

Some Applications of Affine in Velocities Lagrangians in Two-dimensional Systems

José F. Cariñena

Departamento de Física Teórica and IUMA, Universidad de Zaragoza.

50009, Zaragoza, Spain

e-mail: jfc@unizar.es

José Fernández-Núñez

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Oviedo.

33007 Oviedo, Spain

e-mail: nonius@uniovi.es

November 28, 2022

Abstract

The 2-dimensional inverse problem for first-order systems is analysed and a method to construct an affine Lagrangian for such systems is developed. The determination of such Lagrangians is based on the theory of the Jacobi multiplier for the system of differential equations. We illustrate our analysis with several examples of families of forces that are relevant in mechanics, on one side, and of some relevant biological systems, on the other.

MSC: 70F17, 70G45, 70H03

PACS: 02.30.Hq, 02.30.Zz, 02.40.-k, 45.20.-d

Keywords: affine Lagrangians; inverse problems; Jacobi multipliers; Hamiltonian formulation,; mechanical and biological systems

1 Introduction

The time evolution of a system is usually described in classical mechanics by means of systems of second-order ordinary differential equations. Sometimes these equations can be derived from a variational principle, and the solution of the inverse problem in classical mechanics [31, 34, 49, 67, 68], i.e. finding the corresponding variational (or Lagrangian) description, requires then the use of a (regular) Lagrangian function L depending up to the first-order velocities, that is, $L = L(t, q, \dot{q})$, the \dot{q} -dependence normally being at most quadratic (included in a kinetic energy term), although there are Lagrangians of other forms, known as non-standard Lagrangians [20, 30, 36, 59, 65]. In any case, the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are of the desired second-order type except when L is linear or, more generally, affine in the velocities [11, 16], for which actually the equations are of the first-order type.

Although it may seem that the class of Lagrangians that are affine in the velocities scarcely has interest in mechanics, this is not the case, see e.g. [52], and were considered long time ago in the framework of classical field theory [60]. Moreover, every system of second-order differential equations can be converted into a related one of first-order equations by doubling the number of independent variables. Consequently, one can think about the possibility of having such a description in terms of affine in the velocities Lagrangians for a given mechanical system. The problem is now that we have no basic principles from which to derive the affine in velocities Lagrangian (or the corresponding action integral). The only way is to consider it as an ‘inverse variational problem’ of the system of first-order differential equations, and ask whether given a mechanical system with a system of second-order differential equations, one can find an affine in velocities Lagrangian whose Euler-Lagrange equations are equivalent in the above sense to the given system of second-order differential equations. Actually this motivated a geometric analysis of this kind of Lagrangians in the framework of autonomous systems [16], with the aim of studying the inverse problem of Lagrangian mechanics [31, 34, 49, 67] and the theory of non-point symmetries. Almost simultaneously, Faddeev and Jackiw developed a method for the quantization of such singular systems which soon became very popular and received much from many theoretical physicists. This procedure of dealing with such systems is usually referred to as Faddeev-Jackiw (FJ) quantization method [4, 37, 53].

Obviously our interest can be extended to include arbitrary systems of first-order differential equations, not only those coming from systems of second-order differential equations, because systems of first-order differential equations play a relevant rôle in many cases, not only in physics, where many equations are first-order, as in the Dirac equation, but also in other fields as biology dynamics [38, 73], economy and

chemistry. In this article we only consider the simplest case, the 2-dimensional one, that is, the inverse problem of the first-order system on \mathbb{R}^2 for such Lagrangians.

The search for Lagrangians for a single second-order differential equation has received much interest during the last years, see [15, 20, 30, 59, 63, 69, 70]. The main reason for that interest is that the knowledge of first-integrals is very useful to integrate the system and the analysis of the infinitesimal point symmetries of the Lagrangian can be used, via the well known Noether theorem, to find first-integrals. The study of the integrability, as well as linearisability, of a given system is therefore usually based on the existence of such constants of motion (see e.g. the series of papers [23, 24, 25, 26, 27]). Another reason is the search for a Hamiltonian formulation in order to proceed to its possible quantization. We therefore believe that a first-order approach to this problem may also be of interest. The general treatment of the variational inverse problem for systems of first-order equations began with the work of Havas [47]. Santilli also dealt in [66] with this subject and developed what he called Birkhoffian mechanics [6, 75], that he considered as a generalisation of Hamiltonian formalism; see also [49] and references therein. More recent works on a geometric approach to the problem are [9] and [11].

The plan of the paper is the following. In Section 2 the main features of a 2-dimensional affine in velocities Lagrangian are analysed. In order to make the paper more self-contained and to fix notation we summarise some concepts used in the modern geometrical formulation of mechanics (operations with vector fields and differential forms), which can be found in classical textbooks (see e.g. [1, 15, 32]). In Section 3, although we start with a time-dependent dynamical system in $\mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2$ of the Lagrangian type, it is shown that we finally have to deal with a time-dependent dynamical system $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ which actually is Hamiltonian with respect to an appropriate Hamiltonian structure. Section 4 is devoted to analyse the two-dimensional inverse problem for systems of first-order differential equations. As the main result is to be expressed in terms of a Jacobi multiplier of the given system, we first review in Subsection 4.1 the theory of Jacobi multipliers in geometrical terms [2, 3, 10, 18, 19, 21, 28, 33, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 50, 61, 62, 63]. The main result asserts that in order to have a Lagrangian description for a given system of two first-order differential equations it is necessary and sufficient to establish the existence of a Jacobi multiplier for the system. Moreover, these Jacobi multiplier can be used to find constants of motion via Hojman symmetries [19]. The equations determining the multipliers have always a local solution, so this inverse problem has a positive answer; in fact, there are infinitely many (not necessarily gauge-equivalent) Lagrangians for the given system, opening in this way the possibility of finding constants of motion (see e.g. [10, 61, 62]) and alternative Lagrangians [13]. For more information on

the rôle of Jacobi multipliers in integrability and with other approaches to integrability see e.g [55, 56, 57, 58]. In Section 5 we exhibit explicit Lagrangians for some important examples of differential equations, as mechanical systems, and interesting results on biological examples [39], as a generalisation of the Lotka-Volterra model [29, 38, 74] and a host-parasite model [73], are derived from this new perspective and their Hamiltonian functions and a set of canonical variables are also given. Finally in Section 6 we summarise the previous results and the generalisation to systems involving more variables is proposed.

2 Affine Lagrangians on \mathbb{R}^2

The higher order in the velocities terms of the functions usually appearing as Lagrangians of classical mechanical systems are quadratic, and the corresponding systems of Euler-Lagrange equations are systems of second-order differential equations as it happens for more general regular Lagrangians. In Physics it is also frequent to use singular Lagrangians, and constraints are present. An instance of singular Lagrangians are those depending linearly (or, more generally, affinely) on the velocities which give rise to systems of first-order differential equations (see for instance [11, 16] and references therein).

In order to analyse the structure of this kind of Lagrangians, let us consider a general time-dependent Lagrangian system on a configuration space \mathbb{R}^2 described by a Lagrangian function $L \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2)$ which is affine in the velocities. In the local coordinates (t, x, y) in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and the corresponding fibred ones (t, x, y, v_x, v_y) in $\mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2$ such a Lagrangian is of the form

$$L(t, x, y, v_x, v_y) = m_x(t, x, y) v_x + m_y(t, x, y) v_y + H(t, x, y), \quad (2.1)$$

where $m_x, m_y, H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Such a Lagrangian (2.1) can be intrinsically defined by the 1-form on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\lambda = m_x(t, x, y) dx + m_y(t, x, y) dy + H(t, x, y) dt \quad (2.2)$$

by contraction with the total-time derivative operator

$$\mathbf{T} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + v_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

that is, $L = i_{\mathbf{T}}\lambda$, where $dt \in \wedge^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ is the pull-back of the volume form $dt \in \wedge^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $i(d/dt)dt = 1$. Note that \mathbf{T} is a vector field along the natural

projection $\pi : \mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, given by $\pi(t, x, y, v_x, v_y) = (t, x, y)$, while λ is the above mentioned 1-form (2.2) on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$; the contraction $i_{\mathbf{T}}\lambda$ makes sense and it is a real function on the manifold $\mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2$. See [9], [11], and references therein for more details.

