

Radius of γ -Spirallikeness of order α for some Special functions

Sercan Kazımoğlu · Kamaljeet Gangania*

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract In this paper, we establish the radius of γ -Spirallike of order α of certain well-known special functions. The main results of the paper are new and natural extensions of some known results.

Keywords γ -Spirallike functions · Radii of starlikeness and convexity · Wright and Mittag-Leffler functions · Legendre polynomials · Lommel and Struve functions · Ramanujan type entire functions

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 30C45 · 30C80 · 30C15

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{A} be the class of analytic functions normalized by the condition $f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1$ in the unit disk $\mathbb{D} := \mathbb{D}_1$, where $\mathbb{D}_r := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$. We say that a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is γ -Spirallike of order α if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma,$$

where $\gamma \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We denote the class of such functions by $\mathcal{S}_p^\gamma(\alpha)$. We also denote its convex analog, that is the class $\mathcal{CS}_p^\gamma(\alpha)$ of convex γ -spirallike functions of order α , which is defined below

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma.$$

Sercan Kazımoğlu
E-mail: srcnkzmglu@gmail.com
Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Literature, Kafkas University, Campus, 36100, Kars-Turkey

Kamaljeet Gangania
E-mail: gangania.m1991@gmail.com
Department of Applied Mathematics, Delhi Technological University, Delhi-110042, India

* Corresponding author

The class $\mathcal{S}_p^\gamma(0)$ was introduced by Spacek [24]. Each function in $\mathcal{CS}_p^\gamma(\alpha)$ is univalent in \mathbb{D} , but they do not necessarily be starlike. Further, it is worth to mention that for general values of γ ($|\gamma| < \pi/2$), a function in $\mathcal{CS}_p^\gamma(0)$ need not be univalent in \mathbb{D} . For example: $f(z) = i(1-z)^i - i \in \mathcal{CS}_p^{\pi/4}(0)$, but not univalent. Indeed, $f \in \mathcal{CS}_p^\gamma(0)$ is univalent if $0 < \cos \gamma < 1/2$, see Robertson [23] and Pfaltzgraff [20]. Note that for $\gamma = 0$, the classes $\mathcal{S}_p^\gamma(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{CS}_p^\gamma(\alpha)$ reduce to the classes of starlike and convex functions of order α , given by

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \alpha,$$

which we denote by $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$, respectively.

In the recent past, connections between the special functions and their geometrical properties have been established in terms of radius problems [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 25]. In this direction, behavior of the positive roots of a special function and the Laguerre-Pólya class play an evident role. A real entire function L maps real line into itself is said to be in the Laguerre-Pólya class \mathcal{LP} , if it can be expressed as follows:

$$L(x) = cx^m e^{-ax^2 + \beta x} \prod_{k \geq 1} \left(1 + \frac{x}{x_k} \right) e^{-\frac{x}{x_k}},$$

where $c, \beta, x_k \in \mathbb{R}$, $a \geq 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and $\sum x_k^{-2} < \infty$, see [2], [12, p. 703], [18] and the references therein. The class \mathcal{LP} consists of entire functions which can be approximated by polynomials with only real zeros, uniformly on the compact sets of the complex plane and it is closed under differentiation.

The $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ -radius, which is given below

$$\sup \{ r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > \alpha, z \in \mathbb{D}_r \}$$

and similarly, $\mathcal{C}(\alpha)$ -radius has recently been obtained for some normalized forms of Bessel functions [1, 3, 6] (see Watson's treatise [26] for more on Bessel function), Struve functions [1, 2], Wright functions [7], Lommel functions [1, 2], Legendre polynomials of odd degree [9] and Ramanujan type entire functions [10]. For their generalization to Ma-Minda classes [19] of starlike and convex functions, we refer to see [13, 16].

With the best of our knowledge, $\mathcal{S}_p^\gamma(\alpha)$ -radius and $\mathcal{CS}_p^\gamma(\alpha)$ -radius for special functions are not handled till date. Therefore, in this paper, we now aim to derive the radius of γ -Spirallike of order α , which is given below

$$R_{sp}(g) = \sup \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma, z \in \mathbb{D}_r \right\}$$

and also the radius of convex γ -Spirallike of order α , which is

$$R_{sp}^c(g) = \sup \left\{ r \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{zg''(z)}{g'(z)} \right) \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma, z \in \mathbb{D}_r \right\}.$$

for the function g in \mathcal{A} to be a special function.

2 Wright functions

Let us consider the generalized Bessel function given by

$$\Phi(\kappa, \delta, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n! \Gamma(n\kappa + \delta)},$$

where $\kappa > -1$ and $z, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$, named after E. M. Wright. The function Φ is entire for $\kappa > -1$. From [7, Lemma 1, p. 100], we have the Hadamard factorization

$$\Gamma(\delta)\Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z^2) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa, \delta, n}^2} \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where $\kappa, \delta > 0$ and $\zeta_{\kappa, \delta, n}$ is the n -th positive root of $\Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z^2)$ and satisfies the interlacing property:

$$\check{\zeta}_{\kappa, \delta, n} < \zeta_{\kappa, \delta, n} < \check{\zeta}_{\kappa, \delta, n+1} < \zeta_{\kappa, \delta, n+1}, \quad (n \geq 1) \quad (2.2)$$

where $\check{\zeta}_{\kappa, \delta, n}$ is the n -th positive root of the derivative of the function

$$\Psi_{\kappa, \delta}(z) = z^\delta \Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z^2).$$

Since $\Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z^2) \notin \mathcal{A}$, therefore we choose the normalized Wright functions:

$$\begin{cases} f_{\kappa, \delta}(z) = [z^\delta \Gamma(\delta) \Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z^2)]^{1/\delta} \\ g_{\kappa, \delta}(z) = z \Gamma(\delta) \Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z^2) \\ h_{\kappa, \delta}(z) = z \Gamma(\delta) \Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z). \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

For brevity, we write $W_{\kappa, \delta}(z) := \Phi(\kappa, \delta, -z^2)$.

