

A MOTIVIC INTEGRAL p -ADIC COHOMOLOGY

ALBERTO MERICI

ABSTRACT. We construct an integral p -adic cohomology that compares with rigid cohomology after inverting p . Our approach is based on the log-Witt differentials of Hyodo–Kato and log-étale motives of Binda–Park–Østvær. In case k satisfies resolutions of singularities, we moreover prove that it agrees with the “good” integral p -adic cohomology of Ertl–Shiho–Sprang: from this we deduce some interesting motivic properties and a Künneth formula for the p -adic cohomology of Ertl–Shiho–Sprang.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Recollections	4
3. Complements on $\overline{\square}$ -local sheaves	8
4. Application to log de Rham–Witt cohomology	15
5. The motivic integral p -adic cohomology	21
Appendix A. A method for computing $h_0^{\overline{\square}}$	23
Appendix B. Proof of [BM22, Conjecture 0.2] in the case with transfers	27
References	30

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let $W(k)$ be the ring of Witt vectors of k . It is now well established (see [AC21]) that there is *no* cohomology theory

$$R\Gamma: \mathbf{Sm}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op}$$

satisfying the following three hypothesis:

- (i) $R\Gamma(X)$ agrees with the rigid cohomology of X after inverting p .
- (ii) The complex $R\Gamma(X)$ is bounded and the cohomology groups are finitely generated $W(k)$ -modules.
- (iii) It satisfies finite étale descent, in the sense that for any Čech hypercover $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ associated to a finite étale Galois cover $X_0 \rightarrow X$, the induced morphism

$$R\Gamma(X) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X_\bullet)$$

is an equivalence.

In general the different incarnations of integral p -adic cohomology theories fail to satisfy (ii) for X that is not smooth and proper, as the p -torsion is very rich. On the other hand, if k satisfies resolutions of singularities, the p -adic cohomology of [ESS21] satisfies (i) and (ii), but not (iii). In fact, as checked in [AC21] and [ESS21], if one assumes (i) and (ii), the failure of (iii) follows from a failure of descent along Artin–Schreier covers of \mathbf{A}_k^1 ; as these the archetype of wild ramification at ∞ , this leads to think that if one relaxes (iii) by avoiding wild ramification, there is a possibility of obtaining a positive result.

In this paper, we study this problem assuming that k satisfies resolutions of singularities in the following sense (see [BPØ22, Definition 7.6.3]):

A.M. is supported by the RCN, project 313472 *Equations in Motivic Homotopy*.

(RS1) For any integral scheme X of finite type over k , there is a proper birational morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ of schemes over k , which is an isomorphism on the smooth locus, such that Y is smooth over k .

(RS2) Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be a proper birational morphism of integral schemes over k such that X is smooth over k and let Z_1, \dots, Z_r be smooth divisors forming a strict normal crossing divisor on X . Assume that

$$f^{-1}(X - Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_r) \rightarrow X - Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_r$$

is an isomorphism. Then there is a sequence of blow-ups

$$X_n \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} X_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-2}} \dots \xrightarrow{f_0} X_0 \simeq X$$

along smooth centers $W_i \subseteq X_i$ such that

- (i) the composition $X_n \rightarrow X$ factors through f ,
- (ii) W_i is contained in the preimage of $Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_r$ in X_i ,
- (iii) W_i has strict normal crossing with the sum of the reduced strict transforms of

$$Z_1, \dots, Z_r, f_0^{-1}(W_0), \dots, f_{i-1}^{-1}(W_{i-1})$$

in X_i .

If k satisfies RS1 and RS2 above, then for all $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ there exists \overline{X} smooth and proper together with an open immersion $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ such that $\overline{X} - X$ is a divisor with simple normal crossings (from now on: a smooth Cartier compactification). We will consider then the log crystalline cohomology of [Hyo98] of the logarithmic scheme $(\overline{X}, \partial X)$, where ∂X is the compactifying log structure associated to $X \subseteq \overline{X}$: by [Nak12, (1.0.7, Cor 11.7.1)], this cohomology groups are finitely generated $W(k)$ -modules and by inverting p it compares with the rigid cohomology of X .

The main objective is the proof of the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Let k be a field that satisfies RS1 and RS2 above. Then the cohomology theory*

$$R\Gamma_p: X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k) \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}((\overline{X}, \partial X)/W(k)) \in \mathcal{D}(W(k))$$

is well defined (i.e. does not depend on the choice of a compactification), satisfies the properties (i) and (ii) above and the following additional property:

- (iii)' *It satisfies finite tame¹ descent, in the sense that for any Čech hypercover $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ associated to a finite tame Galois cover $X_0 \rightarrow X$, the induced morphism*

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(X_\bullet)$$

is an equivalence.

We give a brief summary on the proof. In order to attack the problem, we translate it in a representability problem in the stable ∞ -category of logarithmic motives over a field, recently constructed by Binda–Park–Østvær in [BPØ22] (see Section 2.1 for a recollection): for $S \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ (with trivial log structure), the main object of our studies is the sheaf of truncated log de Rham–Witt sheaves $W_m \Lambda_{X/S}^q$ of [Mok93] and [Mat17]. The main step is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *The cohomology of the sheaves $W_m \Lambda^q$ is representable in $\mathbf{logDA}_{\text{let}}^{\text{eff}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Moreover, if $S = \text{Spec}(k)$, then the sheaves $W_m \Lambda^q$ have log transfers and their cohomology is representable in $\mathbf{logDM}_{\text{let}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$*

Once we have this result at our disposal, we deduce that for $X \in \mathbf{SmSm}(S)$ the log crystalline cohomology of [Hyo98]:

$$R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(-/W(k)): \mathbf{SmSm}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op} \quad X \mapsto \text{holim}_m R\Gamma(X, W_m \Lambda^\bullet)$$

¹Thanks to [KS10, Theorem 1.1], there is no ambiguity in various notions of the adjective *tame*.

factors through $\mathbf{log} \mathcal{DA}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ (resp. in $\mathbf{log} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$ if $S = \text{Spec}(k)$).

At this point, the hypothesis RS1 and RS2 come in action: in fact, under these hypotheses, by [BPØ22, Theorem 8.2.16] there is a fully faithful functor

$$\mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \mathbf{log} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$$

from the category of Voevodsky motives to the category of log motives that sends the Voevodsky motive $M^V(X)$ of a smooth scheme X to the log motive of *any* smooth Cartier compactification $M(\overline{X}, \partial X)$. In particular, this implies that the cohomology $R\Gamma_p$ agrees with the composition

(1.2.1)

$$\mathbf{Sm}(k) \xrightarrow{M^V} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \mathbf{log} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{L_{\text{ét}}} \mathbf{log} \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}} \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op}$$

In particular, we conclude that $R\Gamma_p$ is well defined. Finally, the property (iii)' follows from a comparison between tame and log-étale Galois coverings due to Fujiwara and Kato (see Lemma 5.3).

The key technical point of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the transfer structure that is needed in order to exploit [BPØ22, Theorem 8.2.16]. This will follow from a comparison with the sheaf with transfers $\mathcal{L}og(W_m \Omega^n)$, where the functor $\mathcal{L}og$ was constructed in [Sai21] for the reciprocity sheaves of [KSYR16]: the proof will follow from a comparison of two Gysin sequences, after some general properties on $\overline{\square}$ -invariant dNis-sheaves which generalize the work of Morel [Mor12] on \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant sheaves.

Finally, we mention that a similar cohomology theory was constructed in [ESS21], under some stronger hypotheses on resolutions of singularity. In fact, by [ESS21, Corollary 1.42], under these stronger hypotheses the cohomology agrees with $R\Gamma_p$.

In fact, the factorization of the cohomology through $\mathbf{log} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}$ implies for free the following properties:

- (1) (Theorem 5.4) Projective bundle formula: for $E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of rank $n + 1$ and $\mathbf{P}(E)$ the associated projective bundle, there is an equivalence

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^n R\Gamma_p(X) \simeq R\Gamma_p(\mathbf{P}(E))$$

which, if X is proper, agrees with the projective bundle formula of [Gro85].

- (2) (Theorem 5.5) Purity: let $Z \subseteq X$ be smooth closed subset of codimension d and $U = X - Z$. Then there is a fiber sequence

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(U) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(Z)[d]$$

- (3) (Theorem 5.6) Künneth: for $X, Y \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ there is an equivalence

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \widehat{\star}^{\mathcal{L}} R\Gamma_p(Y) \simeq R\Gamma_p(X \times Y)$$

where $\widehat{\star}^{\mathcal{L}}$ is Ekedahl's complete-derived product of modules on the Raynaud ring $R(k)$.

We remark that the definition of the cohomology $R\Gamma_p$ in (1.2.1) does not require resolutions of singularities. In fact, without this assumption, the cohomology still satisfies Nisnevich descent and weaker versions of PBF and purity by the analogous properties of Voevodsky's motivic category $\mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k)$. We leave this for a future work to study more in detail the objects $\omega^* M^V(X)$ without the assumption RS1 and RS2, in order to avoid these hypotheses.

In Appendix A, we show a method to compute $h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}$ in some special cases, and we deduce (without any assumption on resolution of singularities) a computation of $\pi_i M^{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1)$, which will be useful in Appendix B to deduce from 3.10 a fully faithfulness property, which will prove [BM22, Conjecture 0.2] in the case with transfers and correct the gap in [BM21,

Section 7]. This corrects and improves [BM21, Section 7], as the assumption on RS can be avoided.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank J. Ayoub for great advice and feedback about some delicate points of Section 3 and Appendix B, for pointing out some mistakes in previous versions of the paper and for suggesting the use of residue maps. He also thanks F. Binda, V. Ertl, D. Park, K. Rülling, S. Saito and P.A. Østvær for many valuable discussions and comments. Part of this paper was written while the author was a visiting fellow at the University of Milan, and he is very thankful for the hospitality and the great work environment.

Notation 1.3. For $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$, we let X_{Zar} (resp X_{Nis}) be the small Zariski (resp. Nisnevich) site of X .

For $F \in \mathbf{PSh}(k)$, we let F_X be the presheaf on X_{Nis} such that for any étale map $U \rightarrow X$,

$$F_X(U) = F(U).$$

If $F \in \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Zar}}(k)$ (resp. $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(k)$), then by definition $F_X \in \mathbf{Shv}(X_{\text{Zar}})$ (resp. $\mathbf{Shv}(X_{\text{Nis}})$). Similarly, for $X = (\underline{X}, \partial X) \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ and $F \in \mathbf{PSh}(k)$, we let F_X be the presheaf on \underline{X} such that for any étale map $U \rightarrow \underline{X}$,

$$F_X(U) = F(U, \partial X|_U).$$

If $F \in \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{sZar}}^{\log}(k)$ (resp. $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{sNis}}^{\log}(k)$ or $\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\log}(k)$), then by definition $F_X \in \mathbf{Shv}(X_{\text{Zar}})$ (resp. $\mathbf{Shv}(X_{\text{Nis}})$).

2. RECOLLECTIONS

In this section, we recall the main results on logarithmic motives and reciprocity sheaves.

2.1. Recollections on log motives. We recall the construction of the ∞ -category of logarithmic motives of [BPØ22] and some properties. The standard reference for log schemes is [Ogu18]. We denote by $\mathbf{ISm}(S)$ the category of fs log smooth log schemes over the log scheme (S, triv) . We are typically interested in the case where $S = \text{Spec}(k)$. For $X \in \mathbf{ISm}(S)$, we will denote by \underline{X} the underlying scheme of X , by X° the open subscheme where the log structure is trivial (we will refer to it as the *trivial locus*), by ∂X the log structure and by $|\partial X|$ its support, seen as a reduced closed subscheme of X .

Let $\mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ be the full subcategory of $\mathbf{ISm}(S)$ having for objects $X \in \mathbf{ISm}(S)$ such that \underline{X} is smooth over S . By e.g. [BPØ22, A.5.10], then in this case ∂X is supported on a strict normal crossing divisor on \underline{X} and the log scheme $(\underline{X}, \partial X)$ is isomorphic to the compactifying log structure associated to the open embedding $\underline{X} - |\partial X| \hookrightarrow \underline{X}$. If D is a strict normal crossing divisor on \underline{X} , we will often write $(\underline{X}, D) \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ meaning the log scheme with log structure supported on D .

A morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of fs log schemes is called *strict* if the log structure on X is the pullback log structure from Y . In case both X and Y are objects of $\mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$, this translate to an equality $\partial X = f^*(\partial Y)$ as reduced normal crossing divisors on X . If Z is a closed subscheme of \underline{X} , we will often denote by $X - Z \subseteq X$ the strict open immersion $(\underline{X} - Z, \partial X|_{\underline{X}-Z}) \hookrightarrow X$.

We denote by $\mathbf{PSh}^{\log}(S, A)$ the category of presheaves of A modules on $\mathbf{ISm}(S)$. It has naturally the structure of closed monoidal category. If τ is a Grothendieck topology on $\mathbf{ISm}(S)$ (see below), we write $\mathbf{Shv}_{\tau}^{\log}(S, A)$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbf{PSh}^{\log}(S, A)$ consisting of τ -sheaves.

Let $\mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ be the category of fs log smooth S -schemes $(X, \partial X)$ which are essentially smooth over S , i.e. a limit $\varprojlim_{i \in I} X_i$ over a cofiltered set I , where $X_i \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ and all transition maps are strict étale (i.e. they are strict maps of log schemes such that the underlying maps $f_{ij}: \underline{X}_i \rightarrow \underline{X}_j$ are étale). For $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ and $x \in X$, let

$\iota: \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}) \rightarrow X$ and $\iota^h: \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h) \rightarrow X$, be the canonical morphism. Then we will denote by X_x and X_x^h respectively the localization ($\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}), \iota^*(\partial X)$) and henselization ($\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^h), (\iota^h)^*(\partial X)$): both lie in $\widetilde{\mathbf{SmlSm}}(S)$. We frequently allow $F \in \mathbf{PSh}^{\mathrm{log}}(S, A)$ to take values on objects of $\widetilde{\mathbf{SmlSm}}(S)$ by setting $F(X) := \varinjlim_{i \in I} F(X_i)$ for X as above.

