

HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF THE EXCEPTIONAL SET OF INTERVAL PIECEWISE AFFINE CONTRACTIONS

JOSÉ PEDRO GAIVÃO

ABSTRACT. Let $I = [0, 1)$, $-1 < \lambda < 1$ and $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise λ -affine map of the interval I , i.e., there exist a partition $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1} < a_k = 1$ of the interval I into $k \geq 2$ subintervals and $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = \lambda x + b_i$ for every $x \in [a_{i-1}, a_i)$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. The exceptional set \mathcal{E}_f of f is the set of parameters $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $R_\delta \circ f$ is not asymptotically periodic, where $R_\delta: I \rightarrow I$ is the rotation of angle δ . In this paper we prove that \mathcal{E}_f has zero Hausdorff dimension. We derive this result from a more general theorem concerning piecewise Lipschitz contractions on \mathbb{R} that has independent interest.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $I = [0, 1)$ and $-1 < \lambda < 1$. We say that an interval map $f: I \rightarrow I$ is *piecewise λ -affine* if there exist $k \geq 2$, real numbers b_1, \dots, b_k and a partition of the interval I ,

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1} < a_k = 1,$$

such that $f(x) = \lambda x + b_i$ for every $x \in [a_{i-1}, a_i)$ and $i = 1, \dots, k$. Given a piecewise λ -affine map f , consider the one-parameter family of piecewise λ -affine maps $f_\delta: I \rightarrow I$ defined by


$$f_\delta = R_\delta \circ f,$$

where $R_\delta(x) = \{x + \delta\}$ is the rotation of angle $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\{\cdot\}$ denotes the fractional part. See Figure 1 for an illustration of the graph of f_δ .

We are interested in the dimension of the exceptional set

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \{\delta \in \mathbb{R} : f_\delta \text{ is not asymptotically periodic}\}.$$

A map $f: I \rightarrow I$ is *asymptotically periodic* if f has at most a finite number of periodic orbits and the ω -limit set $\omega(f, x)$ of any $x \in I$ is a periodic orbit of f . We recall that $\omega(f, x)$ is the set of accumulation points of the forward orbit of x under f . It is known that \mathcal{E}_f has zero Lebesgue measure [10, Theorem 1.1], but the question of the Hausdorff dimension of \mathcal{E}_f was still open.

FIGURE 1. Plots of f_δ .

In this paper, we settle this question.

Theorem A.

$$\dim_H \mathcal{E}_f = 0.$$

A notable example of piecewise λ -affine maps is the family of contracted rotations, $f(x) = \{\lambda x + b\}$ with $0 < \lambda < 1$ and $1 - \lambda < b < 1$. Contracted rotations have been extensively studied by many authors either as a dynamical system or related to applications, e.g. [3, 4, 9, 8, 5, 2]. In the case of contracted rotations, the exceptional set \mathcal{E}_f has a Cantor structure and in this case Theorem A was proved by Laurent and Nogueira in [9, Theorem 5] by exploiting a combinatorial structure, associated to \mathcal{E}_f , which is reminiscent of the classical Stern-Brocot tree. Janson and Öberg improved in [8] the result of Laurent and Nogueira by considering other gauge functions in the definition of the Hausdorff dimension.

For general piecewise λ -affine maps, Theorem A was proved in [1] by Pires using the theory of b -adic expansions and under the assumptions that f is injective, $\lambda^{-1} = b$ is a positive integer $\geq k$ and the connected components of $I \setminus f(I)$ have equal length. In order to remove all these assumptions and prove Theorem A for any piecewise λ -affine map f we use a different strategy inspired by a recent work dealing with piecewise increasing contractions [7].

