

NOT CONVEX DENSITIES AND EVERYWHERE HÖLDER CONTINUITY

TIZIANO GRANUCCI

Dedicated to the memory of Fiorella Pettini.

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the everywhere hölder continuity of the minima of the following class of vectorial integral functionals

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n f_{\alpha}(x, u^{\alpha}, \nabla u^{\alpha}) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx$$

The energy densities satisfy suitable structure assumptions and may have neither radial nor quasi-diagonal structure. The regularity of minimizers is obtained by proving that each component stays in a suitable De Giorgi class and, from this, we conclude about the hölder continuity.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study the regularity of the local minima of the following integral functional

$$(1.1) \quad J(u, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n f_{\alpha}(x, u^{\alpha}(x), \nabla u^{\alpha}(x)) + G(x, u(x), \nabla u(x)) \, dx$$

where Ω is a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $n \geq 2$, $N \geq 1$ and $1 < p < N$.

Moreover the following hypotheses hold

H.1.1: For every $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ the function $f_{\alpha} : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function and the following growth conditions hold

$$(1.2) \quad |\xi^{\alpha}|^p - b_{\alpha}(x) |s|^{\gamma_{\alpha}} - a_{\alpha}(x) \leq f_{\alpha}(x, s, \xi^{\alpha}) \leq L_{\alpha} (|\xi^{\alpha}|^p + b_{\alpha}(x) |s|^{\gamma_{\alpha}} + a_{\alpha}(x))$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every $\xi^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ where $L_{\alpha} > 1$, $1 < p \leq \gamma_{\alpha} < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$, b_{α} and a_{α} are two not-negative function,

Date: September 27, 2021.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 49N60, 35J50.

Key words and phrases. Everywhere regularity, hölder continuity, vectorial, minimizer, variational, integral.

Declarations: Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study. The author has no conflicts of interest to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

I would like to thank all my family and friends for the support given to me over the years: Elisa Cirri, Caterina Granucci, Delia Granucci, Irene Granucci, Laura and Fiorenza Granucci, Massimo Masi and Monia Randolfi. Also I would like to thank my professors Luigi Barletti, Giorgio Busoni, Elvira Mascolo, Giorgio Talenti and Vincenzo Vepri .

This paper is in final form and no version of it will be submitted for publication elsewhere.

$b_\alpha \in L_{loc}^{\sigma_\alpha}(\Omega)$ and $a_\alpha \in L_{loc}^\kappa(\Omega)$ with $\sigma_\alpha = \frac{p^*}{p^* - \gamma_\alpha - \epsilon p^*}$, $\kappa = \frac{N}{p - \epsilon N}$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{p}{N}$.

H.1.1.2: $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{Nn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Caratheodory function and the following growth conditions hold

$$(1.3) \quad |G(x, u, \xi)| \leq C(|\xi|^q + |u|^q + a(x))$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ where $C > 1$, $1 \leq q < \frac{p^2}{N} < p$, a is a not-negative function and $a \in L_{loc}^\kappa(\Omega)$ with $\kappa = \frac{N}{p - \epsilon N}$ and $0 < \epsilon < \frac{p}{N}$.

H.1.1.3: The function $G(x, u, \cdot)$ is rank one convex then

$$G(x, u, \lambda \xi^1 + (1 - \lambda) \xi^2) \leq \lambda G(x, u, \xi^1) + (1 - \lambda) G(x, u, \xi^2)$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, and for every $\xi^1, \xi^2 \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ with $rank \{ \xi^1 - \xi^2 \} \leq 1$.

H.1.1.4: The function $G(x, \cdot, \xi)$ is hölder continuous and for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ it follows

$$(1.4) \quad |G(x, u, \xi) - G(x, v, \xi)| \leq c(x) |\xi|^\delta |u - v|^\beta$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ with $0 < \delta < q$ and $0 < \beta < \min \left\{ \frac{p^*(q - \delta)}{q}, p - \delta, 1 \right\}$, c is a not-negative function and $c(x) \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ with $\sigma > \frac{p^* q}{p^*(q - \delta) - \beta q}$ and

$$(1.5) \quad \frac{\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma} < \frac{p}{N}$$

H.1.1.4 (bis): H.1.4 holds with $c(x) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ and

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} < \frac{p}{N}$$

Remark 1. Using hypotheses H.1.2 and H.1.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G(x, u, \xi)| &\leq |G(x, u, \xi) - G(x, 0, \xi)| + |G(x, 0, \xi)| \\ &\leq c(x) |\xi|^\delta |u|^\beta + C(|\xi|^q + a(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$. Then the following inequality holds

$$(1.7) \quad |G(x, u, \xi)| \leq c(x) |\xi|^\delta |u|^\beta + C(|\xi|^q + a(x))$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$.

Our principal result is the following Theorem.

Theorem 1. If $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with $N \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ and $1 < p < N$, is a local minimizer of the functional $J(u, \Omega)$ and the hypotheses H.1.1, H.1.2, H.1.3 and H.1.4 (or H.1.4 (bis)) hold then every componets u^α of the vectorial function u are a locally hölder continuous functions.

Theorem 1 derives from the following two results.

Theorem 2. *If $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 < p < N$ is a local minimizer of the functional $J(u, \Omega)$ and the hypotheses H.1.1, H.1.2, H.1.3 and H.1.4 (or H.1.4 (bis)) hold then two positive constants $C_{C,1}$, $C_{C,2}$ and a radius $R_0 > 0$ exists such that for every $0 < \varrho < R < R_0$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$ it follows*

(1.8)

$$\int_{A_{k,\varrho}^\alpha} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^\alpha} (u^\alpha - k)^p dx + C_{C,2} (1 + |k|^p R^{-\epsilon_\alpha N}) |A_{k,R}^\alpha|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\epsilon}$$

and

(1.9)

$$\int_{B_{k,\varrho}^\alpha} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^\alpha} (u^\alpha - k)^p dx + C_{C,2} (1 + |k|^p R^{-\epsilon_\alpha N}) |B_{k,R}^\alpha|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\epsilon}$$

where $A_{k,s}^\alpha = \{u^\alpha > k\} \cap B_s(x_0)$ and $B_{k,s}^\alpha = \{u^\alpha < k\} \cap B_s(x_0)$.

Theorem 3. *If $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with $N \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ and $1 < p < N$, is a local minimizer of the functional $J(u, \Omega)$ and the hypotheses H.1.1, H.1.2, H.1.3 and H.1.4 (or H.1.4 (bis)) hold then every componets u^α of the vector function u are a locally boundedness functions.*

Furthermore, in this article, we will also consider the polyconvex case.

H.2.3: $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow R$ is a Carathéodory function defined as

$$G(x, u, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{m-1}\xi)^\alpha)$$

here $G_\alpha : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{\frac{N!}{N!(N-n)!}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory convex function satisfying the following growth conditions

$$0 \leq G_\alpha(x, 0, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha) \leq k_4 |(adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha|^r + a(x)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, here k_3 is a real positive constant, $1 \leq r < \frac{p}{n-1}$ and $a \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a non negative function, $N \geq n \geq 2$.

H.2.4: The function $G_\alpha(x, \cdot, \xi)$ is hölder continuous and for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ it follows

(1.10)

$$|G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, v, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha)| \leq c(x) |(adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha|^\delta |u - v|^\beta$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$ with $0 < \delta < \frac{r}{n-1}$ and $0 < \beta < \min\left\{\frac{p^*(r-(n-1)\delta)}{r}, 1\right\}$, c is a not-negative function and

$c(x) \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ with $\sigma > \frac{p^*r}{p^*(r-(n-1)\delta)-\beta r}$ and

(1.11)

$$\frac{(n-1)\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma} < \frac{p}{N}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$.

H.2.4 (bis): H.1.4 holds with $c(x) \in L_{loc}^\infty(\Omega)$ and

(1.12)

$$\frac{(n-1)\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} < \frac{p}{N}$$

for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$.

Remark 2. Using hypotheses H.1.2 and H.1.4 we get

$$\begin{aligned} |G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha)| &\leq |G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, 0, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha)| + |G_\alpha(x, 0, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha)| \\ &\leq c(x) |(adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha|^\delta |u|^\beta + C (|(adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha|^r + a(x)) \end{aligned}$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$. Then the following inequality holds

$$(1.13) \quad |G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha)| \leq c(x) |(adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha|^\delta |u|^\beta + C (|(adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha|^r + a(x))$$

for a. e. $x \in \Omega$, for every $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{Nn}$.

Our second regular result is the following Theorem

Theorem 4. If $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with $N \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ and $1 < p < N$, is a local minimizer of the functional $J(u, \Omega)$ and the hypotheses H.1.1, H.1.2, H.2.3 and H.2.4 (or H.2.4 (bis)) hold then every componets u^α of the vectorial function u are a locally hölder continuous functions.

Theorem 4 derives from the following two theorems.

Theorem 5. If $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with $1 < p < N$ is a local minimizer of the functional $J(u, \Omega)$ and the hypotheses H.1.1, H.1.2, H.2.3 and H.2.4 (or H.2.4 (bis)) hold then two positive constants $C_{C,1}$, $C_{C,2}$ and a radius $R_0 > 0$ exists such that for every $0 < \varrho < R < R_0$ and for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$ it follows

$$(1.14) \quad \int_{A_{k,\varrho}^\alpha} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^\alpha} (u^\alpha - k)^p dx + C_{C,2} (1 + |k|^p R^{-\epsilon_\alpha N}) |A_{k,R}^\alpha|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\epsilon}$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad \int_{B_{k,\varrho}^\alpha} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^\alpha} (u^\alpha - k)^p dx + C_{C,2} (1 + |k|^p R^{-\epsilon_\alpha N}) |B_{k,R}^\alpha|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\epsilon}$$

where $A_{k,s}^\alpha = \{u^\alpha > k\} \cap B_s(x_0)$, $B_{k,s}^\alpha = \{u^\alpha < k\} \cap B_s(x_0)$. and $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$.

