

LAGRANGIAN FIBRATIONS FROM FANO VARIETIES OF CUBIC FOURFOLDS

COREY BROOKE

ABSTRACT. We show that for a general cubic fourfold X containing a plane P , the Mukai flop of its Fano variety of lines F along the dual plane P^* admits a map to \mathbb{P}^2 fibered in geometrically abelian surfaces. The explicit construction of this fibration affords an analysis of the torsor structure of the smooth fibers when the ground field k is not closed.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a smooth hypersurface of degree three, called a *cubic fourfold*. The variety $F \subset Gr(2, 6)$ parametrizing lines contained in X is called the *Fano variety of lines on X* and is also smooth of dimension four. In [3], Beauville and Donagi show that over the base field \mathbb{C} , F is a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[2]}$ -type, i.e. deformation equivalent to the Hilbert scheme of two points on a $K3$ surface.

Hyperkähler manifolds are higher-dimensional generalizations of $K3$ surfaces. For example, as with $K3$ surfaces, the only possible fiber structure on a hyperkähler manifold M of dimension $2n$ is an abelian n -fold fibration over \mathbb{P}^n , called a *Lagrangian fibration* [13] [10]. If M is birational to another hyperkähler manifold admitting a Lagrangian fibration, one says M admits a *rational Lagrangian fibration*. The $K3$ surfaces admitting Lagrangian fibrations are called *elliptic* and are well-understood: the existence of a divisor class on S squaring to zero under the intersection form determines whether the surface is elliptic [9]. Similarly, if M is a hyperkähler manifold of $K3^{[n]}$ -type, then M admits a rational Lagrangian fibration if and only if there is a divisor class $D \in \text{Pic}(M)$ isotropic with respect to a quadratic form q_F called the Beauville-Bogomolov form [14].

This criterion implies that the Fano variety F of lines on a complex cubic fourfold X admits a rational Lagrangian fibration if and only if X contains a special algebraic surface satisfying certain numerical criteria, as explained in Proposition 4. The first example is when X contains a plane. Motivated by this intuition from hyperkähler geometry, we

depart from the complex setting and fix a general cubic fourfold X containing a plane, proving the following via a direct construction:

Theorem 1. *Let X be a general cubic fourfold containing a plane P over a field k of characteristic not 2, and let F be the Fano variety of lines on X . Then F contains the dual plane P^* , and the Mukai flop M of F along P^* admits a fibration of geometrically abelian surfaces $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$.*

This paper describes the fibration ρ explicitly, allowing an analysis of the fibers of ρ as torsors when k is not algebraically closed. Given a smooth fiber T of ρ , there is a genus 2 curve C and a simply transitive action of Pic_C^0 on T , all defined over the base field k . The following results describe the torsor structure of T .

Theorem 2. *There is a commutative algebraic group scheme structure on the disconnected variety*

$$G = \text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup T \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1 \sqcup T$$

defined over k .

Corollary 3. *In the Weil-Châtelet group $H^1(k, \text{Pic}_C^0)$, $2[T] = [\text{Pic}_C^1]$ and $4[T] = 0$.*

The arithmetic resembles analogous results for the Fano variety of maximal linear spaces contained in an intersection of two quadrics, described by Wang in [17].

Section 2 outlines numerical conditions governing which complex cubic fourfolds have Fano varieties of lines admitting rational Lagrangian fibrations. In Section 3, we review geometric constructions related to a cubic fourfold X containing a plane P and define a rational map $\pi : F \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ from the Fano variety of lines F on X . In Section 4, we analyze the Fano variety of a general cubic threefold containing a plane, yielding information about the (closures of) fibers of the rational map π . In Section 5, we resolve π by a Mukai flop, proving Theorem 1, and in Section 6, we analyze the torsor structure of the smooth fibers of ρ , proving Theorem 2 and Corollary 3.

Acknowledgments: I am very grateful for the help of my advisor, Nicolas Addington, who suggested this project, guided my progress, and offered improvements for the paper. I would also like to thank the faculty and fellow students at the University of Oregon for their support. I was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1902213 and DMS-2039316.

2. HYPERKÄHLER BACKGROUND

Let F be the Fano variety of lines on a complex cubic fourfold X . As mentioned prior, F is hyperkähler and admits a rational Lagrangian fibration if and only if the Beauville-Bogomolov form q_F vanishes on a nontrivial divisor class $D \in H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ in the birational Kähler cone. By results from [12, Sect. 6] summarized in [15, Cor. 7.3], the existence of any nontrivial isotropic integral divisor class implies the existence of one in the birational Kähler cone. This section explains when such a class exists.

Let $W \subset X \times F$ be the incidence correspondence with π_1 and π_2 its projections. The Abel-Jacobi map

$$\alpha : H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mathbb{Z}), \quad \alpha(S) = \pi_{2*}\pi_1^*(S)$$

respects the Hodge filtration and restricts to an isomorphism

$$H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}},$$

proved in [3]. If X is very general, meaning that X is in the complement of countably many codimension one families in the moduli space of cubic fourfolds, then $H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}h^2$ where h denotes the hyperplane class, so $\text{Pic}(F) = \mathbb{Z}\alpha(h^2)$. In [7], Hassett proved $q_F(\alpha(h^2)) = 6$, from which we deduce F admits no rational Lagrangian fibration.

Therefore, for F to admit a rational Lagrangian fibration, the rank of $H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ must be greater than 1, meaning X contains an algebraic surface S not homologous to a complete intersection. Such a cubic is called *special*, and its *discriminant* is the discriminant of the intersection form on a rank two primitive sublattice of $H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ containing h^2 . If $d \geq 8$ and $d \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{6}$, then there exist cubic fourfolds of discriminant d , forming an irreducible divisor \mathcal{C}_d in the moduli space of all cubic fourfolds. In this framework, we can describe precisely which Fano varieties of complex cubic fourfolds admit rational Lagrangian fibrations.

Proposition 4. *F admits a rational Lagrangian fibration if and only if $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for some d which is twice a square.*

Proof. We have already seen that a necessary condition for F to admit a rational Lagrangian fibration is that X be special. Choose a primitive rank two sublattice $h^2 \in L \subset H^{2,2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ and a class $S \in L \cap H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})_{\text{prim}}$. Let i be the index of the sublattice $L' = \mathbb{Z}h^2 + \mathbb{Z}S$ in L . The discriminant of the intersection form on L' is $3S^2$, so the discriminant of the intersection form on L is $3S^2/i^2$, and $X \in \mathcal{C}_d$ for $d = 3S^2/i^2$. There is a class $D \in \alpha(L)$ isotropic with respect to q_F if

and only if there is such a class in $\alpha(L')$. We will show that such a class exists in L' if and only if d is twice a square.

In [3], it is shown that the Abel-Jacobi map α is compatible with the intersection form and Beauville-Bogomolov form in the sense that

$$q_F(\alpha(x), \alpha(y)) = -x \cdot y.$$

for primitive classes x, y . Applying this yields

$$q_F(\alpha(mh^2 + nS)) = 6m^2 - n^2S^2 = 6m^2 - i^2n^2d/3.$$

Thus there is a class $D \in \alpha(L')$ satisfying $q_F(D) = 0$ if and only if there are integers m, n with $2(3m/(in))^2 = d$. \square

Remark 5. In fact, it is proved in [14] that if an isotropic class $D \in \text{Pic}(F)$ is nef, then the complete linear system of D defines a rational Lagrangian fibration.

