

# An analogue of the Schur-Weyl duality for the automorphisms group of a $\text{II}_1$ -factor

N. I. Nessonov\*, S. D. Sinel'shchikov

## Abstract

An analogue of the Schur-Weyl duality for the group of automorphisms of the approximately finite dimensional (AFD)  $\text{II}_1$ -factor is produced.

*Keywords:* AFD  $\text{II}_1$ -factor, automorphisms group of factor, Schur-Weyl duality.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $M$  be a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor with the separable predual  $M_*$  and  $\text{tr}$  a unique normal trace on  $M$  such that  $\text{tr}(I) = 1$ . The inner product  $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{tr}(b^*a)$  makes  $M$  a pre-Hilbert space. Denote by  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$  its completion. Let  $\text{Aut } M$  be the automorphism group of  $M$  and  $U(M)$  the unitary subgroup of  $M$ . Every  $u \in U(M)$  determines the *inner* automorphism  $\text{Ad } u$  of  $M$ ,  $\text{Ad } u(x) = uxu^*$ . Denote by  $\text{Inn } M$  the subgroup of  $\text{Aut } M$  formed by inner automorphisms.

One has a natural unitary representation  $\mathfrak{N}$  of  $\text{Aut } M$  on the dense subspace  $M$  of  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$  given by

$$\mathfrak{N}(\theta)x = \theta(x), \quad \theta \in \text{Aut } M, \quad x \in M,$$

which is certainly extendable to a representation on  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{N}_I$  the restriction of  $\mathfrak{N}$  to the subgroup  $\text{Inn } M$ .

$\text{Aut } M$ , being embedded as above into the algebra of bounded operators in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$ , becomes a topological group under the strong operator topology. The subspace  $L_0 = \{v \in L^2(M, \text{tr}) : \text{tr}(v) = 0\}$  is  $\mathfrak{N}$ -invariant:  $\mathfrak{N}(\theta)L_0 = L_0$  for all  $\theta \in \text{Aut } M$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The restriction  $\mathfrak{N}_I^0$  of the representation  $\mathfrak{N}_I$  to the invariant subspace  $L_0$  is irreducible.*

With an arbitrary  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $M$  being replaced in the above settings by the algebra of complex  $n \times n$  matrices, Theorem 1 reduces to the well known fact of classical representation theory (see [7], Ch. 3, §17.2, Theorem 2). Thus, in case of the approximately finite dimensional (AFD or hyperfinite) factor  $M$ , an argument based on approximation of  $\text{II}_1$ -factor  $M$  by finite dimensional factors is going to be applicable in proving Theorem 1. However, this theorem in its utmost generality requires a new approach.

---

\*B.Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, n.nessonov@gmail.com

Define a diagonal action  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}$  of  $\text{Aut } M$  on  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes k} = L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  by

$$\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\theta)(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k) = (\mathfrak{N}(\theta)v_1) \otimes (\mathfrak{N}(\theta)v_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathfrak{N}(\theta)v_k).$$

Additionally, the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  acts on  $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  by permutations

$${}^k\mathcal{P}(s)(v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_k) = v_{s^{-1}(1)} \otimes v_{s^{-1}(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{s^{-1}(k)}. \quad (1.1)$$

Since the operators  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\theta)$  and  ${}^k\mathcal{P}(s)$  commute, we obtain a representation  $\mathcal{F}$  of the group  $\text{Aut } M \times \mathfrak{S}_k$ ,  $\mathcal{F}(\theta, s) = \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\theta) \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(s)$ .

Denote by  $\mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}$  and  ${}^k\mathcal{P}_0$  the restrictions of the representations  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}$  and  ${}^k\mathcal{P}$  to the subspace  $L_0^{\otimes k} \subset L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes k}$ .

Recall that the irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  are parameterized by the unordered partitions of  $k$ . Denote the set of all such partitions by  $\Upsilon_k$ . Let  $\lambda \in \Upsilon_k$  and let  $\chi_\lambda$  be the character of the corresponding irreducible representation  $R_\lambda$ . Denote by  $\dim \lambda$  the dimension of  $R_\lambda$ . The operator

$$P^\lambda = \frac{\dim \lambda}{k!} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \chi_\lambda(s) {}^k\mathcal{P}(s) \quad (1.2)$$

is an orthogonal projection in the centre of the  $w^*$ -algebra generated by the operators  $\{\mathcal{F}(\theta, s)\}_{(\theta, s) \in \text{Aut } M \times \mathfrak{S}_k}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{F}_0^\lambda$  the representation  $\mathcal{F}$  restricted to the subspace  $H_0^\lambda = P^\lambda(L_0^{\otimes k})$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M$  be an AFD  $\text{II}_1$ -factor. Then the commutant of the set  $\mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M)$  is generated by  ${}^k\mathcal{P}_0(\mathfrak{S}_k)$ .*

**Corollary 3.** *The representation  $\mathcal{F}_0^\lambda$  of  $\text{Aut } M \times \mathfrak{S}_k$  is irreducible. With different  $\lambda, \zeta \in \Upsilon_k$ , the restrictions of  $\mathcal{F}_0^\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{F}_0^\zeta$  to the subgroup  $\text{Aut } M$  are not quasi-equivalent.*

Representation  ${}^k\mathcal{P}$  can be extended to a representation  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}_k}$  of the symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{J}_k$ , which can realize as a semigroup of  $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices  $a = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^k$  with the ordinary matrix multiplication in such a way that  $a$  has at most one nonzero entry in each row and each column. We denote by  $\epsilon_i$  a diagonal matrix  $[a_{pq}]$  such that  $a_{ii} = 0$  and  $a_{pq} = \delta_{pq}$ , if  $p \neq i$  or  $q \neq i$ . Of course,  $\mathfrak{S}_k \subset \mathcal{J}_k$ . Define operator  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}_k}(\epsilon_i)$  on  $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  as follows

$${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}_k}(\epsilon_i)(\cdots v_{i-1} \otimes v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots) = \text{tr}(v_i)(\epsilon_i)(\cdots v_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes v_{i+1} \cdots).$$

We set  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}_k}(s) = {}^k\mathcal{P}(s)$ , if  $s \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ . Then  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}_k}$  is extended to a representation of the semigroup  $\mathcal{J}_k$ . Using Theorem 2, we prove in section 4 next statement.

**Theorem 4.** *If  $M$  is an AFD  $\text{II}_1$ -factor then the commutant of  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M)$  is generated by  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}_k}(\mathcal{J}_k)$ .*

Using the embedding

$$L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n} \ni m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_n \mapsto m_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_n \otimes \mathbf{I} \in L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes (n+1)},$$

we identify  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$  with the subspace in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes(n+1)}$ . Denote by  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}$  the completion of the pre-Hilbert space  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$ . It is convenient to consider  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$  as the linear span of the vectors

$$v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \cdots, \quad \text{where } v_j \in M.$$

At the same time, we will to identify  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$  with the closure of the linear span of all vectors  $v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes v_{n+1} \otimes \cdots$ , where  $v_i = \mathbf{I}$  for all  $i > n$ . Define the representation  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}$  of group  $\text{Aut } M$  as follows

$$\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\theta)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes \cdots) = (\mathfrak{N}(\theta)v_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (\mathfrak{N}(\theta)v_n) \otimes \cdots.$$

The infinite symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_{\infty}$  acts on  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}$  by permutations

$${}^{\infty}\mathcal{P}(s)(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes \cdots) = v_{s^{-1}(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{s^{-1}(n)} \otimes \cdots, \quad s \in \mathfrak{S}_{\infty}.$$

We prove in section 5 the following statement.

**Theorem 5.** *If  $M$  is an AFD  $\text{II}_1$ -factor then the commutant of  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\text{Aut } M)$  is generated by  ${}^k\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}_{\infty})$ .*

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

Let  $M$  be a  $\text{II}_1$ -factor. Denote by  $B(L^2(M, \text{tr}))$  the algebra of all bounded operators on  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$ . Recall that a  $w^*$ -subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A} \subset M$  is called *masa* (maximal Abelian subalgebra) if  $(\mathfrak{A}' \cap M) = \mathfrak{A}$ , where

$$\mathfrak{A}' = \{b \in B(L^2(M, \text{tr})) \mid ba = ab \text{ for all } a \in \mathfrak{A}\}$$

is the commutant of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}) = \{u \in U(M) : u\mathfrak{A}u^* = u^*\mathfrak{A}u = \mathfrak{A}\}$  be the *normalizer* of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})''$  be the  $w^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ . A masa  $\mathfrak{A}$  is said to be *Cartan* if  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})'' = M$ .

We need the following claim from [15] (p. 242).

**Proposition 6.** *There exists a masa  $\mathfrak{A}$  in  $M$  and an AFD-subfactor  $F$  of  $M$  containing  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Cartan subalgebra of  $M$  and  $F' \cap M = \mathbb{C}I$ .*

It is well known that, in the context of latter Proposition, one can readily find the family  $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of pairwise commuting  $\text{I}_2$ -subfactors  $K_n \subset F$  which generate  $F$ . Fix a system of matrix units  $\{{}^n e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^2 \subset K_n$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{A}_K$  an Abelian  $w^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{{}^r e_{11}, {}^r e_{22}\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ . It is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{A}_K$  is a Cartan subalgebra in  $F$ . Since any two Cartan masas  $\mathfrak{A}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{A}_2$  of  $F$  are conjugate, i. e. there exists  $\theta \in \text{Aut } F$  such that  $\theta(\mathfrak{A}_1) = \mathfrak{A}_2$ , we can assume without loss of generality that the masa  $\mathfrak{A}$  coincides with  $\mathfrak{A}_K$ .

Let  $E$  be a unique *conditional expectation* of  $M$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}$  with respect to  $\text{tr}$  [14]. In particular,  $E$  is the orthogonal projection of the subspace  $L_0$  onto the subspace

$$L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{x \in L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \text{tr}) : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}.$$

We claim that  $E$  belongs to the  $w^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{Aut } M)$ . To see this, consider a family  $\{\Gamma_n\}$  of Abelian finite subgroups of  $\text{Aut } M$ . Namely,  $\Gamma_n$

is generated by the inner automorphisms  $\text{Ad } u$ , with the unitaries  $u$  belonging to the collection  $\{r_{e_{11}} - r_{e_{22}}\}_{r=1}^n$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a masa in  $M$ , one has, in view of Proposition 6, that

$$(\{r_{e_{11}} - r_{e_{22}}\}_{r=1}^\infty)' = \mathfrak{A}. \quad (2.3)$$

Denote by  $E_n$  the orthogonal projection in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$  determined by its values on the dense subset  $M \subset L^2(M, \text{tr})$

$$M \ni x \xrightarrow{E_n} |\Gamma_n|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \gamma(x). \quad (2.4)$$

Since  $E_r \geq E_{r+1}$ , the sequence  $E_r$  converges in the strong operator topology. Let  $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} E_r = \tilde{E}$ . Hence, an application of (2.3) and (2.4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}(x) &\in \mathfrak{A}, \\ \text{tr}(\tilde{E}(x)) &= \text{tr}(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in M, \\ \tilde{E}(axb) &= a\tilde{E}(x)b \quad \text{for all } a, b \in \mathfrak{A}, \quad x \in M. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{E}$  is the conditional expectation onto  $\mathfrak{A}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{E} = E$ . Thus, in view of (2.4),  $E$  belongs to the  $w^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{Inn } M)$ . Therefore,

$$A'L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} \subset L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ for all } A' \in (\mathfrak{N}_I^0(\text{Inn } M))'. \quad (2.5)$$

The uniqueness of conditional expectation implies

$$\text{Ad } u \circ E \circ \text{Ad } u^* = E \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}).$$

This is to be rephrased by claiming that the action of  $\text{Ad } \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$  leaves invariant  $L_0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ :

$$\text{Ad } u(a) \in L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} \text{ for all } a \in L_0^{\mathfrak{A}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}). \quad (2.6)$$

Now to prove Theorem 1, it suffices to demonstrate the following:

- a) the action of  $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A})$ ,  $u \mapsto \text{Ad } u$ , leaves no non-trivial closed subspace of  $L_0^{\mathfrak{A}}$  invariant;
- b) the subspace  $L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} \subset L_0$  is cyclic with respect to  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{Inn } M)$ ; i. e. the smallest closed subspace, containing  $\bigcup_{\theta \in \text{Inn } M} \mathfrak{N}(\theta)L_0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ , is just  $L_0$ .