The system of Euler-Lagrange equations corresponding to the function $L \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2)$ given by (2.1) is a system of two first-order differential equations that can be written in matrix form as

$$M\dot{X} = W, \quad (2.3)$$

with the matrices

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu \\ -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} w_x \\ w_y \end{pmatrix}$$

being given by

$$\mu = \frac{\partial m_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial m_x}{\partial y}, \quad w_x = \frac{\partial m_x}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad w_y = \frac{\partial m_y}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}. \quad (2.4)$$

Observe that the functions μ , w_x , and w_y are not completely independent because we have, as a direct consequence of definition (2.4),

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(-w_y)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w_x}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Moreover, taking into account that the 2-form $d\lambda$ is given by

$$d\lambda = w_x dt \wedge dx + w_y dt \wedge dy + \mu dx \wedge dy, \quad (2.6)$$

the relation (2.5) expresses nothing but that $d^2\lambda = 0$.

Obviously the only case of interest is the *regular* one, namely the case $\mu \neq 0$ everywhere; then M is invertible and consequently the system (2.3) reads $\dot{X} = M^{-1}W$, that is

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\frac{w_y}{\mu} \\ \dot{y} = \frac{w_x}{\mu} \end{cases}. \quad (2.7)$$

In summary, the system of Euler–Lagrange equations of a Lagrangian L affine in the velocities turns out to be a system of first-order differential equations in normal form.

Let us analyse the above result in geometric terms. The system of differential equations (2.7) determines the integral curves of the vector field

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{w_y}{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{w_x}{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2). \quad (2.8)$$

This vector field and the 2-form $d\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ enjoy the following important properties:

1. The closed 2-form $d\lambda$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ has rank 2, because if $X = C \partial_t + A \partial_x + B \partial_y$, where $A, B, C \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, is in the kernel of $d\lambda$, $i(X) d\lambda = 0$, as we assumed that $\mu \neq 0$ in each point, then,

$$B = C \frac{w_x}{\mu}, \quad A = -C \frac{w_y}{\mu},$$

and therefore, $X = C \Gamma$. Thus, the vector field Γ is the only vector field such that $i(\Gamma)dt = 1$ and $i(\Gamma)d\lambda = 0$; the first one of these two conditions, $i(\Gamma)dt = 1$, means that the time coordinate t is the parameter for the integral curves of Γ , while the second equation is the intrinsic expression of the Euler-Lagrange equations (2.3). Note also that as the dynamics Γ is only determined, up to reparametrization, by $d\lambda$ and not by λ , one can add to λ any closed 1-form $\alpha \in \bigwedge^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, and therefore we can change λ by $\lambda' = \lambda + df$, with $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$; that is to say, the dynamics is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$m_x \mapsto m_x + \partial_x f, \quad m_y \mapsto m_y + \partial_y f, \quad H \mapsto H + \partial_t f. \quad (2.9)$$

2. Let $\omega_0 = dx \wedge dy \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be the ‘natural’ symplectic structure on \mathbb{R}^2 and $\Omega = dt \wedge dx \wedge dy$ be the induced volume form on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ (in the Cartesian coordinates (t, x, y)). The 3-form $dt \wedge d\lambda$ is a volume form too and therefore it is proportional to Ω ; actually, from the expression (2.6) we see that $dt \wedge d\lambda = \mu \Omega$, and by contracting with the vector field Γ both sides of this last relation we immediately get $\mu i(\Gamma)\Omega = d\lambda$. That is to say, although the differential 2-form $\alpha_\Gamma = i(\Gamma)\Omega$ is not closed, the 2-form $\beta_\Gamma = \mu \alpha_\Gamma$ is exact, $\beta_\Gamma = d\lambda$. Note also that the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\mu\Gamma}\Omega$ vanishes; as we will see later on (Section 4), such a property of μ , which is equivalent to $\mathcal{L}_\Gamma(\mu\Omega) = 0$, defines the so called ‘Jacobi multipliers’ for the vector field Γ with respect to the volume form Ω .

Remark 1. It is worth noting that although we have started with a dynamical system on $\mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2$ of the Lagrangian type, we arrive at an equivalent reduced dynamics on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$ given by the vector field (2.8). The Lagrangian dynamics on $\mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{R}^2$ is given by the integral curves of the *first prolongation* Γ^1 of Γ , i.e., the first prolongation of the integral curves of Γ . In this approach, the affine Lagrangian (2.1) is singular, and, consequently, it is subjected to constraints. In fact, the constraints are exactly the equations of motion (2.3). We do not insist on this aspect because more details can be found in [11].

3 Hamiltonian formulation

Now we can analyse whether there exists a, in general time-dependent, Hamiltonian formulation for the dynamical system $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ describing the dynamics of our Lagrangian (2.1) in the regular case $\mu(t, x, y) \neq 0$. The problem is to look for a pair (ω, \tilde{H}) , where $\omega \in \wedge^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a rank two closed 2-form and the function $\tilde{H} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ is such that $i(\Gamma)\omega_{\tilde{H}} = 0$, where $\omega_{\tilde{H}} = \omega + d\tilde{H} \wedge dt$ [1, 64]. For a given Γ the pair (ω, \tilde{H}) may be not unique. When ω is written in Darboux coordinates, i.e. $\omega = dq \wedge dp$, we have a Hamiltonian description with canonical conjugate variables q, p and Hamiltonian function \tilde{H} .

As we already know, $i(\Gamma)dt = 1$ and $i(\Gamma)d\lambda = 0$, so the problem may be reduced to see whether $d\lambda$ can be put in the needed form $\omega + d\tilde{H} \wedge dt$. Recall that $d\lambda$ given by (2.6) is also a rank two closed 2-form, as indicated above, and that by making use of the definition (2.2) it can be expressed as

$$d\lambda = \beta_0 + dH \wedge dt, \quad (3.1)$$

where β_0 is the 2-form $\beta_0 = dm_x \wedge dx + dm_y \wedge dy$, which is also closed and has rank two, as it is straightforward to check. Therefore, the solution of the Hamiltonisation problem is achieved by choosing $\omega = \beta_0$ and $\tilde{H} = H$, so that $\omega_{\tilde{H}} = \omega_H = d\lambda$.

In practical cases, the simplest solution is obtained when considering that we can impose restrictive conditions to the components of the 1-form λ without altering the dynamics: as we know, the 1-form $\lambda' = \lambda + df$, $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, is gauge-equivalent to λ ; when choosing f in such a way that $m_y + \partial_y f = 0$, we have $\lambda' = (m_x + \partial_x f)dx + (H + \partial_t f)dt$. For this reason, the reduced form $\lambda = m_x dx + H dt$ can always be used and then $\omega_H = d\lambda = dx \wedge d(-m_x) + dH \wedge dt$. That means that a possible set of conjugate canonical variables is $q = x$, $p = -m_x$, with Hamiltonian function H .

Remark 2. The formulation we have obtained is not the Hamiltonian counterpart (via the Legendre transformation) on $\mathbb{R} \times T^*\mathbb{R}^2$ of the singular Lagrangian (2.1) we have started with; the dynamical system (2.8) is a time-dependent regular Hamiltonian system $(d\lambda, H)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

4 The inverse problem for first-order systems

We have shown that an affine Lagrangian (2.1) gives rise to the system of first-order differential equations (2.7) and we immediately ask for the inverse problem;

namely, given a system of ordinary first-order differential equations, is there a Lagrangian of type (2.1) whose associated Euler-Lagrange equations are equivalent to that system? In this section we analyse this problem and conclude that, in general, it has always a positive answer; moreover, there are infinitely many affine Lagrangians for a given first-order system. The main result is expressed in terms of the so called Jacobi multipliers [33, 50, 61, 62, 63], a notion which we present in geometrical terms [10, 21].

4.1 Theory of the Jacobi multipliers

Although we present the notion and the basic properties of Jacobi multipliers in the case of \mathbb{R}^3 , the constructions can be easily extended to a multidimensional oriented manifold.

