

CHEN–RUAN COHOMOLOGY AND ORBIFOLD EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF MODULI SPACES OF PARABOLIC BUNDLES

INDRANIL BISWAS, SUJOY CHAKRABORTY, ARIJIT DEY

ABSTRACT. We consider the moduli space of stable parabolic Higgs bundles of rank r and fixed determinant, and having full flag quasi-parabolic structures over an arbitrary parabolic divisor on a smooth complex projective curve X of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. The group Γ of r -torsion points of the Jacobian of X acts on this moduli space. We describe the connected components of the various fixed point loci of this moduli under non-trivial elements from Γ . When the Higgs field is zero, or in other words when we restrict ourselves to the moduli of stable parabolic bundles, we also compute the orbifold Euler characteristic of the corresponding global quotient orbifold. We also describe the Chen–Ruan cohomology groups of this orbifold under certain conditions on the rank and degree, and describe the Chen–Ruan product structure in special cases.

CONTENTS

1.	introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	3
2.1.	Parabolic push-forward and pull-back	5
3.	The fixed point loci	6
3.1.	Description of fixed point loci as quotients	7
4.	Connected components of fixed point loci	11
4.1.	An action of Γ on \mathcal{N}_η^t	15
5.	Orbifold Euler characteristic of the quotient M_X^α / Γ	17
5.1.	The groups $H^* \left((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C} \right)^\Gamma$	17
6.	Chen–Ruan cohomology of the orbifold M_X^α / Γ	21
6.1.	Degree-shift number computation	21
6.2.	Action on the tangent bundle	23
6.3.	Chen–Ruan cohomology of M_X^α / Γ	26
	References	29

1. INTRODUCTION

Orbifolds are one of the simplest kind of singular spaces. They arise naturally in many branches of mathematics. One such instance is symplectic reductions, where the resulting space is often an orbifold. They also appear naturally in string theory, where many known Calabi-Yau threefolds appear as crepant resolution of some Calabi-Yau orbifold.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 14D20, 14F05, 14F22, 14H60, 55N32.

Key words and phrases. Chen–Ruan Cohomology; Orbifold Euler Characteristic; Moduli space; Parabolic bundle.

In string theory, the Euler characteristic of orbifolds plays an important role. In [DHWV] its authors concluded that for quotient orbifolds of the form M/G , where G is a finite group acting on a compact manifold M , the correct Euler characteristic in the context of string theory is the so-called *orbifold Euler characteristic*:

$$\chi_{orb}(M, G) := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{gh=hg} \chi(M^{(g,h)}) = \sum_{[g]} \chi(M^g/C(g)). \quad (1.1)$$

Here the second sum is taken over a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of G ; the above equality follows from [HH]. In (1.1) $M^{(g,h)}$ denotes the common fixed points for g and h , while M^g denotes the fixed point set (which is a submanifold) and $C(g)$ denotes the centralizer of g with $\chi(M^g/C(g))$ denoting the usual topological Euler characteristic of the quotient space. It is worth pointing out that in some situations when M/G has a resolution of singularities

$$\widetilde{M/G} \longrightarrow M/G$$

such that the canonical bundle of $\widetilde{M/G}$ is trivial, the above defined $\chi_{orb}(M, G)$ coincides with the usual Euler characteristic of the resolution $\widetilde{M/G}$.

On the other hand, the Chen–Ruan cohomology ring of an orbifold was introduced in [CR2] as the degree zero part of the small quantum cohomology ring of the orbifold constructed by the same authors [CR1]. It contains the usual cohomology ring of the orbifold as a subring. In general, the Chen–Ruan cohomology group has a *rational* grading, where the grading is shifted by the *degree-shift numbers* (§ 6) (also known as Fermionic shifts; these numbers also appear in the study of mirror symmetry related problems for Higgs bundles). When the orbifold has an algebraic structure, then the Betti numbers of the Chen–Ruan cohomology are invariant under certain crepant resolutions [Y], and this makes the study of these groups important in Calabi-Yau geometry. It is conjectured by Ruan [R] that the Chen–Ruan cohomology ring is isomorphic to the cohomology ring of a smooth crepant resolution if both the orbifold and the resolution are hyper-Kähler. In case of a global quotient orbifold M/G for a finite group G , its Chen–Ruan cohomology groups are described in terms of the cohomologies of the connected components of the fixed point loci M^g for various $g \in G$ (cf. [FG]). The degree-shift numbers may vary with these components. It is of particular interest to study the Chen–Ruan cohomology and the orbifold Euler characteristic for orbifolds arising in the context of moduli spaces. To this end, let X be an irreducible smooth complex projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Fix an integer $r \geq 2$ and a line bundle ξ of degree d on X . If $g = 2$, we assume that $r \geq 3$. Let $M(r, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles on X of rank r and degree d ; it is a smooth quasi-projective variety. Let $M_\xi(r, d) \subset M(r, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable vector bundles on X with rank r and determinant ξ . The Jacobian of X , denoted by $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, is an Abelian variety of dimension g . Its r -torsion points form a finite group isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z})^{2g}$. Let us denote this group by Γ . The group Γ acts on $M(r, d)$ by tensorization with line bundles, and this action preserves each $M_\xi(r, d)$. The Chen–Ruan cohomology of the quotient orbifolds $M_\xi(r, d)/\Gamma$ have been described in [BP1, BP2] when r is prime.

In this paper, we consider the moduli space of parabolic stable vector bundles, which were introduced and constructed by Mehta and Seshadri in [MS]. These are vector bundles E on X with a filtration of fibers of E at a collection of finitely many points S of X and certain real numbers, called weights, attached to these filtrations (see § 2 for details). Let $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ denote the moduli space of rank r stable parabolic vector bundles E_* on X whose underlying bundle E has determinant $\xi \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$ and the parabolic structure at each parabolic point $p_i \in S$ is of the full-flag type. We also assume that the weights are *generic*, so that parabolic semistability is equivalent to parabolic stability. The group Γ acts on $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)$ by tensorization as before. While the introduction of parabolic structures bring interesting new phenomenon, it will also be apparent that working with parabolic moduli has some technical advantage over the usual vector bundles (i.e., those without parabolic structures; see Lemma 3.2).

Recently there has been some progress in understanding the Chen–Ruan cohomology groups for the orbifolds $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)/\Gamma$ in some special cases. In [BD], these groups were studied under the assumptions that the rank $r = 2$, degree $d = 1$ and the weights are *sufficiently small* (in the sense of [BY, § 5]). The case when the rank is any prime number (with r, d coprime and the weights are small) was studied in [BDS], but their description lacks a satisfactory determination of the degree-shift numbers (see [Remark 4.5, *loc. cit.*]).

The study of these quantities requires an understanding of the connected components of their fixed point loci under the action of elements in Γ . In fact, our discussion up to Section 4 is valid for the more general case of the moduli of parabolic stable Higgs bundles, which are pairs (E_*, φ_*) with E_* a parabolic bundle and $\varphi_* : E_* \rightarrow E_* \otimes K_X(D)$ is a parabolic morphism called Higgs field, satisfying certain stability conditions (see §2 for details). Here D is the divisor given by the sum of points in S . Let $M_{\xi, H}^\alpha(r, d)$ denote the moduli of such pairs. Our first main result is to provide a description of the connected components of the fixed-point loci $M_{\xi, H}^\alpha(r, d)^\eta$ for non-trivial $\eta \in \Gamma$. This is done in Section §4, which takes input from Section 3 (more specifically, Lemma 3.2) where we describe these fixed point loci as a finite group quotient of certain varieties, which are in some sense a generalization of Prym varieties for spectral covers associated to these η . Our next main result is the computation of the orbifold Euler characteristic of the quotient orbifold $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)/\Gamma$. We achieve this by computing the cohomology groups of the quotients $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)^\eta/\Gamma$ in terms of the cohomology of Prym and cohomology of parabolic moduli for smaller ranks (Proposition 5.4). As a consequence, we obtain the following:

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 5.5). *The orbifold Euler characteristic (5.2) of $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)/\Gamma$ is given by*

$$\chi_{orb}\left(M_\xi^\alpha(r, d), \Gamma\right) = \chi\left(M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)\right),$$

where the right-hand side denotes the usual Euler characteristic.

Finally, in Section 6, we study the Chen–Ruan cohomology groups for the orbifold $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)/\Gamma$ when the rank r is a product of *distinct* primes, r and d are coprime, and for *any* system of generic weights. As discussed above, apart from understanding the connected components of the fixed point loci $M_\xi^\alpha(r, d)^\eta$ for $\eta \in \Gamma$, one of the other main challenges is the computation their degree-shift numbers. This is done in Corollary 6.6. We conclude Section 6 with a study of the Chen–Ruan product. The multiplicative structure is obtained using a certain Chen–Ruan Poincaré pairing, which we describe in our context (Definition 6.9). We then give a necessary condition for the product to be nonzero in certain cases (see Lemma 6.10 and the corollary following it). Unfortunately, this only provides a partial description of the product, and a complete description of the product in full generality is still unknown. It would be interesting to understand the product structure in more detail later in future.

It is worth mentioning that the degree-shift numbers in the more general case of parabolic Higgs moduli is already known; in Higgs context these numbers are also referred to as Fermionic shifts (see [GO, Page 16]). The determination of these numbers for parabolic Higgs case is much easier due to the presence of a particular type of symplectic structure on those moduli, which is unfortunately not available in our case, which makes their determination more difficult and interesting.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be an irreducible smooth complex projective curve (equivalently, a compact connected Riemann surface) of genus g , with $g \geq 2$. Vector bundles on X will always mean to be algebraic vector bundles. Fix an integer $r \geq 2$; if $g = 2$, then set $r \geq 3$. Fix a line bundle ξ on X of degree d . By a point of X we will always mean a

closed point. Fix a finite subset

$$S = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s\} \subset X$$

of s distinct points; they will be referred to as *parabolic points*. Let E be a vector bundle of rank r on X . The fiber of E over any point $z \in X$ will be denoted by E_z .

Definition 2.1. A *parabolic data of rank r* for points of S consists of the following collection: for each $p_i \in S$

- a string of positive integers $(m_1^i, m_2^i, \dots, m_{l_i}^i)$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{l_i} m_j^i = r$, and
- an increasing sequence of real numbers $0 \leq \alpha_1^i < \alpha_2^i < \dots < \alpha_{l_i}^i < 1$.

If $m_j^i = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l_i$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, we say that it is a *full-flag* parabolic data; in that case $l_i = r$ for all i .

A *parabolic structure* on E over S , with parabolic data of rank r as above, consists of the following: for each $p_i \in S$, a weighted filtration of subspaces

$$\begin{aligned} E_{p_i} &= E_1^i \supseteq E_2^i \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_{l_i}^i \supseteq E_{l_i+1}^i = 0 \\ 0 &\leq \alpha_1^i < \alpha_2^i < \dots < \alpha_{l_i}^i < \alpha_{l_i+1}^i = 1 \end{aligned}$$

such that $m_j^i = \dim(E_j^i/E_{j+1}^i)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l_i$ and all $1 \leq i \leq s$.

The above collection $\alpha := \{(\alpha_1^i < \alpha_2^i < \dots < \alpha_{l_i}^i)_{1 \leq i \leq s}\}$ is called the *weights*, and the above integer m_j^i is called the *multiplicity* of the weight α_j^i .

By a *parabolic bundle* we mean a collection of data (E, m, α) , where E is a vector bundle on X , while m and α are as described above. For notational convenience, such a parabolic vector bundle will also be referred to as E_* ; the vector bundle E is called the *underlying bundle* for E_* . A full-flag parabolic data is also called a *full-flag* parabolic structure.

Definition 2.2. Let E_* be a parabolic bundle on X of rank r . The *parabolic degree* of E_* is defined as

$$\text{pardeg}(E_*) := \deg(E) + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^{l_i} m_j^i \alpha_j^i \in \mathbb{R}$$

and the *parabolic slope* of E_* is defined as

$$\text{par}\mu(E_*) := \frac{\text{pardeg}(E_*)}{r} \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The parabolic bundle E_* is called *parabolic semistable* (respectively, *parabolic stable*) if for every proper sub-bundle $F \subsetneq E$ we have

$$\text{par}\mu(F_*) \leq \text{par}\mu(E_*) \quad (\text{respectively, } \text{par}\mu(F_*) < \text{par}\mu(E_*)),$$

where F_* denotes the parabolic bundle defined by F equipped with the parabolic structure induced by the parabolic structure of E_* (see [MS] for the details).

Definition 2.3. A system of weights α is called *generic* if every parabolic semistable bundle E_* , of given rank and degree, with weights α is parabolic stable. We refer to [BY] for more details.

Remark 2.4. See [Se], [MS] for homomorphisms between parabolic bundles. The class of parabolic semistable bundles with fixed parabolic slope forms an abelian category. We refer to [Se, p. 68] for further details.

Definition 2.5. Let E_* and E'_* be two parabolic vector bundles with parabolic data (m, α) and (m', α') respectively. Let $\varphi : E \rightarrow E'$ be a morphism of vector bundles. φ is called a *parabolic morphism* if, for each parabolic point p ,

$$\alpha_i^p > \alpha_j^p \implies \varphi_p(E_i^p) \subset E_{j+1}^p.$$

Definition 2.6. (i) A *parabolic Higgs bundle* on X is a parabolic vector bundle E_* together with a parabolic morphism

$$\varphi_* : E_* \rightarrow E_* \otimes K_X(D)$$

which is called *Higgs field*. This will be usually denoted by (E_*, φ_*) .

