

COMPACTIFICATION AND POSITIVE MASS THEOREM FOR FIBERED EUCLIDEAN END

XIANZHE DAI AND YUKAI SUN

ABSTRACT. In this note, we consider the positive mass theorem for Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) asymptotic to $(\mathbb{R}^k \times X^{n-k}, g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ for $k \geq 3$ by studying the corresponding compactification problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The famous Positive Mass Theorem [13, 16] states that an asymptotically Euclidean manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature must have nonnegative ADM mass (if the dimension of the manifold is between 3 and 7 or if the manifold is spin; for the recent progress about the higher dimensional non-spin manifolds, see the preprint [14]). Furthermore, the mass is zero iff the manifold is the Euclidean space.

A crucial ingredient in Schoen-Yau's approach (after Lohkamp's observation) is the idea of compactification. In the end, the Positive Mass Theorem for M is reduced to showing that, for the one-point compactification M_1 of M , the connected sum $M_1 \# T^n$ admits no positive scalar curvature metric. Roughly speaking, one compactifies the asymptotically Euclidean manifold M^n to $M_1 \# T^n$.

In [5], motivated by string theory, the first author proves a Positive Mass Theorem for manifolds which are asymptotically approaching the product of an Euclidean space and a compact Calabi-Yau (or any exceptional holonomy) manifolds. The approach there is along that of Witten, using Dirac operators, and hence our manifolds are assumed to be spin. More crucially, one needs nonzero parallel spinors for the asymptotic infinity which limits the geometry at infinity. For technical reasons, we restricts to simply connected compact factors, although the method extends to non-simply connected case with some additional assumptions on the spin structure. This was later considered by [11] who treated the case of S^1 factor (Minerbe is motivated by the study of gravitational instantons; he also allows non-trivial circle fibrations). More recently, Positive Mass Theorems for the S^1 or T^2 factors have been considered in [10], and more generally flat factors in [3].

More precisely, a complete Riemannian manifolds (M^n, g) is said to have asymptotically fibered Euclidean end if $M^n = M_0 \cup M_\infty$ with M_0 compact and $M_\infty \simeq (\mathbb{R}^k \setminus B_R(0)) \times X$ ($k \geq$

Date: November 29, 2022.

Key words and phrases. Positive mass theorem,

3) for some $R > 0$ and X a close $n - k$ dimensional manifold. Moreover, the metric on M_∞ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \hat{g} + h, \quad \hat{g} = g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X, \\ h &= O(r^{-\tau}), \quad \hat{\nabla}h = O(r^{-\tau-1}), \quad \hat{\nabla}\hat{\nabla}h = O(r^{-\tau-2}), \quad \hat{\nabla}\hat{\nabla}\hat{\nabla}h = O(r^{-\tau-3}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of \hat{g} , $\tau > \frac{k-2}{2}$ is the asymptotical order and r is the Euclidean distance to a point. We will also call such M^n a manifold with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$.

The mass for such a space is then defined by [5]

$$m(g) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \int_{S_R \times X} (\hat{\nabla}_{e_a} g_{ja} - \hat{\nabla}_j g_{aa}) * dx_j d \text{vol}_{g_X}.$$

where $\{e_a\} = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, f_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \hat{g} and the $*$ operator is the one on the Euclidean factor, the indices i, j run over the Euclidean factor and the index α runs over X while the index a runs over the full index of the manifold M^n . (There is an additional factor of $\frac{1}{4}$ in [5].)

Strictly speaking, the existence of the limit in the definition of the mass is only guaranteed under additional assumptions such as the integrability of the scalar curvature [2]. (More generally, one replaces the limit by \limsup .) For this reason (and some others) we now assume that the metric g_X on X has zero scalar curvature.

On the other hand, if M_1^n is a closed n -dimensional manifold and X embeds in M_1^n with trivial normal bundle, then one can construct the connected sum of M_1^n and $T^k \times X$ along X , $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X$. Our main result is

Theorem 1. If for any closed manifold M_1^n admitting an embedding of X with trivial normal bundle, the connected sum along X

$$M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X$$

has no metrics of positive scalar curvature, then the mass of any manifold M^n with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ and nonnegative scalar curvature is nonnegative. Moreover, $(M, g) \equiv (\mathbb{R}^k \times X, g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ if the mass of (M^n, g) is zero.

As in [12], the first part of Theorem 1 is a consequence of the following result. Recall that if M^n is a manifold with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$, then M^n decomposes as $M_0 \cup M_\infty$ with M_0 compact and $M_\infty \simeq (\mathbb{R}^k \setminus B_R(0)) \times X$ for some $R > 0$. In particular $\partial M_0 \simeq S^{k-1} \times X$.

Theorem 2. Let (M^n, g) be a manifold with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ and M_1^n the closed manifold obtained from M_0 by attaching $B^k \times X$, where B^k is the Euclidean k -ball. If the scalar curvature of M^n is nonnegative but its mass is negative, then the connected sum along X

$$M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X$$

admits a metrics of positive scalar curvature.

As application of Theorem 1, we have

Corollary 3. Assume that either X is enlargeable or $\hat{A}(X)$ is nonzero. Then the Positive Mass Theorem holds for any spin manifolds with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$.

For definitions of the enlargeability and the \hat{A} -genus $\hat{A}(X)$ see Section 5 of [8].

As we assume (X, g_X) is scalar flat, if X is further assumed to be simply connected and $\dim X \geq 5$, then in fact (X, g_X) is the product of Calabi-Yau manifolds or $Spin(7)$ -manifolds by Theorem 1 in [7]. Thus we give another proof of the result in [5], except for the G_2 -manifold factors. Simply connected G_2 -manifolds always admit positive scalar curvature metrics by [15]. There lies the difference between the approach in [5] and the current approach. We emphasize that our result for the non-simply connected case is new.

The proof of the compactification theorem, Theorem 2, consists of two steps. By solving a conformal Laplacian equation, one can deform the metric g outside a compact set to a conformal metric of the product metric $g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X$, while still maintaining negative mass and good scalar curvature control. The crucial thing here is the asymptotic behavior of the solution at infinity, which is obtained by studying the asymptotics of the Green's function of Δ_g . In the second step, which is due to an observation of Lohkamp, one modifies the conformal factor by a subharmonic function (again outside a compact set) which is constant near infinity. Again the asymptotic behavior of the conformal factor is critical.

The rigidity part of the Positive Mass Theorem makes use of two mass notions and a result in [3], generalized to our situation. The so called Gauss-Bonnet mass is introduced in [11] for the case S^1 factor and it in general is different from the mass discussed above. But as in [3], under certain geometric conditions which is satisfied when the mass is zero, the two masses differ by a nonzero multiple. This is crucial in showing that the harmonic functions coming from the asymptotic coordinates have parallel differentials. We then use these functions with parallel differential to directly construct the required isometry. In [3] the authors also obtain rigidity for the S^1 factor and more generally flat factors, although the conclusion is weaker for the general flat factors.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce manifolds with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ and their topological compactifications. For later purpose we also discuss the existence of positive scalar curvature metrics on such manifolds (and their generalized connected sums). In Section 3, we study the asymptotic behavior at infinity of the Green function of the metric g . In Section 4, we solve the conformal Laplace equation and use it to prove the Theorem 4. Finally in Section 5 we clarify the roles of the two mass quantities and establish the rigidity statement in Theorem 1.

2. MANIFOLDS WITH ASYMPTOTIC END $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$

In this section we give the precise definition of manifolds with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ and its mass(es). Let $g_{\mathbb{R}^k}$ be the standard Euclidean metric. Let (X, g_X) be a compact Riemannian manifold.

Definition 1. A complete noncompact smooth manifold (M^n, g) is called asymptotically $(\mathbb{R}^k \times X^{n-k}, g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ for $k \geq 3$, if there exists a compact subset $K \subset M^n$ such that $M^n \setminus K \simeq (\mathbb{R}^k - B_R(0)) \times X$ for some $R > 0$ and on $M^n \setminus K$

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \hat{g} + h, \quad \hat{g} = g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X, \\ h &= O(r^{-\tau}), \quad \hat{\nabla} h = O(r^{-\tau-1}), \quad \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} h = O(r^{-\tau-2}), \quad \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\nabla} h = O(r^{-\tau-3}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of \hat{g} , $\tau > \frac{k-2}{2}$ is the asymptotical order. In addition, we assume that $Sc_g \in L^1(M)$.

