

# A SHARPER SWISS CHEESE

ALEXANDER J. IZZO

*Dedicated to the memory of Harold Garth Dales*

ABSTRACT. It is shown that there exists a compact planar set  $K$  such that the uniform algebra  $R(K)$  is nontrivial and strongly regular. This answers a 53-year-old question of Donald Wilken.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *There exists a compact set  $K$  in the complex plane such that  $R(K)$  is a nontrivial, strongly regular uniform algebra.*

Here, as usual,  $R(K)$  denotes the uniform closure on  $K$  of the holomorphic rational functions with poles off  $K$ .

This theorem answers a question raised by Donald Wilken 53 years ago [13] and reiterated 30 years ago by Joel Feinstein in the paper in which he constructed the first example of a strongly regular uniform algebra [4].

In fact, our main result is somewhat stronger than the above theorem. Here, and throughout the paper, we denote the open unit disc in the complex plane by  $D$ , and given a disc  $\Delta$ , we denote the radius of  $\Delta$  by  $r(\Delta)$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *For each  $r > 0$ , there exists a sequence of open discs  $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty r(D_k) < r$  and such that setting  $K = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$ , the uniform algebra  $R(K)$  is nontrivial and strongly regular.*

A set of the form  $\overline{D} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$  with  $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  a sequence of discs such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty r(D_k) < \infty$  is called a *Swiss cheese*. (Sometimes in the literature a more restrictive definition of Swiss cheese is used.) Thus the set in Theorem 1.2 is a Swiss cheese.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46J10, 46J15, 30H50.

*Key words and phrases.* strongly regular, Swiss cheese, local ideal, essential uniform algebra.

The author was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS-1856010.

The first example of a nontrivial *normal* uniform algebra was given by Robert McKissick [9]. His example is  $R(K)$  for a certain Swiss cheese  $K$ . Theorem 1.2 is thus a sharpening of McKissick's result.

In [5, Theorem 1.1], Feinstein and the author introduced a general method for constructing essential uniform algebras. Using this method they constructed an essential, natural, regular uniform algebra on the closed unit disc  $\overline{D}$  [5, Theorem 1.2]. Repeating the proof of [5, Theorem 1.2] with the strongly regular uniform algebra of Theorem 1.2 above in place of McKissick's normal uniform algebra shows that the result can be strengthened by replacing regularity by strong regularity.

**Theorem 1.3.** *There exists an essential, natural, strongly regular uniform algebra on the closed unit disc  $\overline{D}$ .*

That the uniform algebras we construct are nontrivial will be shown in the customary way by invoking the following standard result [10, Lemma 24.1].

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose that  $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of discs in the complex plane such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(D_k) < 1$ , and set  $K = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$ . Then  $R(K) \neq C(K)$ .*

We recall here standard terminology and notation some of which has already been used above. Throughout the paper all spaces will tacitly be required to be Hausdorff. Let  $X$  be a compact space. We denote by  $C(X)$  the algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on  $X$  with the supremum norm  $\|f\|_{\infty} = \|f\|_X = \{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ . A *uniform algebra* on  $X$  is a closed subalgebra of  $C(X)$  that contains the constants and separates the points of  $X$ . A uniform algebra  $A$  on  $X$  is said to be

- (a) *nontrivial* if  $A \neq C(X)$
- (b) *essential* if there is no proper closed subset  $E$  of  $X$  such that  $A$  contains every continuous complex-valued function on  $X$  that vanishes on  $E$
- (c) *natural* if the maximal ideal space of  $A$  is  $X$  (under the usual identification of a point of  $X$  with the corresponding multiplicative linear functional)
- (d) *regular on  $X$*  if for each closed set  $K_0$  of  $X$  and each point  $x$  of  $X \setminus K_0$ , there exists a function  $f$  in  $A$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  and  $f = 0$  on  $K_0$
- (e) *normal on  $X$*  if for each pair of disjoint closed sets  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  of  $X$ , there exists a function  $f$  in  $A$  such that  $f = 1$  on  $K_1$  and  $f = 0$  on  $K_0$

- (f) *approximately regular on  $X$*  if for each closed set  $K_0$  of  $X$ , each point  $x$  of  $X \setminus K_0$ , and each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a function  $f$  in  $A$  such that  $f(x) = 1$  and  $|f| < \varepsilon$  on  $K_0$
- (g) *approximately normal on  $X$*  if for each pair of disjoint closed sets  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  of  $X$ , there exists a function  $f$  in  $A$  such that  $|f - 1| < \varepsilon$  on  $K_1$  and  $|f| < \varepsilon$  on  $K_0$ .

