

REMARKS ON SYMMETRIC FUSION CATEGORIES OF LOW RANK IN POSITIVE CHARACTERISTIC

AGUSTINA CZENKY

ABSTRACT. We give lower bounds for the rank of a symmetric fusion category in characteristic $p \geq 5$ in terms of p . We prove that the second Adams operation ψ_2 is not the identity for any non-trivial symmetric fusion category, and that symmetric fusion categories satisfying $\psi_2^a = \psi_2^{a-1}$ for some positive integer a are super Tannakian. As an application, we classify all symmetric fusion categories of rank 3 and those of rank 4 with exactly two self dual simple objects.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Fusion categories	4
2.1.1. Frobenius-Perron dimension	4
2.2. Symmetric fusion categories	5
2.2.1. The second Adams operation	5
2.3. Non-degenerate fusion categories	5
2.4. Verlinde categories	6
2.4.1. The Verlinde fiber functor	6
3. Bounds for the ranks of symmetric fusion categories	6
4. Some properties of the Adams operation	12
4.1. Adams operation in Ver_p	12
4.2. Powers of the Adams operation	15
4.3. Symmetric fusion categories with exactly two self-dual simple objects	16
5. Rank 3 symmetric fusion categories	18
6. Rank 4 symmetric fusion categories	23
6.1. Exactly two self-dual simple objects	23
6.2. All simple objects are self-dual	30
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION

Fix an algebraically closed field \mathbf{k} of characteristic $p \geq 0$. A *symmetric fusion category* \mathcal{C} over \mathbf{k} is a fusion category endowed with a braiding $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$ such that

$c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = \text{id}_{X \otimes Y}$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, see [EGNO, Definition 8.1.12]. A well known theorem by Deligne [D] implies that a symmetric fusion category in characteristic 0 is *super Tannakian*, that is, admits a symmetric tensor functor to the category sVec of super vector spaces. As a consequence of this theorem, a symmetric fusion category over a field of characteristic 0 is equivalent to the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(G, z)$ of finite-dimensional representations of a finite group G . Here $z \in G$ is a central element of order 2 that modifies the braiding, see [D, 8.19]. This results gives a classification of symmetric fusion categories in characteristic zero in terms of group data.

Examples of symmetric fusion categories in positive characteristic are the Verlinde categories Ver_p , defined as the semisimplification of the category of finite dimensional \mathbf{k} -representations of the cyclic group \mathbb{Z}_p for p a positive prime, see [O2, Section 3.2]. For $p \geq 5$, these categories have no fiber functor to Vec or sVec , hence cannot be obtained as the category of representations of a finite group, see [BEO].

An important result by Victor Ostrik in [O2] gives a new version of Deligne's Theorem for the case of symmetric fusion categories in positive characteristic. He proved that any symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} in characteristic $p > 0$ admits a Verlinde fiber functor, that is, a symmetric tensor functor

$$F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p.$$

As a consequence, any \mathbf{k} -linear symmetric fusion category is equivalent to the category $\text{Rep}_{\text{Ver}_p}(G, \epsilon)$ of representations of some finite group scheme G in Ver_p [O2, Corollary 1.6]. However, this statement does not give an explicit classification for $p \geq 5$, since the classification of finite group schemes G in Ver_p such that $\text{Rep}_{\text{Ver}_p}(G)$ is semisimple is not known.

When $p > 0$, Nagata [DG] and Masuoka [M] give a classification of finite group schemes G in Vec and sVec , respectively, such that $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(G)$ is semisimple. This yields a reasonable classification of symmetric fusion categories in the super Tannakian case. Note that when $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = 2$ or 3 , symmetric fusion categories over \mathbf{k} are Tannakian and super Tannakian, respectively, so we know their classification.

In this paper we will focus on the non super Tannakian case. We will approach the classification of symmetric fusion categories in positive characteristic by rank, i.e., by the number of simple objects. Here is our first result.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \geq 5$. If \mathcal{C} is a non super Tannakian symmetric fusion category, then*

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

We note that the statement does not hold for super Tannakian categories. For example, for $p \geq 3$ the category $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ is semisimple and has rank 2 which is strictly less than $\frac{p-1}{2}$ for $p > 5$.

Note that equality in Theorem 3.1 is achieved by Ver_p^+ , the fusion subcategory of Ver_p generated by simple objects of odd index, see Section 2.4. In characteristic 5, it is known that the equality is only achieved by Ver_5^+ , see [EOV, Proposition 4.6].

Question 1.1. Let $p > 5$ and \mathcal{C} a symmetric fusion category of rank $\frac{p-1}{2}$. If \mathcal{C} is not super Tannakian, it true that $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Ver}_p^+$?

We give a positive answer for Question 1.1 for the case $p = 7$ in Theorem 5.2.

We also know that there exist non super Tannakian symmetric fusion categories of rank $\frac{p+3}{2}$. In fact, let $\delta \in \mathbf{k}$ and consider Karoubian envelope $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O(\delta))$ of the *Brauer category* as defined in [D, 9.3]. Let $\underline{\text{Rep}}^{\text{ss}}(O(\delta))$ denote the semisimplification of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O(\delta))$, i.e., the quotient of $\underline{\text{Rep}}(O(\delta))$ by the tensor ideal of negligible morphisms, see e.g. [D, 6.1]. It turns out that when $\delta = -1$ this category contains a symmetric subcategory equivalent to $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. The symmetric fusion category obtained by de-equivariantization by \mathbb{Z}_2 of the neutral component of the standard \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading of $\underline{\text{Rep}}^{\text{ss}}(O(-1))$ has rank $\frac{p+3}{2}$, see [O3] for details.

We thus have examples of non super Tannakian symmetric fusion categories in ranks $\frac{p-1}{2}$ and $\frac{p+3}{2}$. A natural question follows.

Question 1.2. Are there non super Tannakian symmetric fusion categories of rank $\frac{p+1}{2}$?

For $p \geq 5$, the category Ver_p has precisely four fusion subcategories: Vec , sVec , Ver_p^+ and Ver_p , see [O2, Proposition 3.3]. Thus, if \mathcal{C} is not super Tannakian, its Verlinde functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$ is either surjective or its image is Ver_p^+ . Our next result gives an improvement on the bound for the former case.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p \geq 5$ and let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category with Verlinde fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$. If F is surjective then*

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) \geq p - 1.$$

The main tool in the proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 is Galois theory. Another useful tool for the classification of symmetric fusion categories in positive characteristic is the *second Adams operation*. Let $p \neq 2$. For a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} with Grothendieck ring $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$, the second Adams operation is the ring endomorphism $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ given by

$$\psi_2(X) = S^2(X) - \Lambda^2(X),$$

for all X in \mathcal{C} , see [EOV].

Theorem 4.6. *Let $p > 2$ and let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category. If $\psi_2^a = \psi_2^{a-1}$ for some $a \geq 1$, then \mathcal{C} is super Tannakian.*

The following comes as a consequence.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $p \neq 2$. If \mathcal{C} is a non-trivial symmetric fusion category then ψ_2 is not the identity.*

We apply the second Adams operation to the problem of classification of symmetric fusion categories of low rank in positive characteristic. In [BEO], the second Adams operation was employed to give a complete classification for rank 2. We classify symmetric fusion categories of rank 3, and symmetric fusion categories of rank 4 with exactly two self-dual simple objects, see Theorems 5.2 and 6.7, respectively. We also note that by Theorem 3.1 non super Tannakian symmetric fusion categories of rank 4 are only possible in characteristic $p = 5$ or 7 .

Even though our results show that the second Adams operation is non-trivial for non-trivial symmetric fusion categories, we note that it is useful for the classification problem but definitely not sufficient on its own, see Remark 6.3.

This paper is organized as follows. A brief introduction to Verlinde categories and the second Adams operation is given in Section 2. Proofs for Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 are given in Section 3. In Section 4 we study properties of the second Adams operation, give a formula for it in the Verlinde category, and prove that it is not the identity for non trivial fusion categories. Sections 5 and 6 describe the classification of fusion categories of rank 3 and those of rank 4 with exactly two self dual simple objects, respectively. We also say some words about the classification of those of rank 4 where all simple objects are self dual in Section 6.

Acknowledgments. I am deeply grateful to my advisor Victor Ostrik for suggesting this project, providing insightful advice in how to approach the proofs of the main results, and for his numerous comments that made the exposition of this work much clearer.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper \mathbf{k} will denote an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 0$.

For a ring R , we denote by $R_{\mathbb{Q}}$ the scalar extension $R \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. If z is a complex number, we denote by $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ the field extension generated by z over \mathbb{Q} , and by $[\mathbb{Q}(z) : \mathbb{Q}]$ the degree of said extension.

2.1. Fusion categories. We recall that a *symmetric tensor category* \mathcal{C} is a category endowed with a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ of tensor product, and with associativity and commutativity isomorphisms and unit object satisfying suitable axioms, see e.g. [SR] or [EGNO].

A *fusion category* \mathcal{C} over \mathbf{k} is a \mathbf{k} -linear semisimple rigid tensor category with a finite number of simple objects and finite dimensional Hom-spaces, and such that $\text{End}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{1}) \simeq \mathbf{k}$.

A *fusion subcategory* of a fusion category \mathcal{C} is a full tensor subcategory $\mathcal{C}' \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that if $X \in \mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to a direct summand of an object of \mathcal{C}' , then $X \in \mathcal{C}'$, see [DGNO, 2.1].

For a fusion category \mathcal{C} we denote its unit object by $\mathbf{1}$ and by $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of \mathcal{C} . We denote by Vec (respectively sVec) the symmetric fusion category of finite dimensional vector spaces, (respectively super vector spaces), over \mathbf{k} .

For a tensor functor F between fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} its image $F(\mathcal{C})$ is the fusion subcategory of \mathcal{D} generated by objects $F(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{C}$. The functor F is called *injective* if it is fully faithful, and *surjective* if $F(\mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}$, see [ENO, 5.7]. Thus a tensor functor is an equivalence if and only if it is both injective and surjective.

For two fusion categories \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} , we can define their *external tensor product*, see [O2, Section 2.2], which we will denote by $\mathcal{C} \boxtimes \mathcal{D}$.

2.1.1. Frobenius-Perron dimension. Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. We will denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ its Grothendieck ring, see e.g. [EGNO, 4.5]. For an object X in \mathcal{C} we will use the same notation for its class X in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$. We recall that there is a unique ring homomorphism $\text{FPdim} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ called *Frobenius-Perron dimension* such that $\text{FPdim}(X) \geq 1$ for any

object $X \neq 0$, see [EGNO, Proposition 3.3.4]. The *Frobenius-Perron dimension* $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} is defined as

$$\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} \text{FPdim}(X)^2.$$

We say that \mathcal{C} is *weakly integral* if $\text{FPdim}(\mathcal{C})$ is an integer, and *integral* if $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is an integer for all simple X .

2.2. Symmetric fusion categories. Let \mathcal{C} be a braided fusion category and denote by $c_{X,Y}$ the braiding morphism $X \otimes Y \rightarrow Y \otimes X$. We say \mathcal{C} is *symmetric* if

$$c_{Y,X}c_{X,Y} = \text{id}_{X \otimes Y} \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathcal{C},$$

see [EGNO]. A *symmetric tensor functor* between symmetric tensor categories is a monoidal functor compatible with the commutativity isomorphism.

We say a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} is *Tannakian* (resp., *super Tannakian*) if it admits a symmetric fiber functor, that is, a symmetric tensor functor $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$ (resp., $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{sVec}$), see [SR, DM, D].

For any object X in a symmetric tensor category over a field of characteristic $p > 0$, we can define its *symmetric powers* $S^i(X)$ and *exterior powers* $\Lambda^i(X)$, see [EHO, 2.1].