As we mentioned, the assumption $Sc_g \in L^1(M)$ is imposed so that the ADM mass is well defined [2]. In particular this would imply that the scalar curvature of (X, g_X) is identically zero. From now on, we make the assumption that $Sc_{g_X} \equiv 0$. Let ω_k be the area of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^k .

Definition 2. The mass for such a space is then defined by [5]

$$m(g) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \int_{S_R \times X} (\hat{\nabla}_{e_a} g_{ja} - \hat{\nabla}_j g_{aa}) * dx_j d \text{vol}_{g_X}.$$

where $\{e_a\} = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, f_\alpha\}$ is an orthonormal basis of \hat{g} and the $*$ operator is the one on the Euclidean factor, the indices i, j run over the Euclidean factor and the index α runs over X while the index a runs over the full index of the manifold M^n .

The same argument as in [2] shows that $m(g)$ is well-defined metric invariant of (M, g) . It reduces to, by the Fubini's theorem and divergence theorem,

$$m(g) = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \int_{S_R \times X} (\partial_i g_{ij} - \partial_j g_{aa}) * dx_j d \text{vol}_{g_X}.$$

More intrinsically, it can be written as [11]

$$(1) \quad m(g) = -\frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}_X} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_R} *_{\hat{g}} (\text{div}_{\hat{g}} g + d \text{Tr}_{\hat{g}} g).$$

Here $*_{\hat{g}}$ is the Hodge star operator of the metric \hat{g} , $\text{Tr}_{\hat{g}}$ indicates taking trace with respect to \hat{g} , and $(\text{div}_{\hat{g}} T)_i = -\hat{g}^{jk} \partial_j T_{ik}$ in local coordinate for a $(0, 2)$ -type tensor T .

Remark 4. Without the integrability assumption $Sc_g \in L^1(M)$, one can still define the ADM mass as above, except replacing the limit by \limsup [11].

We now define another mass quantity, the so called Gauss-Bonnet mass, first introduced in [11, Theorem 1] for the circle fibration.

$$(2) \quad m^{GB}(g) = -\frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}_X} \limsup_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial B_R} *_{\hat{g}} (\text{div}_{\hat{g}} g + d \text{Tr}_{\hat{g}} g - \frac{1}{2} d(\text{Tr}_{g_X} g)).$$

Here Tr_{g_X} indicates taking trace with respect to g_X . In other words, $\text{Tr}_{g_X} g = \sum_{\alpha} g(f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha})$ for an orthonormal basis f_{α} of (X, g_X) . This mass quantity will play an important part in proving the rigidity part of Theorem 1; see Section 5.

A manifold (M, g) with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ has a natural topological compactification. Indeed, M^n decomposes as $M_0 \cup M_{\infty}$ with M_0 compact and $M_{\infty} \simeq (\mathbb{R}^k \setminus B_R(0)) \times X$ for some $R > 0$. In particular $\partial M_0 \simeq S^{k-1} \times X$. One can then obtain a closed manifold M_1^n by attaching $B^k \times X$ to M_0 , where B^k is the Euclidean k -ball. This is in some sense the fiberwise version of the one-point compactification for the asymptotically Euclidean spaces.

Note that X embeds into M_1 with trivial normal bundle. In general, if M_1^n is a closed n -dimensional manifold and X embeds in M_1^n with trivial normal bundle, then one can construct the connected sum of M_1^n and $T^k \times X$ along X , denoted by $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X$. In other words, $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X$ is obtained from M_1^n by removing a tubular neighborhood of X and gluing in $(T^k \setminus B_{\epsilon}(x)) \times X$, where x is a fixed point on T^k and $B_{\epsilon}(x)$ an ϵ -ball around x .

To consider applications of Theorem 1, we now look at some examples of manifolds of the type $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X^{n-k}$ admitting no positive scalar curvature. For definitions of the enlargeability and the $\hat{A}(X)$ see Section 5 in [8].

Proposition 5. Let (M, g) be a manifold with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ and its natural compactification M_1 is spin. If either X is enlargeable or $\hat{A}(X) \neq 0$, then $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X^{n-k}$ admits no metrics of positive scalar curvature.

Proof. If X is enlargeable, so is $T^k \times X$. As there is a degree one map $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X^{n-k} \rightarrow T^k \times X^{n-k}$, there are no metrics of positive scalar curvature on $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X^{n-k}$ by Proposition 5.6 in [8] if M_1 is, in addition, a spin manifold.

On the other hand, if $\hat{A}(X)$ is nonzero and M_1 is spin, then one can first construct a degree one map $f_1 : M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X^{n-k} \rightarrow T^k \times X^{n-k}$ as follows. One collapses the part of M_1^n in the generalized connected sum to the point of T^k where we remove the ϵ -ball, while mapping the compliment of 2ϵ -ball identically to T^k , and the annulus region between the 2ϵ -ball and ϵ -ball smoothly to the 2ϵ -ball. The boundary of the ϵ -ball is mapped to the center point and the map on the X factor is the identity. The map f_1 is followed by the projection map $f_2 : T^k \times X^{n-k} \rightarrow T^k$ which has nonzero \hat{A} -degree by the assumption. Then we know that $M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X^{n-k}$ is enlargeable in dimension k by Proposition 6.5 in [8]. Thus it is also without positive scalar curvature. \square

3. THE GREEN'S FUNCTION

In this section we estimate the asymptotic order of the Green's function G_g of Δ_g when r is sufficiency large. This will be used crucially in the next section to establish the asymptotic behavior of the solution of conformal Laplace equation. First of all, we have

Proposition 6. Let (M, g) be asymptotic to $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$. Then (M, g) is nonparabolic. That is, there exists a positive Green function G_g on M .

Proof. By [9, Corollary 20.8], which is attributed to Royden, nonparabolicity is a quasi-isometry invariant. Therefore we can assume that $g = g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X$ outside a compact region. Now for $p = (x_0, y_0)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $y_0 \in X$, outside this compact region, let $r((x, y)) = d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(x, 0)$ denote the Euclidean distance function from $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ to $0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then r^{2-k} is a harmonic function away from the compact region whose infimum is achieved at infinity. Therefore by [9, Theorem 17.3], positive Green's function exists on M . \square

Our main result in this section is the asymptotic behavior of G_g as one approaches infinity. The following lemma gives a rough bound on this asymptotic behavior.

For each fixed $p \in M$ and any $(x, y) \in M \setminus K \simeq (\mathbb{R}^k \setminus B_1(0)) \times X$, let $r((x, y)) = d_{\mathbb{R}^k}(x, 0)$ as above.

Lemma 7. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there exist a larger compact set $K' \supset K$ containing p and constant $C = C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that, for (x, y) outside K' ,

$$(3) \quad G_g((x, y), p) \leq Cr^{2-k+\epsilon}((x, y)).$$

Proof. For any $\epsilon > 0$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g r^{2-k+\epsilon}((x, y)) &= \Delta_{\hat{g}} r^{2-k+\epsilon} + (\Delta_g - \Delta_{\hat{g}}) r^{2-k+\epsilon} \\ &= -\epsilon(k-2-\epsilon)r^{-k+\epsilon} + O(r^{-k-\tau+\epsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

It follows that there exist a larger compact set $K' \supset K$ such that when (x, y) is outside K' ,

$$\Delta_g r^{2-k+\epsilon}((x, y)) \leq 0.$$

Then we can choose $C > 0$ such that

$$Cr^{2-k+\epsilon}|_{\partial K'} \geq G_g((x, y), p)|_{\partial K'}.$$

By the maximal principle,

$$G_g((x, y), p) \leq Cr^{2-k+\epsilon}((x, y))$$

outside K' . \square

In what follows, for $x, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we denote by $\|x - x_0\|$ their Euclidean distance.