For τ a Grothendieck topology on $\mathbf{Sch}(S)$, the *strict* topology $s\tau$ on $\mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ is the Grothendieck topology generated by covers $\{e_i: X_i \rightarrow X\}$ such that $\underline{e}_i: \underline{X}_i \rightarrow \underline{X}$ is a τ -cover and each e_i is strict. Moreover, recall that a morphism of fs log schemes $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called Kummer-étale if it is exact and log étale, see [BPØ22, Proposition A.8.4]. A typical example is given by $(\mathbf{A}_k^1, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbf{A}_k^1, 0)$, $t \mapsto t^n$, where n is coprime to $\mathrm{char}(k)$. The Kummer-étale topology is the topology generated by Kummer covers: it is finer than the strict étale. Recall from [BPØ22, 3.1.4] that a cartesian square of fs log schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{g'} & Y \\ \downarrow f' & & \downarrow f \\ X' & \xrightarrow{g} & X \end{array}$$

is a *dividing distinguished square* if $Y' = X' = \emptyset$ and f is a log modification, in the sense of F. Kato [Kat21] (see [BPØ22, A.11.9] for more details on log modifications). The collection of dividing distinguished squares forms a cd structure on $\mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$, called the *dividing cd structure*. For τ a Grothendieck topology on $\mathbf{Sch}(S)$, the *dividing* topology $d\tau$ on $\mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ is the topology on $\mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ generated by the strict topology $s\tau$ and the dividing cd structure. Moreover, we denote by $\mathrm{lét}$ the *log-étale topology*, i.e. topology generated by the Kummer-étale topology and the dividing cd structure. We denote by $\mathbf{Shv}_{d\tau}^{\mathrm{log}}(S, A) \subset \mathbf{PSh}^{\mathrm{log}}(S, A)$ (resp. $\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathrm{két}}^{\mathrm{log}}(S, A)$, resp. $\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathrm{lét}}^{\mathrm{log}}(S, A)$) the subcategory of $d\tau$ -sheaves (resp. két-sheaves, resp. lét-sheaves), with exact sheafification functors $a_{d\tau}$, (resp. $a_{\mathrm{két}}$, resp. $a_{\mathrm{lét}}$). By [BPØ22, Theorem 1.2.2], for $\tau \in \{\mathrm{Nis}, \mathrm{ét}\}$, F an $s\tau$ -sheaf (resp. a két-sheaf) we have

$$H_{d\tau}^n(X, a_{d\tau}F) \simeq \varinjlim_Y H_{s\tau}^n(Y, F) \quad (\text{resp. } H_{\mathrm{lét}}^n(X, a_{\mathrm{lét}}F) \simeq \varinjlim_Y H_{\mathrm{két}}^n(Y, F))$$

where the colimit runs over all log modifications $Y \rightarrow X$. Following [BPØ22], we denote by $\mathbf{ICor}(k)$ the category of finite log correspondences over k . It is a variant of the Suslin–Voevodsky category of finite correspondences $\mathbf{Cor}(k)$. It has the same objects as $\mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ ², and morphisms are given by the free abelian subgroup

$$\mathbf{ICor}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathbf{Cor}(X - \partial X, Y - \partial Y)$$

generated by elementary correspondences $V^o \subset (X - \partial X) \times (Y - \partial Y)$ such that the closure $V \subset \underline{X} \times \underline{Y}$ is finite and surjective over (a component of) \underline{X} and such that there exists a morphism of log schemes $V^N \rightarrow Y$, where V^N is the fs log scheme whose underlying scheme is the normalization of V and whose log structure is given by the inverse image log structure along the composition $\underline{V}^N \rightarrow \underline{X} \times \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{X}$. See [BPØ22, 2.1] for more details, and for the proof that this definition gives indeed a category.

Additive presheaves (of A -modules) on the category $\mathbf{ICor}(k)$ will be called *presheaves (of A -modules) with log transfers*. Write $\mathbf{PSh}^{\mathrm{ltr}}(k, A)$ for the resulting category, and by $\mathbf{Shv}_{d\tau}^{\mathrm{ltr}}(k, A) \subset \mathbf{PSh}^{\mathrm{ltr}}(k, A)$ (resp. $\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathrm{lét}}^{\mathrm{ltr}}(k, A)$) the subcategory of $d\tau$ (resp. lét) sheaves with transfers. By [BPØ22, Theorem 4.5.7], the sheafification $a_{d\tau}$ (resp. $a_{\mathrm{lét}}$) preserves transfers.

Let $T \in \{\mathrm{dNis}, \mathrm{dét}, \mathrm{lét}\}$. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_T^{\mathrm{log}}(S, A))$, resp. $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_T^{\mathrm{ltr}}(k, A))$, be the derived stable ∞ -category of the Grothendieck abelian category $\mathbf{Shv}_T^{\mathrm{log}}(S, A)$, resp. $\mathbf{Shv}_T^{\mathrm{ltr}}(k, A)$, as in [Lur17, Section 1.3.5]: it is equivalent to the underlying ∞ -category of the model

²Notice that this notation conflicts with the notation of [BPØ22] where the objects were the same as $\mathbf{ISm}(k)$, although the categories of sheaves are the same in light of [BPØ22, Lemma 4.7.2]

category $\mathbf{Cpx}(\mathbf{PSh}^{\log}(S, A))$, resp. $\mathbf{Cpx}(\mathbf{PSh}^{\text{ltr}}(k, A))$, with the T -local model structure used in [BPØ22] and [BM21].

Finally (see [BPØ22, Section 5.2]), let $\bar{\square} := (\mathbf{P}^1, \infty)$.

Definition 2.1. The stable ∞ -category $\mathbf{logDM}_T^{\text{eff}}(S, A)$ (resp. $\mathbf{logDM}_T^{\text{eff}}(k, A)$) is the localization of the stable ∞ -category $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_\tau^{\log}(S, A))$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_T^{\text{ltr}}(k, A))$) with respect to the class of maps

$$(a_T A(\bar{\square} \times X))[n] \rightarrow (a_T A(X))[n] \quad (\text{resp. } (a_T A_{\text{ltr}}(\bar{\square} \times X))[n] \rightarrow (a_T A_{\text{ltr}}(X))[n])$$

for all $X \in \mathbf{SmSm}(k)$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We let $L_{(T, \bar{\square})}^{\log}$ (resp. $L_{(T, \bar{\square})}^{\text{ltr}}$) be the localization functor and for $X \in \mathbf{SmSm}(k)$, we will let $M_T^{\log}(X) = L_{(T, \bar{\square})}^{\log}(a_T A(X))$ (resp. $M_T^{\text{ltr}}(X) = L_{(T, \bar{\square})}^{\text{ltr}}(a_T A_{\text{ltr}}(X))$).

If $T = \text{dNis}$, we will often drop it from the notation. We recall the following result [BM21, Thm. 5.7]:

Theorem 2.2. *The standard t -structures of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\log}(k, A))$ and $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}(k, A))$ induce accessible t -structures on $\mathbf{logDA}^{\text{eff}}(k, A)$ and $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, A)$ compatible with filtered colimits in the sense of [Lur17, Def. 1.3.5.20], called the homotopy t -structures.*

We denote by $\mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$ (resp. $\mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}(k, A)$) its heart, which is then identified with the category of strictly $\bar{\square}$ -invariant dNis -sheaves and it is a Grothendieck abelian category. The inclusions

$$\mathbf{logCI}(k, A) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\log}(k, A) \quad \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}(k, A) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}(k, A)$$

admit both a left adjoint $h_0^{\text{ltr}}: F \mapsto \pi_0(L_{(d\tau, \bar{\square})}(F[0]))$ and a right adjoint h_{ltr}^0 (see [BM21, Proposition 5.8]), in particular they are exact.

Recall from [BPØ22, (4.3.4)] that the functor $\omega: X \mapsto X^\circ$ induces an adjunction

$$(2.2.1) \quad \mathbf{Shv}_{d\tau}(k, \Lambda) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\omega_{\sharp}} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \end{array} \mathbf{Shv}_\tau(k, \Lambda)$$

where for $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$, $\omega_{\sharp}F(Y) = F(Y, \text{triv})$ and for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$, $\omega^*F(X) = F(\underline{X} - |\partial X|)$. Moreover, since ω is monoidal by construction, ω_{\sharp} is monoidal. It is immediate that ω^* and ω_{\sharp} preserve transfers, and induce adjunctions of stable ∞ -categories:

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{d\tau}(k, \Lambda)) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\omega_{\sharp}} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \end{array} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_\tau(k, \Lambda)) \quad \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{d\tau}^{\text{ltr}}(k, \Lambda)) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\omega_{\sharp}} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \end{array} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_\tau^{\text{ltr}}(k, \Lambda))$$

As observed in [BM21, Proposition 5.11], the adjunctions above induce:

$$\mathbf{logDA}^{\text{eff}}(k, \Lambda) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{L\omega_{\sharp}} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \end{array} \mathcal{DA}^{\text{eff}}(k, \Lambda) \quad \mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \Lambda) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{L^{\text{ltr}}\omega_{\sharp}} \\ \xrightarrow{\omega^*} \end{array} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \Lambda),$$

and the functors ω^* are t -exact for the homotopy t -structures. Moreover, if k satisfies RS1 and RS2, then $\omega^*: \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \Lambda) \rightarrow \mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \Lambda)$ is fully faithful and exact, and sends the Voevodsky motive $M^V(X)$ to the log motive of any smooth Cartier compactification $(\bar{X}, \partial X)$.

2.2. Recollection on reciprocity sheaves. Recall the abelian category of reciprocity sheaves $\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}(k) \subseteq \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}^{\text{tr}}(k)$, defined in equivalent ways in [KSYR16], [KSY21] and [RS21]. It contains Voevodsky's category \mathbf{HI}^{tr} of \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant sheaves and many other interesting non- \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant sheaves like Ω^n and $W_m \Omega^n$.

By [Sai21] there is a fully faithful and exact functor

$$\mathcal{Log}: \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}(k, A) \rightarrow \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}(k, A).$$

such that if $F \in \mathbf{HI}^{\text{tr}}$ then $\mathcal{L}og(F) = \omega^*F$. We recall the construction of the Gysin map in [BRS22], in the special case that will be needed later. Let $F \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}(k)$: we put $\gamma^1 F := \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, F)$. Let $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ and $i: D \subseteq X$ a smooth divisor. By [BRS22, Theorem 5.10] there is a cycle class map of sheaves on the small Nisnevich site of X (see Notation 1.3):

$$(2.2.2) \quad c(D): \gamma^1 F_X \rightarrow R^1 \underline{\Gamma}_D(F_X),$$

which can be described as follows. Let $j: X - D \rightarrow X$ be the open immersion so that $R^1 \underline{\Gamma}_D(F_X) \cong j_* F_{X-D}/F_X$. If t is a local equation of D in X , then let $f_t: X - D \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}$ be the induced morphism and let $\Delta: X - D \rightarrow X \times (X - D)$ be the composition of the diagonal and $j \times id$. Let $a \in \gamma^1 F(X)$ that restricts to $\tilde{a} \in F(X \times (\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}))$ via the surjective map $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$. Then by [BRS22, (5.10.3)] we have that $c(D)(a)$ is the class of $\Delta^*(\Gamma_t \times id)^*(\tilde{a})$ in $j_* F_{X-D}/F_X$.

Assume now that $i: D \rightarrow X$ has a retraction $q: X \rightarrow D$. Then we have a map:

$$(2.2.3)$$

$$i_* \gamma^1 F_D \rightarrow i_* q_* \gamma^1 F_X \xrightarrow{i_* q_* (2.2.2)} i_* q_* R^1 \underline{\Gamma}_D(F_X) = i_* q_* i_* R^1 i^!(F_X) \cong i_* R^1 i^!(F_X) = R^1 \underline{\Gamma}_D(F_X).$$

By composing with the “forget support” map and by shifting -1 one gets a map in the homotopy category $D(\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}(X))$:

$$(2.2.4) \quad g_{D/X}^{\text{BRS}}: i_* \gamma^1 F_D[-1] \rightarrow F_X.$$

which by [BRS22, Theorem 7.16] corresponds to the extension

$$(2.2.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow F_X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og(F)_{(X,D)} \rightarrow i_* \gamma^1 F_D \rightarrow 0.$$

2.3. Recollection on log de Rham–Witt sheaves and complex. Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ and let S be a Noetherian k -scheme and $W_m(S)$ the sheaf of m -truncated Witt vectors over S , with Frobenius σ .

For $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ and $m, q \geq 0$, we consider $W_m \Lambda_{X/(S, \text{triv})}^q$ the sheaf on $\underline{X}_{\text{Zar}}$ of logarithmic differentials of [Mat17]. For ∂X trivial, it agrees with $W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}/S}^q$ of [III79], and if $q = 0$ it agrees with the m -truncated Witt sheaf of \underline{X} (in particular, it does not depend on ∂X). The assignment

$$X \mapsto \Gamma(X, W_m \Lambda_{X/(S, \text{triv})}^q)$$

defines a sét-sheaf on $\mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$ by [Mat17, Proposition 3.7], that we will briefly indicate as $W_m \Lambda_S^q$. It comes equipped with usual maps F, V and d , which make $\{W_m \Lambda_S^q\}_m$ a universal log F - V procomplex. Notice that in [Mat17, 7.2] (and only in that section), this sheaf is indicated as $W_m \tilde{\Lambda}^q$, and $W_m \Lambda^q$ is the sheaf over a base with log structure. We will only work over a base with trivial log structure, so the extra notation $W_m \tilde{\Lambda}^q$ is unnecessary.

Recall the weight filtration on $W_m \Lambda^q$:

$$P_j W_m \Lambda_X^q := \text{Im}(W_m \Lambda_X^j \otimes W_m \Omega_X^{q-j} \rightarrow W_m \Lambda_X^q)$$

For $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$, let $\partial X^{(j)}$ be the disjoint union of the j -by- j intersections of the components of $|\partial X|$ and let $\iota^{(j)}: \partial X^{(j)} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be the inclusion. By convention, we put $\partial X^{(0)} := \underline{X}$. For all j , we have exact sequences of sheaves on $\underline{X}_{\text{Nis}}$ (see [Mat17, Lemma 8.4] and [Mok93, 1.4]):

$$(2.2.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow P_{j-1} W_m \Lambda_X^q \rightarrow P_j W_m \Lambda_X^q \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \iota_*^{(j)} W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)}}^{q-j} \rightarrow 0$$

In case ∂X has only one component D , then the sequence above gives an exact sequence of sheaves on $\underline{X}_{\text{Nis}}$

$$(2.2.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^q \rightarrow W_m \Lambda_X^q \rightarrow i_* W_m \Omega_D^{q-1} \rightarrow 0.$$

The class of this extension gives a map in the homotopy derived category $D(\mathbf{Shv}(\underline{X}_{\text{Nis}}))$:

$$(2.2.8) \quad g_{D/X}^{\text{Gros}}: i_* W_m \Omega_D^{q-1}[-1] \rightarrow W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^q.$$

By [Mok93, 4.6], this map agrees with the Gysin map of [Gro85, II, Prop 3.3.9]: if D is locally given by the equation $t = 0$, then for any local lift $D_m \hookrightarrow X_m$ to W_m , for any $[\omega] \in W_m \Omega_D^{q-1}$ and any lift $\omega \in \Omega_{D_m/W_m}^{q-1}$, then $g_{D/X}^{\text{Gros}}$ maps $[\omega]$ to the image of $\omega \wedge \text{dlog}(t) \in \underline{H}_D^1(\Omega_{X_m/W_m}^q)$. By composing with the “forget support” map and by shifting -1 one gets (2.2.8).