2.2.1. The second Adams operation. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category over a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$. The *second Adams operation* $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined by

$$\psi_2(X) = S^2(X) - \Lambda^2(X),$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$. This defines a ring endomorphism of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$, see [EOV, Lemma 4.4].

Since $X^2 = S^2(X) + \Lambda^2(X)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, then

$$X^2 \equiv \psi_2(X) \pmod{2} \quad \text{for all } X \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}).$$

We will use this fact repeatedly throughout this work. We also have that ψ_2 commutes with duality, that is, $\psi_2(X)^* = \psi_2(X^*)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$.

When studying properties of the second Adams operation, we will often look at its scalar extension

$$(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} := \psi_2 \otimes 1 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

2.3. Non-degenerate fusion categories. Let \mathcal{C} be a fusion category. Recall that a *pivotal structure* on \mathcal{C} is a tensor isomorphism $X \simeq X^{**}$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, see [BW, EGNO]. Associated to a pivotal structure we can define the left and right trace of a morphism $X \rightarrow X$. The pivotal structure is called *spherical* if for any such morphism its right trace equals its left trace. A *spherical fusion category* is a fusion category equipped with a spherical structure. In the case when \mathcal{C} is symmetric, there is a canonical choice of spherical structure given by

$$X \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X \otimes \text{coev}_{X^*}} X \otimes X^* \otimes X^{**} \xrightarrow{c_{X,X^*} \otimes \text{id}_{X^{**}}} X^* \otimes X \otimes X^{**} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_X \otimes \text{Id}_{X^{**}}} X^{**},$$

see e.g. [EGNO, Section 9.9].

Let \mathcal{C} be a spherical fusion category. We recall the definition of *dimension* $\dim(X) \in \mathbf{k}$ of an object X as the trace of its identity morphism. This determines a ring homomorphism

$\dim : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{k}$ sending X to $\dim(X)$. By [EGNO, Proposition 4.8.4] if $X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ then $\dim(X) \neq 0$.

The *global dimension* $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \in \mathbf{k}$ of a spherical fusion category \mathcal{C} is defined as

$$\dim(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{X \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} \dim(X)^2.$$

We say \mathcal{C} is *non-degenerate* if $\dim(\mathcal{C}) \neq 0$, see [ENO, Definition 9.1]. A crucial property of non-degenerate fusion categories is that they can be lifted to characteristic zero, see [E] and [ENO, Section 9]. It is known that for $p = 0$ any fusion category is non-degenerate, see [ENO, Theorem 2.3].

2.4. Verlinde categories. Let $p > 0$. Let \mathbb{Z}_p be the cyclic group of p elements with generator σ . We have an equivalence of algebras $\mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}_p] = \mathbf{k}[\sigma]/(\sigma^p - 1) = \mathbf{k}[\sigma]/(\sigma - 1)^p$. Thus isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in the category $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ are given by the \mathbb{Z}_p -modules $\tilde{L}_s := \mathbf{k}[\sigma]/(1 - \sigma)^s$, for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $1 \leq s \leq p$.

The Verlinde category Ver_p is the symmetric fusion category over \mathbf{k} obtained by quotienting $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ by the tensor ideal of negligible morphisms, see [O2] for details. Simple objects of this category are precisely the images of the indecomposable objects \tilde{L}_s for $s = 1, \dots, p-1$. We denote them by $\mathbf{1} = L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{p-1}$. The Verlinde fusion rules are given by

$$L_r \otimes L_s \simeq \sum_{i=1}^{\min(r,s,p-r,p-s)} L_{|r-s|+2i-1}.$$

We denote by Ver_p^+ the abelian subcategory of Ver_p generated by L_i for i odd. By the Verlinde fusion rules, it turns out that Ver_p^+ is a fusion subcategory of Ver_p . For $p > 2$ the fusion subcategory generated by L_1 and L_{p-1} is tensor equivalent to sVec . We have an equivalence of categories

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Ver}_p \simeq \text{Ver}_p^+ \boxtimes \text{sVec},$$

see [O2].

2.4.1. The Verlinde fiber functor. Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category over \mathbf{k} of characteristic $p > 0$. The main result of [O2] states:

Theorem 1. [O2, Theorem 1.5] *There exists a symmetric tensor functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$.*

The functor F is called the *Verlinde fiber functor*. It is shown in [EOV, Theorem 2.6] that it is unique up to a non-unique isomorphism of tensor functors.

3. BOUNDS FOR THE RANKS OF SYMMETRIC FUSION CATEGORIES

In this section we prove our two main results concerning the ranks of non super Tannakian symmetric fusion categories. Throughout this section, we assume $\text{char}(\mathbf{k}) = p \geq 5$. Let $z = e^{2\pi i/p}$ be a primitive p -th root of unity. Recall that we denote by $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ the field extension generated by z over \mathbb{Q} .

Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category and consider its Verlinde fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$. Recall that by [O2, Proposition 3.3] we have an equivalence of symmetric fusion categories

$$\text{Ver}_p \simeq \text{Ver}_p^+ \boxtimes \text{sVec}.$$

Consider the monoidal (non symmetric) forgetful functor $\text{Forget} : \text{sVec} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$. We have a (possibly non symmetric) tensor functor

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{F} := (\text{id} \boxtimes \text{Forget}) \circ F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p^+;$$

we denote also by \tilde{F} the induced ring homomorphism $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)$. We are interested in studying the image of this map. By [BEO, Theorem 4.5 (iv)], we have an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}),$$

and so $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a subfield of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Hence to study the image of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ through \tilde{F} we start by looking at subfields of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$.

We make the usual identification of the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ with the multiplicative group \mathbb{Z}_p^\times . This is a cyclic group with $p - 1$ elements, where j acts on $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ by $j \cdot z = z^j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. We denote the Galois group of the maximal real subextension $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$ of $\mathbb{Q}(z)$ by \mathcal{G} , which corresponds to the quotient of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times by the subgroup $\{\pm 1\}$. Thus \mathcal{G} is a cyclic group of order $\frac{p-1}{2}$.

By Galois correspondence, subextensions of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$ are in bijection with subgroups of \mathcal{G} . That is, for every positive integer k that divides $\frac{p-1}{2}$ there exists a unique subextension A_k of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$ such that $[A_k : \mathbb{Q}] = k$, and its Galois group is exactly the quotient of \mathcal{G} by the unique subgroup H_m of order m , where $mk = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Moreover, every subextension is of this form, and A_k is the set of elements fixed by every element in H_m .

Consider the basis $\{z^i + z^{-i}\}_{i=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$. Then the group \mathcal{G} (and thus also all subgroups H_m) acts on this set freely and transitively by permutation,

$$a \cdot (z^j + z^{-j}) = z^{aj} + z^{-aj},$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{G}$. So the orbits of the action of H_m on this set have exactly m elements. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$ denote the orbits of the action of H_m , and define

$$(3.2) \quad x_i := \sum_{z^t + z^{-t} \in \mathcal{O}_i} (z^t + z^{-t}),$$

so that $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ is a basis of A_k . Without loss of generality, we choose the labelling so that $z + z^{-1} = z^{p-1} + z^{-(p-1)} \in \mathcal{O}_k$,

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \geq 5$. If \mathcal{C} is a non super Tannakian symmetric fusion category, then*

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) \geq \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

Proof. Consider the tensor functor $\tilde{F} : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p^+$ as defined in 3.1. According to [BEO, Theorem 4.5 (iv)], under the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$$

we have identifications

$$(3.3) \quad L_{2j+1} = \sum_{l=1}^j (z^{2l} + z^{-2l}) + 1, \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, (p-3)/2.$$

Recall that $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a subfield of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$. Now,

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \text{rank}(\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))) = \dim(\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}),$$

where $\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))$ refers to the rank of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ as a free abelian group. Thus we would like to show that $\dim(\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \frac{p-1}{2}$, or in other words, that $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$.

By our discussion at the beginning of this section, we know that $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is of the form A_k for some k that divides $\frac{p-1}{2}$, where A_k is the unique subextension of order k . Hence to prove the statement it is enough to show that $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Note that $k > 1$, since if $k = 1$ the image of \tilde{F} would be a multiple of the identity and we would have a symmetric tensor functor from \mathcal{C} to sVec , which would mean that \mathcal{C} is super Tannakian.

For objects $X \in \mathcal{C}$, their images through \tilde{F} are objects in Ver_p and so can be written as $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ linear combinations of L_i 's with i odd. Then $\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))$ has a basis of elements of these form, and thus so does A_k . That is,

$$(3.4) \quad A_k \text{ has a basis given by } \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ linear combinations of } L_i \text{'s for } i \text{ odd.}$$

For the sake of contradiction, assume that $k < \frac{p-1}{2}$. We already know that $k > 1$.

Using formula (3.3) we compute

$$z^t + z^{-t} = L_{t+1} - L_{t-1} \quad \text{for } t \text{ even, } 2 \leq t < p-1.$$

On the other hand,

$$L_{p-2} = \sum_{l=1}^{\frac{p-3}{2}} (z^{2l} + z^{-2l}) + 1 = -(z^{p-1} + z^{-(p-1)}),$$

since $\sum_{\substack{i=-(p-1) \\ i \text{ even}}}^{p-1} z^i = 0$. Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$ and x_1, \dots, x_k be as in (3.2). Since we can pick t to

be a positive even number for each summand $z^t + z^{-t}$ of x_i (if not, replace t by $-t$ or $t-p$), then we can identify each x_i with a sum of L_s 's with multiplicity ± 1 , as follows:

$$x_i = \sum_{\substack{z^t + z^{-t} \in \mathcal{O}_i \\ t \text{ even} \\ 2 \leq t < p-1}} (L_{t+1} - L_{t-1}), \quad \text{for } i \neq k, \quad \text{and} \quad x_k = -L_{p-2} + \sum_{\substack{z^t + z^{-t} \in \mathcal{O}_k \\ t \text{ even} \\ 2 \leq t < p-1}} (L_{t+1} - L_{t-1}).$$

Let s odd, $1 < s \leq p-2$. Note that L_s appears with nonzero multiplicity in either two basis elements, with multiplicity 1 and -1 , respectively, or in none (since it may cancel out with itself). On the other hand, L_1 appears in only one basis element (explicitly, the basis element x_j such that $z^2 + z^{-2} \in \mathcal{O}_j$), with multiplicity -1 . We will say L_s is a "positive" summand of x_i if it has multiplicity 1 in x_i , and is a "negative" summand if it has multiplicity -1 .

We claim that every x_i has at least one positive and one negative summand. In fact, this is clear for $1 \leq i < k$, since the number of positive summands in x_i is the same as the number of negative summands. Suppose for contradiction that we have $x_k = -L_s$ for some even s , $2 \leq s \leq p-2$. Our assumption $k < \frac{p-1}{2}$ assures that every orbit has at least two elements, so it is not possible to have $x_k = -L_{p-2}$. Since we are assuming x_k has only one negative summand, L_{p-2} must cancel out with a positive summand. This implies $z^3 + z^{-3} = z^{p-3} + z^{-(p-3)} \in \mathcal{O}_k$ as well, and so H_m contains the class $\bar{3}$ of the number $3 \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. Now, either $x_k = -L_{p-4}$, or $-L_{p-4}$ cancels out. In the latter case, we have that $z^5 + z^{-5} = z^{p-5} + z^{-(p-5)} \in \mathcal{O}_k$ and so $\bar{5} \in H_m$. Recursively, we get that $H_m = \{\bar{1}, \bar{3}, \bar{5}, \dots, \bar{j}\}$ for some odd $3 \leq j \leq p-2$. We claim this contradicts that H_m is a proper subgroup. In fact, since H_m is a subgroup, it must contain the classes of $3l$ for all l odd, $1 \leq l \leq j$. Let $l \in H_m$ such that $3l \leq j < 3(l+2)$. Note that $l+2$ is also in H_m (if not, then $3l \leq j < l+2$, and so $l < 1$, which is not possible). So $3(l+2)$ must also be in H_m . But since $j < 3(l+2)$, it must be the case that $3(l+2) > p$ and $\overline{3(l+2)} = \bar{n}$ for some odd $1 \leq n < j$. So we have the inequalities

$$3l \leq j < p < 3(l+2),$$

which imply $p = 3l + 2$ or $p = 3l + 4$. If $p = 3l + 2$, since H_m contains the classes of all odd elements from 1 to $3l = p - 2$ we get that $|H_m| = \frac{p-1}{2}$, a contradiction. If $p = 3l + 4$, then H_m contains all odd elements from 1 to $3l = p - 4$ (its missing at most one element) and thus again H_m must have all odd elements, a contradiction. Hence x_k has at least one positive and one negative summand.