Theorem 6. If $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, with $N \geq 2$, $n \geq 1$ and $1 < p < N$, is a local minimizer of the functional $J(u, \Omega)$ and the hypotheses H.1.1, H.1.2, H.2.3 and H.2.4 (or H.2.4 (bis)) hold then every componets u^α of the vector function u are a locally boundedness functions.

The results stated in the previous theorems [1-6] are not trivial, in particular we recall the following fundamental counterexample by De Giorgi [14]; the function

$$u(x) = x |x|^{-\delta}$$

with $\delta = \frac{N}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+(2N-2)^2}}\right)$ it is a local minimum of the functional

$$(1.16) \quad F(u, B_1) = \int_{B_1} \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^N \sum_{i,j=1}^N A_{\alpha,\beta}^{i,j}(x) \partial_i u^\alpha \partial_j u^\beta dx$$

with

$$A_{\alpha,\beta}^{i,j}(x) = \delta_{\alpha,\beta}\delta_{i,j} + \left[(N-2)\delta_{\alpha,i} + N\frac{x_\alpha x_i}{|x|^2} \right] \left[(N-2)\delta_{\beta,j} + N\frac{x_\beta x_j}{|x|^2} \right]$$

$N \geq 3$ and $B_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq 1\}$. It is easily observed that $u(x) = x|x|^{-\delta}$ it is not a bounded function. Recently, in [8-10, 25, 26] new classes of vectorial problems, with regular weak solutions, have been introduced. In particular in [8], Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo introduced the following class of vectorial functionals

$$(1.17) \quad \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla u) dx$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N, u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, N > 1, n \geq 1$ and

$$f(x, \nabla u) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n F_\alpha(x, \nabla u^\alpha) + G(x, \nabla u)$$

where $F_\alpha \times \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying the following standard growth condition

$$k_1 |\xi^\alpha|^p - a(x) \leq F_\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) \leq k_2 |\xi^\alpha|^p + a(x)$$

for every $\xi^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for almost every $x \in \Omega$, where k_1 and k_2 are two real positive constants, $p > 1$ and $a \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a non negative function. In [8], Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo analyze two different types of hypotheses on the G function. They started by assuming that $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory rank one convex function satisfying the following growth condition

$$|G(x, \xi)| \leq k_3 |\xi|^q + b(x)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, here k_3 is a real positive constant, $1 \leq q < p$ and $b \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a nonnegative function. Moreover Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo in [8] study the case where $n \geq m \geq 3$, and $G : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{N \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function defined as

$$G(x, \xi) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha)$$

here $G_\alpha : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^{\frac{N!}{N!(N-n)!}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory convex function satisfying the following growth conditions

$$0 \leq G_\alpha(x, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha) \leq k_4 |(adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha|^r + b(x)$$

for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, here k_4 is a real positive constant, $1 \leq r < p$ and $b \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a non negative function. In both cases, by imposing appropriate hypotheses on the parameters q and r , Cupini, Focardi, Leonetti and Mascolo proved that the local minimizers of the vectorial functional (1.17) are locally hölder continuous functions. In [25] the author with M. Randolfi proved a regularity result for the minima of vector functionals with anisotropic growths of the following type

$$(1.18) \quad \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n F_\alpha(x, \nabla u^\alpha) + G(x, \nabla u) dx$$

with

$$(1.19) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \Phi_{i,\alpha}(|\xi_i^\alpha|) \leq F_\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) \leq L [\bar{B}_\alpha^{\beta_\alpha}(|\xi^\alpha|) + a(x)]$$

where $\Phi_{i,\alpha}$ are N functions belonging to the class $\Delta_2^{m_\alpha} \cap \nabla_2^{r_\alpha}$, \bar{B}_α is the Sobolev function associated with $\Phi_{i,\alpha}$'s, $\beta_\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $a \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a non negative function; oppure with

$$(1.20) \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \Phi_{i,\alpha}(|\xi_i^\alpha|) - a(x) \leq F_\alpha(x, \xi^\alpha) \leq L_1 \left[\sum_{\alpha=1}^n \Phi_{i,\alpha}(|\xi_i^\alpha|) + a(x) \right]$$

where $\Phi_{i,\alpha}$ are N-functions belonging to the class $\Delta_2^{m_\alpha} \cap \nabla_2^{r_\alpha}$ and $a \in L_{loc}^\sigma(\Omega)$ is a non negative function, moreover, appropriate hypotheses are made on the density G , for more details we refer to [25]. In particular, using the techniques presented in [25,...], the author with M. Randolfi have shown that the minima of the functional (1.18) are locally bounded functions in the case (1.19) and locally Holder continuous in the case (1.20), we refer to [25] for more details. In recent years a large number of articles have been written dealing with the regularity of weak solutions of vactorial problems under standard growths, general growths and anisotropic growths, refer to [1, 2, 4-12, 15-22, 23, 25-32, 35-37].

Our results can therefore be framed within a vast area of research called everywhere regulairy that was born with the fundamental work Uhlenbeck [37] and which has developed up to now with results that generally have concerned functionals of the form

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} G(|\nabla u(x)|) dx$$

The literature in this area is very wide, in the bibliography we report only some articles. Generally results are obtained on the boudedness of the gradient of the minima using particular conditions of regularity and ellipticity using very different techniques, we mention [1,2, 4-7, 15, 17-21, 27-29, 35-37] only because they are those consulted by the author during the preparation of the article but we refer to the bibliographies of the aforementioned articles for more bibliographical references. Our results differ from those previously mentioned as it deals with some functionals of the form

$$\int_{B_R(x_0)} F(x, u, \nabla u) dx$$

in this case, as far as the limited knowledge of the author is concerned, there are few results, in particular we refer to [8-12] and [25]. Theorem 1 therefore generalizes the results presented in [8], [25] and is, in a certain sense, complementary to the results presented by the author in [26].

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Before giving the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, for completeness we introduce a list of results that we will use during the proof.

2.1. Lemmata.

Lemma 1 (Young Inequality). *Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $a, b > 0$ and $1 < p, q < +\infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ then it follows*

$$(2.1) \quad ab \leq \varepsilon \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{\varepsilon^{\frac{q}{p}}}$$

Lemma 2 (Hölder Inequality). *Assume $1 \leq p, q \leq +\infty$ with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ then if $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^q(\Omega)$ it follows*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |uv| \, dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |v|^q \, dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

Lemma 3. *Let $Z(t)$ be a nonnegative and bounded function on the set $[\varrho, R]$; if for every $\varrho \leq t < s \leq R$ we get*

$$(2.3) \quad Z(t) \leq \theta Z(s) + \frac{A}{(s-t)^\lambda} + \frac{B}{(s-t)^\mu} + C$$

where $A, B, C \geq 0$, $\lambda > \mu > 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 1$ then it follows

$$(2.4) \quad Z(\varrho) \leq C(\theta, \lambda) \left(\frac{A}{(R-\varrho)^\lambda} + \frac{B}{(R-\varrho)^\mu} + C \right)$$

where $C(\theta, \lambda) > 0$ is a real constant depending only on θ and λ .

Definitions

Definition 1. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be rank one convex if*

$$f(\lambda A + (1-\lambda)B) \leq \lambda f(A) + (1-\lambda)f(B)$$

for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ with $\text{rank}\{A - B\} \leq 1$.

Definition 2. *A Borel measurable function and locally integrable function $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be quasiconvex if*

$$f(A) \leq \frac{1}{|D|} \int_D f(A + \nabla \varphi) \, dx$$

for every bounded domain $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, for every $A \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$ and for every $\varphi \in W_0^{1,\infty}(D; \mathbb{R}^{nm})$.

Definition 3. *A function $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be polyconvex if there exists a function $g : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ convex such that*

$$f(A) = g(T(A))$$

where $T : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\tau(n,m)}$ is such that

$$T(A) = (A, \text{adj}_2(A), \dots, \text{adj}_{n \wedge m}(A))$$

where $\text{adj}_s(A)$ stands for the matrix of all $s \times s$ minors of the matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$, $2 \leq s \leq n \wedge m = \min\{n, m\}$ and

$$\tau(n, m) = \sum_{s=1}^{n \wedge m} \sigma(s)$$

where $\sigma(s) = \frac{n!m!}{(s!)^2(m-s)!(n-s)!}$.

In particular we recall the following theorem.

Theorem 7. (1) Let $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then

f convex $\implies f$ polyconvex $\implies f$ quasiconvex $\implies f$ rank one convex.

(2) If $m = 1$ or $n = 1$ then all these notions are equivalent.

(3) If $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{nm})$ then rank one convexity is equivalent to Legendre-Hadamard condition

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial A_\alpha^i \partial A_\beta^j}(A) \lambda^i \lambda^j \mu_\alpha \mu_\beta \geq 0$$

for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A = (A_\alpha^i)_{1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq \alpha \leq n} \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$.

(4) If $f : \mathbb{R}^{nm} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex, polyconvex, quasiconvex or rank one convex then f is locally Lipschitz.

2.2. Sobolev Spaces.

Theorem 8 (Sobolev Inequality). Let Ω be a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p < N$ there exists a real positive constant C_{SN} , depending only on p and N , such that

$$(2.5) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq C_{SN} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

where $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$.

Theorem 9. (Rellich-Sobolev Immersion Theorem) Let Ω be a open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N with lipschitz boundary then if $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq p < N$ there exists a real positive constant C_{IS} , depending only on p and N , such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|u\|_{L^{p^*}(\Omega)} \leq C_{IS} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$$

where $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$.