We will deduce Theorem A from a more general result, Theorem B below. We say that a function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *piecewise contraction* if it has a finite number of discontinuity points and on each connected component D of the domain of continuity of f the restriction map $f|_D$ is a Lipschitz contraction. Let $k \geq 2$ and

$$\Omega_k = \{(a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{k-1} : a_1 < \dots < a_{k-1}\}.$$

A finite collection of Lipschitz contractions $\Phi = \{\phi_i: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}_{i=1}^k$ is called an iterative function system (IFS). An IFS $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ together with $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_k$, determine a piecewise contraction $f = f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$

\mathbb{R} defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \phi_1(x), & x \in (-\infty, a_1), \\ \phi_i(x), & x \in [a_{i-1}, a_i] \quad i \in \{2, \dots, k-1\}, \\ \phi_k(x), & x \in [a_{k-1}, +\infty). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Notice that $(\Phi, \mathbf{a}) \mapsto f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}$ is not injective, i.e., a piecewise contraction is not uniquely determined by a pair (Φ, \mathbf{a}) . In [10] the authors prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1 ([10, Theorem 1.4]). *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ be an IFS. There is a Lebesgue full measure set $W \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_k \cap W^{k-1}$, the map $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}$ is asymptotically periodic and has at most k periodic orbits.*

We consider a very specific perturbation of $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}$. To simplify the notation, we shall write $\mathbf{a} + \delta = (a_1 + \delta, \dots, a_{k-1} + \delta)$. Notice that $\mathbf{a} + \delta \in \Omega_k$ for every $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$.

We say that an IFS is *injective* if all its contractions are injective functions. Under the assumption that the IFS is injective, we prove the following result.

Theorem B. *Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ be an injective IFS and $\mathbf{a} \in \Omega_k$. Then*

$$\dim_H \{\delta \in \mathbb{R} : f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta} \text{ is not asymptotically periodic}\} = 0.$$

The injectivity assumption of Theorem B can be weakened to the assumption that the functions of the IFS have a finite number of local extrema. This hypothesis guarantees that the pre-image of any point is a finite set, a crucial property that we use in our arguments to prove Theorem B. By Sard's theorem for Lipschitz functions, the pre-image of almost every point is a finite set. However, this property is not sufficient for our arguments to work in the general situation. The injectivity of the IFS is a natural condition, and piecewise contractions appearing in applications satisfy this condition. Moreover, assuming the injectivity of the IFS, the authors in [6] have obtained a spectral decomposition of the attractor of a piecewise contraction, i.e., the attractor is a finite union of periodic orbits together with a finite union of Cantor sets. Our Theorem B excludes the Cantor attractors in a very strong metric sense, i.e., piecewise contractions can only have Cantor attractors for a parameter set of zero Hausdorff dimension.

As a final remark, the definition (1) of f at the points $\{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}$ is not relevant for proving Theorem B, i.e., the proof of Theorem B can be adapted to any other choice of values $f(a_i) \in \{f(a_i^-), f(a_i^+)\}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we collect the lemmas that we need to prove Theorem B and complete its proof in Section 3. Then, in Section 4, we use Theorem B to deduce Theorem A.

2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Throughout this section, let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ be an injective IFS and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) \in \Omega_k$ with $k \geq 2$. Define

$$\lambda_\Phi := \max_i \text{Lip}(\phi_i) \quad \text{and} \quad r_\Phi := \frac{1 + \lambda_\Phi}{1 - \lambda_\Phi} \max_i |z_i|,$$

where $\text{Lip}(\phi_i)$ denotes the Lipschitz constant of ϕ_i and z_i is the unique fixed point of ϕ_i . Clearly, $0 \leq \lambda_\Phi < 1$.

Our first observation is that the recurrent dynamics of $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}$ occurs inside an attracting compact interval

$$K_\Phi := [-2r_\Phi, 2r_\Phi].$$

Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$, let

$$\phi_\omega := \phi_{\omega_n} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{\omega_1}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *The following holds:*