Let us start with proving **a)**. Consider an arbitrary unitary

$$u \in \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n\}'' ,$$

to be expanded as

$$u = \sum_{j_1, k_1, j_2, k_2, \dots, j_n, k_n=1}^2 u_{j_1 k_1 j_2 k_2 \dots j_n k_n} {}^1 e_{j_1 k_1} {}^2 e_{j_2 k_2} \dots {}^n e_{j_n k_n},$$

where  $u_{j_1 k_1 j_2 k_2 \dots j_n k_n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_{2^n}$  the group of all bijections of the set  $X_n = \{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n), i_r \in \{1, 2\}\}$ . Within our current argument, the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_{2^n}$  is about to be identified with the subgroup

$$\{u \in \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n\}'' \cap U(M) : u_{j_1 k_1 j_2 k_2 \dots j_n k_n} \in \{0, 1\}\} \subset \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}),$$

in terms of the above expansion for  $u \in \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n\}''$ . It is also convenient to denote by  $\mathbf{i}_n$  the multiindex  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ . Clearly, the collection of vectors  $\{\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_n} = {}^1 e_{i_1 i_1} {}^2 e_{i_2 i_2} \dots {}^n e_{i_n i_n}\}$  forms an orthogonal basis of the subspace  $\mathfrak{A}_n = \mathfrak{A} \cap \{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n\}''$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{E}_n$  be the orthogonal projection of  $L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \text{tr})$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}_n$ , and consider a bounded operator  $B' \in (\text{Ad } \mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{A}))'$ . It is clear that  ${}^n B' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathfrak{E}_n B' \mathfrak{E}_n$  belongs to  $(\text{Ad } \mathfrak{S}_{2^n})'$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}^n B' = B' \text{ in the strong operator topology.} \quad (2.7)$$

Hence, denoting the matrix element  $({}^n B' \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_n}, \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{j}_n})$  by  ${}^n B'_{\mathbf{i}_n \mathbf{j}_n}$ , one has

$${}^n B'_{s(\mathbf{i}_n) s(\mathbf{j}_n)} = {}^n B'_{\mathbf{i}_n \mathbf{j}_n} \text{ for all } s \in \mathfrak{S}_{2^n}.$$

Therefore, there exist  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$${}^n B'_{\mathbf{i}_n \mathbf{j}_n} = \begin{cases} \gamma, & \text{if } \mathbf{i}_n \neq \mathbf{j}_n; \\ \delta, & \text{if } \mathbf{i}_n = \mathbf{j}_n. \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$${}^n B' \eta = (\delta - \gamma) \eta \text{ for all } \eta \in L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} \cap \mathfrak{A}_n.$$

Hence, applying (2.7), we obtain that  $B' \eta = (\delta - \gamma) \eta$  for all  $\eta \in L_0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ . This proves **a**).

Turn to proving **b**). It suffices to demonstrate that, given a self-adjoint  $B \in M$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  and  $U \in U(M)$  with the property

$$\|B - UAU^*\| < \epsilon, \text{ where } \|\cdot\| \text{ stands for the operator norm.} \quad (2.8)$$

Choose a positive integer  $n > \frac{\|B\|}{\epsilon}$  and consider the set of reals

$$\Delta_l = \left\{ r \left| \frac{2(l-1)\|B\|}{n} - \|B\| < r \leq \frac{2l\|B\|}{n} - \|B\| \right. \right\}$$

for each  $l = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Let  $E(\Delta_l)$  be the associated spectral projection related to the spectral decomposition of  $B$ . Under this setting, with

$$\alpha_l = \frac{(2l-1)\|B\|}{n} - \|B\|, \quad B_n = \sum_{l=0}^n \alpha_l E(\Delta_l),$$

we conclude that

$$\|B - B_n\| \leq \epsilon. \quad (2.9)$$

One can readily find a family  $(F_l)_{l=0}^n$  of pairwise orthogonal projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\text{tr}(F_l) = \text{tr}(E(\Delta_l))$ . Thus we can also select partial isometries  $u_l \in M$  with the properties  $u_l u_l^* = E(\Delta_l)$  and  $u_l^* u_l = F_l$  for all  $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows that  $U = \sum_{l=0}^n u_l$  is a unitary operator, and with  $A = \sum_{l=0}^n \alpha_l F_l$  the inequality (2.8) holds.

### 3 Proof of theorem 2

Notice first that there exists a family  $\{N_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of pairwise commuting type  $I_k$  subfactors  $N_j \subset M$  generating  $M$ . Let  $M_{jJ} = \left(\{N_l\}_{l=j}^J\right)''$ . Fix a system of matrix units  $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^k \subset N_n$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{A}$  an Abelian  $w^*$ -subalgebra generated by  $\{e_{11}, e_{22}, \dots, e_{kk}\}_{l=1}^\infty$ . One can reproduce here the argument used at the beginning of Section 2 to demonstrate that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Cartan MASA in  $M$ .

#### 3.1 The conditional expectation from $M^{\otimes k}$ onto $\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}$

It is well known that there exists a unique conditional expectation  ${}^k E$  from the  $\Pi_1$ -factor  $M^{\otimes k}$  onto the Cartan MASA  $\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k} \subset M^{\otimes k}$ . Recall that  ${}^k E$  is uniquely determined by the following properties (see [14]):

- 1)  ${}^k E$  is continuous with respect to the strong operator topology and  ${}^k E I = I$ ;
- 2)  ${}^k E(a_1 m a_2) = a_1 {}^k E(m) a_2$  for all  $m \in M^{\otimes k}$  and  $a_1, a_2 \in \mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}$ ;
- 3)  $\text{tr}^{\otimes k}({}^k E m) = \text{tr}^{\otimes k}(m)$  for all  $m \in M^{\otimes k}$ .

We prove below that  ${}^k E$  belongs to  $(\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))''$ .

With  $\mathbf{i}_J = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_J)$ , let  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J}$  stand for the minimal projection

$${}^1 e_{i_1 i_1} {}^2 e_{i_2 i_2} \cdots {}^J e_{i_J i_J}$$

of the algebra  $M_{1J} \cap \mathfrak{A}$ . Let  ${}^n f$  be the embedding of the finite set

$$\mathfrak{J}_J = \{\mathbf{i}_J = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_J)\}_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_J=1}^k$$

into  $\{n+1, n+2, \dots\}$ . Set  ${}^p u = e_{k1} + \sum_{l=1}^{k-1} p e_{l l+1} \in N_p$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Consider the unitary  ${}^J U_n = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J} \cdot {}^p u$ , where  $p = {}^n f(\mathbf{i}_J)$  and  $n > J$ . Then for any  $m \in M$  the sequence  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{Ad}({}^J U_n)) m$  converges in the weak operator topology so that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{N}(\text{Ad}({}^J U_n)) m = E_J(m)$ , with*

$$E_J(m) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J} \cdot m \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J} \in \mathfrak{A}' \cap M_{1J}. \quad (3.10)$$

In particular,  $E_J$  belongs to the  $w^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{N}(\text{Ad } U(M))$ .

*Proof.* Since the algebra  $\bigcup_{Q=1}^\infty M_{1Q}$  is dense in  $M$  in the strong operator topology, one can assume without loss of generality that  $m \in M_{1L}$ , where  $L > J$ . Under this assumption, we have with  $n > L$

$${}^J U_n \cdot m \cdot {}^J U_n^* = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J, \mathbf{r}_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J} \cdot m \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{r}_J} \cdot {}^p u \cdot {}^q u^*,$$

where  $p = {}^n f(\mathbf{i}_J)$ ,  $q = {}^n f(\mathbf{r}_J)$ . Note that with  $\mathbf{i}_J \neq \mathbf{r}_J$  one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}^p u \cdot {}^q u^* = \text{tr}({}^p u \cdot {}^q u^*) I = 0$$

in the weak operator topology. Therefore,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} {}^J U_n \cdot m \cdot {}^J U_n^* = E_J(m)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1.** Clearly,  $E_J$  is an orthogonal projection in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$ . Also, one readily observes that  $E_J \geq E_{J+1}$  for all  $J$ . Hence for any  $m \in L^2(M, \text{tr})$  there exists

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} E_J(m) = E(m).$$

In particular,

$$E(m) = E_J(m) \text{ for all } m \in M_{1J}. \quad (3.11)$$

It is easy to verify that  $E$  is the unique *conditional expectation* of  $M$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}$  with respect to  $\text{tr}$  [14]. On the other hand, **1) – 3)** are valid also for the projection  $E^{\otimes k}$ . The uniqueness of conditional expectation now implies

$${}^k E(m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_k) = E(m_1) \otimes E(m_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes E(m_k) \quad (3.12)$$

for all  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k \in M$ .

**Proposition 8.**  ${}^k E \in (\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))''$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E_J^{\otimes k}(m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} E_J(m_1) \otimes E_J(m_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes E_J(m_k)$ . By Lemma 7,

$$E_J^{\otimes k} \in (\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))'' \quad (3.13)$$

$E_J^{\otimes k}$  is an orthogonal projection in  $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  and  $E_J^{\otimes k} \geq E_L^{\otimes k}$  for all  $L > J$ . It follows that for any  $m \in L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  there exists  $\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} E_J^{\otimes k}(m) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{E}(m) \in M^{\otimes k} \cap (\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k})'$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{E} \in (\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))''$ . An application of (3.10) allows one to verify that **1) – 3)** are valid for  $\tilde{E}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}$  is a MASA in  $M^{\otimes k}$ , we conclude that  $\tilde{E}(M^{\otimes k}) = \mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}$ . Therefore,  $\tilde{E}$  is a conditional expectation from  $M^{\otimes k}$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}$ , hence  $\tilde{E} = {}^k E = E^{\otimes k}$  by (3.12).  $\square$

### 3.2 The operators ${}^k E \cdot \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(u) \cdot {}^k E$ on $L^2(\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$ .

With  $\mathbf{i}_J = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_J)$ ,  $\mathbf{i}'_J = (i'_1, i'_2, \dots, i'_J)$ , denote the partial isometry  ${}^l e_{i_1 i'_1} {}^2 e_{i_2 i'_2} \cdots {}^J e_{i_J i'_J} \in M_{1J}$  by  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J}$ . Given a collection  ${}^l x \in M_{1J}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , we use below the expansion

$${}^l x = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J, \mathbf{i}'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} {}^l c_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J} \in M_{1J}, \text{ where } {}^l c_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

In view of (3.12) one has

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^k E({}^1 x \otimes {}^2 x \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^k x) = E_J({}^1 x) \otimes E_J({}^2 x) \otimes \cdots \otimes E_J({}^k x) \\ & = \left( \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} {}^1 c_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J} \right) \otimes \left( \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} {}^2 c_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J} \right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} {}^k c_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Note that in Subsection 3.1 another notation  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J}$  was used for  $\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J}$ .