In general, we let $W\Lambda = \text{“lim”}_m W_m \Lambda^\bullet$ denote the log de Rham–Witt complex and consider the cohomology theory

$$R\Gamma(-, W\Lambda_S): \mathbf{SmlSm}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op} \quad X \mapsto \text{holim}_m R\Gamma(X, W_m \Lambda_{X/S}^\bullet)$$

Recall that the Raynaud ring $R(k)$ of Ekedahl [Eke85] is the graded $W(k)$ -algebra $R^0 \oplus R^1$ generated by elements F and V in degree 0 and d in degree 1, subject to the relations $FV = VF = p$, $Fa = a^\sigma F$, $aV = Va^\sigma$, $ad = da$ ($a \in W(k)$), $d^2 = 0$, $FdV = d$. Recall that the category of modules over the Raynaud ring admits a universal tensor product \star and a derived-complete tensor product $\widehat{\star}^L$ in $\mathcal{D}(R(k))$. We recall that similarly to [Ill83, (2.6.1.2) and (5.1.1)], the dga structure of the log de Rham–Witt complex and the Čech comparison give rise to a product map in $\mathcal{D}(W(k)[d])$

$$R\Gamma(X, W\Lambda_{X/S}) \otimes^L R\Gamma(Y, W\Lambda_{X/S}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X \times Y, W\Lambda_{X/S})$$

which is functorial in X and Y . Since $R\Gamma(X \times Y, W\Lambda) \in \mathcal{D}(R(k))$ and is derived-complete by construction, the product map induces a map

$$(2.2.9) \quad R\Gamma(X, W\Lambda) \widehat{\star}^L R\Gamma(Y, W\Lambda) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X \times Y, W\Lambda)$$

which is functorial in X and Y by [Eke85, I. Theorem 6.2]. If X and Y have trivial log structure and $S = \text{Spec}(k)$, the previous map is an equivalence by [Eke85, Corollary 1.2.5]³. We will prove in Proposition 4.7 that (2.2.9) is always an equivalence using the motivic properties of the sheaves $W_m \Lambda^n$.

3. COMPLEMENTS ON $\overline{\square}$ -LOCAL SHEAVES

In this section, we prove some technical results on $\overline{\square}$ -local sheaves, generalizing the results of [Mor12] on \mathbf{A}^1 -local sheaves. First we show the following immediate result:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $U \subseteq \mathbf{A}^1$ be dense open. Then for all $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$ and $i > 0$*

$$H_{\text{dNis}}^i(U, F) = 0$$

Proof. Let $F_{\overline{\square}}$ and F_U as in Notation 1.3. For $j: U \subseteq \mathbf{P}^1$, we have that $R^i j_* = 0$ for $i > 0$, hence by [BM21, Theroem 5.10] we have a short exact sequence in $\mathbf{Shv}(\mathbf{P}_{\text{Nis}}^1)$

$$0 \rightarrow F_{\overline{\square}} \rightarrow j_* F_U \rightarrow \text{coker} \rightarrow 0$$

where coker is supported on $\mathbf{P}^1 - U$, which has dimension 0, so $H_{\text{Nis}}^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{coker}) = 0$. Since $F \in \mathbf{logCI}$, $H_{\text{Nis}}^1(\mathbf{P}^1, F_{\overline{\square}}) = H_{\text{dNis}}^1(\overline{\square}, F) = 0$, hence

$$H_{\text{dNis}}^1(U, F) = H_{\text{Nis}}^1(\mathbf{P}^1, j_* F_U) = 0$$

□

We give the following definition, extending [Mor12, Definition 1.1]:

Definition 3.2. Let $F \in \mathbf{PSh}^{\text{log}}(k, A)$. We say that F is *unramified* if the following statements hold:

- (1) For any $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ with irreducible components $\{X_\alpha\}$, the obvious map $F(X) \rightarrow \prod_\alpha F(X_\alpha)$ is a bijection.

³a small descent argument is needed, see [Ill83, Theorem 5.1.2]

- (2) For any $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ and any dense strict open subscheme $U \subseteq X$ the restriction map $F(X) \rightarrow F(U)$ is injective.
- (3) For any $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$, irreducible with generic point η_X , the injective map $F(X) \hookrightarrow \bigcap_{x \in X^{(1)}} F(X_x)$ is a bijection of subobjects of $F(\eta_X)$.

In this section, we will show the following result:

Theorem 3.3. *Let $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$. Then F is unramified.*

Remark 3.4. As observed in [Mor12], if F satisfies (1) and (2), then F satisfies (3) if and only if it satisfies

- (3') For all $Z \subseteq \underline{X}$ of codimension ≥ 2 , the map $F(X) \rightarrow F(\underline{X} - Z, \partial X|_{\underline{X}-Z})$ is a bijection.

Notice that every $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$ satisfies (1), being a sheaf, and (2) by [BM21, Theorem 5.10]. Moreover, by [Sai21, Section 2], for all $G \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}$, $\mathcal{L}og(G)$ satisfies (3'), hence it is unramified.

The key part of the proof of Theorem 3.3 is the following:

Lemma 3.5. *Let $X \in \widetilde{\mathbf{SmlSm}}(k)$ such that \underline{X} is a local scheme of dimension $n \geq 2$ with closed point x . Then for all $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$ we have an isomorphism*

$$F(X) \cong F(X - x)$$

and an injective map:

$$H_{\text{dNis}}^1(X, F) \hookrightarrow H_{\text{dNis}}^1(X - x, F).$$

Proof. Let (X^h, x^h) be the henselization of (X, x) and let $j: (X - x) \hookrightarrow X$ be the strict open immersion. Then we have a strict Nisnevich square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X^h - x^h) & \xrightarrow{j^h} & X^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (X - x) & \xrightarrow{j} & X, \end{array}$$

in particular $\text{Cofib}(F(j)) \simeq \text{Cofib}(F(j^h))$. Moreover, since X^h is henselian and $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$, we have that $H_{\text{dNis}}^1(X^h, F) \cong H_{\text{sNis}}^1(X^h, F) = 0$ by [BPØ22, (7.8.1)], so we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(j)} & F(X - x) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Cofib}(F(j)) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{dNis}}^1(X, F) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(X^h) & \xrightarrow{F(j^h)} & F(X^h - x) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(F(j^h)) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

In particular, is enough to show that $\text{coker}(F(j^h)) = 0$, hence we can suppose that X is itself henselian. Let r be the number of components of ∂X . As observed in [BM21, Lemma 4.3] and [Sai20, Lemma 6.1], there is a regular sequence $(t_1 \dots t_n)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{X}}(\underline{X})$ and an isomorphism $\underline{X} \cong \text{Spec}(k(x)\{t_1, \dots, t_n\})$ such that $|\partial X|$ has local equation $t_1 \dots t_r$. If $r < n$, let $X' = (\underline{X}, \partial X')$ where $|\partial X'|$ has local equation $t_1 \dots t_{r+1}$, and let $j': X' \rightarrow X' - x$ be the open immersion. Let D_{r+1} be the divisor with local equation $t_{r+1} = 0$ on \underline{X} . Then as observed in [BM21, (4.5.8)], the localization sequence of [BPØ22, Theorem 7.5.4] induces a commutative diagram where the rows are exact and the columns are injective maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(X) & \longrightarrow & F(X') & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 \text{Map}(MTh(N_{D_{r+1}/X}), F) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow F(j) & & \downarrow F(j') & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(X - x) & \longrightarrow & F(X' - x) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1 \text{Map}(MTh(N_{D_{r+1}-x/X-x}), F), & & \end{array}$$

in particular $\text{coker}F(j) \subseteq \text{coker}F(j')$, so we can suppose that $r = n$. In this case, there is a strict étale map

$$X \rightarrow \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n}$$

which induces the following strict Nisnevich square in $\widetilde{\mathbf{SmlSm}}(k)$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X - x) & \xrightarrow{j} & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n} - \infty^n) & \xrightarrow{j'} & \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n}, \end{array}$$

where ∞^n is the point $(\infty, \dots, \infty)_{k(x)}$ of $\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n}$, so we have $\text{Cofib}(F(j)) \simeq \text{Cofib}(F(j'))$. Since $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$, we have that $H_{\text{dNis}}^1(\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n}, F) = 0$, so we have following commutative diagram where the rows are short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n}) & \xrightarrow{F(j')} & F(\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n} - \infty^n) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Cofib}(F(j')) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(X) & \xrightarrow{F(j)} & F(X - x) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Cofib}(F(j)) \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

hence it is enough to show that $F(j')$ is an isomorphism. Let $L \in \widetilde{\mathbf{SmlSm}}(k)$ be a field. For $Y \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(L)$ and $y \in Y^\circ$ an L -rational point, we let $\text{str}: Y \rightarrow (\text{Spec}(L), \text{triv})$ be the structural morphism and $i_y: (\text{Spec}(L), \text{triv}) \rightarrow Y$ be the closed immersion of y , and $F(Y, i_y)$ be the complement of the induced split:

$$(3.5.1) \quad F(\text{Spec}(L), \text{triv}) \xrightarrow[F(\text{str})]{} F(Y), \quad \xleftarrow{F(i)}$$

In our case, we will fix the inclusion i_{0^n} of $0^n := (0, \dots, 0)_{k(x)}$ in $(\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n} - \infty^n)$ and its open neighborhoods. To conclude, it is enough to show that $F((\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n} - \infty^n), i_{0^n}) = 0$: this would imply that the map $F(j')$ is surjective. Consider the open subschemes $\mathbf{A}^1 \times (\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times n-1}$ and $(\mathbf{P}^1) \times \mathbf{A}^1 \times (\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times n-2}$ of $(\mathbf{P}^1)^{\times n} - \infty^n$: this induces a commutative square (not a cover!)

$$(3.5.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{A}^2 \times \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n-2} & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1} & \mathbf{A}^1 \times \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n-1} \\ \downarrow \alpha_2 & & \downarrow \\ \overline{\square} \times \mathbf{A}^1 \times \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n} - \infty^n \end{array}$$

Let $p_i: \mathbf{A}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ denote the i -th projection. By $\overline{\square}$ -invariance, we have for $i = 0, 1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{A}^2 \times \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n-2}) & \xrightarrow{h_0^{\overline{\square}} \alpha_{i+1}} & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\overline{\square}^{\times i} \times \mathbf{A}^1 \times \overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times n-1-i}) \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{A}^2 \times k(x)) & \xrightarrow{h_0^{\overline{\square}} p_{i+1}} & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{A}^1 \times k(x)). \end{array}$$

Let $i_0: \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1$ and $i_{(0,0)}: \text{Spec}(k(x)) \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^2$ be the inclusions of the point 0 and (0, 0) respectively: the maps i_{0^n} clearly factor through i_0 and $i_{(0,0)}$ followed by the inclusions of $\mathbf{A}^1 \times 0^{n-1}$, $0^n \times \mathbf{A}^1 \times 0^{n-2}$ and $\mathbf{A}^2 \times 0^{n-2}$, hence (3.5.2) induces the following

commutative square:

$$(3.5.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F((\overline{\square}_{k(x)}^{\times r} - \infty^n), i_{0^n}) & \xleftarrow{\ell_2} & F((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1), i_0) \\ \downarrow \ell_1 & & \downarrow F(p_2^0) \\ F((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1), i_0) & \xrightarrow{F(p_1^0)} & F((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^2), i_{(0,0)}) \end{array}$$

where the maps ℓ_i are injective by purity of F ([BM21, Theorem 5.10]). We will conclude by showing that the map ℓ_1 is the zero map. We have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 \\ \parallel & \swarrow \text{str} & \downarrow id \times i_0 \\ \text{Spec}(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{i_{(0,0)}} & \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^2 \\ \parallel & \swarrow \text{str} & \downarrow p_1 \\ \text{Spec}(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec}(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 \\ \parallel & \swarrow \text{str} & \downarrow id \times i_0 \\ \text{Spec}(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{i_{(0,0)}} & \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^2 \\ \parallel & \swarrow \text{str} & \downarrow p_2 \\ \text{Spec}(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{i_0} & \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ i_0 \circ \text{str} \end{array}$$

which imply that we have a map $F((id \times i_0)^0): F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^2, i_{(0,0)}) \rightarrow F((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1), i_0)$ such that

$$F((id \times i_0)^0) \circ F(p_1^0) = id_{F((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)^0)} \quad \text{and} \quad F((id \times i_0)^0) \circ F(p_2^0) = 0,$$

so by the commutativity of (3.5.3) we conclude that

$$\ell_1 = F((id \times i_0)^0) \circ F(p_1^0) \circ \ell_1 = F((id \times i_0)^0) \circ F(p_2^0) \circ \ell_2 = 0$$

□

Proof of Theorem 3.3. We need to show that F satisfies condition (3') in Remark 3.4: let $X = (\underline{X}, \partial X) \in \mathbf{SmSm}(k)$ and $Z \subseteq \underline{X}$ of codimension ≥ 2 and let η_Z be its generic point. Recall that we have a strict Zariski square of log schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\eta_Z} - \eta_Z & \longrightarrow & X_{\eta_Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X - Z & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

which induces by Lemma 3.5 a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \longrightarrow & F(X_{\eta_Z}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\ F(X - Z) & \longrightarrow & F(X_{\eta_Z} - \eta_Z) \end{array}$$

In particular, for $\alpha \in F(X - Z)$ there is $\beta \in F(X_{\eta_Z})$ such that $\beta \mapsto \alpha$ in $F(X_{\eta_Z} - \eta_Z)$, in particular there exists $U \subseteq X$ open with $U \cap Z$ dense in Z and $\beta' \in F(U)$ such that $\beta' \mapsto \alpha$ in $F(U - Z)$. Now let Z_1 be the complement of $U \cup (X - Z)$ in X : as $U \cap Z$ is dense in Z , we get that $\text{codim}(Z_1) > \text{codim}(Z)$. As F is a sZar sheaf, this implies that there exists $\alpha_1 \in F(X - Z_1)$ such that $\alpha_1 \mapsto \alpha$ in $F(X - Z)$.

By repeating the same argument, we find a chain of strict closed subsets

$$Z_r \subset \dots \subset Z \subseteq \underline{X}$$

and elements $\alpha_i \in F(X - Z_i)$ such that $\alpha_i \mapsto \alpha$ in $F(X - Z)$. As Z has finite Krull dimension, $Z_i = 0$ for $i \gg 0$, which implies that $F(X) \rightarrow F(X - Z)$ is surjective. This together with [BM21, Theorem 5.8] concludes the proof. □

We draw some conclusions from Theorem 3.3. First we show the following interesting Gersten-like property:

Theorem 3.6. *Let $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ and let $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$. Let $F_{-1} := \underline{\mathbf{Ext}}^1(\mathbf{P}^1, F)$. Then there is a left exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow F(X) \rightarrow F(\eta_X) \rightarrow \left(\prod_{x \in (X^\circ)^{(1)}} F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/F(k(x)) \oplus F_{-1}(k(x)) \right) \times \left(\prod_{x \in |\partial X|^{(0)}} F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/F(k(x)) \right).$$

Proof. Since F is unramified by Theorem 3.3, it is enough to show it on X_x for $x \in \underline{X}^{(1)}$. Let $X_x^h \rightarrow X_x$ be the henselization and let η_x^h be the generic point of \underline{X}_x^h : this gives a strict Nisnevich square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\eta_x^h, \text{triv}) & \xrightarrow{j^h} & X_x^h \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\eta_X, \text{triv}) & \xrightarrow{j} & X_x \end{array}$$

which gives the commutative diagram:

$$(3.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(X_x) & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\sharp}F(\eta_X) & \longrightarrow & \pi_0 \text{Cofib}(F(j)) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(X_x^h) & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\sharp}F(\eta_x^h) & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(F(j^h)) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

In particular, it is enough to show that for all $X_x^h \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ henselian DVR with log structure supported on the closed point and with generic point η_x^h , we have:

$$(3.6.2) \quad F(\eta_x^h)/F(\underline{X}_x^h, \text{triv}) \cong F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/F(k(x)) \oplus F_{-1}(k(x))$$

and

$$(3.6.3) \quad F(\eta_x^h)/F(X_x^h) \cong F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/F(k(x)).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.5 (see also [BM21, Theorem 4.1, (ii)]), there is an étale map $\underline{X}_x^h \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1$ inducing strict Nisnevich squares:

$$(3.6.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\eta_x^h, \text{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}_x^h, \text{triv}) & & (\eta_x^h, \text{triv}) & \longrightarrow & X_x^h \\ \downarrow (e^\circ) & & \downarrow \epsilon & & \downarrow (e^\circ) & & \downarrow e \\ (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, \text{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \text{triv}) & & (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, \text{triv}) & \longrightarrow & \overline{\square}_{k(x)}. \end{array}$$

Since $F \in \mathbf{logCI}(k, A)$, we have that $F(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1) \cong F(k(x))$, $F(\overline{\square}_{k(x)}) \cong F(k(x))$ and $H_{\text{dNis}}^1(\overline{\square}_{k(x)}, F) = 0$, and by Lemma 3.1 we have $H_{\text{dNis}}^1(\mathbf{A}^1, F) = 0$. This implies that we have short exact sequences

$$(3.6.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/F(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & F(\eta_x^h)/F(\underline{X}_x^h) & \longrightarrow & H_{\text{Nis}}^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/F(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F(\eta_x^h)/F(X_x^h) & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

This implies that the above sequence splits and concludes the proof. \square

We recall that there is a functor $\omega_{\sharp}: \mathbf{PSh}^{\text{log}}(k, A) \rightarrow \mathbf{PSh}(k, A)$ defined as $\omega_{\sharp}F(X) = F(X, \text{triv})$.