For completeness we remember that if Ω is a open subset of \mathbb{R}^N and u is a Lebesgue measurable function then $L^p(\Omega)$ is the set of the class of the Lebesgue measurable function such that $\int_\Omega |u|^p dx < +\infty$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is the set of the function $u \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that its weak derivate $\partial_i u \in L^p(\Omega)$. The spaces $L^p(\Omega)$ and $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces with the respective norms

$$(2.7) \quad \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = \left(\int_\Omega |u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} = \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \sum_{i=1}^N \|\partial_i u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

We say that the function $u : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belong in $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ if $u^\alpha \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$, where u^α is the α component of the vector-valued

function u ; we end by remembering that $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$(2.9) \quad \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \|u^\alpha\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$$

Definition 4. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set and $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $v \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ belong to the De Giorgi class $DG^+(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ with $p > 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda_* > 0$, $\chi > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $R_0 > 0$ and $k_0 \geq 0$ if

$$(2.10) \quad \int_{A_{k,\varrho}} |\nabla v|^p dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}} (v-k)^p dx + \lambda_* (\chi^p + k^p R^{-N\varepsilon}) |A_{k,R}|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

for all $k \geq k_0 \geq 0$ and for all pair of balls $B_\varrho(x_0) \subset B_R(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ with $0 < \varrho < R < R_0$ and $A_{k,s} = B_s(x_0) \cap \{v > k\}$ with $s > 0$.

Definition 5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set and $v : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we say that $v \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ belong to the De Giorgi class $DG^-(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ with $p > 1$, $\lambda > 0$, $\lambda_* > 0$, $\chi > 0$ and $k_0 \geq 0$ if

$$(2.11) \quad \int_{B_{k,\varrho}} |\nabla v|^p dx \leq \frac{\lambda}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}} (k-v)^p dx + \lambda_* (\chi^p + |k|^p R^{-N\varepsilon}) |B_{k,R}|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

for all $k \leq -k_0 \leq 0$ and for all pair of balls $B_\varrho(x_0) \subset B_R(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ with $0 < \varrho < R < R_0$ and $B_{k,s} = B_s(x_0) \cap \{v < k\}$ with $s > 0$.

Definition 6. We set $DG(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0) = DG^+(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0) \cap DG^-(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$.

Theorem 10. Let $v \in DG(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ and $\tau \in (0, 1)$, then there exists a constant $C > 1$ depending only upon the data and not-dependent on v and $x_0 \in \Omega$ such that for every pair of balls $B_{\tau\varrho}(x_0) \subset B_\varrho(x_0) \subset\subset \Omega$ with $0 < \varrho < R_0$

$$(2.12) \quad \|v\|_{L^\infty(B_{\tau\varrho}(x_0))} \leq \max \left\{ \lambda_* \varrho^{\frac{N\varepsilon}{p}}; \frac{C}{(1-\tau)^{\frac{N}{p}}} \left[\frac{1}{|B_\varrho(x_0)|} \int_{B_\varrho(x_0)} |v|^p dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\}.$$

For more details on De Giorgi's classes and for the proof of the Theorem 6 refer to [13],[22], [24], [31] and [32].

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

3.1. The case of H.1.4. Let us consider $y \in \Omega$ then we fix $R_0 = \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{N\varpi_N}}, \text{dist}(\partial\Omega, y) \right\}$, where $\varpi_N = |B_1(0)|$, and we define $\Sigma = \{x \in \Omega : |x-y| \leq R_0\}$. We fix $x_0 \in \Sigma$, $R_1 = \frac{1}{4} \text{dist}(\partial\Sigma, x_0)$, $R_0 < \min_{\alpha=1, \dots, n} \{R_1, A_\alpha, B_\alpha\}$, where

$$A_\alpha = \frac{1}{\left[2.4\gamma_\alpha L_\alpha C_{N,p,\gamma_\alpha} D^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_\alpha}{p^*}} \|b_\alpha\|_{L^{\sigma_\alpha}(\Sigma)} \|u^\alpha\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}^{\gamma_\alpha-p} \varpi_N^{\varepsilon_\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_\alpha N}}}, \quad B_\alpha = \frac{1}{\left[2D^{p^*-\gamma_\alpha} \|b_\alpha\|_{L^{\sigma_\alpha}(\Sigma)} \|u^\alpha\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}^{\gamma_\alpha-p} \right]^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_\alpha N}}},$$

C_{N,p,γ_α} and $D^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_\alpha}{p^*}}$ are universal positive constants.

We fix $0 < \varrho \leq t < s \leq R < R_0$, $B_z(x_0) = \{x : |x - x_0| < z\}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and we choose $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_s(x_0))$ such that $\eta = 1$ on $B_t(x_0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ on $B_s(x_0)$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{2}{s-t}$ on $B_s(x_0)$. Let us define

$$\varphi = -\eta^p w$$

where $w \in W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$w^1 = \max(u^1 - k, 0), w^\alpha = 0, \alpha = 2, \dots, n$$

Let us observe that $\varphi = 0$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$ thus

$$(3.1) \quad \nabla u + \nabla \varphi = \nabla u$$

\mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$. Let us define

$$(3.2) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} p\eta^{-1}\nabla\eta(k - u^1) \\ \nabla u^2 \\ \vdots \\ \nabla u^n \end{pmatrix}$$

since

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla w = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla u^1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$ then we deduce that

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla u + \nabla \varphi = (1 - \eta^p) \nabla u + \eta^p A$$

\mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$. Since u is a local minimizer of the functional (1.1) then we get

$$(3.5) \quad J(u, \Sigma) \leq J(u + \varphi, \Sigma)$$

it is

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha + \varphi^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha + \nabla \varphi^\alpha) + G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha) \, dx + \int_{\Sigma} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\ & \leq \int_{\Sigma} \sum_{\alpha=2}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha) \, dx + \int_{\Sigma} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) + G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx \end{aligned}$$

From (3.7) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad & \int_{\Sigma} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\
& \leq \int_{\Sigma} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) + G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx \\
& = \int_{B_r(x_0)} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) \, dx + \int_{\Sigma - B_r(x_0) \setminus} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) \, dx \\
& \quad + \int_{B_s(x_0)} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx + \int_{\Sigma - B_s(x_0) \setminus} G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & \int_{B_s(x_0)} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\
& \leq \int_{B_s(x_0)} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) \, dx + \\
& \quad + \int_{B_s(x_0)} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define $E_{k,s}^1 = \{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\} \cap B_s(x_0) \subset B_s(x_0)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) \, dx + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) \, dx \\
& \quad + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx \\
& \quad + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + G(x, u, \nabla u) \, dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) \, dx + \\
& \quad + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla u - A$ is a rank-one matrix, then by hypothesis H.1.3 it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u + \nabla \varphi) \, dx \\
& = \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, (1 - \eta^p) \nabla u + \eta^p A) \, dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) + \eta^p G(x, u + \varphi, A) \, dx \\
& = \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) + \eta^p [G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)] \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

using (3.11) and (3.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) dx + \\
(3.13) \quad & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p [G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)] dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u) dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using hypothesis H.1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p - b_1(x) |u^1|^{\gamma_1} - a_1(x) dx \\
(3.14) \quad & \leq L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p + b_1(x) |u^1 + \varphi^1|^{\gamma_1} + a_1(x) dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \\
(3.15) \quad & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) |u^1|^{\gamma_1} + a_1(x) dx \\
& + L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p + b_1(x) |u^1 + \varphi^1|^{\gamma_1} + a_1(x) dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

For every $x \in E_{k,s}^1$ it follows

$$(3.16) \quad u^1 = (1 - \eta^p) u^1 + \eta^p (w^1 + k)$$

and

$$(3.17) \quad u^1 + \varphi^1 = u^1 (1 - \eta^p) + k \eta^p$$

Using (3.16) and (3.17) for every $x \in E_{k,s}^1$ we get

$$(3.18) \quad \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1 = \nabla u^1 (1 - \eta^p) - p w^1 \eta^{p-1} \nabla \eta$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p \leq 2^{p-1} (1 - \eta^p) |\nabla u^1|^p + 2^{p-1} p^p \eta^{p-1} (u^1 - k)^p |\nabla \eta|^p$$

Recalling the properties of the function η and the inequalities (3.18) and (3.19) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p dx \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) |\nabla u^1|^p dx \\
& \quad + 2^{2p-1} p^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since on the set $E_{k,s}^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.21) \quad & |u^1|^{\gamma_1} = ((1 - \eta^p) u^1 + \eta^p (w^1 + k))^{\gamma_1} \\
& \leq 2^{\gamma_1 - 1} (1 - \eta^p)^{\gamma_1} (u^1)^{\gamma_1} + 4^{\gamma_1 - 1} \eta^{p\gamma_1} (w^1)^{\gamma_1} + 4^{\gamma_1 - 1} \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.22) \quad & (u^1 (1 - \eta^p) + k \eta^p)^{\gamma_1} \\
& \leq 2^{\gamma_1 - 1} (1 - \eta^p)^{\gamma_1} (u^1)^{\gamma_1} + 2^{\gamma_1 - 1} \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1}
\end{aligned}$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.23) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) |u^1|^{\gamma_1} + L_1 b_1(x) |u^1 + \varphi^1|^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) (|u^1|^{\gamma_1} + |u^1 + \varphi^1|^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& \leq 2 \cdot 4^{\gamma_1 - 1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) ((1 - \eta^p)^{\gamma_1} (u^1)^{\gamma_1} + \eta^{p\gamma_1} (w^1)^{\gamma_1} + \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1}) dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.15), (3.20) and (3.23) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.24) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \\
& \leq 2^{p-1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) |\nabla u^1|^p dx + 2^{2p-1} p^p L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\
& \quad + 2 \cdot 4^{\gamma_1 - 1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) ((1 - \eta^p)^{\gamma_1} (u^1)^{\gamma_1} + \eta^{p\gamma_1} (w^1)^{\gamma_1} + \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& \quad + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\
& \quad + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

then, adding the term $\int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx$ to both sides of the inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& + 2^{2p-1} p^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\
(3.25) \quad & + 2 \cdot 4^{\gamma_1 - 1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) (\eta^{p\gamma_1} (w^1)^{\gamma_1} + \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

where $c(p, \gamma) = L_1(2^{p-1}, 2 \cdot 4^{\gamma_1 - 1})$, remembering that

$$(3.26) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \leq 2 \cdot 4^{\gamma_1 - 1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \left((1 - \eta^p)^{\gamma_1} (u^1)^{\gamma_1} + \eta^{p\gamma_1} (w^1)^{\gamma_1} + \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} \right) dx$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& + 2^{2p-1} p^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\
(3.27) \quad & + 4^{\gamma_1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} (w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx + 4^{\gamma_1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