The uniform algebra  $A$  on  $X$  is *regular*, *normal*, *approximately regular*, or *approximately normal* if  $A$  is natural and is regular on  $X$ , normal on  $X$ , approximately regular on  $X$ , or approximately normal on  $X$ , respectively. In fact, every regular uniform algebra is normal [10, Theorem 27.2], and every approximately regular uniform algebra is approximately normal [12, Lemma 2.2]. Also, if a uniform algebra  $A$  is normal on  $X$ , then  $A$  is necessarily natural [10, Theorem 27.3]. In contrast a uniform algebra that is approximately normal on  $X$  need not be natural; the disc algebra on the circle is a counterexample.

Let  $A$  be a uniform algebra on  $X$ , and let  $x \in X$ . We define the ideals  $M_x$  and  $J_x$  by

$$M_x = \{ f \in A : f(x) = 0 \}$$

and

$$J_x = \{ f \in A : f^{-1}(0) \text{ contains a neighborhood of } x \text{ in } X \}.$$

Then  $A$  is *strongly regular* at  $x$  if  $\overline{J_x} = M_x$ . The uniform algebra  $A$  is *strongly regular* if  $A$  is strongly regular at every point of  $X$ . It was shown by Wilken that every strongly regular uniform algebra is normal [13, Corollary 1].

It is to be understood that all sequences, unions, and sums involving an index extend from 1 to  $\infty$ ; thus for instance  $\{D_k\}$  means  $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ , and  $\bigcup D_k$  means  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$ . If  $f$  is a function whose domain contains a subset  $L$ , we denote the restriction of  $f$  to  $L$  by  $f|L$ , and if  $A$  is a collection of such functions, we denote the collection of restrictions of functions in  $A$  to  $L$  by  $A|L$ . We denote the interior of a set  $N$  by  $\text{Int}(N)$ . The set of positive integers will be denoted by  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ . Given a positive real number  $c$ , we denote by  $c\overline{D}$  the closed disc of radius  $c$  centered at the origin in the complex plane.

We now describe our approach to proving Theorem 1.2. Given a point  $x \in \overline{D}$  and  $r > 0$ , using McKissick's lemma [9, Lemma 2] (see also [10, Lemma 27.6] and [8, Lemma 1.2]), one can choose a sequence of open discs  $\{D_k\}$  with  $\sum r(D_k) < r$  and such that  $R(\overline{D} \setminus \bigcup D_k)$  is strongly regular at  $x$ . By repeating this at a countable set of points, Chalice obtained an  $R(K)$  that is strongly regular at a countable dense

set of points [2, pp. 302–303]. However, to achieve strong regularity at every point more seems to be needed. Specifically, if for a countable set  $\{x_n\}$ , we are to obtain the desired strong regularity from approximation of functions in  $M_{x_n}$  by functions in  $J_{x_n}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , then we need some control over how large a disc about  $x_n$  is contained in the zero set of an approximating function. We will give a precise criterion (Lemma 3.1) on a compact planar set  $K$  that, given  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , insures that  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  for every  $x \in K$ . One might hope to apply McKissick’s lemma to construct a compact set satisfying the criterion with  $s = 1$  and thereby obtain strong regularity. However, the author was unable to do so.

A proof of McKissick’s lemma, simpler than the original one, was given by Körner [8]. To get the control needed to satisfy the criterion in Lemma 3.1, we will strengthen McKissick’s lemma by giving certain uniform bounds on the functions used by Körner. A surprising twist then arises in our argument. Our bounds do not seem to enable us to directly satisfy the criterion of Lemma 3.1 with  $s = 1$ . Instead we obtain a Swiss cheese satisfying the criterion with  $s = 5$  (Theorem 3.4). Thus rather than a strongly regular uniform algebra, we obtain one in which  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^5$  for every point  $x$ . Finally, to conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2, we combine our construction with Wermer’s construction [11, Theorem 1] of a Swiss cheese for which  $\overline{M_x^2} = M_x$  for every  $x$ .

The condition that  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^5$  for every  $x \in K$  in the conclusion of Theorem 3.4 is actually equivalent to the condition that  $\overline{J_x} = \overline{M_x^5}$  for every  $x \in K$ . We digress from the main purpose of the paper to discuss this issue and in the process present some easy results of independent interest.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Fix  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . If a uniform algebra  $A$  on a compact space  $K$  satisfies  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  for every  $x \in K$ , then  $A$  is natural.*

**Corollary 1.6.** *Fix  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . If a uniform algebra  $A$  on a compact space  $K$  satisfies  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  for every  $x \in K$ , then  $A$  is normal.*

Theorem 1.5 can be proved by repeating Wilken’s proof [13, Lemma] that every strongly regular uniform algebra is natural, replacing  $f$  throughout by  $f^s$  (and noting that throughout Wilken’s proof  $d\mu$  should be  $d\mu$ ). The corollary follows from the theorem since the hypothesis on  $A$  is easily seen to imply that  $A$  is regular on  $K$ , and (as mentioned earlier) every uniform algebra that is regular on its maximal ideal space is normal [10, Theorem 27.2].