Definition 1 *We say that the nonvanishing function $\mu \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ on the oriented manifold $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2, \Omega)$ is a Jacobi multiplier for the vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with respect to the volume form Ω if the 2-form $\beta_V = \mu i(V)\Omega$ is closed. That is, β_V is locally exact, what means that there exists a locally defined 1-form $\lambda \in \wedge^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\beta_V = d\lambda$.*

Note that as Ω and $\mu\Omega$ are volume forms, and then $d\Omega = d(\mu\Omega) = 0$, the condition defining a Jacobi multiplier can be expressed in terms of Lie derivatives either as $\mathcal{L}_V(\mu\Omega) = 0$ or as $\mathcal{L}_{\mu V}\Omega = 0$, because $\mathcal{L}_V(\mu\Omega) = d(\mu i(V)\Omega) = \mathcal{L}_{\mu V}\Omega$, that is,

$$\operatorname{div}(\mu V) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

where “div” stands for the divergence operator on vector fields associated to the volume form Ω , which is defined by $\mathcal{L}_V\Omega = (\operatorname{div} V)\Omega$, with $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ (see for instance [32]).

In the Cartesian coordinates (t, x, y) of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, if Ω is such that $\Omega = dt \wedge dx \wedge dy$ and

$$V = V_t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + V_x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + V_y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \quad (4.2)$$

we recover the familiar expression for the divergence operator of a vector field

$$\operatorname{div} V = \frac{\partial V_t}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial V_x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V_y}{\partial y}. \quad (4.3)$$

Thus, if the vector field $V \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ is such that $V_t = i(V)dt = 1$, the equation (4.1) for its Jacobi multipliers μ is the partial differential equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\mu V) = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial(\mu V_x)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial(\mu V_y)}{\partial y} = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Taking into account the properties of the div-operator, in particular $\operatorname{div}(fV) = f \operatorname{div} V + V(f)$, because

$$\mathcal{L}_{fV}\Omega = \mathcal{L}_V(f\Omega) = V(f)\Omega + f\mathcal{L}_V\Omega = (V(f) + f \operatorname{div} V)\Omega,$$

and the fact that $V(\log f) = V(f)/f$ (with f a positive function), we see that the relation (4.1) between μ and V can be written as

$$V(\mu) + \mu \operatorname{div} V = 0, \quad (4.5)$$

or equivalently as

$$V(\log \mu) + \operatorname{div} V = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

From here, one can immediately derive several properties of the multipliers:

- 1) The Jacobi multipliers for divergence-free vector fields are its first-integrals.
- 2) The multiplier for a vector field V is not unique, every two multipliers μ and μ' being related by $\mu' = f\mu$, where f is a first-integral of the vector field V (in the cases of interest f is non-trivial); the corresponding exact 2-forms $d\lambda$ and $d\lambda'$ are related in the same way, $d\lambda' = f d\lambda$.

- 3) Given a function R such that $V(R) = \operatorname{div} V$, then, having in mind that $V(e^{-R}) = -e^{-R}V(R)$, one sees that $\mu = e^{-R}$ is a Jacobi multiplier for V . For instance, in the particular case of $\operatorname{div} V = \nu(t)$, the function $\mu(t) = \exp\left(-\int^t \nu(t') dt'\right)$ is a Jacobi multiplier for V , because $V\left(\int^t \nu(t') dt'\right) = \nu(t) = \operatorname{div} V$.

Finally, we point out some additional properties. As $\Omega = dt \wedge dx \wedge dy$ is a volume form on a 3-dimensional space, we can replace the vector field V (4.2) by the 2-form $\alpha_V = i(V)\Omega = V_t dx \wedge dy + V_x dy \wedge dt + V_y dt \wedge dx$. If μ is a Jacobi multiplier, then the contractions with V of the (locally) exact 2-forms α_V and $\beta_V = \mu \alpha_V$ vanish trivially, i.e. $i(V)\beta_V = 0$, what means that β_V is an absolute integral invariant [1, 10] of V because also $d\beta_V = 0$.

For a vector field such that $i(V)dt = 1$, the volume form Ω is such that $\Omega = dt \wedge \alpha_V$. To prove this identity it is enough to observe that Ω and $dt \wedge \alpha_V$ are both 3-forms on a 3-dimensional manifold, so they are proportional, and, as $i(V)\alpha_V = 0$, the

condition $V_t = 1$ immediately yields the identity. It can also be checked by using the corresponding local expressions. Moreover, the 2-form α_V also satisfies the identities $\mathcal{L}_V \Omega = d\alpha_V$ and $\mathcal{L}_V \alpha_V = (\operatorname{div} V) \alpha_V$, because $\mathcal{L}_V \alpha_V = \mathcal{L}_V(i(V)\Omega) = i(V)\mathcal{L}_V \Omega = \operatorname{div} V \alpha_V$.

4.2 The inverse problem on \mathbb{R}^2

Let us now consider the inverse problem on \mathbb{R}^2 . Given a non-autonomous system of ordinary first-order differential equations on \mathbb{R}^2

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = X(t, x, y) \\ \dot{y} = Y(t, x, y) \end{cases}, \quad (4.7)$$

we can consider an associated system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dt}{ds} = 1 \\ \frac{dx}{ds} = X(t, x, y) \\ \frac{dy}{ds} = Y(t, x, y) \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

whose solutions are the integral curves of the vector field on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + X(t, x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Y(t, x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2). \quad (4.9)$$

The existence of a Hamiltonian description for the system was carried out in [71, 72] and it was related to the determination of a Jacobi multiplier for the vector field (4.9). We want to study here the inverse problem of Lagrangian mechanics for this system. In other words, Is there a Lagrangian $L(t, x, y, v_x, v_y)$ affine in the velocities whose system of Euler-Lagrange equations is equivalent to the system (4.7)? Once an affine Lagrangian has been found, we have also a Hamiltonian formulation (see the previous section). The answer to this question is given by the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *Given a vector field $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ as in (4.9), then there exists a 1-form $\lambda \in \wedge^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ defining an affine in velocities Lagrangian $L = i_{\mathbf{T}} \lambda$ giving rise to the first-order system (4.7) if, and only if, there exists a Jacobi multiplier μ for Γ with respect to the volume form $\Omega = dt \wedge dx \wedge dy$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$. In this case, the 1-form λ is such that $d\lambda = \mu i(\Gamma)\Omega$.*

Proof. If Γ derives from the affine Lagrangian $L = i_{\mathbf{T}}\lambda$, where $\lambda \in \bigwedge^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, then $i(\Gamma)d\lambda = 0$. As we have pointed out in Section 2, $dt \wedge d\lambda = \mu\Omega$, where the proportionality factor μ is given by the first expression in (2.4). Then, by contraction with the vector field Γ we immediately get $d\lambda = \mu i(\Gamma)\Omega$. Conversely, if μ is a Jacobi multiplier for the vector field Γ , then there exists a 1-form λ such that $d\lambda = \mu i(\Gamma)\Omega$ and hence $i(\Gamma)d\lambda = 0$, which means that Γ is of the Lagrangian type, with associated 1-form λ , i.e. $L = i_{\mathbf{T}}\lambda$.

It is clear that every Jacobi multiplier for Γ gives rise to various (gauge-equivalent) Lagrangians. In fact, a given multiplier μ determines the cohomology class of 1-forms $\lambda + df$, with $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, and the Lagrangians determined by λ and $\lambda + df$ are gauge-equivalent, $L' = L + df/dt$. The gauge-equivalence imposes some restrictive conditions (2.2) on the coefficients m_x , m_y and H .

On the other hand, given two different multipliers μ and μ' the function f such that $\mu' = f\mu$ is a first-integral of Γ , as pointed out previously. Then $d\lambda' = f d\lambda$ and if f is not a constant function the respective Lagrangians L and L' are (solution-) equivalent but not gauge-equivalent Lagrangians (see e.g. [14]).

In conclusion, there are infinitely many affine Lagrangians for the system (4.7) or the vector field (4.9), a result also reflected in the system of PDE which must satisfy the coefficients m_x , m_y and H (see (4.10) below).

Now, in order to find an affine Lagrangian for a vector field Γ given by (4.9) we can devise a specific procedure by using the result of the theorem. We proceed along the following steps:

1) Find a particular solution of the PDE corresponding to (4.4) for the Jacobi multiplier μ , i.e. (4.4) with $V_x = X$ and $V_y = Y$.