Our aim is to construct sequences of indexes, alternating between negative and positive summands of different x_i 's. We have shown every basis element has at least one positive and one negative summands. With this in mind, we begin the construction of our sequences.

Fix $s_0 \neq 1$ so that L_{s_0} is a positive summand of some x_{j_0} . Since $k > 1$, then there exists $j_1 \neq j_0$ such that L_{s_0} is a negative summand of x_{j_1} . By our preceding discussion, there must exist a positive summand of x_{j_1} . So we can find $s_1 \neq 1$ (since L_1 can only be a negative summand) such that L_{s_1} is a positive summand of x_{j_1} . Thus L_{s_1} is a negative summand of x_{j_2} for some $j_2 \neq j_1$. Again, there exists some $s_2 \neq 1$ such that L_{s_2} is a positive summand of x_{j_2} . Recursively, we can construct sequences of indexes $\{s_t\}$ and $\{j_i\}$ such that $s_t \neq 1$ for all t , $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ for all i , and L_{s_t} is a positive summand of x_{j_t} and a negative summand of $x_{j_{t+1}}$. Since there are only finitely many indexes j_i , they must repeat at some point. Without loss of generality, assume j_1 is the first one that repeats, so our sequence is $\{j_1, j_2, j_3, \dots, j_n, j_1, \dots\}$, with $n \geq 2$.

Let $y := a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k$ be an element in A_k that can be written as a positive linear combination of L_s 's. We show that y is in the subspace generated by $\{x_i\}_{i \neq j_1, \dots, j_n}$ and $x_{j_1} + \dots + x_{j_n}$. Since L_{s_1} has multiplicity $a_{j_1} - a_{j_2}$ in y , it must happen that $a_{j_1} \geq a_{j_2}$. Now, L_{s_2} has multiplicity $a_{j_2} - a_{j_3}$ in y , which implies $a_{j_2} \geq a_{j_3}$. Then we can obtain a sequence

$$a_{j_1} \geq a_{j_2} \geq \dots \geq a_{j_n} \geq a_{j_1},$$

which implies $a_{j_1} = a_{j_2} = \dots = a_{j_n}$, as desired.

Consequently, elements that can be written as a positive linear combination of L_i 's are contained in a subset of dimension less than k , which contradicts our statement (3.4). Hence $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and so

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \text{rank}(\tilde{F}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))) = \dim(A_k) = \frac{p-1}{2},$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Let \mathcal{C} now be a symmetric fusion category with Verlinde fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$, and suppose F is surjective. By [BEO, Theorem 4.5 (iv)] we have an isomorphism

$$(3.5) \quad \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}).$$

We denote also by F the induced ring homomorphism $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)$. Same as before, $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and we will show that it is exactly $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We start by looking at subalgebras $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})^{\oplus 2}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Subalgebras of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})^{\oplus 2}$ of dimension greater than $\frac{p-1}{2}$ are of the form $A_k \oplus A_l$, where k, l are positive integers dividing $\frac{p-1}{2}$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{A} be a subalgebra of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})^{\oplus 2}$. Suppose first that \mathcal{A} has no nontrivial idempotents. Note that $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})^{\oplus 2}$ has no nilpotent elements and thus neither does \mathcal{A} . Hence \mathcal{A} is semisimple and so by Artin-Wedderburn's Theorem it is isomorphic to a finite product of field extensions over \mathbb{Q} . Since \mathcal{A} has no idempotents, this implies that \mathcal{A} is isomorphic to a field extension over \mathbb{Q} .

Consider the projection map p from $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})^{\oplus 2}$ to its first summand, and let q denote its restriction to \mathcal{A} . Then $\ker(q) = 0$ or \mathcal{A} . If $\ker(q) = \mathcal{A}$ then elements in \mathcal{A} are of the form $(0, a)$, which is only possible for $a = 0$ since \mathcal{A} is a field. Hence if $\mathcal{A} \neq 0$ we must have $\ker(q) = 0$, that is, we have an injective map $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$, and so $\dim(\mathcal{A}) \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Suppose now that \mathcal{A} contains a nontrivial idempotent e . Then e is either $(1, 0), (0, 1)$, and

$$\mathcal{A} \simeq e \cdot \mathcal{A} \oplus (1 - e) \cdot \mathcal{A}.$$

Hence \mathcal{A} is a direct sum of subalgebras of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$, as desired. \square

The proof of the following Theorem follows the same steps as the proof of Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p \geq 5$ and let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category with a Verlinde fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$. If F is surjective, then*

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}) \geq p - 1.$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be as in the statement. Under the isomorphism

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}),$$

we have identifications

$$(3.7) \quad L_{2j+1} = \left(\sum_{l=1}^j (z^{2l} + z^{-2l}) + 1, 0 \right) \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, (p-3)/2, \text{ and}$$

$$(3.8) \quad L_{2j} = \left(0, \sum_{l=1}^j (z^{2l-1} + z^{-(2l-1)}) \right) \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, (p-1)/2.$$

Since \mathcal{C} is not super Tannakian, by the proof of Theorem 3.1 we know that the coposition

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \text{Ver}_p \simeq \text{Ver}_p^+ \boxtimes \text{sVec} \xrightarrow{\text{id} \boxtimes \text{Forget}} \text{Ver}_p^+$$

is surjective. Moreover, since we are assuming F is surjective, $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))$ cannot be equal to $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)$, and so

$$\text{rank}(F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))) > \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

This together with Lemma 3.2 implies that $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is identified with a subalgebra of the form $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}) \oplus A_k$, for some k that divides $\frac{p-1}{2}$. Note that the rank of $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))$ is equal to the dimension of $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and so we want to show that $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.1, A_k must have a basis such that its elements can be written as linear combination of L'_i , with i even, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. For contradiction, assume $k < \frac{p-1}{2}$. Note also that since F is surjective we have that $k > 1$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_k$ and x_1, \dots, x_k as in (3.2), relabelling so that $z + z^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_1$. Via the identification (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (0, z^t + z^{-t}) &= L_{t+1} - L_{t-1} \quad \text{for } t \text{ odd, } 1 < t < p-1, \text{ and} \\ (0, z + z^{-1}) &= L_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since we can pick t odd for each summand $z^t + z^{-t}$ of x_i (if not odd, replace t by $t-p$), then we can identify each x_i with a sum of L_i 's with multiplicity ± 1 or 0, as follows

$$(0, x_1) = L_2 + \sum_{\substack{z^t+z^{-t} \in \mathcal{O}_1 \\ t \text{ odd} \\ 1 < t < p-1}} (L_{t+1} - L_{t-1}), \quad \text{and} \quad (0, x_i) = \sum_{\substack{z^t+z^{-t} \in \mathcal{O}_i \\ t \text{ odd} \\ 1 < t < p-1}} (L_{t+1} - L_{t-1}) \text{ for } i \neq 1.$$

Let s odd, $2 \leq s < p-1$. Note that L_s appears with nonzero multiplicity in either two basis elements, with multiplicity 1 and -1 , respectively, or in none (since it may cancel out with itself). On the other hand, L_{p-1} appears in only one basis element (explicitly, the basis element x_j such that $z^{p-2} + z^{-(p-2)} \in \mathcal{O}_j$), with multiplicity 1.

Same as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we will construct sequences of indexes, alternating between negative and positive summands of different x_i 's. By an analogous reasoning, the assumption $k < \frac{p-1}{2}$ implies that if L_s , $s \neq p-1$, is a positive summand of some x_j , then we can also find a negative summand L_t of x_j .

Fix $s_0 \neq p-1$ so that L_{s_0} is a negative summand of some x_{j_0} . Since $k > 1$, then there exists $j_1 \neq j_0$ such that L_{s_0} is a positive summand of x_{j_1} . By our preceding discussion, there must exist a negative summand of x_{j_1} . So we can find $s_1 \neq p-1$ (since L_{p-1} can

only be a positive summand) such that L_{s_1} is a negative summand of x_{j_1} . Thus L_{s_1} is a positive summand of x_{j_2} for some $j_2 \neq j_1$. Recursively, we can construct sequences of indexes $\{s_t\}$ and $\{j_i\}$ such that $s_t \neq p-1$ for all t , $x_i \neq x_{i+1}$ for all i , and L_{s_t} is a negative summand of x_{j_t} and a positive summand of $x_{j_{t+1}}$. Since there are only finitely many indexes j_i , they must repeat at some point. Without loss of generality, assume j_1 is the first one that repeats, so our sequence is $\{j_1, j_2, j_3, \dots, j_n, j_1, \dots\}$, with $n \geq 2$.

Let $y := a_1x_1 + \dots + a_kx_k$ be an element in A_k that can be written as a positive linear combination of L_s 's. We show that y is in the subspace generated by $\{x_i\}_{i \neq j_1, \dots, j_n}$ and $x_{j_1} + \dots + x_{j_n}$. Since L_{s_1} has multiplicity $a_{j_2} - a_{j_1}$ in y , it must happen that $a_{j_2} \geq a_{j_1}$. Now, L_{s_2} has multiplicity $a_{j_3} - a_{j_2}$ in y , which implies $a_{j_3} \geq a_{j_2}$. Then we can obtain a sequence

$$a_{j_1} \leq a_{j_2} \leq \dots \leq a_{j_n} \leq a_{j_1},$$

which implies $a_{j_1} = a_{j_2} = \dots = a_{j_n}$, as desired. Thus elements that can be written as a positive linear combination of L_i 's are contained in a subset of dimension less than k , a contradiction, since we should be able to obtain a basis for A_k of that form. Hence $k = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and so

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})) \geq \text{rank}(F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))) = \dim(A_k) = p-1,$$

as desired. □

4. SOME PROPERTIES OF THE ADAMS OPERATION

Throughout this section, we assume $p > 2$.

4.1. Adams operation in Ver_p . Recall that the Adams operation of a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} is defined as the ring endomorphism $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ given by

$$\psi_2(X) = S^2(X) - \Lambda^2(X),$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$, see section 2.2.1.

In this section we study some properties of the Adams operation in Ver_p . We first give an explicit formula for the second Adams operation on simple objects L_t , $1 \leq t \leq p-1$. We then use this formula to show that if an object X in Ver_p is fixed by the Adams operation, then X is in the abelian subcategory Vec generated by $\mathbf{1} = L_1$.

Remark 4.1. The image of $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)$ is contained in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)$. In fact,

$$L_t^2 = \sum_{s=1}^{\min(t, p-t)} L_{2s-1} = S^2(L_t) + \Lambda^2(L_t),$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, p-1$, and so the multiplicity of even simples is zero in both $S^2(L_i)$ and $\Lambda^2(L_i)$.

Note that to compute ψ_2 in simple objects L_r of Ver_p , it is enough to compute it for r odd, since

$$\psi_2(L_r) = -\psi_2(L_{p-r}).$$

This follows from

$$\Lambda^2 L_r = L_{p-1}^2 \otimes S^2 L_{p-r} = S^2 L_{p-r},$$

see [EOV, Proposition 2.4].