Let  $A$  be a natural uniform algebra, and let  $I$  be an ideal in  $A$ . Let  $E$  denote the hull of  $I$  (the common zero set of the functions in  $I$ ). Set

$$J(E) = \{f \in A : f^{-1}(0) \text{ contains a neighborhood of } E\}.$$

The ideal  $I$  is said to be *local* if  $I \supset J(E)$ . The following result is standard [3, Proposition 4.1.20(iv)].

**Lemma 1.7.** *Every ideal in a normal uniform algebra is local.*

As an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.6 and Theorem 1.7 we get the following result, and in particular, we get that in Theorem 3.4  $\overline{J_x} = \overline{M_x^5}$  for every  $x \in K$ .

**Corollary 1.8.** *Fix  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . If a uniform algebra  $A$  on a compact space  $K$  satisfies  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  for every  $x \in K$ , then  $\overline{J_x} = \overline{M_x^s}$  for every  $x \in K$ .*

Since the algebra in Theorem 3.4 is  $R(K)$  for a certain compact planar set, an alternative approach to showing that in that algebra  $\overline{J_x} = \overline{M_x^s}$  for every  $x \in K$  is to invoke the following result which was found in collaboration with Joel Feinstein. We prove the result in the final section of the paper.

**Theorem 1.9.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact. Then every ideal in  $R(K)$  is local.*

We will also show that a similar argument yields the following generalization of Theorem 1.7.

**Lemma 1.10.** *Every ideal in an approximately regular uniform algebra is local.*

In the next section we establish our uniform bounds on Körner's functions. These bounds seem likely to have further applications. In Section 3 we give our criterion, on a compact planar set  $K$ , for  $R(K)$  to satisfy  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  for every  $x \in K$ , and we construct a Swiss cheese  $K$  for which the criterion holds with  $s = 5$ . In Section 4 we present two lemmas showing that certain inclusions of ideals in a uniform algebra  $R(K)$  persist when we pass to a compact subset  $L$  of  $K$ , and we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.2. We also present in Section 4 two conjectures suggested by our arguments. The concluding Section 5 contains the proofs Theorems 1.9 and 1.10.

## 2. BOUNDS ON THE FUNCTIONS OF KÖRNER

As mentioned in the introduction, we will use the functions that were introduced by Körner [8] and used by him to simplify the proof of a

lemma in McKissick's construction [9] of a normal uniform algebra. In addition to the properties of these functions established by Körner, we will need certain uniform bounds on the functions. We restate here the lemma with the needed bounds added (conditions (f), (g), and (h)).

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exists  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  such that given  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$  there is a sequence of open discs  $\{\Delta_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $D$  and a sequence of rational functions  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that*

- (a)  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty r(\Delta_k) < \varepsilon$ .
- (b) *The poles of the  $F_n$  lie in  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Delta_k$ .*
- (c) *The sequence  $\{F_n\}$  converges uniformly on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Delta_k$  to a function  $F = F_\varepsilon$  that is identically zero outside  $D$  and zero free in  $D \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Delta_k$ .*
- (d)  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty \Delta_k \subset \{z : 1 - \varepsilon < |z| < 1\}$ .
- (e) *The discs  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots$  are disjoint.*
- (f)  $F(0) = 1$ .
- (g) *There is a constant  $C_0 = \prod_{r=1}^\infty [1 + (r+1)^{-4}]$  such that  $\|F\|_{(1/2)\overline{D}} \leq C_0$ .*
- (h) *There is a constant  $C_1 = 4^5 C_0$  such that  $\|F\|_\infty \leq C_1/\varepsilon^4$ .*

*Proof.* Functions  $\{f_n\}$  such that conditions (a)–(e) hold with  $f_n$  in place of  $F_n$  were constructed by Körner [8]. By renormalizing, one can of course achieve condition (f) also. What we must prove is that with that normalization, conditions (g) and (h) hold.

