

ON THE LIPSCHITZ OPERATOR IDEAL $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$

NAHUEL ALBARRACÍN, PABLO TURCO

ABSTRACT. We study a systematic way to produce a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal from a Banach linear operator ideal \mathcal{A} . For maximal and minimal operator ideals \mathcal{A} , the Lipschitz maximal hull and minimal kernel of this type of Lipschitz operator ideals are reviewed, respectively. Among others, we characterize the linear operators which belong to this Lipschitz ideal which, in general, they are precisely those which are in \mathcal{A} . In particular, we characterize the maximal hull of a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal using ultraproduct techniques.

INTRODUCTION

In the last years, several authors have studied different classes of Lipschitz maps between Banach spaces that have, in some way, analogous properties of well known linear Banach operator ideals (in the sense of Pietsch). For instance, Farmer and Johnson [16] introduced the Lipschitz p -summing operators and showed that they possess a Lipschitz version of the Pietsch factorization theorem. Also, the linear operators which are Lipschitz p -summing are exactly the (linear) p -summing operators. In the article of Johnson, Maurey and Schechtman [21] is introduced the class of Lipschitz mappings which factorize through an arbitrary L_p space and they showed that if a linear operator from a Banach space to a dual space can be factorized through an arbitrary L_p space via two Lipschitz maps, then it can be factorized through L_p via two linear operators. This allowed to show that if a Banach space is uniformly or coarsely equivalent to a \mathcal{L}_1 space, then it is linearly equivalent to a \mathcal{L}_1 space (i.e. is a \mathcal{L}_1 space) [21, Corollary 4], answering a question posted by Heinrich and Mankiewicz. Since then, many articles were dedicated to the study of different classes of Lipschitz maps defined between metric or Banach spaces which *extend* different classes of linear operators (see, for instance [2, 3, 5, 10, 11, 12, 13, 19, 26], among others). The necessity to study these different classes in a general framework, unifying results and the language, leads to the new concept of Banach Lipschitz operator ideal (see definition below). In general, these ideals *extend* the Banach linear operator ideals. It is convenient to introduce what we mean by extension.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47L20, 47H99, 47B10, 46B08.

Key words and phrases. Lipschitz operator ideals, Banach operator ideals, Maximal operator ideal, Minimal operator ideal.

Definition. Let \mathcal{A} be a class of linear operators and \mathcal{I} a class of Lipschitz operators. We say that \mathcal{I} extends \mathcal{A} for the Banach spaces E and F if

$$\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{A}(E, F).$$

In other words, the linear operators from E to F which belong to \mathcal{I} are exactly those which belong to \mathcal{A} .

As was pointed out by Farmer and Johnson respect p -summing operators, a natural question arises [16, Problem 6]. Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach (linear) operator ideal and \mathcal{I} a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal that extends \mathcal{A} . What results about \mathcal{A} have analogues for \mathcal{I} ?

From a fixed Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} , there is a canonical way to obtain a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal. Following [1, Definition 3.1], for a (pointed) metric space X and a Banach space E , a Lipschitz map $f: X \rightarrow E$ belongs to the composition ideal $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0(X, E)$ if there exists a Banach space G , a Lipschitz function $g: X \rightarrow G$ and a linear operator $T \in \mathcal{A}(G, E)$ such that $f = Tg$. A Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} is of *composition type* if there exists a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$. This procedure to obtain a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal from \mathcal{A} , extends \mathcal{A} for separable Banach spaces [27, Proposition 3.2]. Also, in the case when \mathcal{A} is a maximal Banach operator ideal, $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ extends \mathcal{A} for any Banach spaces E and F [27, Proposition 3.3]. However, in general there are different Banach Lipschitz operator ideals which extend the same Banach (linear) operator ideal. For example, there are at least 2 Banach Lipschitz operator ideals which extend the ideal of p -summing operators [27, Proposition 3.17].

The main objective of the article is to study some properties of Banach Lipschitz operator ideals obtained from Banach linear operator ideals as follows. Given a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} , a pointed metric space X and a Banach space E , the Lipschitz ideal $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0(X, E)$ consists of all Lipschitz function $f: X \rightarrow E$ such that there exist Banach spaces G_1, G_2 , Lipschitz functions $g_1: X \rightarrow G_1$, $g_2: G_2 \rightarrow E$ and a linear map $T \in \mathcal{A}(G_1, G_2)$ such that $f = g_2 T g_1$. We will focus in the case when \mathcal{A} is a minimal or maximal Banach operator ideal. We will see that in most of the cases, this type of Lipschitz ideals are not of composition type.

The article is divided as follows. In Section 1 we fix the notation, precise the definitions and general results that will be used. In Section 2 we will consider the case when \mathcal{A} is minimal. We will show that $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is not minimal as a Lipschitz operator ideal (Corollary 2.9) and we will show in which cases $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ and its minimal kernel extends \mathcal{A} (Corollary 2.4 and Proposition 2.7). In Section 3 we study $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ when the ideal \mathcal{A} is maximal. We study the Lipschitz maximal hull of this ideal. For this, the theory of ultraproducts is needed, so the notion of ultrastable Banach Lipschitz operator ideal is introduced. In particular we extend the result of Pietsch and Kursten: A Banach Lipschitz operator ideal is maximal if and only if is regular and ultrastable (Theorem 3.4).

We refer to Pietsch's book [23] and the book of Defant and Floret [15] for the theory of Banach (linear) operator ideals, to the book of Weaver [28] for the theory of Lipschitz maps and to the book of Benyamini and Lindenstrauss [6] for the theory of non-linear geometry.

1. NOTATION AND GENERAL BACKGROUND

Along the manuscript, X, Y will be a metric space and d_X, d_Y their distance. With E and F will denote real Banach spaces with the norm $\|\cdot\|_E$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ respectively. Whenever the space is understood, we will simply write d or $\|\cdot\|$. The open unit ball of E will be denote by B_E , and the dual and bidual space of E are denoted by E' and E'' . The canonical inclusion of E into E'' is denoted by J_E . A pointed metric space is a metric space with a distinguished point, that we will always denote by 0. In particular, a normed vector space is a pointed metric space and its distinguished point will be 0. As usual, the space of all linear continuous, approximable and finite rank operators between E and F will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}(E, F)$, $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{F}(E, F)$ respectively. In the sequel, we will need the notion of regular Banach operator ideal. The regular hull of a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} , denoted as \mathcal{A}^{reg} , are those operators $T: E \rightarrow F$ such that $J_F T \in \mathcal{A}(E, F'')$, together with the norm $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\text{reg}}} = \|J_F T\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. We say that \mathcal{A} is regular if it coincides with its regular hull.