2) Once such a particular solution μ has been found, we have to look for the coefficients m_x , m_y and H to build the 1-form $\lambda = m_x dx + m_y dy + H dt$ such that $d\lambda = \mu i(\Gamma)\Omega$. At this stage we firstly construct the 2-form $\beta_\Gamma = \mu i(\Gamma)\Omega = \mu(dx \wedge dy + Y dt \wedge dx - X dt \wedge dy)$ and then the condition $\beta_\Gamma = d\lambda$ gives the system of linear PDE for these coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial m_y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial m_x}{\partial y} = \mu \\ \frac{\partial m_x}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \mu Y \\ \frac{\partial m_y}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} = -\mu X \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

Assuming that the needed regularity conditions are fulfilled, this system has always

solution (Cauchy–Kovaleski theorem) but no general method to solve it does exist, of course.

However, we only need one particular solution of (4.10) and the gauge-invariance property of the Lagrangian allows us to impose additional restrictive conditions to the m -coefficients in order to simplify the final expression of L . In several of the following examples, we look for a solution such that $m_x \neq 0$, $m_y = 0$, and $H \neq 0$, as indicated at the end of Section 3. If such a solution does exist, every Lagrangian related to this Jacobi multiplier μ is gauge-equivalent to the Lagrangian $L_1 = m_x v_x + H$, i.e. $L = L_1 + df/dt$ for some function $f = f(t, x, y)$.

5 Applications to mechanical and biological systems

To solve an inverse problem for a given t -dependent vector field Γ as in (4.9) one must first look for a Jacobi multiplier μ , that is, a particular solution of the equation corresponding to (4.4) with $V_x = X$ and $V_y = Y$ for $V = \Gamma$. There are methods for the determination of Jacobi multipliers, in particular when the vector field is of a polynomial type (see e.g. [12, 17] for two recent examples). Once a multiplier μ has been found, we have to look for a 1-form λ such that $d\lambda = \beta_\Gamma = \mu(dx \wedge dy + Y dt \wedge dx - X dt \wedge dy)$, which can be done by direct inspection or by solving (4.10). Then, we can construct the Lagrangian and Hamiltonian descriptions according to Sections 2 and 3. In the following applications, the volume form $\Omega = dt \wedge dx \wedge dy$ is always understood.

5.1 Mechanical systems

Usual mechanical systems are described by a second-order differential equation (a SODE) $\ddot{x} = F(t, x, \dot{x})$, where F is the total force. Denoting the basic coordinates by (t, x, v) , this differential equation has associated a system of first-order differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = v \\ \dot{v} = F(t, x, v) \end{cases}, \quad (5.1)$$

and according to (4.9) the equivalent vector field $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ is

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F(t, x, v) \frac{\partial}{\partial v}. \quad (5.2)$$

If $\Omega = dt \wedge dx \wedge dv$, $\text{div } \Gamma = \partial F / \partial v$ and the equation (4.6) for the Jacobi last multiplier μ is

$$\Gamma(\log \mu) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

It is evident in this expression that that for v -independent forces the Jacobi multipliers are but the first-integrals. Consider therefore as a first example the particular case of a velocity-independent force, $F = F(t, x)$. Thus the equation (5.3) is $\Gamma(\log \mu) = 0$, and then any real constant is a Jacobi multiplier. The simplest choice, $\mu = 1$, gives

$$\beta_\Gamma = \alpha_\Gamma = dx \wedge dv - v dt \wedge dv + F(t, x) dt \wedge dx,$$

and then, we see that

$$\beta_\Gamma = d \left(-v dx - \left(\int^x F(t, z) dz - \frac{v^2}{2} \right) dt \right).$$

Consequently, $\beta_\Gamma = d\lambda$, with

$$\lambda = -v dx + \left(\frac{v^2}{2} - \int^x F(t, z) dz \right) dt,$$

from where we see that m_x , m_v and H in (2.2) are given by

$$m_x = -v, \quad m_v = 0, \quad H = \frac{v^2}{2} - \int^x F(t, z) dz, \quad (5.4)$$

and the Lagrangian $L = i_\Gamma \lambda$ is then

$$L(t, x, v, \dot{x}, \dot{v}) = -v \dot{x} + \frac{v^2}{2} - \int^x F(t, z) dz. \quad (5.5)$$

Here, and in all the examples of mechanical type, the ‘velocities’ of x and v are directly denoted, as usual, by \dot{x} and \dot{v} , respectively.

It is an easy task to check that (5.4) is a solution of the system (4.10), where y is v , $\mu = 1$, $X = v$, and $Y = F(t, x)$. It is also easy to derive from the affine Lagrangian (5.5) that we have found the system of first-order equations of motion (5.1) equivalent to the second-order differential equation $\ddot{x} = F(t, x)$. The Lagrangian energy is $E_L = \frac{v^2}{2} - \int^x F(t, z) dz$, that in general is not a conserved quantity.

As far as the Hamiltonian formulation is concerned, from the expression of λ we have $\omega_H = d\lambda = dx \wedge dv + dH \wedge dt$, so that the set of canonical conjugate variables is, up to a canonical transformation, $q = x$, $p = v$. The Hamiltonian function is written

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2} - \int^q F(t, z) dz.$$

The inverse problem for forces that may depend on the velocities clearly plays an interesting rôle, as it is next illustrated. So, as a second example, generalising the first one, consider the family of mechanical systems with force function of the form

$$F(t, x, v) = k(t)v + \varphi(t, x),$$

with $k(t)$ and $\varphi(t, x)$ being arbitrary functions. In this case, for Γ given by (5.2), $\text{div} \Gamma = k(t)$, and then forces of this type admit as solution of equation (5.3) the multiplier

$$\mu(t) = \exp\left(-\int^t k(z) dz\right), \quad (5.6)$$

as pointed out above (§4.1). A solution of the system (4.10) is

$$m_x = -\mu(t)v, \quad m_v = 0, \quad H = \mu(t) \left(\frac{v^2}{2} - \int^x \varphi(t, z) dz \right).$$

Then, the affine Lagrangian is

$$L(t, x, v, \dot{x}, \dot{v}) = \exp\left(-\int^t k(z) dz\right) \left(-v\dot{x} + \frac{v^2}{2} - \int^x \varphi(t, z) dz \right). \quad (5.7)$$

Choosing $q = x$ and $p = v \exp\left(-\int^t k(z) dz\right)$ as canonical conjugate coordinates, the Hamiltonian function is

$$H(t, q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2} \exp\left(\int^t k(z) dz\right) - \exp\left(-\int^t k(z) dz\right) \int^q \varphi(t, z) dz.$$

As a particular instance we can consider a damped harmonic oscillator $\ddot{x} = -\omega^2 x - 2b\dot{x}$, with $b > 0$, $\omega > 0$, for which the affine Lagrangian

$$L(t, x, v, \dot{x}, \dot{v}) = e^{2bt} \left(-v\dot{x} + \frac{1}{2}(v^2 + \omega^2 x^2) \right) \quad (5.8)$$

is obtained. The Lagrangian energy $E_L = -\frac{1}{2}e^{2bt}(v^2 + \omega^2 x^2)$ is not conserved. Passing to the Hamiltonian description, $\omega_H = d\lambda = dx \wedge d(v e^{2bt}) + dH \wedge dt$, so that a set of canonical variables is provided by $q = x$ and $p = v e^{2bt}$, with Hamiltonian function $H(t, q, p) = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 e^{-2bt} + \omega^2 e^{2bt} q^2)$, which corresponds to Bateman [5] and Caldirola [8] formulations of dissipative harmonic oscillator (see also [64]).

Incidentally, this result can be generalised for the 3-dimensional isotropic harmonic oscillator with a linear damping, described by the equation

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} + 2b\dot{\mathbf{r}} + \omega^2 \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (5.9)$$

and which is equivalent to three independent equally damped harmonic oscillators (the normal modes). The Lagrangian is now

$$L = e^{2bt} \left(-\mathbf{v} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \mathbf{r}^2 \right). \quad (5.10)$$

This very simple derivation is to be compared with others previous arguments as, for instance, the one given by Havas [47].

