

# Integer group determinants for abelian groups of order 16

Yuka Yamaguchi and Naoya Yamaguchi

November 29, 2022

## Abstract

For any positive integer  $n$ , let  $C_n$  be the cyclic group of order  $n$ . We determine all possible values of the integer group determinant of  $C_4 \times C_2^2$ , which is the only unsolved abelian group of order 16.

## 1 Introduction

A circulant determinant is the determinant of a square matrix in which each row is obtained by a cyclic shift of the previous row one step to the right. At the meeting of the American Mathematical Society in Hayward, California, in April 1977, Olga Taussky-Todd [10] suggested a problem that is to determine the all possible integers of an  $n \times n$  circulant determinant when the all entries are integers (see e.g., [4, 6]). The solution for the case  $n = 2$  is well known. In the case of  $n = p, 2p$  and  $p^2$ , where  $p$  is an odd prime, the problem was solved [3, 5, 6]. Also, the problem was solved for the cases  $n = 4$  and 8 [2, Theorem 1.1],  $n = 12$  [9, Theorem 5.3],  $n = 15$  [7, Theorem 1.3] and  $n = 16$  [13]. For a finite group  $G$ , let  $x_g$  be a variable for each  $g \in G$ . The group determinant of  $G$  is defined as  $\det (x_{gh^{-1}})_{g,h \in G}$ . Let  $C_n$  be the cyclic group of order  $n$ . Note that the group determinant of  $C_n$  becomes an  $n \times n$  circulant determinant. The group determinant of  $G$  is called an integer group determinant of  $G$  when the variables  $x_g$  are all integers. Let  $S(G)$  denote the set of all possible values of the integer group determinant of  $G$ :

$$S(G) := \left\{ \det (x_{gh^{-1}})_{g,h \in G} \mid x_g \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

The problem suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd is extended to the problem that is to determine  $S(G)$  for any finite group  $G$ . For some groups, the problem was solved in [1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15]. As a result, for every group  $G$  of order at most 15,  $S(G)$  was determined. Also,  $C_4 \times C_2^2$  is left as the only unsolved abelian group of order 16.

In this paper, we determine  $S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$ . For any  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let

$$P_r := \{p \mid p \equiv r \pmod{8} \text{ is a prime number}\},$$

$$P' := \{p \mid p = a^2 + b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \text{ is a prime number satisfying } a + b \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}\},$$

$$A := \{(8k - 3)(8l + 3) \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subsetneq \{8m - 1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$B := \{p(8m - 1) \mid p \in P', m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subsetneq \{8m - 1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *We have*

$$S(C_4 \times C_2^2) = \{16m + 1, 2^{16}(4m + 1), 2^{16}(8m + 3), 2^{17}p(2m + 1), 2^{18}m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in P_5\} \\ \cup \{2^{16}m \mid m \in A \cup B\}.$$

Let  $D_n$  denote the dihedral group of order  $n$  and let

$$C := \{(8j + 1)(8k - 3)(8l - 3)(8m - 3)(8n - 3) \mid j, k \in \mathbb{Z}, 8l - 3, 8m - 3, 8n - 3 \in P_5, \\ j \not\equiv k + l + m + n \pmod{2}\} \subsetneq \{16m - 7 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

$$D := \{(8k - 3)(8l + 3) \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}, k \equiv l \pmod{2}\} \subsetneq \{16m - 7 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Remark that  $C \subset D$  holds. In [14], [15], [11, Theorem 1.5], [1, Theorem 5.3] and [13], the following are obtained respectively:

$$S(C_2^4) = \{16m + 1, 2^{16}(4m + 1), 2^{24}(4m + 1), 2^{24}(8m + 3), 2^{24}m', 2^{26}m \mid \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}, m' \in A\},$$

$$S(C_4^2) = \{16m + 1, m', 2^{15}p(2m + 1), 2^{16}m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m' \in C, p \in P_5\},$$

$$S(C_8 \times C_2) = \{16m + 1, m', 2^{10}(2m + 1), 2^{11}p(2m + 1), 2^{11}q^2(2m + 1), 2^{12}m \mid \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}, m' \in D, p \in P' \cup P_5, q \in P_3\},$$

$$S(D_{16}) = \{4m + 1, 2^{10}m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$S(C_{16}) = \{2m + 1, 2^6p(2m + 1), 2^6q^2(2m + 1), 2^7m \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in P' \cup P_5, q \in P_3\}.$$