Example 4.2. In Ver_5 ,

$$\psi_2(L_3) = L_1 - L_3 = -\psi_2(L_2).$$

In fact, we know that $\psi_2 = S^2(L_3) - \Lambda^2(L_3)$ and $L_3^2 = L_1 + L_3 = S^2(L_3) + \Lambda^2(L_3)$. Hence there must exist $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_3 \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $\psi_2(L_3) = \epsilon_1 L_1 + \epsilon_3 L_3$. Now,

$$L_1 + 2\epsilon_1 \epsilon_3 L_3 + L_3^2 = \psi_2(L_3)^2 = \psi_2(L_3^2) = (1 + \epsilon_1)L_1 + \epsilon_3 L_3,$$

and so $2 = 1 + \epsilon_1$, which implies $\epsilon_1 = 1$. It follows that $\epsilon_3 = -1$.

Proposition 4.3. *The second Adams operation $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(L_t) &= \sum_{s=1}^{\min(t, p-t)} (-1)^{s+1} L_{2s-1} \text{ for } t \text{ odd, } 1 \leq t \leq p-1, \text{ and} \\ \psi_2(L_t) &= \sum_{s=1}^{\min(t, p-t)} (-1)^s L_{2s-1} \text{ for } t \text{ even, } 1 \leq t \leq p-1. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that the second formula follows from the first by the equality $\psi_2(L_r) = -\psi_2(L_{p-r})$. We will use the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}),$$

for z a primitive p -th root of unity, see [BEO, Theorem 4.5 (iv)] and Section 3. Consider the basis $\{z^{2i} + z^{-2i}\}_{i=1, \dots, \frac{p-1}{2}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$. Via this isomorphism, we have identifications

$$L_{2j+1} = \sum_{l=1}^j (z^{2l} + z^{-2l}) + 1, \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, (p-3)/2,$$

from which we compute

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} z^t + z^{-t} &= L_{t+1} - L_{t-1} \text{ for } t \text{ even, } 2 \leq t < p-1, \text{ and} \\ z^{p-1} + z^{-(p-1)} &= -L_{p-2}, \end{aligned}$$

see Section 3 and the proof of Theorem 3.1 for details.

Note that $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$ maps $z + z^{-1} = z^{p-1} + z^{-(p-1)}$ to $z^2 + z^{-2}$. In fact, since $\dim(S^2(L_{p-2})) = \frac{(p-2)(p-1)}{2} = 1 \pmod{p}$, it must be the case that $S^2(L_{p-2}) = L_1$. On the other hand, $\dim(\Lambda^2(L_{p-2})) = \frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{2} = 3 \pmod{p}$ and L_1 can only be a summand of either $S^2(L_{p-2})$ or $\Lambda^2(L_{p-2})$ but not both, then $\Lambda^2(L_{p-2}) = L_3$. Thus using identification (4.1) we get

$$\psi_2(z^{p-1} + z^{-(p-1)}) = -\psi_2(L_{p-2}) = -S^2(L_{p-2}) + \Lambda^2(L_{p-2}) = L_3 - L_1 = z^2 + z^{-2},$$

as desired.

We prove now our formulas for $\psi_2(L_t)$, t odd, by induction. We do the case $1 \leq t \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ first. We know $\psi_2(L_1) = L_1$, so the formula works for $t = 1$. Fix $1 < t \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$ odd, and suppose the formula is true for all odd $1 \leq r < t$. Then

$$\psi_2(z^{t-1} + z^{-(t-1)}) = z^{2(t-1)} + z^{-2(t-1)} = L_{2(t-1)+1} - L_{2(t-1)-1} = L_{2t-1} - L_{2t-3},$$

where in the third equality we are using the identification (4.1). Since $z^{t-1} + z^{-(t-1)} = L_t - L_{t-2}$, we compute using induction

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(L_t) &= \psi_2(L_{t-2}) + L_{2t-1} - L_{2t-3} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{t-2} (-1)^{s+1} L_{2s-1} + L_{2t-1} - L_{2t-3} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^t (-1)^{s+1} L_{2s-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{\min(t, p-t)} (-1)^{s+1} L_{2s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and so the formula holds for t .

It remains to show that the formula holds for the case of odd $\frac{p-1}{2} < t < p-1$. We already computed $\psi_2(L_{p-2}) = L_1 - L_3$, so it works for $t = p-2$. Fix odd $\frac{p-1}{2} < t = p-l < p-1$ and assume the formula holds for all odd $t < r < p-1$. Since $z^{p-l+1} + z^{-(p-l+1)} = L_{p-(l-2)} - L_{p-l}$, we compute same as before

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(L_{p-l}) &= \psi_2(L_{p-(l-2)}) - (L_{2l-1} - L_{2l-3}) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{l-2} (-1)^{s+1} L_{2s-1} - L_{2l-3} + L_{2l-1} \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^l (-1)^{s+1} L_{2s-1} = \sum_{s=1}^{\min(t, p-t)} (-1)^{s+1} L_{2s-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

Remark 4.4. The statement of Proposition 4.3 only works for actual objects in Ver_p , that is, objects that can be written as $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ linear combinations of L_1, \dots, L_{p-1} . There can exist objects in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)$ that are fixed by the second Adams operation but are not multiples of L_1 . For example, consider $p = 17$ and $L_5 - L_7 + L_9 - L_{15} \in \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(L_5 - L_7 + L_9 - L_{15}) &= \psi_2(L_5) - \psi_2(L_7) + \psi_2(L_9) - \psi_2(L_{15}) \\ &= (L_1 - L_3 + L_5 - L_7 + L_9) - (L_1 + L_3 - L_5 + L_7 - L_9 + L_{11} - L_{13}) + \\ &\quad + (L_1 + L_3 - L_5 + L_7 - L_9 + L_{11} - L_{13} - L_{15}) - (L_1 - L_3) \\ &= L_5 - L_7 + L_9 - L_{15} \end{aligned}$$

and so $L_5 - L_7 + L_9 - L_{15}$ is fixed by ψ_2 but is not in Vec .

We now study objects in Ver_p that are fixed by the second Adams operation. For a simple object X in a symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} , we denote by $[Y : X]$ the multiplicity of X in Y for all $Y \in \mathcal{C}$.

Corollary 4.5. *An object $X \in \text{Ver}_p$ is fixed by ψ_2 if and only if $X \in \text{Vec}$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Ver}_p$ such that $\psi_2(X) = X$ and let a_1, \dots, a_{p-1} be non-negative integers such that $X = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} a_j L_j$. Since the image of ψ_2 is contained in $\mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)$ (see Remark 4.1) then $a_2 = a_4 = \dots = a_{p-1} = 0$.

Using the formulas from Proposition 4.3 we compute

$$a_1 = [X : L_1] = [\psi_2(X) : L_1] = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} a_{2j-1}.$$

Since a_i is non-negative for all i this implies $a_3 = a_5 = \dots = a_{p-2} = 0$, as desired. \square

4.2. Powers of the Adams operation. Recall that in this section we assume $p > 2$. Here we classify symmetric fusion categories \mathcal{C} such that $\psi_2^a = \psi_2^{a-1}$ for some $a \geq 1$. Namely, we show that such categories are super Tannakian and thus classified by group data, see [DM, D, DG]. Moreover, we show that the case $a = 1$ is only possible for the trivial category. That is, we prove that if $\psi_2 = \text{Id}$ in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ then $\mathcal{C} = \text{Vec}$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $p \neq 2$ and let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category. If $\psi_2^a = \psi_2^{a-1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, then \mathcal{C} is super Tannakian.*

Proof. We denote also by F the induced ring homomorphism $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)$. Suppose first that $a = 1$. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Since F preserves the symmetric structure, we have that

$$\psi_2(FX) = F(\psi_2 X) = F(X),$$

and so ψ_2 fixes $FX \in \text{Ver}_p$. Thus by Lemma 4.5 we have that $FX \in \text{Vec}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and so \mathcal{C} is Tannakian, as desired.

Suppose now that $a > 1$. Similarly, we have that

$$\psi_2^a(FX) = F(\psi_2^a X) = F(\psi_2^{a-1} X) = \psi_2^{a-1}(FX), \text{ for all } X \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}).$$

That is, $\psi_2^a = \psi_2^{a-1}$ in the image of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ through F . Suppose \mathcal{C} is not super Tannakian (and thus, in particular, $p > 3$). The proofs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.3 show that $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is either $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})^{\oplus 2}$. Recall that $\psi_2(z + z^{-1}) = z^2 + z^{-2}$. Then $(\psi_2^a)_{\mathbb{Q}} = (\psi_2^{a-1})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ in $F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}))$ would imply that $z^{2^a} + z^{-2^a} = z^{2^{a-1}} + z^{-2^{a-1}}$, and so $2^a \equiv \pm 2^{a-1} \pmod{p}$, which implies $2 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$. This is a contradiction since $p > 3$, and thus \mathcal{C} must be super Tannakian. \square

Remark 4.7. The same proof as in Theorem 4.6 shows that if \mathcal{C} is a non-Tannakian symmetric fusion category, then $\psi_2^a = \psi_2^b$ is only possible when $2^a \equiv \pm 2^b \pmod{p}$.

Note that for the case $a = 1$, i.e., $\psi_2 = \text{Id}$, we showed that \mathcal{C} should be Tannakian, and thus equivalent to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(G)$ for a finite group scheme G . A classification of finite group schemes G such that $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(G)$ is semisimple is given by Nagata's theorem [DG, IV, 3.6]; thus Theorem 4.6 yields a classification of symmetric fusion categories such that $\psi_2 = \text{Id}$. Namely, any such category is an equivariantization (see [DGNO, Section 4]) of a pointed

category associated with a p -group (see e.g. [EGNO, 8.4]) by the action of a group H of order relatively prime to p .

Theorem 4.8. *Let $p > 2$. If \mathcal{C} is a non-trivial symmetric fusion category then ψ_2 is not the identity.*

Proof. Suppose ψ_2 is the identity in \mathcal{C} . By the discussion above we know that \mathcal{C} is an equivariantization of a pointed category associated with a p -group by a group H . Suppose H is non-trivial and consider the subcategory $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(H)$ of \mathcal{C} .

The Adams operation acts on $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(H)$ by mapping a character $\chi(g)$ to $\chi(g^2)$ for all $g \in H$. Thus if $\psi_2 = \text{Id}$ then

$$\chi(g^2) = \chi(g) \text{ for all } g \in H.$$

Hence for all g in H , g is conjugate to g^2 . So if $|H|$ is even, there is an element $h \in H$ of order 2, which is conjugated to $h^2 = 1$, a contradiction.

Suppose then $|H|$ is odd. We have that for all g in H there exists some $h \in H$ such that $g^2 = hgh^{-1}$ and so

$$g = hgh^{-1}g^{-1}.$$

Thus g is in the commutator subgroup of H , and so $H \subseteq [H, H]$. This contradicts the Feit-Thompson Theorem, which states that every finite group of odd order is solvable. So H must be trivial.

We thus have that \mathcal{C} is a pointed category associated with an abelian p -group P . Hence ψ_2 maps $g \mapsto g^2$ for all $g \in P$ and so $g = g^2$ for all $g \in P$. Then P is trivial and \mathcal{C} is equivalent to Vec . \square

Note that the previous Theorem also holds in characteristic 0, since the Adams operation acts on $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(G)$ by mapping a character $\chi(g)$ to $\chi(g^2)$ for all $g \in G$.

4.3. Symmetric fusion categories with exactly two self-dual simple objects. In this subsection we prove some general properties of the Adams operation $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ for the case when \mathcal{C} is a symmetric fusion category with exactly two self-dual simple objects. These results will be useful for the classification of symmetric fusion categories of ranks 3 and 4 in Sections 5 and 6. In particular, we show that if ψ_2 is an automorphism then it has even order, see Theorem 4.11.