Theorem 1 *Let $\kappa, \delta > 0$. The radius of γ -Spirallikeness for the functions $f_{\kappa, \delta}$, $g_{\kappa, \delta}$ and $h_{\kappa, \delta}$ are the smallest positive roots of the following equations:*

- (i) $rW'_{\kappa, \delta}(r) + \delta(1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma W_{\kappa, \delta}(r) = 0$
- (ii) $rW'_{\kappa, \delta}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma W_{\kappa, \delta}(r) = 0$
- (iii) $\sqrt{r}W'_{\kappa, \delta}(\sqrt{r}) + 2(1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma W_{\kappa, \delta}(\sqrt{r}) = 0$

in $|z| < (0, \zeta_{\kappa, \delta, 1})$, $(0, \check{\zeta}_{\kappa, \delta, 1})$ and $(0, \zeta_{\kappa, \delta, 1}^2)$, respectively.

Proof Using (2.1), we obtain the following by the logarithmic differentiation of (2.3):

$$\begin{cases} \frac{zf'_{\kappa, \delta}(z)}{f_{\kappa, \delta}(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{zW'_{\kappa, \delta}(z)}{W_{\kappa, \delta}(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa, \delta, n}^2 - z^2} \\ \frac{zg'_{\kappa, \delta}(z)}{g_{\kappa, \delta}(z)} = 1 + \frac{zW'_{\kappa, \delta}(z)}{W_{\kappa, \delta}(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa, \delta, n}^2 - z^2} \\ \frac{zh'_{\kappa, \delta}(z)}{h_{\kappa, \delta}(z)} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{z}W'_{\kappa, \delta}(\sqrt{z})}{W_{\kappa, \delta}(\sqrt{z})} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z}{\zeta_{\kappa, \delta, n}^2 - z}. \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

We need to show that the following inequalities for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\gamma \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zf'_{\kappa, \delta}(z)}{f_{\kappa, \delta}(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zg'_{\kappa, \delta}(z)}{g_{\kappa, \delta}(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zh'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{h_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma$$

are valid for $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(f_{\kappa,\delta})}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(g_{\kappa,\delta})}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(h_{\kappa,\delta})}$ respectively, and each of the above inequalities does not hold in larger disks. It is known [11] that if $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ are such that $|z| \leq r < \lambda$, then

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z}{\lambda - z} \right) \leq \left| \frac{z}{\lambda - z} \right| \leq \frac{|z|}{\lambda - |z|}. \quad (2.6)$$

Then the inequality

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \right) \leq \left| \frac{z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \right| \leq \frac{|z|^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - |z|^2}$$

holds for every $|z| < \zeta_{\kappa,\delta,1}$. Therefore, from (2.4) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zf'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{f_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} \right) &= \operatorname{Re} (e^{-i\gamma}) - \frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \right) \\ &\geq \cos \gamma - \frac{1}{\delta} \left| e^{-i\gamma} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \right| \geq \cos \gamma - \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2|z|^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - |z|^2} \\ &= \frac{|z|f_{\kappa,\delta}(|z|)}{f_{\kappa,\delta}(|z|)} + \cos \gamma - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Equality in the each of the above inequalities (2.9) holds when $z = r$. Thus, for $r \in (0, \zeta_{\kappa,\delta,1})$ it follows that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}_r} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zf'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{f_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} - \alpha \cos \gamma \right) \right\} = \frac{|z|f'_{\kappa,\delta}(|z|)}{f_{\kappa,\delta}(|z|)} + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1.$$

Now, the mapping $\Theta : (0, \zeta_{\kappa,\delta,1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Theta(r) = \frac{rf'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{f_{\kappa,\delta}(r)} + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1 = (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - \frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - r^2} \right).$$

is strictly decreasing since

$$\Theta'(r) = -\frac{1}{\delta} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{4r\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}}{(\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - r^2)^2} \right) < 0$$

for all $\delta > 0$. On the other hand, since

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} \Theta(r) = (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \nearrow \zeta_{\kappa,\delta,1}} \Theta(r) = -\infty,$$

in view of the minimum principle for harmonic functions imply that the corresponding inequality for $f_{\kappa,\delta}$ in (2) for $\delta > 0$ holds if and only if $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(f_{\kappa,\delta})}$, where $r_{sp}(f_{\kappa,\delta})$ is the smallest positive root of equation

$$\frac{r f'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{f_{\kappa,\delta}(r)} = 1 - (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \frac{z W'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{W_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} = -(1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma,$$

situated in $(0, \zeta_{\kappa,\delta,1})$. Reasoning along the same lines, proofs of the other parts follows. \square

Remark 1 Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 1 yields [7, Theorem 1].

In the following, we deal with convex analogue of the class of γ -spirallike functions of order α .

Theorem 2 Let $\kappa, \delta > 0$ and the functions $f_{\kappa,\delta}$, $g_{\kappa,\delta}$ and $h_{\kappa,\delta}$ as given in (2.3). Then

(i) the radius $R_{sp}^c(f_{\kappa,\delta})$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$\frac{r \Psi''_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{\Psi'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1 \right) \frac{r \Psi'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{\Psi_{\kappa,\delta}(r)} + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma = 0.$$

(ii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(g_{\kappa,\delta})$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r g''_{\kappa,\delta}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma g'_{\kappa,\delta}(r) = 0.$$

(iii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(h_{\kappa,\delta})$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r h''_{\kappa,\delta}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma h'_{\kappa,\delta}(r) = 0.$$

Proof We first prove the part (i). From (2.1), (2.3) and using the Hadamard representation $\Gamma(\delta) \Psi'_{\kappa,\delta}(z) = \delta z^{\delta-1} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2} \right)$, (see [7, Eq. 7]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{z f''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} &= 1 + \frac{z \Psi''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{\Psi'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1 \right) \frac{z \Psi'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{\Psi_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} - \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \end{aligned}$$

and for $\delta > 1$, using the following inequality of [11]:

$$\left| \frac{z}{y-z} - \lambda \frac{z}{x-z} \right| \leq \frac{|z|}{y-|z|} - \lambda \frac{|z|}{x-|z|}, \quad (x > y > r \geq |z|) \quad (2.8)$$

with $\lambda = 1 - 1/\delta$, we get

$$\left| \frac{zf''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} \right| \leq -\frac{rf''_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)} = -\frac{r\Psi''_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{\Psi'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)} - \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1\right) \frac{r\Psi'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{\Psi_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}.$$