Proposition 8. The Green's function G_g has the expansion, when $\|x - x_0\|$ is large and $(x_0, y_0) \in M \setminus K$,

$$G_g((x, y), (x_0, y_0)) = \frac{C}{\|x - x_0\|^{k-2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{\|x - x_0\|^{\min\{k-1, k-2+\tau\}}}\right)$$

for $C > 0$. Moreover

$$\|x - x_0\|^{k-2} \cdot \|\nabla G_g((x, y), (x_0, y_0))\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \|x - x_0\| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Before we give the proof of the proposition, we recall some definitions; see [11, p.930]. Let K be compact set such that $M \setminus K$ is diffeomorphic to $(\mathbb{R}^k \setminus B_R(0)) \times X$ and r as before. Then for $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$, the weighted L^2 -space is

$$L_\delta^2(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^2 \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus K} u^2 r^{-2\delta} d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} < \infty \right. \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_\delta^2(\Omega)} = \left(\int_{\Omega \cap K} u^2 d \text{vol}_g + \int_{\Omega \setminus K} u^2 r^{-2\delta} d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Any $u \in L_{loc}^2(M \setminus K)$ can be written as $u = \Pi_0 u + \Pi_\perp u$, where

$$(\Pi_0 u)(x) = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}_X} \int_X u(x, y) d \text{vol}_y, \quad \Pi_\perp u = u - \Pi_0 u.$$

Then, for any $\delta, \epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$L_{\delta, \epsilon}^2(\Omega) = \left\{ u \in L_{loc}^2 \left| \|\Pi_0 u\|_{L_\delta^2(\Omega \setminus K)} < \infty \text{ and } \|\Pi_\perp u\|_{L_\epsilon^2(\Omega \setminus K)} < \infty \right. \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{L_{\delta, \epsilon}^2(\Omega)} = \left(\|u\|_{L_\delta^2(K \cap \Omega)} + \|\Pi_0 u\|_{L_\delta^2(\Omega \setminus K)} + \|\Pi_\perp u\|_{L_\epsilon^2(\Omega \setminus K)} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Finally we define the Sobolev space

$$H_\delta^2 = \left\{ u \in H_{loc}^2 \left| \|\nabla^g d\Pi_0 u\|_{L_{\delta-2}^2(K^c)} + \|d\Pi_0 u\|_{L_{\delta-1}^2(K^c)} + \|\Pi_0 u\|_{L_\delta^2(K^c)} < \infty \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \text{and } \|\nabla^g d\Pi_\perp u\|_{L_{\delta-2}^2(K^c)} + \|d\Pi_\perp u\|_{L_{\delta-2}^2(K^c)} + \|\Pi_\perp u\|_{L_{\delta-2}^2(K^c)} < \infty \right. \right\}.$$

Before we go into the proof of Proposition 8, we also need the following proposition from [11] which we quote here for convenience. The proposition gives asymptotic behavior of solutions to $\Delta_g u = f$ in terms of that of f as well as those of harmonic functions on \mathbb{R}^k expressed in the spectral decomposition of the Laplace operator Δ_S on the unit sphere S^{k-1} .

Recall that the eigenvalues of Δ_S are $\lambda_j = j(k-2+j)$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let E_j be the eigenspace of Δ_S with eigenvalues $\lambda_j = j(k-2+j)$. Let $\delta_j = \frac{k}{2} + j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and we call $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$ noncritical if $\delta \neq \delta_j$ and $\delta \neq 2 - \delta_j$, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proposition 9 (Proposition 4 in [11]). Suppose $\Delta_g u = f$ with u in $L_\delta^2(K^c)$ and f in $L_{\delta'-2}^2(K^c)$ for K compact and noncritical exponents $\delta > \delta'$. Then, up to enlarging K , there is an element v of $L_{\delta', \delta'-2}^2(K^c)$ such that $u - v$ is a linear combination of the following function:

- (1) \aleph_{j, ϕ_j}^+ with ϕ_j in E_j , if $\delta' < \delta_j < \delta$;
- (2) \aleph_{j, ϕ_j}^- with ϕ_j in E_j , if $\delta' < 2 - \delta_j < \delta$.

where $\aleph_{j,\phi_j}^\pm = \Phi(r^{\nu_j^\pm} \phi_j + v_j^\pm)$ with $\nu_j^+ = j$, $\nu_j^- = 2 - k - j$. Φ is a smooth cut-off function which is 0 on a compact set and 1 on K . Moreover, $\Delta_g v_j^\pm = -\Delta_g(r^{\nu_j^\pm} \phi_j)$.

Remark 10. In [11], the author deals explicitly with the case when $X = S^1$. But the method and result in [11] generalize to the general X without change.

Proof of Proposition 8. For fixed $y \in M$, consider the equation,

$$(4) \quad \Delta_g[\chi(x)G_g] = 2\langle \nabla_g G_g, \nabla_g \chi(x) \rangle + G_g \Delta_g \chi(x)$$

where $\chi(x)$ is a cut-off function which is 0 in $B_y(r_1)$ and 1 in $B_y^c(r_2)$ for $r_2 \gg r_1$. The order of the right hand term of equation (4) is arbitrary, hence it lies in $L_{\delta'-2}^2(B_{R_0}^c)$ especially for any $\delta' > -\frac{k}{2}$. Also $\chi(x)G_g \in L_\delta^2(B_{R_0}^c)$ for any $\delta > -\frac{k}{2} + 2 + \epsilon$ by (3). Thus we can choose δ, δ' such that only $\delta' < 2 - \delta_j < \delta$ is possible for some j . Thus, by Proposition 9, we have,

$$\chi(x)G_g = v + \sum_j \aleph_{j,\phi_j}^-.$$

where $v \in L_{\delta',\delta'-2}^2(B_{R_0}^c)$, the sum runs over $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\delta' < 2 - \delta_j < \delta$, and

$$\Delta_g v = 2\langle \nabla_g G_g, \nabla_g \chi(x) \rangle + G_g \Delta_g \chi(x).$$

Using Moser iteration as in the proof of Lemma 6 in [11], we have $v = O(r^{-\frac{k}{2} + \delta'})$ for (possibly different but still arbitrary) $\delta' > -\frac{k}{2}$. Since $\delta > -\frac{k}{2} + 2 + \epsilon$ and $\delta' > -\frac{k}{2}$ are arbitrary. we choose $\epsilon' > 0$ such that $\delta' = -\frac{k}{2} + \epsilon'$ and $\delta = -\frac{k}{2} + 2 + \epsilon + \epsilon'$ and $1 > \epsilon + \epsilon' > 0$. Then the only $j \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$-\frac{k}{2} + \epsilon' < 2 - \frac{k}{2} - j < -\frac{k}{2} + 2 + \epsilon + \epsilon'.$$

are $j = 0, 1$. Thus $\aleph_{j,\phi_j}^- = \Phi(r^{2-k-j} \phi_j + v_j^-)$, where On the other hand, $\Delta_g v_j^- = -\Delta_g(r^{\nu_j^-} \phi_j)$, $\Delta_g(r^{\nu_j^-} \phi_j) \in L_{-\eta-\tau}^2$ with $\eta > \delta_j$ and thus we can find $v_j^- \in H_{2-\eta-\tau}^2$ again as in the proof of Lemma 6 in [11]. Therefore we have $v_j^- = O(r^{2-k-\tau})$. Hence,

$$(5) \quad \chi(x)G_g = O\left(\frac{1}{r^{\min\{k-1, k+\tau-2\}}}\right) + \frac{C\Phi}{r^{k-2}}.$$

Since we can differentiate the both sides of (4) and use Proposition 9 again and repeat the above process, we also get $\|\nabla G_g\| = O(\frac{1}{r^{k-1}})$ for $r \gg 1$. By the positivity of G_g ,

$$G_g = \frac{C}{r^{k-2}} + O\left(\frac{1}{r^{\min\{k-1, k-2+\tau\}}}\right)$$

for $C > 0$ and $r \gg 1$. □

4. THE COMPACTIFICATION

Let (M, g) be a manifold asymptotic to $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ whose scalar curvature is nonnegative but its mass is negative. Following the general strategy of [12], we compactify (M, g) by cutting M off a large compact set K such that $\partial K = (\partial[0, 1]^k) \times X$ and gluing the opposite faces of $[0, 1]^k$, with the resulting manifold $(M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X, g)$. In order for $(M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X, g)$ to still have a metric with positive scalar curvature we deform g so that it is the product of Euclidean metric with g_X outside a compact set while still maintains nonnegative scalar curvature.