As in Körner's paper [8], set  $h_N(z) = 1/(1 - z^N)$ , set  $N = n2^{2n}$ , and set  $g_n(z) = h_N((1 - 2^{-2n})^{-1}z)$ . Körner established various conditions on the  $g_n$  for  $n$  sufficiently large. Choose  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  small enough that taking  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$  makes  $\varepsilon$  small enough that  $n > 2\varepsilon^{-1} + 1$  is large enough for those conditions to hold. Then Körner obtained a sequence of open discs  $\{\Delta_k\}$  as in the lemma such that with  $m = m(\varepsilon) > 2\varepsilon^{-1} + 1$  and

$$f_n = 4^{-1}(m+1)^{-4} \prod_{r=m}^\infty g_r$$

the sequence  $\{f_n\}$  converges uniformly to a function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup \Delta_k$  and conditions (a)–(e) hold with  $\{f_n\}$  and  $f$  in place of  $\{F_n\}$  and  $F$ . Körner showed that  $|f_n(z)| \leq C_0 = \prod_{r=1}^\infty [1 + (r+1)^{-4}]$  for all  $z \notin \bigcup \Delta_k$ . Set  $F_n = 4(m+1)^4 f_n$  and  $F = 4(m+1)^4 f$ , so that  $F(0) = 1$ . Set  $m = m(\varepsilon) = 3\varepsilon^{-1}$ . Then

$$|F_n(z)| \leq 4(3\varepsilon^{-1} + 1)^4 C_0 \leq 4^5 C_0 / \varepsilon^4$$

for all  $z \notin \bigcup \Delta_k$ . Thus condition (h) holds.

By [8, Lemma 2.3], we have, for  $n \geq m(\varepsilon)$  that

$$|g_n(z)| \leq 1 + (n + 1)^{-4} \text{ for } |z| \leq 1/2.$$

Thus for  $n \geq m(\varepsilon)$  and  $|z| \leq 1/2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F_n(z)| &= \left| \prod_{r=m}^n g_r(z) \right| \\ &\leq \prod_{r=m}^n [1 + (r + 1)^{-4}] \\ &\leq \prod_{r=m}^{\infty} [1 + (r + 1)^{-4}] = C_0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently condition (g) holds.  $\square$

### 3. THE MAIN CONSTRUCTION

Our goal in this section is to prove the existence of a Swiss cheese  $K$  such that in the uniform algebra  $R(K)$  we have  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^5$  for every  $x \in K$ . We will begin with a lemma that provides a general criterion, given  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , for the inclusion  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  to hold for every point  $x$ . We will then prove a technical lemma that will enable us to satisfy that criterion with  $s = 5$ . Finally using the two lemmas, we will construct the desired Swiss cheese.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact. Let  $s$  be a positive integer, and let  $\{\sigma_n\}$  and  $\{\eta_n\}$  be sequences of positive real numbers such that  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose that for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  there is a collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  of open discs that cover  $K$  such that each disc  $\Delta$  in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  has radius  $r(\Delta) \leq \sigma_n$ , and such that, denoting the center of  $\Delta$  by  $a$ , there is a function  $g$  in  $R(K)$  such that  $g$  is identically zero on  $\Delta \cap K$  and  $\|(z - a)^s - g\|_K < \eta_n$ . Then in the uniform algebra  $R(K)$ , we have  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  for every  $x \in K$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in K$  arbitrary. Since the function  $(z - x)^s$  generates the ideal  $M_x^s$ , it suffices to show that  $(z - x)^s$  is in  $\overline{J_x}$ . Given  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , choose an open disc  $\Delta \in \mathcal{D}_n$  such that  $x$  is in  $\Delta$ . Let  $a$  denote the center of  $\Delta$ , and choose a function  $g_n \in R(K)$  such that  $g_n = 0$  on  $\Delta \cap K$  and  $\|(z - a)^s - g_n\|_K < \eta_n$ . Let  $m = \sup_{z \in K} |(d/dz)(z^s)|$ . Then

$$\|(z - x)^s - (z - a)^s\|_K \leq m\sigma_n.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|(z-x)^s - g_n\|_K &\leq \|(z-x)^s - (z-a)^s\|_K + \|(z-a)^s - g_n\|_K \\ &< m\sigma_n + \eta_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g_n$  is in  $\underline{J}_x$  and  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $\eta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , this shows that  $(z-x)^s$  is in  $\underline{J}_x$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1/2$  be as in Lemma 2.1, and let  $C_1$  be as in Lemma 2.1(h). Then there is a constant  $0 < C < 1$  such that for  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$  and  $0 < \eta < 1/(4C)$  and*

$$\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon, \eta) = 2^{-1/5} C C_1^{-1/5} \eta^{6/5} \varepsilon^{4/5}$$