- (1) $\phi_\omega(K_\Phi) \subset K_\Phi$ for every $\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}(K_\Phi) \subset K_\Phi$,
- (3) For every $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is $n \geq 0$ such that $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^n(x) \in K_\Phi$,
- (4) $\omega(f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}, x) \subset K_\Phi$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. To show (1) let $|x| \leq 2r_\Phi$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_i(x)| &= |\phi_i(x) - z_i + z_i| \leq \lambda_\Phi |x - z_i| + |z_i| \\ &\leq 2\lambda_\Phi r_\Phi + |z_i|(1 + \lambda_\Phi) \\ &\leq 2\lambda_\Phi r_\Phi + \max_i |z_i|(1 + \lambda_\Phi) \\ &= 2\lambda_\Phi r_\Phi + (1 - \lambda_\Phi)r_\Phi \\ &= (1 + \lambda_\Phi)r_\Phi \\ &< 2r_\Phi, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (1). Item (2) follows immediately from (1). Next, to prove (3), let $|x| \leq r_\Phi$. Repeating the above estimates we get $|\phi_i(x)| \leq r_\Phi$. For any $\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, this shows that $|\phi_\omega(x)| \leq r_\Phi$ whenever $|x| \leq r_\Phi$. Consequently, the unique fixed point z_ω of ϕ_ω satisfies $|z_\omega| \leq r_\Phi$. Now, given any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, choose $n \geq 1$ such that $\lambda_\Phi^n < \frac{r_\Phi}{|x| + r_\Phi}$. Then, for any $\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_\omega(x)| &= |\phi_\omega(x) - z_\omega + z_\omega| \\ &\leq \lambda_\Phi^n |x - z_\omega| + |z_\omega| \\ &\leq \lambda_\Phi^n (|x| + r_\Phi) + r_\Phi \\ &< 2r_\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3). Finally, (4) follows immediately from (2) and (3). \square

Let

$$S_{\mathbf{a}} := \{a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}\}.$$

Definition 2.1. We say that the pair (Φ, \mathbf{a}) has a *singular connection* if there exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$ such that

$$\phi_\omega(S_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap S_{\mathbf{a}} \neq \emptyset.$$

Lemma 2.2. *The set*

$$\{\delta \in \mathbb{R}: (\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta) \text{ has a singular connection}\}$$

is countable.

Proof. Given $\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$, the map ϕ_ω is a Lipschitz contraction on \mathbb{R} . Given $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}^2$, the map $\mathbb{R} \ni \delta \mapsto \phi_\omega(a_i + \delta) - a_j$ is also a Lipschitz contraction on \mathbb{R} , hence it has a unique fixed point, say $z_{\omega, i, j} \in \mathbb{R}$. Let

$$\Delta := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n} \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} \{z_{\omega, i, j}\}.$$

Observe that $(\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta)$ has a singular connection if and only if $\delta \in \Delta$. Since Δ is a countable set, the claim follows. \square

Definition 2.2. Given $x \in K_\Phi$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that x is an *n-regular point* of (Φ, \mathbf{a}) if $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^j(x) \notin S_{\mathbf{a}}$ for every $0 \leq j < n$.

Let $D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(0)} := S_{\mathbf{a}}$, $D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)} := f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{-1}(D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n-1)})$ for $n \geq 1$ and

$$Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)} := \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(i)}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Notice that the sets $\{Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are finite. Indeed, this follows from the fact that $S_{\mathbf{a}}$ is finite and Φ is injective. Given $x \in K_\Phi$, it is also clear that x is an *n-regular point* of (Φ, \mathbf{a}) if and only if $x \notin Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)}$.

Let $X_1 := (-\infty, a_1)$, $X_i := (a_{i-1}, a_i)$ with $i = 2, \dots, k-1$, and $X_k := (a_{k-1}, +\infty)$. By construction, these open intervals are disjoint and their union equals $\mathbb{R} \setminus S_{\mathbf{a}}$.

Definition 2.3. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, a tuple $(\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}) \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n$ is called an *itinerary of order n of (Φ, \mathbf{a})* if there is an *n-regular point* x of (Φ, \mathbf{a}) such that $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^j(x) \in X_{\omega_j}$ for every $0 \leq j < n$.