Recall that for two metric spaces X and Y , a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be a *Lipschitz map* if there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $d(f(x_1), f(x_2)) \leq Cd(x_1, x_2)$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in X$. The least of such constants will be denoted as $\text{Lip}(f)$. We denote by $\text{Lip}_0(X, Y)$ the set of all Lipschitz maps from X to Y that vanish at 0. If we consider a Banach space E , the space $(\text{Lip}_0(X, E); \text{Lip}(\cdot))$ becomes a Banach space. In the case when $E = \mathbb{R}$, we write $\text{Lip}_0(X, \mathbb{R}) = X^\#$ and it will be called as the *Lipschitz dual* of X . We refer to the book of Weaver [28] for more about this space. For any pointed metric space X , $X^\#$ is a dual Banach space [4]. The predual of $X^\#$ is called the *Arens-Eells space* (or the Lipschitz free space over X), and it will be denoted as $\mathbb{A}(X)$. If we consider the Dirac map $\delta_X: X \rightarrow (X^\#)'$ defined as $\delta_X(x)(f) = f(x)$, then we have the equality

$$\mathbb{A}(X) = \overline{\text{span}\{\delta_X(x): x \in X\}} \subset (X^\#)'$$

For $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X, Y)$, there exists a unique linear operator $\widehat{f} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}(X), \mathbb{A}(Y))$ such that $\delta_Y \circ f = \widehat{f} \circ \delta_X$ (see e.g. [28, Theorem 3.6]). When E is a Banach space, there is a linear quotient map $\beta_E: \mathbb{A}(E) \rightarrow E$, called the *barycenter*, which is the left inverse of δ_E , meaning $\beta_E \circ \delta_E = \text{Id}_E$. There is an isometric isomorphism between $\text{Lip}_0(X, E)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}(X), E)$. Indeed, given $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X, E)$, there exists a unique linear operator $L_f \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}(X), E)$ such that $f = L_f \circ \delta_X$ with $\text{Lip}(f) = \|L_f\|$ (see e.g. [28, Proposition 2.2.4]). The linear operator L_f is defined as $L_f = \beta_E \circ \widehat{f}$ and satisfies $L_f(\delta_X(x)) = f(x)$. We will refer the operator L_f as the *linearization* of f . A function between Banach spaces $f: E \rightarrow F$ is said to be Gâteaux

differentiable at $x_0 \in E$ if there exists an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that

$$T(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + tx) - f(x_0)}{t}$$

for every $x \in E$. The operator T is called the *Gâteaux derivative of f at x_0* and it is denoted by $Df(x_0)$. Note that, in particular if f is Lipschitz and Gâteaux differentiable at x_0 then $\|Df(x_0)\| \leq \text{Lip}_0(f)$.

By a *Banach Lipschitz operator ideal* we mean a subclass \mathcal{I}_{Lip} of Lip_0 such that for every pointed metric space X and every Banach space E , the component

$$\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(X, E) = \text{Lip}_0(X, E) \cap \mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}$$

satisfy:

- (i) $\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(X, E)$ is a linear subspace of $\text{Lip}_0(X, E)$.
- (ii) $Id_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.
- (iii) The ideal property: if $g \in \text{Lip}_0(Y, X)$, $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(X, E)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$, then the composition $S \circ f \circ g \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(Y, F)$.

Also there is a *Lipschitz ideal norm* over \mathcal{I}_{Lip} , given by a function $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}} : \mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ that satisfies

- (i') For every pointed metric space X and every Banach space E , the pair $(\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(X, E); \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}})$ is a Banach space and $\text{Lip}(f) \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(X, E)$.
- (ii') $\|Id_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}} = 1$
- (iii') If $g \in \text{Lip}_0(Y, X)$, $f \in \mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}(X, E)$ and $S \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$, then $\|S \circ f \circ g\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}} \leq \text{Lip}(g) \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}_{\text{Lip}}} \|S\|$.

This definition was introduced in [1, Definition 2.1] and independently in [8, Definition 2.3], under the name of *generic Lipschitz operator Banach ideal* and extends the definition of Banach linear operator ideals (see e.g. [23]). Along the manuscript, with Banach operator ideal we will refer to a Banach *linear* operator ideal. To avoid confusion, we will denote \mathcal{I} , \mathcal{J} for Banach Lipschitz operator ideals while \mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B} stands for Banach operator ideals. With $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$ we mean that for all Banach spaces E and F , $\mathcal{A}(E, F) \subset \mathcal{B}(E, F)$, and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{B}} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{A}}$. The same applies with Lipschitz operator ideals. In particular, for a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ and $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ are Banach Lipschitz operator ideals endowed with the norms

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0} = \inf\{\|T\|_{\mathcal{A} \text{Lip}}(g) : f = Tg\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0} = \inf\{\text{Lip}(g_1) \|T\|_{\mathcal{A} \text{Lip}}(g_2) : f = g_2 T g_1\},$$

respectively.

Given two Banach Lipschitz operator ideals \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} (Banach operator ideals \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}), we say that \mathcal{I} and \mathcal{J} (resp. \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B}) are *related* if for every finite pointed metric space X_0 and every finite dimensional Banach space E_0 , the equality $\mathcal{I}(X_0, E_0) = \mathcal{J}(X_0, E_0)$ holds isometrically (resp. for all finite dimensional Banach spaces E_0 and F_0 , the equality

$\mathcal{A}(E_0, F_0) = \mathcal{B}(E_0, F_0)$ holds isometrically). As it happens in the linear case, in [27] it is shown that, for a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} , there exists the *biggest* and the *smallest* Banach Lipschitz operator ideal related with \mathcal{I} , which are called the maximal hull and the minimal kernel of \mathcal{I} . This is, there exist unique \mathcal{I}^{\max} and \mathcal{I}^{\min} such that are related with \mathcal{I} and, whenever \mathcal{J} is related with \mathcal{I} , then $\mathcal{I}^{\min} \subset \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}^{\max}$.

All other relevant terminology and preliminaries are given in corresponding sections.

2. ABOUT THE LINEAR RESTRICTION OF $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ AND OTHER PROPERTIES

Our firsts results appeal to a result of Johnson, Maurey and Schechtman [21, Theorem 1]. For our purpose, we decide state to it with a slight modification.

Theorem 2.1 (Johnson–Maurey–Schechtman). *Let E be a Banach space, F be a dual Banach space, and $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. If there exist a Banach space G , a Lipschitz function $f \in \text{Lip}_0(E, G)$ Gâteaux differentiable at some $x_0 \in E$ and $g \in \text{Lip}_0(G, F)$ such that $T = g \circ f$, then there exists $R \in \mathcal{L}(G, F)$ with $\|R\| \leq \text{Lip}(g)$ such that $T = R \circ Df(x_0)$.*

Recall that given a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} , its minimal kernel can be obtained via the composition ideal $\mathcal{A}^{\min} = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}$. Since Johnson [20] proved that every approximable linear operator factors through a separable and reflexive Banach space via two approximable linear operators, we may consider a factorization of an operator in \mathcal{A}^{\min} through reflexive and separable Banach spaces. Now we have

Proposition 2.2. *Let E and F be Banach spaces and \mathcal{A} a Banach operator ideal. If E is separable, then for every Lipschitz map $f \in \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, F)$, there exists x_0 in E such that f is Gâteaux differentiable at x_0 and $Df(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F)$. Moreover, for $\varepsilon > 0$ we may choose x_0 such that $\|Df(x_0)\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$.*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and take a factorization of $f = T \circ g$, where G is a separable and reflexive Banach space, $g \in \text{Lip}_0(E, G)$ with $\text{Lip}(g) = 1$ and $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\min}(G, F)$ with $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$. Then, since g is a Lipschitz function from a separable space into a reflexive space (hence with the Radon-Nikodým property), by [6, Theorem 6.42] g is Gâteaux differentiable at some x_0 . Then, f is Gâteaux differentiable in x_0 with $Df(x_0) = T \circ Dg(x_0)$, and the proof follows. \square