Also, using the inequality $\|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ and the relation in (2.2), we see that for $\delta > 0$

$$\left| \frac{zf''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} \right| \leq -\frac{rf''_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)},$$

holds in $|z| = r < \check{\zeta}_{\kappa,\delta,1}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{zf''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} \right) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re}(e^{-i\gamma}) - \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\check{\zeta}_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1\right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \cos \gamma - \left| \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\check{\zeta}_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} - 1\right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\zeta_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \right| \\ &\geq \cos \gamma + \frac{rf''_{\kappa,\delta}(r)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(r)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

hold for $\delta > 1$. Observe that these inequalities also hold for $\delta > 0$. Equality in the each of the above inequalities (2.9) holds when $z = r$. Thus, for $r \in (0, \check{\zeta}_{\kappa,\delta,1})$ it follows that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}_r} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{zf''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} \right) - \alpha \cos \gamma \right) \right\} = (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma + \frac{|z| f''_{\kappa,\delta}(|z|)}{f'_{\kappa,\delta}(|z|)}.$$

Now, the proof of part (i) follows on similar lines as of Theorem 1.

For the other parts, note that the functions $g_{\kappa,\delta}$ and $h_{\kappa,\delta}$ belong to the Laguerre-Pólya class \mathcal{LP} , which is closed under differentiation, their derivatives $g'_{\kappa,\delta}$ and $h'_{\kappa,\delta}$ also belong to \mathcal{LP} and the zeros are real. Thus assuming $\tau_{\kappa,\delta,n}$ and $\eta_{\kappa,\delta,n}$ are the positive zeros of $g'_{\kappa,\delta}$ and $h'_{\kappa,\delta}$, respectively, we have the following representations:

$$g'_{\kappa,\delta}(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\tau_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad h'_{\kappa,\delta}(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\eta_{\kappa,\delta,n}} \right),$$

which yield

$$1 + \frac{zg''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{g'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\tau_{\kappa,\delta,n}^2 - z^2} \quad \text{and} \quad 1 + \frac{zh''_{\kappa,\delta}(z)}{h'_{\kappa,\delta}(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z}{\eta_{\kappa,\delta,n} - z}.$$

Further, reasoning along the same lines as in Theorem 1, the result follows at once. \square

Remark 2 Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 2 yields [7, Theorem 5].

3 Mittag-Leffler functions

In 1971, Prabhakar [21] introduced the following function

$$M(\mu, \nu, a, z) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(a)_n z^n}{n! \Gamma(\mu n + \nu)},$$

where $(a)_n = \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol and $\mu, \nu, a > 0$. The functions $M(\mu, \nu, 1, z)$ and $M(\mu, 1, 1, z)$ were introduced and studied by Wiman and Mittag-Leffler, respectively. Now let us consider the set $W_b = A(W_c) \cup B(W_c)$, where

$$W_c := \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu \right) : 1 < \mu < 2, \nu \in [\mu - 1, 1] \cup [\mu, 2] \right\}$$

and denote by W_i , the smallest set containing W_b and invariant under the transformations A, B and C mapping the set $\{(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu) : \mu > 1, \nu > 0\}$ into itself and are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} A : \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu\right) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2\mu}, \nu\right), & B : \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu\right) &\rightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2\mu}, \mu + \nu\right), \\ C : \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu\right) &\rightarrow \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu - 1\right), & \text{if } \nu > 1; \\ \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu\right), & \text{if } 0 < \nu \leq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Kumar and Pathan [17] proved that if $(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu) \in W_i$ and $a > 0$, then all zeros of $M(\mu, \nu, a, z)$ are real and negative. From [5, Lemma 1, p. 121], we see that if $(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu) \in W_i$ and $a > 0$, then the function $M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2)$ has infinitely many zeros, which are all real and have the following representation:

$$\Gamma(\nu)M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, n}^2} \right),$$

where $\lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, n}$ is the n -th positive zero of $M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2)$ and satisfy the interlacing relation

$$\xi_{\mu, \nu, a, n} < \lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, n} < \xi_{\mu, \nu, a, n+1} < \lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, n+1} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

where $\xi_{\mu, \nu, a, n}$ is the n -th positive zero of the derivative of $z^\nu M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2)$. Since $M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2) \notin \mathcal{A}$, therefore we consider the following normalized forms (belong to the Laguerre-Pólya class):

$$\begin{cases} f_{\mu, \nu, a}(z) = [z^\nu \Gamma(\nu)M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2)]^{1/\nu}, \\ g_{\mu, \nu, a}(z) = z \Gamma(\nu)M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2) \\ h_{\mu, \nu, a}(z) = z \Gamma(\nu)M(\mu, \nu, a, -z). \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

For brevity, write $L_{\mu, \nu, a}(z) := M(\mu, \nu, a, -z^2)$. Now proceeding similarly as in Section 2, we obtain the following results:

Theorem 3 Let $(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu) \in W_i$, $a > 0$. Then the radius of γ -Spirallikeness of order α for the functions $f_{\mu,\nu,a}$, $g_{\mu,\nu,a}$ and $h_{\mu,\nu,a}$ given by (3.1) are the smallest positive roots of the following equations:

- (i) $rL'_{\mu,\nu,a}(r) + \delta(1-\alpha)\cos\gamma L_{\mu,\nu,a}(r) = 0$
- (ii) $rL'_{\mu,\nu,a}(r) + (1-\alpha)\cos\gamma L_{\mu,\nu,a}(r) = 0$
- (iii) $\sqrt{r}L'_{\mu,\nu,a}(\sqrt{r}) + 2(1-\alpha)\cos\gamma L'_{\mu,\nu,a}(\sqrt{r}) = 0$

in $|z| < (0, \lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,1})$, $(0, \lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,1})$ and $(0, \lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,1}^2)$, respectively.