- (a) *there is a sequence of open discs  $\{D_k\}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r(D_k) < 2\varepsilon\sigma$ , and*
- (b) *there is a function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$  that is a uniform limit of rational functions with poles in  $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$  such that  $h = 0$  on  $\{|z| \leq \sigma\}$  and such that for all  $z \in 2\overline{D} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} D_k$  we have*

$$|z^5 h(z) - z^5| \leq \eta.$$

*Proof.* For  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$ , choose a sequence of open discs  $\{\Delta_k\}$  in  $D$  as in Lemma 2.1, and let  $F = F_\varepsilon$  be as in that lemma. Since each  $F_\varepsilon$  is holomorphic on  $\{|z| < 1/2\}$  and the family  $\{F_\varepsilon : 0 < \varepsilon < \alpha\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $\{|z| < 1/2\}$  by condition (g) of Lemma 2.1, the family of complex derivatives  $\{F'_\varepsilon : 0 < \varepsilon < \alpha\}$  is uniformly bounded on  $\{|z| \leq 1/4\}$ . Consequently, there is a constant  $0 < C < 1$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\eta$ , such that for  $0 < \varepsilon < \alpha$  and  $0 < \eta < 1/(4C)$  we have

$$(1) \quad |F_\varepsilon(w) - 1| = |F_\varepsilon(w) - F_\varepsilon(0)| < \eta/2^5 \quad \text{for } |w| < C\eta < 1/4.$$

Let  $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon, \eta)$  be as in the statement of the lemma being proved, and set  $h(z) = h_\varepsilon(z) = F_\varepsilon(\sigma/z)$  (and  $h(0) = 0$ ). Let  $D_k$  be the image of  $\Delta_k$  under the map  $z \mapsto \sigma/z$ . Since each  $\Delta_k$  lies in  $\{z : 1/2 < |z| < 1\}$ , we have  $\sum r(D_k) \leq 2\sigma \sum r(\Delta_k) < 2\varepsilon\sigma$ . The function  $h$  is defined on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup D_k$  and is a uniform limit there of rational functions with poles in  $\bigcup D_k$ . Furthermore,  $h = 0$  on  $\{|z| \leq \sigma\}$ . Also inequality (1) gives

$$(2) \quad |h(z) - 1| < \eta/2^5 \quad \text{for } |z| > \sigma/(C\eta).$$

It remains to be shown that

$$(3) \quad |z^5 h(z) - z^5| = |z^5| |h(z) - 1| < \eta \quad \text{for all } z \in 2\overline{D} \setminus \bigcup D_k.$$

For  $|z| > \sigma/(C\eta)$ , inequality (3) is immediate from inequality (2). For  $|z| \leq \sigma/(C\eta)$  (and  $z \in 2\overline{D} \setminus \bigcup D_k$ ), applying condition (h) of Lemma 2.1



yields

$$\begin{aligned}
|z^5| |h(z) - 1| &\leq [\sigma/(C\eta)]^5 [\|h\|_\infty + 1] \\
&= [\sigma/(C\eta)]^5 [\|F_\varepsilon\|_\infty + 1] \\
&\leq [\sigma/(C\eta)]^5 \left[ \frac{C_1}{\varepsilon^4} + 1 \right] \\
&\leq [\sigma/(C\eta)]^5 [2C_1\varepsilon^{-4}] \\
&= \eta.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.  $\square$

A simple translation argument, left to the reader, yields the following as a corollary of the lemma just proved.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon, \eta)$  be as in Lemma 3.2. Given  $a \in \overline{D}$ ,*

- (a) *there is a sequence of open discs  $\{D_k\}$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty r(D_k) < 2\varepsilon\sigma$ , and*
- (b) *there is a function  $h$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$  that is a uniform limit of rational functions with poles in  $\bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$  such that  $h = 0$  on  $\{|z - a| \leq \sigma\}$  and such that for all  $z \in \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$  we have*

$$|(z - a)^5 h(z) - (z - a)^5| \leq \eta.$$

We can now prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.4.** *For each  $r > 0$ , there exists a sequence of open discs  $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty r(D_k) < r$  and such that setting  $K = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$  we have, in the uniform algebra  $R(K)$ , that  $\overline{J}_x \supset M_x^5$  for every  $x \in K$ .*

In fact, as discussed in the introduction, the algebra  $R(K)$  in the theorem actually satisfies  $\overline{J}_x = \overline{M}_x^5$  for every  $x \in K$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $r > 0$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma(\varepsilon, \eta)$  and  $C$  and  $C_1$  be as in Lemma 3.2. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon/\sigma &= \varepsilon(2^{1/5}C^{-1}C_1^{1/5}\eta^{-6/5}\varepsilon^{-4/5}) \\
&= 2^{1/5}C^{-1}C_1^{1/5}\eta^{-6/5}\varepsilon^{1/5}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows trivially that by setting  $\eta_n = 1/n$ , choosing a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  going to zero fast enough, and setting  $\sigma_n = \sigma(\varepsilon_n, \eta_n)$ , we can arrange to have  $18 \sum \varepsilon_n/\sigma_n < r$  and  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Given  $c > 0$ , there is a number  $M \leq 9/c^2$  such that the disc  $\overline{D}$  can be covered by  $M$  open discs of radius  $c$ . (To see this, note that the square  $[-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \supset \overline{D}$  can be covered by  $(\lceil 2/c \rceil)^2 \leq 9/c^2$  squares of side

length  $c$ , and each such square is contained in an open disc of radius  $c$ .) For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , cover  $\overline{D}$  by a collection  $\mathcal{D}_n$  of  $M_n \leq 9/\sigma_n^2$  open discs of radius  $\sigma_n$ .