We define the set of all itineraries of order n of (Φ, \mathbf{a}) ,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)} := \{\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^n: \omega \text{ is an itinerary of order } n \text{ of } (\Phi, \mathbf{a})\}.$$

The set $\mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}$ is in a one-to-one correspondence with the set of connected components of $K_\Phi \setminus Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)}$. Indeed, for each connected component J of $K_\Phi \setminus Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)}$, all points in J are *n-regular points* of (Φ, \mathbf{a}) and because the sets $J, f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}(J), \dots, f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{n-1}(J)$ are subintervals of K_Φ not intersecting $S_{\mathbf{a}}$, we conclude that there is an itinerary of order n of (Φ, \mathbf{a}) , say $(\omega_0, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-1}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}$, such that $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^j(J) \subset X_{\omega_j}$ for every $0 \leq j < n$.

Given $\varepsilon \geq 0$, we enlarge the set $\mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}$ as follows,

$$\mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon) := \bigcup_{|\delta| \leq \varepsilon} \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta}^{(n)}.$$

The following result establishes that the number of itineraries grows subexponentially, a crucial property to prove Theorem B.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that (Φ, \mathbf{a}) has no singular connections. Then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \# \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon) = 0.$$

Proof. Given $\rho > 1$, let $m = \lceil \log 2 / \log \rho \rceil$ and $\tau(m, \mathbf{a}) > 0$ be the minimum distance between any pair of distinct points of $Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(m)}$. Notice that the sets $\{D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)}\}_{n \geq 0}$ are pairwise disjoint. Indeed, suppose that there is $x \in D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n_1)} \cap D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n_2)}$ with $n_2 > n_1 \geq 0$. Then $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{n_1}(x) = a_i$ and $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{n_2}(x) = a_j$ for some $i, j \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. This implies that $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{n_2 - n_1}(a_i) = a_j$, contradicting the assumption that (Φ, \mathbf{a}) has no singular connections. Since $Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(m)} = D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(0)} \cup D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup D_{\mathbf{a}}^{(m-1)}$ and Φ is injective, there is an $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(m) > 0$ such that the set-valued map $(-\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_0) \ni \delta \mapsto Q_{\mathbf{a} + \delta}^{(m)}$ varies continuously in the Hausdorff metric of compact subsets of \mathbb{R} , and for every $|\delta| < \varepsilon_0$, the set $Q_{\mathbf{a} + \delta}^{(m)}$ has the same number of elements of $Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(m)}$ and

$$\tau(m) := \inf_{|\delta| < \varepsilon_0} \tau(m, \mathbf{a} + \delta) > 0.$$

Let $\alpha_n(\varepsilon) := \# \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$ with $0 \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$. Clearly, $\alpha_m(\varepsilon) = \alpha_m(0) = \# \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(m)}$. Now, choose $n_0 \geq 0$ sufficiently large so that for every $|\delta| < \varepsilon_0$, every $n \geq n_0$ and every connected component J of $K_{\Phi} \setminus Q_{\mathbf{a} + \delta}^{(n)}$, the length of the interval $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta}^n(J)$ is smaller than $\tau(m)$. Notice that this is possible since $|f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta}^n(J)| \leq \lambda_{\Phi}^n |J| \leq 4\lambda_{\Phi}^n r_{\Phi}$. Since, for every $|\delta| < \varepsilon_0$, any interval $J \subset K_{\Phi}$ with length $< \tau(m)$ will intersect $Q_{\mathbf{a} + \delta}^{(m)}$ in at most a single point, we conclude that

$$\alpha_{n+m}(\varepsilon) \leq 2\alpha_n(\varepsilon), \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Thus, $\alpha_n(\varepsilon) \leq 2^{\frac{n-n_0}{m}} \alpha_{n_0}(\varepsilon)$ for every $n \geq n_0$. By our choice of m , we get $\alpha_n(\varepsilon) \leq C\rho^n$ for every $n \geq n_0$ where $C := 2^{-n_0/m} \alpha_{n_0}(\varepsilon_0)$. This shows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_n(\varepsilon)}{n} \leq \log \rho, \quad \forall \varepsilon \in [0, \varepsilon_0].$$

As $\rho > 1$ is arbitrary, the claim follows. \square

Let

$$Q_{\mathbf{a}} := \left(\bigcup_{n \geq 0} Q_{\mathbf{a}}^{(n)} \right) \cap K_{\Phi}.$$