Recall that throughout this section we assume $p > 2$.

Lemma 4.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category with exactly two self-dual objects $\mathbf{1}$ and Y . Then*

$$[\psi_2^{2k+1}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

for all $k \geq 0$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on k . Let $\mathbf{1}, Y, X_1, X_1^*, \dots, X_n, X_n^*$ denote the simple objects in \mathcal{C} . Since $\psi_2(Y) \equiv Y^2 \pmod{2}$ and $[Y^2 : \mathbf{1}] = 1$ then $[\psi_2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, which proves the base case.

Fix $k > 1$ and suppose that

$$[\psi_2^{2l+1}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \text{ for all } l < k.$$

We want to show it also holds for $l = k$. Since Y is self-dual then $[Y^2 : X_i] = [Y^2 : X_i^*]$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, and so

$$\psi_2(Y) \equiv Y^2 \equiv \mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^n [Y^2 : X_i] (X_i + X_i^*) + [Y^2 : Y] Y \pmod{2},$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Applying ψ_2^{2k} on both sides of the previous equation we get

$$(4.2) \quad \psi_2^{2k+1}(Y) \equiv \mathbf{1} + \sum_{i=1}^n [Y^2 : X_i] \left(\psi_2^{2k}(X_i) + \psi_2^{2k}(X_i^*) \right) + [Y^2 : Y] \psi_2^{2k}(Y) \pmod{2}.$$

Recall that ψ_2 commutes with duality. That is, $\psi_2(X_i)^* = \psi_2(X_i^*)$ and so

$$(4.3) \quad [\psi_2^l(X_i) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2^l(X_i)^* : \mathbf{1}] \equiv [\psi_2^l(X_i^*) : \mathbf{1}] \pmod{2},$$

for all $l \geq 1$. From equations (4.2) and (4.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_2^{2k+1}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] &\equiv 1 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n [Y^2 : X_i] [\psi_2^{2k}(X_i) : \mathbf{1}] + [Y^2 : Y] [\psi_2^{2k}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv 1 + [Y^2 : Y] [\psi_2^{2k}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously,

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_2^{2k}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] &\equiv 1 + [Y^2 : Y] [\psi_2^{2k-1}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv 1 + [Y^2 : Y] \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

since we assumed $[\psi_2^{2k-1}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_2^{2k+1}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] &\equiv 1 + [Y^2 : Y] (1 + [Y^2 : Y]) \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv 1 + [Y^2 : Y] + [Y^2 : Y]^2 \pmod{2} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired. □

Remark 4.10. In the proof of Lemma 4.9 we showed that

$$[\psi_2^l(Y) : Y] \equiv 1 + [Y^2 : Y] [\psi_2^{l-1}(Y) : Y] \pmod{2},$$

for all $l \geq 1$. This implies also that

$$[\psi_2^{2k}(Y) : \mathbf{1}] \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

for all $k \geq 0$.

The following is a direct application of Lemma 4.9.

Theorem 4.11. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category with exactly two self-dual objects. If ψ_2 is an automorphism of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ then it has even order.*

Proof. Let $k \geq 0$ such that $\psi_2^k = \text{Id}$, and let $\mathbf{1}, Y$ denote the self-dual objects. By Theorem 4.9 the multiplicity of $\mathbf{1}$ in $\psi_2^k(Y) = Y$ is positive whenever k is odd, and thus k must be even. \square

In the following proposition we restrict to the case when $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ has trivial image.

Proposition 4.12. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category with exactly two self-dual simple objects $\mathbf{1}$ and Y . If $\text{Im}(\psi_2) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ then $Y^2 = \mathbf{1}$ and $\psi_2(Y) = -\mathbf{1}$. Moreover, $[X \otimes X^* : Y] = 1$ and $[XY : Y] = 0$ for all non-self-dual simple X .*

Proof. Let X be a non-self-dual simple object. Since $\mathbf{1}$ is not a summand of X^2 then $\mathbf{1}$ has multiplicity zero in $\psi_2(X)$. On the other hand, the multiplicity of $\mathbf{1}$ in Y^2 is 1 and so its coefficient in $\psi_2(Y)$ is ± 1 . Thus if ψ_2 has trivial image we get that $\psi_2(X) = 0$ for all non-self-dual simple X and $\psi_2(Y) = \epsilon$, where $\epsilon = \pm 1$. Hence

$$\mathbf{1} = \psi_2(Y)^2 = \psi_2(Y^2) = \sum_{\text{Simple } Z} [Y^2 : Z] \psi_2(Z) = (1 + \epsilon \cdot [Y^2 : Y]) \cdot \mathbf{1},$$

which implies

$$(4.4) \quad [Y^2 : Y] = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$(4.5) \quad 0 = \psi_2(XY) = \sum_{\text{simple } Z} [XY : Z] \psi_2(Z) = \epsilon \cdot [XY : Y] \cdot \mathbf{1},$$

and thus

$$[XY : Y] = 0, \text{ for all non-self-dual simple } X.$$

From the fusion rule $[XY : Y] = [Y^2 : X]$ and equations (4.4) and (4.5) we conclude $Y^2 = \mathbf{1}$. Lastly, note that

$$0 = \psi_2(XX^*) = \mathbf{1} + \epsilon \cdot [XX^* : Y],$$

and thus we must have $\epsilon = -1$ and $[XX^* : Y] = 1$. \square

5. RANK 3 SYMMETRIC FUSION CATEGORIES

In this section we classify symmetric fusion categories \mathcal{C} of rank 3, making use of the properties of the Adams operation. Namely, we show that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to one of the following:

- If $p = 2$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.
- If $p = 3$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.
- If $p = 7$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(S_3)$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$ or $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Ver}_7^{\dagger}$.
- If $p = 5$ or $p > 7$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(S_3)$ or $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.

We note that if \mathcal{C} is non super Tannakian, then by Theorem 3.1 we know that $p \leq 7$. Hence the only possibilities are $p = 5$ or 7 , since in the cases $p = 2$ or $p = 3$ the category would be super Tannakian.

We make use of the parametrization of self dual based rings of rank 3 as given in [O1]. Let k, l, m, n be non negative integers satisfying

$$(5.1) \quad k^2 + l^2 = kn + lm + 1,$$

and consider the ring $K(k, l, m, n)$ with basis $1, X, Y$ and multiplication rules

$$(5.2) \quad X^2 = 1 + mX + kY, \quad Y^2 = 1 + lX + nY, \quad XY = YX = kX + lY.$$

Note that we have a based ring isomorphism $K(k, l, m, n) \simeq K(l, k, n, m)$ obtained by the interchange $X \leftrightarrow Y$. Hence we will assume $l \geq k$. By [O1, Proposition 3.1] any unital based ring of rank 3 is isomorphic to either $K(k, l, m, n)$ or $K(\mathbb{Z}_3)$.

Theorem 5.1. *There is an integral symmetric fusion category \mathcal{C} with Grothendieck ring $K(k, l, m, n)$ if and only if $(k, l, m, n) = (0, 1, 0, 1)$ and $p \geq 3$. Moreover, in such case*

- (1) $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}(S_3)$ if $p > 3$, or
- (2) $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ if $p = 3$.

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{C} is an integral fusion category with Grothendieck ring given by $K(k, l, m, n)$ with $l \geq k$. Taking Frobenius-Perron dimension on the multiplication rules for X^2 and XY (equation (5.2)), we get that

$$\text{FPdim}(X)^2 = 1 + m \text{FPdim}(X) + k \text{FPdim}(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \frac{k}{\text{FPdim}(Y)} + \frac{l}{\text{FPdim}(X)},$$

respectively. From the first equality we deduce that $\text{FPdim}(X)$ and $\text{FPdim}(Y)$ are coprime. Hence the second equality is only possible if $k = 0$ and $l = \text{FPdim}(X)$. So the multiplication rules are

$$X^2 = 1 + mX, \quad Y^2 = 1 + \text{FPdim}(X)X + nY, \quad XY = YX = \text{FPdim}(X)Y.$$

Taking Frobenius-Perron dimension on both sides of the equation for X^2 we get that $\text{FPdim}(X)^2 - m \text{FPdim}(X) - 1 = 0$, which implies

$$\text{FPdim}(X) = \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 + 4}}{2}.$$

Since $\text{FPdim}(X)$ is an integer, we need for $m^2 + 4$ to be a square. It is easy to check this is only possible for $m = 0$, and so the multiplication rules are

$$X^2 = 1, \quad Y^2 = 1 + X + nY, \quad XY = YX = Y.$$

Taking Frobenius-Perron dimension on both sides of the equation for Y^2 we get that

$$\text{FPdim}(Y) = \frac{n + \sqrt{n^2 + 8}}{2}.$$

We thus need $n^2 + 8$ to be a square, which is only possible for $n = 1$. Hence

$$(5.3) \quad X^2 = 1, \quad Y^2 = 1 + X + Y, \quad XY = YX = Y.$$

So far we have showed that if \mathcal{C} is integral, then it must have fusion rules as above. We have not used the assumption that \mathcal{C} is symmetric yet. We will use it in what follows to complete the proof.

When $p > 3$ we get that $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = 6 \neq 0$, so \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate. Hence we can lift \mathcal{C} to a symmetric fusion category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ over a field \mathbf{f} in characteristic zero, which has the same Grothendieck ring as \mathcal{C} , see [EGNO, Subsection 9.16] and [E]. Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is equivalent to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{f}}(S_3)$ (see [D]), and so by uniqueness of the lifting we get that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(S_3)$.

For the case $p = 3$ we have that \mathcal{C} contains a copy of $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Doing de-equivariantization by \mathbb{Z}_2 we obtain a symmetric fusion category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ of dimension 3 [EGNO, Section 2.7]. Hence $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is a symmetric pointed category and must be equivalent to $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}$. There's only one action of \mathbb{Z}_2 on \mathbb{Z}_3 , and so doing equivariantization by \mathbb{Z}_2 gives us back \mathcal{C} . Hence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_3}^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$.

On the other hand, for $p = 2$ there is no category realizing these fusion rules. In fact, in such case we would have that the category is Tannakian, and so we have a fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$. From equation (5.3) we know that X is invertible and Y is not, and thus the same is true for $F(X)$ and $F(Y)$, respectively. Thus $d := \dim(F(Y))$ is not 1 and must satisfy $d^2 = 2 + d = d$. The only other possible solution is thus $d = 0$, and since F preserves dimensions we obtain $\dim(Y) = 0$, which is not possible. \square

Theorem 5.2. *If \mathcal{C} is a non-integral symmetric fusion category with Grothendieck ring $K(k, l, m, n)$ then $p = 7$ and $\mathcal{C} = \text{Ver}_7^+$.*

Proof. Note that $p \neq 2, 3$ since in that case \mathcal{C} is super Tannakian and thus integral. Moreover, we also know that $p \leq 7$ by Theorem 3.1. However, our proof does not require use of this fact.

Consider the Adams operation $\psi_2 : K(k, l, m, n) \rightarrow K(k, l, m, n)$. Since X is self-dual, either $S^2(X)$ or $\Lambda^2(X)$ contains a copy of the unit object (but not both). The same is true for Y . Hence the multiplicity of $\mathbf{1}$ in both $\psi_2(X)$ and $\psi_2(Y)$ is 1 or -1, and so

$$\psi_2(X) = \epsilon_1 \mathbf{1} + \alpha X + \beta Y, \quad \psi_2(Y) = \epsilon_2 \mathbf{1} + \gamma X + \delta Y,$$

for some $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 \in \{1, -1\}$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is a based ring of rank 3 then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$ is a semisimple algebra of dimension 3. Hence we have three distinct possibilities for $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and we proceed by looking at them separately.

▼ Case 1: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$. In this case the homomorphism $\text{FPdim}_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can only have rational image and thus \mathcal{C} is integral.