The Lane-Emden equation is also an interesting example of this type of forces, appearing, among other sources, from the Poisson equation for the gravitational field of fluids with a spherical symmetry in a hydrostatic equilibrium and that appears in many other different contexts. For instance, it is used in astrophysics [22] for modeling star as a symmetric star of gases in equilibrium under its own weight. In the case of a polytropic state equilibrium, the Lane-Emden equation is

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{2}{t}\dot{x} + x^n = 0, \quad (5.11)$$

and therefore it admits the Lagrangian

$$L(t, x, v, \dot{x}, \dot{v}) = t^2 \left(-v \dot{x} + \frac{v^2}{2} + \frac{x^{n+1}}{n+1} \right). \quad (5.12)$$

This result can be extended to its obvious generalisation, the Emden equations $\ddot{x} + a(t)\dot{x} + b(t)x^n = 0$, as for instance, the Bessel equation.

The Hamiltonian formulation is achieved by choosing $q = x$, $p = v t^2$ as canonical variables, and the Hamiltonian function is

$$H(t, q, p) = \frac{p^2}{2t^2} + t^2 \frac{q^{n+1}}{n+1},$$

the same result as the one given in [72].

Further generalisation of the previous cases leads us to consider forces F of the form

$$F(t, x, v) = A(t, x) + B(t, x)v + C(t, x)v^2, \quad (5.13)$$

which admit a v -independent multiplier $\mu(t, x)$ when there exists a function $\varphi(t, x)$ such that $d\varphi = B dt + 2C dx$, and then the multiplier and the force are just $\mu = e^{-\varphi}$ and $F = A(t, x) + (\partial\varphi/\partial t)v + (1/2)(\partial\varphi/\partial x)v^2$, a result already obtained by Jacobi, as indicated in [63].

With the general prescription, we find that an affine Lagrangian for this family of forces is

$$L(t, x, v, \dot{x}, \dot{v}) = e^{-\varphi(t, x)} \left(\frac{v^2}{2} - v \dot{x} \right) - \int^x e^{-\varphi(t, z)} A(t, z) dz. \quad (5.14)$$

As an application of this result, let us consider the second-order differential equation

$$\ddot{x} = \frac{3\dot{x}^2}{x} + \frac{\dot{x}}{t}, \quad x \neq 0, \quad (5.15)$$

derived by Buchdahl in General Relativity [7], for which $A = 0$, $\varphi(t, x) = \log(tx^6)$ and $\mu = 1/(tx^6)$. Consequently,

$$L(t, x, v, \dot{x}, \dot{v}) = \frac{1}{tx^6} \left(\frac{v^2}{2} - v \dot{x} \right). \quad (5.16)$$

Additionally, we can reverse the meaning of the equation (5.3) by fixing the form of the the Jacobi multipliers and asking for the family of forces whose associated second-order differential equations admit such functions as a Jacobi multipliers.

The trivial case of the multiplier being a real constant, for instance $\mu = 1$, demands a v -independent force $F = \varphi(t, x)$ and it has been studied as a first example. The case in which the Jacobi multiplier μ is a function only of t was studied in 3) of Subsection 4.1, and then the equation (5.3) reduces to

$$\frac{d \log \mu}{dt} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = 0,$$

which shows that

$$F(t, x, v) = k(t)v + \varphi(t, x), \quad (5.17)$$

with φ being an arbitrary function and $k(t) = -d(\log \mu)/dt$. Conversely, a Jacobi multiplier for the force (5.17) is given by $\mu(t) = \exp\left(-\int^t k(\zeta)d\zeta\right)$. This is the second case we have studied above.

When we consider the particular case for which the function μ only depends on x and look for the forces F admitting such a multiplier, as the equation (5.3) reduces to

$$v \frac{d \log \mu}{dx} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = 0,$$

we see from here that F must be of the form

$$F(t, x, v) = k(x) v^2 + \varphi(t, x), \quad (5.18)$$

where φ is an arbitrary function and $2k(x) = -d(\log \mu)/dx$. The multiplier for a force like (5.18) is of the form

$$\mu(x) = \exp\left(-2 \int^x k(\zeta) d\zeta\right). \quad (5.19)$$

This is a particular case of those of (5.13) that we have studied before.

The case of a multiplier that depends only on the velocity v can be analysed along the same lines. In this case the equation (5.3) is

$$F \frac{d \log \mu}{dv} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = 0,$$

or written in a different way,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\log \mu + \log F) = 0,$$

and then the force F which admits such a multiplier $\mu(v)$ must be of the form

$$F(t, x, v) = \varphi(t, x) \Phi(v), \quad (5.20)$$

with $\Phi(v) = 1/\mu(v)$ and $\varphi(t, x)$ an arbitrary function.

For a v -independent multiplier, $\mu = \mu(t, x)$, the equation (5.3) is

$$\frac{\partial \log \mu}{\partial t} + v \frac{\partial \log \mu}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = 0,$$

and one obtains that the forces F admitting such a multiplier are those of the form

$$F(t, x, v) = A(t, x) + B(t, x)v + C(t, x)v^2, \quad (5.21)$$

where $A(t, x)$ is an arbitrary function, and

$$B(t, x) = -\frac{\partial \log \mu}{\partial t}, \quad C(t, x) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \log \mu}{\partial x}.$$

That is, B and C are functions satisfying the relation $\partial_x B = 2\partial_t C$, which is equivalent to say that the 1-form $B dt + 2C dx$ is closed (i.e. locally exact), and then there exists a locally defined function $\varphi(t, x)$ such that $d\varphi = B dt + 2C dx$. Therefore this is the case studied in (5.13), and then a Jacobi multiplier is $\mu = e^{-\varphi}$ and the force,

$$F = A(t, x) + \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial t} v + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} v^2,$$

a result already obtained by Jacobi, as indicated in [63].

The same procedure can be applied to other cases, as, for instance, a multiplier of the form $\mu(t, v) = a(t)b(v)$, for which the equation (5.3) is

$$\frac{\dot{a}}{a} + F \frac{b'}{b} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} = 0,$$

a nonhomogeneous linear differential equation which can be integrated and we find the family of forces

$$F(t, x, v) = B(v) \left(\varphi(t, x) + A(t) \int^v \frac{dz}{B(z)} \right), \quad (5.22)$$

with $A(t) = -\dot{a}/a$, $B(v) = 1/b(v)$, and φ being an arbitrary function of t and x .

5.2 Biological systems

We can give a mathematical formulation in order to the study the evolution of certain biological populations of two competing species as a system of first-order differential equations in the plane, so that they admit Lagrangian and Hamiltonian descriptions. This can now be illustrated by means of two examples: a generalisation of the Lotka-Volterra model [74] and a host-parasite model [73].

(1) Consider first the 2-dimensional system of nonlinear differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x(A + Bx + Cy) \\ \dot{y} = y(K + Mx + Ny) \end{cases}, \quad (5.23)$$

where A, B, C, K, \dots , are constant parameters and the domain of interest is the region of \mathbb{R}^2 where $x > 0$ and $y > 0$. The model described by (5.23) it is frequently found

in analysing the behaviour of biological interacting species, the parameters A, B, \dots , being the growth and interaction (encounters) coefficients; for instance, in the Lotka-Volterra model for the evolution of a predator-prey system assuming logistic growth for preys (x) when there are no predators (y), the coefficients A and M are positive, B, C and K negative, and $N = 0$ [51]. The equations (5.23) are of the first-order already [51] and the associated vector field is

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + x(A + Bx + Cy)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y(K + Mx + Ny)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}. \quad (5.24)$$

Therefore, as $\text{div } \Gamma = A + 2Bx + Cy + K + 2Ny + Mx$, the equation corresponding to (4.4) for the Jacobi multiplier is

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + x(A + Bx + Cy)\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} + y(K + Mx + Ny)\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} + \mu(A + K + (2B + M)x + (C + 2N)y) = 0.$$

A simple solution μ , valid no matter the value of the constants A, B, \dots , can be easily achieved by assuming that the multiplier is of the form

$$\mu(t, x, y) = e^{rt} x^p y^q, \quad (5.25)$$

that is, such that $\partial_t \mu = r\mu$, $x\partial_x \mu = p\mu$ and $y\partial_y \mu = q\mu$. The needed exponents p, q and r are solution of the linear system

$$\begin{cases} Bp + Mq + 2B + M = 0 \\ Cp + Nq + 2N + C = 0 \\ r + A(p + 1) + K(q + 1) = 0 \end{cases}. \quad (5.26)$$

When $\Delta = BN - CM \neq 0$ the solution is unique and with the resulting multiplier we get, by solving the system (4.10), the particular solution

$$m_x = -\frac{e^{rt} x^p y^{q+1}}{q+1}, \quad m_y = 0, \quad H = \frac{e^{rt} x^{p+1} y^{q+1}}{q+1} \left(A + Bx + C \frac{q+1}{q+2} y \right), \quad (5.27)$$

a solution that obviously is only valid when $(q+1)(q+2) \neq 0$.