Example 6. When X contains a plane P , the intersection form on the primitive lattice $\mathbb{Z}h^2 + \mathbb{Z}P \subset H^4(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} & h^2 & P \\ \hline h^2 & 3 & 1 \\ P & 1 & 3 \end{array}$$

In this case, $X \in \mathcal{C}_8$, so the Fano variety F of X is birational to some hyperkähler fourfold M admitting a Lagrangian fibration. The integral nef divisor class isotropic with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov form is $\alpha(h^2 - P)$. Note that $h^2 - P$ is the class of a quadric surface in X : taking hyperplanes $H_1, H_2 \supset P$, the intersection $X \cap H_1 \cap H_2 = Q \cup P$ where $\deg(Q) = 2$. Lemma 9 verifies that the complete linear system $|\alpha(Q)|$ induces the rational Lagrangian fibration $\pi : F \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ defined in Section 3.

Remark 7. One can also describe the rational Lagrangian fibration $F \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ from a moduli perspective, using Macrì and Stellari's result from [11] that for all complex cubic fourfolds X containing a plane, the Fano variety F is birational to a smooth projective moduli space M of twisted sheaves on a K3 surface K . The twisted sheaves are all supported on curves in a two-dimensional linear system on K , and one can obtain a Lagrangian fibration $M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ by sending a twisted sheaf to its support.

Example 8. If $X \in \mathcal{C}_{18}$, then X contains a sextic del Pezzo surface S , as explained in [1]. The classes $\alpha(3h^2 - S)$ and $\alpha(S - h^2)$ are Kähler and isotropic with respect to the Beauville-Bogomolov form; however, even with these divisor classes in hand, it is unclear how to describe the associated rational Lagrangian fibrations from F geometrically.

3. THE QUADRIC SURFACE FIBRATION

For the remainder of the paper, X is a general cubic fourfold of discriminant 8 and $P \subset X$ is a plane; here, the term *general* means that X is smooth and contains no other plane meeting P . Let F be the Fano variety of lines in X . The ground field k need not be closed but has characteristic not equal to 2. Our foundational geometric ingredient is the quadric surface fibration $q: Bl_P X \rightarrow P^\perp$ obtained via projection to a complementary plane P^\perp . A sextic curve $\Delta \subset P^\perp$ called the discriminant of q parametrizes the singular fibers of q ; it is here that we use the assumption on the characteristic of k . The assumption that X contains no plane meeting P guarantees that the singular fibers of q are no worse than cones and, by Lemma 2 in [16], that Δ is smooth.

A double cover K of P^\perp branched over Δ parametrizes rulings of the fibers of q , and since Δ is a smooth sextic, K is a smooth K3 surface. The lines in the fibers of q form a conic fibration over K defining a Brauer class $\beta \in \text{Br}(K)[2]$. This is the twist on a K3 surface appearing in Macrì and Stellari's previously-mentioned result.

Given a line $L \subset X \setminus P$, the projection $q(L) \subset P^\perp$ is again a line, so the formula $\pi(L) = q(L)$ defines a rational map $\pi: F \dashrightarrow (P^\perp)^*$. In light of Remark 5, the following lemma shows that when $k = \mathbb{C}$, π is the rational Lagrangian fibration detected by the Beauville-Bogomolov form.

Lemma 9. *The linear system $|\alpha(Q)|$ induces π , where $Q \subset X$ is a quadric surface and $\alpha: H^4(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(F, \mathbb{Z})$ is the Abel-Jacobi map.*

Proof. Let $p \in P^\perp$ and $Q = q^{-1}(p)$. The pencil of lines in P^\perp through p represents $\mathcal{O}_{(P^\perp)^*}(1)$. Thus $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{(P^\perp)^*}(1)$ is represented by the divisor on F parametrizing lines $L \subset X$ for which $p \in \pi(L)$ or, equivalently, $L \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. This divisor is precisely $\alpha(Q)$. \square

If $\ell \subset P^\perp$ is a line and H is the hyperplane spanned by ℓ and P , then $\pi^{-1}(\ell)$ contains the lines in $X \cap H \setminus P$. That is, $\pi^{-1}(\ell)$ is birational to some component of the Fano variety of lines on a cubic threefold Y containing P , obtained as a hyperplane section of X . The following lemma leverages a description of these threefolds.

Lemma 10. *Let X be a general cubic fourfold containing a plane P , and let $P^\perp \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ be a plane disjoint from P .*

- (i) *For each $p \in P^\perp$, there is a unique hyperplane $H \supset P$ for which $Y = X \cap H$ is singular at p .*
- (ii) *Y contains only one plane.*
- (iii) *$Z = \text{Sing}(Y) \cap P$ is a complete intersection of two conics in P .*

- (iv) Each k -point of Z gives a section of the quadric surface fibration $Bl_P Y \rightarrow \ell$ where $\ell = (H \cap P^\perp)$.
- (v) Y has isolated singularities.
- (vi) If ℓ is transverse to Δ , then $Sing(Y) = Z$.

Proof. For (i), note that $T_p(X \cap H) = T_p(X) \cap H$, so $\dim(T_p(X \cap H)) = 4$ if and only if $H = T_p(X)$. To see (ii), recall that X contains no plane meeting P , whereas any two planes in H meet.

Now, fix coordinates so that $P = V(x_0, x_1, x_5)$ and $H = V(x_5)$, and write the equation for $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^4$ as $x_0 Q_0 + x_1 Q_1 = 0$ where the Q_i are quadratic in x_0, \dots, x_4 . Let $q_i = Q_i(0, 0, x_2, x_3, x_4)$. The Jacobian criterion shows that $Sing(Y)$ is cut out of Y by the equations

$$Q_0 + x_0 \frac{dQ_0}{dx_0} + x_1 \frac{dQ_1}{dx_0} = Q_1 + x_0 \frac{dQ_0}{dx_1} + x_1 \frac{dQ_1}{dx_1} = x_0 \frac{dQ_0}{dx_i} + x_1 \frac{dQ_1}{dx_i} = 0$$

for $i = 2, 3, 4$. Along P , the equations become $q_0 = q_1 = 0$. In particular, Z is nonempty. To prove (iii), we will show that $\dim Z = 0$.

Define a morphism $g : P \rightarrow (P^\perp)^*$ sending $p \mapsto T_p X \cap P^\perp$. Notice that if $\ell \subset P^\perp$ and H is the hyperplane spanned by ℓ and P , then

$$g^{-1}(\ell) = Sing(H \cap X) \cap P,$$

We proved that $H \cap X$ has singularities along P for each hyperplane $H \supset P$, so g is surjective. Any surjection $\mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is finite, and Z is a fiber of g , so $\dim Z = 0$.