Combining Theorem 2.1 with Proposition 2.2 we have

Theorem 2.3. *Let E and F be Banach spaces and \mathcal{A} a Banach operator ideal. If E is separable, then $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) \subset (\mathcal{A}^{\min})^{\text{reg}}(E, F)$.*

Proof. Take a linear operator $T \in \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, F)$ and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. We may took a factorization of $T = f \circ g$, where $f \in \text{Lip}_0(G, F)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, G)$ and, thanks to Proposition 2.2, we may consider it Gâteaux differentiable at some $x_0 \in E$

with $Dg(x_0) \in \mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, G)$. Moreover, we may choose such factorization with $\text{Lip}(f) = 1$ and $\|Dg(x_0)\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|T\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$. Now, applying Theorem 2.1 to the operator $J_F \circ T = J_F \circ f \circ g$, we obtain that $J_F \circ T \in \mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F'')$ and $\|J_F \circ T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)\|Dg(x_0)\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2\|T\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$, and the proof follows. \square

In the cases when $\mathcal{A}^{\min} = \mathcal{A}^{\min \text{ reg}}$ (see, for instance [15, Corollary 22.8.2]), we obtain an extension of a minimal ideal.

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach operator ideal, E and F be Banach spaces, with E separable. If F is a dual space, E' has the approximation property, or \mathcal{A}^{\min} is regular, then*

$$(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)(E, F) \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F) \text{ isometrically}$$

Corollary 2.4 states that, under some assumptions over the Banach spaces E or F , $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is an extension of \mathcal{A}^{\min} . To obtain another extension of \mathcal{A}^{\min} we will consider the minimal kernel of a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} . First, we need a factorization result concerning \mathcal{I}^{\min} .

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathcal{I} be a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal. Then $\mathcal{I}^{\min} = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\min}$.*

This is, for every pointed metric space X , every Banach space E and every $f \in \mathcal{I}^{\min}(X, E)$ there exist a Banach space G , a Lipschitz function $g \in \mathcal{I}^{\min}(X, G)$ and a linear operator $T \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}(G, E)$ such that $f = Tg$. Moreover, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}} = \inf\{\|g\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}}\|T\|\}$, where the infimum is taken over all the factorization of f .

Proof. It is clear that $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\min} \subset \mathcal{I}^{\min}$. To prove the equality, it suffices to show that $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\min}(X_0, E_0) = \mathcal{I}^{\min}(X_0, E_0)$ isometrically for every finite pointed metric space X_0 and every finite dimensional normed space E_0 . Given $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X_0, E_0)$, since E_0 is finite dimensional, the identity map of E_0 is a finite rank operator. Then, we can write $f = id_{E_0}f$, which gives a factorization of f with $\|f\|_{\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{I}^{\min}} \leq \|id_{E_0}\| \cdot \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\min}}$. This finishes the proof. \square

Remark 2.6. *As far as we know, there is no formula for a minimal Lipschitz ideal analogous to the linear case. Note that, as a consequence of the above, we have the inclusion*

$$(1) \quad (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0).$$

Since $\mathcal{A}^{\min} = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, from (1) we obtain that

$$(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \subset (\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}}) \circ \mathcal{A} \circ (\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \subset (\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \circ \mathcal{A} \circ (\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0),$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is the Lipschitz ideal of approximable operators. In fact, $\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is the smallest Lipschitz ideal which contains the approximable linear operators.

Now we are ready to obtain another Lipschitz extension of a minimal operator ideal.

Proposition 2.7. *Let E and F be Banach spaces and \mathcal{A} a Banach operator ideal. If E is separable, then $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) = \mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F)$.*

Proof. As E is separable, by [17, Theorem 3.1] it has the metric Lipschitz lifting property. By [27, Proposition 3.2], we have that $\mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F) = (\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. Also, since $\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \subset \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ and by [27, Theorem 4.8] $\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is a minimal Lipschitz operator ideal, we obtain the inclusion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F) &= (\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) \\ &= (\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) \subset (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F). \end{aligned}$$

For the other inclusion, first note that by Proposition 2.5,

$$(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F) \subset (\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F).$$

Now, let $T \in (\overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F)$. With the same ideas as we did before, we may consider a factorization of T via separable and reflexive Banach spaces. This is, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist separable and reflexive Banach spaces G_1 and G_2 , Lipschitz maps $g_1 \in \text{Lip}_0(E, G_1)$ and $g_2 \in \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0(G_2, F)$, with Lipschitz norm 1, and a linear operator $R \in \mathcal{A}^{\min}(G_1, G_2)$ such that $T = g_2 R g_1$ and $\|R\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|T\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$. By [6, Theorem 6.42] and, making translations if it is necessary, we may consider the maps g_1 and g_2 Gâteaux differentiable at 0. Finally we have

$$T = DT(0) = Dg_2(0) R Dg_1(0).$$

Thus, $T \in \mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F)$, and $\|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \leq \|Dg_2(0)\| \|R\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \|Dg_1(0)\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|T\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$, and the proof finish. \square

Combining Proposition 2.7 and [27, Proposition 3.2], when E is a separable Banach space, for every Banach space F , $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}(E, F)$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, F)$ extend $\mathcal{A}^{\min}(E, F)$ and, for example, in the case that F is a dual space, by Corollary 2.4, also $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, F)$ extends it. To finish this section, we give a discussion about in which cases these three ideals coincide or not. For a first approach, recall that a Lipschitz function belongs to the composition ideal $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ if and only if its linearization belongs to \mathcal{A} , [1, Proposition 3.2]. Also, in [8, Definition 1.6] is introduced the concept of *strong* Banach Lipschitz operator ideal. A Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} has the *strong ideal property* if whenever X and Y are pointed metric spaces, E and F Banach spaces, $f \in \mathcal{I}(Y, E)$, $g \in \text{Lip}_0(X, Y)$ and $h \in \text{Lip}_0(E, F)$, we have that $hfg \in \mathcal{I}(X, F)$ with $\|hfg\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \text{Lip}(h) \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} \text{Lip}(g)$. In particular, $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal with the strong ideal property.

Proposition 2.8. *Let \mathcal{I} be a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal. If \mathcal{I} has the strong ideal property, then \mathcal{I} is not minimal.*

Proof. Let E be a finite dimensional normed space. Since $id_E \in \mathcal{I}(E, E)$ (because is a finite dimensional operator) and \mathcal{I} has the strong ideal property, we have that $\delta_E = \delta_E \circ id_E \in$

$\mathcal{I}(E, \mathbb{A}(E))$. If \mathcal{I} were minimal, as a consequence of [27, Proposition 4.5], we would obtain that $\mathcal{I} \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0$, which would imply that the linearization of δ_E , which is the identity map of $\mathbb{A}(E)$, is a compact operator. But this cannot happen since $\mathbb{A}(E)$ is an infinite dimensional space. \square

As a consequence, we have

Corollary 2.9. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach operator ideal. The Lipschitz operator ideal $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is not minimal.*

In particular, $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ does not coincide with $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ or $\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$. Almost with the same proof of Proposition 2.8 we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.10. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach operator ideal. The Lipschitz operator ideal $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is not of composition type*

Proof. If $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ were of composition type, then by [27, Proposition 3.16], we would have

$$((\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L}) \circ \text{Lip}_0 = \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0.$$

In particular, for E a finite dimensional Banach space, since $\delta_E \in \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, \mathbb{A}(E))$, we get that its linearization, $id_{\mathbb{A}(E)}$, belongs to $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{A}(E), \mathbb{A}(E))$. By Theorem 2.3, we have that $id_{\mathbb{A}(E)} \in (\mathcal{A}^{\min})^{\text{reg}}(\mathbb{A}(E), \mathbb{A}(E))$ and, in particular, $id_{\mathbb{A}(E)}$ is a compact operator. But this cannot happen since $\mathbb{A}(E)$ is an infinite dimensional space. \square

Finally, in order to see in which cases $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ coincide or not, we have the followings.