(ii) A (twisted) parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(r, k)$ -Higgs bundle of fixed determinant ξ on X is a parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, φ_*) such that $\det(E) \simeq \xi$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\varphi) = 0$.

The *parabolic degree* and *parabolic slope* of (E_*, φ_*) are defined to be the corresponding numbers for the underlying parabolic bundle E_* .

2.1. Parabolic push-forward and pull-back. Let X and Y be two irreducible smooth projective curves, and let $\gamma : Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite étale Galois morphism. If F is a vector bundle on Y of rank n , then $\gamma_* F$ is a vector bundle on X of rank mn , where m is the degree of the map γ . Given a parabolic structure on F , there is a natural way to construct a parabolic structure on $\gamma_* F$. We refer to [BM, § 3] for details.

Let us mention a special case of parabolic push-forward which will be used here. For simplicity, first assume that there is only one parabolic point on X , i.e., $S = \{p\}$. Let m be the degree of γ . Since γ is unramified, the inverse image $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ consists of m distinct points of Y . Suppose we are given a full-flag parabolic data on Y of rank n with $\gamma^{-1}(p)$ as the set of parabolic points, so that the parabolic structure on a vector bundle F of rank n on Y is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} q \in \gamma^{-1}(p), F_q = F_1^q \supseteq F_2^q \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_n^q \supseteq F_{n+1}^q = 0, \\ \alpha_1^q < \alpha_2^q < \cdots < \alpha_n^q < \alpha_{n+1}^q = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we assume that in the entire collection $\{\alpha_j^q \mid q \in \gamma^{-1}(p), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ all numbers are distinct. We shall construct, from this data, a full-flag parabolic structure on $E = \gamma_* F$ at the point p . Note that

$$E_p = \bigoplus_{q \in \gamma^{-1}(p)} F_q.$$

Let

$$\beta_1^p < \beta_2^p < \cdots < \beta_{mn}^p$$

be the increasing sequence of length mn obtained by ordering the numbers $\{\alpha_j^q \mid q \in \gamma^{-1}(p), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$. For each integer $1 \leq k \leq mn$, define the subspace

$$E_k^p := \bigoplus_{q \in \gamma^{-1}(p)} F_{\omega(q,k)}^q \subset E_p,$$

where $\omega(q, k)$, for each point q , is the smallest integer $1 \leq j(q) \leq n$ satisfying the condition $\beta_k^p \leq \alpha_{j(q)}^q$. So we have a filtration of E_p by the subspaces $\{E_k^p\}_{k=1}^{mn}$. It is straight-forward to see that $\dim(E_k^p/E_{k+1}^p) = 1$ for all $1 \leq k \leq mn - 1$ and $\dim E_{mn}^p = 1$. Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} E_p = E_1^p \supseteq E_2^p \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_{mn}^p \\ \beta_1^p < \beta_2^p < \cdots < \beta_{mn}^p \end{aligned}$$

is a full-flag parabolic structure of rank mn at p . Finally, for multiple parabolic points on X , we perform exactly the same construction individually for each parabolic point to define the parabolic push-forward.

Let $\gamma : Y \rightarrow X$ be as above. If E_* is a parabolic bundle on X , then γ^*E has an induced parabolic structure as follows: let $S \subset X$ be the parabolic points for E ; we define $\gamma^{-1}(S)$ as the set of parabolic points for γ^*E , and for any $p \in S$ and $q \in \gamma^{-1}(p)$, give the fiber $(\gamma^*E)_q = E_p$ the same parabolic structure as E_p .

The direct image and pull-back of parabolic Higgs bundles is also well-defined in this setting. Since γ is étale, we have $K_Y \simeq \gamma^*K_X$. Thus if $\varphi : E_* \rightarrow E_* \otimes K_X(D)$ is a Higgs field on E_* on X , then

$$\gamma^*(\varphi_*) : \gamma^*(E_*) \rightarrow \gamma^*(E_*) \otimes K_Y(\gamma^*D)$$

is a Higgs field on the pull-back $\gamma^*(E_*)$. Clearly, if φ_* is parabolic, then $\gamma^*(\varphi_*)$ is parabolic as well.

Similarly, if $\phi_* : F_* \rightarrow F_* \otimes K_Y(\gamma^*D)$ is a Higgs field for F_* , then apply push-forward followed by projection formula:

$$\gamma_*(\phi_*) : \gamma_*(F_*) \rightarrow \gamma_*(F_* \otimes K_Y(\gamma^*(D))) \simeq \gamma_*(F_*) \otimes K_X(D)$$

and consider $\gamma_*(\phi_*)$ as a Higgs field on $\gamma_*(F_*)$, where $\gamma_*(F_*)$ has the induced parabolic structure from F_* as described above. For a parabolic point p , if $\gamma^{-1}(p) = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m\}$, then

$$(\gamma_*\phi)_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \phi_{q_i}.$$

It follows easily from this description that if the Higgs field ϕ_* is parabolic then $\gamma_*(\phi_*)$ is parabolic as well.

3. THE FIXED POINT LOCI

We fix a rank r , a subset of parabolic points of X and a line bundle ξ of degree d on X . We assume that in the entire collection of parabolic weights $\{\alpha_j^q \mid q \in \gamma^{-1}(p), 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ all numbers are distinct.

We adopt the following notation:

$M_{X,H}^\alpha$:= Moduli space of stable parabolic $\mathrm{SL}(r, \mathbb{C})$ – Higgs bundles of rank r , fixed determinant ξ and weights α of full-flag type on X .

$$\Gamma := \{L \in \mathrm{Pic}^0(X) \mid L^r \simeq \mathcal{O}_X\}.$$

We aim to study the connected components of the fixed point loci of the automorphism of $M_{X,H}^\alpha$ defined by tensoring with any r -torsion line bundle η . The following result in linear algebra which will be used.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\psi \in \mathrm{GL}(V)$ be a diagonalizable automorphism of a vector space V of dimension r equipped with a filtration of subspaces*

$$V = V_r \supseteq V_{r-1} \supseteq V_{r-2} \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_1 \supseteq 0$$

such that $\psi(V_i) = V_i \forall 1 \leq i \leq r$. Then there exists a basis of V consisting of eigenvectors $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$ of ψ such that $V_j = \langle v_1, \dots, v_j \rangle \forall 1 \leq j \leq r$.

Proof. Since ψ is diagonalizable, for any subspace $W \subset V$ such that $\psi(W) = W$, the restriction of ψ to W is diagonalizable and, moreover, since diagonalizable maps are semisimple, there is a subspace $W' \subset V$ satisfying the conditions that $\psi(W') = W'$ and $V = W \oplus W'$. Choose any basis vector v_1 for V_1 . Suppose $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_j\}$ has been chosen satisfying the hypothesis up to some j with $j \leq r-1$. Then there exists a vector $v_{j+1} \in V_{j+1}$ such that $V_{j+1} = \langle v_{j+1} \rangle \oplus V_j$. Now $\{v_1, \dots, v_{j+1}\}$ satisfy the hypothesis till $j+1$. Repeating this process, the lemma follows. \square

The group Γ acts on $M_{X,H}^\alpha$. The action of $\eta \in \Gamma$ sends a parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, φ_*) , where $\varphi_* : E_* \rightarrow E_* \otimes K_X(D)$ is a Higgs field, to the parabolic Higgs bundle

$$(E_* \otimes \eta, \varphi_* \otimes \text{Id}_\eta).$$

A parabolic Higgs bundle (E_*, φ_*) is a *fixed point* under this action of η if there exists an isomorphism of parabolic Higgs bundles between (E_*, φ_*) and $(E_* \otimes \eta, \varphi_* \otimes \text{Id}_\eta)$, namely a parabolic isomorphism

$$\psi_* : E_* \simeq E_* \otimes \eta$$

which is compatible with the Higgs fields in the sense that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_* & \xrightarrow{\psi_*} & E_* \otimes \eta \\ \varphi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_* \otimes \text{Id}_\eta \\ E_* \otimes K_X(D) & \xrightarrow{\psi_* \otimes \text{Id}_{K_X(D)}} & E_* \otimes K_X(D) \otimes \eta \end{array}$$

For $\eta \in \Gamma \setminus \mathcal{O}_X$, let $(M_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta \subset M_{X,H}^\alpha$ be the locus of fixed points for the action of η on $M_{X,H}^\alpha$. If $m = \text{ord}(\eta)$, choosing a nonzero section $s_0 \in H^0(X, \eta^{\otimes m})$, define the spectral curve

$$Y_\eta := \{v \in \eta \mid v^{\otimes m} \in s_0(X)\}. \quad (3.1)$$

The natural projection $\gamma_\eta : Y_\eta \rightarrow X$ is an étale Galois covering with Galois group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$. The isomorphism class of this covering γ_η does not depend on the choice of the section s_0 .

3.1. Description of fixed point loci as quotients. Given a set $\alpha = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_r\}$ of r distinct elements, and a submultiple m of r , let $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ denote the set of all possible partitions of its elements into m subsets, each containing $l = r/m$ elements. Clearly, we have $|\mathbf{P}(\alpha)| = \binom{r}{l} \binom{r-l}{l} \binom{r-2l}{l} \dots \binom{l}{l}$.

Consider the spectral curve $\gamma_\eta : Y_\eta \rightarrow X$ in (3.1). Given a full-flag parabolic data of rank r at the parabolic points S of X , and an element of $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, we would like to describe a full-flag parabolic data of rank l on $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$.

For simplicity, let us start with the case of a single parabolic point $S = \{p\}$. So, we are given a full-flag parabolic data of rank r at p . Let α denote its set of weights. Denote $\mu = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/m)$, and we have

$$\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta) = \{1, \mu, \mu^2, \dots, \mu^{m-1}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}.$$

The action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ on $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$ is via multiplication of \mathbb{C}^* on η . Using this, we can define a full-flag parabolic data at the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$ as follows: fix an ordering on the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$, say $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p) = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m\}$, such that μ^i acts on $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$ as the cyclic permutation sending q_j to q_{j+i} , where the subscript $(j+i)$ is to be understood mod m . For $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, suppose

$$\alpha = \coprod_{j=1}^m \Lambda_j$$

be the partition of the set of weights α according to \mathbf{t} . Clearly each Λ_j can be arranged into an increasing sequence of length n . We designate Λ_j as the set of weights at q_j for each $1 \leq j \leq m$. This gives a full-flag parabolic data of rank n at the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$. Finally, for multiple parabolic points, say $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$ and $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p_i) = \{q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}\}$, perform the same procedure as above for each p_i to get the parabolic structure on $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p_i)$. In particular, the number of possible parabolic data on $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$ is $|\mathbf{P}(\alpha)| = \left(\binom{r}{l} \binom{r-l}{l} \binom{r-2l}{l} \dots \binom{l}{l} \right)^s$.

For each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, let $M_{Y_\eta, H}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, d)$ denote the moduli space of stable parabolic $\mathrm{GL}(l, \mathbb{C})$ -Higgs bundles over Y_η of degree d and having full-flag parabolic structures at the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$ according to \mathbf{t} as described above. Let $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \subset M_{Y_\eta, H}^{\mathbf{t}}(n, d)$ denote the subvariety consisting of all those Higgs bundles (F_*, ϕ_*) over Y_η such that $\det(\gamma_{\eta_*} F) \simeq \xi$ and $\mathrm{tr}(\gamma_{\eta_*}(\phi)) = 0$. Define

$$\mathcal{N}_\eta = \prod_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}.$$

Lemma 3.2. *There is a surjective morphism $f : \mathcal{N}_\eta \longrightarrow (\mathbb{M}_{X, H}^\alpha)^n$ given by parabolic pushforward by the étale map γ_η .*

Proof. First, assume for simplicity that there is only one parabolic point $S = \{p\}$.

The map f sends any (F_*, ϕ_*) to the parabolic pushforward $\gamma_{\eta_*}((F_*, \phi_*))$. We claim that $f((F_*, \phi_*))$ is a parabolic semistable Higgs bundle.

To prove this, if $(E_*, \varphi_*) = f((F_*, \phi_*))$, we have

$$\gamma_\eta^*((E_*, \varphi_*)) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \sigma^*((F_*, \phi_*)),$$

where σ^*F_* has the obvious parabolic Higgs bundle structure coming from (F_*, ϕ_*) , induced by pulling back via σ . It is also clear that $\mathrm{par}\mu(\sigma^*F_*) = \mathrm{par}\mu(F_*)$ for all σ . Consequently, $\gamma_\eta^*((E_*, \varphi_*))$ is a direct sum of stable parabolic Higgs bundles of same parabolic slope, which implies that $\gamma_\eta^*((E_*, \varphi_*))$ is a semistable parabolic Higgs bundle. From this it follows that (E_*, φ_*) must be parabolic semistable as well, since any φ_* -invariant sub-bundle $E'_* \subset E_*$ of strictly larger parabolic slope would give rise to a $\gamma_\eta^*(\varphi_*)$ -invariant sub-bundle $\gamma_\eta^*(E'_*) \subset \gamma_\eta^*(E_*)$ of strictly larger parabolic slope, contradicting the parabolic semistability of $\gamma_\eta^*((E_*, \varphi_*))$. This proves the claim that (E_*, φ_*) is parabolic semistable.