Definition 3.7. A *presheaf with residues* is the datum of $F \in \mathbf{PSh}(k, A)$ and for all $X \in \widetilde{\mathbf{Sm}}(k)$ the spectrum of an henselian DVR with generic point η_X and residue field $k(k)$, a map $F(\eta_X) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/F(k(x))$.

Remark 3.8. Let $F \in \mathbf{logCI}$, then $\omega_{\sharp}F$ is naturally a presheaf with residues by (3.6.5). Moreover, for all $X_x^h = (\mathrm{Spec}(k(x)\{t\}), t) \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ and \underline{X}_x^h the underlying scheme with trivial log structure, we get the following variant of (3.6.4):

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\eta_x^h, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\underline{X}_x^h, \mathrm{triv}) & & (\eta_x^h, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & X_x^h \\ \downarrow (e^\circ) & & \downarrow \underline{e} & & \downarrow (e^\circ) & & \downarrow e \\ (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, \mathrm{triv}) & & (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0). \end{array}$$

together with the Nisnevich squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, \mathrm{triv}) & & (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \mathrm{triv}) & & (\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, 0). \end{array}$$

we have the a commutative diagram (we drop the ‘‘triv’’):

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \pi_0 \mathrm{Cofib}(R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, F) \rightarrow F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)) & \longrightarrow & \frac{F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)}{F(\overline{\square}_{k(x)})} \\ & \nearrow \cong & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\ \frac{F(\eta_x^h)}{F(\underline{X}_x^h)} & & & & \frac{F(\eta_x^h)}{F(X_x^h)} \\ & \searrow \cong & & & \swarrow \cong \\ & & \frac{F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\})}{F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)} & \longrightarrow & \frac{F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\})}{F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0)} \end{array}$$

which implies by $\overline{\square}$ -invariance that one can construct residues via the map on the bottom. This has the advantage that there is no higher cohomology involved in the construction.

The main application of the construction above is the following:

Proposition 3.9. *For $F, G \in \mathbf{logCI}$, any map $\varphi: \omega_{\sharp}F \rightarrow \omega_{\sharp}G$ of presheaves with residues lifts to a map of log sheaves $\tilde{\varphi}: F \rightarrow G$. If $F, G \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\mathrm{tr}}$ and φ is a map of presheaves with transfers, then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a map of log presheaves with transfers.*

Proof. Let $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$ and let $j: (X^\circ, \mathrm{triv}) \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion of the trivial locus. First we observe that it is enough to show that for all $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ irreducible there is a map $\varphi_X: F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$(3.9.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} F(X) & \xleftarrow{F(j)} & \omega_{\sharp}F(X^\circ) \\ \downarrow \varphi_X & & \downarrow \varphi_{X^\circ} \\ G(X) & \xleftarrow{G(j)} & \omega_{\sharp}G(X^\circ). \end{array}$$

Let $\alpha: Y \rightarrow X$ a map of log schemes and assume that φ_X and φ_Y exist. Consider $\alpha^\circ: Y^\circ \rightarrow X^\circ$ the restriction to the trivial locus, then since $\omega_{\sharp}\varphi$ is a map of presheaves we have a commutative diagram:

$$(3.9.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \omega_{\sharp}F(X^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\sharp}F(\alpha^\circ)} & \omega_{\sharp}F(Y^\circ) \\ \downarrow \varphi_{X^\circ} & & \downarrow \varphi_{Y^\circ} \\ \omega_{\sharp}G(X^\circ) & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\sharp}G(\alpha^\circ)} & \omega_{\sharp}G(Y^\circ), \end{array}$$

Since the map $G(j)$ above is injective by [BM21, Theorem 5.10], it is enough to show that $G(j)\varphi_Y F(\alpha) = G(j)G(\alpha)\varphi_X$, which follows from the commutativity of (3.9.1) for X and Y and of (3.9.2).

We now show the existence of the map φ_X in (3.9.1). Let η_X be the generic point of \underline{X} : since F and G are unramified by Theorem 3.3, by the property (3) in Definition 3.2, it is enough to check that for all $x \in X$ of codimension 1 we have a map φ_{X_x} that fits in the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X_x) & \hookrightarrow & \omega_{\sharp}F(\eta_X) \\ \downarrow \varphi_{X_x} & & \downarrow \varphi_{\eta_X} \\ G(X_x) & \hookrightarrow & \omega_{\sharp}G(\eta_X) \end{array}$$

If $x \notin |\partial X|$, X_x has trivial log structure so φ_{X_x} exists, so assume $x \in |\partial X|$. As in (3.6.1) it is enough to construct a map $F(X_x^h) \dashrightarrow G(X_x^h)$. Since φ preserves residues, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega_{\sharp}F(\eta_x^h) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}(F(\underline{X}_x^h))} & \omega_{\sharp}F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/\omega_{\sharp}F(k(x)) \\ \downarrow \varphi_{\eta_x^h} & & \downarrow \text{Res}(\varphi) \\ \omega_{\sharp}G(\eta_x^h) & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}(G(\underline{X}_x^h))} & \omega_{\sharp}G(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/\omega_{\sharp}G(k(x)). \end{array}$$

On the other hand, by 3.6 we have that $\ker(\text{Res}(F(\underline{X}_x^h))) = F(X_x^h)$ and same for G , so $\varphi_{X_x^h}$ exists as the map induced on the kernel. If φ is a map of presheaves with transfers, then the commutativity of (3.9.1) and (3.9.2) for α a log finite correspondence implies that φ extends to a map with transfers. \square

We are now ready to prove the main theorem of this section. First let us fix some notation: let $k(x)$ be as before and let $U \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1$ be an open neighborhood of $\{0\}$. Then for all $F \in \mathbf{logCI}$, by [BP022, Theorem 7.5.4.6] there is a fiber sequence

$$(3.9.3) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathbf{logDA}^{\text{eff}}}(MTh(N_{\{0\}}), F) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{logDA}^{\text{eff}}}(M(U, \text{triv})[-1], F) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{logDA}^{\text{eff}}}(M(U, 0)[-1], F)$$

compatible with open embeddings $V \hookrightarrow U$. Recall now that

$$(3.9.4) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathbf{logDA}^{\text{eff}}}(MTh(N_{\{0\}}), F) \cong R\Gamma_{\text{dNis}}((\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \text{triv})^0, F) = H_{\text{Nis}}^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F)[1].$$

Let $i: \{0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1$: combining (3.9.3) and (3.9.4) gives a Gysin map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)_{\text{Zar}}))$

$$Gys_{0 \subseteq U}^F: i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F) \rightarrow F_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}[1],$$

where $H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F)$ on the left hand side is seen as a (constant) sheaf on the small site of $\{0\}$. Via the isomorphism

$$(3.9.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)_{\text{Zar}}))}(i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F), F_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}[1]) \\ & \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbf{Shv}((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)_{\text{Zar}})}^1(i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F), F_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}), \end{aligned}$$

the map $Gys_{0 \subseteq U}^F$ above corresponds to the extension:

$$(3.9.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \omega_{\sharp}F_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1} \rightarrow F_{(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0)} \rightarrow i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F) \rightarrow 0$$

Theorem 3.10. *Let $F, G \in \mathbf{logCI}$, and let $\varphi: \omega_{\#}F \rightarrow \omega_{\#}G$ be a map. If the Gysin maps induce a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)_{\text{Zar}}))$*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\#}F) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{0 \subseteq U}^F} & F_{\mathbf{A}^1}[1] \\ i_* H^1(\varphi_{\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1}) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1} \\ i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\#}G) & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{0 \subseteq U}^G} & G_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}[1], \end{array}$$

then there is a map $\tilde{\varphi}: F \rightarrow G$ that lifts φ . If $F, G \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$ and φ is a map of presheaves with transfers, then $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a map of log presheaves with transfers.

Proof. The commutative diagram in the statement gives a morphism $\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{A}^1}$ that fits in the exact sequences of (3.9.6) as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\#}F_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1} & \longrightarrow & F_{(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0)} & \longrightarrow & i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\#}F) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow \varphi_{\mathbf{A}^1} & & \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{A}^1} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\#}G_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1} & \longrightarrow & G_{(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0)} & \longrightarrow & i_* H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\#}G) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since the cokernels are supported on 0, by taking sections over $\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1$ we have that $\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{A}^1}$ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}) \\ \downarrow \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathbf{A}^1} & & \downarrow \varphi_{\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}} \\ G(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1, 0) & \longrightarrow & G(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}), \end{array}$$

As observed in Remark 3.8, this implies that φ is a map of presheaves with residues, which by Proposition 3.9 gives the desired lift, which is a map of presheaves with log transfers if φ has log transfers by Proposition 3.9. \square

From Theorem 3.10, we will deduce the transfer structure on $W_m \Lambda^n$ in Theorem B.3 and the proof of Conjecture [BM22, Conjecture 0.2] in Theorem 3.10.

4. APPLICATION TO LOG DE RHAM–WITT COHOMOLOGY

Let S be a Noetherian k -scheme and let $W_m \Lambda^q \in \mathbf{Shv}_{s\text{Zar}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ of [Mat17] (see 2.3). The idea behind the following result has been suggested by F. Binda. We thank him for letting us include it here. Let $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$. Consider the exact sequence on the weight filtration (2.2.6) for $X \times (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1})$. We have that $\partial(X \times (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1}))^{(j)} = \partial X^{(j)} \times \mathbf{P}^n \amalg \partial X^{(j-1)} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$, so if again we let $i_H: \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$ be the inclusion of a fixed hyperplane, we let $\alpha^{(j)} = \iota^{(j)} \times id: \partial X^{(j)} \times \mathbf{P}^n \rightarrow \underline{X} \times \mathbf{P}^n$ and $\beta^{(j)} = \iota^{(j-1)} \times i_H: \partial X^{(j-1)} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1} \rightarrow \underline{X} \times \mathbf{P}^n$, we get an exact sequence:

$$(4.0.1) \quad \begin{array}{c} 0 \rightarrow P_j W_m \Lambda_{X \times (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1})}^q \rightarrow P_{j+1} W_m \Lambda_{X \times (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1})}^q \\ \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \alpha_*^{(j+1)} W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j+1)} \times \mathbf{P}^n}^{q-(j+1)} \oplus \beta_*^{(j+1)} W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)} \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^{q-j+1} \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Lemma 4.1. *For $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ and $j \geq 1$ there is an equivalence*

$$R\pi_* P_j W_m \Lambda_{X \times (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1})}^q \cong P_j W_m \Lambda_X^q[0] \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \iota_*^{(j)} W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)}}^{q-j-i}[-i] \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}(\underline{X}_{\text{Zar}}))$$

Proof. To ease the notation, let $\mathcal{P}^n := (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1})$.

We prove the statement by induction on j . If $j = 1$, then (4.0.1) gives the fiber sequence

$$R\pi_* W_m \Omega_{\underline{X} \times \mathbf{P}^n}^q \rightarrow R\pi_* P_1 W_m \Omega_{X \times \mathcal{P}^n}^q \rightarrow R(\pi_H)_* W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^{q-1} \oplus R(\pi^{\partial X^{(1)}})_* W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(1)}}^{q-1},$$

where $\pi^{\partial X^{(1)}} : \mathbf{P}^n_{\partial X^{(1)}} \rightarrow \partial X^{(1)}$ is the projection. Then this gives a map

$$f : R(\pi_H)_* W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^{q-1}[-1] \oplus R(\pi^{\partial X^{(1)}})_* W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(1)}}^{q-1}[-1] \rightarrow R\pi_* W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^q$$

By the projective bundle formula of [Gro85], we have by taking cup products with the first Chern class $c_1(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(H)) = \xi \in H^1(\mathbf{P}^n, W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^1)$:

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^n W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^{q-i}[-i] \cong R\pi_* W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^q$$

and similarly by cupping with $i_H^*(\xi) \in H^1(\mathbf{P}^{n-1}, W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^1)$:

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^{q-i}[-i] \cong R(\pi_H)_* W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^{q-1}$$

Since by construction $f(\pi_H^*(a) \cup \xi_H^j) = \pi^*(a) \cup \xi^{j+1}$, it fits in a commutative square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=0}^n W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(1)}}^{q-1-i}[-i-1] & & R(\pi^{\partial X^{(1)}})_* W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(1)}}^{q-1}[-1] \\ \oplus & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \oplus \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^{q-1-i}[-i-1] & & R(\pi_H)_* W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^1[-1] \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow f \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^n W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^{q-i}[-i] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R\pi_* W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^n}^q \end{array}$$

Since t is induced by a split injection, its cofiber is the same as the cofiber of the induced map

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^n W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(1)}}^{q-1-i}[-i-1] \rightarrow W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^q[-1]$$

which by (2.2.6) is

$$P_1 W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^q \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(1)}}^{q-i-1}.$$

This concludes the proof for $j = 1$. Let $j \geq 2$. We have the fiber sequence

$$R\pi_* P_{j-1} W_m \Omega_{X \times \mathcal{P}^n}^q \rightarrow R\pi_* P_j W_m \Omega_{X \times \mathcal{P}^n}^q \rightarrow R(\pi_H^{\partial X^{(j)}})_* W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{P}^{n-1}}^{q-j} \oplus R(\pi_{\partial X^{(j)}})_* W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)}}^{q-j},$$

where $\pi^{\partial X^{(j)}} : \mathbf{P}^n_{\partial X^{(j)}} \rightarrow \partial X^{(j)}$ and $\pi_H^{\partial X^{(j-1)}} : \mathbf{P}^{n-1}_{\partial X^{(j-1)}} \rightarrow \partial X^{(j-1)}$ are the projections. By induction hypothesis and projective bundle formula we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{i=0}^n i_*^{(j)} W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)}}^{q-j-i}[-i-1] & & R(\pi^{\partial X^{(j)}})_* W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)}}^{q-j}[-1] \\ \oplus & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \oplus \\ \bigoplus_{i=0}^{n-1} W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j-1)}}^{q-j-i}[-i-1] & & R(\pi_H^{\partial X^{(j-1)}})_* W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j-1)}}^{q-j}[-1] \\ \downarrow t & & \downarrow f \\ P_{j-1} W_m \Omega_X^q \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n i_*^{(j-1)} W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j-1)}}^{q-(j-1)-i}[-i] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & R\pi_* P_{j-1} W_m \Omega_{\mathcal{P}^n}^q \end{array}$$