The following result is adapted from the results of [10] (cf. [7, Theorem 20]). We include here a proof for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that (Φ, \mathbf{a}) has no singular connections. If $Q_{\mathbf{a}}$ is finite, then $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}$ is asymptotically periodic.*

Proof. To simplify the notation, let $f = f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}$. Let $\mathcal{P} = \{J_\ell\}_{\ell=1}^m$ denote the collection of connected components of $K_\Phi \setminus Q_{\mathbf{a}}$. This collection is finite by hypothesis. Notice that there is a map $\sigma: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $f(J_\ell) \subset J_{\sigma(\ell)}$ for every $\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that there is $J_\ell \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $f(J_\ell) \cap Q_{\mathbf{a}} \neq \emptyset$. Then $J_\ell \cap f^{-1}(Q_{\mathbf{a}}) \neq \emptyset$. But $f^{-1}(Q_{\mathbf{a}}) \cap K_\Phi \subset Q_{\mathbf{a}}$, which implies that $J_\ell \cap Q_{\mathbf{a}} \neq \emptyset$, thus a contradiction.

Now we show that $\omega(f, x)$ is a periodic orbit for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 2.1, we may assume that $x \in K_\Phi$. We split the proof in two cases:

- (1) When $x \in K_\Phi \setminus Q_{\mathbf{a}}$, then $x \in J_{\ell_0}$ for some $\ell_0 \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Thus, $f^n(x) \in J_{\ell_n}$ for every $n \geq 0$ where $(\ell_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is the sequence in $\{1, \dots, m\}$ defined by $\ell_{n+1} = \sigma(\ell_n)$ for every $n \geq 0$. Clearly, the sequence $(\ell_n)_{n \geq 0}$ is eventually periodic, i.e., there must exist $q \geq 0$ and $p \geq 1$ such that $\ell_{n+p} = \ell_n$ for every $n \geq q$. We assume that p is the smallest positive integer with that property, i.e., p is the period. In particular, we have $f^p(J_{\ell_q}) \subset J_{\ell_q}$. Let $\omega \in \{1, \dots, k\}^p$ such that $f^p|_{J_{\ell_q}} = \phi_\omega|_{J_{\ell_q}}$. Then, $f^{np+q}(x) \rightarrow z_\omega$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where z_ω is the unique fixed point of ϕ_ω which belongs to the closure of the interval J_{ℓ_q} . By hypothesis, (Φ, \mathbf{a}) has no singular connections, which implies that $z_\omega \in J_{\ell_q}$, and thus z_ω is a periodic point of f of period p . Therefore, $\omega(f, x) = \{z_\omega, f(z_\omega), \dots, f^{p-1}(z_\omega)\}$.
- (2) When $x \in Q_{\mathbf{a}}$, then two situations can happen. Either the forward orbit of x under f belongs to $Q_{\mathbf{a}}$ and thus it is periodic or the forward orbit of x under f eventually leaves $Q_{\mathbf{a}}$. The former situation cannot happen, because (Φ, \mathbf{a}) has no singular connections. Thus, there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that $y := f^{n_0}(x) \in K_\Phi \setminus Q_{\mathbf{a}}$. But then $\omega(f, x) = \omega(f, y)$ and we know from the previous case that $\omega(f, y)$ is a periodic orbit.

At last, because \mathcal{P} is finite, f has only a finite number of periodic orbits and the claim follows. \square

Given $\varepsilon \geq 0$, let

$$\Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^\varepsilon := \bigcap_{m \geq 1} \overline{\bigcup_{n \geq m} \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)} \{\phi_\omega(0)\}}.$$

Notice that $\Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^\varepsilon \subset K_\Phi$ and $\Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^\varepsilon$ is compact (see Lemma 2.1).