Moreover, (E_*, φ_*) is actually parabolic stable. To see this, take any non-trivial φ_* -invariant sub-bundle $E'_* \subset E_*$ such that $\mathrm{par}\mu(E'_*) = \mathrm{par}\mu(E_*)$. Then

$$\gamma_\eta^*(E'_*) \subset \gamma_\eta^*(E_*) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \sigma^*(F_*)$$

is a $\gamma_\eta^*(\varphi_*)$ -invariant sub-bundle with same parabolic slope. The parabolic Higgs field on $\gamma_\eta^*(E'_*)$ induced by $\gamma_\eta^*(\varphi_*)$ will also be denoted by $\gamma_\eta^*(\varphi_*)$.

Now, it is clear that $(\gamma_\eta^*(E'_*), \gamma_\eta^*(\varphi_*))$ is also parabolic semistable. Thus, it contains a parabolic stable Higgs bundle F'_* equipped with parabolic Higgs field induced by $\gamma_\eta^*(\varphi_*)$. Next note that the parabolic Higgs bundles

$$\{\sigma^*(F_*, \phi_*) \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)\}$$

are mutually non-isomorphic. Indeed, otherwise there would exist a parabolic isomorphism \tilde{f} from (F_*, ϕ_*) to $\sigma^*(F_*, \phi_*)$ for some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(\gamma) \setminus \{e\}$. Such an isomorphism would preserve the filtrations of F_y and $F_{\sigma(y)}$ at a parabolic point y . But since the weights of F_* at the parabolic points y and $\sigma(y)$ are collectively distinct, and a parabolic isomorphism preserves weights, this leads to a contradiction. We thus see that all projections $(F'_*, \gamma_\eta^*(\varphi_*)) \longrightarrow \sigma^*(F_*, \phi_*)$ except one σ must be zero, so we have $F'_* = \sigma^*(F_*, \phi_*)$ for some σ . Since $\gamma_\eta^*(E'_*)$ is $\mathrm{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -equivariant, and it contains $F'_* = \sigma^*(F_*, \phi_*)$, we conclude that $\gamma_\eta^*(E'_*) = \gamma_\eta^*(E_*)$. This clearly implies that $E'_* = E_*$. Thus we have $f((F_*, \phi_*)) \in \mathbb{M}_{X, H}^\alpha$.

Next, we argue that $\text{Im}(f) \subseteq (\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$. Take any $(E_*, \varphi_*) = f(F_*, \phi_*)$. There exists a tautological trivialization of the line bundle $\gamma_\eta^* \eta$ over Y_η , which induces an isomorphism

$$\theta : \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta} \longrightarrow \gamma_\eta^* \eta. \quad (3.2)$$

For each $1 \leq i \leq m$, the map $\theta_{q_i} : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow (\gamma_\eta^* \eta)_{q_i} = \eta_p$ (see (3.2)) is given by $\lambda \longmapsto \lambda q_i$. It produces an isomorphism

$$\text{Id}_F \otimes \theta : F \xrightarrow{\cong} F \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \eta.$$

Taking its direct image, and using the projection formula, the following isomorphism is obtained:

$$\psi := \gamma_{\eta_*}(\text{Id}_F \otimes \theta) : E = \gamma_{\eta_*} F \longrightarrow \gamma_{\eta_*}(F \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \eta) = (\gamma_{\eta_*} F) \otimes \eta = E \otimes \eta.$$

Now $E_p = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m F_{q_i}$, and the map $\psi_p : E_p \longrightarrow E_p \otimes \eta_p$ on the fiber takes F_{q_i} to $F_{q_i} \otimes \eta_p$, which clearly implies that ψ_p preserves the filtration induced on E_p . Thus ψ is a parabolic isomorphism. Moreover, θ in (3.2) evidently commutes with the Higgs field ϕ , which shows that ψ commutes with $\gamma_{\eta_*}(\phi)$. Consequently, we have $\text{Im}(f) \subseteq (\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$.

To prove that f is surjective on $(\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$, take any $(E_*, \varphi_*) \in (\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$. Recall from the earlier discussion that this means that there exists an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{\psi}_* : E_* \simeq E_* \otimes \eta$$

of parabolic bundles which is compatible with φ_* , so that the diagram below commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_* & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}_*} & E_* \otimes \eta \\ \varphi_* \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_* \otimes \text{Id}_\eta \\ E_* \otimes K_X(D) & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\psi}_* \otimes \text{Id}_{K_X(D)}} & E_* \otimes K_X(D) \otimes \eta \end{array}$$

We need to show that there exists $(F_*, \phi_*) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta$ such that $f((F_*, \phi_*)) \simeq (E_*, \varphi_*)$.

Since (E_*, φ_*) is a parabolic stable Higgs bundle, it is simple, and hence any parabolic endomorphism of (E_*, φ_*) which commutes with the Higgs field is in fact a constant scalar multiplication. As a consequence, any two parabolic isomorphisms from E_* to $E_* \otimes \eta$ which commute with φ_* will differ by a constant scalar. Thus, we can re-scale $\widetilde{\psi}_*$ by multiplying with a nonzero scalar, so that the m -fold composition

$$\underbrace{\widetilde{\psi}_* \circ \dots \circ \widetilde{\psi}_*}_{m\text{-times}} : E_* \longrightarrow E_* \otimes \eta^m$$

coincides with $\text{Id}_{E_*} \otimes \tau$, where τ is the chosen nowhere-vanishing section of η^m . This gives

$$\underbrace{\widetilde{\psi} \circ \dots \circ \widetilde{\psi}}_{m\text{-times}} = \text{Id}_E \otimes \tau : E \longrightarrow E \otimes \eta^m$$

on the underlying bundles. Then, the argument given in the proof of [BH, Lemma 2.1] will produce a vector bundle F on Y_η with $\gamma_{\eta_*} F \cong E$. Let us briefly recall the argument for convenience. Consider the pull-back $\gamma_\eta^*(\widetilde{\psi})$, and compose it with the tautological trivialization of $\gamma_\eta^* \eta$ to get a morphism

$$\widetilde{\phi} : \gamma_\eta^* E \longrightarrow \gamma_\eta^* E.$$

Since Y_η is irreducible, the characteristic polynomial of $\widetilde{\phi}_y$ remains unchanged as the point $y \in Y_\eta$ moves. This allows us to decompose $\gamma_\eta^* E$ into generalized eigenspace sub-bundles. If F is an eigenspace sub-bundle of E , then we have $\gamma_{\eta_*} F \cong E$.

Our next task is to produce a parabolic structure on F and a Higgs field ϕ_* on the resulting parabolic bundle F_* so that the parabolic pushforward $(\gamma_{\eta^*}(F_*), \gamma_{\eta^*}(\phi_*))$ coincides with (E_*, φ_*) .

First we produce the parabolic structure. Recall the description of θ in (3.2), and notice that for any choice of $q \in \gamma_{\eta^{-1}}(p)$, the map $\tilde{\phi}_q$ is precisely the composition

$$(\gamma_{\eta^*} E)_q = E_p \xrightarrow{\tilde{\psi}_p} E_p \otimes \eta_p = (\gamma_{\eta^*} E)_q \otimes (\gamma_{\eta^*} \eta)_q \xrightarrow{Id \otimes (\theta_q)^{-1}} (\gamma_{\eta^*} E)_q, \quad (3.3)$$

where $\theta_q : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow (\gamma_{\eta^*} \eta)_q = \eta_p$ is defined by $\lambda \mapsto \lambda q$. Thus, if

$$E_p = E_1^p \supseteq E_2^p \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_r^p \supseteq 0$$

is the given parabolic filtration of the fiber E_p , then as φ_* is a parabolic isomorphism,

$$\forall j \in [0, r], \{ \varphi_p(E_j^p) = E_j^p \otimes \eta_p \} \implies \{ \phi_q(E_j^p) = E_j^p \} \text{ [from (3.3)].} \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\tilde{\phi}_q^s$ be the semisimple part of $\tilde{\phi}_q$ for its Jordan-Chevalley decomposition. It is well-known that $\tilde{\phi}_q^s$ can be expressed as a polynomial in $\tilde{\phi}_q$ without constant coefficient. Thus

$$\tilde{\phi}_q^s(E_j^p) = E_j^p \quad \forall j \in [0, r-1].$$

Moreover, the generalized eigenspaces of $\tilde{\phi}_q$ (namely F_{q_i} 's) are the eigenspaces for $\tilde{\phi}_q^s$. Thus $\tilde{\phi}_q^s : E_p \rightarrow E_p$ and the filtration $E_p = E_1^p \supseteq \dots \supseteq E_r^p \supseteq 0$ allow us to apply Lemma 3.1, which gives us a basis of E_p , say $\{v_1, \dots, v_r\}$, such that each $E_p^k = \langle v_k, \dots, v_r \rangle$ and each v_j is contained in a unique F_{q_i} . From this data, we can produce a full-flag parabolic structure on the fibers F_{q_1}, \dots, F_{q_m} of F as follows: Choose a basis

$$B = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r\}$$

of E_p as in Lemma 3.1, and for each q_i , consider the subset $B_i := B \cap F_{q_i} \subset B$. By symmetry, each B_i consists of l elements and spans F_{q_i} . Suppose $B_i = \{v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_l}\}$ with $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_l$. Then consider the following weighted full-flag filtration of F_{q_i} :

$$F_{q_i} = \langle v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_l} \rangle \supseteq \langle v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_l} \rangle \supseteq \dots \supseteq \langle v_{i_l} \rangle \supseteq 0, \\ \alpha_{i_1}^p < \alpha_{i_2}^p < \dots < \alpha_{i_l}^p,$$

where $(\alpha_1^p < \alpha_2^p < \dots < \alpha_r^p)$ are the parabolic weights at p . By performing this for all $1 \leq i \leq m$, we get a parabolic bundle F_* on Y_{η} .

Next a Higgs field on F_* will be constructed. As it was seen above, $\gamma_{\eta^*}(E_*) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_{\eta})} \sigma^*(F_*)$ is the decomposition of $\gamma_{\eta^*}(E_*)$ into generalized eigenspace sub-bundles under the pull-back morphism

$$\gamma_{\eta^*}(\psi_*) : \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_{\eta})} \sigma^*(F_*) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_{\eta})} (\sigma^*(F_*) \otimes \gamma_{\eta^*}(\eta)).$$

Since $\gamma_{\eta^*}(\varphi_*)$ is compatible with $\gamma_{\eta^*}(\psi_*)$, it must preserve its generalized eigenspaces, and thus $\gamma_{\eta^*}(\varphi_*)$ also decomposes as

$$\gamma_{\eta^*}(\varphi_*) = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_{\eta})} \phi_{\sigma,*}$$

where $\phi_{\sigma,*} : \sigma^*(F_*) \rightarrow \sigma^*(F_*) \otimes K_{Y_{\eta}}(\gamma_{\eta^*} D)$ are homomorphisms.

Since $\gamma_{\eta^*}(\varphi_*)$ is a $\text{Gal}(\gamma_{\eta})$ -equivariant morphism, it follows that $\phi_{\sigma',*}$ is the conjugate of $\phi_{\sigma,*}$ by the automorphism σ' . But φ_* is the descent of the $\text{Gal}(\gamma_{\eta})$ -equivariant morphism $\gamma_{\eta^*}(\varphi_*)$, which immediately implies by the uniqueness of descent that, upon taking $\sigma = e$ to be identity in $\text{Gal}(\gamma_{\eta})$,

$$\gamma_{\eta^*}(\phi_{e,*}) = \varphi_*.$$

Moreover, since φ_* is a parabolic morphism by assumption, it forces each $\phi_{\sigma,*}$ to be parabolic as well, due to the nature of its construction from § 2.1. Thus $\phi_* := \phi_{e,*}$ is our candidate for the Higgs field.

Note that F_* must be parabolic stable, because if $F' \subset F$ is any ϕ -invariant sub-bundle such that $\text{Par}\mu(F'_*) \geq \text{Par}\mu(F_*)$, then the equalities

$$\text{Pardeg}(\gamma_{\eta_*}(F'_*)) = \text{Pardeg}(F'_*) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank}(\gamma_{\eta_*}(F'_*)) = m \cdot \text{rank}(F')$$

would imply that $\gamma_{\eta_*}(F') \subset E$ violates the condition of parabolic stability for E_* . Thus $F_* \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ for some $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$.

Finally, if the number of parabolic points on X is more than 1, then an exactly similar argument with the obvious modifications will give the result. \square

In the proof of Lemma 3.2 it was seen that for (F_*, ϕ_*) and (F'_*, ϕ'_*) in $\mathcal{N}_\eta := \coprod_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$,

$$\{\gamma_{\eta_*}(F_*, \phi_*) = \gamma_{\eta_*}(F'_*, \phi'_*)\} \iff \{(F_*, \phi_*) \simeq \sigma^*(F'_*, \phi'_*) \text{ for some } \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)\}.$$

Thus we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *Each fiber of f coincides with an orbit of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$. Therefore, via f ,*

$$\mathcal{N}_\eta / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta) \simeq (\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta.$$

4. CONNECTED COMPONENTS OF FIXED POINT LOCI

In this section the connected components of $(\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$ will be described. First, let us define an action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ on the set $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ described in § 3.1.