Consider a unitary  $u = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J, \mathbf{i}'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} u_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J} \in M_{1J}$  and a collection  ${}^l a = \sum_{\mathbf{i}_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} {}^l a_{\mathbf{i}_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}_J} \in M_{1J} \cap \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , where  $u_{\mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J}, {}^l a_{\mathbf{i}_J} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^k E(\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } u)({}^1 a \otimes {}^2 a \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^k a)) \\ & = {}^k E(u \cdot {}^1 a \cdot u^* \otimes u \cdot {}^2 a \cdot u^* \otimes \cdots \otimes u \cdot {}^k a \cdot u^*), \end{aligned}$$

an application of (3.11) and (3.12) yields

$${}^k E(\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } u))({}^1 a \otimes {}^2 a \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^k a) = {}^1 b \otimes {}^2 b \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^k b, \text{ where} \quad (3.15)$$

$${}^l b = \sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} b_{i_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_J i_J} \in M_{1J} \cap \mathfrak{A} \text{ and } b_{i_J} = \sum_{\mathbf{t}_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} |u_{i_J \mathbf{t}_J}|^2 \cdot {}^l a_{\mathbf{t}_J}.$$

This way the map

$$\mu : M_{1J} \cap U(M) \rightarrow M_{1J}; \quad \sum_{i_J, i'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} u_{i_J i'_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_J i'_J} \mapsto \sum_{i_J, i'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} |u_{i_J i'_J}|^2 \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_J i'_J}.$$

is introduced. It is to be studied and used in what follows.

Note that  $|u_{i_J \mathbf{t}_J}|^2$  form a *doubly stochastic* matrix (see Section 6), hence

$$\sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} {}^l a_{i_J} = \sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} b_{i_J} \text{ for all } l. \quad (3.16)$$

### 3.2.1 Some properties of the map $\mu$

Set  $n = k^J$ . To simplify the notation, it is custom (and really convenient) to identify  $m = \sum_{i_J, i'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} m_{i_J i'_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_J i'_J} \in M_{1J}$  with the associated matrix  $[m_{i_J i'_J}]$ .

Let  $M_{1J}(\mathbb{R})$  be the subset of real matrices in  $M_{1J}$ . Denote also by  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  the subgroup of all invertible elements of  $M_{1J}(\mathbb{R})$ . A matrix  $m = [m_{i_J i'_J}] \in M_{1J}$  is said to be *doubly stochastic* if its elements satisfy

$$m_{i_J i'_J} \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{i}_J \mathbf{i}'_J,$$

$$\sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} m_{i_J i'_J} = 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{i}'_J \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} m_{i_J i'_J} = 1 \text{ for all } \mathbf{i}_J.$$

The set of doubly stochastic matrices is a convex polytope known as Birkhoff's polytope [2]. Denote by  $\mathcal{DS}_n$  this polytope. Set  $p = [p_{i_J i'_J}]$ , where  $p_{i_J i'_J} = \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $\mathbf{i}_J, \mathbf{i}'_J$ . A routine verification demonstrates that  $p$  is a *minimal orthogonal projection* from  $M_{1J}$ . If  $m = [m_{i_J i'_J}] \in \mathcal{DS}_n$  then

$$mp = pm = p \text{ and } m = p + (I - p)m(I - p). \quad (3.17)$$

A natural method of producing a doubly stochastic matrix is to start with a unitary matrix  $u = [u_{i_J \mathbf{t}_J}]$  and then to set  $\mu(u) = [|u_{i_J \mathbf{t}_J}|^2] \in \mathcal{DS}_n$ . The matrices of the form  $\mu(u)$  with  $u$  unitary are called *unistochastic*.

It is well known that for  $n > 3$  there are doubly stochastic matrices that are not unistochastic [8].

Let the notation  $G$  stand for the set of those  $g = [g_{i_J i'_J}] \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  which satisfy  $\sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} g_{i_J i'_J} = 1$  for all  $\mathbf{i}'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J$  and  $\sum_{i'_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J} g_{i_J i'_J} = 1$  for all  $\mathbf{i}_J \in \mathfrak{I}_J$ .

The latter relations are obviously equivalent to the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  being invariant under both  $g$  and the transpose  $g^t$  with respect to matrix multiplication, hence  $G$  is a subgroup. One can clearly reproduce (3.17) for  $g \in G$ :

$$g = p + (I - p)g(I - p). \quad (3.18)$$



Consider the one parameter family  ${}^\theta U = [{}^\theta U_{i_j i'_j}]$  of unitary matrices, where

$${}^\theta U_{i_j i'_j} = \delta_{i_j i'_j} + \frac{\theta - 1}{n}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}. \quad (3.19)$$

Now we are in a position to apply the above idea of the present Section 3.2 in order to introduce the map  $\mu : \text{Inn } M \rightarrow \mathcal{DS}_n$  given by

$$\text{Ad } U \mapsto [ |U_{i_j i'_j}|^2 ], \text{ where } U = [U_{i_j i'_j}].$$

An easy calculation demonstrates that

$$\mu({}^\theta U) = p + \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right) (I - p). \quad (3.20)$$

We need below the following claim which is proved in Section 6.

**Proposition 9.** *With  $\theta \in \mathbb{T} \setminus \{-1, 1\}$  and  $n > 4$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  ${}^\theta U$  such that  $\mu(\mathcal{U})$  is open in  $G$ .*

### 3.3 The commutant of ${}^k E \cdot \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)) \cdot {}^k E$ .

Let us start with observing that, in view of (3.12),  ${}^k E (L_0^{\otimes k}) = (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ . It follows that  ${}^k E \cdot \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)) (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k} \subset (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ . Thus we can view  ${}^k E \cdot \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)) \cdot {}^k E$  as a family of operators on  $(L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ . Finally, let us restrict the representation  ${}^k \mathcal{P}$  from 1.1 of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  to the subspace  $(L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ , to be denoted by  ${}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}_0$  be the  $w^*$ -algebra generated by the operators  ${}^k E \cdot \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)) \cdot {}^k E$  in  $(L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ .

**Proposition 10.**  $\mathcal{N}_0$  coincides with  $({}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'$ .

We need an auxiliary

**Lemma 11.** *Let  ${}^k \mathfrak{E}_J^p$  ( $p < J$ ) be the conditional expectation of  $M^{\otimes k}$  onto the  $I_N$ -subfactor  $M_{pJ}^{\otimes k} = \left( \left( \{N_l\}_{l=p}^J \right)'' \right)^{\otimes k}$  with respect to  $\text{tr}^{\otimes k}$ , where  $N = k^{J-p+1}$ .*

*Then  ${}^k \mathfrak{E}_J^p$  belongs to the  $w^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } u)$  with  $u$  spanning the unitary group of  $w^*$ -algebra  $\mathfrak{N}\{N_1 N_2 \cdots N_{p-1} N_{J+1} N_{J+2} \cdots\}''$ .*

*Proof.* Notice first that

$$M'_{pJ} \cap M = \{N_1 N_2 \cdots N_{p-1} N_{J+1} N_{J+2} \cdots\}'' . \quad (3.21)$$

Every  $x \in M$  can be written in the form  $x = \sum_{r,q=1}^N a_{rq} x'_{rq}$ , where  $a_{rq} \in M_{pJ}$ ,

$x'_{rq} \in M'_{pJ}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{E}_J^p(x) = \sum_{r,q=1}^N \text{tr}(x'_{rq}) a_{rq}$ . The uniqueness of conditional expectations implies

$${}^k \mathfrak{E}_J^p({}^1 x \otimes {}^2 x \otimes \cdots \otimes {}^k x) = \mathfrak{E}_J^p({}^1 x) \otimes \mathfrak{E}_J^p({}^2 x) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathfrak{E}_J^p({}^k x) \quad (3.22)$$

for any  ${}^1x, {}^2x, \dots, {}^kx \in M$ . Let  $\{j_l\}$  and  $\{J_l\}$  be two increasing sequences of positive integers with the property

$$J_{l+1} - j_{l+1} > \max\{J_l, J\} \text{ for all } l. \quad (3.23)$$

By (3.21), there exists a sequence  $\{U_l\}$  of unitaries from  $M'_{pJ} \cap M$  such that

$$U_l \in M'_{pJ} \cap M_{1J_{l+1}} \text{ and } \text{Ad } U_l (M'_{pJ} \cap M_{1J_l}) \subset M_{j_{l+1} J_{l+1}}. \quad (3.24)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } U_n(x) = \text{tr}(x)I \text{ for each } x \in \bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} M_{1r} \cap M'_{pJ},$$

where  $\text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  denote the limit of the sequence  $x_n \in M$  in the weak operator topology. Since  $\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty} M_{1r}$  is dense in  $M$  with respect to the strong operator topology, one has

$$\text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } U_n(x) = \text{tr}(x)I \text{ for each } x \in M'_{pJ} \cap M.$$

Now, in view of the above observations, with  $x = \sum_{r,q=1}^N a_{pq} x'_{rq} \in M$ ,  $a_{rq} \in M_{pJ}$ ,  $x'_{rq} \in M'_{pJ} \cap M$ , one establishes that

$$\text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } U_n(x) = \sum_{r,q=1}^N \text{tr}(x'_{rq}) a_{rq} = \mathfrak{E}_J^p(x) \in M_{pJ}.$$

Hence

$$\text{w-lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U_n)({}^1x \otimes {}^2x \otimes \dots \otimes {}^kx) = \mathfrak{E}_J^p({}^1x) \otimes \mathfrak{E}_J^p({}^2x) \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{E}_J^p({}^kx).$$

Now combine the latter with (3.22) and (3.24) to establish the claim of Lemma 11.  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 10.** Note first that the conditional expectations  ${}^kE$  and  ${}^k\mathfrak{E}_J^p$  commute and

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} {}^k\mathfrak{E}_J^1 \circ {}^kE = {}^kE. \quad (3.25)$$

To simplify the notation, we substitute below  $F_J$  for  ${}^k\mathfrak{E}_J^1 \circ {}^kE$ . The projection  $F_J$  is just the conditional expectation of  $M^{\otimes k}$  onto  $\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k} \cap M_{1J}^{\otimes k}$  with respect to  $\text{tr}^{\otimes k}$ . Since  ${}^kE(L_0^{\otimes k}) \subset (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$  and  ${}^k\mathfrak{E}_J^1(L_0^{\otimes k}) = L_0^{\otimes k} \cap M_{1J}^{\otimes k}$ , one deduces that

$$F_J(L_0^{\otimes k}) \subset M_{1J}^{\otimes k} \cap (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k} = (M_{1J} \cap L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}. \quad (3.26)$$

By Proposition 8 and Lemma 11,

$$F_J \in (\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))''. \quad (3.27)$$

We are about to use the notation  $T_J(u)$  for the operator  $F_J \cdot \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } u) \cdot F_J$ . It follows from (3.26) that

$$T_J(u) \left( M_{1J}^{\otimes k} \cap (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k} \right) \subset M_{1J}^{\otimes k} \cap (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k} \quad \text{for each unitary } u \in M_{1J}. \quad (3.28)$$

The above observations imply that the action of  $T_J(u)$  on  $M_{1J}^{\otimes k} \cap (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$  is determined by (3.15).