P. and C. Christopher [7, p.427] noted the following inclusion relations for every groups of order 8:  $S(C_2^3) \subsetneq S(C_4 \times C_2) \subsetneq S(Q_8) \subsetneq S(D_8) \subsetneq S(C_8)$ , where  $Q_8$  denotes the generalized quaternion group. From the above results, we have

$$S(C_2^4) \subsetneq S(C_4 \times C_2^2) \subsetneq S(C_4^2) \subsetneq S(C_8 \times C_2) \subsetneq S(D_{16}) \subsetneq S(C_{16}).$$

## 2 Preliminaries

For any  $\bar{r} \in C_n$  with  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , we denote the variable  $x_{\bar{r}}$  by  $x_r$ , and let  $D_n(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) := \det(x_{gh^{-1}})_{g, h \in C_n}$ . For any  $(\bar{r}, \bar{s}) \in C_4 \times C_2$  with  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $s \in \{0, 1\}$ , we denote the variable  $y_{(\bar{r}, \bar{s})}$  by  $y_j$ , where  $j := r + 4s$ , and let  $D_{4 \times 2}(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_7) := \det(y_{gh^{-1}})_{g, h \in C_4 \times C_2}$ . For any  $(\bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{t}) \in C_4 \times C_2^2$  with  $r \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $s, t \in \{0, 1\}$ , we denote the variable  $z_{(\bar{r}, \bar{s}, \bar{t})}$  by  $z_j$ , where  $j := r + 4s + 8t$ , and let  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{15}) := \det(z_{gh^{-1}})_{g, h \in C_4 \times C_2^2}$ . From the  $G = C_4$  and  $H = \{\bar{0}, \bar{2}\}$  case of [11, Theorem 1.1], we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.1.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} D_4(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) &= D_2(x_0 + x_2, x_1 + x_3) D_2(x_0 - x_2, \sqrt{-1}(x_1 - x_3)) \\ &= \{(x_0 + x_2)^2 - (x_1 + x_3)^2\} \{(x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 2.2.** *From Corollary 2.1, we have  $D_4(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = -D_4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_0)$ .*

From [12, Theorem 1.1], we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** *The following hold:*

(1) *Let  $H = C_4$  and  $K = C_2$ . Then we have*

$$D_{4 \times 2}(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_7) = D_4(y_0 + y_4, y_1 + y_5, y_2 + y_6, y_3 + y_7) D_4(y_0 - y_4, y_1 - y_5, y_2 - y_6, y_3 - y_7);$$

(2) *Let  $H = C_4 \times C_2$  and  $K = C_2$ . Then we have*

$$D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_{15}) = D_{4 \times 2}(z_0 + z_8, z_1 + z_9, \dots, z_7 + z_{15}) D_{4 \times 2}(z_0 - z_8, z_1 - z_9, \dots, z_7 - z_{15}).$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{15} \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and for any  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} b_i &:= (a_i + a_{i+8}) + (a_{i+4} + a_{i+12}), & c_i &:= (a_i + a_{i+8}) - (a_{i+4} + a_{i+12}), \\ d_i &:= (a_i - a_{i+8}) + (a_{i+4} - a_{i+12}), & e_i &:= (a_i - a_{i+8}) - (a_{i+4} - a_{i+12}). \end{aligned}$$

Also, let  $\mathbf{a} := (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{15})$  and let

$$\mathbf{b} := (b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3), \quad \mathbf{c} := (c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3), \quad \mathbf{d} := (d_0, d_1, d_2, d_3), \quad \mathbf{e} := (e_0, e_1, e_2, e_3).$$

Then, from Corollary 2.3, we have

$$D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) = D_4(\mathbf{b}) D_4(\mathbf{c}) D_4(\mathbf{d}) D_4(\mathbf{e}).$$