Fix a generator μ of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$, and also fix an ordering of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$ for each $p \in S$. With this ordering, write

$$\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p) = \{q_{1,p}, q_{2,p}, \dots, q_{m,p}\} \text{ such that } \mu^i(q_{j,p}) = q_{i+j,p},$$

where $(i+j)$ is to be understood mod m . Each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ then determines an ordered partition of $\{\alpha_1^p, \alpha_2^p, \dots, \alpha_r^p\}$ each having cardinality r/m , namely $\{\mathbf{t}_1^p, \mathbf{t}_2^p, \dots, \mathbf{t}_m^p\}$ for each $p \in S$. Moreover, by definition, \mathbf{t}_j^p describes the full-flag parabolic data at $q_{j,p}$. For $\mu^i \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$, define $\mu^i \cdot \mathbf{t}$ to be the new ordered partition

$$\{\mathbf{t}_{i+1}^p, \mathbf{t}_{i+2}^p, \dots, \mathbf{t}_{i+m}^p\}_{p \in S},$$

where, now, \mathbf{t}_{i+j}^p describes the parabolic structure at $q_{j,p}$ for all $j \in [1, m]$. It is easy to check that this action of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ is free.

Now, $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ acts on $\mathcal{N}_\eta = \coprod_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ by pull-back. Clearly

$$\{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta), (F_*, \phi_*) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}\} \implies \{(\sigma^*(F_*), \sigma^*(\phi_*)) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{t}}\}.$$

In fact, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \simeq \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{t}}$ defined by $(F_*, \phi_*) \mapsto (\sigma^*(F_*), \sigma^*(\phi_*))$.

Lemma 4.1. *The map*

$$f : \mathcal{N}_\eta = \coprod_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \longrightarrow (\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$$

given by parabolic pushforward satisfies the following properties:

- (i) For each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, the map $f^{\mathbf{t}} := f|_{\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}}$ is injective; thus $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \simeq f^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}})$ can be considered as a closed (and open) subset of $(\mathbb{M}_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$.

(ii) For $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}' \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, the following are equivalent:

- (a) $\mathbf{t}' = \sigma \cdot \mathbf{t}$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$,
- (b) $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}) = f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}'})$,
- (c) $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}) \cap f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}'}) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) : This follows from Corollary 3.3, which says that a fiber of f coincides with a $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -orbit, together with the fact that $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \neq \sigma^*(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}'})$ for a non-trivial $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$. Since the quotient by a finite group is a proper map, it follows that $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}})$ is closed. The fact that $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}'})$ is also open in $(M_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$ follows from (ii) below.

(ii): (a) \implies (b): We saw that $(F_*, \phi_*) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ if and only if $(\sigma^*(F_*), \sigma^*(\phi_*)) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\sigma \cdot \mathbf{t}}$. Since $\gamma_{\eta*}((F_*, \phi_*)) = \gamma_{\eta*}((\sigma^*(F_*), \sigma^*(\phi_*)))$, the claim follows.

(b) \implies (c): This is obvious.

(c) \implies (a): The fibers of f coincide with $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -orbits (see Corollary 3.3). Thus, if some fiber of f intersects both $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ and $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}'}$, then there exists $(F_*, \phi_*) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ such that $(\sigma^*(F_*), \sigma^*(\phi_*)) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}'}$ for some $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$. This implies that $\mathbf{t}' = \sigma \cdot \mathbf{t}$.

This completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 4.1 it is evident that in order to understand the connected components of $(M_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$, it is enough to understand the connected components of $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ for various $\mathbf{t} \in P(\alpha)$.

As we have $\gamma_\eta^*(K_X(D)) = K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)$, it follows that $K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)$ is naturally a $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -equivariant bundle. Thus $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ also acts on $H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D))$; an element $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ acts by sending a section $s \mapsto \sigma s \sigma^{-1}$ via the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \gamma_\eta^*(K_X(D)) & \xrightarrow{\cdot\sigma} & \gamma_\eta^*(K_X(D)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Y_\eta & \xrightarrow{\cdot\sigma} & Y_\eta \end{array} \quad (4.1)$$

Now, we have

$$H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)) = H^0(Y_\eta, \gamma_\eta^*(K_X(D))) = H^0(X, \gamma_{\eta*}(\gamma_\eta^*(K_X(D)))) \quad (4.2)$$

$$\simeq H^0(X, K_X(D) \otimes \gamma_{\eta*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta}) \quad [\text{projection formula}] \quad (4.3)$$

$$\simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} H^0(X, K_X(D) \otimes \eta^i) \quad [\text{as } \gamma_{\eta*} \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} \eta^i]. \quad (4.4)$$

Moreover, the decomposition $H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} H^0(X, K_X(D) \otimes \eta^i)$ is in fact the eigenspace decomposition of $H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D))$ under the action of the cyclic group $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ as defined above [EV, Corollary 3.11]. Thus, $H^0(X, K_X(D))$ can be identified with the subspace of $H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D))$ corresponding to the eigenvalue 1; in other words, it is the subspace of $\text{Gal}(\gamma)$ -fixed points. Consequently, we get a natural linear surjection

$$h : H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)) \longrightarrow H^0(X, K_X(D)) \quad (4.5)$$

that sends a section s to the descent of the $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -invariant section $\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \sigma s \sigma^{-1}$ (see (4.1)).

For future use, let us note that each fiber of h is connected.

Lemma 4.2 ([Na, Lemma 3.18]). *Let μ_m denote the group of m -th roots of unity in \mathbb{C}^* . Consider the left regular representation of μ_m , namely μ_m acting on the group algebra $k[\mu_m]$ by left multiplication. Let $\chi_{\text{reg}} : \mu_m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be the determinant of the regular representation, and let $L_{\chi_{\text{reg}}} := Y_\eta \times^{\chi_{\text{reg}}} \mathbb{C}$ denote the associated line bundle on X . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\chi_{\text{reg}}} &\simeq \mathcal{O}_X, \text{ if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ &\simeq \eta^{m/2}, \text{ if } m \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\mu_m = \langle \xi_m \rangle$. From the description of the tautological section of $\gamma_\eta^*(\eta) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta}$, it is straightforward to see that if $\chi_0 : \mu_m \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ denotes the inclusion morphism, then η is isomorphic to the associated line bundle $Y_{\chi_0} = Y_\eta \times^{\chi_0} \mathbb{C}$. Choosing an ordered basis $\{1, \xi_m, \xi_m^2, \dots, \xi_m^{m-1}\}$ we see that

$$\chi_{\text{reg}}(\xi_m) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{m-1}.$$

Thus for m odd, χ_{reg} is trivial, whereas for m even, $\chi_{\text{reg}} = (\chi_0)^{m/2}$, and consequently $L_{\chi_{\text{reg}}} \simeq L_{\chi_0}^{m/2} \simeq \eta^{m/2}$. \square

Lemma 4.3 ([Na, Proposition 3.50]). *Let F be a vector bundle of rank r/m on Y_η . Let $\gamma_\eta : Y_\eta \rightarrow X$ be the above spectral curve. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \det_X(\gamma_{\eta*}(F)) &\simeq Nm_{\gamma_\eta}(\det(F)), \quad \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \\ &\simeq Nm_{\gamma_\eta}(\det(F)) \otimes \eta^{r/2}, \quad \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let us denote $G = \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$. We have $G \simeq \mu_m \subset \mathbb{C}^*$, the group of m th roots of unity. The bundle $\gamma_{\eta*}(E)$ is obtained as the descent of the G -equivariant bundle $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* E$. We have

$$\det\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* F\right) \simeq \bigotimes_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^*(\det F) \simeq \left(\bigotimes_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* \det F\right) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta}. \quad (4.6)$$

We want to view this isomorphism as an equivariant isomorphism. On $\bigotimes_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* F$, G acts naturally by permuting the summands, together with moving the fibers. If we identify the fibers of $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* F$ with the direct sum of $\frac{r}{m}$ copies of the group ring $k[\mu_m]^{\oplus r/m}$, we see immediately that the G -action on fibers of (4.6) coincides with direct sum of r/m copies of regular representation of G . Thus, on the left-hand side of (4.6), the G -action is precisely the $\frac{r}{m}$ 'th power of the determinant of the regular representation. Since the G -action on the fibers of $\bigotimes_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* \det F$ is trivial, it follows immediately that in order for the isomorphism (4.6) to be equivariant, G acts on \mathcal{O}_{Y_η} via the character $\chi_{\text{reg}}^{\frac{r}{m}}$, where χ_{reg} denotes the determinant of the regular representation of μ_m .

Since descent commutes with tensor products of equivariant bundles, it follows that the descents of (4.6) are isomorphic. The bundle $\det(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* F)$ descends to $\det(\gamma_{\eta*} F)$, the bundle $\bigotimes_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^* \det F$ descends to $Nm_{\gamma_\eta}(\det F)$, and the bundle \mathcal{O}_{Y_η} with action via $\chi_{\text{reg}}^{\frac{r}{m}}$ descends to \mathcal{O}_X if m is odd, and to $(\eta^{m/2})^{r/m} = \eta^{r/2}$ if m is even [Lemma 4.2]. The result follows. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let $l = r/m$. With the same notations as above, for all $t \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, the following diagram commutes:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d) & \xrightarrow{f^t} & M_{X, H}^\alpha(r, d) \\
 \downarrow (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta}) & & \downarrow (\det_X, tr_X) \\
 \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta) \times H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)) & \xrightarrow{g \times h} & \text{Pic}^d(X) \times H^0(X, K_X(D))
 \end{array} \tag{4.7}$$

where f^t is the map from Lemma 3.2, h is as in (4.5), and $g : \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(X)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(L) &= Nm_{\gamma_\eta}(L), & m \text{ odd} \\
 &= Nm_{\gamma_\eta}(L) \otimes \eta^{r/2}, & m \text{ even.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $(F_*, \phi_*) \in M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d)$. By definition, $f^t(F_*, \phi_*) = (\gamma_{\eta*}(F_*), \gamma_{\eta*}(\phi_*))$. The underlying bundle F satisfies $\det_X(\gamma_{\eta*}(F)) = g(\det_{Y_\eta}(F))$ by Lemma 4.3. The fact that $tr_X(\gamma_{\eta*}(\phi)) = h(tr_{Y_\eta}(\phi))$ also follows from the description of h . \square

Henceforth, we fix $l = r/m$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let g and h be as in Lemma 4.4. For any $\xi \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$ and $\omega \in H^0(K_X(D))$, $(g \times h)^{-1}(\xi, \omega)$ has m connected components. Moreover, $(\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(Z)$ is connected for any connected component Z of $(g \times h)^{-1}(\xi, \omega)$. As a consequence, for any $t \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, \mathcal{N}_η^t also has m connected components, namely*

$$\{(\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0)) \mid C \text{ is a connected component of } g^{-1}(\xi)\}.$$

Proof. Since $(g \times h)^{-1}(\xi, \omega) = g^{-1}(\xi) \times h^{-1}(\omega)$ and clearly $h^{-1}(\omega)$ is connected, the first assertion follows from the fact that $g^{-1}(\xi)$ has m connected components [GO, Proposition 5.7] (see also [NR, Lemma 3.4]).

For any $t \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, consider the morphism

$$(\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta}) : M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta) \times H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)).$$

We claim that the map $(\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})$ is étale-locally trivial. To prove this, consider the étale cover

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mu_l : \text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta) \times H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)) &\rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta) \times H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)) \\
 (L, \omega) &\mapsto (L^l \otimes \mathcal{L}_\eta, \omega),
 \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L}_η is any fixed line bundle of degree d on Y_η . By definition, the fiber product

$$\mu_l^* \left(M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d) \right) = \{((F_*, \phi_*), (L, \omega)) \mid \det(F) \simeq L^l \otimes \mathcal{L}_\eta \text{ and } tr(\phi) = \omega\}$$

From this description, it is easy to see that

$$\mu_l^* \left(M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d) \right) \simeq M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta) \times_{\text{spec}(k)} \left(\text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta) \times H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D)) \right), \tag{4.8}$$

$$\text{under the map } ((F_*, \phi_*), (L, \omega)) \mapsto ((F_* \otimes L^\vee, \phi_* \otimes Id_{L^\vee} - Id_{F \otimes L^\vee} \otimes \omega), (L, \omega)). \tag{4.9}$$

This proves our claim. Moreover, for generic weights, $M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta)$ is a smooth irreducible quasi-projective variety. Thus, $(\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta}) : M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta) \times H^0(Y_\eta, K_{Y_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^* D))$ has connected fibers, and it is flat and finite-type implies that $(\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})$ is universally open. Now, clearly

$$\mathcal{N}_\eta^t = (f^t)^{-1} \left((\det_X, tr_X)^{-1}(\xi, 0) \right) = (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1} \left((g \times h)^{-1}(\xi, 0) \right),$$

which implies that $(\det_{Y_\eta}, \text{tr}_{Y_\eta}) : \mathcal{N}_\eta^t \rightarrow (g \times h)^{-1}(\xi, 0)$ is an open map with connected fibers. The assertions now follow from an exactly similar argument as in [St, Tag 0377, Lemma 5.7.5].

Finally, the last assertion follows since the fibers of h are connected, so that the connected components of $(g \times h)^{-1}(\xi, 0)$ are precisely of the form $C \times h^{-1}(0)$, where C is a component of $g^{-1}(\xi)$. \square

Corollary 4.6. *Let $l = r/m$ and $s = |S|$. For any $\eta \in \Gamma$ with $\text{ord}(\eta) = m$, the number of connected components of the fixed point locus $(M_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$ is equal to $|\mathbf{P}(\alpha)| = \left(\binom{r}{l} \binom{r-l}{l} \cdots \binom{l}{l} \right)^s$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.5, the number of connected components of \mathcal{N}_η^t is m for any $t \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$. Also, we saw in Lemma 4.1 that the elements of $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ in the same $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -orbit have the same image under f , and elements in the different $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -orbits have disjoint image. Since $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ acts freely on $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, it follows that if $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)$ denotes the set of connected components of \mathcal{N}_η^t , then the number of connected components of $(M_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$ equals

$$\frac{|\mathbf{P}(\alpha)|}{|\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)|} \cdot |\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)|.$$

But $|\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)| = |\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)| = m$ [Proposition 4.5], and $|\mathbf{P}(\alpha)| = \left(\binom{r}{l} \binom{r-l}{l} \cdots \binom{l}{l} \right)^s$ as seen earlier. \square

Next we describe how the action of Γ permutes the set of connected components of $(M_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$ under certain conditions. This will be used in Section 6 in the computation of degree-shift numbers.