There are two key steps.

- Step 1 If (M, g) is asymptotically $(\mathbb{R}^k \times X, g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ and $Sc_g \geq 0$ but $m(g) < 0$, then there is a metric $\tilde{g} = \tilde{u}^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ with $\tilde{u} = 1 + \frac{\tilde{m}}{r^{k-2}} + O(r^{1-k})$ ($\tilde{m} < 0$) and $Sc_{\tilde{g}} \geq 0$, $Sc_{\tilde{g}} = 0$ outside a large compact set.
- step 2 This is an observation due to J.Lohkamp. If (M, g) with $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}(g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ and $u = 1 + \frac{m}{r^{k-2}} + O(r^{1-k})$ ($m < 0$) and $Sc_g \geq 0$, $Sc_g = 0$ outside a large compact set, then there exists a metric \tilde{g} with $Sc(\tilde{g}) \geq 0$ and $\tilde{g} = g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X$ near ∞ .

We first prove the Sobolev inequality on (M, g) which is used in the rest part of the paper (as well as in the previous part where Moser iteration is involved). Recall that $M \setminus K \simeq (\mathbb{R}^k - B_R(0)) \times X$. For $r > R$, let $B_r = K \cup (B_r(0) \setminus B_R(0)) \times X$.

Lemma 11. There is a Sobolev constant $c > 0$ not depending on B_r such that, for $f \in C_0^\infty(B_r)$,

$$(6) \quad \left(\int_{B_r} f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \leq c \left(\int_{B_r} |\nabla f|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. Write $f = \Pi_0 f + \Pi_\perp f$ in $B_r \setminus K$ where $\Delta_{g_X} \Pi_0 f = 0$, $\Pi_0 f = \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} \int_X f d \text{vol}_{g_X}$ and $\Pi_\perp f = f - \Pi_0 f$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} \Pi_0 f &= \nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} \left(\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} \int_X f d \text{vol}_{g_X} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} \int_X (\nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} f) d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\ \nabla_{g_X} \Pi_0 f &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} \Pi_0 f|^2 &= \left| \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} \int_X (\nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} f) d \text{vol}_{g_X} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} \int_X |\nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} f|^2 d \text{vol}_{g_X} \end{aligned}$$

We only need to consider the metric \hat{g} since it is equivalent to g in $B_r \setminus K$. Then, for some $c_1, c_2 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} (\Pi_0 f)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} &\leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} |\nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} \Pi_0 f|^2 d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} \left[\frac{1}{\text{Vol}(X)} \int_X |\nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} f|^2 d \text{vol}_{g_X} \right] d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} |\nabla_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} f|^2 d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} |\nabla_{\hat{g}} f|^2 d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} (\Pi_{\perp} f)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} &\leq c_2 \left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} |\nabla_{g_X} \Pi_{\perp} f|^2 d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&= c_2 \left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} |\nabla_{g_X} f|^2 d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq c_2 \left(\int_{B_r \setminus K} |\nabla_{\hat{g}} f|^2 d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Let χ be a cut-off function which is 1 on K and is 0 outside a larger compact set K' , such that $K \subset K' = B_{r_1} \subset B_r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_K f^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} &\leq \left(\int_{K'} (\chi f)^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \\
&\leq C_{K'} \left(\int_{K'} \|\nabla(\chi f)\|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_{K'} \left(\int_{K'} \|\nabla f\|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C_{K'} \left(\int_{K'} \|f \nabla \chi\|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq C_{K'} \left(\int_{K'} \|\nabla f\|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad + C_{K'} \left(\int_{K' \setminus K} \|f\|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \left(\int_{K' \setminus K} \|\nabla \chi\|^n d \text{vol}_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the first part we get the result. \square

Proposition 12. Suppose (M^n, g) is an asymptotically flat manifold with asymptotic end $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$. Then there exists a constant $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(g)$, such that if f is a smooth function with compact support and $\|f_-\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} < \epsilon_0$, then the equation

$$(7) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g u - fu = 0 \text{ on } M \\ u \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

has a unique positive solution. Moreover, near infinity u has asymptotics

$$u = 1 - \frac{A}{r^{k-2}} + O(r^{-k+1})$$

where $A = C \int_M f u d \text{vol}_g$ for some $C > 0$.

Proof. Let $v = 1 - u$. Then (7) becomes

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g v - fv = -f \text{ on } M \\ v \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

On a compact subset B_r , consider the Dirichlet problem

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g v_r - f v_r = -f \text{ in } B_r \\ v_r = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_r \end{cases}$$

By Fredholm alternative, if the homogeneous equation

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g v_r - f v_r = 0 \text{ in } B_r \\ v_r = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_r \end{cases}$$

has only zero solution, then equation (9) has a unique solution. Suppose ω is a solution of equation (10). Multiplying ω to both sides of (10) and integrating by parts, by the Holder inequality with $p = \frac{n}{2}, q = \frac{n}{n-2}$ and the Sobolev inequality with $p = 2, p^* = \frac{2n}{n-2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_r} |\nabla \omega|^2 d \text{vol}_g &= - \int_{B_r} f \omega^2 d \text{vol}_g \leq \int_{B_r} f_- \omega^2 d \text{vol}_g \\ &\leq \left(\int_{B_r} f_-^{\frac{n}{2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{B_r} \omega^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{n}} \\ &\leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_r} f_-^{\frac{n}{2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{B_r} |\nabla \omega|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right) \end{aligned}$$

Thus if $\|f_-\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}} < \frac{1}{c_1}$, then $\omega = 0$. Therefore, equation (9) has a unique solution v_r . Multiplying v_r to both sides of (9), using Holder inequality and Sobolev inequality again,

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla v_r|^2 d \text{vol}_g \leq \int_{B_r} f_- v_r^2 d \text{vol}_g + \int_{B_r} f v_r d \text{vol}_g$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_r} f_-^{\frac{n}{2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{B_r} |\nabla v_r|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\int_{B_r} f_-^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \left(\int_{B_r} v_r^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2n}} \\
&\leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_r} f_-^{\frac{n}{2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \left(\int_{B_r} |\nabla v_r|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right) \\
&\quad + c_1 \left(\int_{B_r} f_-^{\frac{2n}{n+2}} d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{n+2}{2n}} \left(\int_{B_r} |\nabla v_r|^2 d \text{vol}_g \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

Then there is a constant c_2 depending on (M, g, f) such that $\|v_r\|_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}} < c_2$ and $\|\nabla v_r\|_{L^2} < c_2$. The standard theory of elliptic equations concludes that v_r has uniformly bounded $C^{2,\alpha}$ norm. By Arzela-Ascoli we may pass to a limit and conclude that equation (8) has a solution.

A similar argument proves that the solution of equation (7) is nonnegative everywhere. Otherwise there exists an open set Ω such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u - f u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

This contradicts with the Sobolev inequality and the choice of ϵ_0 as above since u is the nonzero solution. By the strong maximum principle u is positive everywhere.