Again, we can compute its cofiber as the cofiber of

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^n W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)}}^{q-j-i}[-i-1] \rightarrow P_{j-1} W_m \Omega_X^q$$

which again by (2.2.6) is

$$P_j W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^q \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^n W_m \Omega_{\partial X^{(j)}}^{q-i-1}[-i].$$

This concludes the proof. \square

We are ready to show the following:

Theorem 4.2. *The sheaf $W_m \Lambda^q$ is $(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1})$ -local in the sZar, sNis and két topologies. In particular, it is strictly div-invariant.*

Proof. By [Niz08, Proposition 3.27], we have that for all $Y \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(S)$

$$R\Gamma_{\text{sNis}}(Y, W_m \Lambda_S^q) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{sZar}}(Y, W_m \Lambda_S^q) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{két}}(Y, W_m \Lambda_S^q)$$

hence it is enough to show it only for the sZar topology. Let P_j again be the weight filtration defined above. We have by definition that $W_m \Lambda^q \cong P_q W_m \Lambda^q$, so since $W_m \Lambda_{\partial X^{(q)}}^{q-q-i} = 0$ for $i \geq 1$, we conclude by Lemma 4.1 that $R\pi_* W_m \Lambda_{X \times (\mathbf{P}^n, \mathbf{P}^{n-1})}^q \simeq W_m \Lambda_X^q$. Finally by [BPØ22, Proposition 7.3.1 and Theorem 7.7.4], any (sNis, $(\mathbf{P}^\bullet, \mathbf{P}^{\bullet-1})$)-local object is automatically div-local. \square

Remark 4.3. Theorem 4.2 implies that for every m , any sNis (resp. két)-fibrant replacement of the truncated de Rham–Witt complex $W_m \Lambda_S^\bullet$ is (dNis, \square)-fibrant. Hence, the functor

$$\mathbf{SmlSm}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op} \quad X/S \mapsto \text{holim}_m R\Gamma(X, W_m \Lambda_{X/S}^\bullet)$$

factors through $\mathbf{logDA}^{\text{eff}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathbf{logDA}_{\text{lét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, giving the following cohomology theory:

$$R\Gamma_{\text{crys}, S}: \mathbf{logDA}_{\text{lét}}^{\text{eff}}(S, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op}.$$

We now concentrate to the case where $S = \text{Spec}(k)$. In this case, since $W_m \Omega^n \in \mathbf{RSC}_{\text{Nis}}(k)$ by [KSYR16, Appendix B], we have $\mathcal{L}og(W_m \Omega^n) \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$. Let $\underline{X} \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ and $i: D \subseteq X$ a smooth divisor, and let $X = (\underline{X}, D) \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$. By (2.2.5) there is an exact sequence of $\mathbf{Shv}(\underline{X}_{\text{Nis}})$:

$$(4.3.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og(W_m \Omega^n)_X \rightarrow \gamma^1 W_m \Omega_D^n \rightarrow 0$$

Moreover, by [BRS22, Theorem 11.8] there is an isomorphism

$$\varphi^1: W_m \Omega^{n-1} \cong \gamma^1 W_m \Omega^n$$

that behaves as follows: let $Y \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ and let $\lambda_Y: \gamma^1 W_m \Omega^n(Y) \hookrightarrow W_m \Omega^n(Y \times (\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}))$ be the map induced by $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m$. Recall the map of $\mathbf{Shv}((\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\})_{\text{Zar}})$

$$\text{dlog}: (W_m \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}})^\times = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{A}_{W_m(k)}^1 - \{0\}}^\times \xrightarrow{f \mapsto \frac{df}{f}} Z\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_{W_m}^1/W_m}^1 \rightarrow W_m \Omega_{\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}}^1.$$

Then for $\omega \in W_m \Omega^{n-1}(Y)$ we have:

$$(4.3.2) \quad \lambda_Y \varphi_Y(\omega) = \omega \cdot \text{dlog}(\underline{t}) \quad \text{in } W_m \Omega^n(Y \times \mathbf{A}^1),$$

where $\underline{t} \in W_m \mathcal{O}^\times(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\})$ is the Teichmüller lift of t . Then (4.3.1) translates in the following exact sequence in $\mathbf{Shv}(\underline{X}_{\text{Nis}})$:

$$(4.3.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_m \Omega_{\underline{X}}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}og(W_m \Omega^n)_X \rightarrow i_* W_m \Omega_D^{n-1} \rightarrow 0,$$

Theorem 4.4. *The sheaves $W_m\Lambda^n$ have log transfers, in particular $W_m\Lambda^n \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}(k, W_m(k))$ and the Frobenius, Verschiebung, differential and restrictions are morphisms of log presheaves with transfers.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}og(W_m\Omega^n) \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}(k, W_m(k))$. We have that

$$(4.4.1) \quad \omega_{\sharp} \mathcal{L}og(W_m\Omega^n) \cong W_m\Omega^n \cong \omega_{\sharp} W_m\Lambda^n$$

We will show that (4.4.1) lifts to an isomorphism $W_m\Lambda^n \cong \mathcal{L}og(W_m\Omega^n)$ in $\mathbf{logCI}(k, W_m(k))$. This will give the transfers structure on $W_m\Lambda^n$ in the following way: for $\alpha \in \mathbf{ICor}(Y, X)$, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_m\Lambda^n(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{L}og(W_m\Omega^n)(X) & \hookrightarrow & W_m\Omega^n(X^\circ) \\ & & \downarrow \alpha^* & & \downarrow (\alpha^\circ)^* \\ W_m\Lambda^n(Y) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathcal{L}og(W_m\Omega^n)(Y) & \hookrightarrow & W_m\Omega^n(Y^\circ) \end{array}$$

The morphisms F, V, d and R preserve transfers by [RS21, Lemma 7.7].

We need to study the maps $i_* W_m\Omega_{k(x)}^{n-1} \rightarrow W_m\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}^n$ [1] given by the two Gysin maps in $D(\mathbf{Shv}((\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)_{\text{Zar}}))$: if the two are the same, then we conclude by 3.10. By [Mok93, Prop. 4.7], the Gysin map of $W_m\Lambda^n$ agrees with the Gysin map of [Gro85], so it is enough to show that the Gysin maps of [BRS22] and [Gro85] are the same. This was stated without proof in [BRS22, 11.10]: a proof was communicated to the author by Kay Rülling and we write it here. By construction both maps factor through the local Gysin maps:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{0/\mathbf{A}^1}^{\text{Gros}} : i_* W_m\Omega_{k(x)}^{n-1} &\rightarrow R^1\Gamma_{\{0\}}(W_m\Omega_{\mathbf{A}^1}^n) \\ i_* W_m\Omega_{k(x)}^{n-1} &\xrightarrow{i_* \varphi_{k(x)}^1} i_*(\gamma^1 W_m\Omega^n)_{k(x)} \xrightarrow{g_{0/\mathbf{A}^1}^{\text{BRS}}} R^1\Gamma_{\{0\}}(W_m\Omega_{\mathbf{A}^1}^n) \end{aligned}$$

of (2.2.8) and (2.2.4) composed with φ^1 of [BRS22, Theorem 11.8], hence it is enough that they agree before the “forget support” map. Let us fix the isomorphism

$$R^1\Gamma_{\{0\}}(W_m\Omega_{\mathbf{A}^1}^n) \cong j_* W_m\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}}^n / W_m\Omega_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}^n.$$

Let t be the local equation of 0 in \mathbf{A}^1 , and let U be an open neighborhood of 0. Let $0 \xrightarrow{i} \mathbf{A}^1 \xrightarrow{q} 0$ be the splitting of the inclusion. Let $\omega \in W_m\Omega^{n-1}(k(x))$. By [Gro85, II, Prop 3.3.9] we have that

$$(g_{0/\mathbf{A}^1}^{\text{Gros}})_U(\omega) = [q^* \omega \cdot d\log(\underline{t})] \quad \text{in } W_m\Omega^n(U - \{0\}) / W_m\Omega^n(U) \cong W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}) / W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)$$

where $\underline{t} \in W_m\mathcal{O}^\times(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\})$ is the Teichmüller lift of t .

On the other hand, we have that the map

$$i_* W_m\Omega^{n-1}(U) \rightarrow i_* q_* \gamma^1 W_m\omega^n(U)$$

coincides with the map $W_m\Omega^{n-1}(k(x)) \rightarrow \gamma^1 W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)$ induced by q and φ^1 . Moreover, the map

$$i_* q_* \gamma^1 W_m\omega^n(U) \rightarrow R^1\Gamma_{\{0\}}(W_m\Omega_{\mathbf{A}^1}^n)(U)$$

coincides with the map

$$\gamma^1 W_m\omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1) \rightarrow W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}) / W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1) \cong W_m\Omega^n(U - \{0\}) / W_m\Omega^n(U)$$

given by (2.2.2) evaluated at $0 \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1$. In particular, for $\omega \in W_m\Omega^{n-1}(k(x))$, by unwinding the definition of (2.2.3) we have that $g_{0/\mathbf{A}^1}^{\text{BRS}} i_* \varphi_{k(x)}^1(\omega)$ agrees with the class of

$$[\Delta^*(\Gamma_t \times id)^* \lambda_{\mathbf{A}^1}^* \varphi_{\mathbf{A}^1}^* q^*(\omega)] \quad \text{in } W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 - \{0\}) / W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)$$

By (4.3.2) we have that

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{A}^1}^* \varphi_{\mathbf{A}^1}^* q^*(\omega) = q^* \omega \mapsto q^* \omega \wedge d\log(\underline{t}).$$

Moreover, we have that

$$(\Gamma_t \times id)^*(q^*\omega \wedge d\log(\underline{t})) = pr_2^*(q^*\omega) \wedge d\log(\underline{t} \otimes 1) \quad \text{in } W_m\Omega^n(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1 \times \mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}),$$

where pr_2 is the second projection. By composing with Δ^* we conclude that

$$g_{0/\mathbf{A}^1}^{\text{BRS}} i_* \varphi_{k(x)}(\omega) = [\Delta^*(pr_2^*(q^*\omega) \wedge d\log(\underline{t} \otimes 1))] = [q^*\omega \cdot d\log(\underline{t})] = g_{0/\mathbf{A}^1}^{\text{Gros}}(\omega).$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.5. *We have that*

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), W_m\Lambda^n) \cong \begin{cases} W_m\Lambda^n & \text{if } i = 0 \\ W_m\Lambda^{n-1} & \text{if } i = 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The case $i = 0$ follows from the \mathbf{P}^1 -invariance of objects in \mathbf{logCI} . Let $T \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ be Hensel local and let η_T be its generic point. Then by [BM21, Theorem 5.10] we have

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}}^i(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), W_m\Lambda^n)(T) \hookrightarrow H^i(\mathbf{P}_{\eta_T}^1, W_m\Omega^n) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 2.$$

It remains to prove the case $i = 1$. By Proposition A.5 and Lemma B.1, we have that

$$\omega_{\sharp} \underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}}^1(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), W_m\Lambda^n) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}^{\text{tr}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, W_m\Omega^n),$$

and by [BRS22, Theorem 11.8], we have:

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}^{\text{tr}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, W_m\Omega^n) \cong \omega_{\sharp} W_m\Lambda^{n-1},$$

so we conclude by B.3 that we have an isomorphism. \square

Let $G \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}(k, A)$, $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$ and $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of rank $n + 1$. Then if k satisfies RS1 and RS2, the projective bundle formula of [BP022, Theorem 8.3.5] gives an equivalence

$$R\Gamma_{\text{dNis}}(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}), G) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Map}_{\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}}(M(X)[2i], \underline{\text{Map}}((M(\mathbf{P}^1)^0)^{\times i}, G)),$$

where the notation $*$ is as in (3.5.1). In particular, we deduce the projective bundle formula for $W_m\Lambda^n$, generalizing [Gro85]:

(4.5.1)

$$R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}), W_m\Lambda^q) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\Gamma(X, W_m\Lambda^{q-i})[-i], \quad R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}), W\Lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\Gamma(X, W\Lambda^{q-i})[-i].$$

Moreover, let $Z \subseteq \underline{X}$ be a smooth closed subscheme of codimension d that intersects $|\partial X|$ transversally. Let $\rho: \tilde{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ be the blow up of \underline{X} in Z and consider the log scheme $\tilde{X} = (\tilde{X}, \partial\tilde{X} + E_Z)$. Then by [BP022] there is a fiber sequence

$$\text{Map}(MTh(N_Z), G) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, G) \rightarrow R\Gamma(\tilde{X}, G)$$

On the other hand, there is a fiber sequence

$$\mathbf{P}(N_Z) \rightarrow \mathbf{P}(N_Z \oplus \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow MTh(N_Z)$$

which by the projective bundle formula above implies that

$$\text{Map}_{\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}}(MTh(N_Z), G) \simeq \text{Map}_{\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}}(M(Z)[2d], \underline{\text{Map}}((M(\mathbf{P}^1)^0)^{\times d}, G)).$$

so we get a fiber sequence

$$(4.5.2) \quad R\Gamma(Z, W_m\Lambda^{n-d})[-d] \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, W_m\Lambda^n) \rightarrow R\Gamma(\tilde{X}, W_m\Lambda^n)$$

If ∂X is trivial and Z is a divisor, then the Gysin sequence above agrees with (2.2.6) of [Mok93]. In general, it agrees the Gysin sequence of [BRS22, Corollary 11.10 (2)] for a reduced modulus pair.

Remark 4.6. The projective bundle formula and the Gysin sequences above were obtained in [BRS22] without the assumption of resolutions of singularities, and are in fact independent of the results of [BPØ22].

Finally, recall the Raynaud ring $R(k)$ of Ekedahl and the map $\mathfrak{n} \mathcal{D}(R(k))$ (see 2.3):

$$(2.2.9) \quad R\Gamma(X, W\Lambda) \widehat{\star^L} R\Gamma(Y, W\Lambda) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X \times Y, W\Lambda).$$

Proposition 4.7. *The map (2.2.9) is an equivalence in $\mathcal{D}(R(k))$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on the dimension of $X \times Y$ and the number of components of $\partial(X \times Y)$. If $\partial(X \times Y)$ is trivial, then ∂X and ∂Y are trivial so (2.2.9) is an equivalence by [Ill83, Theorem 5.1.2]. If $\dim(X \times Y) = 0$, then $\partial(X \times Y)$ is again trivial so we can invoke [Eke85] again. In general, let $|\partial X| = D_1 + \dots + D_r$ with $r \geq 1$ and consider $|\partial X|^- = D_2 + \dots + D_r$. Let $X^- := (X, \partial X^-)$, so that by [Eke85, I. Theorem 6.2] there is a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{D}(R(k))$ ⁴

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R\Gamma(X^-, W\Lambda) \widehat{\star^L} R\Gamma(Y, W\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(X, W\Lambda) \widehat{\star^L} R\Gamma(Y, W\Lambda) \\ \downarrow (1) & & \downarrow (2) \\ R\Gamma(X^- \times Y, W\Lambda) & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(X \times Y, W\Lambda) \end{array}$$

By induction hypothesis, (1) is an equivalence, so to show that (2) is an equivalence it is enough to show that the map on the cofibers is an equivalence. By [BPØ22, Theorem 7.5.4] together with (4.5.2) (or by using directly [BRS22, Corollary 11.10]), the cofiber is

$$R\Gamma(D_1, W\Lambda)[-1] \widehat{\star^L} R\Gamma(Y, W\Lambda) \rightarrow R\Gamma(D_1 \times Y, W\Lambda)[-1]$$

which is an equivalence by induction on dimension. \square

Remark 4.8. Let $X \in \mathbf{SmSm}(k)$. By [Sai21, Corollary 2.4] we have that for all $0 \leq d \leq \dim(\underline{X})$, $y \in \underline{X}^{(d)}$:

$$H_y^j(X, W_m \Lambda^q) = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq d.$$

This implies that the complex

$$\dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \underline{X}^{(d)}} H_x^d(X, W_m \Lambda^q) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in \underline{X}^{(d+1)}} H_x^{d+1}(X, W_m \Lambda^q) \rightarrow \dots$$

computes $H_{\text{dNis}}^d(X, W_m \Lambda^q)$ since $W_m \Lambda^q$ is strictly div-invariant by Theorem 4.2 and [BPØ22]. This generalizes [Gro85, 5.1] to $W_m \Lambda^n$.