4.1. An action of Γ on \mathcal{N}_η^t . Recall that, by definition,

$$\mathcal{N}_\eta^t = \{(F_*, \phi_*) \mid \det(\gamma_{\eta*} F) \simeq \xi \text{ and } \text{tr}(\gamma_{\eta*} \phi) = 0\}.$$

As in §3.1, for each $t \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ let $M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(n, d)$ denote the moduli of stable parabolic Higgs bundles on Y_η of rank n , degree d and having full-flag parabolic structures at the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(p)$ according to t .

First, note that Γ acts on $M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d)$: an element $\delta \in \Gamma$ acts on $(F_*, \phi_*) \in M_{Y_\eta, H}^t(l, d)$ by

$$(F_*, \phi_*) \mapsto (F_* \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \delta, \phi_* \otimes \text{Id}_{\gamma_\eta^* \delta}).$$

We claim that this action keeps \mathcal{N}_η^t invariant. This is because, for $(F_*, \phi_*) \in \mathcal{N}_\eta^t$ and $\delta \in \Gamma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\gamma_{\eta*} (F \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \delta)) &= \det(\gamma_{\eta*} (F) \otimes \delta) \quad [\text{projection formula}] \\ &= \det \gamma_{\eta*} (F) \otimes \delta^r \\ &\simeq \xi \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\text{tr}(\gamma_{\eta*} (\phi_* \otimes \text{Id}_{\gamma_\eta^* \delta})) = \text{tr}(\gamma_{\eta*} (\phi_*) \otimes \text{Id}_\delta) = 0$. Therefore, the action of Γ keeps \mathcal{N}_η^t invariant, and induces a Γ -action on \mathcal{N}_η^t .

This, in turn, induces an action of Γ on its set of connected components, namely $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)$.

The next proposition is a generalization of [GO, Proposition 5.13].

Proposition 4.7. *Let $t \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$. If $\text{gcd}(l, m) = 1$ (for example if r is a product of distinct primes), then there exists a line bundle $\delta_\eta \in \Gamma$ (depending on η) of order m with the property that the subgroup $\langle \delta_\eta \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ acts freely and transitively on $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)$, while any element of Γ not in $\langle \delta_\eta \rangle$ acts trivially on $\pi_0(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)$.*

Proof. We know that the m -torsion points of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, denoted by $\text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$, acts on $Nm_{\gamma_\eta}^{-1}(\xi)$. An element $\delta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$ acts on $L \in Nm_{\gamma_\eta}^{-1}(\xi)$ by

$$L \mapsto L \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \delta.$$

Recall the map $g : \text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)$ [Lemma 4.7]. Since

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}(\xi) &= Nm_{\gamma_\eta}^{-1}(\xi), & r \text{ odd}, \\ &= Nm_{\gamma_\eta}^{-1}(\xi \otimes \eta^{-r/2}), & r \text{ even}, \end{aligned}$$

it follows from [GO, Proposition 5.13] that there exists a line bundle $\delta_\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$ with the property that the subgroup $\langle \delta_\eta \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ acts freely and transitively on $\pi_0(g^{-1}(\xi))$, while every element of $\text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$ not in $\langle \delta_\eta \rangle$ acts trivially on $\pi_0(g^{-1}(\xi))$. We can consider $\text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$ as a subgroup of Γ . Thus, $\delta_\eta \in \Gamma$. This δ_η will be our candidate satisfying the statement.

By Proposition 4.5, we know that the connected components of \mathcal{N}_η^t are of the form

$$\{(\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0)) \mid C \text{ is a connected component of } g^{-1}(\xi)\}.$$

To check the action is free, Let

$$\delta_\eta^e \cdot (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0)) = (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0)) \text{ for some integer } e.$$

For any $(F_*, \phi_*) \in (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0))$, this would imply that $\det(F \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \delta_\eta^e) \in C$, as well as $\det(F) \in C$.

But

$$\begin{aligned} \det(F \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\delta_\eta^e)) &= \det F \otimes (\gamma_\eta^* (\delta_\eta^{l-e})), \text{ which forces } \delta_\eta^{l-e} \simeq \mathcal{O}_X, \\ &\implies m \mid le \quad [\because \langle \delta_\eta \rangle \text{ acts freely on } \pi_0(g^{-1}(\xi))] \\ &\implies m \mid e \quad [\because \gcd(l, m) = 1] \\ &\implies \delta_\eta^e \simeq \mathcal{O}_X. \end{aligned}$$

To check transitivity, choose two connected components C and C' of $g^{-1}(\xi)$. Choose a parabolic Higgs bundle $(F_*, \phi_*) \in (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0))$. Since $\langle \delta_\eta \rangle$ acts transitively on $\pi_0(g^{-1}(\xi))$ and $\det(F) \in C$, there exists an integer n such that

$$\det(F) \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\delta_\eta^n) \in C'.$$

Since $\gcd(m, l) = 1$, we can write $n = am + bl$ for some integers a, b . Since $\text{ord}(\delta_\eta) = m$, this implies

$$\det(F) \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\delta_\eta^n) = \det(F) \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\delta_\eta^{bl}) = \det(F \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\delta_\eta^b)) \quad [\because \text{rank}(F) = l],$$

and thus $F_* \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\delta_\eta^b) \in \det^{-1}(C')$. It follows that $\delta_\eta^b \cdot \det^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0)) = \det^{-1}(C' \times h^{-1}(0))$.

Let $\mu \in \Gamma \setminus \langle \delta_\eta \rangle$. If $(F_*, \phi_*) \in (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0))$ for some component C of $g^{-1}(\xi)$, then we claim that $\mu \cdot (F_*, \phi_*) = (F_* \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \mu, \phi_* \otimes Id_{\gamma_\eta^* \mu}) \in \det^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0))$ as well. This follows because

$$\det(F \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \mu) = \det(F) \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\mu^l)$$

and μ is r -torsion implies that μ^l is m -torsion, since $lm = r$. Thus $\mu^l \in \text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$. Since $\gcd(l, m) = 1$ and $\mu \notin \langle \delta_\eta \rangle$, clearly $\mu^l \notin \langle \delta_\eta \rangle$ as well. By [GO, Proposition 5.13] we conclude that

$$\det(F) \otimes \gamma_\eta^* (\mu^l) \in C,$$

and thus $F_* \otimes \gamma_\eta^* \mu \in \det^{-1}(C)$. It follows that

$$\mu \cdot (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0)) = (\det_{Y_\eta}, tr_{Y_\eta})^{-1}(C \times h^{-1}(0)).$$

□

Thus, whenever r is a product of distinct primes, Proposition 4.7 describes the Γ -action on the components of $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$'s. Since the components of $(M_X^\alpha)^\eta$ are given precisely by the components of $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$'s for various $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ [Lemma 4.1], Proposition 4.7, in turn, gives us an understanding of how the action of Γ permutes the components of $(M_{X,H}^\alpha)^\eta$.

5. ORBIFOLD EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF THE QUOTIENT M_X^α / Γ

Let G be a finite group acting on a compact manifold M . Following [HH], the *orbifold Euler characteristic* of M/G , denoted $\chi(M, G)$, can be defined as

$$\chi(M, G) := \sum_{[g]} \chi(M^g / C(g)) \quad (5.1)$$

where the sum is taken over a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of G , M^g denotes the fixed point set (which is a submanifold), $C(g)$ denotes the centralizer of g and $\chi(M^g / C(g))$ is the usual topological Euler characteristic of the quotient space (Cf. [HH]).

Henceforth, we drop the Higgs field (meaning we set the Higgs field to be zero), and work with stable parabolic bundles. Let M_X^α denote the moduli of stable parabolic bundles of rank r , determinant ξ and weights α of full-flag type. Since the group Γ is abelian, the orbifold Euler characteristic for the orbifold M_X^α / Γ takes the form

$$\chi_{orb}(M_X^\alpha, \Gamma) = \sum_{\eta \in \Gamma} \chi((M_X^\alpha)^\eta / \Gamma). \quad (5.2)$$

Let $\eta \in \Gamma$ be non-trivial. For the summands occurring in the right-hand side of (5.2), note that as a vector space we always have

$$H^*((M_X^\alpha)^\eta / \Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^*((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C})^\Gamma. \quad (5.3)$$

5.1. The groups $H^*((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C})^\Gamma$. Recall from the beginning of Section 4 that $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ has an induced action on $\mathbf{P}(\alpha)$. Consider the quotient map

$$\mathbf{P}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}(\alpha) / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta),$$

and fix a section of this quotient. Denote this section by s . For $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, let $[\mathbf{t}]$ denote its class in $\mathbf{P}(\alpha) / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Fix a choice of a section s as above. For any non-trivial $\eta \in \Gamma$, we have the following isomorphism of cohomology groups:*

$$H^*((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha) / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} H^*(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])}, \mathbb{C}).$$

Proof. Since $\coprod_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \xrightarrow{f} (M_X^\alpha)^\eta$ is a principal $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -bundle [Corollary 3.3], we have

$$H^k((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)} H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbb{C}) \right)^{\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)}. \quad (5.4)$$

Let us denote

$$V := \bigoplus_{\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)} H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbb{C}), \quad W_{[\mathbf{t}]} := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{m-1} H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mu^i s([\mathbf{t}])}, \mathbb{C}) \quad \forall [\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha) / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta).$$

Clearly we have $V = \bigoplus_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} W_{[\mathbf{t}]}$, and moreover each $W_{[\mathbf{t}]}$ is invariant under $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$. It follows that

$$V^{\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} = \bigoplus_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} W_{[\mathbf{t}]}^{\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)}.$$

Let μ be a generator of $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$. For each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, let us write the elements in the orbit of $s([\mathbf{t}])$ in the following order:

$$\{s([\mathbf{t}]), \mu s([\mathbf{t}]), \mu^2 s([\mathbf{t}]), \dots, \mu^{m-1} s([\mathbf{t}])\}.$$

As the action of μ on the cohomology group sends $H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mu^{i+1} s([\mathbf{t}])}, \mathbb{C})$ to $H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mu^i s([\mathbf{t}])}, \mathbb{C})$, we immediately see that a $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -invariant tuple from the summand $W_{[\mathbf{t}]}$ must be of the form

$$(\omega, (\mu^{m-1})^* \omega, \dots, (\mu^2)^* \omega, \mu^* \omega) \text{ for some } \omega \in H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])}, \mathbb{C}).$$

sending this tuple to ω , we have $W_{[\mathbf{t}]}^{\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \simeq H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])}, \mathbb{C})$. It follows from (5.4) that

$$H^k((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C}) \simeq V^{\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} = \bigoplus_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} W_{[\mathbf{t}]}^{\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \simeq \bigoplus_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} H^k(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])}, \mathbb{C}).$$

□

Next, let us prove a lemma that will aid us in computing cohomologies. As before, $l = r/m$ in what follows. As we saw in the the proof of Proposition 4.5, if we fix a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\eta \in \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta)$, for any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ we have the following fiber diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta) \times M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta) & \xrightarrow{\mu'_l} & M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, d) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \det_{Y_\eta} \\ \text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta) & \xrightarrow{\mu_l} & \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta) \end{array} \quad (5.5)$$

where $\mu_l(L) := L^l \otimes \mathcal{L}_\eta$, and μ'_l is its pull-back, given by

$$\mu'_l(L, F_*) = F_* \otimes L. \quad (5.6)$$

The group Γ acts on $\text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta)$ and $M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, d)$, where an element $\delta \in \Gamma$ acts by sending $L \mapsto L \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\delta)$ and $F_* \mapsto F_* \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\delta)$ respectively. On the other hand, let us consider the Γ -action on $\text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta)$ where an element $\delta \in \Gamma$ acts by sending

$$L \mapsto L \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\delta^l).$$

Finally, we consider the Γ -action on $\text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta) \times M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta)$ by the same action as just described for the first component, and the trivial action for the second component. Namely, an element $\delta \in \Gamma$ acts by sending

$$(L, F_*) \mapsto (L \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\delta), F_*).$$

It is easy to see that both μ_l and μ'_l in diagram (5.5) are Γ -equivariant under these actions.

Lemma 5.2. *Recall the map g from Lemma 4.4. For each $\xi \in \text{Pic}^d(X)$, $g^{-1}(\xi)$ is invariant under the Γ -action on $\text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta)$ described above. As a consequence, both the restricted maps*

$$\mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)) \xrightarrow{\mu_l} g^{-1}(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)) \times M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta) \xrightarrow{\mu'_l} \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$$

are Γ -equivariant.