Now we have to estimate the term $\int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} (w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx$, using the Hölder'Inequality

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.28) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{(p-1)\gamma_1} (\eta w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (b_1(x) \eta^{(p-1)\gamma_1})^{\frac{p^*}{p^*-\gamma_1}} dx \right]^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{\gamma_1}{p^*}} \\
& \leq \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (b_1(x))^{\sigma_1} dx \right)^{\frac{p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^{\frac{p^*(p-1)\gamma_1\sigma_1}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}} dx \right)^{\frac{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1}} \right]^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{\gamma_1}{p^*}} \\
& \leq \left[\|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(B_s(x_0))}^{\frac{p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)}} \cdot |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1}} \right]^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{\gamma_1}{p^*}}
\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\frac{\gamma_1}{p^*} = \frac{\gamma_1-p}{p^*} + \frac{p}{p^*}$, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.29) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{(p-1)\gamma_1} (\eta w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq \left[\|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(B_s(x_0))}^{\frac{p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)}} \cdot |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1}} \right]^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{\gamma_1-p}{p^*}} \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{p^*}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.30) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{(p-1)\gamma_1} (\eta w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq \left[\|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(B_s(x_0))}^{\frac{p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)}} \cdot |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1}} \left(\int_{B_s(x_0)} (u^1)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{\gamma_1-p}{p^*-\gamma_1}} \right]^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{p^*}}
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Sobolev's Immersion Theorem there exists a constant $C_{IS} > 0$, dependent only on p and N , such that

$$(3.31) \quad \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} (u^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \leq C_{IS} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(B_s(x_0))}$$

then, by (3.30) and (3.31) it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.32) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{(p-1)\gamma_1} (\eta w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq \left[D \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(B_s(x_0))}^{\frac{p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)}} \cdot |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1}} \left(\|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(B_s(x_0))} \right)^{\frac{(\gamma_1-p)p^*}{p^*-\gamma_1}} \right]^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{p^*}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $D = C_{IS}^{\frac{\gamma_1-p}{p^*-\gamma_1}}$.

Applying Sobolev's inequality we have

$$(3.33) \quad \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} (\eta w^1)^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{p}{p^*}} \leq C_{N,p,\gamma_1} \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} \eta^p |\nabla w^1|^p + \frac{2^p (w^1)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right]$$

then, using (3.32) and (3.33), it follows

$$(3.34) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{(p-1)\gamma_1} (\eta w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\ \leq C_{N,p,\gamma_1} \left[D \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(B_s(x_0))}^{\frac{p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)}} \cdot \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1}} \left(\|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(B_s(x_0))} \right)^{\frac{(\gamma_1-p)p^*}{p^*-\gamma_1}} \right]^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \\ \cdot \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla w^1|^p + \frac{2^p (u^1-k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right]$$

Since $\frac{(p^*-\gamma_1)\sigma_1-p^*}{p^*\sigma_1} = \varepsilon$ and $\left| E_{k,s}^1 \right| \leq \varpi_N R_0^N$ we get

$$(3.35) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{(p-1)\gamma_1} (\eta w^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\ \leq C_{N,p,\gamma_1} D^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}^{(\gamma_1-p)} \varpi_N^\varepsilon R_0^{\varepsilon N} \\ \cdot \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla w^1|^p + \frac{2^p (u^1-k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right]$$

now, using (3.27) and (3.35) it follows

$$(3.36) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\ \leq 2c(p,\gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1-\eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\ + 2^{2p-1} p^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1-k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx + 4^{\gamma_1} L_1 \varepsilon \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p + \frac{2^p (u^1-k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\ + 4^{\gamma_1} L_1 C_{N,p,\gamma_1} D^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}^{(\gamma_1-p)} \varpi_N^\varepsilon R_0^{\varepsilon N} \cdot \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla w^1|^p + \frac{2^p (u^1-k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right] \\ + 4^{\gamma_1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\ + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx$$

Recalling that we have fixed R_0 such that $C_{N,p,\gamma_1} D^{\frac{p^*-\gamma_1}{p^*}} \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}^{(\gamma_1-p)} \varpi_N^\varepsilon R_0^{\varepsilon N} \leq \frac{1}{2 \cdot 4^{\gamma_1} L_1}$ then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.37) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& + (2^{2p-1} p^p + 2^{p-1}) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\
& + 4^{\gamma_1} L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let's consider the integral $\int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx$, then using the Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.38) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq |k|^{\gamma_1} \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_1}}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.39) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq k^{\gamma_1} \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_1}}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_1} = \frac{\gamma_1}{p^*} + \varepsilon$ and $\frac{p}{p^*} = 1 - \frac{p}{N}$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
|k|^{\gamma_1} \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_1}} & = |k|^{\gamma_1 - p} \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} |k|^p \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{1}{\sigma_1}} \\
& = \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left(|k|^{p^*} \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right| \right)^{\frac{\gamma_1 - p}{p^*}} |k|^p \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.40) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left[\int_{B_s(x_0)} |u^1|^{p^*} dx \right]^{\frac{\gamma_1 - p}{p^*}} |k|^p \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

using the Sobolev Embedding Theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.41) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left[C_{IS} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(B_s(x_0))} \right]^{\gamma_1 - p} |k|^p \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left[C_{IS} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(B_s(x_0))} \right]^{\gamma_1-p} |k|^p |A_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon} \\ & \leq \|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left[C_{IS} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)} \right]^{\gamma_1-p} R_0^{\varepsilon N} \frac{|k|^p}{R^{\varepsilon N}} |A_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

and $\|b_1\|_{L^{\sigma_1}(\Sigma)} \left[C_{IS} \|u^1\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)} \right]^{\gamma_1-p} R_0^{\varepsilon N} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} (3.42) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} b_1(x) \eta^{p\gamma_1} |k|^{\gamma_1} dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{|k|^p}{R^{\varepsilon N}} |A_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.37) and (3.42) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.43) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\ & \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\ & + (2^{2p-1} p^p + 2^{p-1}) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1-k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\ & + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N} |A_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon} \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx \end{aligned}$$

Now let's estimate the following term

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx$$

using hypothesis H.1.4 and Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.44) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} c(x) |\nabla u|^\delta |\varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^q dx \right]^{\frac{\delta}{q}} \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (c(x))^{\frac{q}{q-\delta}} |\varphi|^{\frac{\beta q}{q-\delta}} dx \right]^{\frac{q-\delta}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

and using Hölder's inequality again it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.45) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| \, dx \\
& \leq \left[\left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{q}} \\
& \quad \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (c(x))^{\frac{qp^*}{p^*(q-\delta)-q\beta}} \, dx \right)^{\frac{p^*(q-\delta)-q\beta}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\beta q}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{q-\delta}{q}} \\
& \leq \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{(p-q)\delta}{pq}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \\
& \quad \left[\left[\left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1-\frac{qp^*}{\sigma[p^*(q-\delta)-q\beta]}} \left(\|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)^{\frac{qp^*}{[p^*(q-\delta)-q\beta]}} \right]^{\frac{p^*(q-\delta)-q\beta}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\beta q}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{q-\delta}{q}} \\
& \leq \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} \, dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p^*}}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Theta = \frac{(p-q)\delta}{pq} + \left(1 - \frac{qp^*}{\sigma[p^*(q-\delta)-q\beta]}\right) \left(\frac{p^*(q-\delta)-q\beta}{p^*(q-\delta)}\right) \frac{q-\delta}{q}$; moreover, with some algebraic calculations we obtain $\Theta = 1 - \left(\frac{\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma}\right)$. Using Sobolev's inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.46) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| \, dx \\
& \leq C_{SN} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{B_s(x_0)} |\nabla \varphi|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \\
& \leq C_{SN} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p + 2^p \left(\frac{u^1-k}{s-t}\right)^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Young's inequality, it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.47) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u) - G(x, u, \nabla u)| \, dx \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{\beta(p-\beta)}}} \left(C_{SN} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \\
& \quad + \varepsilon \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p + 2^p \left(\frac{u^1-k}{r-t}\right)^p \, dx \\
& \leq \frac{C_\Sigma}{\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{\beta(p-\beta)}}} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p\Theta}{p-\beta}} + \varepsilon \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p + 2^p \left(\frac{u^1-k}{r-t}\right)^p \, dx
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.48) \quad C_\Sigma = \left(C_{SN} \left(\int_\Sigma |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}}$$

Fixed $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{4}$, using (3.43) and (3.47), we get

$$(3.49) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\ & \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\ & + (2^{2p-1} p^p + 2^p) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\ & + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N} \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon} \\ & + 4 \frac{p^2}{\beta(p-\beta)} C_\Sigma \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p\Theta}{p-\beta}} \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \end{aligned}$$