Moreover, by [Sai21, Corollary 2.4], for a fixed isomorphism $\varepsilon_y: X_y^h \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Spec}(k(y)\{t_1 \dots t_d\})$ which sends $|\partial X_y^h|$ to the divisor $t_1^{e_1} \cdot \dots \cdot t_d^{e_d}$ for some $e_i \in \{0, 1\}$,

$$H_y^q(X, W_m \Lambda^n) = \tau^{(e_1 \dots e_d)} W_m \Omega^n$$

where the right hand side is defined recursively as:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{(0)} W_m \Omega^n &:= \underline{\text{Hom}}((\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{A}^1, 0), i_1), W_m \Omega^n), \\ \tau^{(1)} W_m \Omega^n &:= \tau^{(0)} W_m \Omega^n / \underline{\text{Hom}}((\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) i_1), W_m \Omega^n), \\ \tau^{(e_1 \dots e_s)} W_m \Omega^n &:= \tau^{(e_s)} \tau^{(e_1 \dots e_{s-1})} W_m \Omega^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $(-, i_1)$ is as in (3.5.1) the complement of the section $i_1: 1 \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$.

⁴Notice that, even though [Eke85, I. Theorem 6.2] is stated for the homotopy categories, the proof shows that $\widehat{\star^L}$ is indeed homotopy coherent

5. THE MOTIVIC INTEGRAL p -ADIC COHOMOLOGY

Theorem 4.4 implies that the truncated de Rham–Witt complex $W_m\Lambda^\bullet$ is a complex of objects in $\mathbf{logCI}^{\text{tr}}(k, A)$, in particular its cohomology sheaves are strictly $\overline{\square}$ -invariant for the dNis topology and since they are coherent sheaves, also for the dét and by [Niz08, Proposition 3.27] for the lét topology. This similarly to 4.3 implies that the cohomology of the log-de Rham–Witt complex:

$$\mathbf{SmlSm}(k) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op} \quad X \mapsto \text{holim}_m R\Gamma(X, W_m\Lambda^\bullet)$$

factors through $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, W(k))$ and $\mathbf{logDM}_{\text{lét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, W(k))$, giving a functor:

$$R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}: \mathbf{logDM}_{\text{lét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, W(k)) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op}.$$

Recall that for $\tau \in \{\text{Nis}, \text{ét}\}$, there is a composition of localizations

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{d\tau}^{\text{tr}}(k, A)) \xrightarrow{L\omega_{\sharp}} \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\tau}^{\text{tr}}(k, A)) \xrightarrow{L_{(\mathbf{A}^1, \tau)}} \mathcal{DM}_{\tau}^{\text{eff}}(k, A)$$

such that for all $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}$,

$$L_{(\mathbf{A}^1, \tau)}L\omega_{\sharp}A_{\text{tr}}(X \times \overline{\square}) \simeq L_{(\mathbf{A}^1, \tau)}A_{\text{tr}}(X^\circ \times \mathbf{A}^1) \simeq L_{(\mathbf{A}^1, \tau)}A_{\text{tr}}(X^\circ) \simeq L_{(\mathbf{A}^1, \tau)}L\omega_{\sharp}A_{\text{tr}}(X^\circ),$$

hence it induces $L^{\overline{\square}}\omega_{\sharp}: \mathbf{logDM}_{\tau}^{\text{eff}}(k, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{DM}_{\tau}^{\text{eff}}(k, A)$ such that $L^{\overline{\square}}\omega_{\sharp}L_{(\overline{\square}, d\tau)} \simeq L_{(\mathbf{A}^1, \tau)}L\omega_{\sharp}$.

In particular, $L^{\overline{\square}}\omega_{\sharp}$ commutes with all colimits, hence it has (formally) a right adjoint

$$R\omega_{\tau}^*: \mathcal{DM}_{\tau}^{\text{eff}}(k, A) \rightarrow \mathbf{logDM}_{\tau}^{\text{eff}}(k, A)$$

By composing it with the integral crystalline realization we get the following realization: (5.0.1)

$$R\Gamma_p: \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\omega_{\text{Nis}}^*} \mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{L_{\text{lét}}} \mathbf{logDM}_{\text{lét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}} \mathcal{D}(W(k))^{op}$$

If we assume that k admits resolution of singularities, then (5.0.1) can be made more explicit. Recall that by [BPØ22, Proposition 8.2.8], for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ with smooth Cartier compactification \overline{X} , for $M^V(X) \in \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$ the Voevodsky motive of X , we have

$$R\omega_{\text{Nis}}^*M^V(X) = M(\overline{X}, \partial X)$$

where ∂X is the log structure supported on $\overline{X} - X$.

Proposition 5.1. *If k admits RS1 and RS2, for $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$, we have an equivalence:*

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}((\overline{X}, X)/W(k))$$

Proof. Since k admits resolutions of singularities, there exists $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ a Cartier compactification and let ∂X be the log structure supported on the divisor $\overline{X} - X$. Then the result follows from the equivalence $\omega^*M^V(X) = M(\overline{X}, \partial X)$ of [BPØ22, Proposition 8.2.8]. \square

Remark 5.2. If moreover k satisfies the hypotheses of [ESS21], there is an equivalence $R\Gamma_{rh}(X, a_{rh}A^\bullet) \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}((\overline{X}, X)/W(k))$, where the left hand side is defined in [ESS21]. As observed in [ESS21, Proposition 2.24] there exist $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ with smooth Cartier compactification $(\overline{X}, \partial X)$ and an étale hypercover $X_\bullet \rightarrow X$ with smooth Cartier compactification $(\overline{X}_\bullet, \partial X_\bullet)$ such that

$$H_{\text{crys}}^i((X, \partial X)/W(k)) \not\cong H_{\text{crys}}^i((X_\bullet, \partial X_\bullet)/W(k)).$$

This by Proposition 5.1 implies that $M_{\text{dét}}(\overline{X}, \partial X) \not\cong M_{\text{dét}}(\overline{X}_\bullet, \partial X_\bullet)$. In particular, this implies that the functor (5.0.1) does **not** factor through $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$, so the following diagram is **not** commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{R\omega^*} & \mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \\ \downarrow L_{\text{ét}} & \emptyset & \downarrow L_{\text{lét}} \\ \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{R\omega_{\text{ét}}^*} & \mathbf{logDM}_{\text{dét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z}) \end{array}$$

as $L_{\text{ét}}(M^V(X)) \simeq L_{\text{ét}}M^V(X_{\bullet})$. On the other hand, with rational coefficients the analogous diagram commutes: in fact the vertical maps are equivalences of categories by [MVW06, Proposition 14.2] and [BMS21, Proposition 2.2]:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\omega^*} & \mathbf{log}\mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q}) \\ \simeq \downarrow L_{\text{ét}} & & \simeq \downarrow L_{\text{dét}} \\ \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\text{ét}}^*} & \mathbf{log}\mathcal{DM}_{\text{dét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q}) \end{array}$$

In fact, in this case, let $K = W(k)[1/p]$ and let $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$. We have that

$$R_{\text{crys}}(X/W(k))[1/p] \simeq R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(X^\circ/K),$$

where the right hand side is the rigid cohomology of Bethelot [Ber97]. Since rigid cohomology factors through $\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q})$, there is in fact a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{DM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{L_{\text{ét}}\omega^*} \mathbf{log}\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{R\Gamma_{\text{crys}}(-/W(k))[1/p]} \mathcal{D}(K). \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \nearrow \\ \mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Q}) & \xrightarrow{R\Gamma_{\text{rig}}(-/K)} & \end{array}$$

Finally, we show that $R\Gamma_p$ satisfies condition (iii)' of the introduction. Notice that since $R\Gamma_p$ factors through $\mathbf{log}\mathcal{DM}_{\text{ét}}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$, $R\Gamma_p$ satisfies the following descent property:

log-(iii) For all $(\overline{X}, \partial X) \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ with \overline{X} proper, for all $(\overline{U}, \partial U) \rightarrow (\overline{X}, \partial X)$ finite log-étale cover (in particular, strict), let $U \rightarrow X$ be the finite étale map on the open subschemes where the log structures is trivial. Then the Čech hypercover $U_{\bullet} \rightarrow X$ induces an equivalence

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(U_{\bullet})$$

The link between finite log étale and tame descent is summarized in the following result:

Lemma 5.3. *If k satisfies RS1 and RS2, then for any $U \rightarrow X$ finite tame Galois cover of k -schemes, there exist smooth Cartier compactifications \overline{X} and \overline{U} with normal crossing complement ∂X and ∂U such that the induced map of log schemes $(\overline{U}, \partial U) \rightarrow (\overline{X}, \partial X)$ is a log étale Galois cover.*

Proof. Let $U \rightarrow X$ be a finite étale map. By resolutions of singularities, there exists \overline{X}' a smooth Cartier compactification of X . By platication [RG71] (see also [KMSY21, Theorem 1.6.1]), there exists $\overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}'$ proper which is an isomorphism on X such that $U \times_{\overline{X}'} \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is finite. Again by resolutions of singularities, we can take \overline{X} to be a smooth Cartier compactification of X , so that $U \times_{\overline{X}'} \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$ is finite étale and $\overline{U} := U \times_{\overline{X}'} \overline{X}$ is a smooth Cartier compactification of U via

$$U \cong U \times_{\overline{X}'} X \hookrightarrow U \times_{\overline{X}'} \overline{X} = \overline{U}.$$

Let $(\overline{U}, \partial U) \rightarrow (\overline{X}, \partial X)$. Then by a combination of [KS10, Theorem 1.1] and [III02, Theorem 7.6]⁵, $U \rightarrow X$ is a finite tame Galois cover if and only if $(\overline{U}, \partial U) \rightarrow (\overline{X}, \partial X)$ is a finite log étale cover. \square

We remark that the counterexample to (iii) given in [ESS21] is not a tame cover.

We finish this section by deducing the following results for the cohomology $R\Gamma_p(-)$:

⁵This is stated without proof: for a sketch one can check [Sti02, 3.3]

Theorem 5.4 (Projective bundle formula). *For $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle of rank $n+1$, we have*

$$R\Gamma_p(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R\Gamma_p(X)[-i]$$

Proof. From the projective bundle formula, we have

$$M^V(\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M^V(X)(i)[2i]$$

hence by [BPØ22, Proposition 8.2.8]

$$\omega^*(M^V(X)(i)[2i]) \simeq M(X) \otimes (M(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})^0)^{\otimes i},$$

where $M(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})^0$ is as in (3.5.1) the orthogonal to $0 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^1$. By Corollary 4.5 we have

$$\underline{\text{Map}}((M(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})^0), W_m \Lambda^\bullet) \simeq W_m \Lambda^{\bullet-1}[-1]$$

which recursively gives:

$$\underline{\text{Map}}((M(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})^0)^{\otimes i}, W_m \Lambda^\bullet) \simeq W_m \Lambda^{\bullet-i}[-i].$$

The equivalence above is compatible with $W_{m+1} \Lambda^\bullet \rightarrow W_m \Lambda^\bullet$, so by taking the limit over m we conclude the proof. \square

Theorem 5.5 (Purity). *Let $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ and let $Z \subseteq X$ be a smooth closed subscheme of codimension d . Then for $n \leq d-1$ we have a fiber sequence:*

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(X-Z) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(Z)[-d]$$

Proof. By [MV99] and Proposition 5.1 we have a fiber sequence

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(X-Z) \rightarrow R\Gamma_p(\omega^*(M(Z)(d)[2d])).$$

As before, by [BPØ22, Proposition 8.2.8] we have

$$\text{Map}(\omega^*(M^V(Z)(d)[2d]), W_m \Lambda^\bullet) \simeq \text{Map}(M(Z), W_m \Lambda^{\bullet-d}[-d]).$$

which by taking the homotopy limit over m gives the result. \square

Theorem 5.6 (Künneth formula). *There is an equivalence in $\mathcal{D}(R(k))$:*

$$R\Gamma_p(X) \widehat{\star}^L R\Gamma_p(Y) \simeq R\Gamma_p(X \times Y)$$

Proof. It follows from 4.7 and [BPØ22, Theorem 8.2.8] \square

Remark 5.7. It would be interesting to remove the hypothesis of resolutions of singularities: we believe that a construction similar to the one of [ESS21] by taking in consideration the tame topology of [HS20] in combination with alterations should give a desired cohomology theory. We leave this for a future work.

APPENDIX A. A METHOD FOR COMPUTING h_0^{\square}

In this appendix, we will show a general method to compute $h_0^{\square}(_)$ and we will apply it to compute $h_i^{\square, \log}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})$ and $h_i^{\square, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})$ for $i = 0, 1$, without any assumption on the perfect field k .

Construction A.1. Let $s \in \{\log, \text{ltr}\}$. Let $A \in \mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^s(k, A)$ and let $B \in \mathbf{logCI}^s(k, A)$ with $f: A \rightarrow B$ such that $\omega_{\#}(f)$ is surjective. Then we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega_{\#}A & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\#}B \\ \downarrow & & \parallel \\ \omega_{\#}h_0^{\square, s}(A) & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\#}h_0^{\square, s}(f)} & \omega_{\#}h_0^{\square, s}(B) \end{array}$$

In particular $\omega_{\sharp} \text{coker}(h_0^{\square, s}(f)) = 0$, and since $\text{coker}(h_0^{\square, s}(f)) \in \mathbf{logCI}^s(k, A)$, we conclude by [BM21, Theorem 5.10] that $h_0^{\square, s}(f)$ is surjective.