In the following, we use the asymptotic estimate of the Green's function G and ∇G to obtain the asymptotic behavior of the solution. Let $\phi(r)$ be a smooth cut-off function on M with $\phi(r) = 1$ on $M \setminus B_{R_1}$, $\phi(r) = 0$ on B_{R_2} for $R < R_2 < R_1$. Let dS be the boundary area form. Fix $(x, y) \in M \setminus B_{R_1}$ and choose s sufficiently large so that B_s contains (x, y) . Multiply $G = G(z, (x, y))$ to $\Delta_g v = f v - f$ and integrate on B_s about the variable $z \in B_s$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B_s} \phi G (f v - f) d \text{vol}_g &= \int_{B_s} \phi G \Delta_g v d \text{vol}_g \\
&= - \int_{B_s} \langle \nabla(\phi G), \nabla v \rangle d \text{vol}_g + \int_{\partial B_s} (\phi G) \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle dS \\
&= \int_{B_s} G \Delta_g(\phi) v d \text{vol}_g + \int_{B_s} \phi v \Delta_g G d \text{vol}_g + 2 \int_{B_s} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla G \rangle v d \text{vol}_g \\
&\quad + \int_{\partial B_s} (\phi G) \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle dS - \int_{\partial B_s} v \langle \nu, \nabla(\phi G) \rangle dS
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \int_{\partial B_s} (\phi G) \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle dS \right| \leq G((x, y), z) \int_{\partial B_s} |\langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle| dS$$

$$\leq \max_{z \in \partial B_s} G((x, y), z) \int_{\partial B_s} |\nabla v|^2 dS.$$

As $s \rightarrow \infty$, $\max_{z \in \partial B_s} G((x, y), z) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\int_M |\nabla v|^2 d \text{vol}_g < \infty$, we deduce that

$$\int_{\partial B_s} (\phi G) \langle \nu, \nabla v \rangle dS \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Similarly we have

$$\int_{\partial B_s} v \langle \nu, \nabla(\phi G) \rangle dS \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, taking $s \rightarrow \infty$ so that $B_s \rightarrow M$, we obtain

$$\int_M \phi G(fv - f) d \text{vol}_g = v((x, y)) + \int_M G \Delta_g(\phi) v d \text{vol}_g + 2 \int_M \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla G \rangle v d \text{vol}_g.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{k-2} v((x, y)) &= -2 \int_M \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{k-2} \langle \nabla \phi, \nabla G \rangle v d \text{vol}_g + \int_M \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{k-2} G \phi (fv - f) d \text{vol}_g \\ &\quad + \int_M \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{k-2} G \Delta_g(1 - \phi) v d \text{vol}_g \\ &= C \left[\int_M \phi (fv - f) d \text{vol}_g + \int_M \Delta_g(1 - \phi) v d \text{vol}_g \right] \\ &= C \left[\int_M \phi (fv - f) d \text{vol}_g + \int_M (1 - \phi) \Delta_g v d \text{vol}_g \right] \\ &= C \int_M (fv - f) d \text{vol}_g \end{aligned}$$

for $C > 0$. □

The next lemma is standard, which relates the masses of conformally related metrics.

Lemma 13. For metric $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}}((x, y))g$ outside a large compact set on M^n , and $u = 1 + \frac{m_0}{r^{\frac{n-2}{k-2}}} + O(r^{-k+1})$,

$$m(\tilde{g}) = m(g) + \frac{4(n-1)(k-2)}{n-2} m_0$$

Proof. Let dS_ρ be the area form for the sphere of radius ρ in \mathbb{R}^k .

$$\begin{aligned} m(\tilde{g}) &= \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho \times X} \sum_{i,j} (\partial_i \tilde{g}_{ij} - \partial_j \tilde{g}_{ia}) \frac{x^j}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho \times X} \sum_{i,j} (\partial_i (u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_{ij}) - \partial_j (u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_{ia})) \frac{x^j}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho \times X} \sum_{i,j} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (\partial_i g_{ij} - \partial_j g_{aa}) \frac{x^j}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho \times X} \sum_{i,j} \frac{4}{n-2} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}-1} (\partial_i u g_{ij} - \partial_j u g_{aa}) \frac{x^j}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
&= \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho \times X} \left(1 + \frac{m_0}{2\rho^{k-2}} + O(\rho^{-k+1})\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \sum_{i,j} (\partial_i g_{ij} - \partial_j g_{aa}) \frac{x^j}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\omega_k \text{Vol}(X)} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho \times X} \sum_{i,j} \frac{4}{n-2} u^{\frac{4}{n-2}-1} \left(((2-k)m_0 \rho^{1-k} \frac{x^i}{\rho} + O(\rho^{-k})) g_{ij} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - ((2-k)m_0 \rho^{1-k} \frac{x^j}{\rho} + O(\rho^{-k})) g_{aa} \right) \frac{x^j}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
&= m(g) + \frac{4(n-1)(k-2)}{n-2} m_0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

We are now ready to start the proof of compactification result.

Proof of Step 1. Following the proof of Proposition 4.11 in [3] and Proposition 3.2 in [18], write the metric g as

$$g = \left(1 + \frac{m_1}{r^{k-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \hat{g} + \bar{g}$$

outside a large compact set with $m_1 = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)(k-2)} m$ and

$$(11) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho \times X} \sum_{i,j} (\partial_i \bar{g}_{ij} - \partial_j \bar{g}_{aa}) \frac{x^j}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} = 0$$

Let $\phi(r)$ be a cut-off function, $\phi(r) = 1$ for $r \leq 2$ and $\phi(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \phi(r) \leq 1$. Define the metric $g^\sigma = \left(1 + \frac{m_1}{r^{k-2}}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} \hat{g} + \phi\left(\frac{r}{\sigma}\right) \bar{g}$. Then $S_{C_{g^\sigma}}$ is a smooth function with compact support in $B_{3\sigma} \times X$. More precisely,

$$S_{C_{g^\sigma}} = \begin{cases} S_{C_g}, & \text{if } r \leq 2\sigma \\ O(\sigma^{-\tau-2}), & \text{if } 2\sigma \leq r \leq 3\sigma \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Solve

$$(12) \quad \Delta_{g^\sigma} u - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \varphi S_{C_{g^\sigma}} u = 0$$

$$u \rightarrow 1 \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

where $\varphi(r) = \phi(\frac{r}{\sigma}) = 1$ for $2\sigma \leq r \leq 3\sigma$ and $\varphi(r) = 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq \sigma$ and $4\sigma \leq r < \infty$, $0 \leq \varphi(r) \leq 1$. Choose σ sufficiency large to make $(\int_M |(\varphi Sc_{g^\sigma})_-|^{n/2} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma})^{2/n} = O(\sigma^{-\tau-2+2k/n})$ small. By Proposition 12,

$$u = 1 - \frac{A_\sigma}{r^{k-2}} + O(r^{1-k})$$

and

$$A_\sigma = C \int_M \varphi Sc_{g^\sigma} u d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma}.$$

Let $\tilde{g} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g^\sigma$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Sc_{\tilde{g}} &= \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-\Delta_{g^\sigma} u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} Sc_{g^\sigma} u) \\ &= \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-\Delta_{g^\sigma} u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \varphi Sc_{g^\sigma} u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} (1-\varphi) Sc_{g^\sigma} u) \\ &\geq \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} (1-\varphi) Sc_{g^\sigma} u \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$m(\tilde{g}) = -\frac{4(n-1)(k-2)}{n-2} A_\sigma + m(g^\sigma) = -\frac{4(n-1)(k-2)}{n-2} A_\sigma + m$$

and thus

$$(13) \quad |m(\tilde{g}) - m(g)| = \frac{4(n-1)(k-2)}{n-2} |A_\sigma|.$$

Thus if $|A_\sigma| < \epsilon$ can be made arbitrarily small for sufficiency large σ , then $|m(\tilde{g}) - m(g)| < \epsilon$. Since $m(g) < 0$, we can make $m(\tilde{g}) < 0$ by taking σ sufficiency large.