To get our energy estimate we need to estimate the following integral

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx$$

from hypothesis H.1.3 and from Remark 1 we have

$$(3.50) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A) - G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, A)| dx + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |G(x, u + \varphi, \nabla u)| dx \\ & \leq C \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^q + \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta + \eta^p a(x) dx \\ & + C \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^q + \eta^p c(x) |\nabla u|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta + \eta^p a(x) dx \\ & \leq C \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^q dx + C \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^q dx \\ & + C \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx + C \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |\nabla u|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & + 2C \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p a(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

Now we have to estimate the various elements of (3.50), let us start with the following term

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^q dx$$

since

$$(3.51) \quad |A| \leq p\eta^{-1} |\nabla \eta| (u^1 - k) + n |\nabla u|$$

on $E_{k,s}^1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^q dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |p\eta^{-1} |\nabla\eta| (u^1 - k) + n |\nabla u|^q dx \\
(3.52) \quad & \leq 2^{q-1} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p p^q \eta^{-q} |\nabla\eta|^q (u^1 - k)^q + \eta^p n^q |\nabla u|^q dx \\
& \leq 2^{q-1} p^q \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^{p-q} |\nabla\eta|^q (u^1 - k)^q dx + 2^{q-1} n^q \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^q dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using Young's inequality we can estimate the term

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^{p-q} |\nabla\eta|^q (u^1 - k)^q dx$$

getting

$$(3.53) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^{p-q} |\nabla\eta|^q (u^1 - k)^q dx \leq \frac{q}{p} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\eta|^p (u^1 - k)^p dx + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p dx$$

furthermore, with the Hölder inequality we can estimate the term

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^q dx$$

getting

$$(3.54) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^q dx \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p dx \right]^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \cdot \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

then, remembering the properties of η and using (3.52), (3.53) and (3.54) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^q dx \\
& \leq 2^{q-1} p^{q-1} q \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2^{q-1} p^q |E_{k,s}^1| \\
(3.55) \quad & + 2^{q-1} n^q |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \cdot \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& \leq 2^{q-1} p^{q-1} q \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2^{q-1} p^q |E_{k,s}^1| \\
& + 2^{q-1} n^q |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \cdot \left[\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

To estimate the following term

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^q dx$$

of (3.50) we can use the properties of η and the Hölder inequality getting

$$(3.56) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^q dx \\ & \leq \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left[\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

We now proceed to estimate the following integral

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx$$

of (3.50), using Hölder's inequality we have

$$(3.57) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^q |A|^q dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{q}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{p-\delta} c(x) |u + \varphi|^\beta \right)^{\frac{q}{q-\delta}} dx \right)^{\frac{q-\delta}{q}} \\ & \leq \left[\left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{q}} \\ & \cdot \left[\left(\left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{qp^*}{\sigma[p^*(q-\delta) - q\beta]}} \left(\|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)^{\frac{qp^*}{[p^*(q-\delta) - q\beta]}} \right)^{\frac{p^*(q-\delta) - q\beta}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \cdot \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{\frac{p-\delta}{\beta}} |u + \varphi| \right)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta q}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{q-\delta}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

Remembering that $\Theta = 1 - \left(\frac{\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right)$ we obtain

$$(3.58) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{\frac{p-\delta}{\beta}} |u + \varphi| \right)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p^*}} \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.2) it follows

$$(3.59) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A|^p dx \leq C_{1,p,q} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + C_{2,p,q} |E_{k,s}^1| + C_{3,p,q} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left[\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}}$$

and by the properties of η we get

$$(3.60) \quad \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{\frac{p-\delta}{\beta}} |u + \varphi| \right)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u + \varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \\ \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} + \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}}$$

by the Sobolev Inequality and the Immersion theorem it follows

$$(3.61) \quad \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{\frac{p-\delta}{\beta}} |u + \varphi| \right)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^*}} \\ \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + C_{SN} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Now, using (3.58), (3.59), (3.60) and (3.61) we obtain

$$(3.62) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ \leq |E_{k,s}^1|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + C_{SN} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^\beta \\ \cdot \left(C_{1,p,q} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + C_{2,p,q} |E_{k,s}^1| + C_{3,p,q} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left[\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}}$$

Since

$$(3.63) \quad \left(C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + C_{SN} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^\beta \\ \leq \left(C^\beta \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)}^\beta + C_{SN}^\beta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \right)$$

and

$$(3.64) \quad \left(C_{1,p,q} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + C_{2,p,q} |E_{k,s}^1| + C_{3,p,q} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left[\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \\ \leq \left(C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} + B_{p,q,\delta} \right)$$

where

$$B_{p,q,\delta} = C_{2,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{\delta}{p}} + C_{3,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} |E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{\delta}{p} \frac{p-q}{p}} \left[\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} \right]^{\frac{\delta q}{p}}$$

we get

$$(3.65) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq |E_{k,s}^1|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(C^\beta \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)}^\beta + C_{SN}^\beta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \right) \\ & \cdot \left(C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} + B_{p,q,\delta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(3.66) \quad \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx$$

from (3.65) we obtain

$$(3.67) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq |E_{k,s}^1|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(D_{p,\beta} + 2^\beta C_{SN}^\beta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \right) \\ & \cdot \left(C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} + B_{p,q,\delta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_{p,\beta} = \left(C^\beta + C_{SN}^\beta \right) \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)}^\beta$$

Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} & Z \\ & = |E_{k,s}^1|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(D_{p,\beta} + 2^\beta C_{SN}^\beta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \right) \\ & \cdot \left(C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} + B_{p,q,\delta} \right) \end{aligned}$$

it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z \\
&= \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} D_{p,\beta} C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \\
&+ \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} D_{p,\beta} B_{p,q,\delta} \\
&+ 2^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} C_{SN}^\beta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p} + \frac{\delta}{p}} \\
&+ 2^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} B_{p,q,\delta} C_{SN}^\beta \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}}
\end{aligned}$$

since $\beta < p - \delta$, using Young inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z \\
&\leq \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}\Theta} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)}^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}} D_{p,\beta}^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}} + C_{1,p,q} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\
&+ \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} D_{p,\beta} B_{p,q,\delta} \\
(3.68) \quad &+ \left(2^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} C_{SN}^\beta \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta-\delta}} + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx \\
&+ \left[2^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} B_{p,q,\delta} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} + C_{SN}^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx
\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.67) and (3.68) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\
&\leq \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}\Theta} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)}^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}} D_{p,\beta}^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}} + C_{1,p,q} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\
(3.69) \quad &+ \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} D_{p,\beta} B_{p,q,\delta} \\
&+ \left(2^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} C_{SN}^\beta \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta-\delta}} + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx \\
&+ \left[2^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} B_{p,q,\delta} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} + C_{SN}^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\frac{u^1 - k}{s-t} \right)^p dx
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{p}{p-\delta}, \frac{p}{p-\beta-\delta}, \frac{p}{p-\beta} > 1$ we can write (3.69) in the following simpler form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\
(3.70) \quad &\leq C_{1,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Theta \\
&+ C_{2,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.71) \quad \begin{aligned} C_{1,\Sigma} = & \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} D_{p,\beta} B_{p,q,\delta} + \varpi_N^{\Theta \frac{\delta}{p-\delta}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)}^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}} D_{p,\beta}^{\frac{p}{p-\delta}} \\ & + \varpi_N^{\Theta \frac{\beta+\delta}{p-\beta-\delta}} \left(2^\beta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} C_{1,p,q}^{\frac{\delta}{p}} C_{SN}^\beta \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta-\delta}} + \varpi_N^{\Theta \frac{\beta}{p-\beta}} \left[2^\beta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} B_{p,q,\delta} \right]^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.72) \quad C_{2,\Sigma} = (C_{1,p,q} + 1 + C_{1,p,q}^p)$$

To finish estimating all the terms of (3.50) it remains for us to consider the term

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |\nabla u|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx$$

of (3.50), to estimate this term we will proceed in a similar way to the previous case; using the Hölder inequality we have

$$(3.73) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |\nabla u|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^q |\nabla u|^q dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{q}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{p-\delta} c(x) |u + \varphi|^\beta \right)^{\frac{q}{q-\delta}} dx \right)^{\frac{q-\delta}{q}} \\ & \leq \left[|E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{q}} \\ & \cdot \left[\left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{qp^*}{\sigma[p^*(q-\delta) - q\beta]} } \left(\|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{qp^*}{[p^*(q-\delta) - q\beta]}} \right)^{\frac{p^*(q-\delta) - q\beta}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \cdot \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{\frac{p-\delta}{\beta}} |u + \varphi| \right)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta q}{p^*(q-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{q-\delta}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

Remembering that $\Theta = 1 - \left(\frac{\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right)$ we have

$$(3.74) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |\nabla u|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq |E_{k,s}^1|^\Theta \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\delta}{p}} \\ & \cdot \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{\frac{p-\delta}{\beta}} |u + \varphi| \right)^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p^*}} \end{aligned}$$

using (3.60), (3.61), (3.63), (3.64), (3.66) and (3.68) we obtain

$$(3.75) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |\nabla u|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq C_{1,\Sigma} |E_{k,s}^1|^\Theta \\ & + C_{2,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \end{aligned}$$

Now, using (3.49), (3.50), (3.55), (3.56), (3.70) and (3.75) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.76) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& + (2^{2p-1} p^p + 2^p) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\
& + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N} \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon} + \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \left[\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} + 2^{q-1} n^q \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \cdot \left[\int_{\Sigma} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{\frac{q}{p}} \\
& + 4 \frac{p^2}{\beta(p-\beta)} C_{\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\frac{p\Theta}{p-\beta}} + 2C_{1,\Sigma} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Theta} + 2C_{2,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\
& + 2^{q-1} p^{q-1} q \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2^{q-1} p^q \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{p}{p-\beta} > 1$, from hypothesis H.1.4, estimating $\int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx < \|a\|_{L^{\kappa_1}(\Sigma)} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa_1}}$

with the Hölder inequality and observing that $E_{k,t}^1 = A_{k,t}^1$ and $E_{k,s}^1 \subset A_{k,s}^1$ from (3.76) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.77) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \int_{A_{k,t}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{A_{k,s}^1 \setminus A_{k,t}^1} (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& + D_{1,\Sigma} \int_{A_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + (D_{2,\Sigma} + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N}) \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

By adding the quantity

$$2c(p, \gamma) \int_{A_{k,t}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx$$

to both terms of (3.77) and carrying out simple algebraic calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{A_{k,t}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq \frac{8c(p, \gamma)}{1+8c(p, \gamma)} \int_{A_{k,s}^1} (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
& + B_1 \int_{A_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + B_2 (1 + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N}) \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

where $B_1 = \frac{4D_{1,\Sigma}}{1+8c(p, \gamma)}$ and $B_2 = \frac{4(D_{2,\Sigma}+1)}{1+8c(p, \gamma)}$.