Let E (resp. E') be the kernel of f (resp. $h_0^{\square, s}(f)$). We fix the notation in the following commutative diagram:

$$(A.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & E & \xrightarrow{q} & A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ & & \downarrow L_0 & & \downarrow L & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E' & \xrightarrow{q'} & h_0^{\square, s}(A) & \xrightarrow{h_0^{\square, s}(f)} & B \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Let K be a function field and for each $t \in K$ consider $i_t: (K, \text{triv}) \rightarrow \square_K$ given by the inclusion of $[1 : t]$ in \mathbf{P}_K^1 . Notice that for all $F \in \mathbf{logCI}^s$ and $t \in K$, the map $i_t^*: F(\square_K) \rightarrow F(K, \text{triv})$ is an isomorphism inverse of $F(K, \text{triv}) \rightarrow F(\square_K)$ given by the structural map, so for $t, t' \in K$, $i_t^* = i_{t'}^*$. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$(A.1.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & \text{Ker}(L_K) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & \nearrow & \\ A(\square_K) & \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_1^*} & A(K, \text{triv}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow L_K \\ h_0^{\square, s} A(\square_K) & \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_1^* = 0} & h_0^{\square, s} A(K, \text{triv}) \end{array}$$

Let us consider the following condition:

$$(\star) \quad q_K(E(K, \text{triv})) \subseteq \text{Im}(i_0^* - i_1^*)$$

Proposition A.2. *In the situation of Construction A.1, if (\star) is satisfied for every function field K , then $h_0^{\square, s}(A) \cong B$.*

Proof. For simplicity, we will drop the “s” from the notation: the proof works verbatim with and without transfers. We need to show that for all $g: A \rightarrow C$ with $C \in \mathbf{logCI}$ there is a unique map $\bar{g}: B \rightarrow C$ that factors g via f . Notice that if \bar{g}, \bar{g}' such that $\bar{g} \circ f = \bar{g}' \circ f$, by the universal property of $h_0^{\square}(A)$ we have $\bar{g} \circ h_0^{\square}(f) = \bar{g}' \circ h_0^{\square}(f)$, and since $h_0^{\square}(f)$ is surjective we get $\bar{g} = \bar{g}'$. We are then left to show that such \bar{g} exists: to do so, we will show that there exists a map $B \rightarrow h_0^{\square}(A)$ that factors $A \rightarrow h_0^{\square}(A)$. The condition (\star) implies that for all function fields K

$$q'_K(L_0)_K(E(K, \text{triv})) \stackrel{(A.1.1)}{=} L_K q_K E(K, \text{triv}) \stackrel{(\star)}{\subseteq} L_K(i_0^* - i_1^*(A(\square_K))) \stackrel{(A.1.2)}{=} 0.$$

Since q'_K is injective by definition (see (A.1.1)), we have $(L_0)_K = 0$. By [BM21, Theorem 5.10], for all $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ with fraction field K , $h_0^{\square}(A)(X) \hookrightarrow h_0^{\square}(A)(K, \text{triv})$, so $E'(X) \hookrightarrow E'(K, \text{triv})$, which implies that $L_0 = 0$. So, we have that $E = \ker(L)$, hence the map L factors through A/E . By the universal property of L , for all $C \in \mathbf{logCI}^s$ and $g: A \rightarrow C$, we have a unique map g' such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & C \\ & \searrow & \nearrow g' \\ & A/E & \xrightarrow{p} h_0^{\square}(A) \end{array}$$

Moreover, the map $u: A/E \rightarrow B$ induces an isomorphism $\omega_{\sharp} A/E \cong \omega_{\sharp} B$, so we get a map

$$\varphi = \omega_{\sharp} p \circ (\omega_{\sharp} u)^{-1}: \omega_{\sharp} B \rightarrow \omega_{\sharp} h_0^{\square}(A).$$

Let $X_x^h \in \widetilde{\mathbf{Sm}}(k)$ hensel local of Krull dimension 1, with generic point η_x and closed point $k(x)$. Since $A/E_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1} = B_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}$, we have $R\Gamma(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1(x), A/E) = A/E(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1(x))$, so the commutative diagrams of (3.6.4) and the split $\mathrm{Spec}(k(x)) \xrightarrow{i_0} \overline{\mathbf{P}}_{k(x)} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{str}} \mathrm{Spec}(k(x))$ give a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 B(\eta_x) & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{u_{\eta_x}} & A/E(\eta_x) & \xrightarrow{p_{\eta_x}} & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(\eta_x) \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 B(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, B) & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{} & A/E(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, A/E) & \longrightarrow & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/R\Gamma(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 B(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/R\Gamma(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{k(x)}, B) & \xleftarrow{} & A/E(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/R\Gamma(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{k(x)}, A/E) & \longrightarrow & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/R\Gamma(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_{k(x)}, h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)) \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 B(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/B(k(x)) & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{u_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}/u_{k(x)}} & A/E(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/A/E(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{p_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}/p_{k(x)}} & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(k(x)).
 \end{array}$$

By taking inverses on the horizontal maps on the big square on the left, we conclude that the map φ induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 B(\eta_x) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\eta_x}} & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(\eta_x) \\
 \downarrow \mathrm{Res}_B(X_x^h) & & \downarrow \mathrm{Res}_{h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)}(X_x^h) \\
 B(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/B(k(x)) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}/\varphi_{k(x)}} & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1)/h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(k(x)),
 \end{array}$$

which implies that φ is a map of presheaves with residues as in Definition 3.7. By Proposition 3.9, it lifts uniquely to a map $p': B \rightarrow h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)$. We need to show that $p'f = L$: let $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ and let X° be the subscheme with trivial log structure. Since the map $h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(X) \hookrightarrow h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(X^\circ, \mathrm{triv})$ is injective by [BM21, Theorem 5.10], with an argument similar to (3.9.2) it is enough to check the commutativity on (X°, triv) . which follows automatically by the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A/E(X^\circ) & \xrightarrow{p_{X^\circ}} & h_0^{\overline{\square}}(A)(X^\circ) \\
 \downarrow \simeq & \nearrow p'_{X^\circ} & \\
 B(X^\circ) & &
 \end{array}$$

□

Remark A.3. We would like to use the method explained in Proposition A.2 to the map considered in [RSY22]:

$$\mathbf{G}_a \otimes^{\mathrm{ltr}} \mathbf{G}_m \rightarrow \Omega^1 \quad (a, b) \mapsto a \cdot \mathrm{dlog}(b).$$

We conjecture that this map is an isomorphism, but at the moment we are not able to verify (\star) in this case. We remark that the methods of [RSY22] do not apply here since $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{ltr}}(\mathbf{A}^1)(\overline{\mathbf{P}}_K) = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{ltr}}(\mathbf{A}^1)(K)$: in order to make it work we need a replacement for the modulus pair $(\mathbf{P}^1, 2\infty)$. This is a work in progress.

For $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$, we let $X^\circ := X - |\partial X| \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$ and $h_i^{\mathbf{A}^1, \mathrm{tr}}(X^\circ)$ the i -th Suslin homology sheaf. We apply the proposition above to prove the following:

Proposition A.4. *Let $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ such that \underline{X} is proper and $h_1^{\mathbf{A}^1, \mathrm{tr}}(X^\circ) = 0$. Then $h_0^{\overline{\square}, \mathrm{ltr}}(X) \cong \omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \mathrm{tr}}(X^\circ)$.*

Proof. The map $f: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X) \rightarrow \omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^\circ)$ induced by adjunction from the map

$$\omega_{\sharp} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X, D) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X^\circ) \rightarrow h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^\circ)$$

satisfies the fact that $\omega_{\sharp} f$ is surjective, hence by Proposition A.2, it is enough to show that (\star) holds in this case. Notice that we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{ICor}(\overline{\square}_K, X) & \xrightarrow{i_0^* - i_1^*} & \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X)(K) \\ \downarrow \iota & & \parallel \\ \mathbf{Cor}(\mathbf{A}_K^1, X^\circ) & \xrightarrow{(i_0^{\mathbf{A}^1})^* - (i_1^{\mathbf{A}^1})^*} & \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X^\circ)(K) \end{array}$$

and since $h_1^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^\circ) = 0$ we have that

$$\text{Im}((i_0^{\mathbf{A}^1})^* - (i_1^{\mathbf{A}^1})^*) = q_K(E(K, \text{triv})).$$

Moreover, ι is a bijection since it is clearly injective and by e.g. [KMSY21, Theorem 1.6.2], every finite corpondence $Z: \mathbf{A}_K^1 \rightarrow \underline{X}$ such that $|\partial X|_Z = \text{triv}$ extends to a finite log correspondence $\overline{Z}: \overline{\square}_K \rightarrow X$: this concludes the proof. \square

We can now compute the homotopy groups of $M^{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})$. First of all, there is a Nisnevich square

$$(A.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) & \longrightarrow & (\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), \end{array}$$

giving a long exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} h_1^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) & \rightarrow & h_1^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) & \rightarrow & h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) & \rightarrow & h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \\ h_1^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) & \oplus & & & & \oplus & h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since the inclusion of the point $[1 : 1]$ in \mathbf{P}^1 induces equivalences in $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$:

$$\mathbb{Z}[0] \simeq M^{\text{ltr}}(\text{Spec}(k)) \simeq M^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \simeq M^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty)$$

we conclude that $h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) \simeq h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\text{Spec}(k)) = \mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, we have that the tautological bundle of \mathbf{P}^1 induces a map $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) \rightarrow \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m[1]$. Since $\omega^* \mathbf{G}_m \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{tr}}$, the map factors through $M^{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{ltr})$, and by taking π_1 we get a map

$$(A.4.2) \quad h_1^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) \cong \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m.$$

Proposition A.5. *The map (A.4.2) is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By e.g. [BM21, Proposition 5.12], the map above factors through $\omega^* h_1^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m$, which is an isomorphism by [MVW06, Lecture 4], hence it is enough to prove that $h_1^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) \cong \omega^* h_1^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1)$. By the long exact sequences induced by Mayer–Vietoris we have a commutative diagram where the rows are exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & h_1^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) & \longrightarrow & h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) & \longrightarrow & h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(\overline{\square})^{\times 2} \\ & & \downarrow (*1) & & \downarrow (*2) & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega^* h_1^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1) & \longrightarrow & \omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}) & \longrightarrow & \omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(\mathbf{A}^1)^{\times 2}. \end{array}$$

By [MVW06, Lemma 4.1], we have $h_1^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}) = 0$, so by Proposition A.4 we conclude that the map $(*2)$ above is an isomorphism, so $(*1)$ is an isomorphism too. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark A.6. One would be tempted to generalize the result above to the case without transfers: we have by [Mor12, Theorem 3.37] that $h_1^{\mathbf{A}^1}(\mathbf{P}^1) \cong \mathcal{K}_1^{\text{MW}}$, where the right hand side is the Milnor–Witt K -theory sheaf. On the other hand, (\star) is not verified in this situation, so the result does not immediately follow in this case. We leave this (apparently much harder) computation to a future work.

As an application, we have the following corollary that will be useful in the next appendix:

Corollary A.7. *For all $F \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$, the map $M(\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m[1]$ in $\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}(k, \mathbb{Z})$ induces an isomorphism*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}}(\omega^* \mathbf{G}_m, F) \cong a_{\text{Nis}} H^1(\mathbf{P}^1 \times _, \omega_{\sharp} F).$$

Proof. The spectral sequence

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}}^i(h_j^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), F) \Rightarrow \pi_{-i-j} \underline{\text{Map}}_{\mathbf{logDM}^{\text{eff}}}(M(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), F)$$

gives the five-terms exact sequence

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}^1(h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), F) \rightarrow \pi_{-1} \underline{\text{Map}}(M(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), F) \xrightarrow{\theta} \underline{\text{Hom}}(h_1^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), F) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}^2(h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), F).$$

We have that $h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) = \mathbb{Z}$, so for all $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ with generic point η_X , by [BM21, Theorem 5.10] we have an injection:

$$\underline{\text{Ext}}^i(h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}))(X) \hookrightarrow \underline{\text{Ext}}^i(h_0^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}))(\eta_X) = H^i(\eta_X, F) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1,$$

which implies that the map θ above is an isomorphism. Moreover, by Proposition A.5 we have that $h_1^{\overline{\square}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) = \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m$ and the map θ is induced by δ , so we conclude that for every field $k(x)$ we have

$$H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_{\sharp} F) = \pi_{-1} \underline{\text{Map}}(M(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}), F)(k(x)) \cong \underline{\text{Hom}}(\omega^* \mathbf{G}_m, F)(k(x)),$$

which again by [BM21, Theorem 5.10] allows us to conclude. \square

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF [BM22, Conjecture 0.2] IN THE CASE WITH TRANSFERS

In this appendix, we will deduce the aforementioned conjecture from Theorem 3.10. Let $X \in \mathbf{SmlSm}(k)$ and consider the map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X^{\circ}) \rightarrow h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^{\circ}).$$

induced by the Suslin complex of $X - D$. By adjunction, we have a map

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X) \rightarrow \omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^{\circ}),$$

which since $\omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1}(X^{\circ}) \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$ induces a map

$$h_0^{\overline{\square}, \text{ltr}}(X) \rightarrow \omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^{\circ}),$$

which is surjective by purity of $\mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$ (see [BM21, Theorem 5.10]). This map is functorial in X , which give for all $F \in \mathbf{logCI}$ a subsheaf:

$$F_{\mathbf{A}^1} \subseteq F: (X, D) \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}}(\omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^{\circ}), F).$$

Let us fix $F \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$ and $G \in \mathbf{HI}^{\text{tr}}$. Let $f: \omega^* G \rightarrow F$. Then by definition we have

$$\omega^* G(X) = G(X^{\circ}) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{HI}^{\text{tr}}}(h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^{\circ}), G) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}}(\omega^* h_0^{\mathbf{A}^1, \text{tr}}(X^{\circ}), \omega^* G),$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that ω^* is fully faithful. This implies that the image of every map $\omega^* G \rightarrow F$ is contained in $F_{\mathbf{A}^1}$, which implies that we have:

$$(B.0.1) \quad \text{Hom}(\omega^* G, F_{\mathbf{A}^1}) = \text{Hom}(\omega^* G, F)$$

Now, by construction we have $F_{\mathbf{A}^1} = \omega^* \omega_{\sharp} F_{\mathbf{A}^1}$, and since $\omega_{\sharp} F_{\mathbf{A}^1}$ is \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant, it is \mathbf{A}^1 -local and $\omega^* \omega_{\sharp} F_{\mathbf{A}^1} \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$. Moreover, let $h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp} F) \subseteq \omega_{\sharp} F$ be the biggest \mathbf{A}^1 -invariant

subsheaf of F as in [RS21]: then we have $\omega_{\sharp}F_{\mathbf{A}^1} \subseteq h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F)$. We are ready to prove the following:

Lemma B.1. *For $F \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$. Then we have*

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}^{\text{tr}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_{\sharp}F) \cong \omega_{\sharp} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}}(\omega^*\mathbf{G}_m, F)$$

Proof. Let $X \in \mathbf{Sm}(k)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}^{\text{tr}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_{\sharp}F)(X) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(X), \omega_{\sharp}F)) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}^{\text{tr}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_{\sharp} \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X, \text{triv}), F)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\omega_{\sharp} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}}(\omega^*\mathbf{G}_m, F)(X) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}}(\omega^*\mathbf{G}_m, \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X, \text{triv}), F)).$$

Since $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X, \text{triv}), F) \in \mathbf{logCI}$ (see e.g. [BM21, Lemma 2.10]), up to replacing F with $\underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(X, \text{triv}), F)$, we are reduced to prove that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{Nis}}^{\text{tr}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_{\sharp}F) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\text{dNis}}^{\text{ltr}}}(\omega^*\mathbf{G}_m, F).$$

The inclusions $\omega_{\sharp}F_{\mathbf{A}^1} \subseteq h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) \subseteq \omega_{\sharp}F$ induce

$$H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F_{\mathbf{A}^1}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F)) \xrightarrow{(*)} H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \omega_{\sharp}F).$$

By Corollary A.7 and (B.0.1), the composition above is the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}(\omega^*\mathbf{G}_m, F_{\mathbf{A}^1}) \cong \text{Hom}(\omega^*\mathbf{G}_m, F),$$

and by [MVW06] the term in the middle is

$$H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F)) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbf{G}_m, h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F)) = \text{Hom}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_{\sharp}F),$$

so to conclude it is enough to show that the map $(*)$ above is injective. Let $Q = \text{coker}(h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) \rightarrow \omega_{\sharp}F)$: by the long exact sequence it is enough to show that the map $Q((\mathbf{P}^1), i_1) = 0$, where the notation is as in (3.5.1). Let $\omega_{\log}^{\text{CI}}$ be the right adjoint of ω_{\sharp} as in [BM21, Definition 7.1]. Since $h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) \in \mathbf{HI}$, we have that $\omega_{\log}^{\text{CI}}h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) = \omega^*h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F)$: this gives a commutative diagram

$$(B.1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\sharp}\omega_{\log}^{\text{CI}}\omega_{\sharp}F & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\sharp}(\text{coker}(\alpha)) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow q \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) & \longrightarrow & \omega_{\sharp}F & \longrightarrow & Q \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the middle vertical arrow is induced by $\omega_{\sharp}(\ast)$, where (\ast) is as in

$$F \rightarrow \omega_{\log}^{\text{CI}}\omega_{\sharp}F \xrightarrow{(**)} \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F.$$

Since $\omega_{\sharp}F \cong \omega_{\sharp}\omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F$, we have that $\omega_{\sharp}(**)$ above is split surjective, which implies that q is surjective. We make the following claim:

Claim B.2. *The map $\omega_{\sharp}(\text{coker}(\alpha))(\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow Q(\mathbf{P}^1)$ induced by q in (B.1.1) is surjective.*

If Claim B.2 holds, since $\text{coker}(\alpha) \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\text{ltr}}$, we have that $\text{coker}(\alpha)((\mathbf{P}^1), i_1) = 0$, which implies that $Q((\mathbf{P}^1), i_1) = 0$ and finishes the proof.