Proposition 2.11. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach operator ideal. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type.
- (ii) $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} = \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$.
- (iii) $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\max} = \mathcal{A}^{\max} \circ \text{Lip}_0$

Proof. Is clear that (ii) implies (i) and the equivalence between (ii) and (iii) follows from [27, Proposition 4.1, Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.8]. We will show that (i) implies (ii). First note that, since both ideals are minimal, is enough to show the equality $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}(X_0, E_0) = \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(X_0, E_0)$ for every finite metric space X_0 and every finite dimensional space E_0 . Suppose that $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type, then by [27, Proposition 3.16], we have

$$((\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \cap \mathcal{L}) \circ \text{Lip}_0 = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$$

By Proposition 2.7 we have that for all finite metric space X_0 and every finite dimensional normed space E_0 , $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \cap \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}(X_0), E_0) = \mathcal{A}^{\min}(\mathcal{A}(X_0), E_0)$, implying that $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}(X_0, E_0) = \mathcal{A}^{\min}(X_0, E_0)$. \square

Proposition 2.12. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach operator ideal. If $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type, then $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(E)} \in \mathcal{A}^{\max}(\mathcal{A}(E), \mathcal{A}(E))$ for every finite dimensional normed space E .*

Proof. As it was done in Proposition 2.10, if E is a finite dimensional space, we have that $\delta_E \in \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, \mathcal{A}(E))$. In particular, δ_E belongs to $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\max}(E, \mathcal{A}(E))$, and by the above proposition we obtain that $\delta_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\max} \circ \text{Lip}_0(E, \mathcal{A}(E))$. This implies that the linearization of δ_E , which is $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(E)}$, belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{\max}(\mathcal{A}(E), \mathcal{A}(E))$. \square

Consequently, if \mathcal{A} is a Banach operator ideal such that the identity of $\mathcal{A}(E)$ does not belong to $\mathcal{A}^{\max}(\mathcal{A}(E), \mathcal{A}(E))$ for some finite dimensional normed space E , then $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ do not coincide. A special case in which the coincidence happens is when \mathcal{A} is a closed Banach operator ideal.

Proposition 2.13. *Let \mathcal{A} be a closed Banach operator ideal. Then $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type. Moreover, $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} = \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0$.*

Proof. Since $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is minimal, by [27, Proposition 4.5] we have that $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min} \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0$. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \subset \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$, which implies that

$$\mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \subset (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}.$$

Since \mathcal{A} is closed, we know that $\mathcal{A}^{\min} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}$, and the proof is complete. \square

In particular, for the ideal of approximable operators, by Proposition 2.10, $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is not of composition type, but by Proposition 2.13 its minimal kernel is of composition type, giving a negative answer of [27, Problem 4.15].

We do not know any other example besides $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ of a minimal Banach operator such that $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type. However, we have the following result. Before stating it, recall that, following [23, Definition 4.7.1], an operator $T: E \rightarrow F$ belongs to the *surjective hull* of a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} , denoted as \mathcal{A}^{sur} , if $TQ_E \in \mathcal{A}(\ell_1(B_E), F)$ where $Q_E: \ell_1(B_E) \rightarrow E$ is the canonical quotient map.

Proposition 2.14. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach operator ideal. If $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type, then $(\mathcal{A}^{\max})^{\text{sur}} = \mathcal{L}$.*

Proof. Fix a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} and suppose that $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type. By Proposition 2.12 we have that $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})} \in \mathcal{A}^{\max}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}))$. By [7, Theorem 1], $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ is a projectively universal separable Banach space. This is, for every separable Banach

space E there exists a bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})$ onto E . Call such operator $T: \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow E$, which belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{\max}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}), E)$ and by the usual diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}) & \xrightarrow{T} & E \\ q \downarrow & \nearrow \bar{T} & \\ \mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})/\ker(T) & & \end{array}$$

we have that \bar{T} is a isomorphism which belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{\max \text{ sur}}(\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R})/\ker(T), E)$. By the ideal property, we deduce that $id_E \in \mathcal{A}^{\max \text{ sur}}(E, E)$. Therefore, the ideal of separable operators (those which have separable image) is included in $\mathcal{A}^{\max \text{ sur}}$. By [23, Proposition 4.2.5], the ideal of separable operators is closed. Then, taking maximal hulls and using that $\mathcal{A}^{\max \text{ sur}} = \mathcal{A}^{\text{sur max}}$, we get that $\mathcal{A}^{\max \text{ sur}} = \mathcal{L}$, and the proof is completed. \square

In particular, if \mathcal{A} is the maximal Banach ideal of p -integral, p -summing, p -dominated for $1 \leq p < \infty$ or the maximal Banach ideal of p -factorable operators with $1 < p \leq \infty$, by the above $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is not of composition type. The next results shows that for the maximal ideal of 1-factorable operators \mathfrak{L}_1 , $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathfrak{L}_1^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is not of composition type, besides that $\mathfrak{L}_1^{\text{sur}} = \mathcal{L}$, implying that the converse of Proposition 2.14 does not hold.

Proposition 2.15. *The ideal $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathfrak{L}_1^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is not of composition type.*

Proof. Suppose that $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathfrak{L}_1^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type. Then, using Proposition 2.12, we have that the identity operator of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is 1-factorable. By [23, Theorem 22.2.2], this implies that the bidual $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^2)''$ is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of $L_1(\mu)$ for some measure μ . By composing with the canonical inclusion in the bidual, we get that $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is isomorphic to a subspace of $L_1(\mu)$. This contradicts a result of Naor and Schechtman (see also [7, Remark 7]). Consequently, $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathfrak{L}_1^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is not of composition type, as we wanted to show. \square

3. THE MAXIMAL HULL OF $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\max} \circ \text{Lip}_0$

The main goal of this section is to study which operator ideal do $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ and its maximal hull extends, in the case when \mathcal{A} is a maximal Banach operator ideal. To achieve this, we first give a discussion of maximal Banach Lipschitz operator ideals. In what follows, for a pointed metric space X , $\text{MFIN}(X)$ denotes the family of all finite metric subsets of X with “0”, meanwhile for a Banach space E , $\text{COFIN}(E)$ denotes the family of all cofinite dimensional subspaces of E . For a subset X_0 of X we denote by $\iota_{X_0}^X$ the canonical inclusion of X_0 into X and, for a subspace L of E , $q_L^E: E \rightarrow E/L$ is the canonical quotient map. Analogous to what happens in the linear case, the maximal hull of a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal is defined by its properties when is considered over finite metric

spaces. Following [8], a Lipschitz operator $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X, E)$ belongs to the maximal hull of a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} if