▼ Case 2: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an endomorphism of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ mapping $(1, 1) \mapsto (1, 1)$, then it is either an automorphism of order 1 or 2, or has image the diagonal copy of \mathbb{Q} inside $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Hence we have three possibilities for $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$: it satisfies $\psi_2 = \text{Id}$, $\psi_2^2 = \text{Id}$ or $\text{Im}(\psi_2) = \mathbb{Z}$. We show none of these are possible.

We assume first that $\text{Im}(\psi_2) = \mathbb{Z}$. So we have $\psi_2(X) = \epsilon_1 \mathbf{1}$ and $\psi_2(Y) = \epsilon_2 \mathbf{1}$. The equalities

$$1 = [\psi_2(X)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\mathbf{1} + m\psi_2(X) + k\psi_2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = 1 + m\epsilon_1 + k\epsilon_2,$$

imply that ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 must have opposite signs and that $m = k$. The analogous computation with Y shows that $l = n$. Moreover, since

$$\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(XY) : \mathbf{1}] = [k\psi_2(X) + l\psi_2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = k\epsilon_1 + l\epsilon_2,$$

we have that $l = k \pm 1$. Recall that we are assuming $l \geq k$ and thus $l = k + 1$. Then

$$X^2 = 1 + kX + (k + 1)Y,$$

and so

$$X^2 \equiv 1 + X \pmod{2} \quad \text{or} \quad X^2 \equiv 1 + Y \pmod{2},$$

which contradicts $X^2 \equiv \psi_2(X) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. So the case $\text{Im}(\psi_2) = \mathbb{Z}$ is not possible.

On the other hand, $\psi_2 = \text{Id}$ is not possible by Theorem 4.8. Thus it remains to show that we cannot have $\psi_2^2 = \text{Id}$ either. Suppose $\psi_2^2 = \text{Id}$. Then

$$0 = [\psi_2^2(X) : \mathbf{1}] = \epsilon_1 + \alpha\epsilon_1 + \beta\epsilon_2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = [\psi_2^2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = \epsilon_2 + \gamma\epsilon_1 + \delta\epsilon_2,$$

which imply

$$(5.4) \quad \beta = -(\alpha + 1)\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma = -(\delta + 1)\epsilon_1\epsilon_2.$$

Also

$$0 = [\psi_2^2(X) : Y] = \beta(\alpha + \delta) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = [\psi_2^2(Y) : X] = \gamma(\alpha + \delta).$$

If $\alpha + \delta \neq 0$ then $\beta = \gamma = 0$, which implies $\alpha = \delta = -1$. That is,

$$\psi_2(X) = \epsilon_1 \mathbf{1} - X \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_2(Y) = \epsilon_2 \mathbf{1} - Y.$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) &= \epsilon_1\epsilon_2\mathbf{1} + (k - \epsilon_2)X + (l - \epsilon_1)Y, \\ \psi_2(XY) &= k\psi_2(X) + l\psi_2(Y) = (k\epsilon_1 + l\epsilon_2)\mathbf{1} - kX - lY. \end{aligned}$$

Since the previous equations must be equal, we have that $k - \epsilon_2 = -k$ and so $2k = \pm 1$, which is not possible.

Hence we should have $\alpha + \delta = 0$, and using this together with (5.4) we get

$$(5.5) \quad \alpha \equiv \delta \pmod{2}, \quad \beta = \gamma \pmod{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \equiv \beta + 1 \pmod{2}.$$

On the other hand, from the equation

$$m\alpha + k\gamma = [\psi_2(X^2) : X] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : X] = 2\epsilon_1\alpha + \alpha^2 m + 2\alpha\beta k + \beta^2 l,$$

we obtain

$$m\alpha + k\gamma \equiv m\alpha + l\beta \pmod{2}.$$

This together with congruences (5.5) gives $k\beta \equiv l\beta \pmod{2}$. Lastly,

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_2(XY) : X] &= k\alpha + l\gamma, \\ [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : X] &= \epsilon_1\gamma + \epsilon_2\alpha + m\gamma\alpha + k\alpha\delta + k\gamma\beta + l\beta\delta, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\gamma + \alpha + m\gamma\alpha + k\alpha\delta + k\gamma\beta + l\beta\delta \equiv k\alpha + l\gamma \pmod{2}.$$

Note that $\alpha\gamma \equiv 0 \equiv \beta\delta \pmod{2}$, see (5.5). Hence the equation above is

$$\gamma + \alpha + k\alpha\delta + k\gamma\beta \equiv k\alpha + l\gamma \pmod{2}.$$

Now, using the congruences in (5.5) and $k\beta \equiv l\beta \pmod{2}$ in the equation above, we obtain $1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Thus $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ cannot be an automorphism of order 2 and this case is not possible.

▼ Case 3: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ is a field extension of degree 3 over \mathbb{Q} . In this case $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ must be an automorphism of order 3, since it cannot be the identity by Theorem (4.8). Hence, $X, \psi_2(X)$ and $\psi_2^2(X)$ are distinct roots of the minimal polynomial of X , given by

$$m_X(t) = t^3 - (m+l)t^2 - (1+k^2-ml)t + l.$$

By the Vieta formulas we have that

$$(5.6) \quad m+l = [X + \psi_2(X) + \psi_2^2(X) : \mathbf{1}] = 2\epsilon_1 + \alpha\epsilon_1 + \beta\epsilon_2.$$

Repeating this for Y we get

$$(5.7) \quad k+n = [Y + \psi_2(Y) + \psi_2^2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = 2\epsilon_2 + \gamma\epsilon_1 + \delta\epsilon_2.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + m\epsilon_1 + k\epsilon_2 &= [\psi_2(X^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = 1 + \alpha^2 + \beta^2, \quad \text{and} \\ 1 + l\epsilon_1 + n\epsilon_2 &= [\psi_2(Y^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(Y)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = 1 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 = (m+l)\epsilon_1 + (k+n)\epsilon_2.$$

Combining this together with equations (5.6) and (5.7) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2 &= (2\epsilon_1 + \alpha\epsilon_1 + \beta\epsilon_2)\epsilon_1 + (2\epsilon_2 + \gamma\epsilon_1 + \delta\epsilon_2)\epsilon_2 \\ &= 2 + \alpha + \beta\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + 2 + \delta + \gamma\epsilon_1\epsilon_2, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$(5.8) \quad \alpha^2 - \alpha + \beta^2 - \beta\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + \gamma^2 - \gamma\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + \delta^2 - \delta = 4.$$

Hence $|\alpha|, |\beta|, |\gamma|$ and $|\delta|$ are at most 2, which implies by (5.6) and (5.7) that $m+l, k+n \leq 6$.

From each of the equalities $\psi_2(X^2) = \psi_2(X)^2$, $\psi_2(Y^2) = \psi_2(Y)^2$ and $\psi_2(XY) = \psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y)$ we get three equations on the parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, k, l, m, n, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$. The bound $k, n \leq 6$ allows us to verify in Sage that the only solutions to said equations fulfilling (5.1) and (5.8)

are

$$\begin{aligned} k = 1, l = 1, m = 1, n = 0, \alpha = -1, \beta = 1, \gamma = -1, \delta = 0, \text{ and} \\ k = 1, l = 1, m = 0, n = 1, \alpha = 0, \beta = -1, \gamma = 1, \delta = -1. \end{aligned}$$

By symmetry, it is enough to consider the second case. We have multiplication rules

$$X^2 = \mathbf{1} + Y \quad Y^2 = \mathbf{1} + X + Y, \quad XY = YX = X + Y.$$

Note that these are the same fusion rules as Ver_7^\dagger . Taking dimension on the equalities above we arrive at the equation

$$(5.9) \quad \dim(Y)^3 - 2\dim(Y)^2 - \dim(Y) + 1 = 0.$$

On the other hand, note that \mathcal{C} must be degenerate. In fact, if it was non-degenerate (see Section 2.3) it would lift to a symmetric category over a field of characteristic zero [E] and thus would have integer Frobenius-Perron dimensions [EGNO, Theorem 9.9.26]. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \dim(\mathcal{C}) &= 1 + \dim(X)^2 + \dim(Y)^2 \\ &= 1 + 1 + \dim(Y) + \dim(Y)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\dim(Y)^2 = -2 - \dim(Y).$$

Replacing this into (5.9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \dim(Y)^3 - 2\dim(Y)^2 - \dim(Y) + 1 \\ &= \dim(Y)(-2 - \dim(Y)) - 2(-2 - \dim(Y)) - \dim(Y) + 1 \\ &= -2\dim(Y) + 2 + \dim(Y) + 4 + 2\dim(Y) - \dim(Y) + 1 \\ &= 7. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we must have $p = 7$. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_7^\dagger)$ we see that the fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_7$ gives an equivalence onto Ver_7^\dagger . \square

Remark 5.3. Theorem 5.2 gives a positive answer for Question 1.1 in the case $p = 7$.

6. RANK 4 SYMMETRIC FUSION CATEGORIES

6.1. Exactly two self-dual simple objects. In this section we classify symmetric fusion categories \mathcal{C} of rank 4 with exactly two self-dual simple objects. Namely, we show that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to one of the following:

- If $p = 2$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$.
- If $p = 3$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_4, q)$, where $q : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^\times$ is a group map satisfying $q(g)^2 = 1$ for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, see [EGNO, Section 8.4].
- If $p > 3$, $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}(A_4)$ or $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_4, q)$.

We use the parametrization of based rings of rank 4 with exactly two self-dual basis elements as given in [L]. Let c, e, k, l, p, q be non-negative integers satisfying the following equations:

$$(6.1) \quad kl + lc = lp + kq,$$

$$(6.2) \quad kp + le + kc = 2lq + k^2,$$

$$(6.3) \quad l^2 + c^2 = 1 + q^2 + p^2,$$

$$(6.4) \quad l^2 + k^2 + q^2 = 1 + 2pk + qe.$$

We denote by $K(c, e, k, l, p, q)$ the based ring with basis $1, X, Y, Z$ and multiplication given by

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} X^2 &= pX + lY + cZ & XY &= YX = qX + kY + lZ \\ Y^2 &= \mathbf{1} + kX + eY + kZ & YZ &= ZY = lX + kY + qZ \\ Z^2 &= cX + lY + pZ & XZ &= ZX = \mathbf{1} + pX + qY + pZ. \end{aligned}$$

Any based ring of rank 4 with exactly two self-dual basis elements is of the form $K(c, e, k, l, p, q)$ for some non-negative integers c, e, k, l, p, q satisfying (6.1)-(6.4), see [L].

When $p > 2$, recall that for the second Adams operation $\psi_2 : K(c, e, k, l, p, q) \rightarrow K(c, e, k, l, p, q)$ we have that $\psi_2(W) \equiv W^2 \pmod{2}$ for any $W \in K(c, e, k, l, p, q)$. Hence

$$(6.6) \quad \psi_2(X) = \alpha_1 X + \alpha_2 Y + \alpha_3 Z, \quad \psi_2(Y) = \epsilon \mathbf{1} + \beta_1 X + \beta_2 Y + \beta_3 Z, \quad \psi_2(Z) = \gamma_1 X + \gamma_2 Y + \gamma_3 Z,$$

for some $\epsilon = \pm 1$ and $\alpha_i, \beta_i, \gamma_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Recall that $\psi_2(W) \equiv W^2 \pmod{2}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &\equiv p \equiv \gamma_3 \pmod{2} & \alpha_2 &\equiv l \equiv \gamma_2 \pmod{2} & \alpha_3 &\equiv c \equiv \gamma_1 \pmod{2} \\ \beta_1 &\equiv k \equiv \beta_3 \pmod{2} & \beta_2 &\equiv e \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We will use the previous congruences repeatedly throughout this section.

We start by discarding some possibilities for the Adams operation in the Lemmas below.