Denote by  $\mathfrak{L}$  an auxiliary representation of the general linear group  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , with  $n = k^J = |\mathfrak{J}_J|$ , which coincides with the natural action of  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  on the complex  $n$ -dimensional space  $M_{1J} \cap \mathfrak{A}$ ; more precisely, with  $g = [g_{i_J i'_J}]_{i_J, i'_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  one has

$$\mathfrak{L}(g) \left( \sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} a_{i_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_J i_J} \right) = \sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} \sum_{i'_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} g_{i_J i'_J} a_{i'_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_J i_J}. \quad (3.29)$$

Let us introduce the subgroup  ${}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  formed by such  $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  that  $\mathfrak{L}(g)\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(g^t)\mathbf{I} = \mathbf{I}$ , where the vector  $\mathbf{I} = \sum_{i_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} \mathbf{e}_{i_J i_J}$  is just the unit of the algebra  $M_{1J} \cap \mathfrak{A}$ , and the superscript  $t$  stands for passage to the transpose. Given a unitary  $u = \sum_{i_J, i'_J \in \mathfrak{J}_J} u_{i_J i'_J} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_J i'_J} \in M_{1J}$ , the matrix  $\mu(u) = [ |u_{i_J i'_J}|^2 ]$  is doubly stochastic. In the case  $\mu(u)$  is also invertible one easily deduces from (3.29) that  $\mu(u) \in {}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ , and in view of (3.15) one has

$$T_J(u) = \mathfrak{L}(\mu(u)). \quad (3.30)$$

${}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  is the intersection of stationary subgroups of a vector  $\mathbf{I}$  with respect to the left action  $g \mapsto \mathfrak{L}(g)$  and to the right action  $g \mapsto \mathfrak{L}(g^t)$  on  $M_{1J} \cap \mathfrak{A}$ . Hence it is isomorphic to  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ , and

$$\mathfrak{L}(g) (M_{1J} \cap L_0^{\mathfrak{A}}) = M_{1J} \cap L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} \quad \text{for all } g \in {}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R}). \quad (3.31)$$

By (3.30) and (3.31), the restrictions  $T_J^0(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}_0(g)$  of  $T_J(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{L}(g)$ , respectively, to  $M_{1J} \cap L_0^{\mathfrak{A}}$  are well defined. We are about to prove that

$$\{T_J^0(u), u \in M_{1J} \cap U(M)\}'' = \{\mathfrak{L}_0^{\otimes k}({}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R}))\}'' \quad (3.32)$$

Once the latter relation is established, an application of the well known results of classical Schur-Weyl duality (see, for example, [3], Lecture 6) allows one to obtain

$$\{\mathfrak{L}_0^{\otimes k}({}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R}))\}'' = \{F_J^0 \ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k) F_J^0\}',$$

and then to deduce that

$$\{T_J^0(u), u \in M_{1J} \cap U(M)\}'' = \{F_J^0 \ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k) F_J^0\}', \quad (3.33)$$

where  $F_J^0$  is the restriction of  $F_J$  to  $L_0^{\otimes k}$  (see (3.26)).

Now we turn to proving (3.32).

Since, in view of  $(\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))'' \subset ({}^k \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'$  and (3.27) one has  $F_J \in (\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))''$ , it follows that

$$F_J^0 \in \mathcal{N}_0 \subset ({}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'. \quad (3.34)$$

This implies that for each  $J$  the operators  $F_J^0 \ {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k) F_J^0$  determine a unitary representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ .

One concludes from Proposition 9 that there exists an open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \in U(n)$  of  ${}^{\theta}U$  such that  $\mu(\mathcal{U})$  is an open subset in  ${}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ . Hence, an application of (3.30) yields

$$T_J^0(\mathcal{U}) = \mathfrak{L}_0^{\otimes k}(\mu(\mathcal{U})) \subset \{T_J^0(u) \mid u \in M_{1J} \cap U(M)\}''.$$

Therefore, with  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U}^{-1}$  being a neighborhood of the identity in  $U(n)$ ,

$$\mathfrak{L}_0^{\otimes k}(\mu(\mathcal{U}) \cdot \mu(\mathcal{U})^{-1}) \subset \{T_J^0(u) \mid u \in M_{1J} \cap U(M)\}'' . \quad (3.35)$$

Denote by  ${}^I \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{R})$  the Lie algebras of  ${}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$ , respectively.

A representation  $\mathfrak{L}_0^{\otimes k}$  restricted to the neighborhood  $\mu(\mathcal{U}) \cdot \mu(\mathcal{U})^{-1}$  of unit in  ${}^I GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$  determines a representation  $\mathfrak{L}_0^{\otimes k}$  of Lie algebra  ${}^I \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathfrak{gl}(n-1, \mathbb{R})$  in the  $(n-1)^k$ -dimensional vector space  $M_{1J}^{\otimes k} \cap (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ . By (3.35),

$$\mathfrak{L}_0^{\otimes k}({}^I \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})) \subset \{T_J^0(u), u \in M_{1J} \cap U(M)\}''.$$

This implies (3.32).

Consider a bounded operator  $B' \in \mathcal{N}'_0$  together with its action on  $(L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ . It follows from (3.34) that  $F_J^0 B' = B' F_J^0$ . Therefore  $B'_J \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} F_J^0 B' F_J^0$  belongs to  $\{T_J^0(u) \mid u \in M_{1J} \cap U(M)\}'$ . Let  $R_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Upsilon_k$ , be an irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  and  $\chi_\lambda$  its character. Then the operator  $P_0^\lambda = \frac{\dim \lambda}{k!} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k} \chi_\lambda(s) \mathcal{P}_k^{\mathfrak{A}}(s)$  is an orthogonal projection that belongs to the center of  $({}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'$ .

One can readily find such positive integer  $N$  that for all  $J > N$  one has  $F_J P_0^\lambda \neq 0$ . Only such  $J$  are to be considered below.

It is clear that  $P_0^\lambda \in \mathcal{N}'_0$ . In view of (3.33),

$$\begin{aligned} B'_J &= \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_k} c_J(g) F_J^0 \ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(g) F_J^0, \text{ where } c_J(g) \in \mathbb{C}, \text{ and} \\ P_0^\lambda B'_J &= B'_J P_0^\lambda \text{ for all sufficiently large } J. \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

It also follows from (3.33) that

$$(F_J^0 \mathcal{N}_0 F_J^0)' = F_J^0 \{ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k) \}'' F_J^0.$$

Hence, since  $P_0^\lambda$ , which is central in  $({}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'$  and commutes with  $F_J^0 \in \mathcal{N}_0$ , one has

$$(P_0^\lambda F_J^0 \mathcal{N}_0 F_J^0 P_0^\lambda)' = F_J^0 P_0^\lambda \{ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k) \}'' P_0^\lambda F_J^0.$$

Therefore,  $(P_0^\lambda F_J^0 \mathcal{N}_0 F_J^0 P_0^\lambda)'$  is a finite  $I_{\dim \lambda}$ -factor for all  $J$  large enough. This implies that the map

$$F_J^0 P_0^\lambda \{ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k) \}'' P_0^\lambda F_J^0 \ni A \mapsto F_J^0 A F_J^0 \in F_J^0 P_0^\lambda \{ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k) \}'' P_0^\lambda F_J^0$$

is an isomorphism for  $\hat{J} > N$ . Hence an application of (3.36) yields

$$P_0^\lambda B'_{\hat{J}} = P_0^\lambda \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_k} c_J(g) F_{\hat{J}}^0 \ {}^k \mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(g) F_{\hat{J}}^0.$$

Now, using (3.25), after the passage to the limit  $\widehat{J} \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain

$$P_0^\lambda B' = P_0^\lambda \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_k} c_J(g) {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(g) \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Upsilon_k.$$

Therefore,  $B' = \sum_{g \in \mathfrak{S}_k} c_J(g) {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(g) \in ({}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))''$ , which completes the proof of proposition 10.  $\square$

### 3.4 The cyclicity of $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Inn } M) \left( (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k} \right)$ in $L_0^{\otimes k}$ .

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}$  the closure of the linear span of  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Inn } M) \left( (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k} \right)$  in  $L_0^{\otimes k}$ . Our claim to be proved below is that  $\mathcal{H}$  coincides with  $L_0^{\otimes k}$ .

Let us keep the notation  $\{N_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$  introduced at the beginning of Section 3; let also  $\{{}^n e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^k \subset N_n$  stand for the collection of matrix units of  $N_n$ . Denote by  ${}^n p_1^s$ ,  $s \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ , the projection

$${}^k\mathcal{P}(s) ({}^n e_{11} \otimes {}^n e_{22} \otimes \dots \otimes {}^n e_{kk}) \in M^{\otimes k} \subset L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}).$$

Set  ${}^n E_1 = \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k} {}^n p_1^s$  and  ${}^n p_2^s = (\text{I} - {}^n E_1) \cdot ({}^{n+1} p_1^s)$ . Proceed with this construction by introducing  ${}^n p_{i+1}^s = (\text{I} - {}^n E_i) \cdot ({}^{n+i} p_i^s)$  and  ${}^n E_{i+1} = {}^n E_i + \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k} {}^n p_{i+1}^s$ . It is clear that the projections  ${}^n p_m^s$  are pairwise orthogonal. Introduce

$${}^n E_m = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k} {}^n p_j^s,$$

and  $\tau_i = \text{tr}^{\otimes k} ({}^n E_i)$ , which is certainly an increasing sequence. One can readily compute that  $\tau_{i+1} = \tau_i + (1 - \tau_i) \frac{k!}{k^k}$ , whence

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}^{\otimes k} ({}^n E_i) = 1.$$

This implies

$$\sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k} {}^n p_j^s = I. \quad (3.37)$$

due to faithfulness of the trace  $\text{tr}^{\otimes k}$ .

**Lemma 12.** *Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  be a family of selfadjoint operators in  $M_{1J}$ . Set  $A = A_1 \otimes A_2 \otimes \dots \otimes A_k$ . Then for any pair of positive integers  $m, n$  with  $n > J$ , and any  $s \in \mathfrak{S}_k$  there exists a unitary  $U \in M$  such that  $\text{Ad } U (A {}^n p_m^s) \in \mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}$ .*

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} A \cdot {}^n p_m^s &= (\text{I} - {}^n E_{m-1}) (B_1 \otimes B_2 \otimes \dots \otimes B_k), \text{ where} \\ B_i &= A_i \cdot ({}^{n+m-1} e_{s^{-1}(i) s^{-1}(i)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

There exists unitary  $U_i \in M_{1J}$  such that

$$U_i A_i U_i^* \in \mathfrak{A} \cap M_{1j}. \quad (3.39)$$

Since  $n > J$ , the operator  ${}^n U_m^s = \sum_{i=1}^k U_i \cdot ({}^{n+m-1} e_{s^{-1}(i) s^{-1}(i)})$  is unitary. By (3.38) and (3.39),  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } {}^n U_m^s) (A \cdot {}^n p_m^s) \in \mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $A$  be the same as in Lemma 12. Then  $A$  belongs to the closed linear span of the collection of operators  $\{\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } u) (\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k})\}_{u \in U(M)}$  with respect to the norm topology of the space  $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$ .*

*Proof.* One deduces from (3.37) that

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k} A \cdot {}_n p_j^s.$$

Hence, an application of Lemma 12 proves our claim.  $\square$

### 3.5 Proof of Theorem 2.

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a Cartan MASA in  $M$  introduced the beginning of section 3. For convenience, we recall the notations used above:

$$L_0 = \{v \in L^2(M, \text{tr}) : \text{tr}(v) = 0\}, \quad L_0^{\mathfrak{A}} = \{x \in L^2(\mathfrak{A}, \text{tr}) : \text{tr}(x) = 0\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}$  the restriction of  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}$  to  $L_0^{\otimes k}$ . Conditional expectation  ${}^k E$  introduced in section 3.1 is at the same time an orthogonal projection of  $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  onto  $L^2(\mathfrak{A}^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  and

$${}^k E L_0^{\otimes k} = (L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k} \quad (3.40)$$

By proposition 10,

$$({}^k E \cdot \mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)) \cdot {}^k E)' = ({}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'', \quad (3.41)$$

where  ${}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}$  is a restriction of the representation  ${}^k \mathcal{P}$  (see (1.1)) to the subspace  $(L_0^{\mathfrak{A}})^{\otimes k}$ .

