes p_\Delta^*\lambda, \psi) \cong (E, \psi)$ for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ (we define the pullback $l(\lambda)^*\psi$ as it is usual for homomorphisms of vector bundles). The pushforward of vector bundles induces a morphism

$$p_{\Delta^*} : M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Lambda)} \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})).$$

Note that we are calling $l(\lambda)$ to its coset in $\Lambda^*/l(\Delta)$ by abuse of notation, see the discussion before the statement of Lemma 5.13. As in such discussion the correspondence between $l(\Delta)$ -equivariant vector bundles on X_Λ and vector bundles over X_Δ implies the existence of a morphism $p_{l,q} : M(X_\Lambda, l, \Delta, 2n, q) \rightarrow M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Lambda)}$. As in the case of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$, this determines a decomposition

$$(6.19) \quad M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Lambda)} = \bigcup_{l,q} p_{q,l} M(X_\Lambda, l, \Delta, 2n, q),$$

where l runs over all antisymmetric pairings of Γ with maximal isotropic subgroup Δ and q runs over Δ^* .

Remark 6.10. This decomposition of $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Lambda)}$ manifests the different choices that we have for the commutators between the different isomorphisms $f_\lambda : (E, \psi) \xrightarrow{\sim} l(\lambda)^*(E \otimes p_\Delta^*\lambda, \psi)$, where $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and (E, ψ) represents an element of the moduli space $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Lambda)}$, as it is the case with (5.18). However, $M(X_\Lambda, l, \Delta, 2n, q)$ itself has different components corresponding to different choices for the orders of this isomorphisms because of the considerations of Remark 6.7. In other words, for each non-trivial λ we have the possibilities $(l(\lambda)^*f_\lambda \otimes \mathrm{id}_{p_\Delta^*\lambda}) \circ f_\lambda = \pm 1$ and in general only one of them is possible (note that multiplying if_λ does not preserve ψ , which is something that we do not need to worry about for $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$).

Lemma 6.11. *The morphism*

$$M(X_\Lambda, l, n, q) \rightarrow M(X_\Lambda, \tau, c, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})^\theta, \Lambda^*) \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C})_\theta) \rightarrow M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})),$$

where the last morphism is defined in Proposition 3.5 and the second one is defined in Theorem 3.7, factors as

$$M(X_\Lambda, l, n, q) \rightarrow M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(2n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Lambda)} \xrightarrow{p_{\Delta^*}} M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbb{C})).$$

In particular, by Lemma 5.8 and Proposition 3.5, the image of the pushforward is independent of the choice of maximal isotropic subgroup Δ .

We conclude:

Proposition 6.12. *We have the inclusions*

$$\bigcup_{l, q} p_{\Delta^*} M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Gamma)} \subset M(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))^\Gamma$$

and

$$M_{ss}(X, \mathrm{Sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}))^\Gamma \subset \bigcup_l p_{\Delta^*} M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Gamma)},$$

where $M(X_\Delta, \mathrm{GL}(n/|\Delta|, \mathbb{C}), q)^{l(\Gamma)}$ is defined in Definition 6.9. The parameter l runs over all antisymmetric pairings on Λ such that the order of a maximal isotropic subgroup Δ divides n ; the choice of Δ is fixed for each l . The parameter q runs over elements of Δ^* .

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 6.2, 6.6 and 6.11, Theorem 3.6, Corollary 6.5 and (6.19). \square

6.6. An abelianization phenomenon. In particular, if $2n = 2^m$ is some power of 2 and the genus of X is greater than $m/2$, there are subgroups $\Lambda \leq H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ of order 2^m . If l is the trivial pairing then $p_{\Delta^*} M(X_\Delta, \mathbb{C}^*, q)^{l(\Gamma)}$ is a component of the fixed point locus, where q is any non-trivial element of Λ^* . In this situation $M(X_\Delta, \mathbb{C}^*, q)^{l(\Gamma)}$ is just the moduli space of isomorphism classes of pairs (L, ψ) , where L is line bundle over X_Λ and $\psi : q^*L \xrightarrow{\sim} L^*$ is an isomorphism satisfying $q^*\psi = -\psi^*$, and the image $p_{\Delta^*}(L, \psi)$ is just the pushforward of L equipped with the induced symplectic form. This "abelianization" phenomenon is a manifestation, with the extra structure of the symplectic form, of the corresponding description of a component in the fixed point locus of $M(X, \mathrm{GL}(2n, \mathbb{C}))$ under the action of a subgroup of order $2n$ in $J(X)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Barajas, O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen and I. M. I Riera, 'Non-connected Lie groups, twisted equivariant bundles and coverings', preprint (2022), arXiv:2208.09022.
- [2] G. Barajas, S. Basu and O. García-Prada, 'Finite group actions on Higgs bundle moduli spaces', in preparation.
- [3] S.K. Donaldson, A new proof of a theorem of Narasimhan and Seshadri, *J. Differential Geom.* **18(2)** (1983) 269–277.
- [4] J. Fogarty and D. Mumford, *Geometric invariant theory*, A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics 34 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982).
- [5] O. García-Prada, P. B. Gothen and I. Mundet i Riera, 'Higgs pairs and non-connected groups', in preparation.
- [6] O. García-Prada and A. Oliveira, 'Connectedness of Higgs bundle moduli for reductive complex Lie groups', *Asian J. Math.*, **21** (2017), 791–810.

- [7] O. García-Prada and S. Ramanan, 'Involutions and higher order automorphisms of Higgs bundle moduli spaces', *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **119** (2019), 681–732.
- [8] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics 80 (Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1998).
- [9] H. B. Lawson and M. Michelsohn, *Spin geometry*, Princeton mathematical series 38 (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1989).
- [10] A. Lubotzky and A. R. Magid, *Varieties of representations of finitely generated groups*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society 58 (Am. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 1985).
- [11] D. Mumford, *Prym varieties. I*, Contributions to Analysis, a collection of papers dedicated to Lipman Bers (Academic Press, 1974, pp. 325–250)
- [12] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, 'Generalised Prym varieties as fixed points', *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **39** (1975), 1–19.
- [13] M. S. Narasimhan and C. S. Seshadri, 'Stable and Unitary Vector Bundles on a Compact Riemann Surface', *Math. Ann.* **82** (1965), 540–567.
- [14] F. Nasser, 'Torsion subgroups of Jacobians acting on moduli spaces of vector bundles', University of Aarhus, 2005.
- [15] A.L. Onishchik and E.B. Vinberg (Eds.), *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III*, Encyclopedia of Mathematical Sciences 41 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994).
- [16] A. Ramanathan, 'Stable principal bundles on a compact Riemann surface', *Math. Ann.* **213** (1975), 129–152.
- [17] A. Ramanathan, 'Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves: I', *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **106** (1996), 301–328.
- [18] A. Ramanathan, 'Moduli for principal bundles over algebraic curves: II', *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **106** (1996), 421–449.
- [19] A. H. W. Schmitt, *Geometric invariant theory and decorated principal bundles*, Zurich lectures in advanced mathematics, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2008.
- [20] J. de Siebenthal, 'Sur les groupes de Lie compact non-connexes', *Commentari Math. Helv.* **31** (1956) 41–89.
- [21] R. Steinberg, *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*, Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 80 (Trans. Am. Math. Soc., Rhode Island, 1968).
- [22] I. Yokota, 'Exceptional Lie groups', Preprint, 2009, arXiv:0902.0431v1 [math.DG].