Lemma 6.1. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category of rank 4 with exactly two self-dual simple objects. Then $\text{Im}(\psi_2) \neq \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Im}(\psi_2) = \mathbb{Z}$. Then by Proposition 4.12 we have that $Y^2 = \mathbf{1}$ and $[X \otimes Z : Y] = 1$, which imply $k = e = 0$ and $q = 1$. But then from equation (6.4) we get that $l^2 + 1 = 1$, thus $l = 0$. Thus equation (6.3) is $c^2 = 2 + p^2$, which has no integer solutions. \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category of rank 4 with exactly two self-dual simple objects. Then ψ_2 does not have order 2.*

Proof. Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\psi_2^2 = \text{Id}$. Then from $\psi_2^2(X) = X$ and (6.6) we get the equations

$$0 = \alpha_2\epsilon, \quad 1 = \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_3\gamma_1, \quad 0 = \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_3\gamma_3.$$

Thus $\alpha_2 = 0$ and

$$(6.7) \quad 1 = \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_3\gamma_1, \quad 0 = \alpha_3(\alpha_1 + \gamma_3).$$

Similarly, from $\psi_2^2(Z) = Z$ we get that $\gamma_2 = 0$ and

$$(6.8) \quad 1 = \gamma_1\alpha_3 + \gamma_3^2, \quad 0 = \gamma_1(\alpha_1 + \gamma_3).$$

We divide the rest of the proof in two cases.

▼ Case 1: $\alpha_1 \neq -\gamma_3$. Then by equations (6.7) and (6.8) we must have $\alpha_3 = 0 = \gamma_1$ and $\alpha_1^2 = 1 = \gamma_3^2$, so $\gamma_3 = \alpha_1 = \pm 1$. Then

$$\epsilon l = [\psi_2(X^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = \alpha_2^2 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_3 = 0,$$

which implies $l = 0$. On the other hand,

$$1 + q\epsilon = [\psi_2(XZ) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Z) : \mathbf{1}] = \alpha_1\gamma_3 = 1,$$

which implies $q = 0$. But then by equation (6.3) we have $p = 0$ which contradicts $p \equiv \alpha_1 \pmod{2}$.

▼ Case 2: $\alpha_1 = -\gamma_3$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon l &= [\psi_2(X^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = 2\alpha_1\alpha_3 = -2\gamma_3\alpha_3, \\ \epsilon l &= [\psi_2(Z^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(Z)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = 2\gamma_1\gamma_3, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\gamma_1\gamma_3 = -\gamma_3\alpha_3$. Suppose first that $\gamma_3 \neq 0$. Then $\gamma_1 = -\alpha_3$ and so by equation (6.7)

$$1 = \alpha_1^2 - \alpha_3^2,$$

which implies $\alpha_1 = \pm 1$ and $\alpha_3 = 0 = \gamma_1$. But then

$$\epsilon l = [\psi_2(X^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = 0,$$

so $l = 0$ and

$$p\alpha_1 = [\psi_2(X^2) : X] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : X] = p.$$

Since $p \equiv \alpha_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ this implies $\alpha_1 = 1$, and thus $\gamma_3 = -1$. But then

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_2(Z^2) : Z] &= [\psi_2(cX + pZ) : Z] = c\alpha_3 + p\gamma_3 = -p, \\ [\psi_2(Z)^2 : Z] &= [(\gamma_3 Z)^2 : Z] = \gamma_3^2 p = p, \end{aligned}$$

since l, α_3, γ_1 and γ_2 are all 0 and $\gamma_3 = -1$. Since the two equations above should be equal, then $p = 0$ which contradicts $p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

The contradiction came from assuming $\gamma_3 \neq 0$, and thus we should have $\gamma_3 = 0 = \alpha_1$. Hence from the equations

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_2(X^2) : \mathbf{1}] &= [\psi_2(pX + lY + cZ) : \mathbf{1}] = \epsilon l, \\ [\psi_2(X)^2 : \mathbf{1}] &= [(\alpha_3 Z)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

we get that $l = 0$. On the other hand, by equation (6.7) we have that $\alpha_3\gamma_1 = 1$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} [\psi_2(XZ) : \mathbf{1}] &= [\psi_2(\mathbf{1} + pX + qY + pZ) : \mathbf{1}] = 1 + q\epsilon, \\ [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Z) : \mathbf{1}] &= [(\alpha_3Z)(\gamma_1X) : \mathbf{1}] = \alpha_3\gamma_1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

hence $q = 0$. Then from equations (6.3) and (6.4) we conclude $k = 1 = c$ and $p = 0$. Moreover, $\psi_2^2(Y) = Y$ implies $0 = \epsilon(\beta_2 + 1)$ and so $\beta_2 = -1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= [\psi_2(XY) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = \beta_1, \\ \beta_1 &= [\psi_2(XY) : X] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : X] = \alpha_3\beta_3, \\ -1 &= [\psi_2(XY) : Y] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : Y] = -\alpha_3 \\ \beta_3 &= [\psi_2(XY) : Z] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : Z] = \epsilon\alpha_3, \end{aligned}$$

which imply $1 = \alpha_3 = \gamma_1$ and $\epsilon = \beta_1 = \beta_3$. Lastly

$$\epsilon\epsilon = [\psi_2(Y^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(Y)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = \beta_2^2 + 2\beta_1\beta_3 = 3.$$

Thus the fusion rules for \mathcal{C} would be

$$(6.9) \quad \begin{aligned} X^2 &= Z & XY &= Y, \\ Y^2 &= 1 + X + 3Y + Z & ZY &= Y, \\ Z^2 &= X & ZX &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

These are the fusion rules of the Izumi-Xu category (see [CMS]). The Frobenius Perron dimension of \mathcal{C} is $\frac{21+2\sqrt{21}}{2}$, which is not possible in positive characteristic. In fact, dimensions in Ver_p are in the field $\mathbb{Q}(z + z^{-1})$, see [BEO, Theorem 4.5 (iv)]. Since we have a symmetric fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_p$, the same should be true for \mathcal{C} , which makes the obtained dimension not possible. \square

Remark 6.3. The Adams operation is not enough on its own to classify symmetric fusion categories in positive characteristic. In fact, in the proof of the previous Lemma we found a possible based ring (6.9) and a suitable Adams operation, given by

$$\psi_2(X) = Z, \quad \psi_2(Y) = \mathbf{1} + X - Y + Z, \quad \psi_2(Z) = X.$$

However, as stated before this ring is not categorifiable.

Lemma 6.4. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category of rank 4 with exactly two self-dual simple objects. Then $\psi_2^2 \neq \psi_2$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\psi_2^2 = \psi_2$. From the equality $\psi_2^2(X) = \psi_2(X)$ we get the equations

$$0 = \alpha_2\epsilon, \quad \alpha_1 = \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_3\gamma_1, \quad \alpha_3 = \alpha_1\alpha_3 + \alpha_2\beta_3 + \alpha_3\gamma_3.$$

Thus $\alpha_2 = 0$ and $\alpha_3 = \alpha_3(\alpha_1 + \gamma_3)$. If $\alpha_3 \neq 0$ then $\alpha_1 + \gamma_3 = 1$ and so $p + p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ which is a contradiction. Thus we have $\alpha_3 = 0$ and $\alpha_1 = 1$ or 0 . Analogously, from $\psi_2^2(Z) = \psi_2(Z)$ we get $\gamma_2 = 0 = \gamma_1$ and $\gamma_3 = 1$ or 0 . Lastly, from $\psi_2^2(Y) = \psi_2(Y)$ we have that $\beta_2 = 0$.

On the other hand,

$$\epsilon l = [\psi_2(X^2) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : \mathbf{1}] = \alpha_2^2 + 2\alpha_1\alpha_3 = 0,$$

which implies $l = 0$, and so

$$c\gamma_3 = [\psi_2(X^2) : Z] = [\psi_2(X)^2 : Z] = c\alpha_1^2.$$

If $c = 0$ then $0 = 1 + q^2 + p^2$ by equation (6.3), which is not possible. Thus $\gamma_3 = \alpha_1^2 = \alpha_1$. We divide the rest of the proof in two cases:

▼ Case 1: $\alpha_1 = \gamma_3 = 0$. Then

$$\epsilon k = [\psi_2(XY) : 1] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : 1] = \alpha_1\beta_3 + \alpha_3\beta_1 + \alpha_2\beta_2 = 0,$$

and so $k = 0$. Then by equation (6.4) we have $q^2 = 1 + qe$, thus $q = 1$ and so $c^2 = 2 + p^2$ by equation (6.3), which has no integer solutions.

▼ Case 2: $\alpha_1 = \gamma_3 = 1$. Then

$$0 = [\psi_2(XY) : Y] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : Y] = q\beta_3,$$

so $q = 0$ or $0 = \beta_3 = \beta_1$. If $q = 0$ then $c^2 = 1 + p^2$ by Equation (6.3) and so $c = 1$ and $p = 0$. Then $1 \equiv c \equiv \alpha_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. Thus we must have $0 = \beta_3$ and so

$$\epsilon k = [\psi_2(XY) : \mathbf{1}] = [\psi_2(X)\psi_2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = \beta_3 = 0,$$

which implies $k = 0$. Since $q^2 = 1 + qe$ by Equation (6.4) we get $q = 1$. But then $c^2 = 2 + p^2$ by equation (6.3), which has no integer solutions. \square

We will need the following auxiliary Lemma.

Lemma 6.5. *If \mathcal{C} is a fusion category with commutative $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ and a non-self-dual object, then there exists an algebra homomorphism $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ whose image is not contained in \mathbb{R} .*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be a non-self-dual object, and consider the map of multiplication by $X - X^*$ in $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{C}}$. In the basis given by simple objects, we can represent this map by a non-trivial skew symmetric matrix. Thus its eigenvalues are zero or non-real. Since $X \not\cong X^*$ there must exist at least one non-real eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$. Hence $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{C}}$ has a 1 dimensional representation where X acts as multiplication by λ . \square

Theorem 6.6. *Let \mathcal{C} be a symmetric fusion category of rank 4 with exactly 2 self-dual simple objects. Then \mathcal{C} is integral.*

Proof. We proceed by looking at the different possibilities for $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a semisimple commutative algebra of dimension 4, we have five cases:

▼ Case 1: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$. Note that field maps $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are projections to \mathbb{Q} and so $\text{FPdim}_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ has rational image. Thus \mathcal{C} is integral.

▼ Case 2: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$. By Remark 6.5 we must have $n < 0$. But then $\text{FPdim}_{\mathbb{Q}} : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ can only have rational image and so \mathcal{C} is integral.

▼ Case 3: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ with $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \not\cong \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$. Endomorphisms of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ are given by

$$(1, 0) \mapsto (1, 0) \quad (0, 1) \mapsto (0, 1) \quad (\sqrt{n}, 0) \mapsto (\pm\sqrt{n}, 0) \quad (0, \sqrt{m}) \mapsto (0, \pm\sqrt{m}).$$

These are all automorphisms of order 1 or 2, which is not possible for $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by Lemma 6.2. Hence this case is discarded.

▼ Case 3: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$. By Lemma 6.5 we have $n < 0$. But morphisms from $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$ to \mathbb{C} are embeddings onto $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$. This contradicts the fact that $\text{FPdim} : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ should have real image, and so this case is discarded.

▼ Case 4: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbf{F} \times \mathbb{Q}$, where \mathbf{F} is a field extension of degree 3 over \mathbb{Q} . The only endomorphism of $\mathbf{F} \times \mathbb{Q}$ with non-trivial kernel is given by

$$(1, 0) \mapsto (1, 1) \qquad (0, 1) \mapsto (0, 0) \qquad (0, a) \mapsto (0, 0).$$

By Lemma 6.1 we know that $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is not of this form.