Now, using Lemma 3 we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.78) \quad & \int_{A_{k,\varrho}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^1} (u^1 - k)^p dx + C_{C,2} (1 + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N}) \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $-u$ is a local minimizer of the following integral functional

$$\tilde{J}(v, \Omega) = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n \tilde{f}_{\alpha}(x, v^{\alpha}(x), \nabla v^{\alpha}(x)) + \tilde{G}(x, v(x), \nabla v(x)) dx$$

where $\tilde{f}_{\alpha}(x, v^{\alpha}(x), \nabla v^{\alpha}(x)) = f_{\alpha}(x, -v^{\alpha}(x), -\nabla v^{\alpha}(x))$ and $\tilde{G}(x, v(x), \nabla v(x)) = G(x, -v(x), -\nabla v(x))$ then we get

$$(3.79) \quad \int_{B_{k,\varrho}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p dx \leq \frac{C_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^1} (k - u^1)^p dx + C_{C,2} (1 + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N}) \left| B_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

Similarly we can proceed for u^{α} with $\alpha = 2, \dots, n$.

3.2. The case of H.1.4 (bis). The proof follows as in the previous section 3.1 only with some modifications in the choice of the parameters of the Hölder inequalities.

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ a minimizer of the functional (1.1) then by Theorem 2 it follows that $u^{\alpha} \in DG(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ then by Theorem 6 it follows that $u \in L_{loc}^{\infty}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$; moreover if Σ is a compac subset of Ω then $u \in L^{\infty}(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(4.1) \quad |u| \leq M = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (M^{\alpha})^2}$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad M^{\alpha} = \sup_{\Sigma} \{|u^{\alpha}|\}$$

Since H.1.1 and (1.2) hold then proceeding as in [22] we get

$$(4.3) \quad \int_{A_{k,\varrho}^{\alpha}} |\nabla u^{\alpha}|^p dx \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^{\alpha}} (u^{\alpha} - k)^p dx + \tilde{C}_{C,2} \left| A_{k,s}^{\alpha} \right|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{B_{k,\varrho}^{\alpha}} |\nabla u^{\alpha}|^p dx \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^{\alpha}} (k - u^{\alpha})^p dx + \tilde{C}_{C,2} \left| B_{k,s}^{\alpha} \right|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. Theorem 1 follows using (4.3), (4.4) and Proposition 7.1, Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 7.6 of [24].

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 5

5.1. The case H.2.4. The proof is almost identical to the one presented in section 3 except for some inequalities that we are going to mention.

Let us consider $y \in \Omega$ then we fix $R_0 = \frac{1}{4} \min \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varpi_N}}, \text{dist}(\partial\Omega, y) \right\}$, where $\varpi_N = |B_1(0)|$, and we define $\Sigma = \{x \in \Omega : |x - y| \leq R_0\}$. We fix $x_0 \in \Sigma$, $R_1 = \frac{1}{4} \text{dist}(\partial\Sigma, x_0)$, $R_0 < \min_{\alpha=1, \dots, n} \{R_1, A_{\alpha}, B_{\alpha}\}$, where

$$A_\alpha = \frac{1}{\left[2 \cdot 4^{\gamma_\alpha} L_\alpha C_{N,p,\gamma_\alpha} D^{\frac{p^* - \gamma_\alpha}{p^*}} \|b_\alpha\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \|u^\alpha\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}^{\gamma_\alpha - p} \varpi_N^{\epsilon_\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{\epsilon_\alpha N}}}, B_\alpha = \frac{1}{\left[2 D^{p^* - \gamma_\alpha} \|b_\alpha\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \|u^\alpha\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma)}^{\gamma_\alpha - p} \right]^{\frac{1}{\epsilon_\alpha N}}},$$

C_{N,p,γ_α} and $D^{\frac{p^* - \gamma_\alpha}{p^*}}$ are universal positive constants.

We fix $0 < \varrho \leq t < s \leq R < R_0$, $B_z(x_0) = \{x : |x - x_0| < z\}$, $k \in \mathbb{R}$ and we choose $\eta \in C_c^\infty(B_s(x_0))$ such that $\eta = 1$ on $B_t(x_0)$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ on $B_s(x_0)$ and $|\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{2}{s-t}$ on $B_s(x_0)$. Let us define

$$\varphi = -\eta^p w$$

where $w \in W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with

$$w^1 = \max(u^1 - k, 0), w^\alpha = 0, \alpha = 2, \dots, n$$

Let us observe that $\varphi = 0$ \mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$ thus

$$(5.1) \quad \nabla u + \nabla \varphi = \nabla u$$

\mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$. Let us define

$$(5.2) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} p\eta^{-1} \nabla \eta (k - u^1) \\ \nabla u^2 \\ \vdots \\ \nabla u^n \end{pmatrix}$$

since

$$(5.3) \quad \nabla w = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla u^1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

\mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$ then we deduce that

$$(5.4) \quad \nabla u + \nabla \varphi = (1 - \eta^p) \nabla u + \eta^p A$$

\mathcal{L}^N -a.e. in $\Omega \setminus (\{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\})$. Since u is a local minimizer of the functional (1.1) then we get

$$(5.5) \quad J(u, \Sigma) \leq J(u + \varphi, \Sigma)$$

it is

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_\Sigma \sum_{\alpha=1}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha) dx \\ & \leq \int_\Sigma \sum_{\alpha=1}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha + \varphi^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha + \nabla \varphi^\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u + \nabla \varphi)^\alpha) dx \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_\Sigma \sum_{\alpha=2}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha) dx + \int_\Sigma f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha) dx \\ & \leq \int_\Sigma \sum_{\alpha=2}^n f_\alpha(x, u^\alpha, \nabla u^\alpha) dx + \int_\Sigma f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u + \nabla \varphi)^\alpha) dx \end{aligned}$$

From (5.7) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.8) \quad & \int_{\Sigma} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^{\alpha}) dx \\
& \leq \int_{\Sigma} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u + \nabla \varphi)^{\alpha}) dx \\
& = \int_{B_r(x_0)} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) dx + \int_{\Sigma - B_r(x_0) \setminus} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) dx \\
& + \int_{B_s(x_0)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u + \nabla \varphi)^{\alpha}) dx + \int_{\Sigma - B_s(x_0) \setminus} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^{\alpha}) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad & \int_{B_s(x_0)} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^{\alpha}) dx \\
& \leq \int_{B_s(x_0)} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) dx + \\
& + \int_{B_s(x_0)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u + \nabla \varphi)^{\alpha}) dx
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define $E_{k,s}^1 = \{\eta > 0\} \cap \{u^1 > k\} \cap B_s(x_0) \subset B_s(x_0)$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.10) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^{\alpha}) dx \\
& + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^{\alpha}) dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) dx + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) dx \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u + \nabla \varphi)^{\alpha}) dx \\
& + \int_{B_s(x_0) - E_{k,s}^1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^{\alpha}) dx
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.11) \quad & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^{\alpha}) dx \\
& \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) dx + \\
& + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_{\alpha}(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u + \nabla \varphi)^{\alpha}) dx
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, s, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha)$ is a rank one convex function and $\nabla u - A$ is a rank one matrix then proceeding as in the section 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1, \nabla u^1) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha) dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} f_1(x, u^1 + \varphi^1, \nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1) dx + \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha) + \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha) dx \end{aligned}$$

then using the growth assumptions (1.2) we get (5.12)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p - b_1(x) |u^1|^{\gamma_1} - a_1(x) dx \\ & \leq L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1 + \nabla \varphi^1|^p + b_1(x) |u^1 + \varphi^1|^{\gamma_1} + a_1(x) dx \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \end{aligned}$$

Moreover proceeding in a similar way to the previous section 1.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\ & \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\ & + (2^{2p-1}p^p + 2^{p-1}) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\ & + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N} \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon} \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \end{aligned}$$

Now we have to estimate

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx$$

remembering that $\varphi^1 = -\eta^p w^1$ and that $\varphi^\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha = 2, \dots, n$ we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] \\ & = G_1(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^1) - G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^1) \\ & + \sum_{\alpha=2}^n [G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] \\ & = G_1(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^1) - G_1(x, u, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^1) \end{aligned}$$

Using the hypothesis H.2.4 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha)] \\ & \leq \left| G_1(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^1) - G_1(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^1) \right| \\ & \leq c(x) \left| (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^1 \right|^\delta |\varphi|^\beta \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u, (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} c(x) \left| (\text{adj}_{n-1} \nabla u)^1 \right|^\delta |\varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} c(x) |\nabla u|^{(n-1)\delta} |\varphi|^\beta dx \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 < \delta < \frac{r}{n-1}$, $0 < \beta < \frac{rp^*(r-(n-1)\delta)}{r}$, $\sigma > \frac{rp^*}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta}$ then, using the Hölder Inequality, it follows