Proof. For any $L \in g^{-1}(\xi)$ and $\delta \in \Gamma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(L \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\delta^l)) &= g(L) \otimes Nm_{\gamma_\eta}(\gamma_\eta^*(\delta^l)) \\ &= g(L) \otimes \delta^{l-m} \\ &= g(L) \quad [: lm = r] \\ &\simeq \xi, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $g^{-1}(\xi)$ is invariant under the action of Γ . The Γ -equivariance of the maps μ_l and μ'_l are obvious from their definitions. \square

Definition 5.3 ([GO, Definition 3.4]). We define the *Prym variety* associated to the cover $\gamma_\eta : Y_\eta \rightarrow X$, denoted by $\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta)$, as the connected component of $\ker(Nm_{\gamma_\eta})$ containing \mathcal{O}_{Y_η} . It is an abelian subvariety of $\text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta)$.

Proposition 5.4. *Fix a choice of a section s as above. For any non-trivial $\eta \in \Gamma$, we have the following isomorphism of cohomology groups: fix a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_\eta \in \text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta)$. Choose s as in the beginning of §5.1. Then*

$$H^* \left((M_X^\alpha)^\eta / \Gamma, \mathbb{C} \right) \simeq \bigoplus_{[l] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha) / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} H^* \left(\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta), \mathbb{C} \right) \otimes H^* \left(M_{Y_\eta}^{s([l])}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta), \mathbb{C} \right).$$

Proof. We have already observed that (5.3)

$$H^* \left((M_X^\alpha)^\eta / \Gamma, \mathbb{C} \right) \simeq H^* \left((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C} \right)^\Gamma.$$

As seen in §4.1, Γ acts on each \mathcal{N}_η^t . Under this action, the morphism f from Corollary 3.3 is Γ -equivariant. Thus, the isomorphism in Lemma 5.1 is also Γ -equivariant, which implies that

$$H^* \left((M_X^\alpha)^\eta, \mathbb{C} \right)^\Gamma \simeq \bigoplus_{[t] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha) / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} H^* \left(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([t])}, \mathbb{C} \right)^\Gamma. \quad (5.7)$$

Define $\Gamma_l := \text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta)[l] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})^{2g_{Y_\eta}}$. The map μ_l makes $\text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta)$ into a principal Γ_l -bundle over $\text{Pic}^d(Y_\eta)$, since the multiplication-by- l map of abelian varieties has this property. Thus its pull-back

$$\text{Pic}^0(Y_\eta) \times M_{Y_\eta}^t(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta) \xrightarrow{\mu'_l} M_{Y_\eta}^t(l, d)$$

is also a principal Γ_l -bundle, where the action on left-hand side is given diagonally as

$$\gamma \cdot (L, F_*) = (\gamma \otimes L, F_* \otimes \gamma^\vee) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma_l. \quad (5.8)$$

Restricting diagram (5.5) to $\det_{Y_\eta} : \mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([t])} \rightarrow g^{-1}(\xi)$ would give rise to the fiber diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)) \times M_{Y_\eta}^{s([t])}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta) & \xrightarrow{\mu'_l} & \mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([t])} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \det_{Y_\eta} \\ \mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_l} & g^{-1}(\xi) \end{array} \quad (5.9)$$

where μ'_l is a principal Γ_l -bundle map. Thus

$$H^* \left(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([t])}, \mathbb{C} \right) \simeq \left(H^* \left(\mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)), \mathbb{C} \right) \otimes H^* \left(M_{Y_\eta}^{s([t])}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta), \mathbb{C} \right) \right)^{\Gamma_l}. \quad (5.10)$$

It is shown in [BD, Proposition 4.1] that Γ_l acts trivially on $H^* \left(M_{Y_\eta}^{s([t])}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta), \mathbb{C} \right)$, which implies

$$H^* \left(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([t])}, \mathbb{C} \right) \simeq H^* \left(\mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)), \mathbb{C} \right)^{\Gamma_l} \otimes H^* \left(M_{Y_\eta}^{s([t])}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta), \mathbb{C} \right). \quad (5.11)$$

Now, the Γ_l -action on $\mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)) \times M_{Y_\eta}^{s(\mathbf{t}l)}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta)$ which makes μ'_l a principal bundle map is given by (5.8). On the first component (namely $\mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi))$), the Γ_l -action is precisely the same one which makes μ_l into a principal Γ_l -bundle map. Thus we have

$$H^*(\mu_l^{-1}(g^{-1}(\xi)), \mathbb{C})^{\Gamma_l} \simeq H^*(g^{-1}(\xi), \mathbb{C}). \quad (5.12)$$

Now, recall the Γ -actions described just before Lemma 5.2. These actions induce Γ -actions on their respective cohomology groups. By the same lemma, both μ_l and μ'_l are Γ -equivariant maps, and thus the map on cohomologies induced by μ_l and μ'_l are also Γ -equivariant. Since the isomorphism in (5.11) is precisely given by μ'_l on cohomologies, it must restrict to an isomorphism between its Γ -invariant parts on both sides.

Recall that the Γ -action on $M_{Y_\eta}^{s(\mathbf{t}l)}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta)$ is taken to be trivial. Thus, taking Γ -invariant parts in both sides of the isomorphism (5.11) together with the isomorphism (5.12), we get

$$H^*(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s(\mathbf{t}l)}, \mathbb{C})^\Gamma \simeq H^*(g^{-1}(\xi), \mathbb{C})^\Gamma \otimes H^*(M_{Y_\eta}^{s(\mathbf{t}l)}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta), \mathbb{C}). \quad (5.13)$$

Now, the group $\text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$ also acts on $g^{-1}(\xi)$; an element $\tau \in \text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$ acts by sending

$$L \mapsto L \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\tau),$$

and it is shown in [GO, Proposition 6.6] that under this action, the fixed point subgroup is

$$H^*(g^{-1}(\xi), \mathbb{C})^{\text{Pic}^0(X)[m]} = H^*(\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta), \mathbb{C}).$$

From the surjectivity of the multiplication-by- l map on the abelian variety $\text{Pic}^0(X)$, it easily follows that the morphism

$$\Gamma \longrightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X)[m] \quad (5.14)$$

$$\delta \mapsto \delta^l \quad (5.15)$$

is surjective. Thus it follows that Γ acts on $g^{-1}(\xi)$ the same way as $\text{Pic}^0(X)[m]$, from which we conclude that

$$H^*(g^{-1}(\xi), \mathbb{C})^\Gamma = H^*(\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta), \mathbb{C}).$$

This, together with the isomorphisms (5.7) and (5.13), proves our claim. \square

Theorem 5.5. *The orbifold Euler characteristic (1.1) of M_X^α/Γ is given by*

$$\chi_{orb}(M_X^\alpha, \Gamma) = \chi(M_X^\alpha),$$

where the right-hand side denotes the usual Euler characteristic.

Proof. The isomorphism in Proposition 5.4 preserves the grading on both sides, where the right-hand side is the tensor product of graded vector spaces. Thus, for each $k \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim H^k((M_X^\alpha)^\eta/\Gamma) &= \sum_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \sum_{i+j=k} \dim H^i(\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta)) \cdot \dim H^j(M_{Y_\eta}^{s(\mathbf{t}l)}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta)) \\ \implies \chi((M_X^\alpha)^\eta/\Gamma) &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \dim H^k((M_X^\alpha)^\eta/\Gamma) \\ &= \sum_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i+j=k} (-1)^i \dim H^i(\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta)) \cdot (-1)^j \dim H^j(M_{Y_\eta}^{s(\mathbf{t}l)}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta)) \\ &= \sum_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \chi(\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta)) \cdot \chi(M_{Y_\eta}^{s(\mathbf{t}l)}(l, \mathcal{L}_\eta)). \end{aligned}$$

For non-trivial $\eta \in \Gamma$, $\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta)$ is a complex torus, and thus $\chi(\text{Prym}_{\gamma_\eta}(Y_\eta)) = 0$ whenever η is non-trivial. On the other hand, when $\eta = \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta}$, we have $m = 1$, $Y_\eta = X$ and $\gamma_\eta = \text{Id}_X$, and we can choose $\mathcal{L}_\eta = \xi$ in that case. From (5.2) we see immediately that

$$\chi_{orb}((M_X^\alpha)^\eta / \Gamma) = \chi(M_X^\alpha), \quad (5.16)$$

as claimed. \square

6. CHEN–RUAN COHOMOLOGY OF THE ORBIFOLD M_X^α / Γ

To avoid notational cumbersomeness we shall restrict ourselves in defining the Chen–Ruan cohomology groups in the special case when the orbifold is a global quotient Y/G for a compact complex manifold Y under the action of a finite abelian group G , since this will be our case of interest.

So, let Y be a compact complex manifold with an action of a finite abelian group G . The Chen–Ruan cohomology group of Y/G is denoted by $H_{CR}^*(Y/G)$, where the grading is by rational numbers. To define the rational grading, we need the notion of degree-shift numbers.

Definition 6.1. Let Y and G be as above, and let d be the dimension of Y as a complex manifold. For $g \in G$ and $y \in Y^g$, consider the induced linear action of g on the tangent space $T_{y,Y}$. Since g is of finite order, the eigenvalues are all roots of unity. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d$ be the eigenvalues. Write $\lambda_j = \exp^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}w_j}$, where $0 \leq w_j < 1$ are rational numbers. The *degree-shift number* of g at the point y is defined to be the number

$$\iota(g, y) := \sum_{j=1}^d w_j. \quad (6.1)$$

This is clearly a non-negative rational number in general. $\iota(g, y)$ only depends on the connected component Z of Y^g containing y , so it can also be denoted as $\iota(g, Z)$.

The vector space $H_{CR}^*(Y/G)$ is given a rational grading as follows. Let $g \in G$, and let Z be a connected component of Y^g . For each non-negative integer i , we assign the degree $i + 2\iota(g, Z)$ to the elements in the summand $H^i(Z)$ of $H^i(Y^g)$.

There is also a product structure on the Chen–Ruan cohomology which makes it into a graded ring; this product shall be described in §6.3 in our case of consideration.

Let us come back to our situation. Let $\eta \in \Gamma$ be non-trivial. Recall that M_X^α is a compact complex manifold on which the group Γ acts through tensorization. Consider the automorphism

$$\phi_\eta : M_X^\alpha \rightarrow M_X^\alpha \quad (6.2)$$

$$E_* \mapsto E_* \otimes \eta. \quad (6.3)$$

If $E_* \in (M_X^\alpha)^\eta$, the differential $d\phi_\eta(E_*) : T_{E_*}(M_X^\alpha) \rightarrow T_{E_*}(M_X^\alpha)$ is a linear automorphism of vector space. Let Z be the component of $(M_X^\alpha)^\eta$ containing E_* . The *degree-shift number* of η at Z , denoted by $\iota(\eta, Z)$, is defined exactly as in (6.1).

6.1. Degree-shift number computation. We shall now compute the degree-shift numbers under the following additional assumptions on the rank and degree:

- (a) the rank r is a product of distinct primes,
- (b) r and d are coprime.

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ given just before Lemma 3.2.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\eta \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$. Under assumption (b) above, for each $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, there exists a nonempty open subset $U_{\mathbf{t}}$ of $\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}$ with the following properties: for each parabolic bundle $F_* \in U_{\mathbf{t}}$,*

- (i) *the underlying bundle F is stable, and*
- (ii) *if $E_* = \gamma_{\eta_*}(F_*)$, then the underlying bundle E is also stable.*

Proof. Let $m = \text{ord}(\eta)$, and let $\gamma_\eta : Y_\eta \rightarrow X$ be a spectral curve corresponding to η . Let us consider parabolic bundles on Y_η of rank $l = r/m$ having full-flag quasi-parabolic structures at the parabolic points $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$ and a system of weights β which is sufficiently small in the sense of [BY, Proposition 5.3]. By the same proposition [loc. cit.], we know that for any such parabolic stable bundle F_* , the underlying bundle F is semistable as an usual bundle.

Let $M_{Y_\eta}^\beta(l, d)$ denote the corresponding moduli of stable parabolic bundles over Y_η . Using [BH, Lemma 3.6], we know that there exists a birational map between $M_{Y_\eta}^\beta(l, d)$ and $M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, d)$. The birational map simply replaces the weights, leaving the underlying bundle unchanged. Thus we get an open subset $V_{\mathbf{t}} \subset M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, d)$ with the property that the parabolic bundles lying in $V_{\mathbf{t}}$ have underlying bundle semistable.

Let $F_* \in V_{\mathbf{t}}$ with $\det(\gamma_{\eta_*}(F)) \simeq \xi'$. Let $L \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ be such that $L^r \simeq \xi \otimes \xi'^{-1}$. Then

$$\det(\gamma_{\eta_*}(F \otimes \gamma_{\eta_*}^* L)) \simeq \det(\gamma_{\eta_*}(F) \otimes L) \simeq \det(\gamma_{\eta_*}(F)) \otimes L^r \simeq \xi,$$

where the first isomorphism is by projection formula. Clearly, tensoring by $\gamma_{\eta_*}^* L$ defines an automorphism ϕ_L of $M_{Y_\eta}^{\mathbf{t}}(l, d)$, and the above argument shows that

$$\phi_L(V_{\mathbf{t}}) \cap \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Thus, if we define

$$U_{\mathbf{t}} := \phi_L(V_{\mathbf{t}}) \cap \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}},$$

then the parabolic bundles lying in $U_{\mathbf{t}}$ have the property that their underlying bundles are semistable. In fact, notice that condition (b), namely $\text{gcd}(r, d) = 1$, also implies that $\text{gcd}(l, d) = 1$. Thus, the parabolic bundles lying in $U_{\mathbf{t}}$ have their underlying bundles stable. Since $\text{gcd}(r, d) = 1$ it follows that the underlying bundle E of $E_* = \gamma_{\eta_*}(F_*)$ is stable as well. \square

For any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, choose an open subset $U_{\mathbf{t}}$ as above. In Lemma 4.1 we have seen that the map $f^{\mathbf{t}} : \mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}} \rightarrow (\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha)^\eta$ is an open embedding. Thus, $f^{\mathbf{t}}(U_{\mathbf{t}})$ is a nonempty open subset of $f^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}})$. Moreover, By Proposition 4.5, $f^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}})$ has m connected components, and moreover these components are permuted among themselves under Γ -action due to our assumption (a) and Proposition 4.7.