Proof Using (3.1), we obtain after the logarithmic differentiation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{zf'_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)}{f_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - z^2} \\ \frac{zg'_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)}{g_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - z^2} \\ \frac{zh'_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)}{h_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - z} \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

We need to show that the following inequalities for $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\gamma \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zf'_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)}{f_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma, \quad \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zg'_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)}{g_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zh'_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)}{h_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)} \right) > \alpha \cos \gamma$$

are valid for $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(f_{\mu,\nu,a})}$, $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(g_{\mu,\nu,a})}$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(h_{\mu,\nu,a})}$ respectively, and each of the above inequalities does not hold in larger disks. Since using (2.6)

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - z^2} \right) \leq \left| \frac{z^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - z^2} \right| \leq \frac{|z|^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - |z|^2} \quad (3.4)$$

holds for every $|z| < \lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,1}$. Therefore, from (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{zf'_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)}{f_{\mu,\nu,a}(z)} \right) &= \operatorname{Re} (e^{-i\gamma}) - \frac{1}{\nu} \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - z^2} \right) \\ &\geq \cos \gamma - \frac{1}{\nu} \left| e^{-i\gamma} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - z^2} \right| \\ &\geq \cos \gamma - \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2|z|^2}{\lambda_{\mu,\nu,a,n}^2 - |z|^2} \\ &= \frac{|z|f'_{\mu,\nu,a}(|z|)}{f_{\mu,\nu,a}(|z|)} + \cos \gamma - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Equality in the each of the above inequalities (3.5) holds when $z = r$. Thus, for $r \in (0, \lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, 1})$ it follows that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{D}_r} \left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{z f'_{\mu, \nu, a}(z)}{f_{\mu, \nu, a}(z)} - \alpha \cos \gamma \right) \right\} = \frac{|z| f'_{\mu, \nu, a}(|z|)}{f_{\mu, \nu, a}(|z|)} + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1.$$

Now, the mapping $\Theta : (0, \lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, 1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\Theta(r) = \frac{r f'_{\mu, \nu, a}(r)}{f_{\mu, \nu, a}(r)} + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1 = (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{2r^2}{\lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, n}^2 - r^2} \right).$$

is strictly decreasing since

$$\Theta'(r) = -\frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{4r \lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, n}}{(\lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, n}^2 - r^2)^2} \right) < 0$$

for all $\nu > 0$. On the other hand, since

$$\lim_{r \searrow 0} \Theta(r) = (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \nearrow \lambda_{\mu, \nu, a, 1}} \Theta(r) = -\infty,$$

in view of the minimum principle for harmonic functions imply that the corresponding inequality for $f_{\mu, \nu, a}$ in (3.3) for $\nu > 0$ holds if and only if $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{sp}(f_{\mu, \nu, a})}$, where $r_{sp}(f_{\mu, \nu, a})$ is the smallest positive root of equation

$$\frac{r f'_{\mu, \nu, a}(r)}{f_{\mu, \nu, a}(r)} = 1 - (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\nu} \frac{z L'_{\mu, \nu, a}(z)}{L_{\mu, \nu, a}(z)} = -(1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma,$$

situated in $(0, \lambda_{\mu, \nu, 1})$. Reasoning along the same lines, proofs of the other parts follows. \square

Remark 3 Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 3 yields [5, Theorem 1].

In the following, we derive the result for the convex analog proceedings on similar lines as Theorem 2.

Theorem 4 Let $(\frac{1}{\mu}, \nu) \in W_i$, $a > 0$. Let the functions $f_{\mu, \nu, a}$, $g_{\mu, \nu, a}$ and $h_{\mu, \nu, a}$ be given by (3.1). Then

(i) the radius $R_{sp}^c(f_{\mu, \nu, a})$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r f''_{\mu, \nu, a}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma f'_{\mu, \nu, a}(r) = 0.$$

(ii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(g_{\mu, \nu, a})$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r g''_{\mu, \nu, a}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma g'_{\mu, \nu, a}(r) = 0.$$

(iii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(h_{\mu, \nu, a})$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r h''_{\mu, \nu, a}(r) - (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma h'_{\mu, \nu, a}(r) = 0.$$

Remark 4 Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 4 yields [5, Theorem 3].

4 Legendre polynomials

The Legendre polynomials P_n are the solutions of the Legendre differential equation

$$((1 - z^2)P_n'(z))' + n(n + 1)P_n(z) = 0,$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and using Rodrigues formula, P_n can be represented in the form:

$$P_n(z) = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \frac{d^n (z^2 - 1)^n}{dz^n}$$

and it also satisfies the geometric condition $P_n(-z) = (-1)^n P_n(z)$. Moreover, the odd degree Legendre polynomials $P_{2n-1}(z)$ have only real roots which satisfy

$$0 = z_0 < z_1 < \cdots < z_{n-1} \quad \text{or} \quad -z_1 > \cdots > -z_{n-1}. \quad (4.1)$$

Thus the normalized form is as follows:

$$\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(z) := \frac{P_{2n-1}(z)}{P'_{2n-1}(0)} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{2n-1} a_k z^k = a_{2n-1} z \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} (z^2 - z_k^2). \quad (4.2)$$

Theorem 5 *Let \mathcal{P}_{2n-1} be given by (4.2). Then*

(i) *the radius $R_{sp}^c(\mathcal{P}_{2n-1})$ is the smallest positive root of the equation*

$$r\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}''(r) + (1 - \alpha)\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}'(r) = 0.$$

(ii) *the radius of γ -Spirallikeness of order α for the normalized Legendre polynomial of odd degree is given by the smallest positive root of the equation*

$$r\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}'(r) + (1 - \alpha)\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(r) = 0.$$

Proof We prove first part and second part follows on same lines. From (4.2), upon the logarithmic differentiation, we have

$$1 + \frac{z\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}''(z)}{\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}'(z)} = \frac{z\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}'(z)}{\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(z)} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{4z_k^2 z^2}{(z_k^2 - z^2)^2}}{\frac{z\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}'(z)}{\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(z)}},$$

where

$$\frac{z\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}'(z)}{\mathcal{P}_{2n-1}(z)} = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2z^2}{z_k^2 - z^2}.$$