(5.13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} c(x) |\nabla u|^{(n-1)\delta} |\varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^r dx \right]^{\frac{(n-1)\delta}{r}} \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (c(x) |\varphi|^\beta)^{\frac{r}{r-(n-1)\delta}} dx \right]^{\frac{r-(n-1)\delta}{r}} \\ & \leq \left[|E_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{r}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \right]^{\frac{(n-1)\delta}{r}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (c(x))^{\frac{rp^*}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta}} dx \right)^{\frac{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{r\beta}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^*}} \right]^{\frac{r-(n-1)\delta}{r}} \\ & \leq |E_{k,s}^1|^{(1-\frac{r}{p})\frac{(n-1)\delta}{r}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(E_{k,s}^1)}^{(n-1)\delta} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{rp^*}{[(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta]\sigma}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)}^{\frac{rp^*}{[(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta]}} \right)^{\frac{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{r\beta}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^*}} \right]^{\frac{r-(n-1)\delta}{r}} \\ & \leq |E_{k,s}^1|^{(1-\frac{r}{p})\frac{(n-1)\delta}{r}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(E_{k,s}^1)}^{(n-1)\delta} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[|E_{k,s}^1|^{\frac{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^*} - \frac{rp^*}{[(r-(n-1)\delta)p^* - r\beta]\sigma}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)}^{\frac{r}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{r\beta}{(r-(n-1)\delta)p^*}} \right]^{\frac{r-(n-1)\delta}{r}} \\ & \leq |E_{k,s}^1|^\Lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(E_{k,s}^1)}^{(n-1)\delta} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)}^{\frac{\beta}{p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p^*}} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda = 1 - \left(\frac{(n-1)\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right)$. Using the Sobolev Inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} c(x) |\nabla u|^{(n-1)\delta} |\varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq C_{SI}^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(E_{k,s}^1)}^{(n-1)\delta} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \right)^{\frac{\beta}{p}} \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla \varphi|^p dx \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx$$

using Young Inequality it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} c(x) |\nabla u|^{(n-1)\delta} |\varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + \tilde{\varepsilon} 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\ (5.14) \quad & + \frac{1}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}}} \left(C_{SI}^\beta \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^\Lambda \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(E_{k,s}^1)}^{(n-1)\delta} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}} \\ & \leq \tilde{\varepsilon} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + \tilde{\varepsilon} 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \\ & + \frac{C_\Sigma}{\tilde{\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}}} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Lambda \frac{p}{p-\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_\Sigma = \left(C_{SI}^\beta \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}^{(n-1)\delta} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-\beta}}$$

Fixed $\tilde{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{4}$, using (5.13) and (5.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (5.15) \quad & \frac{1}{4} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\ & \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\ & + (2^{2p-1} p^p + 2^{p+1}) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\ & + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N} \left| A_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon} \\ & + \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1} A)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \\ & + \frac{C_\Sigma}{\left(\frac{1}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{p-\beta}}} \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{\Lambda \frac{p}{p-\beta}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we will have to give a new estimate of the integral

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1} A)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1} \nabla u)^\alpha)] dx$$

remembering that $G_1(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^1) = G_1(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^1)$ and that $G_\alpha(x, s, (adj_{n-1}\xi)^\alpha) \geq 0$ for every $x \in \Omega, s \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times n}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=2}^n G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha) dx \end{aligned}$$

Using from hypothesis H.2.3, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=2}^n |(adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha|^r + \sum_{\alpha=2}^n \eta^p c(x) |(adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha|^\delta |u + \varphi|^\beta + \eta^p a(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

We use here the following notation:

$$\hat{u} = (u^2, \dots, u^n)$$

thus, for all $\alpha = 2, \dots, n$

$$|(adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha| \leq \tilde{c} |A^1| |adj_{n-2}\nabla\hat{u}|$$

hence remembering that

$$|adj_{n-2}\nabla\hat{u}| \leq \tilde{c}_2 |\nabla\hat{u}|^{n-2}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p \sum_{\alpha=1}^n [G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}A)^\alpha) - G_\alpha(x, u + \varphi, (adj_{n-1}\nabla u)^\alpha)] dx \\ & \leq c_3 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^r |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)r} + \eta^p c(x) |A^1|^\delta |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)\delta} |u + \varphi|^\beta + \eta^p a(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

where $c_3 = \left[1 + (\tilde{c}\tilde{c}_2)^r + (\tilde{c}\tilde{c}_2)^\delta\right] n$. We consider the integral $\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^r |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)r} dx$

since $r < \frac{p}{n-1}$ using Hölder Inequality and Young Inequality it follows

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^r |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)r} dx \\ & \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^p dx \right]^{\frac{r}{p}} \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla\hat{u}|^{\frac{(n-2)rp}{p-r}} dx \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \\ & \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^p dx \right]^{\frac{r}{p}} \left[|E_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^p dx + |E_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \\ & \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^p dx + |E_{k,s}^1|^{1-\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}^{\frac{(n-2)rp}{p-r}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $A^1 = p\eta^{-1}\nabla\eta(k - u^1)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^r |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)r} dx \\ & \leq 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + \left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Sigma)}^{\frac{(n-2)rp}{p-r}} \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to estimate the integral $\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A^1|^\delta |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)\delta} |u + \varphi|^\beta dx$. Using the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A^1|^\delta |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)\delta} |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\ & \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^r |A^1|^r |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)r} dx \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{p-\delta} c(x) |u + \varphi|^\beta \right)^{\frac{r}{r-\delta}} dx \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}} \\ & \leq \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^p dx \right)^{\frac{r}{p}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\hat{u}|^{\frac{(n-2)rp}{p-r}} dx \right)^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{p-\delta} c(x) \right)^{\frac{r}{r-\delta}} |u + \varphi|^{\frac{\beta r}{r-\delta}} dx \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}} \\ & \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^p dx \right]^{\frac{r}{p}} \left[\left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{p-\delta} c(x) \right)^{\frac{r(r-\delta)p^*}{(r-\delta)[(r-\delta)p^* - \beta r]}} dx \right)^{\frac{[(r-\delta)p^* - \beta r]}{(r-\delta)p^*}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |u + \varphi|^{p^*} dx \right)^{\frac{\beta r}{(r-\delta)p^*}} \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}} \\ & \leq \left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |A^1|^p dx \right]^{\frac{r}{p}} \left[\left| E_{k,s}^1 \right|^{1 - \frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \\ & \quad \cdot \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \left(\eta^{p-\delta} c(x) \right)^{\frac{rp^*}{[(r-\delta)p^* - \beta r]}} dx \right)^{\frac{[(r-\delta)p^* - \beta r]}{(r-\delta)p^*}} \left(\|u\|_{L^{p^*}(B_{R_0}(x_0))} + \|\varphi\|_{L^{p^*}(B_s(x_0))} \right)^{\frac{\beta r}{(r-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}} \end{aligned}$$

Since $A^1 = p\eta^{-1}\nabla\eta(k - u^1)$ and using the Sobolev Inequality and The Sobolev Embedding Theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A^1|^\delta |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)\delta} |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\
& \leq \left[\left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} p^p |\nabla\eta|^p (u^1 - k)^p dx \right]^{\frac{r}{p}} \left[|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \\
& \cdot \left[\left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} (\eta^{p-\delta} c(x))^{\frac{rp^*}{[(r-\delta)p^* - \beta r]}} dx \right)^{\frac{[(r-\delta)p^* - \beta r]}{(r-\delta)p^*}} \left(C_{ES} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + C_{IS} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^p(B_s(x_0))} \right)^{\frac{\beta r}{(r-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}}
\end{aligned}$$

By Hölder Inequality it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A^1|^\delta |\nabla\hat{u}|^{(n-2)\delta} |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\
& \leq \left[\left[\int_{E_{k,s}^1} p^p |\nabla\eta|^p (u^1 - k)^p dx \right]^{\frac{r}{p}} \left[|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \\
& \cdot \left[\left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{rp^*}{[(r-\delta)p^* - r\beta]\sigma}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)}^{\frac{rp^*}{[(r-\delta)p^* - r\beta]}} \right)^{\frac{[(r-\delta)p^* - \beta r]}{(r-\delta)p^*}} \right. \\
& \cdot \left. \left(C_{ES} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + C_{IS} \|\nabla\varphi\|_{L^p(B_s(x_0))} \right)^{\frac{\beta r}{(r-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}}
\end{aligned}$$

moreover

$$\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla\varphi|^p dx \leq \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A^1|^\delta |\nabla \hat{u}|^{(n-2)\delta} |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\
& \leq \left[\left[p^p 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{p}} \left[|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u|^p dx \right)^{\frac{(n-2)r}{p-r}} \right]^{\frac{p-r}{p}} \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \\
& \cdot \left[\left(|E_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{rp^*}{[(r-\delta)p^* - r\beta]\sigma}} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)}^{\frac{[(r-\delta)p^* - r\beta]}{(r-\delta)p^*}} \right) \right. \\
& \cdot \left. \left(C_{ES} \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + C_{IS} \left(\int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p |\nabla u^1|^p dx + 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)^{\frac{\beta r}{(r-\delta)}} \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Young Inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \eta^p c(x) |A^1|^\delta |\nabla \hat{u}|^{(n-2)\delta} |u + \varphi|^\beta dx \\
& \leq \|c\|_{L^\sigma(E_{k,s}^1)} |E_{k,s}^1|^\Lambda \\
& \cdot \left[p^p 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + 1 \right]^{\frac{\delta}{r}} \\
& \cdot \left[C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + 1 + 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx \right]^{\frac{r-\delta}{r}} \\
& \leq 2 \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} |E_{k,s}^1|^\Lambda \\
& \cdot \left[p^p 2^p \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^n)} + 1 \right] \\
& \leq p^p 2^{p+1} \|c\|_{L^\sigma(\Sigma)} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + C(\Sigma) |E_{k,s}^1|^\Lambda
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Lambda = 1 - \left(\frac{(n-1)\delta}{p} + \frac{\beta}{p^*} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \right)$. Proceeding as already done in the previous section 3.1 it follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \int_{E_{k,s}^1} |\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1} dx \\
& \leq 2c(p, \gamma) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} (1 - \eta^p) (|\nabla u^1|^p + b_1(x) (u^1)^{\gamma_1}) dx \\
(5.16) \quad & + (2^{2p-1} p^p + 2^p) \int_{E_{k,s}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx + 2L_1 \int_{E_{k,s}^1} a_1(x) dx \\
& + |k|^p R^{-\varepsilon N} |A_{k,s}^1|^{1 - \frac{p}{N} + \varepsilon} + C_{1,\Sigma} |E_{k,s}^1|^\Lambda + 2C_{2,\Sigma} \int_{E_{k,s}^1 - E_{k,t}^1} \frac{(u^1 - k)^p}{(s-t)^p} dx
\end{aligned}$$

From this last inequality, (5.16), proceeding as already done in the previous section 3.1 by applying Lemma 3 we obtain the inequalities of Cacioppoli (1.14) and (1.15).