In this way we obtain an affine Lagrangian for the 2-dimensional system (5.23):

$$L = \frac{e^{rt} x^{p+1} y^{q+1}}{q+1} \left(-\frac{v_x}{x} + A + Bx + C \frac{q+1}{q+2} y \right). \quad (5.28)$$

The connection between the parameters A, B, C, K, M and N and the exponents r, p, q is given by (5.26).

Note that the classical Lotka–Volterra system, that is, the predator-prey system with exponential growth [51], corresponds to put $B = N = 0$ in (5.23), then $p + 1 = q + 1 = 0$ and $r = 0$. The equation (5.25) gives then the following multiplier

$$\mu = \frac{1}{xy}, \quad (5.29)$$

but now (5.27) and (5.28) are not valid and it is necessary to analyse this case separately. The right expressions for m_x and H are now

$$m_x = -\frac{\log y}{x}, \quad H = -K \log x - Mx + A \log y + Cy.$$

In this way, we obtain a Lagrangian for the general 2-dimensional Lotka–Volterra system, by taking $m_y = 0$ once again:

$$L(t, x, y, v_x, v_y) = -\frac{\log y}{x} v_x - K \log x - Mx + A \log y + Cy. \quad (5.30)$$

The Lagrangian energy, $E_L = -H = K \log x + Mx - A \log y - Cy$, is conserved. There are other solutions with the same multiplier but every one differing from each other by a total time derivative [38].

As for the other previous examples, we can give a Hamiltonian formulation the Lotka–Volterra system: the canonical conjugate variables are $\tilde{q} = x$ and $\tilde{p} = (\log y)/x$, with Hamiltonian function $H(\tilde{q}, \tilde{p}) = -K \log \tilde{q} - M\tilde{q} + A\tilde{p}\tilde{q} + Ce^{\tilde{p}\tilde{q}}$.

(2) Another interesting example is the one given by the nonlinear system of differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x(A - By) \\ \dot{y} = y\left(C - D\frac{y}{x}\right) \end{cases},$$

known as the “host-parasite” model [73]. The region of interest is again $x > 0$, $y > 0$, and A, B, C and D are positive real constants. The relevant vector field is

$$\Gamma = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + x(A - By)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\left(C - D\frac{y}{x}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$

and as $\operatorname{div} \Gamma = A - By + C - 2D\frac{y}{x}$, the equation (4.4) for multiplier results

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial t} + x(A - By)\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial x} + y\left(C - D\frac{y}{x}\right)\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial y} + \mu\left(A - By + C - 2D\frac{y}{x}\right) = 0.$$

As in the preceding example, we can look for a solution like $\mu = e^{rt}x^py^q$, and the resulting multiplier is, up to a constant factor,

$$\mu = \frac{e^{Ct}}{xy^2}.$$

Writing the equations (4.10) we find for the coefficients m and the function H

$$m_x = \frac{e^{Ct}}{xy}, \quad m_y = 0, \quad H = -e^{Ct} \left(\frac{D}{x} + \frac{A}{y} + B \log y \right),$$

so that an affine Lagrangian is

$$L(t, x, y, v_x, v_y) = e^{Ct} \left(\frac{v_x}{xy} - \frac{D}{x} - \frac{A}{y} - B \log y \right).$$

Other Lagrangians with the same multiplier can be easily found, all of them being gauge-equivalent. For example, the affine Lagrangian we have obtained differs from the one given in [73], but they are gauge-equivalent.

With canonical variables $q = x$ and $p = -m_x = -e^{Ct}/(xy)$ and Hamiltonian

$$H = e^{Ct} \left(-\frac{D}{q} + Ae^{-Ct}pq - BCt + B \log |pq| \right),$$

the dynamical equations are recovered as $\dot{q} = \partial_p H$, $\dot{p} = -\partial_q H$.

6 Summary and outlook

We have analysed the 2-dimensional inverse problem for first-order systems and devised a method to construct an affine Lagrangian for every system of two first-order ordinary differential equations. A time-dependent Hamiltonian formulation for the dynamical system $\Gamma \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ describing the dynamics of our Lagrangian (2.1) is also studied in the regular case $\mu(t, x, y) \neq 0$. The construction of the affine Lagrangian is based on the knowledge of a Jacobi multiplier for the given system of differential equations, with respect to the usual volume form. Of course the Lagrangian so obtained is singular. The method is particularly suitable for the case of the first-order systems equivalent to a single second-order differential equation, typically those describing the evolution of mechanical systems, and the theory has been illustrated with several examples, depending on the explicit form of the forces.

We have also reversed the method and found, in the case of mechanical systems, the family of forces admitting a given function as a multiplier. This point of view is fruitful and produces new examples of affine Lagrangians.

It is also remarkable that the method is equally applicable to systems of first-order differential equations used in the mathematical modeling of interacting biological species [73] and several examples, as a generalisation of the Lotka-Volterra model and a host-parasite model, have explicitly been developed in Subsection 5.2.

We think that the generalisation to higher dimensional cases would be of interest. We give only a summary of the theoretical results generalising the 2-dimensional case we have treated, and mention a pair of 4-dimensional examples.

An affine Lagrangian on a $2n$ -dimensional manifold M (for instance, \mathbb{R}^{2n}) is a differentiable function L locally given by

$$L = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i(t, x) v^i + H(t, x), \quad x = (x^1, \dots, x^n), \quad (6.1)$$

where (t, x^i) , $i = 1, \dots, 2n$, are a system of local coordinates on $\mathbb{R} \times M$ and (t, x^i, v^i) the corresponding fibred ones on $\mathbb{R} \times TM$, and $m_i, H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R} \times M)$.

As in the 2-dimensional case, the function L is obtained by contraction of the 1-form $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i dx^i + H dt$ with the time-derivative operator $\mathbf{T} = \partial_t + \sum_{i=1}^n v^i \partial_{x^i}$.

The corresponding system of Euler-Lagrange equations is the system of first-order differential equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \mu_{ij} v^j = w_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\mu_{ij} = \partial_{x^i} m_j - \partial_{x^j} m_i$ and $w_i = \partial_t m_i - \partial_{x^i} H$; they are the obvious generalisations of (2.7) and (2.4) and we are interested only in the ‘regular’ case, namely, when the matrix μ is regular. The equations (6.2) are sometimes known as the Birkhoff’s equations, see e.g. [54, 66].

The inverse problem is formulated in the same terms as before: given the vector field $\Gamma = \partial_t + X^i \partial_{x^i} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbb{R} \times M)$, is there an affine Lagrangian (6.1) whose system of Euler–Lagrange equations is equivalent to the first-order system $\dot{x}^i = X^i(t, x)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$? The necessary and sufficient condition is the existence of a non-degenerate exact invariant integral 2-form α for Γ , that is, a non-degenerate 2-form $\alpha \in \bigwedge^2(\mathbb{R} \times M)$ such that $d\alpha = 0$ and $i(\Gamma)\alpha = 0$.