Given a disc  $\Delta$  in  $\mathcal{D}_n$ , let  $a$  denote the center of  $\Delta$  so that  $\Delta = \{|z - a| \leq \sigma_n\}$ . Apply Corollary 3.3 to choose a sequence of open discs  $\{D_k^a\}$  such that  $\sum r(D_k^a) < 2\varepsilon_n\sigma_n$  and there is a function  $h$  as in the corollary with  $\sigma = \sigma_n$  (and  $\eta = \eta_n$ ). Do this for each disc in each  $\mathcal{D}_n$ . Let  $\{D_k\}$  be an enumeration of all the discs so chosen. Then  $\sum r(D_k) < 18 \sum \varepsilon_n/\sigma_n < r$ .

Set  $K = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup D_k$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 then shows that  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^5$  for all  $x \in K$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2 by combining Theorem 3.4 with Wermer's theorem [11] on the existence of a Swiss cheese  $K$  such that the uniform algebra  $R(K)$  has no nonzero bounded point derivations. It is well known (and easy to show) [1, p. 64] that for a uniform algebra  $A$  on a compact space  $K$  and a point  $x \in K$ , there exists a bounded point derivation on  $A$  at  $x$  if and only if  $M_x^2$  is not dense in  $M_x$ . Thus Wermer's result can be restated as follows.

**Theorem 4.1.** *For each  $r > 0$ , there exists a sequence of open discs  $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^\infty r(D_k) < r$  and such that setting  $K = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty D_k$  we have, in the uniform algebra  $R(K)$ , that  $\overline{M_x^2} = M_x$  for all  $x \in K$ .*

As the reader can easily verify, the condition that  $\overline{M_x^2} = M_x$  implies that  $\overline{M_x^s} = M_x$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

We will need two simple lemmas showing that certain inclusions of ideals in a uniform algebra  $R(K)$  persist when we pass to a compact subset  $L$  of  $K$ . In order to distinguish between ideals in  $R(K)$  and ideals in  $R(L)$ , we will denote the ideals  $J_x$  and  $M_x$  in a uniform algebra  $A$  by  $J_x(A)$  and  $M_x(A)$ , respectively.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $L \subset K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact sets. Suppose that for some  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  the inclusion  $\overline{J_x(R(K))} \supset M_x(R(K))^s$  holds for all  $x \in K$ . Then  $\overline{J_x(R(L))} \supset M_x(R(L))^s$  for all  $x \in L$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $x \in L$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_s$  be arbitrary functions in  $M_x(R(L))$ . Then it suffices to show that the function  $f_1 \cdots f_s$  is in  $\overline{J_x(R(L))}$ .

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  arbitrary. Note that the hypothesis that  $\overline{J_x(R(K))} \supset M_x(R(K))^s$  for every  $x \in K$  implies that  $K$  has empty interior in plane. Consequently, every component of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus L$  intersects  $\mathbb{C} \setminus K$ . Thus

every function in  $R(L)$  can be approximated uniformly on  $L$  by rational functions with poles off  $K$ . In particular, each  $f_j$  can be approximated uniformly on  $L$  by a function  $\tilde{f}_j$  in  $R(K)$ . By adding a small constant to each  $\tilde{f}_j$ , we can arrange for each  $\tilde{f}_j$  to lie in  $M_x(R(K))$ . Then by hypothesis,  $\tilde{f}_1 \cdots \tilde{f}_s$  is in  $\overline{J_x(R(K))}$ , and hence there is a function  $h$  in  $R(K)$  that vanishes on a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $K$  and satisfies  $\|\tilde{f}_1 \cdots \tilde{f}_s - h\|_K < \varepsilon/2$ . Furthermore, by choosing each  $\tilde{f}_j$  close enough to  $f_j$ , we can arrange to have  $\|f_1 \cdots f_s - \tilde{f}_1 \cdots \tilde{f}_s\|_L < \varepsilon/2$ . Then

$$\|f_1 \cdots f_s - h|_L\|_L \leq \|f_1 \cdots f_s - \tilde{f}_1 \cdots \tilde{f}_s\|_L + \|\tilde{f}_1 \cdots \tilde{f}_s - h\|_K < \varepsilon.$$