To show (iv), note that each quadric surface in Y is cut out by

$$s x_1 - t x_0 = s Q_0 + t Q_1 = 0$$

for $(s : t) \in \mathbb{P}^1$, and each point in Z is a root of $Q_i = 0$ so satisfies these equations. Hence each quadric surface in Y contains Z , and each point in Z gives a section of $Bl_P Y \rightarrow \ell$.

For (v), $Bl_P Y$ is smooth if and only if $q : Bl_P X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is transverse to ℓ , meaning

$$q_* T_y(Bl_P Y) + T_{q(y)} \ell = T_{q(y)} \mathbb{P}^2$$

for all $y \in Y$. Since

$$\dim(q_* T_y(Bl_P Y)) = \begin{cases} 2, & y \text{ is a smooth point of } q^{-1}(y) \\ 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

the only points where $Bl_P Y$ might fail to be smooth are the cone points, of which there are finitely many. As Y also has finitely many singularities along P , the singularities of Y are isolated.

Let $S \subset Bl_P X$ be the curve tracing out the cone points in singular fibers of q . Then $q|_S : S \rightarrow \Delta$ has degree 1, and Δ is smooth, so $q|_S$ is

an isomorphism. Hence $q_*T_y(Bl_P X) = T_{q(y)}\Delta$ for $y \in S$, and $Bl_P Y$ is smooth at y if

$$T_{q(y)}\Delta + T_{q(y)}\ell = T_{q(y)}\mathbb{P}^2,$$

which holds when Δ and ℓ are transverse. To finish proving (vi), note that Y is smooth away from P if $Bl_P Y$ is smooth. \square

Remark 11. There are cubic threefolds containing exactly one plane P whose singular locus intersects P in dimension 1, and these threefolds may even be nonsingular away from P . However, Lemma 10 shows that these threefolds do not embed in smooth cubic fourfolds.

4. LINES ON CUBIC THREEFOLDS CONTAINING A PLANE

Let Y be a cubic threefold containing exactly one plane P and having isolated singularities. Let $F(Y)$ be the Fano scheme of lines on Y , and let $Z = \text{Sing}(Y) \cap P$. Projection away from P gives a quadric surface fibration $q : Bl_P Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ degenerating over a divisor of degree 6. Since Y contains only one plane, the degenerate fibers of q are no worse than cones.

The hypothesis on Y is potentially more general than requiring that Y be a hyperplane section of a general cubic fourfold containing a plane, but results analogous to those in Lemma 10 still hold via the same proofs, summarized below.

Lemma 12. *With Y as above, the following hold.*

- (i) $Z = \text{Sing}(Y) \cap P$ is a complete intersection of two conics in P .
- (ii) Each k -point of Z gives a section of the quadric surface fibration $q : Bl_P Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.
- (iii) Y has isolated singularities.
- (iv) If the discriminant of q is reduced, then $\text{Sing}(Y) = Z$.

The lines contained in fibers of q are parametrized by a surface \mathcal{F} , which is a subvariety of $F(Y)$ since a line in Y belongs to at most one quadric surface. The Stein factorization of the projection $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ consists of a conic bundle $p : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C$ and a double cover $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ branched over the discriminant; the curve C parametrizes rulings on fibers of q . By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, the arithmetic genus of C is 2.

Remark 13. It is worth describing the curve C more explicitly, though this will not be used later in the paper. If $P = \{x_0 = x_1 = 0\}$, write the equation for Y as

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_0, \dots, x_4) = & a_{11}x_2^2 + 2a_{12}x_2x_3 + 2a_{13}x_2x_4 + a_{22}x_3^2 + 2a_{23}x_3x_4 \\ & + a_{33}x_4^2 + 2a_{14}x_2 + 2a_{24}x_3 + 2a_{34}x_4 + a_{44} \end{aligned}$$

with $a_{ij} \in k[x_0, x_1]$. Let A be the symmetric matrix with entries a_{ij} for $i \leq j$. Then the quadric surface $Q = q^{-1}(a : b)$ is cut out by the quadratic form obtained by evaluating A at $x_0 = a, x_1 = b$, denoted $A|_{(a:b)}$. In particular, the equation for C in weighted projective space is $z^2 = c \cdot \det A$ for some $c \in k^\times$. By [17, footnote on p. 366], Q has a ruling defined over k if and only if $\det A|_{(a:b)}$ is a square; on the other hand, C has a k -point lying over $(a : b)$ if and only if $c \det A|_{(a:b)}$ is a square. Hence $c \in k^{\times 2}$, and $c = 1$ after a change of variables.

There is a bijection between sections of $q : Bl_P Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ and sections of $p : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C$ since choosing a point in a smooth quadric surface amounts to choosing a line in each of its rulings, explained further in [8, Sect. 3]. By Lemma 12, each (geometric) point $z \in Z$ gives a section of q , and τ_z denotes the corresponding (geometric) section of p . In a slight abuse of notation, for $c \in C$ we typically regard $\tau_z(c)$ as a line in Y rather than as the corresponding point in \mathcal{F} .

A line that meets P once belongs to \mathcal{F} , and a line contained in P belongs to the dual plane P^* ; let $\mathcal{U} \subset F(Y)$ be the open subscheme composed of lines in Y not meeting P .

Theorem 14. *$F(Y)$ contains the following components:*

- (1) *the plane P^* dual to P ,*
- (2) *a ruled surface \mathcal{F} over a curve C of arithmetic genus 2,*
- (3) *and a singular surface $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$, geometrically birational to $\text{Sym}^2 C$.*

Moreover, each of the components above is irreducible if C is irreducible.

Proof. All that requires proof is the birational geometry of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ over the separable closure k^s .

Choose a point $z \in Z$. For a line $L \subset Y \setminus P$, let P' be the plane spanned by L and z . Since Y is singular at z , so too is the curve $P' \cap Y$. Thus $P' \cap Y$ consists of three lines: L and two other lines M and N (possibly equal), both containing z . Note $M, N \in \mathcal{F}$ since M and N meet but are not contained in P . The equation $\varphi_z(L) = \{p(M), p(N)\}$ defines a morphism $\varphi_z : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^2 C$.

To show φ_z is a birational equivalence, we construct its rational inverse. Let $V \subset \text{Sym}^2 C$ be the open set consisting of pairs $\{c, d\}$ of distinct points such that $\tau_z(c)$ and $\tau_z(d)$ are not both contained in P . For such a pair, the plane P' spanned by $\tau_z(c)$ and $\tau_z(d)$ meets Y in a third line $L := \psi_z(c, d)$, defining a morphism $\psi_z : V \rightarrow F(Y)$. Clearly $\psi_z \circ \varphi_z = \text{id}_{\mathcal{U}}$, as needed. \square

Remark 15. Let $S_z \subset Y$ be the union over $c \in C$ of the lines $\tau_z(c)$, a cone over C . An unreduced length-2 subscheme of C specifies a line

$\tau_z(c) \subset S$ and a normal direction to that line in S , and together these span a plane P' meeting Y in a third line. In this way, one can instead define ψ_z as a rational map $\text{Hilb}^2 C \dashrightarrow \mathcal{U}$. The domain of ψ_z under this new definition is the complement of three points, i.e. the pairs $\{c, d\}$ of distinct points with $\tau_z(c), \tau_z(d) \subset P$.