If in the local coordinates (t, x) the 2-form α is

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n A_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j + \sum_{i=1}^n B_i dt \wedge dx^i,$$

with the matrix (A_{ij}) being skew-symmetric, these conditions are expressed by means of $\det A \neq 0$, $B_i = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} X^j$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the following system of linear PDE that the A -coefficients must satisfy:

$$\frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial t} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left(X^k \frac{\partial A_{ij}}{\partial x^k} + A_{kj} \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^i} + A_{ik} \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^j} \right) = 0, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, 2n. \quad (6.3)$$

The main problem is now to find a particular solution of (6.3) for the $n(2n - 1)$ skew-symmetric coefficients A_{ij} , a problem that might be a tough task in practical cases. After that, it is necessary to find the 1-form λ that integrates α and construct the affine Lagrangian $L = i_{\mathbf{T}}\lambda$. For instance, the system of two second-order differential equations $\ddot{x} = -\dot{y}$, $\ddot{y} = -y$, a non-Lagrangian example in Douglas' classification [34], is equivalently represented in the variables $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$, $x_3 = v_x$, $x_4 = v_y$ by the linear first-order system on \mathbb{R}^4

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_3 \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_4 \\ \dot{x}_3 = -x_4 \\ \dot{x}_4 = -x_2 \end{cases}. \quad (6.4)$$

Solving (6.3) for (6.4) requires searching for six A -coefficients. A simple solution all of them being constant does exist and yields various affine Lagrangians, as, for instance,

$$L = (x_2 + x_3)\dot{x}_1 - x_3\dot{x}_4 + \frac{1}{2}(-x_3^2 + x_4^2 - 2x_2x_3), \quad (6.5)$$

which is gauge-equivalent to the Hojman-Urrutia Lagrangian [48, 49], obtained by previous integration of the equation. There are other non gauge-equivalent possibilities, as

$$L_1 = (x_2 + x_3)\dot{x}_1 + (x_2 - x_3)\dot{x}_4 + \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 - x_3^2 + 2x_4^2 - 2x_2x_3). \quad (6.6)$$

Clearly, there is no function f such that $L_1 - L = df/dt$.

Another example we can consider is $\ddot{x} = y^2, \dot{y} = x^2$. We can check that the equivalent first-order system $\dot{x}_1 = x_3, \dot{x}_2 = x_4, \dot{x}_3 = x_2^2, \dot{x}_4 = x_1^2$ admits an affine Lagrangian description with $L = x_4\dot{x}_1 + x_3\dot{x}_2 + (x_1^3 + x_2^3)/3 - x_3x_4$.

As a final example let us consider briefly a more involved example: the 4-dimensional Lotka-Volterra system given by the system of differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_1(-1 + x_2) \\ \dot{x}_2 = x_2(1 - x_1 + ax_3) \\ \dot{x}_3 = x_3(-1 - ax_2 + x_4) \\ \dot{x}_4 = x_4(1 - x_3) \end{cases}, \quad (6.7)$$

with a being a constant parameter, proposed in [35]. A particular solution of equations (6.3) for the six A -coefficients appearing in the expression of the 2-form α is $A_{12} = (x_1x_2)^{-1}$, $A_{14} = a(x_1x_4)^{-1}$, $A_{34} = (x_3x_4)^{-1}$, $A_{13} = A_{23} = A_{24} = 0$, while

$$B_1 = \sum_{j=1}^3 A_{1j}X^j = -1 + \frac{1+a}{x_1}, \quad B_2 = \sum_{j=1}^3 A_{2j}X^j = -1 + \frac{1}{x_2},$$

$$B_3 = \sum_{j=1}^3 A_{3j}X^j = -1 + \frac{1}{x_3}, \quad B_4 = \sum_{j=1}^3 A_{4j}X^j = -1 + \frac{1+a}{x_4},$$

to which it corresponds the affine Lagrangian

$$L = \frac{\log x_1}{x_2}v_2 - \frac{\log x_4}{x_3}v_3 + \frac{\log x_1}{x_4}v_4 + x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4 - \log(x_1^{1+a} x_2 x_3 x_4^{1+a}).$$

It is easy to check that the corresponding Euler-Lagrange equations are equivalent to (6.7).

Author contributions: Investigation, J.F.C. and J.F.N. Both authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript

Funding: This research was supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades project PGC2018-098265-B-C31

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest

References

- [1] Abraham R and Marsden J E 1978 *Foundations of Mechanics*, 2nd. Edition (Benjamin, Reading MA).
- [2] Algaba A, Fuentes N, Gamero E, and Garcia C 2021 On the Integrability Problem for the Hopf-Zero singularity and its relation with the inverse Jacobi multiplier, *Appl. Math. Comp.* **405**, 126241.
- [3] Aziz W, Amen A and Pantazi C 2021 Integrability and linearizability of a family of three-dimensional quadratic systems, *Dyn. Syst.* **36**, 317–331.
- [4] Barcelos-Neto J and Wotzasek C 1992 Faddeev–Jackiw quantization and constraints, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **7**, 4981–5003.
- [5] Bateman H 1931 On dissipative systems and related variational principles, *Phys. Rev.* **38**, 815–819.
- [6] Birkhoff G D 1927 *Dynamical Systems*, AMS College Publ., Providence.
- [7] Buchdahl H A 1964 A relativistic fluid sphere resembling the Emden polytrope of index 5, *Astrophys. J.* **140**, 1512–1515.
- [8] Caldirola P 1941 Forze non conservative nella meccanica quantistica, *Nuovo Cim.* **18**, 393–400.
- [9] Cariñena J F and Fernández-Núñez J 1993 Geometric theory of time-dependent singular Lagrangians, *Forts. der Physik*, **41**, 517–552.
- [10] Cariñena J F and Fernández-Núñez, J 2021 Jacobi multipliers in integrability and the inverse problem of mechanics, *Symmetry* **13**, 1413.
- [11] Cariñena J F, Fernández-Núñez J and Rañada M F 2003 Singular Lagrangians affine in velocities, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36**, 3789–3807.
- [12] Cariñena J F, Guha P and Rañada M F 2009 A geometric approach to higher-order Riccati chain: Darboux polynomials and constants of the motion, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **175**, 012009.
- [13] Cariñena J F and Ibor L A 1983 Non-Noether constants of motion, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **16**, 1–7.
- [14] Cariñena J F and Ibor L A 1985 Geometric Theory of the Equivalence of Lagrangians for Constrained Systems, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **18**, 3335–3341.
- [15] Cariñena J F, Ibor A, Marmo G and Morandi G 2015 *Geometry from Dynamics: Classical and Quantum* (Springer, Dordrecht).

- [16] Cariñena J F, López C and Rañada M F 1988 Geometric Lagrangian approach to first-order systems and applications, *J. Math. Phys.* **29**, 1134–1142.
- [17] Cariñena J F, de Lucas J and Rañada M F 2011 A geometric approach to integrability of Abel differential equations, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **50**, 2114–2124.
- [18] Cariñena J F, de Lucas J and Rañada M F 2015 Jacobi multipliers, non-local symmetries, and nonlinear oscillators *J. Math. Phys.* **56**, 063505.
- [19] Cariñena J F and Rañada M F 2021 Jacobi multipliers and Hojman symmetry, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.* **18**, 2150166.
- [20] Cariñena J F, Rañada M F and Santander M 2005 Lagrangian formalism for nonlinear second-order Riccati systems: one-dimensional integrability and two-dimensional superintegrability, *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 062703.
- [21] Cariñena J F and Santos P 2021 Jacobi multipliers and Hamel’s formalism, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **54**, 225203.
- [22] Chandrasekhar S 1942 *Principles of stellar dynamics* (Univ. Chicago Press, Chicago).
- [23] Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan 2005 On the complete integrability and linearization of certain second-order nonlinear ordinary differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc.A: Math. Phys. Engineering Sci.* **461**, 2451–2476.
- [24] Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan 2006 On the complete integrability and linearization of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. II. Third order equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc.A: Math. Phys. Engineering Sci.* **462**, 1831–1852.
- [25] Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan 2009 On the complete integrability and linearization of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. III. Coupled first-order equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc.A: Math. Phys. Engineering Sci.* **465**, 609–629.
- [26] Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan 2009 On the complete integrability and linearization of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. iv. Coupled second-order differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc.A: Math. Phys. Engineering Sci.* **465**, 585–608.
- [27] Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan 2009 On the complete integrability and linearization of nonlinear ordinary differential equations. v. Linearization of coupled second-order equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc.A: Math. Phys. Engineering Sci.* **465**, 2369–2389.
- [28] Chen Y, Liu Q and Su H 2021 Generalized Hamiltonian forms of dissipative mechanical systems via a unified approach, *J. Geom. Phys.* **160**, 103976.
- [29] Cherniha R and Davydovych V 2022 Construction and application of exact solutions of the diffusive Lotka–Volterra system: A review and new results, *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **113**, 106579.