Proof of Claim B.2. In fact, the diagram (B.1.1) is induced by applying ω_{\sharp} to the following diagram:

$$(B.2.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega^*h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) & \xrightarrow{\omega_{\sharp}\alpha} & \omega_{\log}^{\text{CI}}\omega_{\sharp}F & \longrightarrow & \text{coker}(\alpha) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow t & & \downarrow q' \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \omega^*h_{\mathbf{A}^1}^0(\omega_{\sharp}F) & \longrightarrow & \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F & \longrightarrow & \omega^*Q \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

where the map t above is [BM21, (7.1.1)] applied to $\omega_{\sharp}F$. Let $T = \ker(\omega_{\log}^{\mathbf{CI}}\omega_{\sharp}F \rightarrow \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F)$: by (B.2.1), we have that $T \cong \ker(q')$, so $\omega_{\sharp}T \cong \ker(q)$, with q as in (B.1.1). Since q is surjective, by the long exact sequence in cohomology, it is enough to show that $H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \omega_{\sharp}T) = 0$. We have that $T(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty)$ is the kernel of the map:

$$(B.2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \omega^{\mathbf{CI}}\omega_{\sharp}F(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) &= \mathrm{Hom}(h_0^{\overline{\square}, \mathrm{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty), \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F) = \mathrm{Hom}(\omega^*\omega_{\sharp}h_0^{\overline{\square}, \mathrm{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty), \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F) \\ &\rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\omega^*\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}), \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F) = \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition A.5, the map $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathrm{tr}}(\mathbf{A}^1 - \{0\}) \rightarrow \omega_{\sharp}h_0^{\overline{\square}, \mathrm{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty)$ agrees with the map λ of [MVW06, Lemma 4.4], which is a surjective map of presheaves by *loc cit*, which implies that (B.2.2) above is injective, so $T(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) = 0$. By the usual Mayer–Vietoris sequence induced by (A.4.1), we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, \omega_{\sharp}T) \rightarrow H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, 0), T) \oplus H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, \infty), T) \xrightarrow{\nabla} H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty), T) \rightarrow 0,$$

so it is enough to prove that ∇ is an isomorphism. Let $G = \mathrm{coker}(F \rightarrow \omega_{\log}^{\mathbf{CI}}\omega_{\sharp}F)$: by construction there exists $U \subseteq \mathrm{coker}(F \rightarrow \omega^*\omega_{\sharp}F)$ and an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $G \in \mathbf{logCI}$ and $\omega_{\sharp}U = 0$, for $e \in \{0, \infty\}$ the map $G(\mathbf{P}^1, e) \rightarrow U(\mathbf{P}^1, e)$ factors through $U(\mathrm{Spec}(k), \mathrm{triv})$, which is zero, so we conclude that $G(\mathbf{P}^1, e) \rightarrow U(\mathbf{P}^1, e)$ is the zero map. Again by the usual Mayer–Vietoris we have an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} G(\mathbf{P}^1, \mathrm{triv}) & \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} G(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \\ \oplus \\ G(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \end{array} & \longrightarrow & G(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathbf{P}^1, G) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow (** & & \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} U(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \\ \oplus \\ U(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) \end{array} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & U(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & \begin{array}{c} H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, 0), U) \\ \oplus \\ H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, \infty), U) \end{array} \end{array}$$

so by the five-lemma $(**)$ is the zero map as well. Putting everything together, we have a commutative diagram where the vertical maps are long exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \oplus G(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) & \longrightarrow & G(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) \\ \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0 \\ U(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \oplus U(\mathbf{P}^1, \infty) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & U(\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty) \\ \downarrow (*1) & & \downarrow (*2) \\ H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, 0), T) \oplus H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, \infty), T) & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty), T) \\ \downarrow 0 & & \downarrow 0 \\ H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, 0), G) \oplus H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, \infty), G) = 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1((\mathbf{P}^1, 0 + \infty), G) = 0, \end{array}$$

which implies that the maps $(*1)$ and $(*2)$ are isomorphisms, so ∇ is an isomorphism too and we conclude. \square

We are ready to settle [BM22, Conjecture 0.2] in the case with transfers:

Theorem B.3. *Let $F, G \in \mathbf{logCI}^{\mathrm{ltr}}$, and let $\varphi: \omega_{\sharp}F \rightarrow \omega_{\sharp}G$ be a map in $\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathrm{Nis}}^{\mathrm{tr}}$. Then φ lifts to a map $F \rightarrow G$ in $\mathbf{logCI}^{\mathrm{ltr}}$.*

Proof. In order to use Theorem 3.10, we need to show that the Gysin maps are the same. Let $U \subseteq \mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1$ be a neighborhood of 0. By unwinding the definitions, we have that the

Gysin map of [BPØ22] is given by the following map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathfrak{sNis}}^{\text{ltr}}(k, \mathbb{Z}))$:

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(U, \text{triv}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(U, \text{triv})/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(U, 0) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \text{triv})/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, 0)$$

where the last equivalence is given by strict Zariski descent. Let $\delta: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_m[1]$ be the map in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathfrak{sNis}}^{\text{tr}}(k, \mathbb{Z}))$ induced by the tautological bundle of \mathbf{P}^1 . By adjunction δ induces a map $\tilde{\delta}: \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv}) \rightarrow \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m[1]$ in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathfrak{sNis}}^{\text{ltr}}(k, \mathbb{Z}))$. By the choice of a trivialization on $\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0\}$ and the \square -invariance of $\omega^* \mathbf{G}_m$, we have a factorization of δ via

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1)/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m[1],$$

By applying the adjoint functors $\omega^\sharp \omega_\sharp$ of [BMS21, (2.13.2)], we have a commutative diagram in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathfrak{sNis}}(k, \mathbb{Z}))$:

(B.3.1)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(U, \text{triv}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(\mathbf{P}^1 - \{0\}) \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(k(x), \text{triv}) \xrightarrow{\delta^\sharp} \omega^\sharp \mathbf{G}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(k(x), \text{triv})[1] \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(U, \text{triv}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, \text{triv})/\mathbb{Z}_{\text{tr}}(\mathbf{P}^1, 0) \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(k(x), \text{triv}) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\delta}} \omega^* \mathbf{G}_m \times \mathbb{Z}_{\text{ltr}}(k(x), \text{triv})[1]. \end{array}$$

By Corollary A.7 above, we have an isomorphism

$$(B.3.2) \quad \underline{\text{Hom}}(\omega^* \mathbf{G}_m, F)(k(x)) = H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_\sharp F)$$

Now, the top row of (B.3.1) induces a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathfrak{sNis}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_\sharp F)(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & F_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}(U)[1] \\ \downarrow \varphi_{\mathbf{G}_m, k(x)} & & \downarrow \varphi_U[1] \\ \underline{\text{Hom}}_{\mathbf{Shv}_{\mathfrak{sNis}}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_\sharp G)(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}(U)[1]. \end{array}$$

Combining it with the isomorphisms of Lemma B.1 we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{0 \subseteq U}^F} & & \\ H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_\sharp F) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_\sharp F)(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & F_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}(U)[1] \\ \downarrow \varphi & & \downarrow \varphi_{\mathbf{G}_m, k(x)} & & \downarrow \varphi_U[1] \\ H^1(\mathbf{P}_{k(x)}^1, \omega_\sharp G) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \underline{\text{Hom}}(\mathbf{G}_m, \omega_\sharp G)(k(x)) & \longrightarrow & G_{\mathbf{A}_{k(x)}^1}(U)[1], \\ & & \xrightarrow{\text{Gys}_{0 \subseteq U}^G} & & \end{array}$$

This concludes the proof by Theorem 3.10. \square

REFERENCES

- [AC21] Tomoyuki Abe and Richard Crew. Integral p -adic cohomology theories, 2021. arXiv preprint: 2108.07608.
- [Ber97] Pierre Berthelot. Finitude et pureté cohomologique en cohomologie rigide (with an appendix in english by A.J. de Jong). *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 128:329–377, 1997.
- [BM21] Federico Binda and Alberto Merici. Connectivity and purity for logarithmic motives. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, pages 1–47, 2021.
- [BM22] Federico Binda and Alberto Merici. Erratum to: Connectivity and purity for logarithmic motives. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, pages 1–2, 2022.
- [BMS21] Federico Binda, Alberto Merici, and Shuji Saito. Derived log Albanese sheaves, 2021.
- [BPØ22] Federico Binda, Doosung Park, and Paul Arne Østvær. *Triangulated categories of logarithmic motives over a field*, volume 433 of *Astérisque*. Paris: Société Mathématique de France (SMF), 2022.
- [BRS22] Federico Binda, Kay Rülling, and Shuji Saito. On the cohomology of reciprocity sheaves. *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma*, 10:e72, 2022.

- [Eke85] Torsten Ekedahl. On the multiplicative properties of the de rham—witt complex. ii. *Ark. Mat.*, 23:53–102, 1985.
- [ESS21] Veronika Ertl, Atsushi Shiho, and Johannes Sprang. Integral p -adic cohomology theories for open and singular varieties, 2021. arXiv preprint 2105.11009.
- [Gro85] Michel Gros. Classes de Chern et classes de cycles en cohomologie de Hodge-Witt logarithmique. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr.*, 21:1–87, 1985.
- [HS20] Katharina Hübner and Alexander Schmidt. The tame site of a scheme. *Inv. Math.*, 223:397–443, 2020.
- [Hyo98] Osamu Hyodo. A cohomological construction of Swan representation over the Witt ring I. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, 64:300–303, 1998.
- [Ill79] Luc Illusie. Complexe de De Rham–Witt et cohomologie cristalline. *Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4)*, 12:501–661, 1979.
- [Ill83] Luc Illusie. Finiteness, duality, and Künneth theorems in the cohomology of the De Rham–Witt complex. In *Algebraic Geometry*, volume 1016 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 20–62. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 1983.
- [Ill02] Luc Illusie. An overview of the work of K. Fujiwara, K. Kato, and C. Nakayama on logarithmic étale cohomology. In *Cohomologies p -adiques et applications arithmétiques (II)*, volume 279 of *Astérisque*, pages 272–322. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 2002.
- [Kat21] Fumiharo Kato. Integral morphisms and log blow-ups. *Isr. J. of Math.*, pages 1–9, 2021. to appear.
- [KMSY21] Bruno Kahn, Hiroyasu Miyazaki, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Motives with modulus, I: Modulus sheaves with transfers for non-proper modulus pairs. *Épîj. de Géom. Alg.*, 5:1–46, 2021.
- [KS10] Moritz Kerz and Alexander Schmidt. On different notions of tameness in arithmetic geometry. *Math. Ann.*, 346:641–668, 2010.
- [KSY21] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito, and Takao Yamazaki. Reciprocity sheaves, II. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, page 25, 2021. to appear.
- [KSYR16] Bruno Kahn, Shuji Saito, Takao Yamazaki, and Kay Rülling. Reciprocity sheaves. *Compositio Mathematica*, 152(9):1851—1898, 2016.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. <http://people.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.
- [Mat17] Hironori Matsue. On relative and overconvergent de rham–witt cohomology for log schemes. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 286:19–87, 2017.
- [Mok93] Abdellah Mokrane. La suite spectrale des poids en cohomologie de Hyodo-Kato. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 72:301–337, 1993.
- [Mor12] Fabien Morel. \mathbf{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field, volume 2052 of *Lecture Notes in Math*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. \mathbf{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, 90:45–143 (2001), 1999.
- [MVW06] Carlo Mazza, Vladimir Voevodsky, and Charles Weibel. *Lecture notes on motivic cohomology*, volume 2 of *Clay Math. Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2006.
- [Nak12] Yuki Yoshi Nakajima. *Weight Filtration and Slope Filtration on the Rigid Cohomology of a Variety in Characteristic $p \neq 0$* , volume 130-131 of *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr.* Société mathématique de France, 2012.
- [Niz08] Wiesława Nizioł. K-theory of log-schemes, I. *Documenta Math.*, 13:505–551, 2008.
- [Ogu18] Arthur Ogus. *Lectures on logarithmic algebraic geometry*, volume 178 of *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.* Cambridge Univ. Press, 2018.
- [RG71] Michel Raynaud and Laurent Gruson. Critère de platitude et de projectivité. *Invent. Math.*, 13:1–89, 1971.
- [RS21] Kay Rülling and Shuji Saito. Reciprocity sheaves and their ramification filtration. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, pages 1–74, 2021.
- [RSY22] Kay Rülling, Rin Sugiyama, and Takao Yamazaki. Tensor structures in the theory of modulus presheaves with transfers. *Math. Z.*, 300:929–977, 2022.
- [Sai20] Shuji Saito. Purity of reciprocity sheaves. *Adv. Math.*, 366:107067, 70, 2020.
- [Sai21] Shuji Saito. Reciprocity sheaves and logarithmic motives, 2021. Accepted for publication in *Compos. Math.*, arXiv preprint: 2107.00381.
- [Sti02] Jakob Stix. *Projective Anabelian Curves in Positive Characteristic and Descent Theory for Log-Étale Covers*. PhD thesis, Rheinischen Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, 2002.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OSLO, NIELS HENRIK ABELS HUS, MOLTKE MOES VEI 35, 0851 OSLO, NORWAY

Email address: allbertm@math.uio.no