Take any operator  $B' \in (\mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))'$ . It follows from Proposition 8 that  ${}^k E \in (\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))''$ . Hence, using (3.41), we have

$${}^k E \cdot B' \cdot {}^k E = B' \cdot {}^k E = {}^k E \cdot B' \in ({}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))''. \quad (3.42)$$

It follows from Corollary 13 that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))' \ni X' &\xrightarrow{\Theta} {}^k E X' \in (\mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))' {}^k E, \\ ({}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'' \ni X' &\xrightarrow{\Phi} {}^k E X' \in ({}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'' \end{aligned}$$

are isomorphisms. Hence, using the equality

$$(\mathfrak{N}_0^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } U(M)))' {}^k E \stackrel{(3.41)}{=} ({}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))'',$$

we get that  $B' \in ({}^k \mathcal{P}_0^{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathfrak{S}_k))''$ . Theorem 2 is proven.  $\square$

## 4 The Schur-Weyl duality for automorphisms group of factor and the symmetric inverse semigroup

The symmetric inverse semigroup  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is formed by all the partial bijections from the set  $X_k = \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$  to itself, with the natural definition of multiplication.

An element  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}_m$  is conveniently written as  $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_r \\ j_1 & j_2 & \dots & j_r \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \subset X_k$ ,  $\{j_1, j_2, \dots, j_r\} \subset X_k$  and  $i_l$  maps to  $j_l$ . The number  $r$  involved here is denoted by  $\text{rank } \mathbf{b}$ . There exists a natural involution on  $\mathcal{S}_k$ :  $\mathbf{b}^* = \begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & \dots & j_r \\ i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_r \end{pmatrix}$ . Denote by  $\text{id}_{\mathcal{A}} \in \mathcal{S}_m$  the partial bijection obtained by restricting the identity map to  $\mathcal{A} \subset X_k$ ; introduce also the abbreviation  $\epsilon_j = \text{id}_{(X_m \setminus \{j\})}$ . The subcollection  $\{\mathbf{b} \in \mathcal{S}_k : \text{rank } \mathbf{b} = k\}$  is just the ordinary symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ .

Let  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$  be the collection of Coxeter generators of  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ , where  $s_i = (i \ i+1)$  is the transposition of  $i$  and  $i+1$ . The following claim is due to L. Popova [11]. A more up-to-date exposition of her results is given in [10].

**Theorem 14 (A description of  $\mathcal{S}_m$  in the terms of the generators and the relations).**

The semigroup  $\mathcal{S}_k$  is generated by  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$  and  $\epsilon_1$  with the relations as follows:

- a) the Coxeter relations for  $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{k-1}$ ;
- b)  $s_i \epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1 s_i$  for all  $i > 1$ ;
- c)  $(s_1 \epsilon_1)^2 = (\epsilon_1 s_1)^2 = \epsilon_1 s_1 \epsilon_1$ .

This implies that one can realize  $\mathcal{S}_k$  as a semigroup of  $\{0, 1\}$ -matrices  $a = [a_{ij}]$  with the ordinary matrix multiplication in such a way that  $a$  has at most one nonzero entry in each row and each column. The matrix  $a = [a_{ij}]$ , where  $a_{11} = 0$  and  $a_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ , if  $i \neq 1$  or  $j \neq 1$ , corresponds to  $\epsilon_1$  under this realization.

Let  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_k]$  be the complex group algebra of the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_k$ . This algebra as well as the group algebra of every finite group, is semisimple. The complex semigroup algebra  $\mathbb{C}[\mathcal{S}_k]$  of the inverse symmetric semigroup is semisimple too. Namely, Munn proved the next statement.

**Theorem 15 ([6]).** The algebra  $\mathbb{C}[R_k]$  has the decomposition

$$\mathbb{C}[R_k] = \bigoplus_{l=0}^k \mathbb{M}_l^{(k)}(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_l]),$$

where  $\mathbb{M}_j(A)$  is the algebra of all  $j \times j$ -matrices over an algebra  $A$ .

Denote by  $\Upsilon_m$  the set of all unordered partitions of a positive integer  $m \leq k$ . It follows from previous theorem that the set of the irreducible representations of the semigroup  $R_k$  can be naturally indexed by the set  $\bigcup_{m=0}^k \Upsilon_m$ .

#### 4.1 The action of $\mathcal{J}_k$ on $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$ .

Consider the operators  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i)$  on  $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i)(\cdots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes v_i \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots) \\ &= \text{tr}(v_i)(\cdots \otimes v_{i-1} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes v_{i+1} \otimes \cdots). \end{aligned} \quad (4.43)$$

Set also  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(s) = {}^k\mathcal{P}(s)$  with  $s \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ , see (1.1). Theorem 14 implies that  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}$  admits an extension to a representation of  $\mathcal{J}_k$ . One has the following obvious result:

**Proposition 16.**  $(\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M))'' \subset ({}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k))'$ .

Below we prove the next statement, which is the analogue of Schur-Weyl duality for  $\text{Aut } M$  and  $\mathcal{J}_k$ .

**Theorem 17.**  $(\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M))'' = ({}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k))'$ .

**Remark 2.** The operator  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i)$  is an orthogonal projection in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes k}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^k (\mathbf{I} - {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i)) L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}) \\ &= \{v \in L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}) : {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i)v = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, k\} = L_0^{\otimes k}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\wp_m(X_k)$  be the collection<sup>1</sup> of all non-ordered  $m$ -element subsets of  $X_k$ . With  $\mathcal{A} \in \wp_m(X_k)$ , let us introduce the pairwise orthogonal projections  ${}^kP_{\mathcal{A}}$  as follows

$${}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} = \prod_{j \in X_k \setminus \mathcal{A}} {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_j) \cdot \prod_{j \in \mathcal{A}} (\mathbf{I} - {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_j)).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_j) {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} = 0 \quad \text{for all } j \in \mathcal{A}, \\ & {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_j) {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} = {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} \quad \text{for all } j \in X_k \setminus \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.44)$$

Since the projections  ${}^kP_{\mathcal{A}}$  and  ${}^kP_{\mathcal{B}}$  are orthogonal for different  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$ , then operator  ${}^kP_m = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \wp_m(X_k)} {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}}$  is an orthogonal projection. It is clear that  ${}^kP_k L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}) = L_0^{\otimes k}$ ,  ${}^kP_k L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}) = \text{CI}^{\otimes k}$  and

$$\sum_{m=0}^k {}^kP_m L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}) = L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}).$$

Let  $m \leq k$  and let  $\mathfrak{S}_m = \{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k : s(j) = j \text{ for all } j \in X_k \setminus X_m\}$ , where  $X_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\} \subset X_k$ . Denote by  $\chi_{\gamma}$  the character of the irreducible representation  $T_{\gamma}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}_m$ , corresponding to  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_m$ , such that its value on the unit is equal to the dimension of  $T_{\gamma}$ . Then Young projection

$$P^{\gamma} = \frac{\dim \gamma}{m!} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \chi_{\gamma}(s) {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(s)$$

---

<sup>1</sup> $\wp_0(X_k)$  is the unique empty subset.



lies in the center of  $*$ -algebra generated by  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathfrak{S}_m)$ . Since  ${}^kP_{X_m}$  belongs to  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathfrak{S}_m)'$ , then  ${}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma = {}^kP_{X_m} \cdot P^\gamma$  is an orthogonal projection from  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathfrak{S}_m)'$ . Denote by  ${}^k\mathcal{H}_m^\gamma$  the closure of the linear span of the set

$$\{ {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k) {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k}) \}$$

with respect to the norm topology of the space  $L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$ . By proposition 16, the  ${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}$ -invariant subspace  ${}^k\mathcal{H}_m^\gamma$  is  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M)$ -invariant too.

## 4.2 Decomposing $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}$ into factor-components.

Set  ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{X_m} = {}^kP_{X_m} L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$ . By proposition 16,  ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{X_m}$  is  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}$ -invariant. Let  $\mathfrak{N}_{X_m}^{\otimes k}$  be the restriction of  $\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}$  to  ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{X_m}$ . Here  $m \leq k$  and we consider  $X_m = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  as a subset of  $X_k$ . Clearly,  ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{X_m}$  is invariant under the operators  ${}^k\mathcal{P}(s)$ , where  $s \in \mathfrak{S}_m \subset \mathfrak{S}_k$ , and, more generally,

$${}^k\mathcal{P}(s) \cdot {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(s^{-1}) = {}^kP_{s(\mathcal{A})} \text{ for all } s \in \mathfrak{S}_k \text{ and } \mathcal{A} \in \wp_m(X_k). \quad (4.45)$$

Consider Young subgroup  $\mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)} = \{s \in \mathfrak{S}_k : sX_m = X_m\}$ . Let  $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r$  be a full set of the representatives in  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  of the left cosets  $\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}$ , where  $r = |\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}|$ . Then the projections  ${}^kP_{s_j(X_m)}$  are pairwise orthogonal and

$${}^kP_m = \sum_{j=1}^r {}^kP_{s_j(X_m)}. \quad (4.46)$$

By (4.44),

$$\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\theta) {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(s) {}^kP_m = {}^kP_m \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\theta) {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(s) \quad (4.47)$$

for all  $\theta \in \text{Aut } M$  and  $s \in \mathcal{J}_k$ . We emphasize again that  ${}^kP_{X_m} {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_j) = 0$  for all  $j \in X_m$ . Therefore,

$$({}^kP_{X_m} {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_m))'' = ({}^kP_{X_m} {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathfrak{S}_m))''. \quad (4.48)$$

Let  $\gamma \in \Upsilon_m$  be an unordered partition of  $m$  and let  $\chi_\gamma$  be the character of the corresponding irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{S}_m$ . Set

$$P^\gamma = \frac{\dim \gamma}{m!} \sum_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \chi_\gamma(s) {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(s). \quad (4.49)$$

Since the projections  $\{ {}^kP_{s_j(X_m)} \}_{j=1}^r$  are pairwise orthogonal and

$${}^kP_{X_m} \in ({}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathfrak{S}_m))' \text{ then } {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma = P^\gamma \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}$$

is an orthogonal projection from the center of  $w^*$ -algebra, generated by the operators  ${}^kP_{X_m} \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M)$  and  ${}^kP_{X_m} \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathfrak{S}_m)$ . Therefore, the operator

$${}^kP_m^\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^r {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j^{-1}) \quad (4.50)$$

is an orthogonal projection too. Moreover, the projections  ${}^kP_m^\gamma$  and  ${}^kP_m^{\tilde{\gamma}}$  are orthogonal for different  $\gamma, \tilde{\gamma} \in \Upsilon_m$  and the following equality holds

$${}^kP_m = \sum_{\gamma \in \Upsilon_m} {}^kP_m^\gamma. \quad (4.51)$$

The next statement follows from theorem 2.