- [30] Cieśliński J L and Nikiciuk T 2010 A direct approach to the construction of standard and non-standard Lagrangians for dissipative-like dynamical systems with variable coefficients, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 175205.
- [31] Crampin M 1981 On the differential geometry of the Euler-Lagrange equations and the inverse problem in Lagrangian dynamics, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **14**, 2567–2575.
- [32] Crampin M and Pirani F A E 1986 *Applicable Differential Geometry* (University Press, Cambridge).
- [33] Crasmareanu M 2008 Last multipliers as autonomous solutions of the Liouville equation of transport, *Houston J. Math.* **34**, 455–466.
- [34] Douglas J 1941 Solution of the inverse problem of the calculus of variations, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **50**, 71–128.
- [35] Duarte P, Fernandes R L, and Oliva W M. 1998 Dynamics of the Attractor in the Lotka–Volterra Equations, *J. Diff. Eqns* **149**, 143–189.
- [36] El-Nabulsi A R 2013 Nonlinear dynamics with non-standard Lagrangians, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **12**, 273–291.
- [37] Faddeev L and Jackiw R 1988 Hamiltonian reduction of unconstrained and constrained systems, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **60**, 1692–1694.
- [38] Fernández-Núñez J 1998 Lagrangian structure of the two-dimensional Lotka–Volterra system, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **37**, 2457–2462.
- [39] Garai S, Ghose-Choudhury A, and Guha P 2022 On a geometric description of time-dependent singular Lagrangians with applications to biological systems, *Int. J. Geom. Methods Geom. Phys.* **19**, 2250181.
- [40] García I A, Giné J, Llibre J, and Maza S 2021 Vanishing set of inverse Jacobi multipliers and attractor/repeller sets, *Chaos* **31** 013113.
- [41] Ghose Choudhury A, Guha P, and Khanra B 2009 On the Jacobi Last Multiplier, integrating factors and the Lagrangian formulation of differential equations of the Painlevé–Gambier classification, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **360**, 651–664.
- [42] Ghose Choudhury A and Guha P 2012 Application of Jacobi’s last multiplier for construction of Hamiltonians of certain biological systems, *Central Eur. J. Phys.* **10**, 398–404.
- [43] Ghose-Choudhury A and Guha P 2022 Isochronicity Conditions and Lagrangian Formulations of the Hirota Type Oscillator Equations, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **21**, 144.
- [44] Guha P and Ghose-Choudhury A 2011 The role of the Jacobi last multiplier and isochronous systems, *Pramana* **77**, 917–927.

- [45] Guha P and Ghose Choudhury A 2013 The Jacobi Last Multiplier and isochronicity of Liénard equation, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **25**, 1330009.
- [46] Guha P and Ghose Choudhury A 2015 Quantum Liénard II equation and Jacobi last multiplier, *Surveys Math. Appl.* **10**, 1–21.
- [47] Havas P 1973 The connection between conservation laws and invariance groups: folklore, fiction, and facts, *Acta Phys. Austr.* **38**, 145–167.
- [48] Hojman S A and Shepley L C 1971 No Lagrangian? No quantization!, *J. Math. Phys.* **32**, 142–146.
- [49] Hojman S and Urrutia L F 1981 On the inverse problem of the calculus of variations, *J. Math. Phys.* **22**, 1896–1903.
- [50] Jacobi C G J 2009 *Jacobi's Lectures on Dynamics: Second Edition*, Edited by: A. Clebsch (Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi).
- [51] Jones D S, Plank M J and Sleeman B D 2010 *Differential Equations and Mathematical Biology*, Chap. 9 (CRC Press, Boca Raton).
- [52] Jurkowski J 1998 The inverse problem for a linear vector field in thermodynamics, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **41**, 351–360.
- [53] Kulshreshtha D S and Müller-Kirsten H J W 1991 Quantization of systems with constraints: the Faddeev–Jackiw method versus Dirac's method applied to superfields, *Phys. Rev.* **D 43**, 3376–3378.
- [54] Mei F X, Gang T Q and Xie J F 2006 A symmetry and a conserved quantity for the Birkhoff system, *Chinese Phys.* **15**, 1678–1681
- [55] Mohanasubha R, Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan M 2014 Interplay of symmetries, null forms, Darboux polynomials, integrating factors and Jacobi multipliers in integrable second-order differential equations, *Procs. Royal. Soc. A* **470**, 20130656.
- [56] Mohanasubha R, Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan M 2015 Interconnections between various analytic approaches applicable to third-order nonlinear differential equations, *Proc. Roy. Soc.A: Math. Phys. Engineering Sci.* **471**, 720.
- [57] Mohanasubha R, Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan M 2016 Interplay of symmetries and other integrability quantifiers infinite-dimensional integrable nonlinear-dynamical systems. *Proc. Roy. Soc.A: Math. Phys. Engineering Sci.* **472**, 847.
- [58] Mohanasubha R, Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan M, and Lakshmanan M 2019 Interconnections among analytical methods for two-coupled first-order integrable systems, *Indian Acad. Sci. Conf. Series* **2**, 1

- [59] Musielak Z E 2008 Standard and non-standard Lagrangians for dissipative dynamical systems with variable coefficients, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **41**, 055205.
- [60] Newman E and Bergmann P G 1955 Lagrangians linear in the ‘velocities’, *Phys. Rev.* **99**, 587–592.
- [61] Nucci M C 2005 Jacobi Last Multiplier and Lie Symmetries: A Novel Application of an Old Relationship, *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **12**, 284–304.
- [62] Nucci M C and Leach P G L 2008 The Jacobi’s Last Multiplier and its applications in mechanics, *Phys. Scr.* **78**, 065011.
- [63] Nucci M C and Tamizhmani K M 2010 Lagrangians for dissipative nonlinear oscillators: the method of the Jacobi multiplier, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* **17**, 167–178.
- [64] Perlick V 1992 The Hamiltonization problem from a global viewpoint, *J. Math. Phys.* **33**, 599–606.
- [65] Saha A and Talukdar B 2013 On the non-standard Lagrangian equations, arXiv 1301.2667
- [66] Santilli R M 1983 *Foundations of Theoretical Mechanics II* (Springer, New York).
- [67] Sarlet W 1985 The Helmholtz conditions revisited. A new approach to the inverse problem of Lagrangian dynamics, *J. Phys. A:Math. Gen.* **15**, 1503–1517.
- [68] Sarlet W, Thompson G, and Prince G E 2002 The inverse problem of the calculus of variations: the use of geometrical calculus in Douglas’s analysis, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354**, 2897–2919.
- [69] Sinelshchikov D I and Kudryashov N A 2017 On the Jacobi last multipliers and Lagrangians for a family of Liénard-type equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **307**, 257–264.
- [70] Tiwari A K, Pandey S N, Chandrasekar V K, Senthilvelan, and Lakshmanan M 2016 The inverse problem of a mixed Liénard-type nonlinear oscillator equation from symmetry perspective, *Acta Mech.* **227**, 2039–2051.
- [71] Torres del Castillo G F 2006 Hamiltonians and Lagrangians of non-autonomous one-dimensional mechanical systems, *Rev. Mex. Fis.* **52**, 429–432.
- [72] Torres del Castillo G F and Rubalcava García I 2009 The Hamiltonian description of a second-order ODE, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 265202 (9 pp).
- [73] Trubatch S L and Franco A 1974 Canonical Procedure for Population Dynamics, *J. Theor. Biol.* **48**, 299–324.
- [74] Volterra V 1931 *Leçons sur la théorie mathématique de la lutte pour la vie*, Gauthier Villars, Paris.

- [75] Zhang Y 2020 Theory of Generalized Canonical Transformations for Birkhoff Systems, *Adv. Math.Phys.* **2020**, 9482356.