Since  $h|_L$  is in  $R(L)$  and vanishes on a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $L$ , we conclude that  $f_1 \cdots f_s$  lies in  $\overline{J_x(R(L))}$ , as desired.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $L \subset K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact sets. Suppose that  $\overline{M_x(R(K))^2} = M_x(R(K))$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then  $\overline{M_x(R(L))^2} = M_x(R(L))$  for all  $x \in L$ .*

This lemma can be proven by an argument similar to the one given for Lemma 4.2. Alternatively, from Hallstrom's criterion [7, Theorem 1] for the existence of a bounded point derivation on  $R(K)$ , it follows immediately that a stronger statement holds: Given compact sets  $L \subset K \subset \mathbb{C}$  and given a point  $x \in L$ , if  $\overline{M_x(R(K))^2} = M_x(R(K))$ , then  $\overline{M_x(R(L))^2} = M_x(R(L))$ . By way of analogy it seems natural to conjecture that Lemma 4.2 can be similarly strengthened.

**Conjecture 4.4.** *Given compact sets  $L \subset K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , given  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and given a point  $x \in L$ , if  $\overline{J_x(R(K))} \supset M_x(R(K))^s$ , then  $\overline{J_x(R(L))} \supset M_x(R(L))^s$ .*

We can now finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Without loss of generality assume that  $r < 1$ . By Theorem 3.4, there exists a sequence of open discs  $\{\Delta_k^I\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\sum r(\Delta_k^I) < r/2$  and such that setting  $K_1 = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup \Delta_k^I$  we have  $\overline{J_x(R(K_1))} \supset M_x(R(K_1))^5$  for all  $x \in K_1$ . By Theorem 4.1, there exists a sequence of open discs  $\{\Delta_k^W\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that  $\sum r(\Delta_k^W) < r/2$  and such that setting  $K_2 = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup \Delta_k^W$  we have that  $\overline{M_x(R(K_2))^2} = M_x(R(K_2))$  for all  $x \in K_2$ . Let  $\{D_k\}$  be an enumeration of the collection of discs  $\{\Delta_k^I\} \cup \{\Delta_k^W\}$ . Set  $K = K_1 \cap K_2 = \overline{D} \setminus \bigcup D_k$ . Then the uniform algebra  $R(K)$  is nontrivial by Theorem 1.4. Furthermore, since the condition  $\overline{M_x^2} = M_x$  implies that  $\overline{M_x^s} = M_x$  for every  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3 yield that  $\overline{J_x(R(K))} \supset \overline{M_x(R(K))^5} = M_x(R(K))$  for every  $x \in K$ . Thus  $R(K)$  is strongly regular.  $\square$

Given the way we obtained our set  $K$  such that  $R(K)$  is strongly regular, it is natural to ask whether, in an  $R(K)$  ( $K$  compact planar), either of the conditions  $\overline{M_x^2} = M_x$  for every  $x \in K$  and  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^5$  for every  $x \in K$  implies the other, and hence whether one of these conditions by itself is sufficient to insure that  $R(K)$  is strongly regular. The author will give an example showing that the first condition does not imply the second in a future paper. Conversely, it seems likely that the second condition does not imply the first. In fact, we make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 4.5.** *For each integer  $s \geq 2$ , there exists a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  such that in  $R(K)$  we have  $\overline{J_x} \supset M_x^s$  for every  $x \in K$  but there is some  $y \in K$  such that  $\overline{J_x} \not\supset M_y^{s-1}$ . Note that then by Corollary 1.8 (or alternatively by Theorem 1.9)  $\overline{J_x} = \overline{M_x^s} = \overline{M_x^{s+1}} = \overline{M_x^{s+2}} = \dots$  for every  $x \in K$  and  $M_y \supsetneq \overline{M_y^2} \supsetneq \dots \supsetneq \overline{M_y^s} = \overline{J_y}$ .*

## 5. PROOFS OF THE RESULTS ON LOCALNESS OF IDEALS

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $R(K)$ . Let  $E$  denote the hull of  $I$ . Suppose  $g \in R(K)$  vanishes identically on a neighborhood of  $E$  in  $K$ . We are to show that  $g$  lies in  $I$ .

Let  $M$  be a closed neighborhood of  $E$  on which  $g$  vanishes identically, and let  $N$  be a closed neighborhood of  $E$  contained in the interior of  $M$ . Let  $L = K \setminus \text{Int}(N)$ . Then  $M$  and  $L$  are closed subsets of  $K$  whose interiors cover  $K$ .