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\max}} := \sup\{\|q_L^E f \iota_Y^X\|_{\mathcal{I}} : Y \in \text{MFIN}(X), L \in \text{COFIN}(E)\} < \infty.$$

Also, $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\max}}$ is the norm of \mathcal{I}^{\max} . A useful tool to study maximal hulls of Lipschitz ideals is the theory of ultraproducts. The theory of ultraproducts in Banach spaces was introduced by Dacunha–Castelle and Krivine [14]. For the basics of this theory we refer to [18]. Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ be families of metric spaces and Banach spaces, respectively, and take an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} in I . We denote by $(E_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ the ultraproduct of the family of Banach spaces $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ with respect to \mathcal{U} . Similarly, we denote by $(X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ the so-called ultralimit of the X_i 's with respect to \mathcal{U} (see [25, Definition 7.19]). When all the X_i 's are Banach spaces, the ultralimit coincides with the Banach space ultraproduct. The norm of an element $(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $(E_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ can be computed as $\|(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\| = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|x_i\|$, meanwhile the distance of $(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ to $(y_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ in $(X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ is $d((x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, (y_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(x_i, y_i)$. For a family of Lipschitz mappings $f_i: X_i \rightarrow E_i$ with $\sup_{i \in I} \text{Lip}(f_i) \leq C$ for some $C > 0$, the rule

$$(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}(x_i)_{\mathcal{U}} := (f_i x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$$

defines a Lipschitz map between $(X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $(E_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ with Lipschitz norm less or equal to C . In the case that the family $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ are Banach spaces and $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ consists of linear operators, $(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}$ is linear as well.

First, we will show that a Lipschitz operator can be reconstructed from its finite dimensional parts, in an analogous way that is done for linear operators in [23, Lemma 8.8.4]. For this, take a Lipschitz operator $f \in \text{Lip}_0(X, E)$ and consider the cartesian product $I := \text{MFIN}(X) \times \text{COFIN}(E)$ endowed with the directed partial order defined as $(X_1, L_1) \leq (X_2, L_2)$ iff $X_1 \subseteq X_2$ and $L_2 \subseteq L_1$. Choose an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} in I containing the order filter. For each index $i \in I$, we denote by X_i and L_i the components of the pair i . For each $i \in I$, write $f_i = q_{L_i}^E f \iota_{X_i}^X$. We have $\text{Lip}(f_i) \leq \text{Lip}(f)$ for all $i \in I$, and therefore the map $(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}$ is defined. With this notation, we have.

Lemma 3.1. *There are operators $g \in \text{Lip}_0(X, (X_i)_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $Q \in \mathcal{L}((E/L_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, E'')$ such that $\text{Lip}(g) \leq 1$, $\|Q\| \leq 1$ and $J_E f = Q(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}} g$.*

Proof. Let $g: X \rightarrow (X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ be the map defined as $g(x) = (x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$, where

$$x_i = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x_i \in X_i \\ 0 & \text{if } x_i \notin X_i \end{cases}$$

The map g is isometry. Indeed, given $x, y \in X$, we have

$$d(g(x), g(y)) = d((x_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, (y_i)_{\mathcal{U}}) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(x_i, y_i).$$

If we fix $i_0 \in I$ such that $x, y \in X_{i_0}$ (for example, $i_0 = \{0, x, y\} \times E$), then $d(x_i, y_i) = d(x, y)$ for all $i \geq i_0$ and consequently $d(g(x), g(y)) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(x_i, y_i) = d(x, y)$.

We define the bounded linear operator $Q: (E/L_i)_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow E''$ as

$$Q((z_i)_{\mathcal{U}})(e') = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} e'(y_i)$$

where $e' \in E'$, for some $y_i \in E$ such that $q_{L_i}^E(y_i) = z_i$ and, $\|y_i\| \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ for all $i \in I$ (this choice is possible because the z_i 's are uniformly bounded). As it was done in [23, Lemma 8.8.4], the operator is well defined. Now, let $x \in X$ be given. We have

$$Q(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}g(x) = Q(f_i(x_i))_{\mathcal{U}} = Q((q_{L_i}^E(f(x_i)))_{\mathcal{U}}).$$

For $e' \in E'$, we have

$$Q((q_{L_i}^E(f(x_i)))_{\mathcal{U}})(e') = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} e'(f(x_i)).$$

Finally, since for all $i > i_0$, $f(x_i) = f(x)$, we have that $\lim_{\mathcal{U}} e'(f(x_i)) = \lim_{\mathcal{U}} e'(f(x))$, and the proof follows. \square

The following result should be compared with [18, Proposition 6.1].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of pointed metric spaces and \mathcal{U} be an ultrafilter in I . For $X \in \text{MFIN}((X_i)_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $I_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ and, for each $i \in I_0$, subsets $Z_i \in \text{MFIN}(X_i)$ which are $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz isomorphic to X .*

Proof. Suppose that $X = \{0, x^1, \dots, x^n\}$ and choose representations $x^k = (x_i^k)_{\mathcal{U}}$ ($1 \leq k \leq n$) and for the base point $0 = (0_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$. Denote $Y_i = \{0_i, x_i^1, \dots, x_i^n\} \in \text{MFIN}(X_i)$. For each $i \in I$, let $f_i: X \rightarrow Y_i$ be the base point preserving map defined as $f_i(x^k) = x_i^k$ for $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Note that for all $1 \leq k, k' \leq n$, $\sup_{i \in I} d(x_i^k, x_i^{k'})$ is finite. Denote by $C := \sup_{\substack{1 \leq k, k' \leq n \\ k \neq k'}} \frac{\sup_{i \in I} d(x_i^k, x_i^{k'})}{d(x^k, x^{k'})}$.

Then, we have

$$d(f_i(x^k), f_i(x^{k'})) = d(x_i^k, x_i^{k'}) \leq \sup_{i \in I} d(x_i^k, x_i^{k'}) \leq C \cdot d(x^k, x^{k'}).$$

Therefore, since $\text{Lip}(f_i) \leq C$ for all $i \in I$, we can consider the Lipschitz map $(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}: (X)_{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow (X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$. For all $1 \leq k, k' \leq n$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} d((f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}(x^k)_{\mathcal{U}}, (f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}(x^{k'})_{\mathcal{U}}) &= d((f_i x^k)_{\mathcal{U}}, (f_i x^{k'})_{\mathcal{U}}) \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(f_i(x^k), f_i(x^{k'})) \\ &= \lim_{\mathcal{U}} d(x_i^k, x_i^{k'}) \\ &= d(x^k, x^{k'}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that, fixing k and k' , the set

$$I_{k, k'} := \{i \in I : (1 + \varepsilon)^{-1} d(x^k, x^{k'}) \leq d(f_i x^k, f_i x^{k'}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) d(x^k, x^{k'})\}$$

belongs to the ultrafilter \mathcal{U} . Since any filter is closed under finite intersections, the set $I_0 = \bigcap_{1 \leq k, k' \leq n} I_{k, k'}$ also belongs to \mathcal{U} . For each $i \in I_0$, we have

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{-1}d(x^k, x^{k'}) \leq d(f_i x^k, f_i x^{k'}) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)d(x^k, x^{k'})$$

for all $1 \leq k, k' \leq n$. Denoting by $Z_i := \{0, f_i(x^1), \dots, f_i(x^n)\} \in \text{MFIN}(X_i)$, we obtain that $f_i: X \rightarrow Z_i$ are $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz isomorphic to X , as we wanted to show. \square

Recall that a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} is *ultrastable* if for all families of Banach spaces $(E_i)_{i \in I}, (F_i)_{i \in I}$ and linear operators $S_i \in \mathcal{A}(E_i, F_i)$ with $\|S_i\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq C$ for some $C > 0$ for all $i \in I$, we have that for any ultrafilter \mathcal{U} of I , the linear operator $(S_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}((E_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, (F_i)_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\|(S_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \leq C$. We now extend the notion of ultrastable to Lipschitz ideals in verbatim.