**Remark 2.4.** *For any  $0 \leq i \leq 3$ , the following hold:*

- (1)  $b_i \equiv c_i \equiv d_i \equiv e_i \pmod{2}$ ;
- (2)  $b_i + c_i + d_i + e_i \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** *We have  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \equiv D_4(\mathbf{b}) \equiv D_4(\mathbf{c}) \equiv D_4(\mathbf{d}) \equiv D_4(\mathbf{e}) \pmod{2}$ .*

*Proof.* From Corollary 2.1, for any  $x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

$$D_4(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in 2\mathbb{Z} \iff x_0 + x_2 \equiv x_1 + x_3 \pmod{2}.$$

Therefore, from Remark 2.4 (1), we have  $D_4(\mathbf{b}) \equiv D_4(\mathbf{c}) \equiv D_4(\mathbf{d}) \equiv D_4(\mathbf{e}) \pmod{2}$ . □

### 3 Impossible odd numbers

In this section, we consider impossible odd numbers. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$  be the set of all odd numbers.

**Lemma 3.1.** *We have  $S(C_4 \times C_2^2) \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}} \subset \{16m + 1 \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .*

To prove Lemma 3.1, we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** ([11, Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7]). *For any  $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following hold:*

- (1)  $D_4(2k + 1, 2l, 2m, 2n) \equiv 8m + 1 \pmod{16}$ ;
- (2)  $D_4(2k, 2l + 1, 2m + 1, 2n + 1) \equiv 8(k + l + n) - 3 \pmod{16}$ .

*Proof of Lemma 3.1.* Let  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) = D_4(\mathbf{b})D_4(\mathbf{c})D_4(\mathbf{d})D_4(\mathbf{e}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$ . Then  $D_4(\mathbf{b}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$ . From this and Corollary 2.1, we have  $b_0 + b_2 \not\equiv b_1 + b_3 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore, either one of the following cases holds:

- (i) exactly three of  $b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3$  are even;
- (ii) exactly one of  $b_0, b_1, b_2, b_3$  is even.

First, we consider the case (i). From Remarks 2.2 and 2.4 (1), we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathbf{b} \equiv \mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{d} \equiv \mathbf{e} \equiv (1, 0, 0, 0) \pmod{2}$ . From Remark 2.4, there exist  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$b_2 = 2m_0, \quad c_2 = 2m_1, \quad d_2 = 2m_2, \quad e_2 = 2m_3$$

and  $\sum_{i=0}^3 m_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore, from Lemma 3.2 (1),

$$D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^3 (8m_i + 1) \equiv 8 \sum_{i=0}^3 m_i + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}.$$

Next, we consider the case (ii). From Remarks 2.2 and 2.4 (1), we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathbf{b} \equiv \mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{d} \equiv \mathbf{e} \equiv (0, 1, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$ . From Remark 2.4, there exist  $k_i, l_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} (b_0, b_1, b_2) &= (2k_0, 2l_0 + 1, 2n_0 + 1), & (c_0, c_1, c_3) &= (2k_1, 2l_1 + 1, 2n_1 + 1), \\ (d_0, d_1, d_3) &= (2k_2, 2l_2 + 1, 2n_2 + 1), & (e_0, e_1, e_3) &= (2k_3, 2l_3 + 1, 2n_3 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sum_{i=0}^3 k_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^3 n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Therefore, from Lemma 3.2 (2), we have

$$D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^3 \{8(k_i + l_i + n_i) - 3\} \equiv 8 \sum_{i=0}^3 (k_i + l_i + n_i) + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{16}.$$

□

## 4 Impossible even numbers

In this section, we consider impossible even numbers. Let

$$P := \{p \mid p \equiv -3 \pmod{8} \text{ is a prime number}\},$$

$$P' := \{p \mid p = a^2 + b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \text{ is a prime number satisfying } a + b \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}\},$$

$$A := \{(8k - 3)(8l + 3) \mid k, l \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

$$B := \{p(8m - 1) \mid p \in P', m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

**Lemma 4.1.** *We have  $S(C_4 \times C_2^2) \cap 2\mathbb{Z} \subset 2^{16}\mathbb{Z}$ .*

**Lemma 4.2.** *We have*

$$S(C_4 \times C_2^2) \cap 2^{16}\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}} \subset \{2^{16}(4m + 1), 2^{16}(8m + 3), 2^{16}m' \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m' \in A \cup B\}.$$

**Lemma 4.3.** *We have  $S(C_4 \times C_2^2) \cap 2^{17}\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}} \subset \{2^{17}p(2m + 1) \mid p \in P, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .*

Lemma 4.1 is immediately obtained from Lemma 2.5 and Kaiblinger's [2, Theorem 1.1] result  $S(C_4) = \mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}} \cup 2^4\mathbb{Z}$ . To prove Lemma 4.2, we use the following six lemmas.