A line $L \in \mathcal{U}$ gives a section of q and hence a section σ_L of p . When C is smooth, so too is \mathcal{F} , and the following lemma describes the numerics of the two types of sections of p mentioned so far.

Lemma 16. *If C is smooth, then under the intersection pairing on \mathcal{F} , $\sigma_L \cdot \tau_z = 2$ and $\sigma_L \cdot \sigma_M = 3$ for $L, M \in \mathcal{U}$ and $z \in Z$.*

Proof. The first equation follows from the proof of Theorem 14: there are two lines meeting z and L . For the second equation, it is enough to calculate $\sigma_L \cdot \sigma_M$ for a generic choice of L and M since Theorem 14 implies \mathcal{U} is irreducible when C is smooth. Suppose the span of L and M intersects Y in a smooth cubic surface S : such a pair of lines exists since a generic hyperplane section of Y is smooth and contains skew lines not meeting P .

The span of L and M intersects P in a line, say ℓ , which is one of the twenty-seven lines on S . The intersection number $\sigma_L \cdot \sigma_M$ counts how many lines in Y meet L , M , and P , each of which is a line in S meeting L , M , and ℓ . Given three disjoint lines in a cubic surface, there are three other lines meeting each of those. \square

Remark 17. One can regard \mathcal{U} as an open subscheme of the space of sections of $p : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow C$. Hassett and Tschinkel prove in Proposition 2 of [8] that the space of sections of a fixed large enough height (a measure of the degree of the normal bundle of a section) is an open subscheme of a projective bundle over Pic_C^d for some d . The numerics from Lemma 16 show that the height of a section σ_L for $L \in \mathcal{U}$ is not large enough for Hassett and Tschinkel's theorem to apply, yet Theorem 14 gives a similar result since $\text{Sym}^2 C$ is a blowup of Pic_C^2 when C is smooth.

The section finishes with an analysis of the pairwise intersections of the components of $F(Y)$ and a description of the boundary of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$.

Lemma 18. *$\mathcal{F} \cap P^*$ is zero-dimensional.*

Proof. If $L \in \mathcal{F} \cap P^*$, then L is contained in a quadric surface Q meeting P in a degenerate conic (one component of which is L). There are finitely many degenerate conics containing Z , so there are finitely many quadrics Q for which $Q \cap P$ is degenerate by Lemma 12. Each of these quadrics contributes two points to $\mathcal{F} \cap P^*$. \square

It is well-known that $F(Y)$ is smooth at L if and only if Y is smooth along L ; for a proof, apply the proof of [5, Prop. 6.24] to cubics or adapt [2] to an arbitrary field. The following two lemmas also use the fact that any $F(Y)$ is singular along the intersection of any two irreducible components.

Lemma 19. $\overline{U} \cap P^*$ consists of the pencils $z^* \subset P^*$ of lines in P through each of the points $z \in Z$.

Proof. A line in P is a singular point of $F(Y)$ if and only if it intersects Z nontrivially, so

$$P^* \cap \text{Sing}(F(Y)) = \bigcup_{z \in Z} z^*.$$

Moreover, P^* is smooth, so any point in $P^* \cap \text{Sing}(F(Y))$ belongs to a second component of $F(Y)$. Then

$$\bigcup_{z \in Z} z^* = (P^* \cap \overline{U}) \cup (P^* \cap \mathcal{F}).$$

The left-hand side is purely 1-dimensional, and $P^* \cap \mathcal{F}$ is 0-dimensional by Lemma 18, so $\bigcup_{z \in Z} z^* = \overline{U} \cap P^*$. \square

Lemma 20. *The intersection $\overline{U} \cap \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ is zero-dimensional.*

Proof. If C is smooth, then so is \mathcal{F} and there is nothing to prove, so assume C is singular. We also assume Z is reduced, a totally analogous argument works when Z is nonreduced. Working over k^s , let $z \in Z$.

Recall the rational map $\psi_z : \text{Hilb}^2 C \dashrightarrow \overline{U}$ defined in Theorem 14 and Remark 15. The domain of ψ_z is the complement of three points: the pairs $\{c, d\}$ of distinct points for which $\tau_z(c)$ and $\tau_z(d)$ are contained in P . For such a pair, $\tau_z(c)$ and $\tau_z(d)$ each contain length-two subschemes of Z .

It is easy to see that ψ_z is injective on its domain, so the graph Γ of ψ_z has only three positive-dimensional fibers over $\text{Hilb}^2 C$. It follows that there are only three curves in \overline{U} that do not have dense open sets contained in the image of ψ_z , and all of these are accounted for by the pencils w^* with $z \neq w \in Z$.

Note that $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ consists of a conic over each singular point of C . To show that these conics do not belong to \overline{U} , it remains to check that there is a nontrivial open set of each not contained in the image of ψ_z . Let c be a singular point of C , let $Q = q^{-1}(c)$ be the quadric lying over c , and let $L \subset Q$ be a line intersecting neither Z nor any line containing a length two subscheme of Z . Such a line L is general in Q . The plane P' spanned by L and z intersects Y in two other lines: $\tau_z(c)$

and another line $L' \subset P$ joining z to $L \cap P$. Since L' does not belong to the image of τ_z , L is not in the image of ψ_z . \square

Let $C_z \subset \mathcal{F}$ denote the image of τ_z .

Lemma 21. $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{F} = \cup_{z \in Z} C_z$.

Proof. First, we show $C_z \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{F}$ for each point $z \in Z$. Note $C_z \subset \text{Sing}(F(Y))$ since each line in C_z meets Z . Hence

$$C_z \subset \mathcal{F} \cap \text{Sing}(F(Y)) = (\mathcal{F} \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}}) \cup (\mathcal{F} \cap P^*) \cup \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}).$$

But $\mathcal{F} \cap P^*$ is finite by Lemma 18, $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$ consists of finitely many conics, and the genus of C_z is 2, so there is no embedding

$$C_z \rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \cap P^*) \cup \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Therefore $C_z \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{F}$.

For the reverse inclusion, note that if $L \in \overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{F}$, then L is a singular point of $F(Y)$ so passes through a singular point of Y . The lines in \mathcal{F} passing through singular points of Y are parametrized by the curves C_z for $z \in Z$ and by $\text{Sing}(\mathcal{F})$, so

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup_{z \in Z} C_z \cup \text{Sing}(\mathcal{F}).$$

Applying Lemma 20, it only remains to show $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \cap \mathcal{F}$ is equidimensional. Indeed, $F(Y)$ is Gorenstein, and P^* is Cohen-Macaulay, so

$$\overline{F(Y) \setminus P^*} = \mathcal{F} \cup \overline{\mathcal{U}}$$

is Cohen-Macaulay by [4, Thm. 21.23]. By [4, Cor. 18.11], $\mathcal{F} \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is purely 1-dimensional. \square

Proposition 22.

$$\overline{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{U} \cup \bigcup_{z \in Z} (z^* \cup C_z).$$

Proof. This follows directly from Lemmas 19 and 21. \square

Note that since \mathcal{F} and P^* meet in codimension 2, Hartshorne's Connectedness Theorem [4, Thm. 18.12] implies $\mathcal{F} \cup P^*$ is not Cohen-Macaulay. Again using [4, Thm. 21.23], $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is not Cohen-Macaulay, and in particular, $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is not smooth. The rational involutions defined below are useful for analyzing the singular locus of \mathcal{U} and will play a crucial role in Sections 5 and 6.