Now we prove $|A_\sigma| < \epsilon$ for sufficiency large σ . Let $v = 1 - u$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |A_\sigma| &= C \left| \int_M \varphi Sc_{g^\sigma} u d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \int_M \varphi Sc_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| + C \left| \int_M \varphi Sc_{g^\sigma} v d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| \\ &\leq C \left| \int_M \varphi Sc_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| + C \sigma^{-k+2} \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 2\sigma\} \times X} |Sc_g| d \text{vol}_g \\ &\quad + C \left(\int_{\{2\sigma \leq r \leq 3\sigma\} \times X} |Sc_{g^\sigma}| |v| d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right) \\ &\leq C \left| \int_M \varphi Sc_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| + O(\sigma^{-\tau}) + C \sigma^{-k+2} \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 2\sigma\} \times X} |Sc_g| d \text{vol}_g \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq C \left| \int_M \varphi S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| + O(\sigma^{-\tau}) + C \sigma^{-k+2}$$

by $S c_g \in L^1(M)$.

We now estimate the first term, where the integrand is nonzero only when $\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma$. In the asymptotic coordinates,

$$\begin{aligned} S c_{g^\sigma} &= |g^\sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_a (|g^\sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} (g^\sigma)^{ab} (\Gamma_b - \frac{1}{2} \partial_b (\log |g^\sigma|))) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (g^\sigma)^{ab} \Gamma_a \partial_b (\log |g^\sigma|) + (g^\sigma)^{ab} (g^\sigma)^{cd} (g^\sigma)^{ef} \Gamma_{ace} \Gamma_{bdf}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma_{abc} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{bc,a}^\sigma + g_{ac,b}^\sigma - g_{ab,c}^\sigma)$ and $\Gamma_c = (g^\sigma)^{ab} \Gamma_{abc}$. If

$$\left| \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} \varphi S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| = \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} \varphi S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma},$$

then

$$0 \leq \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 2\sigma\} \times X} (1 - \varphi) S c_g d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} = \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} (1 - \varphi) S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma}.$$

If

$$\left| \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} \varphi S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| = - \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} \varphi S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} \varphi S c_g d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \\ &= \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 2\sigma\} \times X} (1 - \varphi) S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} + \int_{\{2\sigma \leq r \leq 3\sigma\} \times X} S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \\ &= \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 2\sigma\} \times X} (1 - \varphi) S c_g d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} + \int_{\{2\sigma \leq r \leq 3\sigma\} \times X} S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \\ &\geq \int_{\{2\sigma \leq r \leq 3\sigma\} \times X} S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left| \int_M \varphi S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| \leq \max \left\{ \left| \int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right|, \left| \int_{\{2\sigma \leq r \leq 3\sigma\} \times X} S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma} \right| \right\}.$$

Thus, by $|g^\sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} (g^\sigma)^{ab} (\Gamma_b - \frac{1}{2} \partial_b (\log |g^\sigma|)) = (g^\sigma)_{ab,b} - (g^\sigma)_{bb,a} + O(r^{-2\tau-1})$, there is

$$\int_{\{\sigma \leq r \leq 4\sigma\} \times X} S c_{g^\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g^\sigma}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{\{r=4\sigma\} \times X} |g^\sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} (g^\sigma)^{ab} (\Gamma_b - \frac{1}{2} \partial_b (\log |g^\sigma|)) \nu^a dS_{4\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
 &\quad - \int_{\{r=\sigma\} \times X} |g^\sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}} (g^\sigma)^{ab} (\Gamma_b - \frac{1}{2} \partial_b (\log |g^\sigma|)) \nu^a dS_\sigma d \text{vol}_{g_X} + O(\sigma^{-2\tau-2+k}) \\
 &= \int_{\{r=4\sigma\} \times X} ((g^\sigma)_{ab,b} - (g^\sigma)_{bb,a}) \nu^a dS_{4\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
 &\quad - \int_{\{r=\sigma\} \times X} ((g^\sigma)_{ab,b} - (g^\sigma)_{bb,a}) \nu^a dS_\sigma d \text{vol}_{g_X} + O(\sigma^{-2\tau-2+k}) \\
 &= \int_{\{r=4\sigma\} \times X} (g_{ab,b} - g_{bb,a}) \nu^a dS_{4\sigma} d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
 &\quad - \int_{\{r=\sigma\} \times X} (g_{ab,b} - g_{bb,a}) \nu^a dS_\sigma d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
 &\quad + \int_{S_\sigma \times X} \sum_{i,j} (\partial_i \bar{g}_{ij} - \partial_j \bar{g}_{aa}) \nu^a dS_\sigma d \text{vol}_{g_X} + O(\sigma^{-2\tau-2+k})
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = (\nu^a)$ is the outer normal vector. The difference of the first two terms is arbitrarily small if σ is sufficiently large by the existence of mass [2]. The third term is small for large σ by (11). The other term can be handled similarly. Therefore, we have $|A_\sigma| < \epsilon$ for sufficiency large σ .

Thus, $\tilde{g} = \tilde{u}^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ with $\tilde{u} = 1 + \frac{\tilde{m}}{r^{k-2}} + O(r^{1-k})$ ($\tilde{m} < 0$) and $Sc_{\tilde{g}} \geq 0$. Moreover, $Sc_{\tilde{g}} = 0$ by (12) outside a large compact set K , for example $K = B_{3\sigma}$. \square

Proof of Step 2. From Step 1, we know that there is a metric $g = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} (g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$ with $u = 1 + \frac{m}{r^{k-2}} + O(r^{1-k})$ ($m < 0$) and $Sc_g = 0$ outside a large compact set and $Sc_g \geq 0$ on M . Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 Sc_g &= \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} u + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} Sc_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} u) \\
 &= \frac{4(n-1)}{n-2} u^{-\frac{n+2}{n-2}} (-\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} u)
 \end{aligned}$$

therefore, $\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} u = 0$ outside a large compact set. By $u = 1 + \frac{m}{r^{k-2}} + O(r^{1-k})$ ($m < 0$), we can take s_1 large enough such that $u < 1$ on $\{s_1\} \times X$ and $s_1 > 3\sigma$. Let

$$\epsilon = 1 - \sup_{\{s_1\} \times X} u(x).$$

Then $u > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ in $r \geq s_2$ for sufficiently large $s_2 > s_1$. Take a cutoff function $\zeta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}]$ such that $\zeta(t) = t$ for $t \leq 1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}$ and $\zeta(t) = 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ for $t \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ with

$\zeta' \geq 0$ and $\zeta'' \leq 0$ as well as $\zeta'' < 0$ in $(1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{4}, 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{4})$. Let

$$v = \begin{cases} \zeta \circ u, & r \geq s_1; \\ u, & r \leq s_1. \end{cases}$$

v is a smooth function defined on entire M and $v = u$ around $\{r = s_1\} \times X$. We also have

$$\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} v = \zeta'' |\nabla^{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} u|^2 + \zeta' \Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} u \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \{r \geq s_1\} \times X$$

and $\Delta_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X} v < 0$ at some point in $\{s_1 < s < s_2\} \times X$. Define

$$\tilde{g} = \left(\frac{v}{u}\right)^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g.$$

Then $Sc_{\tilde{g}} \geq 0$ with strict inequality at some point on M and \tilde{g} is the metric $g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X$ near infinity.

Then we can cut M off outside a large compact set K such that $\partial K = (\partial[0, 1]^k) \times X$ and glue the opposite faces of $[0, 1]^k$, such that it becomes $(M_1^n \#_X T^k \times X, \tilde{g})$ with nonnegative scalar curvature and strictly positive scalar curvature at some point. It can then be deformed to a metric with positive scalar curvature. \square

5. RIGIDITY

This section is devoted to the proof of the rigidity part of the Positive Mass Theorem, Theorem 1. Thus let (M, g) be a manifold asymptotic to $\mathbb{R}^k \times X$ whose scalar curvature is nonnegative but its mass is zero. We first show that $Sc_g = 0$, and then $\text{Ric}_g = 0$.