Definition 3.3. *A Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} is said to be ultrastable if for any families of pointed metric spaces $(X_i)_{i \in I}$, Banach spaces $(E_i)_{i \in I}$, every family of Lipschitz operators $f_i \in \mathcal{I}(X_i, E_i)$ such that $\sup_{i \in I} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} < \infty$ and every ultrafilter \mathcal{U} in I , the Lipschitz operator $(f_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{I}((X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, (E_i)_{\mathcal{U}})$ and $\|(f_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

As it was shown by Kürsten [22] and Pietsch [24] for maximal Banach operator ideals, we have

Theorem 3.4. *A Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} is maximal if and only if it is regular and ultrastable.*

Proof. We use the notation from Lemma 3.1. Assume that \mathcal{I} is regular and ultrastable and take a pointed metric space X , a Banach space E and $f \in \mathcal{I}^{\max}(X, E)$. Then $\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\max}} = \sup_{i \in I} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} < \infty$. Since \mathcal{I} is ultrastable, $(f_i)_{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{I}((X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, (E/L_i)_{\mathcal{U}})$ and by Lemma 3.1, we have $J_E f \in \mathcal{I}(X, E'')$. Then $f \in \mathcal{I}^{\text{reg}}(X, E) = \mathcal{I}(X, E)$, with

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|J_E f\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|(f_i)_{\mathcal{U}}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \sup_{i \in I} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} = \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}^{\max}}$$

Conversely, assume that \mathcal{I} is maximal. Is clear that is regular, therefore, we only have to see that \mathcal{I} is ultrastable. Let $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of pointed metric spaces, $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of Banach spaces and for each $i \in I$ take a Lipschitz function $f_i \in \mathcal{I}(X_i, E_i)$ such that $\sup_{i \in I} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} < \infty$. Take an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} of I and denote $X = (X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$, $E = (E_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$ and $f = (f_i)_{\mathcal{U}}$. We want to see that there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $Y \in \text{MFIN}(X)$ and $L \in \text{COFIN}(E)$, $\|q_L^E f \iota_Y^X\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq C$.

By Lemma 3.2, for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a set $I_0 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that for any $i \in I_0$ there exists a $Y_i \in \text{MFIN}(X_i)$ and a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -Lipschitz isomorphism $g_i: Y \rightarrow Y_i$. Using the local duality of ultraproducts [18, Theorem 7.3], the one to one correspondence between subspaces of finite codimension and finite dimensional subspaces of the dual, and [18, Proposition 6.1], we get a

set $I_1 \in \mathcal{U}$, and for $i \in I_1$, a $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -isomorphism $S_i: E_i/L_i \rightarrow E/L$ (where $L_i \in \text{COFIN}(E_i)$). Since any filter is closed under pairwise intersection, the set $I := I_1 \cap I_0$ belongs to \mathcal{U} . Note that for each $i \in I$, $q_{L_i}^{E_i} f \iota_{Y_i}^{X_i} = q_{L_i}^{E_i} f_i \iota_{Y_i}^{X_i}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_L^E f \iota_Y^X\|_{\mathcal{I}} &= \|S_i^{-1} S_i q_L^E f \iota_Y^X g_i g_i^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \|S_i q_{L_i}^{E_i} f_i \iota_{Y_i}^{X_i} g_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \sup_{i \in I} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}}, \end{aligned}$$

and the proof follows. \square

Remark 3.5. *Note that the first part of the proof shows that that $\mathcal{I}^{\text{reg}} = \mathcal{I}^{\text{max}}$ for any ultrastable Banach Lipschitz operator ideal \mathcal{I} .*

The following two results show us a way to construct ultrastable Lipschitz operator ideals.

Proposition 3.6. *Let \mathcal{A} be a Banach operator ideal. If \mathcal{A} is ultrastable, then $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is ultrastable.*

Proof. Take a family of pointed metric spaces $(X_i)_{i \in I}$, of Banach spaces $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ and of Lipschitz operators $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ such that $f_i \in \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0(X_i, E_i)$ for every $i \in I$ and $\sup_{i \in I} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0} < \infty$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $i \in I$, take a factorization of $f_i = T_i g_i$, where $g_i \in \text{Lip}_0(X_i, F_i)$ with $\text{Lip}(g_i) \leq 1$, $T_i \in \mathcal{A}(F_i, E_i)$ with $\|T_i\|_{\mathcal{A}} = (1 + \varepsilon) \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$, for some Banach spaces F_i . Note that $(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}} = (T_i)^{\mathcal{U}} (g_i)^{\mathcal{U}}$. Since \mathcal{A} is ultrastable, we get that $(T_i)^{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{A}$, implying that $(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0((X_i)_{\mathcal{U}}, (E_i)_{\mathcal{U}})$, with

$$\|(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0} \leq \|(T_i)^{\mathcal{U}}\|_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \text{Lip}((f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}) \leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|T_i\|_{\mathcal{A}} = (1 + \varepsilon) \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|f_i\|_{\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$$

and the proof follows. \square

It's clear that if \mathcal{A} is regular, then $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ also is. Then, Proposition 3.6, together with Theorem 3.4, gives an alternative proof of [27, Proposition 4.1], where was shown that if \mathcal{A} is maximal Banach operator ideal, then $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is a maximal Banach Lipschitz operator ideal.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \mathcal{I} be a Banach Lipschitz operator ideal. If \mathcal{I} is ultrastable, then $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}$ is ultrastable.*

Proof. Take a family pointed metric spaces $(X_i)_{i \in I}$, a family of Banach spaces $(E_i)_{i \in I}$ and for each $i \in I$ consider Lipschitz operators $f_i \in \text{Lip}_0(X_i, E_i)$ such that $\sup_{i \in I} \|f_i\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}} < \infty$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and for each $i \in I$, write $f_i = h_i \circ g_i$, where $\text{Lip}(h_i) \leq 1$, g_i is a Lipschitz operator which belongs to \mathcal{I} with $\|f_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|g_i\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}} + \varepsilon$. Since

$$(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}} = (h_i)^{\mathcal{U}} \circ (g_i)^{\mathcal{U}}$$

and \mathcal{I} is ultrastable, we get that $(g_i)^{\mathcal{U}} \in \mathcal{I}$, implying that $(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}} \in \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}$, with

$$\|(f_i)^{\mathcal{U}}\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}} \leq \text{Lip}((h_i)^{\mathcal{U}}) \|(g_i)^{\mathcal{U}}\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|g_i\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \varepsilon + \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \|f_i\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}}.$$

and the proof follows. \square

Combining the above results, we obtain the following.