Definition 23. For $c \in C$, define a rational map $i_c : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \dashrightarrow F(Y)$ as follows: a general line $L \in \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ meets a unique line M in the ruling of a quadric Q specified by c . The third line (counting multiplicity) in $\text{span}(L, M) \cap Y$ is $i_c(L)$.

Lemma 24. *For all c , the domain of i_c includes the following:*

- (i) $L \in \mathcal{U}$;
- (ii) $\tau_z(d)$ for $z \in Z$ and $\bar{c} \neq d \in C$ if $\tau_z(d) \not\subset P$.

If also $\tau_z(c) \not\subset P$ for all z , then the domain of i_c also includes

- (iii) *any line $\ell \in P^* \cap \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ not of the form $\tau_z(d)$.*

Proof. Let $Q \subset Y$ be the quadric surface with ruling c . It is clear that i_c is defined on \mathcal{U} and on $\tau_z(d)$ meeting the conditions in (ii): each of these lines L meets a unique line M in the ruling c of Q , and the span of L and M is not P so meets Y in dimension one. A line ℓ of the form in (iii) also meets a unique line in the ruling c of Q , namely $\tau_z(c)$. If $\tau_z(c) \not\subset P$, then the plane spanned by ℓ and $\tau_z(c)$ is not P so meets Y in dimension one. \square

Lemma 25. *Each i_c is a rational involution whose image is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $i_c^2 = \text{id}_{\overline{\mathcal{U}}}$. To show that the image of i_c is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$, it is enough to show that the image contains \mathcal{U} .

Let $L \in \mathcal{U}$ and take M to be any line in \mathcal{U} with $L \cap M \neq \emptyset$. The plane P' spanned by L and M intersects P at some point y and intersects Y in the union of L , M , and a third line N . The point $y \in P$ must lie on N , so $N \in \mathcal{F}$. Taking $c \in C$ to be the ruling N belongs to, $L = i_c(M)$. \square

Lemma 26. *If $Y \setminus P$ is smooth, then $\overline{\mathcal{U}} \setminus P^*$ is smooth.*

Proof. Since Y is smooth away from P , \mathcal{U} is smooth; it remains to check that $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is smooth at each point in $C_z \setminus P^*$ for $z \in Z$. Suppose $\tau_z(c) \not\subset P^*$. Each rational map i_d sends smooth points to smooth points since it is an involution, so it suffices to show that we can reach $\tau_z(c)$ by applying a sequence of maps i_d to some line $L \in \mathcal{U}$.

We first prove that $\tau_z(c)$ is a smooth point of \mathcal{U} if it meets some line $L \subset Y \setminus P$. Then $\varphi_z(L) = \{c, d\}$ for some $d \in C$, meaning that L , $\tau_z(c)$, and $\tau_z(d)$ are coplanar. By definition, $i_d(\tau_z(c)) = L$.

Now, consider the case that $\tau_z(c)$ meets some line $L \subset Y \setminus P$. If not, then the image of the embedding $\psi_z(c, -) : C \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ must be C_z . Choose any line $L \subset Y \setminus P$, and let $\varphi_z(L) = \{e, e'\}$, meaning $\tau_z(e)$ and $\tau_z(e')$ meet L . As $\tau_z(e) \in \text{im}(\psi_z(c, -))$, there is some $d \in C$ with $\psi_z(c, d) = \tau_z(e)$, and

$$i_d(\tau_z(c)) = \tau_z(e).$$

Hence $\tau_z(c)$ is a smooth point of \mathcal{U} if and only if $\tau_z(e)$ is, and the latter meets the line $L \subset Y \setminus P$. Applying the previous case, we are done. \square

The following observation about the rational involutions i_c is important in later sections.

Lemma 27. *For $c, d \in C$ and $z \in Z$,*

$$i_c(\tau_z(d)) = i_d(\tau_z(c))$$

whenever both sides of the equation are defined.

Proof. It suffices to check that the two rational maps $C \times C \rightarrow \overline{U}$ defined by sending (c, d) to $i_c(\tau_z(d))$ and $i_d(\tau_z(c))$ agree on a dense open set. For the open set, take

$$V = \{(c, d) \mid d \neq \bar{c} \text{ and } \tau_z(c), \tau_z(d) \notin P\} \subset C \times C.$$

For $(c, d) \in V$, the lines $\tau_z(c)$ and $\tau_z(d)$ meet at z , and the third line in $\text{span}(\tau_z(c), \tau_z(d))$ is, by definition, both $i_c(\tau_z(d))$ and $i_d(\tau_z(c))$. \square

Remark 28. Lemma 27 affords another description of the birational maps $\psi_z : \text{Sym}^2 C \dashrightarrow \overline{U}$ from the proof of Theorem 14:

$$\psi_z(\{c, d\}) = i_c(\tau_z(d)).$$

As a consequence, when $\tau_z(c) \notin P$, $\tau_z(\bar{c})$ is in the domain of i_c since $\psi_z(\{c, \bar{c}\})$ is well-defined. Explicitly, $i_c(\tau_z(\bar{c})) = T_z(Q \cap P) \in z^*$ where Q is the quadric surface with rulings c and \bar{c} .

5. RESOLUTION VIA THE MUKAI FLOP

The goal of this section is to resolve the indeterminacy of π by a Mukai flop, defined below.

Definition 29. The normal bundle of P^* in F is isomorphic to the cotangent bundle to P^* , identifying the exceptional divisor of the blowup $Bl_{P^*}F \rightarrow F$ with

$$E = \{(l, p) : p \in l \subset P\} \subset P^* \times P.$$

The contraction of $E \subset Bl_{P^*}F$ by the second projection is the Mukai flop M of F along P^* .

Note that M is smooth and contains the plane P . In the complex setting, M is also hyperkähler. Abusing notation slightly, we identify $F \setminus P^*$ with $M \setminus P$ in what follows.

The rational map π produces a rational map $\rho : M \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Bl_{P^*}F & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho \\ F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (P^\perp)^* \end{array}$$

Let $\ell \subset P^\perp$, let T be the closure of $\rho^{-1}(\ell)$, and let Y be the intersection of X with the hyperplane spanned by ℓ and P , a cubic threefold containing a plane. Let C , Z , and \overline{U} be the schemes associated to Y as in Section 4. Notice that

$$T \setminus P \cong \overline{U} \setminus P^* = \overline{U} \setminus \cup_{z \in Z} z^*.$$

Lemma 30. $Z \subset T$.