If the scalar curvature is not identically zero. Then $Sc_g(p) > 0$ for some $p \in M^n$. Choose compact sets $K_1, K_2, p \in K_1 \subset K_2$, and let φ be a nonnegative smooth function which is 1 on K_1 and 0 on $M \setminus K_2$. Solve the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_g u - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} \varphi Sc_g u = 0 & \text{on } M^n, \\ u \rightarrow 1 & \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases}$$

Then Proposition 12 gives a unique positive solution u . And the metric $\hat{g} = u^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g$ has $Sc_{\hat{g}} \geq 0$ but

$$m(\hat{g}) = \frac{4(n-1)(k-2)}{n-2} m_0 + m(g) = \frac{4(n-1)(k-2)}{n-2} m_0,$$

where $u = 1 + \frac{m_0}{r^{\frac{k-2}{2}}} + O(r^{1-k})$, and $m_0 = -C \int_M \varphi Sc_g u d \text{vol}_g < 0$. That is, $m(\hat{g}) < 0$, which is a contradiction.

Next we prove that the Ricci curvature of M^n is identically zero. Let h be a compactly supported $(0, 2)$ tensor and consider the deformation $g_t = g + th$. For t sufficiently small,

since the scalar curvature depends smoothly on t , we have that $\|Sc(g_t)\|_{L^{\frac{n}{2}}}$ will be small. Thus by Proposition 12 we can again solve the equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{g_t} u_t - \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} Sc_{g_t} u_t = 0 & \text{on } M^n \\ u_t \rightarrow 1 & \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty \end{cases}$$

with a unique positive solution u_t . Define $\tilde{g}_t = u_t^{\frac{4}{n-2}} g_t$. Then $Sc_{\tilde{g}_t} = 0$. Let $m(t)$ denote the mass of the metric \tilde{g}_t . Using the asymptotic formula again we see that

$$m(t) = -C \int_M Sc_{g_t} u_t d \text{vol}_{g_t},$$

therefore $m(t)$ is C^1 differentiable about t . Taking its first derivative at $t = 0$, and use the facts that $u_0 \equiv 1$, $Sc_{g_0} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} m(t) &= -C \int_M \dot{Sc}(0) d \text{vol}_g \\ &= -C \int_M (\nabla_i \nabla_j h_{ij} - \Delta_g (g^{ij} h_{ij}) - \langle \text{Ric}_g, h \rangle) d \text{vol}_g \\ &= C \int_M \langle \text{Ric}_g, h \rangle d \text{vol}_g \end{aligned}$$

If Ric_g is not identically zero then taking $h = \eta \text{Ric}_g$, with η is a cutoff function yields that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} m(t) < 0.$$

This means that for some small t , $m(t) < 0$, again a contradiction. Then (M^n, g) is Ricci flat. Since $\text{Ric}_g = \text{Ric}_{g_{\mathbb{R}^k}} + \text{Ric}_{g_X} + O(r^{-\tau})$ outside a compact set, $\text{Ric}_{g_X} \equiv 0$, i.e. (X, g_X) is Ricci flat.

As in the proof of lemma 6 in [11] and Proposition 4.12 in [3], we can assume that $\tau \leq k - 2$. We first find smooth functions on M , $y^i, i = 1, \dots, k$, such that $\Delta_g y^i = 0$ and y^1, \dots, y^k form an asymptotic coordinate system for the \mathbb{R}^k factor. Let $x^i \in C^\infty(M \setminus K), i = 1, \dots, k$, be an asymptotic coordinate system for the \mathbb{R}^k factor. Let χ is a cut-off function vanishing on B_{r_1} and is identically 1 on $M \setminus B_{r_2}$ for some $r_1 < r_2$. Since $\Delta_g(\chi x^i) = O(r^{-\tau-1}) \in L^2_{\delta-2}(M)$ for $\delta > 1 + \frac{k}{2} - \tau$, there exists $u^i \in H^2_\delta(M)$ such that $\Delta_g u^i = \Delta_g(\chi x^i)$ by Corollary 2 in [11]. Set $y^i = \chi x^i - u^i$. Then $\Delta_g y^i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$ on M . By the Moser iteration and Schauder estimate,

$$|u^i| + r|\partial u^i| + r^2|\partial^2 u^i| = O(r^{1-\delta'}), \quad \delta' = 1 + \frac{k}{2} - \delta.$$

Fix $\epsilon_1 > 0$ small enough such that if $\delta = 1 + \frac{k}{2} - \tau + \epsilon_1$, then $\delta' = \tau - \epsilon_1 > \frac{k-2}{2}$. With a similar analysis on the derivative of $\Delta_g u^i = \Delta_g(\chi x^i)$, one also deduces

$$r^3|\partial^3 u^i| = O(r^{1-\delta'}).$$

The above computation is in the coordinate system $\{x^1, \dots, x^k, \{f_\alpha\}\}$.

Since $y^i = \chi x^i - u^i$, $\{y^1, \dots, y^k, \{f_\alpha\}\}$ form a coordinate system outside a large compact set by the above estimates. With respect the new coordinate system $y^i = \chi x^i - u^i$ and $\{y^1, \dots, y^k, \{f_\alpha\}\}$ the metric g can be written as $g = g'_0 + \omega$ where $g'_0 = dy^2 + g_X$ and ω satisfies

$$|\omega| + r|\partial\omega| + r^2|\partial^2\omega| = O(r^{-\tau}), \quad \tau > \frac{k-2}{2}.$$

Now we compute in this new coordinate system and the derivative will be taken with respect to $y^i = \chi x^i - u^i$ and $\{y^1, \dots, y^k, \{f_\alpha\}\}$. Let $\partial_i = \partial_{y^i}$, $g_{ij} = g(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^j})$ and $r = |y|$.

From the Bochner formula and $\Delta_g y^i = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g \left(\frac{1}{2} |dy^i|_g^2 \right) &= |\nabla_g dy^i|_g^2, \\ \Delta_g \left(\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} \right) &= g(\nabla_g dy^i, \nabla_g dy^j). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\Delta_g(g^{ij} - \delta_{ij}) = O(r^{-2\delta'-2})$. From the above discussion we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{ij} - \delta_{ij} &\in L_\mu^2 \quad \text{for any } \mu > \frac{k}{2} - \delta' \\ \Delta_g(g^{ij} - \delta_{ij}) &\in L_{\mu'-2}^2 \quad \text{for any } \mu' > \frac{k}{2} - 2\delta'. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\delta' \leq \tau \leq k-2$ and $\delta' > \frac{k-2}{2}$, therefore we can choose $1 - \frac{k}{2} < \mu' < 2 - \frac{k}{2} < \mu \leq \frac{k}{2}$. By Proposition 9,

$$g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - c_{ij} r^{2-k} + v^{ij}$$

where c_{ij} are constants with $c_{ij} = c_{ji}$ and $v^{ij} \in H_{\mu'}^2$. After repeating the argument for u^i , we see that v^{ij} are higher-order error terms satisfying, for some small $\epsilon_2 > 0$,

$$|v^{ij}| + r|\partial v^{ij}| + r^2|\partial^2 v^{ij}| = O(r^{2-k-\epsilon_2}).$$

After a possible orthogonal transformation of $\{y^1, \dots, y^k\}$, we can assume $c_{ij} = c_i \delta_{ij}$ without loss of generality. Finally we have

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \omega_{ij}, \quad g_{i\alpha} = \omega_{i\alpha}, \quad g_{\alpha\alpha} = 1 + \omega_{\alpha\alpha},$$

such that

$$|\omega^{ij}| + r|\partial\omega^{ij}| + r^2|\partial^2\omega^{ij}| = O(r^{2-k-\epsilon_2}),$$

and

$$|\omega^{\alpha\alpha}| + r|\partial\omega^{\alpha\alpha}| + r^2|\partial^2\omega^{\alpha\alpha}| = O(r^{-\delta'}), \quad \delta' > \frac{k-2}{2}.$$

$$|\omega^{i\alpha}| + r|\partial\omega^{i\alpha}| + r^2|\partial^2\omega^{i\alpha}| = O(r^{-\delta'}), \quad \delta' > \frac{k-2}{2}.$$