On the compact set  $L$ , the functions in  $I$  have no common zeros. Consequently, the subset  $I|_L$  of  $R(L)$  consisting of the restrictions of functions in  $I$  to  $L$  is contained in no maximal ideal of  $R(L)$ . Therefore, there exist functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  in  $I$  and functions  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  in  $R(L)$  such that

$$(4) \quad h_1 f_1 + \dots + h_m f_m = 1 \quad \text{on } L.$$

Define functions  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  on  $K$  by

$$g_j = \begin{cases} gh_j & \text{on } L \\ 0 & \text{on } M. \end{cases}$$

Note that this yields well-defined continuous functions on  $K$ . Note also that each  $g_j$  is in  $R(K)$  by the localization theorem for rational approximation [6, Corollary II.10.3]. By equation (4) have

$$\begin{aligned} g &= gh_1 f_1 + \dots + gh_m f_m && \text{on } L \\ &= g_1 f_1 + \dots + g_m f_m && \text{on } L, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$g = g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_m f_m \quad \text{on } M$$

because there both sides of the equation are 0. Therefore,

$$g = g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_m f_m \quad \text{on } K.$$

Thus  $g$  is in  $I$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.10.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1.9 but differs in some details, so we present the argument in full. Given an ideal  $I$  in  $A$  and a function  $g$  in  $A$  that vanishes identically on a neighborhood of the hull  $E$  of  $I$ , we are to show that  $g$  lies in  $I$ .

Denote the maximal ideal space of  $A$  by  $X$ . Let  $M$  be a closed neighborhood of  $E$  in  $X$  on which  $g$  vanishes identically, and let  $N$  be a closed neighborhood of  $E$  contained in the interior of  $M$ . Let  $L = X \setminus \text{Int}(N)$ . Then  $M$  and  $L$  are closed subsets of  $X$  whose interiors cover  $X$ .

On the compact set  $L$ , the functions in  $I$  have no common zeros. Furthermore, because  $A$  is approximately regular, every subset of  $X$  is  $A$ -convex. Thus, the maximal ideal space of the uniform algebra  $\overline{A|L}$  is  $L$ . Consequently, the subset  $I|L$  of  $\overline{A|L}$  is contained in no maximal ideal of  $\overline{A|L}$ . Therefore, there exist functions  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  in  $I$  and functions  $h_1, \dots, h_m$  in  $\overline{A|L}$  such that

$$(5) \quad h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_m f_m = 1 \quad \text{on } L.$$

Define functions  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  on  $K$  by

$$g_j = \begin{cases} g h_j & \text{on } L \\ 0 & \text{on } M. \end{cases}$$

Note that this yields well-defined continuous functions on  $X$ .

For each  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , choose a sequence  $\{h_j^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of functions in  $A$  such that  $h_j^{(n)}|L \rightarrow h_j$  uniformly on  $L$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, m$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , set  $g_j^{(n)} = g h_j^{(n)}$ . Then  $g_j^{(n)} \rightarrow g_j$  uniformly on  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and hence, each  $g_j$  is in  $A$ .

By equation (5) have

$$\begin{aligned} g &= g h_1 f_1 + \cdots + g h_m f_m \quad \text{on } L \\ &= g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_m f_m \quad \text{on } L, \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$g = g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_m f_m \quad \text{on } M$$

because there both sides of the equation are 0. Therefore,

$$g = g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_m f_m \quad \text{on } K.$$

Thus  $g$  is in  $I$ , as desired.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Browder, *Introduction to Function Algebra*, Benjamin, New York, NY, 1969.
- [2] D. R. Chalice, S-algebras on sets in  $C^n$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 39 (1973), 300–304.
- [3] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, Volume 24, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [4] J. F. Feinstein, A non-trivial, strongly regular uniform algebra, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2), 45 (1992), 288–300.
- [5] J. F. Feinstein and A. J. Izzo, A general method for constructing essential uniform algebras, *Studia Math.* **246** (2019), 47–61.
- [6] T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, 2nd ed., Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, NY, 1984.
- [7] A. P. Hallstrom, On bounded point derivations and analytic capacity, *J. Functional Analysis*, 4 (1969), 153–165.
- [8] T. W. Körner, A cheaper Swiss cheese, *Studia Math.* 83 (1986), 33–36.
- [9] R. J. McKissick, A nontrivial normal sup norm algebra, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 69 (1963), 391–395.
- [10] E. L. Stout, *The Theory of Uniform Algebras*, Bogden and Quigley, New York, 1971.
- [11] J. Wermer, Bounded point derivations on certain Banach algebras, *J. Functional Analysis*, 1 (1967), 28–36.
- [12] D. R. Wilken, Approximate normality and function algebras on the interval and the circle, in: *Function Algebras*, F. Birtel (ed.), Scott, Foresman and Co., Chicago, 1966, pp. 98–111.
- [13] D. R. Wilken, A note on strongly regular function algebras, *Canad. J. Math.* 21 (1969) 912–914.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY, BOWLING GREEN, OH 43403

*Email address:* aizzo@bgsu.edu