Proof. The point $(\ell, z) \in E$ parametrizes the normal direction to ℓ in P as it moves in the pencil z^* . As $z^* \subset \overline{U}$, the pencil

$$\{(\ell, z) : z \in \ell\} \subset E \subset Bl_{P^*}F$$

lies in the proper transform of \overline{U} . The projection $Bl_{P^*}F \rightarrow M$ contracts this pencil to z , so $z \in T$, and $Z \subset T \cap P$. \square

The embeddings $\tau_z : C \rightarrow \overline{U}$ determine rational maps $v_z : C \dashrightarrow T$ defined away from the three points $c \in C$ for which $\tau_z(c) \subset P$. As with τ_z , denote by C_z the closure of the image of v_z . Similarly, the rational involutions i_c on \overline{U} yield rational involutions j_c on T .

Lemma 31. *For $c \in C$, the domain of j_c contains $(T \setminus P) \cup Z$.*

Proof. Let Q be the quadric surface with a ruling specified by c . The following cases describe all points on $(T \setminus P) \cup Z$:

- (i) $L \in \mathcal{U}$;
- (ii) $v_z(d)$ for $z \in Z$ and $\bar{c} \neq d \in C$ if $\tau_z(d) \not\subset P$;
- (iii) $v_z(\bar{c})$ for $z \in Z$ if $\tau_z(\bar{c}) \not\subset P$;
- (iv) $z \in Z$.

On each of these types of points, j_c is defined as follows.

- (i) Note $i_c(L) \not\subset P^*$, so $i_c(L)$ can be identified with a point of T , and $j_c(L) = i_c(L)$.
- (ii) The line in the c -ruling of Q that $\tau_z(d)$ meets is $\tau_z(c)$. The third line ℓ in the plane spanned by $\tau_z(c)$ and $\tau_z(d)$ is $i_c(\tau_z(d))$ and can be identified with $j_c(\tau_z(d))$ so long as it does not lie in P . The rational map $\psi_z : \text{Sym}^2 C \dashrightarrow \overline{U}$ sending $\{a, b\}$ to $i_a(\tau_z(b))$ is injective, and it is straightforward to check that the pencil of lines in P through z is the image of the pencil of pairs $\{a, \bar{a}\}$. Thus $i_c(\tau_z(d)) \not\subset P$ since $\bar{c} \neq d$, as needed.
- (iii) As explained in Remark 28, $i_c(\tau_z(\bar{c})) \in z^*$. Using Lemma 30, $j_c(v_z(\bar{c})) = z$.
- (iv) Since j_c is an involution, applying j_c to both sides of (iii) gives $j_c(z) = v_z(\bar{c})$.

\square

Lemma 32. *The rational maps $v_z : C \dashrightarrow T$ extend to morphisms. Explicitly, if $\tau_z(c) \subset P$ and $\{z, w\}$ is the degree 2 subscheme of Z contained in $\tau_z(c)$, then $v_z(c) = w$.*

Proof. First, we justify that $\tau_z(c)$ intersects Z in degree 2. Choosing some smooth conic B containing Z , we have

$$\deg(Z \cap L) \leq \deg(B \cap L) = 2$$

for any line $L \subset P$. On the other hand, $\tau_z(c)$ belongs to a degenerate conic containing Z (namely the intersection of the quadric surface containing $\tau_z(c)$ with P), so $\deg(Z \cap \tau_z(c)) = 2$.

Now, let $d \in C$ be such that $\tau_z(d) \not\subset P$. Then

$$i_c(\tau_z(d)) = \tau_w(\bar{d}),$$

so

$$j_c(v_z(d)) = v_w(\bar{d}).$$

Also, Lemma 27 implies

$$j_d(v_z(c)) = j_c(v_z(d))$$

so

$$j_d(v_z(c)) = v_w(\bar{d}).$$

Applying j_d to both sides of the equation above yields

$$v_z(c) = j_d(v_w(\bar{d})) = w,$$

as desired. \square

Lemma 33. $T \cap P = Z$.

Proof. Work over k^s and fix some point $z \in Z$. Recall from Remark 28 the rational map

$$\psi_z : \text{Hilb}^2 C \dashrightarrow \bar{U}, \quad \{c, d\} \mapsto i_c(\tau_z(d)).$$

This gives rise to a rational map

$$\Psi_z : \text{Hilb}^2 C \dashrightarrow T, \quad \{c, d\} \mapsto j_c(v_z(d)).$$

In fact, Ψ_z is a morphism since Lemmas 31 and 32 show that each involution j_c is defined on the entire image of v_z . Moreover, the image of Ψ_z is contained in $(T \setminus P) \cup Z$, so $(T \setminus P) \cup Z$ is closed. Since T is irreducible, $T \cap P = Z$. \square

Corollary 34. *Each rational involution j_c extends to a morphism.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemmas 31 and 33. \square

Proposition 35. *The rational map $\rho : M \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ is a morphism.*

Proof. Since M is normal, ρ is a morphism if each point in M lies in the closure of a unique fiber of ρ . To verify this, consider three cases:

- (i) if $L \in M$ determines a line $L \subset X \setminus P$, then L belongs only to the fiber of ρ over $q(L)$ and lies in the closure of no other fibers;
- (ii) if $L \in M$ is a line in X meeting P at a single point p , then Lemma 21 shows that L is only in the closure of the fiber of ρ over $T_p X \cap P^\perp$;
- (iii) similarly, if $z \in P \subset M$, then Lemma 33 shows that z is only in the closure of the fiber of ρ over $T_p X \cap P^\perp$.

□

Proposition 36. *T is smooth when $Y \setminus P$ is smooth.*

Proof. One can argue exactly as in Lemma 26, showing that any point on T can be reached by applying a sequence of involutions of the form j_c to a smooth point on T . □

By Lemma 12, Y is smooth away from P if $\rho(T) = \ell$ is transverse to Δ . Thus the dual curve Δ^* , which has degree 30 and geometric genus 10, parametrizes the singular fibers of ρ .

When a fiber T is smooth, it becomes isomorphic to an abelian surface over at worst a quartic extension of the base field, proved below. Together with Proposition 35, this proves Theorem 1.

Proposition 37. *If T is a smooth fiber of ρ , then $T_K \cong \text{Pic}_{C_K}^2$ where K/k is an extension of the base field over which one of the points $z \in Z$ is defined.*

Proof. We suppress the base change from the notation. Since C is a smooth curve of genus 2, $\text{Hilb}^2 C$ is isomorphic to the blowup of Pic_C^2 at the canonical divisor. For each $c \in C$, the morphism $\Psi_z : \text{Sym}^2 C \rightarrow T$ sends $\{c, \bar{c}\}$ to z so factors through the blowdown $\text{Sym}^2 C \rightarrow \text{Pic}_C^2$, giving a birational morphism $\text{Pic}_C^2 \rightarrow T$. Any rational map from a smooth variety to an abelian variety extends to a morphism; applying this fact to the rational inverse $T \dashrightarrow \text{Pic}_C^2$ yields $\text{Pic}_C^2 \cong T$. □

6. ARITHMETIC OF THE SMOOTH FIBERS

Let T be a smooth fiber of $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, and let Z and C be as before. The goal of this section is to prove Theorem 2 analyzing the torsor structure of T when the ground field k is not closed. Our approach mirrors Wang's in [17].