**Lemma 18.** *The family of the operators  $\{{}^kP_{X_m} {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(s) {}^kP_{X_m}\}_{s \in \mathfrak{S}_m}$  define the unitary representation  ${}^k\mathcal{P}_{X_m}^{\mathcal{J}}$  of the group  $\mathfrak{S}_m$  in the subspace  ${}^k\mathcal{H}_{X_m}$  and one has  $(\mathfrak{N}_{X_m}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M))'' = ({}^k\mathcal{P}_{X_m}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathfrak{S}_m))'$ .*

Define the representation  ${}^k\Pi$  of the semigroup  $(\text{Aut } M) \times \mathcal{I}_k$  as follows

$${}^k\Pi(\theta, s) = \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\theta) \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(s), \text{ where } \theta \in \text{Aut } M, s \in \mathcal{I}_k. \quad (4.52)$$

**Lemma 19.** *Projection  ${}^kP_m^\gamma$  belongs to  $w^*$ -algebra  $({}^k\Pi((\text{Aut } M) \times \mathcal{I}_k))'$  and the restriction of  ${}^k\Pi$  to the subspace  ${}^kP_m^\gamma L^2(M^{\otimes k}, \text{tr}^{\otimes k})$  is the irreducible representation of the semigroup  $(\text{Aut } M) \times \mathcal{I}_k$ .*

*Proof.* Let us prove that

$${}^kP_m^\gamma \in ({}^k\Pi((\text{Aut } M) \times \mathcal{I}_k))' \quad (\text{see (4.50)}). \quad (4.53)$$

Each  $t \in \mathfrak{S}_k$  defines the bijection  $\mathfrak{b}_t$  of the set  $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_r\}$ , where  $r = |\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}|$ , as follows

$$\mathfrak{b}_t(s_j) = s_{j_t}, \text{ where } ts_j \in s_{j_t} \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}.$$

Hence, since  ${}^kP_m^\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}|} {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j^{-1})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} {}^k\mathcal{P}(t) \cdot {}^kP_m^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(t^{-1}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}|} {}^k\mathcal{P}(ts_j) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j^{-1}t^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}|} {}^k\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{b}_t(s_j) h_j) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(h_j^{-1} (\mathfrak{b}_t(s_j))^{-1}), \text{ where } h_j \in \mathfrak{S}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using the equality  ${}^k\mathcal{P}(h_j) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(h_j^{-1}) = {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma$ , we obtain

$${}^k\mathcal{P}(t) \cdot {}^kP_m^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(t^{-1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_m|} {}^k\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{b}_t(s_j)) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}((\mathfrak{b}_t(s_j))^{-1}).$$

Since  $\mathfrak{b}_t$  is the bijection, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_m|} {}^k\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{b}_t(s_j)) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}((\mathfrak{b}_t(s_j))^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{|\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}|} {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$${}^k\mathcal{P}(t) \cdot {}^kP_m^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(t^{-1}) = {}^kP_m^\gamma \text{ for all } t \in \mathfrak{S}_k. \quad (4.54)$$

Set  $\mathcal{A}_i = \{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, |\mathfrak{S}_k / \mathfrak{S}_{m(k-m)}|\} : s_j^{-1}(i) \notin X_m\}$ . Since  ${}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma = P^\gamma \cdot {}^kP_{X_m} = {}^kP_{X_m} \cdot P^\gamma$ , then, using (4.44) and (4.45), we have

$${}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i) \cdot {}^kP_m^\gamma = {}^kP_m^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{A}_i} {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j) \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_j^{-1}).$$

Now we conclude from (4.54) that  ${}^kP_m^\gamma \in {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k)'$ . Hence, applying Proposition 16, we obtain (4.53).

Therefore, the operators  ${}^k\Pi_m^\gamma(\theta, s) = {}^kP_m^\gamma \cdot {}^k\Pi(\theta, s)$ , where  $\theta \in \text{Aut } M$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{J}_k$ , define \*-representation of semigroup  $\text{Aut } M \times \mathcal{J}_k$ .

Let us prove that  ${}^k\Pi_m^\gamma$  is an irreducible representation; i. e.

$${}^k\Pi_m^\gamma(\text{Aut } M \times \mathcal{J}_k)' = \mathbb{C} \cdot {}^kP_m^\gamma.$$

First, we notice that  ${}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \in {}^kP_m^\gamma \cdot {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k)'' \subset {}^k\Pi_m^\gamma(\text{Aut } M \times \mathcal{J}_k)''$ . Therefore, if  $B' \in {}^k\Pi_m^\gamma(\text{Aut } M \times \mathcal{J}_k)'$  then

$$B' \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \in {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma \cdot {}^k\Pi_m^\gamma(\text{Aut } M \times \mathcal{J}_k)' \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma.$$

Hence, applying Lemma 18, we see that

$$B' \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma = c \cdot {}^kP_{X_m}^\gamma, \text{ where } c \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Now, using (4.50), we obtain  $B' = B' \cdot {}^kP_m^\gamma = c \cdot {}^kP_m^\gamma$ .  $\square$

### 4.3 The proof of Theorem 17.

Let  $B'$  lies in  $(\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M))'$ . For the matrix  ${}^\theta U = [{}^\theta U_{i_j i'_j}]$  (see (3.19)), we denote by  ${}^\theta \mathbf{U}$  an element from  $M_{1J}$  of the view

$${}^\theta \mathbf{U} = \sum_{i_j, i'_j \in \mathcal{J}_J} {}^\theta U_{i_j i'_j} \cdot \mathbf{e}_{i_j i'_j}.$$

Let  $a \in M_{1J} \cap \mathfrak{A}$ . Using (3.15) and (3.20), we obtain

$${}^kE \circ \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } {}^\theta \mathbf{U})({}^kP_m(a)) = \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^m {}^kP_m(a).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^kE \circ \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Ad } {}^\theta \mathbf{U}) \circ {}^kE \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^j {}^kE \circ {}^kP_j \in (\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes k}(\text{Aut } M))''. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=0}^k \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^j B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_j = \sum_{j=0}^k \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^j {}^kE \circ {}^kP_j \circ B'$$

Hence, thanks to the relation  ${}^kP_l \circ {}^kP_m = \delta_{ml} {}^kP_l$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^m {}^kP_l \circ B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_m \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^k \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^j {}^kP_l \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_j \circ B' \circ {}^kP_m. \end{aligned}$$

Now we conclude from propositions 8 and 16 that

$$\left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^m {}^kP_l \circ B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_m = \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^l {}^kP_l \circ {}^kE \circ B' \circ {}^kP_m$$

and

$$\left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^m {}^kP_l \circ B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_m = \left(1 - \frac{|\theta - 1|^2}{n}\right)^l {}^kP_l \circ B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_m.$$

Therefore,  ${}^kP_l \circ B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_m = \delta_{lm} {}^kP_m \circ B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_m$ . Now, using the relation  $\sum_{j=0}^k {}^kP_j = \mathbf{I}$ , we have

$$B' \circ {}^kE = {}^kE \circ B' = \sum_{m=0}^k {}^kP_m \circ B' \circ {}^kE \circ {}^kP_m.$$

Hence, applying corollary 13, we conclude

$$B' = \sum_{m=0}^k {}^kP_m \circ B' \circ {}^kP_m. \quad (4.55)$$

Let us prove that  $B'_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} {}^kP_m \circ B' \circ {}^kP_m$  lies in  $*$ -algebra  ${}^kP_m {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k)'' {}^kP_m$  (see (4.51) and lemma 18).

Since  ${}^kP_m = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \in \wp_m(X_k)} {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}}$ , then  $B'_m = \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \wp_m(X_k)} {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} \circ B'_m \circ {}^kP_{\mathcal{B}}$ . There exist  $s_{\mathcal{A}}, s_{\mathcal{B}} \in \mathfrak{S}_k$  such that

$$s_{\mathcal{A}}(X_m) = \mathcal{A} \text{ and } s_{\mathcal{B}}(X_m) = \mathcal{B}. \quad (4.56)$$

Hence, using (4.45), we have

$${}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} \circ B'_m \circ {}^kP_{\mathcal{B}} = {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_{\mathcal{A}}) \circ {}^kP_{X_m} \circ {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}) \circ B'_m \circ {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_{\mathcal{B}}) \circ {}^kP_{X_m} \circ {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_{\mathcal{B}}^{-1}).$$

It follows from lemma 18 that  ${}^kP_{X_m} \circ {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_{\mathcal{A}}^{-1}) \circ B'_m \circ {}^k\mathcal{P}(s_{\mathcal{B}}) \circ {}^kP_{X_m}$  lies in algebra  ${}^kP_{X_m} \circ {}^k\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{S}_m)'' \circ {}^kP_{X_m}$ . Therefore,

$${}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} \circ B'_m \circ {}^kP_{\mathcal{B}} \in ({}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k))''.$$

Thus  $B' = \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \wp_m(X_k)} {}^kP_{\mathcal{A}} \circ B'_m \circ {}^kP_{\mathcal{B}}$  lies in  $({}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\mathcal{J}_k))''$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 17.

## 5 The Schur-Weyl duality for $\text{Aut } M$ and the infinite symmetric group

Let  $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_\infty$  be the group of all bijections of the set  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Set  $\mathfrak{S}_n = \{s \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_\infty : s(k) = k \text{ for all } k > n\}$ .

Further we will consider  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$  as the subspace of  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes(n+1)}$ , using the embedding

$$L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n} \ni m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_n \mapsto m_1 \otimes \dots \otimes m_n \otimes \mathbf{I} \in L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes(n+1)}.$$

Let  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}$  be the completion of the pre-Hilbert space  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$ .

It is convenient to consider  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$  as the linear span of the vectors  $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \dots$ , where  $v_j \in M$ . At the same time, we will identify  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$  with the closure of the linear span of all vectors  $v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \otimes v_{n+1} \otimes \dots$ , where  $v_i = \mathbf{I}$  for all  $i > n$ . Then the elements  $\theta \in \text{Aut } M$  and  $s \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_\infty$  act on  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\theta)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \otimes \dots) &= (\mathfrak{N}(\theta)v_1) \otimes \dots \otimes (\mathfrak{N}(\theta)v_n) \otimes \dots; \\ {}^\infty\mathcal{P}(s)(v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n \otimes \dots) &= v_{s^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{s^{-1}(n)} \otimes \dots. \end{aligned}$$

We now have:

**Theorem 20.**  $\{\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\text{Aut } M)\}' = \{{}^\infty\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_\infty)\}''$ .

*Proof.* Let  $(k \ l)$  be a transposition that swaps  $k$  and  $l$ . We denote by  $\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{n,\infty}$  the subgroup  $\{s \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_\infty : s(k) = k \text{ for all } k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}\}$ .

Let us prove that

$$L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n} = \left\{ v \in L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty} : {}^\infty\mathcal{P}(s)v = v \text{ for all } s \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{n,\infty} \right\}. \quad (5.57)$$

Fix any  $\mathbf{v} \in L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}$  such that  ${}^\infty\mathcal{P}(s)\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$  for all  $s \in \overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{n,\infty}$ .