Further, after using the inequality $||x| - |y|| \leq |x - y|$ and (4.1) for $|z| = r < z_1$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \left(1 + \frac{z\mathcal{P}''_{2n-1}(z)}{\mathcal{P}'_{2n-1}(z)} \right) \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} (e^{-i\gamma}) - \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2z^2}{z_k^2 - z^2} \right) - \operatorname{Re} \left(e^{-i\gamma} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{4z_k^2 z^2}{(z_k^2 - z^2)^2}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2z^2}{z_k^2 - z^2}} \right) \\ &\geq \cos \gamma - \left| e^{-i\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2z^2}{z_k^2 - z^2} \right| - \left| e^{-i\gamma} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{4z_k^2 z^2}{(z_k^2 - z^2)^2}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2z^2}{z_k^2 - z^2}} \right| \\ &= \cos \gamma - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2r^2}{z_k^2 - r^2} - \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{4z_k^2 r^2}{(z_k^2 - r^2)^2}}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{2r^2}{z_k^2 - r^2}} = \cos \gamma + \frac{r\mathcal{P}''_{2n-1}(r)}{\mathcal{P}'_{2n-1}(r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, with similar reasoning as Theorem 3, result follows. □

Remark 5 Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 5 yields [9, Theorem 2.2] and [9, Theorem 2.1].

5 Lommel functions

The Lommel function $\mathcal{L}_{u,v}$ of first kind is a particular solution of the second-order inhomogeneous Bessel differential equation

$$z^2 w''(z) + zw'(z) + (z^2 - v^2)w(z) = z^{u+1},$$

where $u \pm v \notin \mathbb{Z}^-$ and is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{u,v} = \frac{z^{u+1}}{(u-v+1)(u+v+1)} {}_1F_2 \left(1; \frac{u-v+3}{2}, \frac{u+v+3}{2}; -\frac{z^2}{4} \right),$$

where $\frac{1}{2}(-u \pm v - 3) \notin \mathbb{N}$ and ${}_1F_2$ is a hypergeometric function. Since it is not normalized, therefore we consider the following three normalized functions involving $\mathcal{L}_{u,v}$:

$$\begin{cases} f_{u,v}(z) = ((u-v+1)(u+v+1)\mathcal{L}_{u,v}(z))^{\frac{1}{u+1}}, \\ g_{u,v}(z) = (u-v+1)(u+v+1)z^{-u}\mathcal{L}_{u,v}(z), \\ h_{u,v}(z) = (u-v+1)(u+v+1)z^{(1-u)/2}\mathcal{L}_{u,v}(\sqrt{z}). \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

Authors in [1,2] and [8] proved the radius of starlikeness and convexity for the following normalized functions expressed in terms of $\mathcal{L}_{u-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}$:

$$f_{u-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(z), \quad g_{u-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(z) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{u-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}}(z), \quad (5.2)$$

where $0 \neq u \in (-1, 1)$.

For brevity, we write these as f_u, g_u and h_u , respectively and $\mathcal{L}_{u-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2}} = \mathcal{L}_u$.

Theorem 6 Let $u \in (-1, 1)$, $u \neq 0$. Let the functions f_u, g_u and h_u be given by (5.2). Then

(i) the radius $R_{sp}^c(f_u)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r f_u''(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma f_u'(r) = 0, \quad \text{if } u \neq -1/2.$$

(ii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(g_u)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r g_u''(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma g_u'(r) = 0.$$

(iii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(h_u)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$r h_u''(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma h_u'(r) = 0.$$

Proof We begin with the first part. From (5.1), we have

$$1 + \frac{z f_u''(z)}{f_u'(z)} = 1 + \frac{z \mathcal{L}_u''(z)}{\mathcal{L}_u'(z)} + \left(\frac{1}{u + \frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right) \frac{z \mathcal{L}_u'(z)}{\mathcal{L}_u(z)}. \quad (5.3)$$

Also using the result [8, Lemma 1], we have

$$\mathcal{L}_u(z) = \frac{z^{u+\frac{1}{2}}}{u(u+1)} \Phi_0(z) = \frac{z^{u+\frac{1}{2}}}{u(u+1)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\tau_{u,n}^2} \right),$$

where $\Phi_k(z) := {}_1F_2 \left(1; \frac{u-k+2}{2}, \frac{u-k+3}{2}; -\frac{z^2}{4} \right)$ with conditions as mentioned in [8, Lemma 1], and from the proof of [8, Theorem 3], we see that the entire function $\frac{u(u+1)}{u+\frac{1}{2}} z^{-u+\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{L}_u'(z)$ is of order $1/2$ and therefore, has the following Hadamard factorization:

$$\mathcal{L}_u'(z) = \frac{u + \frac{1}{2}}{u(u+1)} z^{u-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\check{\tau}_{u,n}^2} \right),$$

where $\tau_{u,n}$ and $\check{\tau}_{u,n}$ are the n -th positive zeros of \mathcal{L}_u and \mathcal{L}_u' , respectively and interlace for $0 \neq u \in (-1, 1)$ (see [8, Theorem 1]). Now we can rewrite (5.3) as follows:

$$1 + \frac{z f_u''(z)}{f_u'(z)} = 1 - \left(\frac{1}{u + \frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\tau_{u,n}^2 - z^2} - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\check{\tau}_{u,n}^2 - z^2}.$$

Let us now consider the case $u \in (0, 1/2]$. Then using the inequality $\|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ for $|z| = r < \check{\tau}_{u,1} < \tau_{u,1}$ we get

$$\left| \frac{z f_u''(z)}{f_u'(z)} \right| \leq \left(\frac{1}{u + \frac{1}{2}} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2r^2}{\tau_{u,n}^2 - r^2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2r^2}{\check{\tau}_{u,n}^2 - r^2} = -\frac{r f_u''(r)}{f_u'(r)} \quad (5.4)$$

and for the case $u \in (1/2, 1)$, using the inequality (2.8) with $\lambda = 1 - 1/(u+1/2)$, we also get

$$\left| \frac{z f_u''(z)}{f_u'(z)} \right| \leq -\frac{r f_u''(r)}{f_u'(r)}, \quad (5.5)$$

which is same as (5.4). When $u \in (-1, 0)$, then we proceed similarly substituting u by $u - 1$, Φ_0 by Φ_1 , where Φ_1 belongs to the Laguerre-Pólya class \mathcal{LP} and the n -th positive zeros $\xi_{u,n}$ and $\xi_{u,n}$ of Φ_1 and its derivative Φ_1' , respectively interlace. Finally, replacing u by $u + 1$, we obtain the required inequality.