The proofs of Lemmas 39 and 41 below use information about the incidence relations between lines on cubic surfaces. We fix the following

notation for the lines on a smooth cubic surface over an algebraically closed field, similar to the notational scheme used in [6, V.4].

Notation 38. A smooth cubic surface S over an algebraically closed field is the blowup of \mathbb{P}^2 at six points p_1, \dots, p_6 in general position and contains 27 lines:

- (i) the six exceptional divisors E_i ;
- (ii) for each pair $\{i, j\}$, the proper transform ℓ_{ij} of the line joining p_i to p_j ;
- (iii) and for each i , the proper transform F_i of the conic passing through all the p_j except p_i .

The incidence relations are as follows:

- (i) E_i meets ℓ_{ij} and f_j for $i \neq j$;
- (ii) ℓ_{ij} meets E_i, E_j, F_i, F_j , and ℓ_{hk} for $\{i, j\} \cap \{h, k\} = \emptyset$;
- (iii) F_i meets E_j for $i \neq j$ and ℓ_{ij} for all j .

Moreover, given six pairwise skew lines $L_1, \dots, L_6 \subset S$, the blowdown of the L_i is isomorphic to \mathbb{P}^2 , so one may assume $L_i = E_i$.

Let T' be the variety isomorphic to T whose points are denoted $-L$ for $L \in T$.

Lemma 39. *The rules*

$$L + (c) = -j_{\bar{c}}(L)$$

and

$$-L + (c) = j_c(L)$$

define an action of $\text{Div}(C)$ on $T \sqcup T'$.

Proof. For the action to be well-defined, $(c) + (d)$ must act the same as $(d) + (c)$, so we need to check $j_c \circ j_{\bar{d}} = j_{\bar{d}} \circ j_{\bar{c}}$ for any $c, d \in C$.

Work over k^s . As T is irreducible, it suffices to show that the two maps $C \times C \times T \rightarrow T$ sending (c, d, L) to $j_{\bar{d}} \circ j_{\bar{c}}(L)$ and $j_c \circ j_{\bar{d}}(L)$ agree on a nonempty open set. In particular, we can choose $L \in \mathcal{U}$ and $c, d \in C$ such that the \mathbb{P}^3 spanned by the lines $\sigma_L(\bar{c})$ and $\sigma_L(\bar{d})$ meets Y in a smooth cubic surface S .

Label $\sigma_L(\bar{c}) = E_1$, $\sigma_L(\bar{d}) = E_2$, and $L = \ell_{12}$, using the notation for the lines on a smooth cubic surface. Notice that $S \cap P$ is a line meeting $\sigma_L(\bar{c})$ and $\sigma_L(\bar{d})$ but not L . Label this line F_6 .

Now we calculate $j_{\bar{c}}(L) = F_2$: indeed, only the line F_2 meets E_1 and ℓ_{12} . The line ℓ_{26} meets E_2 and F_6 hence $\sigma_L(\bar{d})$ and P , so it is a line in the ruling of a quadric surface specified by d . As $j_{\bar{c}}(L) = F_2$ meets ℓ_{26} , $j_{\bar{d}} \circ j_{\bar{c}}(L)$ is the line meeting F_2 and ℓ_{26} , namely E_6 . One calculates $j_c \circ j_{\bar{d}}(L) = E_6$ similarly. \square

Proposition 40. *The principal divisors act trivially on $T \sqcup T'$, so the action of $\text{Div}(C)$ on $T \sqcup T'$ descends to an action by Pic_C .*

Proof. First, notice that T and T' are in different orbits of the action by $\text{Div}^0(C)$. So, it suffices to show that principal divisors act trivially on T .

Every nontrivial divisor class in Pic_C^0 can be represented as a difference of two effective divisors of degree 1 in exactly two ways: if $(c) - (d)$ represents D , then so does $[\bar{d}] - [\bar{c}]$. By Lemma 39, $j_c \circ j_d = j_{\bar{d}} \circ j_{\bar{c}}$, so there is a well-defined morphism $\text{Pic}_C^0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$ sending $[c] - [d]$ to $j_{\bar{d}} \circ j_{\bar{c}}$.

The map is automatically a homomorphism: its image is a commutative, projective subgroup scheme, and any unital morphism of abelian varieties is a homomorphism. Moreover, $j_{\bar{d}} \circ j_{\bar{c}}(L) = L + [c] - [d]$, so the homomorphism $\text{Div}^0(C) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$ coming from the group action described earlier factors through the morphism $\text{Pic}_C^0 \rightarrow \text{Aut}(T)$, i.e. principal divisors are in the kernel. \square

Moreover, ω_C acts trivially on $T \sqcup T'$ since

$$L + [c] + [\bar{c}] = j_{\bar{c}}^2(L) = L,$$

so the action by Pic_C descends to an action by

$$\text{Pic}_C / \omega_C \cong \text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1.$$

Note that Pic_C^0 acts on each of T and T' , and an element of Pic_C^1 exchanges the components T and T' . The following lemma shows $T \sqcup T'$ is a torsor over $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$.

Proposition 41. *The action described above makes $T \sqcup T'$ a torsor over $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$.*

Proof. To show that the action of $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$ on $T \sqcup T'$ is transitive, we must check that for each $L, M \in T$,

- (i) there is a divisor class $[D] \in \text{Pic}_C^1$ so that $L + [D] = -M$;
- (ii) there is a divisor class $[E] \in \text{Pic}_C^0$ so that $L + [E] = M$.

Moreover, we verify

- (iii) the action of Pic_C^0 on T is free;
- (iv) the action of $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$ on $T \sqcup T'$ is free.

(i) First, we show that when $L, M \in \mathcal{U}$ span a \mathbb{P}^3 meeting Y in a smooth cubic surface, there exists $[D] \in \text{Pic}_C^1$ so that $L + [D] = -M$.

Using Lemma 16, let $c, d, e \in C$ be the points lying below $\sigma_L \cap \sigma_M \subset \mathcal{F}$. No two of $\sigma_L(c), \sigma_L(d), \sigma_L(e)$ meet: if $\sigma_L(c)$ met $\sigma_L(d)$, then they would span a plane containing L and M which would not meet X in degree three. Working over k^s and using the standard notation for

lines on the cubic surface S , assume $L = E_1$, $M = E_2$, $S \cap P = E_3$, $\sigma_L(c) = F_4$, $\sigma_L(d) = F_5$, and $\sigma_L(e) = F_6$. One calculates

$$j_{\bar{d}} \circ j_c(L) = j_{\bar{d}}(\ell_{14}) = \ell_{26} = j_e(M),$$

so

$$[e] - M = L - [c] - [d],$$

and

$$L + [\bar{c}] + [\bar{d}] + [\bar{e}] = -M.$$

Thus $L + [D] = -M$ where $[D] = [\bar{c}] + [\bar{d}] + [\bar{e}] - \omega_C$.

For $L \in \mathcal{U}$, define a morphism $s_L : \text{Pic}_C^1 \rightarrow T'$ by $s_L([D]) = L + [D]$. By the above, the image of s_L contains the dense open set

$$V = \{-M \mid M \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \text{span}(L, M) \cap Y \text{ is a smooth cubic surface}\},$$

so s_L is surjective.