**Lemma 4.4** ([15, Lemma 3.2]). *For any  $k, l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following hold:*

$$(1) \ D_4(2k, 2l, 2m, 2n) \in \begin{cases} 2^4\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}, & k + m \not\equiv l + n \pmod{2}, \\ 2^8\mathbb{Z}, & k + m \equiv l + n \pmod{2}; \end{cases}$$

$$(2) \ D_4(2k + 1, 2l + 1, 2m + 1, 2n + 1) \in \begin{cases} 2^4\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}, & k + m \not\equiv l + n \pmod{2}, \\ 2^7\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}, & (k + m)(l + n) \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \\ 2^9\mathbb{Z}, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

$$(3) \ D_4(2k, 2l + 1, 2m, 2n + 1) \in \begin{cases} 2^5\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}, & k - m \equiv l - n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, \\ 2^6\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}, & k \equiv m \pmod{2} \text{ and } (2k + 2l + 1)(2m + 2n + 1) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 2^7\mathbb{Z}, & \text{otherwise}; \end{cases}$$

$$(4) \ D_4(2k, 2l, 2m + 1, 2n + 1) \in \begin{cases} 2^4\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}, & (2k + 2m + 1)(2l + 2n + 1) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}, \\ 2^5\mathbb{Z}, & (2k + 2m + 1)(2l + 2n + 1) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}. \end{cases}$$

**Lemma 4.5.** *Suppose that  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (0, 0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$  and  $(x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  hold. Then the following hold:*

$$(1) \ \text{If } x_0 \equiv x_1 \pmod{4}, \text{ then } (x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2 \in \{2(8k - 3) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\};$$

$$(2) \ \text{If } x_0 \not\equiv x_1 \pmod{4}, \text{ then } (x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2 \in \{2(8k + 1) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

*Proof.* We prove (1). If  $x_0 \equiv x_1 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}(x_0 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3) &= (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0x_3 - 2x_2x_1 \\ &\equiv (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0(x_3 + x_2) \\ &\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2 \equiv -6 \pmod{16}$  holds. We prove (2). If  $x_0 \not\equiv x_1 \pmod{4}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}(x_0 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3) &= (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0x_3 - 2x_2x_1 \\ &\equiv (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0x_3 - 2x_2(x_0 + 2) \\ &\equiv (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0(x_3 + x_2) - 4x_2 \\ &\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2 \equiv 2 \pmod{16}$  holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Suppose that  $(x_0 + x_2)^2 - (x_1 + x_3)^2$  has no prime factor of the form  $8k \pm 3$ . Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If  $x_0 + x_2 \equiv \pm 3, x_1 + x_3 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ , then  $(x_0 + x_2)^2 - (x_1 + x_3)^2 \in \{8(8k + 1) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ ;*
- (2) *If  $x_0 + x_2 \equiv \pm 1, x_1 + x_3 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ , then  $(x_0 + x_2)^2 - (x_1 + x_3)^2 \in \{8(8k - 1) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .*

*Proof.* We prove (1). First, we consider the case of  $(x_0 + x_2, x_1 + x_3) \equiv (3, 1) \pmod{8}$ . Then  $(x_0 + x_2, x_1 + x_3) \equiv (3, 1), (3, -7), (-5, 1)$  or  $(-5, -7) \pmod{16}$ . From