Lemma 2.5. *For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^\varepsilon$ can be covered by finitely many intervals of length $2(1 + 2r_\Phi)\lambda_\Phi^n$ centered at the points $\phi_\omega(0)$ with $\omega \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^\varepsilon$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By definition of $\Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^\varepsilon$, there is an increasing sequence of positive integers $m_j \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence $\omega^{(j)} \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(m_j)}(\varepsilon)$ such that $\phi_{\omega^{(j)}}(0) \rightarrow x$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Let $j \geq 1$ be sufficiently large such that $m_j \geq n$ and $|x - \phi_{\omega^{(j)}}(0)| \leq \lambda_\Phi^n$. Denote by $\omega^{(j,n)} = (\omega_{m_j-n+1}^{(j)}, \dots, \omega_{m_j}^{(j)}) \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$ the last n entries of ω_j . Then

$$|\phi_{\omega^{(j)}}(0) - \phi_{\omega^{(j,n)}}(0)| = |\phi_{\omega^{(j,n)}}(y) - \phi_{\omega^{(j,n)}}(0)| \leq \lambda_\Phi^n |y| \leq 2r_\Phi \lambda_\Phi^n,$$

where $y := \phi_{\omega_{m_j-n}^{(j)}} \circ \dots \circ \phi_{\omega_1^{(j)}}(0)$ and, by Lemma 2.1, $|y| \leq 2r_\Phi$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |x - \phi_{\omega^{(j,n)}}(0)| &\leq |x - \phi_{\omega^{(j)}}(0)| + |\phi_{\omega^{(j)}}(0) - \phi_{\omega^{(j,n)}}(0)| \\ &\leq (1 + 2r_\Phi)\lambda_\Phi^n. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *Let $|\delta| < \varepsilon$. If $\Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^\varepsilon \cap S_{\mathbf{a}+\delta} = \emptyset$, then $Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}$ is finite.*

Proof. By hypothesis, there is $\tau > 0$ such that

$$\min_{1 \leq i < k} |a_i + \delta - \phi_\omega(0)| \geq \tau, \quad \forall \omega \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon), n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2)$$

Suppose, by contradiction, that $Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}$ is not finite. Then,

$$Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}^{(1)} \cap K_\Phi \subsetneq Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}^{(2)} \cap K_\Phi \subsetneq Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}^{(3)} \cap K_\Phi \subsetneq \dots$$

So, we can pick a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}$ having the property that $x_n \in Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}^{(n+1)} \cap K_\Phi \setminus Q_{\mathbf{a}+\delta}^{(n)}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, x_n is an n -regular point of $(\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta)$ and $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}+\delta}^n(x_n) = a_{j_n} + \delta$ for some $j_n \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$. Let $\omega^{(n)} \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$ denote the itinerary of order n of $(\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta)$ associated to x_n .

Now, choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ sufficiently large so that $2r_\Phi \lambda_\Phi^n < \tau$. Then, taking into account that $x_n \in K_\Phi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{j_n} + \delta - \phi_{\omega^{(n)}}(0)| &= |f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}+\delta}^n(x_n) - \phi_{\omega^{(n)}}(0)| \\ &= |\phi_{\omega^{(n)}}(x_n) - \phi_{\omega^{(n)}}(0)| \\ &\leq \lambda_\Phi^n |x_n| \\ &\leq 2r_\Phi \lambda_\Phi^n \\ &< \tau, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (2). □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM B

We are now ready to prove Theorem B. Let $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ be an injective IFS and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) \in \Omega_k$ with $k \geq 2$. By Lemma 2.2, the set

$$E = \{\delta \in \mathbb{R} : (\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta) \text{ has a singular connection}\}$$

is countable. Thus, it is sufficient to show that

$$Z := \{\delta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E : f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta} \text{ is not asymptotically periodic}\}$$

has zero Hausdorff dimension. By Lemma 2.4, $Z \subset Z'$ where

$$Z' := \{\delta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus E : Q_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta} \text{ is not finite}\}.$$

Given $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\Delta_\varepsilon(\delta) := (\delta - \varepsilon, \delta + \varepsilon)$ and $Z'_\varepsilon(\delta) := Z' \cap \Delta_\varepsilon(\delta)$. We claim that for every $d > 0$ and $\delta \in Z'$ there is an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta, d) > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{H}^d(Z'_\varepsilon(\delta)) = 0,$$

where \mathcal{H}^d is the d -dimensional Hausdorff measure. This claim is sufficient to conclude the proof of Theorem B, since by Lindelöf Lemma, Z' is a countable union of sets $Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_i)$, each having zero d -dimensional Hausdorff measure. Hence, $\mathcal{H}^d(Z') = 0$ for every $d > 0$. This implies that $\dim_H Z' = 0$.