Next, consider the summation map

$$\Sigma : T \times \text{Pic}_C^1 \rightarrow T', \quad (L, [D]) \mapsto L + [D].$$

Let $-M \in T'$. As s_L is surjective for each $L \in \mathcal{U}$, we get

$$\mathcal{U} \subset \pi_1(\Sigma^{-1}(-M))$$

where π_1 is the projection $T \times \text{Pic}_C^1 \rightarrow T$. Since π_1 is closed,

$$\pi_1(\Sigma^{-1}(-M)) = T.$$

That is, for each $L \in T$ there exists $[D] \in \text{Pic}_C^1$ so that $L + [D] = -M$.

(ii) Apply (i) to obtain $[D], [E] \in \text{Pic}_C^1$ with $L + [D] = -M$ and $M + [E] = -M$. Then $L + [D - E] = M$.

(iii) Work over k^s . It is enough to check that if $[c] + [\bar{d}]$ fixes a point $z \in Z$, then $[c] + [d] = \omega_C$. Indeed, if $z = z + (c) + (d)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} z + [\bar{d}] &= z + [c] \\ j_d(z) &= j_{\bar{c}}(z) \\ v_z(\bar{d}) &= v_z(c), \end{aligned}$$

so $\bar{d} = c$ since v_z is an embedding.

(iv) The argument for (iii) also shows Pic_C^0 acts freely on T' . Moreover, if $L + [D] = L + [E]$ for $L \in T \sqcup T'$ and $[D], [E] \in \text{Pic}_C^1$, then $[D] - [E] \in \text{Pic}_C^1$ fixes L , so $[D] - [E] = 0$ by (iii). \square

We now prove Theorem 2 and Corollary 3. Let

$$G = \text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup T \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1 \sqcup T'.$$

Theorem 2. There is a commutative group law on G extending the group law on $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$ such that for $L, M \in T \sqcup T'$ and $c \in C$,

$$(1) \quad L + [c] = -j_{\bar{c}}(L) \text{ and } -L + [c] = j_c(L);$$

- (2) $L + M$ is the unique divisor class $[D]$ such that $-L + [D] = M$ under the action of $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$ on $T \sqcup T'$ defined prior.

Proof. All that remains to check is that the group law is associative, i.e. the following all hold for $L, M, N \in T \sqcup T'$ and $[D], [E], [F] \in \text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$.

- (i) $([D] + [E]) + [F] = [D] + ([E] + [F])$
- (ii) $(L + [D]) + [E] = L + ([D] + [E])$
- (iii) $(L + M) + [D] = L + (M + [D])$
- (iv) $(L + M) + N = L + (M + N)$

The first is inherited from the associativity of $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$, and the second follows from the fact that $\text{Div}(C)$ acts on $T \sqcup T'$. For (iii), let $[E] = L + M$, so $[E]$ is the unique divisor class such that $-L + [E] = M$. Using (ii),

$$-L + ((L + M) + [D]) = M + [D].$$

Similarly, $L + (M + [D])$ is defined by the equation

$$-L + (L + (M + [D])) = M + [D],$$

so

$$-L + ((L + M) + [D]) = -L + (L + (M + [D])).$$

Since $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$ acts freely on $T \sqcup T'$, equation (iii) holds. For (iv), let K_1 be the left-hand side and K_2 be the right-hand side. Using (iii) and commutativity,

$$\begin{aligned} M + K_1 &= M + (N + (L + M)) \\ &= (M + N) + (L + M) \\ &= (M + L) + (M + N) \\ &= M + (L + (M + N)) \\ &= M + K_2, \end{aligned}$$

so again using (iii), the divisor class $M + K_1$ sends $-K_1$ and $-K_2$ both to M . As $\text{Pic}_C^0 \sqcup \text{Pic}_C^1$ acts invertibly, $K_1 = K_2$. \square

Corollary 3. In $H^1(k, \text{Pic}_C^0)$, $4[T] = 0$ and $2[T] = [\text{Pic}_C^1]$.

Proof. The short exact sequence of group schemes

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_C^0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Pic}_C^0(k^s) \longrightarrow G(k^s) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

of Galois modules. In the long exact sequence in Galois cohomology, the connecting homomorphism $H^0(k, \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Pic}_C^0)$ sends $1 \mapsto [\pi^{-1}(1)] = [T]$ and $2 \mapsto [\text{Pic}_C^1]$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Nicolas Addington, Brendan Hassett, Yuri Tschinkel, and Anthony Várilly-Alvarado. Cubic fourfolds fibered in sextic del Pezzo surfaces. *Amer. J. Math.*, 141(6):1479–1500, 2019.
- [2] W. Barth and A. Van de Ven. Fano varieties of lines on hypersurfaces. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 31(1):96–104, 1978/79.
- [3] Arnaud Beauville and Ron Donagi. La variété des droites d’une hypersurface cubique de dimension 4. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 301(14):703–706, 1985.
- [4] David Eisenbud. *Commutative algebra*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995. With a view toward algebraic geometry.
- [5] David Eisenbud and Joe Harris. *3264 and all that—a second course in algebraic geometry*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [6] Robin Hartshorne. *Algebraic geometry*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977.
- [7] Brendan Hassett. Special cubic fourfolds. *Compositio Math.*, 120(1):1–23, 2000.
- [8] Brendan Hassett and Yuri Tschinkel. Spaces of sections of quadric surface fibrations over curves. *Compact moduli spaces and vector bundles*, 564:227–249, 2012.
- [9] Daniel Huybrechts. *Lectures on K3 surfaces*, volume 158 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2016.
- [10] Jun-Muk Hwang. Base manifolds for fibrations of projective irreducible symplectic manifolds. *Invent. Math.*, 174(3):625–644, 2008.
- [11] Emanuele Macrì and Paolo Stellari. Fano varieties of cubic fourfolds containing a plane. *Math. Ann.*, 354(3):1147–1176, 2012.
- [12] Eyal Markman. A survey of Torelli and monodromy results for holomorphic-symplectic varieties. In *Complex and differential geometry*, volume 8 of *Springer Proc. Math.*, pages 257–322. Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [13] Daisuke Matsushita. On fibre space structures of a projective irreducible symplectic manifold. *Topology*, 38(1):79–83, 1999.
- [14] Daisuke Matsushita. On isotropic divisors on irreducible symplectic manifolds. *Higher dimensional algebraic geometry—in honour of Professor Yujiro Kawamata’s sixtieth birthday*, 74:291–312, 2017.
- [15] Giovanni Mongardi and Antonio Rapagnetta. Monodromy and birational geometry of O’grady’s sixfolds. *Journal de mathématiques pures et appliquées*, 146(1):31–68, 2021.
- [16] Claire Voisin. Théorème de Torelli pour les cubiques de \mathbf{P}^5 . *Invent. Math.*, 86(3):577–601, 1986.
- [17] Xiaoheng Wang. Maximal linear spaces contained in the based loci of pencils of quadrics. *Algebr. Geom.*, 5(3):359–397, 2018.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE, OR
97403-1222, USA

Email address: cbrooke@uoregon.edu