Take orthonormal basis  $\{e_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$  in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})$ , where  $e_0 = \mathbf{I}$  and  $e_k \in M$  for all  $k$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{K}$  a set of all sequences  $\mathfrak{k} = \{k_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ ,  $k_i \in \{0, 1, \dots\}$  with the property: there exists same natural  $N(\mathfrak{k})$  such, that  $k_i = 0$  for all  $i > N(\mathfrak{k})$ . For convenience, we set  $N(\mathfrak{k}) = \min\{m : k_i = 0 \text{ for all } i > m\}$ . Then the set  $\{\mathbf{e}_\mathfrak{k} = e_{k_1} \otimes e_{k_2} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{k_{N(\mathfrak{k})}} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \dots\}_{\mathfrak{k} \in \mathfrak{K}}$  is an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}$ . Set

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{\mathfrak{k} \in \mathfrak{K}} c_\mathfrak{k}(\mathbf{v}) \mathbf{e}_\mathfrak{k} \quad \text{where } c_\mathfrak{k}(\mathbf{v}) \in \mathbb{C}.$$

To prove (5.57) it is sufficient to establish that  $c_\mathfrak{k}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$  if  $N(\mathfrak{k}) > n$ .

Consider an orthogonal projection  $O_m$  in  $L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}$  that is defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned} O_m(\dots \otimes e_{k_{m-1}} \otimes e_{k_m} \otimes e_{k_{m+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{k_{N(\mathfrak{k})}} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \dots) \\ = \text{tr}(e_{k_m})(\dots \otimes e_{k_{m-1}} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes e_{k_{m+1}} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{k_{N(\mathfrak{k})}} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \dots). \end{aligned} \quad (5.58)$$

It is easily seen that the sequence  $\{\infty\mathcal{P}((m l))\}_{l=1}^{\infty}$  converges in the weak operator topology to  $O_m = \text{w-}\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \infty\mathcal{P}((m l))$ . Therefore,

$$O_m \in (\infty\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{\infty}))'' \quad \text{for all } m, \quad \text{and } O_m \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \quad \text{for all } m > n. \quad (5.59)$$

Hence, applying (5.58), we have  $c_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathbf{v}) = 0$  for all  $\mathfrak{k}$  such that  $N(\mathfrak{k}) > n$ . This proves equality (5.57).

According to (5.58), we have that the operator  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,N} = O_{n+1}O_{n+2} \cdots O_N$ , where  $N > n$  is an orthogonal projection. Since  $\mathfrak{P}_{n,m} \geq \mathfrak{P}_{n,m+1}$  for all  $m > n$ , there exists the orthogonal projection  $\mathfrak{P}_n = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mathfrak{P}_{n,m}$ . By (5.59),  $\mathfrak{P}_n$  belongs to  $(\infty\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{n,\infty}))''$ . Using (5.58), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{P}_n (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes v_{n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_j \otimes \cdots) \\ &= \left( \prod_{j=n+1}^{\infty} \text{tr}(v_j) \right) (v_1 \otimes v_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_n \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{I} \otimes \cdots). \end{aligned} \quad (5.60)$$

Therefore,  $\mathfrak{P}_n (L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes \infty}) = L^2(M, \text{tr})^{\otimes n}$ .

Take operator  $B' \in \{\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\text{Aut } M)\}'$ . Since projection  $\mathfrak{P}_n \in (\infty\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{n,\infty}))''$  and  $(\infty\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{n,\infty}))'' \subset \{\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\text{Aut } M)\}'$ , then operator  $B'_n = \mathfrak{P}_n B' \mathfrak{P}_n$  belongs to  $\{\mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\text{Aut } M)\}'$ , too. It follows from section 4 that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{P}_n \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes \infty}(\theta) \mathfrak{P}_n &= \mathfrak{N}^{\otimes n}(\theta), \quad \theta \in \text{Aut } M, \\ \mathfrak{P}_n \infty\mathcal{P}(s) \mathfrak{P}_n &= {}^n\mathcal{P}(s), \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathfrak{S}_n, \\ \mathfrak{P}_n O_i \mathfrak{P}_n &= {}^k\mathcal{P}^{\mathcal{J}}(\epsilon_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, applying Theorem 17, we obtain that  $B'_n$  belongs to  $(\infty\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{\infty}))''$  (see (5.59)). Since  $B' = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B'_n$  in the strong operator topology, operator  $B'$  lies in  $(\infty\mathcal{P}(\overline{\mathfrak{S}}_{\infty}))''$ , too. This completes the proof of Theorem 20.  $\square$

## 6 A mapping from unitary to doubly stochastic matrices

Recall that  $n \times n$ -matrix  $P = [P_{ij}]$  is called *doubly stochastic* if  $\sum_{i=1}^n P_{ij} = 1$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^n P_{ij} = 1$  and  $P_{ij} \geq 0$  for all  $i, j$ . The property of  $P$  being doubly stochastic

is obviously equivalent to the vector  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  being invariant both for  $P$  and the

transpose  $P^t$ . Let  $\mathcal{DS}_n$  stand for the set of all doubly stochastic  $n \times n$  matrices. There exists an orthogonal matrix  $O = [O_{ij}]$  such that for any  $P \in \mathcal{DS}_n$  one has  $(OPO^{-1})_{1j} = \delta_{1j}$  and  $(OPO^{-1})_{j1} = \delta_{j1}$  ( $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ), where  $\delta_{kl}$  is the Kronecker delta. Let us fix such matrix  $O$ .

**Lemma 21.** Let  ${}^1_1\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  be the set of all real  $n \times n$  matrices of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} \gamma & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} & \cdots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & a_{32} & a_{33} & \cdots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \end{bmatrix}. \text{ Suppose that a doubly stochastic matrix } P = [P_{ij}]$$

has only nonzero entries. Then there exists  $\kappa > 0$  such that the matrix  $P + O^{-1}BO$  is doubly stochastic for any matrix  $B = [B_{ij}] \in {}^1_0\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $|B_{ij}| < \kappa$  for all  $i, j$ .

By the above Lemma, each double stochastic matrix  $P$  with positive entries is an interior point of  $\mathcal{DS}_n$ , and the real dimension of the tangent space  $T_P\mathcal{DS}_n$  at this point is  $(n-1)^2$ . In addition, we have a linear one-to-one map between  $T_P\mathcal{DS}_n$  and  ${}^1_0\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

We need in the sequel the obvious claim as follows.

**Proposition 22.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a open subset in  $\mathcal{DS}_n$ , and  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  stand for the group of real invertible  $n \times n$  matrices. Identify the group  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$  with the subgroup  $(O^{-1} \cdot {}^1_1\mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \cdot O) \cap GL(n, \mathbb{R}) \subset GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ . Then the topological component of the identity in  $GL(n-1, \mathbb{R})$  is contained in

$$\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( (\mathcal{U} \cap GL(n, \mathbb{R})) \cdot (\mathcal{U} \cap GL(n, \mathbb{R}))^{-1} \right)^j.$$

## 6.1

Denote by  $U(n)$  a group of unitary  $n \times n$ -matrices. We will consider  $U(n)$  and  $\mathcal{DS}_n$  as a real manifolds of the dimension  $n^2$  and  $(n-1)^2$  respectively. Let  $f : U(n) \mapsto \mathcal{DS}_n$  be a smooth map and let  $df_u$  be a differential of  $f$  in the point  $u$ . Mapping  $df_u$  is the linear operator from the tangent space  $T_uU(n)$  at  $u$  to the tangent space  $T_{f(u)}\mathcal{DS}_n$ . Function  $f$  is a *submersion* at a point  $u \in U(n)$  if  $df_u T_uU(n) = T_{f(u)}\mathcal{DS}_n$ . In connection with formula (3.15) we will find the unitary matrices  $u$  such that the map

$$U(n) \ni u = [u_{ij}] \xrightarrow{\mu} \left[ |u_{ij}|^2 \right] \in \mathcal{DS}_n \text{ is submersion at the point } u. \quad (6.61)$$

Hence will follow that there exists the open neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of the point  $u$  such that  $\mu(\mathcal{U}) \subset \mathcal{DS}_n$  is open subset.

We adopt below the results of A. Karabegov [12] to make them applicable to proving Proposition 10.

Denote by  $\mathcal{SH}_n$  the set of all skew-hermitian  $n \times n$ -matrices. It is clear, that the dimension of  $U(n)$ , as a real manifold, is equal  $n^2$ . Considering the smooth one parameter family  $U(t) = [U_{kl}(t)] \subset U(n)$  and using the equality  $U(t)^* \cdot U(t) = I_n$ , we obtain

$$U(0)^* \cdot U'(0) + U'(0)^* \cdot U(0) = 0, \text{ where } U'(0) = [U'_{kl}(0)].$$

Hence

$$U'(0) \cdot U(0)^* + U(0) \cdot U'(0)^* = 0. \quad (6.62)$$

This implies that  $U'(0) \in T_u U(n)$  is identified with the skew Hermitian matrix  $X = u^* \cdot U'(0) \in T_{I_n} U(n)$  treated as an element of the Lie algebra  $\mathcal{SH}_n$  of  $U(n)$ . Here  $u = [u_{kl}] = U(0)$ .

Applying (6.61), we see that  $d\mu_u : T_u U(n) \mapsto T_{\mu(u)} \mathcal{DS}_n$  acts as follows

$$d\mu_u (U'(0)) = \left[ u_{ki} \overline{U'_{kl}(0)} + U'_{kl}(0) \overline{u_{kl}} \right] \in T_{\mu(u)} \mathcal{DS}_n.$$

Let us introduce the operator  ${}^u d\mu_u : T_{I_n} U(n) \mapsto T_{\mu(u)} \mathcal{DS}_n$  which acts by

$${}^u d\mu_u (A) = d\mu_u (uA), \quad A \in T_{I_n} U(n), \quad uA \in T_u U(n). \quad (6.63)$$

Therefore,

$${}^u d\mu_u (u^* U'(0)) = \left[ u_{ki} \overline{U'_{kl}(0)} + U'_{kl}(0) \overline{u_{kl}} \right] \in T_{\mu(u)} \mathcal{DS}_n.$$

Hence, assuming that all entries of  $u = U(0) = [u_{kl}]$  are nonzero, we obtain

$${}^u d\mu_u (u^* U'(0)) = \left[ \left( \frac{U'_{kl}(0)}{u_{kl}} + \frac{\overline{U'_{kl}(0)}}{\overline{u_{kl}}} \right) |u_{kl}|^2 \right]. \quad (6.64)$$

Now we can to rewrite the equality (6.62) as follows

$$\sum_{j=1}^n u_{kj} \frac{U'_{kj}(0)}{u_{kj}} \overline{u_{lj}} + \sum_{j=1}^n u_{kj} \frac{\overline{U'_{lj}(0)}}{\overline{u_{lj}}} \overline{u_{lj}} = 0. \quad (6.65)$$

Consider the family  ${}^\theta U = [{}^\theta U_{kl}]$  of the unitary matrices, where

$${}^\theta U_{kl} = \delta_{kl} + \frac{\theta - 1}{n}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}. \quad (6.66)$$

On the space  $\mathbb{M}_n$  of all complex  $n \times n$ -matrices define two inner products

$$\langle A, B \rangle_\theta = \sum_{k,l=1}^n A_{kl} \overline{B_{kl}} |{}^\theta U_{kl}|^2, \quad A = [A_{kl}], \quad B = [B_{kl}],$$

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\text{Tr}} = \text{Tr}(AB^*), \quad \text{where } \text{Tr} \text{ is an ordinary trace on } \mathbb{M}_n.$$

Denote by  $\mathbb{M}_n^\theta$  and  $\mathbb{M}_n^{\text{Tr}}$  the corresponding Hilbert spaces.