Corollary 3.8. *If \mathcal{I} is an ultrastable Banach Lipschitz operator ideal, then $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\max} = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\text{reg}}$. In particular, $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\max} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\max} = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\max} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\text{reg}}$ for any Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} .*

Farmer and Johnson [16] introduced the ideal of Banach Lipschitz p -integral operators (see also [13, p.5275]). We are in condition to see that is a maximal Banach Lipschitz operator ideal.

Corollary 3.9. *The Banach Lipschitz ideal of Lipschitz p -integral operators $\mathcal{I}_p^{\text{Lip}}$ is maximal.*

Proof. Fix a pointed metric space X , a Banach space E and a Lipschitz operator $f: X \rightarrow E$. Then f is a Lipschitz p -integral operator if and only if $J_E f \in \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}_p \circ \text{Lip}_0(X, F'')$, where \mathcal{I}_p is the maximal ideal of p -integrable operators. This implies that $\mathcal{I}_p^{\text{Lip}} = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}_p \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\text{reg}}$ and the result follows from Corollary 3.8. \square

Now we turn our attention to the Banach operator ideals that $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\max} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ extends. We start with two lemmas. For the first, note that if \mathcal{I} is an ultrastable Banach Lipschitz operator ideal, then $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}$ is an ultrastable Banach operator ideal. This, together with Theorem 3.4, gives

Lemma 3.10. *Let \mathcal{I} be a maximal Banach Lipschitz operator ideal. Then $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}$ is a maximal Banach operator ideal.*

As a consequence, we have

Proposition 3.11. *Let \mathcal{I} be an ultrastable Banach Lipschitz operator ideal, then*

$$((\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{L})^{\max} = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\text{reg}} \cap \mathcal{L}$$

Proof. Since \mathcal{I} is ultrastable, an application of Proposition 3.7 gives that $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{L}$ is an ultrastable Banach operator ideal. Then we have that

$$(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{L})^{\max} = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{L})^{\text{reg}} = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\text{reg}} \cap \mathcal{L},$$

and the proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.12. *Let \mathcal{I} be a maximal Banach operator ideal and E and F Banach spaces. Let $f \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$ and suppose that f is Gâteaux differentiable at some x_0 in E . Then $Df(x_0) \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}(E; F)$ and $\|Df(x_0)\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}$.*

Proof. Since $D(J_F f)(x_0) = J_F Df(x_0)$ and \mathcal{I} is regular, we can assume that F is a dual space. Our hypothesis tell us that that the net $(\phi_t)_{t>0}$ of Lipschitz operators from E to F defined as

$$\phi_t(v) = \frac{1}{t}(f(x_0 + tv) - f(x_0))$$

converges to $Df(x_0)v$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, for all $v \in E$. For $z \in E$ and an scalar λ , let $\tau_z^E : E \rightarrow E$ and $h_\lambda^E : E \rightarrow E$ be the operators defined as $\tau_z^E(w) = z + w$ and $h_\lambda(w) = \lambda \cdot w$. The map ϕ_t can be written as

$$\phi_t(v) = h_{\frac{1}{t}}^F \tau_{-f(x_0)}^F f \circ \tau_{x_0}^E h_t^E,$$

Since f belongs to \mathcal{I} , we infer that $\phi_t \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$ for all $t > 0$, and $\|\phi_t\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Then, $(\phi_t)_{t>0}$ is bounded in $\mathcal{I}(E, F)$ and it converges to $Df(x_0)$ pointwise and, in particular, in the weak-star Lipschitz operator topology of $\text{Lip}_0(E, F)$. By [9, Theorem 6.5] we have that $Df(x_0) \in \mathcal{I}(E, F)$ and $\|Df(x_0)\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{I}}$, which completes the proof. \square

Proposition 3.13. *Let \mathcal{I} be a maximal Banach Lipschitz operator ideal, and let E and F be Banach spaces. Fix $T \in (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and suppose that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a Banach space G , a function $g \in \mathcal{I}(E, G)$ Gâteaux differentiable at some x_0 in E and $f \in \text{Lip}_0(G, F)$ such that $\|T\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}} \leq \text{Lip}(f)\|g\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \varepsilon$. Then $T \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and $\|T\|_{\mathcal{I}} \leq \|T\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}}$*

Proof. For $\varepsilon > 0$, pick a factorization $T = fg$ as in the hypothesis and assume that $\text{Lip}(f) = 1$. Applying Theorem 2.1, we get an operator $R \in \mathcal{L}(G, F'')$ with $\|R\| \leq 1$ such that $J_F T = R \circ Dg(x_0)$. By Lemma 3.12, we have that $Dg(x_0) \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}(E, G)$, with $\|Dg(x_0)\|_{\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{I}}$. Therefore $T \in (\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L})^{\text{reg}}(E, F) = (\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L})(E, F)$ where the equality holds because $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}$ is maximal by Lemma 3.10. Moreover $\|T\|_{\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}} \leq \|g\|_{\mathcal{I}} + \varepsilon$ and the proof follows. \square

Corollary 3.14. *Let \mathcal{I} be a maximal Banach Lipschitz operator ideal such that for every finite dimensional Banach space M and every Banach space F , every Lipschitz function $f \in \mathcal{I}(M, F)$ has a point of Gâteaux differentiability in M . Then*

$$(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\text{max}} \cap \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}$$

Proof. First note that, by Lemma 3.10, $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}$ and $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\text{max}} \cap \mathcal{L}$ are maximal Banach operator ideals. Then one inclusion follows by observing that

$$\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L} \subset (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}) \cap \mathcal{L} \subset (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\text{max}} \cap \mathcal{L}.$$

For the other inclusion, fix finite dimensional Banach spaces M and N , and take $T \in \mathcal{L}(M, N)$. By Proposition 3.11 and the above proposition, we have that

$$\|T\|_{(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\text{max}}} = \|T\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I}} \geq \|T\|_{\mathcal{I}}$$

Therefore the maximal hulls of $\mathcal{I} \cap \mathcal{L}$ and $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{I})^{\max} \cap \mathcal{L}$ coincide. Since both ideals are maximal, this completes the proof. \square

As an application, we have

Corollary 3.15. *Let \mathcal{A} be a maximal Banach operator ideal such the Lipschitz ideal $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ has the property that for every finite dimensional Banach space M and every Banach space F , every Lipschitz function $f \in \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0(M, F)$ has a point of Gâteaux differentiability in M . Then*

$$(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L} = (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\max} \cap \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}.$$

Proof. By [27, Proposition 3.3] and [27, Proposition 4.1], $\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is a maximal Lipschitz operator ideal and $(\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0) \cap \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{A}$. The inclusions

$$\mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \subset \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0 \subset (\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\max}$$

and above corollary complete the proof. \square

Remark 3.16. *The ideals of p -integral operators, p -summing operators with $1 \leq p < \infty$, the (p, q) -dominated operators, with $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q \leq 1$ and the p -factorable operators with $p > 1$ are maximal and satisfy the hypothesis in Corollary 3.15. Indeed, take a linear operator $T: E \rightarrow F$ such that it can be factorized as $T = RS$ where $S: E \rightarrow G$ and $R: G \rightarrow F$ are linear operators and G is a Banach space with the Radon-Nikodým property. Then if $f \in \text{Lip}_0(M, E)$ with M a finite dimensional space, then $Tf = RSf$ and, since by [6, Theorem 6.42] Sf has a point in which is Gâteaux differentiable, then Tf is Gâteaux differentiable at the same point. Now, since for $1 < p < \infty$, every p -integral, p -summing, (p, q) -dominated ($1/p + 1/q \leq 1$) or p -factorable operator can be factorized via a closed subspace of $L_p(\mu)$ (and therefore with the Radon-Nikodým property) with two linear operators, we obtain part of the claim. For $p = 1$, just use that every 1-integral or 1-summing operator is 2-summing, and in particular, it factorizes through a reflexive Banach space (and therefore, with the Radon-Nikodým property).*

We finish with a brief discussion about in which cases $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\max} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is of composition type or not. For this, recall the definition of a right accessible Banach operator ideal. Following [15, 25.2], a Banach operator ideal \mathcal{A} is right accessible if for every finite dimensional space M and every Banach space F , we have $\mathcal{A}(M, F) = \mathcal{A}^{\min}(M, F)$. In particular, every operator ideal named in Remark 3.16 is right accessible.