From this observation, we can conclude the following.

Proposition 6.3. *Under assumption (a), the degree-shift number remains unchanged for those connected components contained in $f^{\mathbf{t}}(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}})$.*

Proof. For any $\delta \in \Gamma$, if

$$\phi_\delta : \mathbb{M}_X^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_X^\alpha$$

denote the automorphism induced by tensoring with δ , then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_X^\alpha & \xrightarrow{\phi_\eta} & M_X^\alpha \\ \phi_\delta \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi_\delta \\ M_X^\alpha & \xrightarrow{\phi_\eta} & M_X^\alpha \end{array} \quad (6.4)$$

which, in turn, induces the following commutative diagram for any $E_* \in (M_X^\alpha)^\eta$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{E_*}(M_X^\alpha) & \xrightarrow{d\phi_\eta(E_*)} & T_{E_*}(M_X^\alpha) \\ \downarrow d\phi_\delta(E_*) & & \downarrow d\phi_\delta(E_*) \\ T_{E_* \otimes \delta}(M_X^\alpha) & \xrightarrow{d\phi_\eta(E_* \otimes \delta)} & T_{E_* \otimes \delta}(M_X^\alpha) \end{array} \quad (6.5)$$

Where all the arrows are isomorphisms. Clearly $E_* \otimes \delta \in (M_X^\alpha)^\eta$ as well. From this diagram it follows that the eigenvalues and their multiplicities for both horizontal maps above are the same, and hence the degree-shift number for η computed at E_* and $E_* \otimes \delta$ remains the same. From this and the fact that the Γ -action permutes the connected components of $f^t(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)$ transitively [Proposition 4.7], the proposition follows. \square

6.2. Action on the tangent bundle. Proposition 6.3 says that to compute the degree-shift number of a certain $\eta \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}$, it is enough to consider the degree-shift number for a single E_* lying in $f^t(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)$ for each $t \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$. Using Proposition 6.2, we can choose an $E_* \in f^t(\mathcal{N}_\eta^t)$ which further satisfies that the underlying bundle E is stable, and moreover $E_* = \gamma_{\eta_*}(F_*)$ for some parabolic stable bundle F_* whose underlying bundle F is stable as well.

Following [BP2, §3], we get

$$\gamma_\eta^*(\mathcal{E}nd(E)) = \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \text{Hom}(u^*F, (vu)^*F), \quad (6.6)$$

and for each $v \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$, the vector bundle

$$\mathcal{F}_v := \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \text{Hom}(u^*F, (vu)^*F) \quad (6.7)$$

is left invariant by the natural $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -action, and thus descends to a vector bundle on X . If

$$\mathcal{E}_v \rightarrow X$$

denotes the descent, then

$$\mathcal{E}_v = \gamma_{\eta_*}(\text{Hom}(F, v^*F)), \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_\eta^*(\mathcal{E}_v) = \mathcal{F}_v. \quad (6.8)$$

An exactly similar reasoning can be applied in the parabolic setting. In the proof of Lemma 3.2 we saw that

$$\gamma_\eta^*(E_*) = \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} u^*(F_*),$$

which gives the following decomposition:

$$\gamma_\eta^*(\text{Par}\mathcal{E}nd(E_*)) = \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \text{ParHom}(u^*F_*, (vu)^*F_*) \quad (6.9)$$

For each $v \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$, the parabolic bundle

$$\mathcal{F}_{v^*} := \bigoplus_{u \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \text{ParHom}(u^* F_*, (vu)^* F_*) \quad (6.10)$$

is $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$ -equivariant, and thus descends to X . If

$$\mathcal{E}_{v^*} \rightarrow X$$

denotes the descent, then as in (6.8),

$$\mathcal{E}_{v^*} = \gamma_{\eta^*}(\text{ParHom}(F_*, v^* F_*)), \text{ and } \gamma_{\eta^*}(\mathcal{E}_{v^*}) = \mathcal{F}_{v^*}. \quad (6.11)$$

From the decomposition

$$\gamma_{\eta^*}(\text{ParEnd}(E_*)) = \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \mathcal{F}_{v^*} \quad (6.12)$$

and by uniqueness of descent, it also follows that

$$\text{ParEnd}(E_*) = \bigoplus_{v \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \mathcal{E}_{v^*}. \quad (6.13)$$

We will now describe the differential $d\phi_\eta(E_*)$ for an $E_* \in (\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha)^\eta$, where ϕ_η is the automorphism defined in (6.2). Choose a parabolic isomorphism $\psi_* : E_* \rightarrow E_* \otimes \eta$. This induces a parabolic isomorphism on the endomorphism bundle:

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\psi}_* : \text{ParEnd}(E_*) &\longrightarrow \text{ParEnd}(E_* \otimes \eta) \simeq (E_* \otimes \eta)^* \otimes (E_* \otimes \eta) \simeq (E_* \otimes E) \\ &= \text{ParEnd}(E_*) \end{aligned}$$

Since two such isomorphisms ψ_* and ψ'_* differ by a constant scalar, the induced map $\widehat{\psi}_*$ on the endomorphism bundle is independent of the choice of ψ_* .

Let

$$\text{ParEnd}_0(E_*) \subset \text{ParEnd}(E_*)$$

denote the subsheaf of trace zero parabolic endomorphisms. Clearly

$$\widehat{\psi}_*(\text{ParEnd}_0(E_*)) \subset \text{ParEnd}_0(E_*).$$

Let

$$\overline{\psi}_* : H^1(X, \text{ParEnd}_0(E_*)) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \text{ParEnd}_0(E_*)) \quad (6.14)$$

denote the map induced on the cohomology by $\widehat{\psi}_*$. From the construction it can be seen that the differential

$$d\phi_\eta : T_{E_*}(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha) \longrightarrow T_{E_*}(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha)$$

coincides with $\overline{\psi}_*$ in (6.14) (see also [BP2, §3]).

Lemma 6.4. *Let $v \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta) \setminus \{1\}$. Consider the bundle \mathcal{E}_{v^*} from (6.11). We have*

$$H^0(X, \mathcal{E}_{v^*}) = 0 \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{v^*} \subset \text{ParEnd}_0(E_*).$$

Proof. We have

$$\text{ParHom}(F_*, v^* F_*) \subset \text{Hom}(F, v^* F)$$

as a subsheaf. Since γ_η is a finite map, it follows that the pushforward map γ_{η^*} is exact. Thus

$$\mathcal{E}_{v^*} \subset \mathcal{E}_v \quad [\text{from (6.8) and (6.11)}]$$

as a subsheaf. Now both our claims follow from [BP2, Lemma 3.1], which tell us that $H^0(X, \mathcal{E}_v) = 0$ and the trace map restricted to \mathcal{E}_v is zero. \square

We know that $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta) \simeq \mu_m \subset \mathbb{C}^*$, the group of m -th roots of unity. In the proof of Lemma 3.2 we have seen that

$$\gamma_\eta^*(E_*) \simeq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \sigma^*(F_*) \quad (6.15)$$

decomposes $\gamma_\eta^*(E_*)$ into eigenspace sub-bundles under a suitably chosen endomorphism of $\gamma_\eta^*(E_*)$ using $\gamma_\eta^*(\psi_*)$ and the tautological trivialization of $\gamma_\eta^*(\eta)$ (see Lemma 3.2 for details). Under this decomposition, $v^*(F_*)$ is the v -eigenspace sub-bundle. From this description it easily follows that $\widehat{\psi}_*$ acts on $\text{ParHom}(F_*, v^*F_*)$ as multiplication by v . Thus $\overline{\psi}_*$ acts as multiplication by v on

$$H^1(Y_\eta, \text{ParHom}(F_*, v^*F_*)) = H^1(X, \gamma_{\eta*}(\text{ParHom}(F_*, v^*F_*))) \stackrel{(6.11)}{=} H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_{v*}).$$

(The first equality follows because γ_η is a finite morphism.)

On the other hand, the direct sum decomposition (6.13) and Lemma 6.4 implies that

$$\text{ParEnd}_0(E_*) = (\text{ParEnd}_0(E_*) \cap \mathcal{E}_{1*}) \bigoplus_{v \neq 1} \mathcal{E}_{v*} \quad (6.16)$$

This gives a direct sum decomposition of $H^1(X, \text{ParEnd}_0(E_*))$ into v -eigenspaces for $\overline{\psi}_*$.

It remains to compute the dimension of these eigenspaces. We first compute it for each $v \neq 1$. Recall that we had fixed $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$. Consider the short exact sequence of sheaves on Y_η :

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{ParHom}(F_*, v^*F_*) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(F, v^*F) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{v, \mathbf{t}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (6.17)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{v, \mathbf{t}}$ is a skyscraper sheaf supported at the parabolic points $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$. Consider the corresponding long exact sequence in cohomology. Using the facts

$$H^i(Y_\eta, \text{ParHom}(F_*, v^*F_*)) = H^i(X, \mathcal{E}_{v*}), \quad H^i(Y_\eta, \text{Hom}(F, v^*F)) = H^i(X, \mathcal{E}_v), \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{E}_v) = 0 \quad [\text{BP2, Lemma 3.1}]$$

we get the following exact sequence:

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(Y_\eta, \mathcal{K}_{v, \mathbf{t}}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_{v*}) \longrightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_v) \longrightarrow 0. \quad (6.18)$$

The number $\dim H^0(\mathcal{K}_{v, \mathbf{t}})$ can be obtained from the proof of [BH, Lemma 2.4]; let us translate their result in our situation. In the beginning of §3.1 we described how the partition $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$ induces a parabolic structure on the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$ from the given system of weights α . Let $\alpha(\mathbf{t})$ denote the system of weights obtained on the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$ in this way; thus for a bundle F on Y_η , for each $q \in \gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$ the parabolic structure at the fiber F_q is given by a full-flag filtration of F_q and the chain of weights

$$\alpha(\mathbf{t})_1^q < \alpha(\mathbf{t})_2^q < \dots < \alpha(\mathbf{t})_l^q \quad (\text{where } l = r/m).$$

As the parabolic structure at $(v^*F)_q$ is same as the parabolic structure at F_{vq} , it follows from [BH, Lemma 3.2] that

$$\dim H^0(\mathcal{K}_{v, \mathbf{t}}) = \sum_{q \in \gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)} \#\{(j, k) \mid \alpha(\mathbf{t})_j^q > \alpha(\mathbf{t})_k^{vq}\}$$

It is known that

$$\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_v) = r^2(g-1)/m. \quad [\text{BP2, Lemma 3.2}]$$

Thus from (6.18) we get

$$\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{E}_{v_*}) = \frac{r^2}{m}(g-1) + \sum_{q \in \gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)} \#\{(j, k) \mid \alpha(\mathbf{t})_j^q > \alpha(\mathbf{t})_k^{vq}\}. \quad (6.19)$$

We have thus proved the following result.

Proposition 6.5. *For $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, let $E_* \in f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}) \subset (\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha)^n$, where f is as in Lemma 3.2. The eigenvalues of the differential*

$$d\phi_\eta(E_*) : T_{E_*}(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha) \longrightarrow T_{E_*}(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha)$$

are μ_m . For any $v \neq 1$, the multiplicity of the eigenvalue v is given by

$$\frac{r^2}{m}(g-1) + \sum_{q \in \gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)} \#\{(j, k) \mid \alpha(\mathbf{t})_j^q > \alpha(\mathbf{t})_k^{vq}\},$$

where the system of weights $\alpha(\mathbf{t})$ on the points of $\gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$ is described as above.

Corollary 6.6. *For each non-trivial $\eta \in \Gamma$ with $\text{ord}(\eta) = m$, the degree-shift numbers are given as follows: fix any $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$. If Z is a component of $(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha)^n$ contained in $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}})$, the degree-shift number of η for the component Z is given by*

$$i(\eta, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left[\frac{i \cdot r^2}{m^2}(g-1) + \frac{i}{m} \sum_{q \in \gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)} \#\left\{ (j, k) \mid \alpha(\mathbf{t})_j^q > \alpha(\mathbf{t})_k^{\mu_i \cdot q} \right\} \right],$$

where $\mu_i := e^{i \cdot 2\pi \sqrt{-1}/m}$.

Remark 6.7. Let us consider the case when rank $r = 2$. Using the same notations as above, we have that any non-trivial $\eta \in \Gamma$ has order 2, and F_* is a parabolic line bundle. In this case, $\text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta) \simeq \mu_2 = \{1, -1\}$ with $-1 = e^{\pi \sqrt{-1}}$. It is not hard to see that for each $q \in \gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)$,

$$\#\{(j, k) \mid \alpha(\mathbf{t})_j^q > \alpha(\mathbf{t})_k^{\xi q}\} = 0 \text{ or } 1$$

depending on the partition \mathbf{t} . From this, it easily follows that

$$\sum_{q \in \gamma_\eta^{-1}(S)} \#\{(i, j) \mid \alpha(\mathbf{t})_i^q > \alpha(\mathbf{t})_j^{\xi q}\} = |S|,$$

and Proposition 6.5 implies that the multiplicity of the eigenvalue ξ is given by

$$2(g-1) + |S|.$$

This agrees with the multiplicity computed in [BD, Lemma 3.1]. Consequently, for any component of $(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha)^n$, the degree-shift number equals

$$(g-1) + |S|/2,$$

as computed in [BD, Corollary 3.2].