For $0 \neq u \in (-1, 1)$, the Hadamard factorization for the entire functions g'_u and h'_u of order $1/2$ [8, Theorem 3] is given by

$$g'_u(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\gamma_{u,n}^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad h'_u(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\delta_{u,n}^2}\right), \quad (5.6)$$

where $\gamma_{u,n}$ and $\delta_{u,n}$ are n -th positive zeros of g'_u and h'_u , respectively and $\gamma_{u,1}, \delta_{u,1} < \tau_{u,1}$. Now from (5.1) and (5.6), we have

$$\begin{cases} 1 + \frac{zg'_u(z)}{g'_u(z)} = \frac{1}{2} - u + z \frac{\left(\frac{3}{2} - u\right)\mathcal{L}'_u(z) + z\mathcal{L}''_u(z)}{\left(\frac{1}{2} - u\right)\mathcal{L}_u(z) + z\mathcal{L}'_u(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\gamma_{u,n}^2 - z^2} \\ 1 + \frac{zh'_u(z)}{h'_u(z)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2} - u + \sqrt{z} \frac{\left(\frac{5}{2} - u\right)\mathcal{L}'_u(\sqrt{z}) + \sqrt{z}\mathcal{L}''_u(\sqrt{z})}{\left(\frac{3}{2} - u\right)\mathcal{L}_u(\sqrt{z}) + \sqrt{z}\mathcal{L}'_u(\sqrt{z})} \right) = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z}{\delta_{u,n}^2 - z}. \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

Using the inequality $\|x\| - \|y\| \leq \|x - y\|$ in (5.7) for $|z| = r < \gamma_{u,1}$ and $|z| = r < \delta_{u,1}$, we get

$$\begin{cases} \left| \frac{zg'_u(z)}{g'_u(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2r^2}{\gamma_{u,n}^2 - r^2} = -\frac{rg'_u(r)}{g'_u(r)} \\ \left| \frac{zh'_u(z)}{h'_u(z)} \right| \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{r}{\delta_{u,n}^2 - r} = -\frac{rh'_u(r)}{h'_u(r)}. \end{cases} \quad (5.8)$$

Further, proceeding with the similar method as in Theorem 1, result follows. \square

With similar reasoning as Theorem 1, the proof of the following holds.

Theorem 7 *Let $u \in (-1, 1)$, $u \neq 0$. Then the radius of γ -Spirallikeness of order α for the functions Let the functions f_u, g_u and h_u given by (5.2) are the smallest positive roots of the following equations:*

- (i) $rf'_u(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)f_u(r) = 0$
- (ii) $rg'_u(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)g_u(r) = 0$
- (iii) $rh'_u(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)h_u(r) = 0$

in $(0, \tau_{u,1})$, $(0, \tau_{u,1})$ and $(0, \tau_{u,1}^2)$, respectively.

Remark 6 Taking $\gamma = 0$, Theorem 7 reduces to [8, Theorem 3].

6 Struve functions

The Struve function \mathbf{H}_β of first kind is a particular solution of the second-order inhomogeneous Bessel differential equation

$$z^2 w''(z) + zw'(z) + (z^2 - \beta^2)w(z) = \frac{4 \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\beta+1}}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right)}$$

and have the following form:

$$\mathbf{H}_\beta(z) := \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{\beta+1}}{\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4}}\Gamma\left(\beta + \frac{1}{2}\right)} {}_1F_2\left(1; \frac{3}{2}, \beta + \frac{3}{2}; -\frac{z^2}{4}\right),$$

where $-\beta - \frac{3}{2} \notin \mathbb{N}$ and ${}_1F_2$ is a hypergeometric function. Since it is not normalized, therefore we take the normalized functions:

$$\begin{cases} U_\beta(z) = (\sqrt{\pi}2^\beta (\beta + \frac{3}{2}) \mathbf{H}_\beta(z))^{\frac{1}{\beta+1}}, \\ V_\beta(z) = \sqrt{\pi}2^\beta z^{-\beta} \Gamma\left(\beta + \frac{3}{2}\right) \mathbf{H}_\beta(z), \\ W_\beta(z) = \sqrt{\pi}2^\beta z^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} \Gamma\left(\beta + \frac{3}{2}\right) \mathbf{H}_\beta(\sqrt{z}). \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

Moreover, for $|\beta| \leq 1/2$, \mathbf{H}_β (see [4, Lemma 1]) and \mathbf{H}'_β have the Hadamard factorizations [8, Theorem 4] given by

$$\mathbf{H}_\beta(z) = \frac{z^{\beta+1}}{\sqrt{\pi}2^\beta \Gamma\left(\beta + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{z_{\beta,n}^2}\right)$$

and

$$\mathbf{H}'_\beta(z) = \frac{(\beta+1)z^\beta}{\sqrt{\pi}2^\beta \Gamma\left(\beta + \frac{3}{2}\right)} \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{z_{\beta,n}^2}\right) \quad (6.2)$$

where $z_{\beta,n}$ and $\check{z}_{\beta,n}$ are the n -th positive zeros of \mathbf{H}_β and \mathbf{H}'_β , respectively and interlace [8, Theorem 2]. Thus from (6.2) with logarithmic differentiation, we obtain respectively

$$\frac{z\mathbf{H}'_\beta(z)}{\mathbf{H}_\beta(z)} = (\beta+1) - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{z_{\beta,n}^2 - z^2}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{z\mathbf{H}''_\beta(z)}{\mathbf{H}'_\beta(z)} = (\beta+1) - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{z_{\beta,n}^2 - z^2}. \quad (6.3)$$

Also for $|\beta| \leq 1/2$, the Hadamard factorization for the entire functions V'_β and W'_β of order $1/2$ [8, Theorem 4] is given by

$$V'_\beta(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\eta_{\beta,n}^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad W'_\beta(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z}{\sigma_{\beta,n}^2}\right), \quad (6.4)$$

where $\eta_{\beta,n}$ and $\sigma_{\beta,n}$ are n -th positive zeros of V'_β and W'_β , respectively. V'_β and W'_β belong to the Laguerre-Pólya class and zeros satisfy $\eta_{\beta,1}, \sigma_{\beta,1} < z_{\beta,1}$. Now proceeding as in Theorem 6 using (6.1), (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4), we obtain the following results:

Theorem 8 *Let $|\beta| \leq 1/2$. Then the radii of γ -Spirallikeness of order α for the functions U_β, V_β and W_β given by (6.1) are the smallest positive roots of the following equations:*

- (i) $rU'_\beta(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)U_\beta(r) = 0$
- (ii) $rV'_\beta(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)V_\beta(r) = 0$
- (iii) $rW'_\beta(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)W_\beta(r) = 0$

in $(0, z_{\beta,1})$, $(0, z_{\beta,1})$ and $(0, z_{\beta,1}^2)$, respectively.