5.2. The case H.2.4 (bis). The proof follows as in the previous section 4.1 only with some modifications in the choice of the parameters of the Hölder inequalities.

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$ a minimizer of the functional (1.1) then by Theorem 2 it follows that $u^\alpha \in DG(\Omega, p, \lambda, \lambda_*, \chi, \varepsilon, R_0, k_0)$ for $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$ then by Theorem 6 it follows that $u \in L^\infty_{loc}(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$; moreover if Σ is a compac subset of Ω then $u \in L^\infty(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$(6.1) \quad |u| \leq M = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^n (M^\alpha)^2}$$

where

$$(6.2) \quad M^\alpha = \sup_{\Sigma} \{|u^\alpha|\}$$

Since H.1.1 and (1.2) hold then proceeding as in [22] we get

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{A_{k,\varrho}^\alpha} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{A_{k,R}^\alpha} (u^\alpha - k)^p dx + \tilde{C}_{C,2} |A_{k,s}^\alpha|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad \int_{B_{k,\varrho}^\alpha} |\nabla u^\alpha|^p dx \leq \frac{\tilde{C}_{C,1}}{(R-\varrho)^p} \int_{B_{k,R}^\alpha} (k - u^\alpha)^p dx + \tilde{C}_{C,2} |B_{k,s}^\alpha|^{1-\frac{p}{N}+\varepsilon}$$

for every $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. Theorem 1 follows using (6.3), (6.4) and Proposition 7.1, Lemma 7.2 and Theorem 7.6 of [24].

REFERENCES

- [1] Acerbi E., Fusco N., Regularity for minimizers of non-quadratic functionals: the case $1 < p < 2$, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 140, 1989, 115-134
- [2] Acerbi E., Fusco N., Partial regularity under anisotropic (p, q) growth conditions, *J. Diff. Equ.*, 107, no 1, 1994, 46-67
- [3] Aubin T., Problèmes isopérimétriques et espaces de Sobolev, *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 11, 1976, 573-598
- [4] Bildhauer M., Fuchs M., Partial regularity for variational integrals with (s, μ, q) -growth, *Calc. Var.*, 13, 2001, 537-560
- [5] Bildhauer M., Fuchs M., Mingione G., A priori gradient bounds and local $C^{1,\alpha}$ -estimates for (doble) obstacle problems under nonstandard growth conditions, *Z. Anal. Anw.*, 20, no 4, 2001, 959-985
- [6] Bildhauer M., *Convex Variational Problems, Linear, Nearly Linear and Anisotropic Growth Conditions*, Springer, Berlin, 2003
- [7] Breit D., Stroffolini B., Verde A., A general regularity theorem for functionals with φ -growth, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 383 (2011), 226-233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2011.05.012>
- [8] Cupini G., Focardi M., Leonetti E., Mascolo F., On the Hölder continuity for a class of vectorial problems, *Advances in Nonlinear Analysis*, 9 (2020), no. 1, 1008-1025. <https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2020-0039>

- [9] Cupini G., Leonetti F., Mascolo E., Local boundedness for minimizers of some polyconvex integrals, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, 224 (2017), no.1, 269-289.
- [10] Cupini G., Marcellini P., Mascolo E., Local boundedness of solutions to some anisotropic elliptic systems, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 595 (2013), 169-186.
- [11] Cupini G., Marcellini P., Mascolo E., Local boundedness of solutions to quasilinear elliptic systems, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, 137, 287-315, 2012
- [12] Dacorogna B., *Direct Methods in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer-Verlag, 1989
- [13] De Giorgi E., Sulla differenziabilità e l'analicità delle estremali degli integrali multipli regolari, *Mem. Accad. Sci. Torino (Classe di Sci. mat. fis. e nat.)*, 3 (3) (1957), 25-43.
- [14] De Giorgi E., Un esempio di estremali discontinue per un problema variazionale di tipo ellittico, *Boll. U.M.I.*, 4 (1968), 135-137.
- [15] Diening L., Stroffolini B., Verde A., Everywhere regularity of functional with φ -growth, *Manus. Math.*, 129 (2009), 440-481.
- [16] Evans L. C., Quasiconvexity and partial regularity in the calculus of variations, *Arch. Raz. Mech. Anal.*, 95, 1986, 227-252
- [17] Esposito L., Mingione G., Some remarks on the regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic systems, *Rev. Mat. Complut.*, 11, no 1, 1998, 203-219
- [18] Esposito L., Mingione G., Partial regularity for minimizers of convex integrals with $L \log L$ -growth, *Nonlinear Diff. Equ. Appl.*, 7, 2000, 107-125
- [19] Frehse J., A discontinuous solution of a mildly nonlinear system, *Math. Z.*, 124 (1973), 229-230. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf01214096>
- [20] Fuchs M., Serengin G., A regularity theory for variational integrals with $L \log L$ -growth, *Cal. Var.*, 6, 1998, 171-187
- [21] Fuchs M., Local Lipschitz regularity of vector valued local minimizers of variational integrals with densities depending on the modulus of the gradient, *Math. Nachr.*, 284 (2011), 266-272.
- [22] Giaquinta M., Giusti E., On the regularity of minima of variational integrals, *Acta Mathematica*, 148 (1983), 285-298.
- [23] Giusti E., Miranda M., Un esempio di soluzioni discontinue per un problema di minimo relativo ad un integrale regolare del calcolo delle variazioni, *Boll. U.M.I.*, 2 (1968), 1-8
- [24] Giusti E., *Direct methods in the Calculus of variations*, World Scientific, 2003
- [25] Granucci T., Randolfi M., Regularity for local minima of a special class of vectorial problems with fully anisotropic growth, *manuscripta math.* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00229-021-01360-0>
- [26] Granucci T., Randolfi M.: Local boundedness of quasi-minimizers of fully anisotropic scalar variational problems. *Manuscr. Math.* 160, 99–152 (2019)
- [27] Granucci T., An Harnack inequality for quasi-minima of scalar integral functionals with general growth conditions. *Manuscr. Math.* 152, 345–380 (2017)
- [28] Granucci T., L^Φ - L^∞ inequalities and new remarks on the Hölder continuity of the quasi-minima of scalar integral functionals with general growths. *Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex.* 22, 165–212 (2016)
- [29] Granucci T., An Example of Everywhere Regularity for Minima of Vectorial Integral Functionals of the Calculus of Variation, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 15, 2021, no. 7, 291 - 302
- [30] Granucci T., An Example of Everywhere Hölder Continuity for a Weak Solution of a Particular Vectorial Problem, *International Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 14, 2020, no. 7, 305 - 313
- [31] Granucci T., On the everywhere hölder continuity of the minima of a class of vectorial integral functionals of the calculus of variation, submitted
- [32] Granucci T., Everywhere hölder continuity of vectorial local minimizers of special classes of integral functionals with rank one integrands, *Monatsh Math* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00605-022-01763-5>
- [33] Marcellini P., Everywhere regularity for a class of elliptic systems without growth conditions, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa*, 23 (1996), 1-25.
- [34] Mingione G., Singularities of minima: a walk on the wild side of the calculus of variations, *Journal of global optimization*, 40, (2008) 209-223
- [35] Mingione G., Regularity of minima: an invitation to the dark side of the calculus of variations, *Applications of mathematics*, 51, (2006) 355-426

- [36] Morrey C. B., Partial regularity results for nonlinear elliptic systems, *J. Math. Mech.*, 17, 1968, 649-670
- [37] Moser J., A new proof of De Giorgi's theorem concerning the regularity problem for elliptic differential equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 14 (1961), 457-468. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160130308>
- [38] Nash J., Continuity of solution of parabolic and elliptic equations, *Amer. J. of Math.*, 80 (1958), 931-954. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2372841>
- [39] Sobolev S. L., Sur un théorème d'analyse fonctionnelle, *Math. Sb. (N.S)*, 46, 1938, 471-496
- [40] Talenti G., Best constants in Sobolev inequality, *Ann. di Matem. Pura ed Appl.*, 110, 1976, 353-372
- [41] Tolksdorf P., A new proof of a regularity theorem, *Invent. Math.*, 71 (1983), no.1, 43-49.
- [42] Tolksdorf P., Regularity for a More General Class of Quasilinear Elliptic Equations, *J. of Differ. Equ.*, 51 (1984), 126-150.
- [43] Uhlenbeck K., Regularity for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems, *Acta Math.*, 138 (1977), 219-240. <https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02392316>

ISIS SALVEMINI DUCA D'AOSTA, FIRENZE, VIA GIUSTI 22, 50100, ITALY

Email address: tizianogranucci@libero.it, tiziano.granucci@polotecnico.fi.com

URL: <https://www.tizianogranucci.com/>