Now we introduce two operators  $\mathbf{C}_\theta$  and  $\mathbf{D}_\theta$  as follows

$$\mathbb{M}_n^\theta \ni f = [f_{kl}] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{C}_\theta} Y = [Y_{kl}] \in \mathbb{M}_n^{\text{Tr}}, \quad \text{where } Y_{kl} = \sum_{j=1}^n {}^\theta U_{kj} f_{kj} \overline{{}^\theta U_{lj}};$$

$$\mathbb{M}_n^\theta \ni g = [g_{kl}] \xrightarrow{\mathbf{D}_\theta} Z = [Z_{kl}] \in \mathbb{M}_n^{\text{Tr}}, \quad \text{where } Z_{kl} = \sum_{j=1}^n {}^\theta U_{kj} g_{lj} \overline{{}^\theta U_{lj}}.$$

Hence, using the orthogonality relations between  ${}^\theta U_{kj}$ , can obtain the formulas for the inverse operators

$$(\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1} Y)_{kq} = {}^\theta U_{kq}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{kj} {}^\theta U_{jq} \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1} Y)_{kq} = \overline{{}^\theta U_{kq}}^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n Y_{jk} \overline{{}^\theta U_{jq}}. \quad (6.67)$$



Set  $u = U(0) = {}^\theta U$ ,  $X = u^*U'(0)$ ,  $f_{kj} = \frac{U'_{kj}(0)}{u_{kj}}$  and  $\bar{f} = [\overline{f_{kj}}]$ . Then

$$uXu^* = U'(0) \cdot u^* = \mathbf{C}_\theta f \text{ and } uX^*u^* = u \cdot U'(0)^* = \mathbf{D}_\theta \bar{f}. \quad (6.68)$$

Hence, applying (6.65), we have

$$\mathbf{C}_\theta f = uXu^*, \mathbf{D}_\theta \bar{f} = -uXu^*. \quad (6.69)$$

It easy to check that the next statement holds.

**Proposition 23** (Proposition 2.1 [12]). *If  $\theta \notin \{-1, 1\}$  then the mappings  $\mathbf{C}_\theta$  and  $\mathbf{D}_\theta$  are unitary isomorphisms between the Hilbert spaces  $\mathbb{M}_n^\theta$  and  $\mathbb{M}_n^{\text{Tr}}$ .*

Furthermore, using (6.64) and (6.69), we obtain for  $X = u^*U'(0)$  and  $u = {}^\theta U$

$$({}^u d\mu_u X)_{kl} = (\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1}(uXu^*) - \mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1}(uXu^*))_{kl} \cdot |u_{kl}|^2. \quad (6.70)$$

Now we will prove the next statement.

**Theorem 24** (Theorem 5.1 [12]). *Let  $u = {}^\theta U$ , where  $\theta \notin \{-1, 1\}$ . Then the dimension of the kernel of the operator  $(\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1} - \mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1})$  is equal to  $2n - 1$ .*

Since the real dimensions of  $T_u U(n)$  and  $T_{\mu(u)} \mathcal{DS}_n$  are equal  $n^2$  and  $(n-1)^2$ , applying (6.70), we obtain the next

**Corollary 25.** *If  $\theta \notin \{-1, 1\}$  then the spaces  $d\mu_u(T_u U(n))$  and  $T_{\mu(u)} \mathcal{DS}_n$  coincide.*

*Proof of Theorem 24.* Let  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  be the set of all diagonal matrices in  $\mathcal{SH}_n$  and let  $K_n$  be a real subspace of  $\mathcal{SH}_n$ , generated by  $\mathfrak{D}_n$  and  $u\mathfrak{D}_n u^*$ . The ordinary calculations shows that

$$\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1}\eta = \mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1}\eta \text{ for all } \eta \in K_n \text{ and } \dim K_n = 2n - 1. \quad (6.71)$$

Define the entries of the matrix  ${}^k B = [{}^k B_{pq}]$  as follows

$${}^k B_{pq} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p = q \text{ or } (p \notin \{k, l\}) \wedge (q \notin \{k, l\}); \\ -1 & \text{if } p = k, q = l; \\ 1, & \text{if } p = l, q = k; \\ \frac{n+\bar{\theta}-1}{(\bar{\theta}-1)(n-2)}, & \text{if } q = l, p \neq k \text{ and } p \neq l; \\ \frac{n+\theta-1}{(\theta-1)(n-2)}, & \text{if } p = k, q \neq l \text{ and } q \neq k; \\ -\frac{n+\bar{\theta}-1}{(\bar{\theta}-1)(n-2)}, & \text{if } q = k, p \neq k \text{ and } p \neq l; \\ -\frac{n+\theta-1}{(\theta-1)(n-2)}, & \text{if } p = l, q \neq l \text{ and } q \neq k. \end{cases} \quad (6.72)$$

Let  $B_n$  be a real subspace of  $\mathcal{SH}_n$ , generated by the matrices  ${}^k B$ , where  $k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . By the calculations can be can be checked that the subspaces  $K_n$  and  $B_n$  mutually orthogonal and

$$\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1}\eta = -\frac{n+\bar{\theta}-1}{n+\theta-1}\mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1}\eta \text{ for all } \eta \in B_n. \quad (6.73)$$

It easy to check that the matrices  ${}^1 B, {}^2 B, \dots, {}^{(n-1)} B$  are linearly independent. Therefore,

$$\dim B_n \geq n - 1. \quad (6.74)$$

Let  $O_n$  be one dimensional subspace  $\mathbb{R}iO \subset \mathcal{SH}_n$ , where  $O = [O_{kl}] = [\delta_{kl} - 1]$ . By calculations we see that  $K_n$  and  $B_n$  are orthogonal to  $O_n$  and

$$\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1} O = -\theta \frac{n + \bar{\theta} - 1}{n + \theta - 1} \mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1} O. \quad (6.75)$$

Denote by  $IS_n$  the real subspace of the matrices  $A = [A_{kl}] \in \mathcal{SH}_n$  with the purely imaginary entries such that

$$A_{kk} = 0 \text{ and } \sum_{l=1}^n A_{kl} = 0 \text{ for all } k = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (6.76)$$

Hence, using (6.67), we obtain

$$\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1} A = -\bar{\theta} \mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1} A \text{ for all } A \in IS_n. \quad (6.77)$$

At last we introduce the real subspace  $RS_n$  of the matrices  $A = [A_{kl}] \in \mathcal{SH}_n$  with the real entries which satisfy (6.76). It follows, by the similar calculations, that

$$\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1} A = \bar{\theta} \mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1} A \text{ for all } A \in RS_n. \quad (6.78)$$

Applying (6.76), we obtain

$$\dim IS_n = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j) \right) - n = \frac{n(n-3)}{2}. \quad (6.79)$$

Analogously,

$$\dim RS_n = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (n-j) \right) - (n-1) = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}. \quad (6.80)$$

By the ordinary calculations can to show that subspaces  $K_n, B_n, O_n, IS_n, RS_n$  are pairwise orthogonal. Hence, applying (6.71), (6.74), (6.79) and (6.80), we have

$$\dim (K_n \oplus B_n \oplus O_n \oplus B_n \oplus IS_n \oplus IR_n) \geq n^2.$$

Therefore,  $K_n \oplus B_n \oplus O_n \oplus B_n \oplus IS_n \oplus IR_n = \mathcal{SH}_n$ . Thus any  $\Psi \in \mathcal{SH}_n$  can to write as follows  $\Psi = \Psi_K + \Psi_B + \Psi_O + \Psi_{IS} + \Psi_{RS}$ , where  $\Psi_*$  lies in the corresponding orthogonal component. If  $\Psi$  lies in kernel of the operator  $d\mu_u = (\mathbf{C}_\theta^{-1} - \mathbf{D}_\theta^{-1})$  then, using (6.71), (6.73), (6.75), (6.77) and (6.78), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_\theta \circ d\mu_u \Psi &= \left( -\frac{n+\bar{\theta}-1}{n+\theta-1} - 1+ \right) \Psi_B + \left( -\theta \frac{n+\bar{\theta}-1}{n+\theta-1} - 1+ \right) \Psi_O \\ &\quad - (\theta+1) \Psi_{IS} + (\bar{\theta}-1) \Psi_{RS}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\theta \notin \{-1, 1\}$ , then  $\Psi_B = \Psi_O = \Psi_{IS} = \Psi_{RS} = 0$ . Therefore,  $\Psi = \Psi_K \in K_n$ .  $\square$

The next statement follows from Corollary 25.

**Corollary 26.** *If  $\theta \notin \{-1, 1\}$  then  $d\mu_u$  is submersion at the point  $u = {}^\theta U$ . Therefore, there exists an open subset  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  and  $\mu(\mathcal{U})$  is an open subset in  $\mathcal{DS}_n$ .*

## References

- [1] Beltita D., Neeb KH., Schur-Weyl Theory for  $C^*$ -algebras, *Mathematische Nachrichten* 285 (2012), p. 1170 - 1198.
- [2] D. Birkhoff, Tres observaciones sobre el algebra lineal. *Univ. Nac.Tucuman Rev*, A5 (1946) 147-151
- [3] W. Fulton, J. Harris, *Representations theory ((A first Course)*, Springer, 1991, 551pp.
- [4] Nessonov N.I., An analogue of Schur–Weyl duality for the unitary group of a  $II_1$ -factor, *Mat. Sb.*, 2019, Volume 210, Number 3, Pages 162–188.
- [5] W. D. Munn, *Matrix representations of semigroups*, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **51**, 1955, 1-15.
- [6] W. D. Munn, *The characters of the symmetric inverse semigroup*, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **53**, 1957, 13-18.
- [7] A. A. Kirillov, *Elements of the theory of representations*, 2nd ed., Nauka, Moscow 1978, 343 pp.; English transl. of 1st ed., *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.*, vol. 220, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–New York 1976, xi+315 pp.
- [8] A. W. Marshall and I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and Its Applications*, (Academic Press, New York, 1979), Chapter 2
- [9] C. Grood, *A Specht Module Analog for the Rook monoid*, *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 9 (2002), #R2.
- [10] East J., Generators and relations for partition monoids and algebras, *Journal of Algebra* 339 (2011) 1–26
- [11] Popova L.M., Defining relations in some semigroups of partial transformations of a finite set, *Uchenye Zap. Leningrad Gos. Ped. Inst.* 218 (1961) 191–212 (in Russian).
- [12] Karabegov A., A mapping from the unitary to double stochastic matrices and symbol on a finite set; arXiv: 0806.2357v1 [math. OA] 14Jun 2008, 13 pp.
- [13] Neretin Yu. A., *Categories of bistochastic measures, and representations of some infinite-dimensional groups*, *Russian Acad. Sci. Sb. Math.*, 75:1 (1993), 197 - 219
- [14] Takesaki M., *Theory of Operator Algebras, v. I*, Springer, 2005, 416 pages.
- [15] Sinclair A., Smith R., *Finite von Neumann Algebras and Masas*, Cambridge University Press, London Mathematical Society, Lecture Notes Series, 351, 2008, 400 pages.

B. Verkin ILTPE of NASU - B.Verkin Institute for Low Temperature Physics and Engineering of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine  
n.nessonov@gmail.com