Remark 7 Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 8 gives [2, Theorem 2].

Theorem 9 Let $|\beta| \leq 1/2$. Let the functions U_β, V_β and W_β be given by (6.1). Then

- (i) the radius $R_{sp}^c(U_\beta)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$rU''_\beta(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma U'_\beta(r) = 0.$$

- (ii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(V_\beta)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$rV''_\beta(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma V'_\beta(r) = 0.$$

- (iii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(W_\beta)$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$rW''_\beta(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma W'_\beta(r) = 0.$$

Remark 8 Taking $\gamma = 0$ in Theorem 9 gives [8, Theorem 4].

7 On Ramanujan type entire functions

Ismail and Zhang [14] defined the following entire function of growth order zero for $\beta > 0$, called Ramanujan type entire function

$$A_p^{(\beta)}(c, z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(c; p)_n p^{\beta n^2}}{(p; p)_n} z^n,$$

where $\beta > 0$, $0 < p < 1$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $(c; p)_0 = 1$ and $(c; p)_k = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - cp^j)$ for $k \geq 1$, which is the generalization of both the Ramanujan entire function $A_p(z)$ and Stieltjes-Wigert polynomial $S_n(z; p)$ defined as (see [15, 22]):

$$A_p(-z) = A_p^{(1)}(0, z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{n^2}}{(p; p)_n} z^n$$

and

$$A_p^{(1/2)}(p^{-n}, z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p^{-n}; p)_m p^{m^2/2}}{(p; p)_m} z^m = (p; p)_n S_n(z p^{(1/2)-n}; p).$$

Since $A_p^{(\beta)}(c, z) \notin \mathcal{A}$, therefore consider the following three normalized functions in \mathcal{A} :

$$\begin{cases} f_{\beta,p,c}(z) := \left(z^\beta A_p^{(\beta)}(-c, -z^2) \right)^{1/\beta} \\ g_{\beta,p,c}(z) := z A_p^{(\beta)}(-c, -z^2) \\ h_{\beta,p,c}(z) := z A_p^{(\beta)}(-c, -z), \end{cases} \quad (7.1)$$

where $\beta > 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. From [10, Lemma 2.1, p. 4-5], we see that the function

$$z \rightarrow \Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z) := A_p^{(\beta)}(-c, -z^2)$$

has infinitely many zeros (all are positive) for $\beta > 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. Let $\psi_{\beta,p,n}(c)$ be the n -th positive zero of $\Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z)$. Then it has the following Weiersstrass decomposition:

$$\Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z) = \prod_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{z^2}{\psi_{\beta,p,n}^2(c)} \right). \quad (7.2)$$

Moreover, the n -th positive zero $\Xi_{\beta,p,n}(c)$ of the derivative of the following function

$$\Phi_{\beta,p,c}(z) := z^\beta \Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z) \quad (7.3)$$

interlace with $\psi_{\beta,p,n}(c)$ and satisfy the relation

$$\Xi_{\beta,p,n}(c) < \psi_{\beta,p,n}(c) < \Xi_{\beta,p,n+1}(c) < \psi_{\beta,p,n+1}(c)$$

for $n \geq 1$. Now using (7.1) and (7.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z f'_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{f_{\beta,p,c}(z)} &= 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{z \Psi'_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{\Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\psi_{\beta,p,n}^2(c) - z^2}; \quad (c > 0) \\ \frac{z g'_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{g_{\beta,p,c}(z)} &= 1 + \frac{z \Psi'_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{\Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z)} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\psi_{\beta,p,n}^2(c) - z^2}; \\ \frac{z h'_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{h_{\beta,p,c}(z)} &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{z} \Psi'_{\beta,p,c}(\sqrt{z})}{\Psi_{\beta,p,c}(\sqrt{z})} = 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z}{\psi_{\beta,p,n}^2(c) - z}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta > 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. Also, using (7.3) and the infinite product representation of Φ' [10, p. 14-15, Also see Eq. 4.6], we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{z f''_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{f'_{\beta,p,c}(z)} &= 1 + \frac{z \Phi''_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{\Phi'_{\beta,p,c}(z)} + \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1 \right) \frac{z \Phi'_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{\Phi_{\beta,p,c}(z)} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\Xi_{\beta,p,n}^2(c) - z^2} - \left(\frac{1}{\beta} - 1 \right) \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\psi_{\beta,p,n}^2(c) - z^2}. \end{aligned}$$

As $(z \Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z))'$ and $h'_{\beta,p,c}(z)$ belong to \mathcal{LP} . So suppose $\gamma_{\beta,p,n}(c)$ be the positive zeros of $g'_{\beta,p,c}(z)$ (growth order is same as $\Psi_{\beta,p,c}(z)$) and $\delta_{\beta,p,n}(c)$ be the positive zeros of $h'_{\beta,p,c}(z)$. Thus using their infinite product representations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{z g''_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{g'_{\beta,p,c}(z)} &= 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{2z^2}{\gamma_{\beta,p,n}^2(c) - z^2} \\ 1 + \frac{z h''_{\beta,p,c}(z)}{h'_{\beta,p,c}(z)} &= 1 - \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{z}{\delta_{\beta,p,n}^2(c) - z}. \end{aligned}$$

Now proceeding similarly as done in the above sections, we obtain the following results:

Theorem 10 Let $\beta > 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. Then the radii of γ -Spirallikeness of order α for the functions $f_{\beta,p,c}(z)$, $g_{\beta,p,c}(z)$ and $h_{\beta,p,c}(z)$ given by (7.1) are the smallest positive roots of the following equations:

- (i) $rf'_{\beta,p,c}(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)f_{\beta,p,c}(r) = 0$
- (ii) $rg'_{\beta,p,c}(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)g_{\beta,p,c}(r) = 0$
- (iii) $rh'_{\beta,p,c}(r) + ((1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma - 1)h_{\beta,p,c}(r) = 0$

in $(0, \psi_{\beta,p,1}(c))$, $(0, \psi_{\beta,p,1}(c))$ and $(0, \psi_{\beta,p,1}^2(c))$, respectively.