Now we prove the claim. Let $d > 0$, $\delta_0 \in Z'$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ that will be chosen later in the proof. By Lemma 2.6,

$$Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0) \subset \{\delta \in \Delta_\varepsilon(\delta_0) : \Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^\varepsilon \cap S_{\mathbf{a} + \delta} \neq \emptyset\}$$

where $\mathbf{a}_0 := \mathbf{a} + \delta_0$. According to Lemma 2.5, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $\Omega_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^\varepsilon$ can be covered by $\#\mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$ intervals of length $\ell_n := 2(1+2r_\Phi)\lambda_\Phi^n$ centered at the points $\phi_\omega(0)$ with $\omega \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)$. Thus, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can also cover $Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0)$ using finitely many intervals of length ℓ_n ,

$$Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0) \subset \bigcup_{\omega \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)} \bigcup_{i=1}^{k-1} W_{\omega, i}$$

where $W_{\omega, i} = [y_{\omega, i} - \frac{\ell_n}{2}, y_{\omega, i} + \frac{\ell_n}{2}]$, $n = |\omega|$ and $y_{\omega, i} = \phi_\omega(0) - a_i$. Using this cover, it is easy to see that there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that the d -dimensional Hausdorff measure of $Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0)$ is zero. Indeed, for every

$n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{2\ell_n}^d(Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0)) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\text{diam } U_i)^d : \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i \supset Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0), \text{diam } U_i < 2\ell_n \right\} \\
&\leq \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\text{diam } W_{\omega, i})^d \\
&= \sum_{\omega \in \mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \ell_n^d \\
&= (k-1)2^d(1+2r_\Phi)^d(\#\mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^{(n)}(\varepsilon))\lambda_\Phi^{nd}.
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that, (Φ, \mathbf{a}_0) has no singular connections because $\delta_0 \in Z'$. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, there is an $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(\delta_0, d) > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\#\mathcal{I}_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}_0}^{(n)}(\varepsilon))\lambda_\Phi^{nd} = 0,$$

from which it follows that $\mathcal{H}^d(Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H}_{2\ell_n}^d(Z'_\varepsilon(\delta_0)) = 0$, thus proving the claim. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM A

Recall that $I = [0, 1)$ and let $f: I \rightarrow I$ be a piecewise λ -affine map as defined in the introduction with $-1 < \lambda < 1$. We may suppose that $\lambda \neq 0$, otherwise $\mathcal{E}_f = \emptyset$ and the result trivially holds. Let $f_\delta = R_\delta \circ f$ where $R_\delta(x) = \{x + \delta\}$ is the rotation map of angle $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that f_δ is also a piecewise λ -affine map and

$$\mathcal{E}_f = \mathcal{E}_{f_\delta} + \delta.$$

Therefore, to prove Theorem A it is sufficient to prove that for any piecewise λ -affine map f we have $\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_f \cap (-\delta_0, \delta_0)) = 0$ for some $\delta_0 > 0$.

Since $|\lambda| < 1$, the map f has a gap, i.e., $I \setminus f(I) \neq \emptyset$. This gap also has non-empty interior, so we can choose a point $c \in I \setminus f(I)$ such that $\ell := \text{dist}(c, f(I)) > 0$. Here, dist denotes the distance in I induced from the circle \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} through the canonical identification $I \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$.

Now, we define $g: I \rightarrow I$ by

$$g = R_{-c} \circ f \circ R_c.$$

The map g is again a piecewise λ -affine map of I . Clearly, $\ell = \text{dist}(0, g(I))$ since R_c is an isometry of (I, dist) . We claim that

$$\dim_H(\mathcal{E}_g \cap (-\ell, \ell)) = 0. \quad (3)$$

From (3) we conclude the proof of Theorem A, because f and g are conjugated through R_c , which implies that $\mathcal{E}_f = \mathcal{E}_g$.