By the relation $y^i = \chi x^i - u^i$ and the estimate of u^i , we see that the mass $m(g)$ calculated with respect to $\{y^1, \dots, y^k, \{f_\alpha\}\}$ is the same as that with respect to $\{x^1, \dots, x^k, \{f_\alpha\}\}$. Since $\Delta_g y^i = 0$, a straightforward computation yields

$$(14) \quad (k-2) \left(c_i - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \right) \right) \frac{y^i}{|y|^k} + \sum_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} g_{i\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \partial_i g_{\alpha\alpha} + O(r^{-\mu''}) = 0$$

where $\mu'' = \min\{k-1 + \epsilon_2, 2\delta' + 1\} > k-1$. Integrating (14) and summing over i gives

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_{\rho} \times X} (\partial_i g_{\alpha\alpha}) \frac{y^i}{\rho} dS_{\rho} d \text{vol}_{g_X} &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_{\rho} \times X} \frac{(k-2)^2}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \right) \rho^{1-k} dS_{\rho} d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\ &= \frac{(k-2)^2}{k} \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \right) \text{Vol}(X). \end{aligned}$$

And a direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{S_{\rho} \times X} (\partial_j g_{ij} - \partial_i g_{jj}) \frac{y^i}{\rho} dS_{\rho} d \text{vol}_{g_X} &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{S_{\rho} \times X} \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \right) \rho^{1-k} dS_{\rho} d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\ &= \frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{k} \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \right) \text{Vol}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(15) \quad m(g) = \frac{k-2}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \right).$$

Since $\Delta_g dy_i = (dd^* + d^*d)dy_i = d\Delta_g y_i = 0$, by the Weitzenbovk formula for 1-form ω , i.e.

$$\Delta_g \omega = \nabla^* \nabla \omega + \text{Ric}(\omega^{\#}, \cdot).$$

one has,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_i \int_M \langle \nabla^* \nabla dy_i, dy_i \rangle d \text{vol}_g \\ &= \sum_i \int_M \langle \nabla dy_i, \nabla dy_i \rangle d \text{vol}_g - \sum_i \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_{\rho}^{k-1} \times X} \langle \nabla_a dy_i, dy_i \rangle \nu^a dS_{\rho} d \text{vol}_{g_X} \end{aligned}$$

where $\nu = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^n)$ is the unit outer normal. On the other hand,

$$\nabla_a dy^i = -\Gamma_{ak}^i dy^k - \Gamma_{a\alpha}^i df_{\alpha}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \sum_i \int_{S_\rho^{k-1} \times X} \langle \nabla_a dy^i, dy^i \rangle \nu^a dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
&= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \int_{S_\rho^{k-1} \times X} (\partial_j g_{ij} - \partial_i g_{aa} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i g_{\alpha\alpha}) \frac{y^i}{\rho} dS_\rho d \text{vol}_{g_X} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (k-2) \omega_k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k c_i \right) \text{Vol}(X) \\
&= \omega_k \text{Vol}(X) m(g).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the discussion above we arrive at the equation

$$\sum_i \int_M \langle \nabla dy^i, \nabla dy^i \rangle d \text{vol}_g = \omega_k \text{Vol}(X) m(g).$$

In particular $m(g) = 0$ implies that dy^i is parallel.

Therefore dy^i is parallel for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and as they are approaching orthonormal at infinity, they are exactly orthonormal on M . Consider the map $F : M^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$, $F(p) = \{y_1(p), \dots, y_k(p)\}$. Let Φ_t^i be the flow of ∇y_i , which is a complete vector field since it has norm one. Since ∇y_i is parallel, it is a killing vector, i.e. the flow Φ_t^i action on M^n is isometric. Therefore, for $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$, $\Phi_t^i : F^{-1}(q) \rightarrow F^{-1}(q + te_i)$ is isometric and so is $\Phi_{y_1}^1 \circ \dots \circ \Phi_{y_k}^k : F^{-1}(q) \rightarrow F^{-1}(q + y)$. Since $y^i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, form an asymptotic coordinate system for the \mathbb{R}^k factor and g is asymptotic to the product metric, letting $y \rightarrow \infty$, we find an isometry $F^{-1}(q) \cong X$. Thus M^n is a fiber bundle over \mathbb{R}^k with fibers isometric to X . Since \mathbb{R}^k is contractible, the bundle must be trivial. On the other hand, the de Rham Decomposition Theorem says that this is a local metric product. Therefore $(M^n, g) \cong (\mathbb{R}^k \times X, g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_X)$.

From the proof of the rigid part, as a generalization of Proposition 4.12 in [3], we have

Proposition 14. For a complete noncompact smooth manifold (M^n, g) asymptotic $(\mathbb{R}^k \times X^{n-k}, \hat{g} = g_{\mathbb{R}^k} + g_x)$, if $|\text{Ric}|_{\hat{g}} + r|\nabla \text{Ric}|_{\hat{g}} = O(r^{-k-\epsilon})$ for some $\epsilon > 0$ then

$$m^{GB}(g) = c m(g)$$

for some $c > 0$.

It is very interesting that this notion of the Gauss-Bonnet mass plays a crucial role in the rigidity part of the Positive Mass Theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Amiya Mukherjee, Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem An Introduction; Texts And Readings 69 In Mathematics.

- [2] Robert Bartnik; The mass of an asymptotically flat manifold; *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 36, 661–693 (1986).
- [3] Jie Chen, Peng Liu, Yuguang Shi, And Jintian Zhu; Incompressible Hypersurface, Positive Scalar Curvature And Positive Mass Theorem; arXiv:2112.14442v1 [math.DG] 29 Dec 2021.
- [4] Otis Chodosh, Chao Li; Generalized Soap Bubbles And The Topology Of Manifolds With Positive Scalar Curvature, arXiv:2008.11888v3 [math.DG] 11 Sep 2020.
- [5] Xianzhe Dai; A positive mass theorem for spaces with asymptotic SUSY compactification; *Comm. Math. Phys.* 244 (2004), no. 2, 335–345. MR 2031034.
- [6] Xianzhe Dai, Guofang Wei, Zhenlei Zhang; Local Sobolev constant estimate for integral Ricci curvature bounds; *Adv. in Math.* 325(2018) 1–33.
- [7] Akito Futaki; Scalar-flat closed manifolds not admitting positive scalar curvature metrics; *Invent. Math.* 112, 23–29 (1993).
- [8] Mikhael Gromov, H. Blaine Lawson; Positive scalar curvature and the Dirac operator on complete riemannian manifolds; *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. No. 58* (1983), 83–196 (1984). MR 720933.
- [9] Peter Li, *Geometric Analysis*; Published in the United States of America by Cambridge University Press, New York.
- [10] Peng Liu, Yuguang Shi, And Jintian Zhu; Positive mass theorems of ALF and ALG manifolds; arXiv:2103.11289v2 [math.DG] 23 Mar 2021.
- [11] Vincent Minerbe, A Mass for ALF Manifolds; *Commun. Math. Phys.* 289, 925–955 (2009), Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.1007/s00220-009-0823-3.
- [12] Richard M. Schoen; *Topics in scalar curvature* Spring 2017 Notes by Chao Li, ETH.
- [13] Richard Schoen and Shing Tung Yau, On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity; *Comm. Math. Phys.* 65 (1979), no. 1, 45–76. MR 526976.
- [14] Richard Schoen and Shing-Tung Yau; Positive scalar curvature and minimal hypersurface singularities; arXiv:1704.05490v1 [math.DG] 18 Apr 2017.
- [15] Stephan Stolz; Simply connected manifolds of positive scalar curvature; *Annals of Mathematics* 136 (1992), no. 3, 511–540.
- [16] Edward Witten; A new proof of the positive energy theorem; *Comm. Math. Phys.* 80 (1981), no. 3, 381–402. MR 626707.
- [17] Xiangsheng Wang and Weiping Zhang; A note on the generalized Geroch Conjecture for complete spin manifolds; arXiv:2204.09184v1 [math.DG] 20 Apr 2022.
- [18] Jintian Zhu; Positive mass theorem with arbitrary ends and its application; arXiv:2204.05491v1 [math.DG] 12 Apr 2022.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA CA93106, USA
 SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS SCIENCES, EAST CHINA NORMAL UNIVERSITY SHANGHAI, CHINA