Lemma 3.17. *Let \mathcal{A} be right accessible Banach operator ideal. Then for every finite pointed metric space X and every Banach space E , $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0(X, E) = \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0(X, E)$. In particular the minimal and maximal hull of both ideals coincide.*

Proof. Let X be a finite pointed metric space and E a Banach space, and $f \in \text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0(X, E)$. Pick a factorization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & E \\ g \downarrow & & \uparrow h \\ F & \xrightarrow{T} & G \end{array}$$

where F, G are Banach spaces, g, h are base-point preserving Lipschitz maps, and $T \in \mathcal{A}(F, G)$. We have to see that $\text{Lip}(h) \cdot \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \text{Lip}(g) \geq \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}$. Since X is finite, we can assume that F is finite-dimensional (for instance, we may replace F by the linear span of $g(X)$). Since \mathcal{A} is right-accessible, we know that $\mathcal{A}^{\min}(F, G) = \mathcal{A}(F, G)$ isometrically. Therefore,

$$\text{Lip}(h) \cdot \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot \text{Lip}(g) = \text{Lip}(h) \cdot \|T\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\min}} \cdot \text{Lip}(g) \geq \|f\|_{\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0}.$$

Since the other inclusion always holds, the proof is complete. \square

Proposition 3.18. *Let \mathcal{A} be a right accessible Banach operator ideal. If $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is of composition type, then $\text{id}_{\mathbb{E}(E)} \in \mathcal{A}^{\max}$ for every finite dimensional normed space E .*

Proof. If $\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0$ is of composition type, then $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is also of composition type by [27, Theorem 4.8]. By the above, $(\text{Lip}_0 \circ \mathcal{A}^{\min} \circ \text{Lip}_0)^{\min}$ is of composition type. The proof follows by applying Proposition 2.12. \square

Acknowledgments. This project was supported in part by CONICET PIP 1609. N. Albarracín is also supported by a CONICET doctoral fellowship. P. Turco is also supported by ANCyT-PICT 2019-00080.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Achour, P. Rueda, E. A. Sánchez-Pérez, R. Yahi, *Lipschitz operators ideals and the approximation property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 436 (2016) 217-236.
- [2] D. Achour, E. Dahia, P. Turco. *Lipschitz p -compact mappings*. Monatshefte für Mathematik (2019) 189:595–609.
- [3] D. Achour, P. Rueda, R. Yahi. *(p, σ) -absolutely Lipschitz operators*. Ann. Funct. Anal. 8 (2017), 38-50.
- [4] R.F. Arens and J. Eells, Jr. *On embedding uniform and topological spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 6 (1956), 397–403.
- [5] A. Belacel, D. Chen. *Lipschitz (p, r, s) -integral operators and Lipschitz (p, r, s) -nuclear operators*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 461 (2018), 1115-1137.
- [6] Y. Benyamini, J. Lindenstrauss. Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis, vol. 1, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications 48 (American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000).
- [7] M. Cuth, M. Doucha, P. Wojtaszczyk. *On the structure of Lipschitz free spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol 144 (2016), 3833–3846.
- [8] M. G. Cabrera-Padilla, A. Jiménez-Vargas, Moisés Villegas-Vallecillos, *Maximal Banach ideals of Lipschitz maps*, Ann. Funct. Anal. 7 (2016), 593–608.

- [9] M. G. Cabrera-Padilla, J. A. Chávez-Domínguez, A. Jiménez-Vargas and M. Villegas-Vallecillos. *Duality for ideals of Lipschitz maps*, Banach J. Math. Anal. 11 (2017), 108–129.
- [10] M. G. Cabrera-Padilla, A. Jiménez-Vargas. *Lipschitz Grothendieck integral operators*. Banach J. Math. Anal. 9 (2015), no. 4, 34-57.
- [11] J. A. Chávez-Domínguez. *Lipschitz (q, p) -mixing operators*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 140 (2012), 3101–3115.
- [12] J. A. Chávez-Domínguez. *Lipschitz factorization through subsets of Hilbert spaces*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 418 (2014), 344-356.
- [13] D. Chen and B. Zheng. *Lipschitz p -integral operators and Lipschitz p -nuclear operators*, Nonlinear Anal. 75 (2012), 5270–5282.
- [14] D. Dacunha-Castelle and J. L. Krivine. *Applications des ultraproducts à l'étude des espaces et des algèbres de Banach*. Studia Math. 41 (1972), 315–334.
- [15] A. Defant and K. Floret. *Tensor norms and operator ideals*, North-Holland Math. Studies, 176, 1993.
- [16] J. D. Farmer and W. B. Johnson. *Lipschitz p -summing operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 2989–2995.
- [17] G. Godefroy and N. Kalton, *Lipschitz free Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 159 (2003), 121–141.
- [18] S. Heinrich, *Ultraproducts in Banach space theory*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 313 (1980), 72–104.
- [19] A. Jiménez Vargas, J. M. Sepulcre, M. Villegas Vallecillos. *Lipschitz compact operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 415 (2014), 889–901.
- [20] W. Johnson. *Factoring compact operators*, Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 337–345 .
- [21] W. Johnson, B. Maurey and G. Schechtman. *Non-linear factorization of linear operators*. Bull. Lon. Math. Soc. 41 (2009) 663–668.
- [22] K. Küürsten, *On some questions of A. Pietsch II* Teor. Funkcii, Funcional Anal. i. Prilozenia (Kharkov), 29 (1978), 61–73.
- [23] A. Pietsch. *Operator ideals*, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1978.
- [24] A. Pietsch *Ultraproducte vos Operatoren in Banachräumen*. Math. Nachr. 61 (1974), 123–132.
- [25] J. Roe. *Lectures on Coarse Geometry*. Amer. Math. Soc. 2003.
- [26] K. Saadi. *Some properties of Lipschitz strongly p -summing operators*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 423 (2015), 1410-1426.
- [27] P. Turco and R. Villafañe. *Galois connection between Lipschitz and linear operator ideals and minimal Lipschitz operator ideals*. J. Funct. Anal. 277 (2019), 434–451.
- [28] N. Weaver. *Lipschitz Algebras*, 2nd edition, World Scientific, 2018.

IMAS - UBA - CONICET - PAB I, FACULTAD DE CS. EXACTAS Y NATURALES, UNIVERSIDAD DE BUENOS AIRES, (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

Email address: `nalbarracin@dm.uba.ar`

Email address: `paturco@dm.uba.ar`