FIGURE 2. Plots of f and $g = R_{-c} \circ f \circ R_c$. The shaded region in (A) illustrates the gap of f .

In order to prove claim (3), notice that, for every $0 \leq \delta < \ell$, we have $\text{dist}(0, g_\delta(I)) > 0$ where $g_\delta = R_\delta \circ g$. Equivalently,

$$\overline{g_\delta(I)} \subset (0, 1), \quad \forall \delta \in [0, \ell]. \quad (4)$$

Next, extend g_δ in a canonical way to a piecewise λ -affine map G_δ defined on the whole of \mathbb{R} . We call G_δ the affine extension of g_δ . The map G_δ has the property that $G_\delta(x) = G(x) + \delta$ where $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the affine extension of g . Notice that this property holds because of (4). Moreover, since the orbit of any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ under G_δ eventually enters I , we conclude that G_δ is asymptotically periodic if and only if g_δ is asymptotically periodic. Thus, (3) is equivalent to

$$\dim_H \{ \delta \in (-\ell, \ell) : G_\delta \text{ is not asymptotically periodic} \} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Let (Φ, \mathbf{a}) be a pair defining G , i.e., an injective IFS $\Phi = \{\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k\}$ and $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_{k-1}) \in \Omega_k$ such that $G = f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a}}$. Notice that the ϕ_i 's are λ -affine maps. By Theorem B, we know that

$$\dim_H \{ \delta \in \mathbb{R} : f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} + \delta} \text{ is not asymptotically periodic} \} = 0.$$

It is easy to see that G_δ and $f_{\Phi, \mathbf{a} - \delta/(1-\lambda)}$ are conjugated by the affine map $x \mapsto x + \delta/(1-\lambda)$ (cf. [10, Reduction lemma]). This shows (5), thus proving Theorem A. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author was partially supported by the Project CEMAPRE/REM - UIDB/05069/2020 - financed by FCT/MCTES through national funds. The author also wishes to express its gratitude to Benito Pires and Arnaldo Nogueira for stimulating conversations.

REFERENCES

1. B. Bires, *Piecewise contractions and b -adic expansions*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada **42** (2020), no. 1, 1–9.
2. J. Bowman and S. Sanderson, *Angel’s staircase, sturmian sequences, and trajectories on homothetic surfaces*, Journal of Modern Dynamics **16** (2020), 109–153.
3. Y. Bugeaud, *Dynamique de certaines applications contractantes, linéaires par morceaux, sur $[0,1[$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. de Paris **317** (1993), no. Série I, 575–578.
4. Y. Bugeaud and J.-P. Conze, *Calcul de la dynamique d’une classe de transformations linéaires contractantes mod 1 et arbre de farey*, Acta Arithmetica **LXXXVIII.3** (1999), 201–218.
5. Y. Bugeaud, D. H. Kim, M. Laurent, and A. Nogueira, *On the Diophantine nature of the elements of Cantor sets arising in the dynamics of contracted rotations*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **22** (2021), no. 4, 1691–1704. MR 4360601
6. A. Calderon, E. Catsigeras, and P. Guiraud, *A spectral decomposition of the attractor of piecewise-contracting maps of the interval*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **41** (2021), no. 7, 1940–1960. MR 4266357
7. J. P. Gaivão and A. Nogueira, *Dynamics of piecewise increasing contractions*, Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society **54** (2022), no. 2, 482–500.
8. S. Janson and C. Öberg, *A piecewise contractive dynamical system and election methods*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **147** (2019), no. 3, 395–441.
9. M. Laurent and A. Nogueira, *Rotation number of contracted rotations*, Journal of Modern Dynamics **12** (2018), 175.
10. A. Nogueira, B. Pires, and R. A. Rosales, *Topological dynamics of piecewise λ -affine maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), no. 5, 1876–1893. MR 3820005

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA AND CEMAPRE/REM, ISEG, UNIVERSIDADE DE LISBOA, RUA DO QUELHAS 6, 1200-781 LISBOA, PORTUGAL
Email address: jpgaivao@iseg.ulisboa.pt