6.3. Chen–Ruan cohomology of $\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha/\Gamma$. We shall stick to our assumptions on rank and degree as mentioned in §6.1. We note that the natural map

$$H^*(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha/\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha, \mathbb{C})$$

induced from the projection $\mathbb{M}_X^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_X^\alpha/\Gamma$ is an isomorphism of graded rings [BD, Proposition 4.1].

As discussed before, this vector space is graded by non-negative rational numbers. We describe its graded pieces below.

For ease of notation let us denote $G = \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)$. Following same conventions as in §5.1, let us fix a section s of the quotient map $\mathbf{P}(\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/G$. Due to Lemma 4.1, for each non-trivial $\eta \in \Gamma$,

$$(M_X^\alpha)^\eta = \coprod_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/G} f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])}).$$

By Lemma 4.7, it follows that for each $s([\mathbf{t}]) \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)$, the connected components contained in $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])})$ get permuted transitively among themselves under the Γ -action on $(M_X^\alpha)^\eta$. Thus, if we denote

$$Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]} := f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])})/\Gamma, \quad (6.20)$$

then $Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]}$'s are precisely the connected components of $(M_X^\alpha)^\eta/\Gamma$, and we obtain

$$(M_X^\alpha)^\eta/\Gamma = \coprod_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/G} Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]} \quad (6.21)$$

as the decomposition of $(M_X^\alpha)^\eta/\Gamma$ into connected components.

Moreover, in view of Corollary 6.6, we can define

$$\iota(\eta, Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]})$$

to be the number $\iota(\eta, Z)$ for any one of the components Z contained in $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])})$.

Definition 6.8. For each rational number i , the i -th *Chen–Ruan cohomology group* of the orbifold M_X^α/Γ is defined as

$$H_{CR}^i(M_X^\alpha/\Gamma) = H^i(M_X^\alpha, \mathbb{C}) \bigoplus_{\eta \in \Gamma \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_X\}} \bigoplus_{[\mathbf{t}] \in \mathbf{P}(\alpha)/G} H^{i-2\iota(\eta, Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}])}(Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]}, \mathbb{C})}. \quad (6.22)$$

The vector space $H_{CR}^*(M_X^\alpha/\Gamma) := \bigoplus_i H_{CR}^i(M_X^\alpha/\Gamma)$ also has a multiplicative structure. To define it, first consider a differential form ω on $Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]}$ (6.20), and let $\tilde{\omega}$ be its pull-back on $f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}})$, which is a Γ -invariant differential form.

The orbifold integration of ω is defined as

$$\int_{Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]}}^{orb} \omega := \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \int_{\mathcal{N}_\eta^{\mathbf{t}}} \tilde{\omega}. \quad (6.23)$$

Definition 6.9. Let $d = \dim(M_X^\alpha/\Gamma)$. For each rational number $0 \leq n \leq 2d$, the *Chen–Ruan Poincaré pairing*

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{CR} : H_{CR}^n(M_X^\alpha/\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \times H_{CR}^{2d-n}(M_X^\alpha/\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is a non-degenerate bilinear pairing. It is defined by combining the bilinear maps

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{CR, [\mathbf{t}]}^{(\eta, \tau)} : H^{n-2\iota(\eta, Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}])}(Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]}, \mathbb{C})} \times H^{2d-n-2\iota(\tau, Z_\tau^{[\mathbf{t}])}(Z_\tau^{[\mathbf{t}]}, \mathbb{C})} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

as follows:

- (1) if $\eta = \tau \simeq \mathcal{O}_X$, the Chen–Ruan pairing is the usual Poincaré pairing for the singular cohomology of M_X^α/Γ .
- (2) if $\tau = \eta^{-1}$ then

$$\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle_{CR, [\mathbf{t}]}^{(\eta, \eta^{-1})} := \int_{Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]}}^{orb} \omega \wedge \omega'$$

where \wedge is the ordinary cup product on the singular cohomology of $Z_\eta^{[\mathbf{t}]} = f(\mathcal{N}_\eta^{s([\mathbf{t}])})/\Gamma$.

- (3) if $\tau \neq \eta^{-1}$ then

$$\langle \omega, \omega' \rangle_{CR, [\mathbf{t}]}^{(\eta, \tau)} := 0.$$

The Chen–Ruan product (denoted by \cup) is defined using the above pairing as follows. Let $\omega_i \in H^{k_i}((M_X^\alpha)^{\eta_i}, \mathbb{C})$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$, and set $\eta_3 = (\eta_1 \otimes \eta_2)^{-1}$. The Chen–Ruan product

$$\omega_1 \cup \omega_2 \in H^{k_1+k_2}((M_X^\alpha)^{\eta_3}, \mathbb{C})$$

is defined by the requirement that it should satisfy

$$\langle \omega_1 \cup \omega_2, \omega_3 \rangle_{CR} := \int_{S/\Gamma}^{orb} e_1^* \omega_1 \wedge e_2^* \omega_2 \wedge e_3^* \omega_3 \wedge c_{top}(\mathcal{F}_{\eta_1, \eta_2})$$

for all $\omega_3 \in H^*((M_X^\alpha)^{\eta_3}, \mathbb{C})$, where

$$S := (M_X^\alpha)^{\eta_1} \cap (M_X^\alpha)^{\eta_2},$$

and $e_i : S/\Gamma \hookrightarrow (M_X^\alpha)^{\eta_i}/\Gamma$ are the inclusion maps. Here $c_{top}(\mathcal{F}_{\eta_1, \eta_2})$ is the top Chern class of the orbifold obstruction bundle $\mathcal{F}_{\eta_1, \eta_2}$ on S/Γ .

We now provide a partial description of the Chen–Ruan products in certain cases. Clearly, the product will be zero if the intersection S becomes empty. The lemma below provides a necessary condition for it to be non-empty when the rank r is prime.

Lemma 6.10. *Let η, τ be two non-trivial elements in Γ of same order. We have*

$$S = (M_X^\alpha)^\eta \cap (M_X^\alpha)^\tau \neq \emptyset \quad \text{only when } \langle \eta \rangle = \langle \tau \rangle.$$

In particular, if r is prime, we have $S = (M_X^\alpha)^\eta \cap (M_X^\alpha)^\tau \neq \emptyset$ only when $\eta \simeq \tau^k$ for some k .

Proof. The idea is adapted from [Na, §4.1]. Let

$$\gamma_\eta : Y_\eta \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_\tau : Y_\tau \longrightarrow X$$

be the corresponding spectral curves. From Lemma 3.2 we know that there exist a space \mathcal{N}_η with the property that every $E_* \in (M_X^\alpha)^\eta$ is of the form $\sigma^*(F_*)$ for some $F_* \in \mathcal{N}_\eta$, and moreover, by Corollary 3.3,

$$(M_X^\alpha)^\eta = \mathcal{N}_\eta / \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta).$$

From the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_\eta & \xrightarrow{-\otimes \gamma_\tau^*(\tau)} & \mathcal{N}_\eta \\ \gamma_{\eta^*} \downarrow & & \downarrow \gamma_{\eta^*} \\ (M_X^\alpha)^\eta & \xrightarrow{-\otimes \tau} & (M_X^\alpha)^\eta \end{array} \quad (6.24)$$

it immediately follows that

$$(M_X^\alpha)^\eta \cap (M_X^\alpha)^\tau = \gamma_{\eta^*} \left(\bigcup_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\gamma_\eta)} \{F_* \in \mathcal{N}_\eta \mid F_* \otimes \gamma_\tau^*(\tau) \simeq \sigma^*(F_*)\} \right). \quad (6.25)$$

Let $m = \text{ord}(\eta) = \text{ord}(\tau)$. As the isomorphism of parabolic bundles $F_* \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\tau) \simeq \sigma^*(F_*)$ in (6.25) is possible only when $\sigma = 1$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.2), we get

$$F_* \otimes \gamma_\eta^*(\tau) \simeq F_* \quad (6.26)$$

$$\implies \gamma_\eta^*(\tau^{r/m}) \simeq \mathcal{O}_{Y_\eta} \quad (\text{taking determinant}) \quad (6.27)$$

$$\implies \tau^{r/m} \in \langle \eta \rangle \quad [\text{GO, Proposition 5.1}] \quad (6.28)$$

Of course, $\tau^m \simeq \mathcal{O}_X \in \langle \eta \rangle$ as well. Since r is a product of distinct primes by assumption, clearly $\text{gcd}(m, r/m) = 1$; now it easily follows that

$$\tau \in \langle \eta \rangle.$$

Of course, this argument is also valid if we interchange η and τ , in which case we get $\eta \in \langle \tau \rangle$. The result now follows. \square

From this, and the non-degeneracy of the Chen–Ruan product, we can immediately conclude the following.

Corollary 6.11. *Let $\omega_i \in H^*((M_X^\alpha)^{\eta_i}, \mathbb{C})$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2$ with η_i 's non-trivial. Then $\omega_1 \cup \omega_2 = 0$ whenever $\text{ord}(\eta_1) = \text{ord}(\eta_2)$ and $\langle \eta_1 \rangle \neq \langle \eta_2 \rangle$.*

In particular, if r is prime, we have Then $\omega_1 \cup \omega_2 = 0$ whenever $\eta_1 \notin \langle \eta_2 \rangle$.

REFERENCES

- [ALR] A. Adem, J. Leida and Y. Ruan, *Orbifolds and Stringy Topology*, Cambridge University Press, **171** (2007).
- [BDS] I. Biswas, P. Das and A. Singh, Chen–Ruan cohomology and moduli spaces of parabolic bundles over a Riemann surface, *Proc. Math. Sci.* **132**, 31 (2022).
- [BD] I. Biswas and A. Dey, Chen–Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces of parabolic vector bundles, *Bull. Sci. math.* **134** (2010), 54–63.
- [BH] I. Biswas and A. Hogadi, Brauer group of moduli spaces of $\text{PGL}(r)$ -bundles over a curve, *Adv. Math.* **225** (2010), 2317–2331.
- [BM] I. Biswas and F. Machu, On the direct images of parabolic vector bundles and parabolic connections, *Jour. Geom. Phys.* **135** (2019), 219–234.
- [BP1] I. Biswas and M. Poddar, Chen–Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2008), pp. 32.
- [BP2] I. Biswas and M. Poddar, Chen–Ruan cohomology of some moduli spaces II, *Internat. J. Math.* **21(4)** (2010), 497–522.
- [BY] H. Boden and K. Yokogawa, Rationality of the moduli space of Parabolic bundles, *Jour. London Math. Soc.* **59** (1999), 461–478.
- [CR1] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, Orbifold Gromov–Witten theory, in *Orbifolds in Mathematics and Physics, Contemp. Math.* **310** (2002), 25–85.
- [CR2] W. Chen and Y. Ruan, A new cohomology theory of orbifold. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **248** (2004), 1–31.
- [DHVW] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds I, *Nucl. Phys. B* **261** (1985), 678–686.
- [EV] H. Esnault and E. Viehweg, *Lecturs on vanishing theorems*, Springer Basel AG, 1992.
- [FG] B. Fantechi and L. Göttsche, Orbifold cohomology for global quotients, *Duke Math. J.* **117(2)** (2003), 197–227.
- [GO] P. B. Gothen and A. Oliveira, Topological Mirror symmetry for parabolic Higgs bundles, *Jour. Geom. and Phy.* **137** (2019), 7–34.
- [H] Y. I. Holla, Poincaré polynomial of the moduli spaces of parabolic bundles, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.)* **110 (3)** (2000), 233–261.
- [HH] F. Hirzebruch and T. Höfer, On the Euler number of an orbifold, *Math. Ann.* **286** (1990), 255–260.
- [MS] V. B. Mehta and C. S. Seshadri, Moduli of vector bundles on curves with parabolic structure. *Math. Ann.* **248** (1980), 205–239.
- [Na] F. Nasser, Torsion Subgroups of Jacobians Acting on Moduli Spaces of Vector Bundles. Ph.D Thesis, University of Aarhus, 2005.
- [NR] M. S. Narasimhan and S. Ramanan, Generalized Prym varieties as fixed points. *J. Indian Math. Soc.* **39** (1975), 1–19.
- [R] Y. Ruan, Stringy geometry and topology of orbifolds. In *Symposium in Honor of C. H. Clemens*, 187–233. Contemporary Mathematics 312. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (2002).
- [Se] C. S. Seshadri, *Fibrés vectoriels sur les courbes algébriques*, Notes written by J.-M. Drezet from a course at the École Normale Supérieure, June 1980, Astérisque, 96. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 1982. *Astérisque*, no. 96 (1982).
- [St] Stacks Project.
- [Y] T. Yasuda, Twisted jets, motivic measures and orbifold cohomology. *Compos. Math.* **140** (2004), 396–422.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, HOMI BHABHA ROAD, COLABA, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA.

Email address: indranil@math.tifr.res.in

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY CHENNAI, CHENNAI, INDIA

Email address: sujoy.cmi@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY CHENNAI, CHENNAI, INDIA

Email address: arijitdey@gmail.com