On the other hand, non trivial automorphisms of $\mathbf{F} \times \mathbb{Q}$ have order 3 which is not possible for $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by Theorem 4.11. Hence this case is also discarded.

▼ Case 5: $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a field extension of degree 4 over \mathbb{Q} . Since $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} \neq \text{Id}$ by Theorem 4.8, then it must be an automorphisms of order 2 or 4. Using Lemma 6.2 we can discard the former possibility. If $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ has order 4 then $Y, \psi_2(Y), \psi_2^2(Y)$ and $\psi_2^3(Y)$ are distinct roots of the minimal polynomial of Y . Since the minimal polynomial of Y is given by

$$m_Y(t) = t^4 + (-2q - e)t^3 + (2qe + q^2 - k^2 - l^2 - 1)t^2 + (-q^2e + qk^2 - lk^2 + l^2e + 2q)t + l^2 - q^2,$$

then by the Vieta formulas the sum of the roots equals $2q + e$. Hence

$$[Y + \psi_2(Y) + \psi_2^2(Y) + \psi_2^3(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = 2q + e.$$

We compute

$$[\psi_2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = \epsilon, \quad [\psi_2^2(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = \epsilon + \beta_2\epsilon, \quad [\psi_2^3(Y) : \mathbf{1}] = \epsilon + \beta_2\epsilon + \epsilon(\beta_1\alpha_2 + \beta_2^2 + \beta_3\gamma_2),$$

and thus

$$\epsilon + \epsilon + \beta_2\epsilon + \epsilon + \beta_2\epsilon + \epsilon(\beta_1\alpha_2 + \beta_2^2 + \beta_3\gamma_2) = 2q + e.$$

Taking congruence mod 2 on both sides we get

$$e \equiv 1 + 1 + e + 1 + e + kl + e + kl \equiv 1 + e \pmod{2},$$

which is not possible, so this case is also discarded. \square

Theorem 6.7. *Let \mathcal{C} be an integral symmetric fusion category of rank 4 with exactly 2 self-dual simple objects. Then either*

- $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_4, q)$ and $p > 2$, or
- $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Rep}(A_4)$ and $p > 3$, or
- $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ and $p = 2$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be as in the statement with Grothendieck ring $K(c, e, k, l, p, q)$, see equation 6.5. Since $X^* = Z$ we have that $\text{FPdim}(X) = \text{FPdim}(Z)$. Thus taking Frobenius-Perron dimensions on both sides of the fusion rule $Y^2 = \mathbf{1} + kX + eY + kZ$ we get

$$\text{FPdim}(Y)(\text{FPdim}(Y) - e) = 1 + 2k \text{FPdim}(X),$$

and so $\gcd(\text{FPdim}(X), \text{FPdim}(Y)) = 1$. From the fusion rule $XY = qX + kY + lZ$ we get $1 = \frac{q+l}{\text{FPdim}(Y)} + \frac{k}{\text{FPdim}(X)}$ and so since the denominators are coprime either $\text{FPdim}(Y) = q+l$ and $k = 0$ or $q+l = 0$ and $\text{FPdim}(X) = k$. We split the rest of the proof in two cases.

▼ Case 1: $\text{FPdim}(Y) = q + l$ and $k = 0$. Since $Y^2 = \mathbf{1} + eY$ then

$$\text{FPdim}(Y) = \frac{e + \sqrt{e^2 + 4}}{2}.$$

But $\text{FPdim}(Y)$ is an integer and then $e^2 + 4$ must be a square, so $e = 0$. Hence $Y^2 = \mathbf{1}$ which implies $q + l = \text{FPdim}(Y) = 1$. Recall that q and l are non-negative integers, and so $q = 1$ and $l = 0$ or $q = 0$ and $l = 1$. The former is not possible, since in that case $c^2 = 2 + p^2$ by equation (6.3), which has no integer solutions. Hence we have that $q = 0$ and $l = 1$, and so $\text{FPdim}(Y) = 1$. Moreover, the fusion rule $X^2 = pX + Y + pZ$ implies that

$$\text{FPdim}(X)^2 = 2p \text{FPdim}(X) + 1.$$

This has integer solution only for $p = 0$, in which case $\text{FPdim}(X) = 1$. Lastly by equation (6.3) we have $c = 0$. Thus the fusion rules are

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 &= Y & XY &= YX = Z \\ Y^2 &= \mathbf{1} & YZ &= ZY = X \\ Z^2 &= Y & XZ &= ZX = \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the category is pointed and $\mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Z}_4, q)$, where $q : \mathbb{Z}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{k}^\times$ is a group map satisfying $q(g)^2 = 1$ for all $g \in \mathbb{Z}_4$, see [EGNO, Section 8.4].

▼ Case 2: $\text{FPdim}(X) = k$ and $q + l = 0$. Since q and l are non-negative integers this implies $q = 0 = l$. The fusion rule $XZ = \mathbf{1} + pX + pZ$ implies that $\text{FPdim}(X)^2 = 1 + 2p \text{FPdim}(X)$ and so $\text{FPdim}(X) = 1$ and $p = 0$. On the other hand, from equations (6.3) and (6.4) we get that $k = 1 = c$. Lastly, since $Y^2 = \mathbf{1} + X + eY + Z$ we have that

$$\text{FPdim}(Y) = \frac{e + \sqrt{e^2 + 12}}{2}.$$

Thus for $\text{FPdim}(Y)$ to be an integer we need $e = 2$. Consequently, the fusion rules are

$$\begin{aligned} X^2 &= Z & XY &= YX = Y \\ Y^2 &= \mathbf{1} + X + 2Y + Z & YZ &= ZY = Y \\ Z^2 &= X & XZ &= ZX = \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

When $p > 3$ we have that $\dim(\mathcal{C}) = 12 \neq 0$ and thus we can lift \mathcal{C} to a symmetric fusion category $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ over a field \mathbf{f} in characteristic zero [E], which has the same Grothendick ring as \mathcal{C} . Thus $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is equivalent to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{f}}(A_4)$ [D], and so by uniqueness of the lifting we get that \mathcal{C} is equivalent to $\text{Rep}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_4)$.

For the case $p = 2$ we have that \mathcal{C} contains a copy of $\text{Rep}(\mathbb{Z}_3)$. Doing de-equivariantization by \mathbb{Z}_3 we obtain a symmetric fusion category $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ of dimension 4 [EGNO, Section 2.7]. Hence $\mathcal{C}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$ is pointed and thus equivalent to $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}$. There's only one action of \mathbb{Z}_3 on \mathbb{Z}_4 , and so doing equivariantization by \mathbb{Z}_3 gives us back \mathcal{C} . Hence $\mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_3}$.

Lastly, for $p = 3$ there is no category realizing these fusion rules. In fact, we know all symmetric fusion categories in characteristic 3. Any such category is an equivariantization of a pointed category associated with a 3-group by the action of a group of order relatively

prime to 3, see [EOV, Section 8]. Thus the category should contain a Tannakian subcategory of order prime to 3, which is not possible with the fusion rules above. \square

6.2. All simple objects are self-dual. We are not able to provide a classification of symmetric fusion categories of rank 4, but here are some comments for the remaining case, in which all simple objects are self dual. It follows from Theorem 3.1 that we can have examples of such categories that are non super Tannakian only in characteristics $p = 5$ or 7.

We take a look first at the case $p = 5$.

Proposition 6.8. *Let \mathcal{C} be a non super Tannakian symmetric fusion category of rank 4 in characteristic $p = 5$. Then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}^{\oplus 2}$ or $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In any case, the Adams operation $\psi_2 : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})$ satisfies $\psi_2^2 = \text{Id}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a semisimple commutative algebra of dimension 4, it can either be $\mathbb{Q}^{\oplus 4}$, $\mathbb{Q}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, $\mathbb{Q}(a) \oplus \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}(b)$, for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(b) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$.

Consider the Verlinde fiber functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ver}_5$. We denote also by F the induced algebra homomorphism

$$F : \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p)_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.1, since \mathcal{C} is not super Tannakian then

$$F(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}) = \mathcal{K}(\text{Ver}_p^+)_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\xi_5 + \xi_5^{-1}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}).$$

That is, the image of $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ through F is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$. Then the only remaining possibilities for $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ are $\mathbb{Q}^{\oplus 2} \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ or $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5}) \oplus \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In any case, if $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}})$, then $f = 1$, $f^2 = f$ or $f^2 = 1$. The first two are not possible for $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}})$ by Theorems 4.8 and 4.6. Hence we must have that $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is an automorphism of order 2. \square

For $p = 7$, we have the following result.

Proposition 6.9. *Let \mathcal{C} be a non-Tannakian symmetric fusion category of rank 4 in characteristic $p = 7$. Then $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}} \simeq \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(a)$ for some a such that $[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$. Moreover, $\psi_2^3 = \text{Id}$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is a semisimple commutative algebra of dimension 4, it can either be $\mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{n}) \times \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{m})$, $\mathbb{Q}(a) \times \mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}(b)$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $[\mathbb{Q}(a) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(b) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$.

Thus we have that if $f \in \text{End}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}})$ then either $f^n = \text{Id}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$, $f^k = f$ for $k = 2, 3$ or $f^3 = f^2$. By Remark 4.7, the only possibility for $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})_{\mathbb{Q}})$ is that $(\psi_2)_{\mathbb{Q}}^3 = \text{Id}$, which can only happen if $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q}(a)$, as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [BEO] D. Benson, P. Etingof, V. Ostrik. *New incompressible symmetric tensor categories in positive characteristic*, Duke Math. J. (to appear).
- [BW] J. Barrett, B. Westbury. *Spherical categories*, Adv. Math. 143, 357-375 (1999).
- [CMS] F. Calegari, S. Morrison, and N. Snyder. *Cyclotomic integers, fusion categories, and subfactors*. Comm. Math. Phys., 303(3):845–896, (2011).
- [D] P. Deligne. *Catégories tannakiennes*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 111-195, Progr. Math., 87, Birkhäuser Boston (1990).
- [DG] M. Demazure, P. Gabriel. *Groupes algébriques, tome I*, Paris / Amsterdam (1970).
- [DGNO] V. Drinfeld, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik. *On braided fusion categories I*, Selecta Mathematica (N. S.) 16, 1-119 (2010).
- [DM] P. Deligne, J. Milne *Tannakian categories*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 900 (1982).
- [E] Etingof, P. *On faithfulness of the lifting for Hopf algebras and fusion categories*. Algebra Number Theory 12 (3), 551–569 (2018)
- [EGNO] P. Etingof, S. Gelaki, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik. *Tensor categories*, AMS, Providence (2015).
- [EHO] P. Etingof, N. Harman, V. Ostrik. *p-adic dimensions in symmetric tensor categories in characteristic p*, Quantum Topol. 9, no. 1, pp. 119–140 (2018).
- [ENO] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, V. Ostrik. *On fusion categories*, Annals of Mathematics 162, 581-642 (2005).
- [EOV] P. Etingof, V. Ostrik, S. Venkatesh. *Computations on symmetric fusion categories in characteristic p*, IMRN 2:468–489 (2017).
- [L] H. K. Larson. *Pseudo-unitary non-self-dual fusion categories of rank 4*, Journal of Algebra, Vol. 415 (2014), 184-213.
- [M] A. Masuoka. *Harish-Chandra pairs for algebraic affine supergroup schemes over an arbitrary field* Transformation Groups 17, 1085–1121 (2012).
- [O1] V. Ostrik. *Pivotal fusion categories of rank 3*, Mosc. Math. J., 15:2 (2015), 373–396.
- [O2] V. Ostrik. *On symmetric fusion categories in positive characteristic*, Selecta Mathematica (2020).
- [O3] V. Ostrik. Private communication (2022).
- [SR] N. Saavedra Rivano. *Catégories Tannakiennes*, Lecture Notes in Math 265, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York (1972).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
 Email address: aczenky@uoregon.edu