We now conclude this section with the convex analog of Theorem 10.

Theorem 11 Let $\beta > 0$, $c \geq 0$ and $0 < p < 1$. Let the functions $f_{\beta,p,c}(z)$, $g_{\beta,p,c}(z)$ and $h_{\beta,p,c}(z)$ be given by (7.1). Then

- (i) the radius $R_{sp}^c(f_{\beta,p,c}(z))$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$rf''_{\beta,p,c}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma f'_{\beta,p,c}(r) = 0.$$

- (ii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(g_{\beta,p,c}(z))$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$rg''_{\beta,p,c}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma g'_{\beta,p,c}(r) = 0.$$

- (iii) the radius $R_{sp}^c(h_{\beta,p,c}(z))$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$rh''_{\beta,p,c}(r) + (1 - \alpha) \cos \gamma h'_{\beta,p,c}(r) = 0.$$

Statements and Declarations

- **Conflict of interest:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest
- **Availability of data and materials :** None
- **Authors' contributions :** All authors contributed Equally.

References

1. Aktaş, İ. Baricz, Á. and Orhan, H.: Bounds for radii of starlikeness and convexity of some special functions. *Turkish J. Math.* **42**, 211–226 (2018) doi: 10.3906/mat-1610-41
2. Baricz, Á. Dimitrov, D.K., Orhan, H. and Yağmur, N.: Radii of starlikeness of some special functions. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **144**, 3355–3367 (2016). doi: 10.1090/proc/13120
3. Baricz, Á. Kupán, P.A. and Szász, R.: The radius of starlikeness of normalized Bessel functions of the first kind. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **142**, 2019–2025 (2014). doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-11902-2
4. Baricz, Á. Ponnusamy, S. and Singh, S.: Turán type inequalities for Struve functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **445**, 971–984 (2017). doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.08.026
5. Baricz, Á. and Prajapati, A.: Radii of starlikeness and convexity of generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. *Math. Commun.* **25**, 117–135 (2020).
6. Baricz, Á. and Szász, R.: The radius of convexity of normalized Bessel functions. *Anal. Math.* **41**, 141–151 (2015). doi: 10.1007/s10476-015-0202-6
7. Baricz, Á. Toklu, E. and Kadioğlu, E.: Radii of starlikeness and convexity of Wright functions. *Math. Commun.* **23**, 97–117 (2018).

8. Baricz, Á. and Yağmur, N.: Geometric properties of some Lommel and Struve functions. *Ramanujan J.* **42**, 325–346 (2017). doi: 10.1007/s11139-015-9724-6
9. Bulut, S. and Engel, O.: The radius of starlikeness, convexity and uniform convexity of the Legendre polynomials of odd degree. *Results Math.* **74**, Paper No. 48, 9 pp (2019). doi: 10.1007/s00025-019-0975-1
10. Deniz, E.: Geometric and monotonic properties of Ramanujan type entire functions. *Ramanujan J.* **55**, 103–130 (2020). doi: 10.1007/s11139-020-00267-w
11. Deniz, E. and Szász, R.: The radius of uniform convexity of Bessel functions. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **453**, 572–588 (2017). doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.03.079
12. Dimitrov, D.K. and Ben Cheikh, Y.: Laguerre polynomials as Jensen polynomials of Laguerre-Pólya entire functions. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **233**, 703–707 (2009). doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2009.02.039
13. Gangania, K. and Kumar, S.S.: $S^*(\psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}(\psi)$ -radii for some special functions. *Iran. J. Sci. Technol. Trans. A Sci.* **46**, 955–966 (2022).
14. Ismail, M.E.H. and Zhang, R.: q -Bessel functions and Rogers-Ramanujan type identities. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **146**, 3633–3646 (2018). doi: 10.1090/proc/13078
15. Ismail, M.E.H.: Classical and quantum orthogonal polynomials in one variable. *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, 98, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
16. Kumar, S.S. and Gangania, K.: Subordination and radius problems for certain starlike functions. arXiv:2007.07816 (2020)
17. Kumar H and Pathan A.M. On the distribution of non-zero zeros of generalized Mittag-Leffler functions. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Application*, **6**, 66–71 (2016).
18. Ya. Levin B. *Lectures on Entire Functions*. Translation of Mathematics Monographs, American Mathematical Society, Providence 150 (1996).
19. Ma, W.C. and Minda, D.: A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions. *Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis, Tianjin, Conf Proc Lecture Notes Anal*, I Int Press. Cambridge, MA. 157–169 (1992).
20. Pfaltzgraff, J.A.: Univalence of the integral of $f'(z)^\lambda$. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **7**, no. 3, 254–256 (1975).
21. Prabhakar, T.R.: A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag Leffler function in the kernel. *Yokohama Math. J.* **19**: 7–15 (1971).
22. Ramanujan, S.: *The lost notebook and other unpublished papers*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988.
23. Robertson, M.S.: Univalent functions $f(z)$ for which $zf'(z)$ is spirallike. *Michigan Math. J.* **16**, 97–101 (1969).
24. Spacek, L.: Contribution á la théorie des fonctions univalentes, *Casop Pest. Mat.-Fys.* **62**, 12-19 (1933).
25. Kazımođlu, S. and Deniz, E.: Radius Problems for Functions Containing Derivatives of Bessel Functions. *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40315-022-00455-3>
26. Watson, G.N. : *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*, Cambridge University Press, 1944.