$$\begin{aligned}(16l + 3)^2 - (16m + 1)^2 &= (16l + 3 + 16m + 1)(16l + 3 - 16m - 1) \\ &= 8(4l + 4m + 1)(8l - 8m + 1), \\ (16l + 3)^2 - (16m - 7)^2 &= (16l + 3 + 16m - 7)(16l + 3 - 16m + 7) \\ &= 8(4l + 4m - 1)(8l - 8m + 5), \\ (16l - 5)^2 - (16m + 1)^2 &= (16l - 5 + 16m + 1)(16l - 5 - 16m - 1) \\ &= 8(4l + 4m - 1)(8l - 8m - 3), \\ (16l - 5)^2 - (16m - 7)^2 &= (16l - 5 + 16m - 7)(16l - 5 - 16m + 7) \\ &= 8(4l + 4m - 3)(8l - 8m + 1),\end{aligned}$$

we find that if  $(x_0 + x_2, x_1 + x_3) \equiv (3, -7)$  or  $(-5, 1) \pmod{16}$ , then  $(x_0 + x_2)^2 - (x_1 + x_3)^2$  has at least one prime factor of the form  $8k \pm 3$ . Also, if  $(x_0 + x_2, x_1 + x_3) \equiv (3, 1)$  or  $(-5, -7) \pmod{16}$ , then  $(x_0 + x_2)^2 - (x_1 + x_3)^2$  is of the form  $8(8k + 1)$  or has at least one prime factor of the form  $8k \pm 3$ . In the same way, we can prove for the cases  $(x_0 + x_2, x_1 + x_3) \equiv (3, -1), (-3, 1)$  and  $(-3, -1) \pmod{8}$ . Replacing  $(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3)$  with  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_0)$  in (1), we obtain (2).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.7.** *Suppose that  $(x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2$  has no prime factor of the form  $8k \pm 3$  and  $x_0 - x_2 \equiv \pm 3, x_1 - x_3 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$  hold. Then  $(x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2$  has at least one prime factor of the form  $p = a^2 + b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  with  $a + b \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ .*

*Proof.* From the assumption, there exist primes  $p_i \equiv 1$ ,  $q_i \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$  and integers  $k_i, l_i \geq 0$  satisfying  $(x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2 = 2p_1^{k_1} \cdots p_r^{k_r} q_1^{2l_1} \cdots q_s^{2l_s}$ . We prove by contradiction. If  $p_i = a_i^2 + b_i^2$  with  $a_i + b_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , then  $x_0 - x_2, x_1 - x_3 \in \{8k \pm 1 \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  hold from [13, Lemma 4.8]. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.8.** *Suppose that  $b_0 + b_2 \equiv b_1 + b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \in 2^{16}\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$ . Then we have  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \in \{2^{16}(4m + 1) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .*

*Proof.* From Remarks 2.2 and 2.4 (1) and Lemma 4.4, either one of the following cases holds:

- (i)  $b_0 \equiv b_1 \equiv b_2 \equiv b_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $D_4(\mathbf{b}), D_4(\mathbf{c}), D_4(\mathbf{d}), D_4(\mathbf{e}) \in 2^4\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$ ;
- (ii)  $b_0 \equiv b_1 \equiv b_2 \equiv b_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and  $D_4(\mathbf{b}), D_4(\mathbf{c}), D_4(\mathbf{d}), D_4(\mathbf{e}) \in 2^4\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$ .

First, we consider the case (i). From Remark 2.4, there exist  $k_i, l_i, m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b} &= (2k_0, 2l_0, 2m_0, 2n_0), & \mathbf{c} &= (2k_1, 2l_1, 2m_1, 2n_1), \\ \mathbf{d} &= (2k_2, 2l_2, 2m_2, 2n_2), & \mathbf{e} &= (2k_3, 2l_3, 2m_3, 2n_3) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\sum_{i=0}^3 k_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^3 l_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^3 m_i \equiv \sum_{i=0}^3 n_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Since  $k_i + m_i \not\equiv l_i + n_i \pmod{2}$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq 3$  from Lemma 4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-4}D_4(2k_i, 2l_i, 2m_i, 2n_i) &= \{(k_i + m_i)^2 - (l_i + n_i)^2\} \{(k_i - m_i)^2 + (l_i - n_i)^2\} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{l_i + n_i} \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{-16}D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) &= 2^{-16} \prod_{i=0}^3 D_4(2k_i, 2l_i, 2m_i, 2n_i) \\ &\equiv \prod_{i=0}^3 (-1)^{l_i + n_i} \\ &\equiv (-1)^{l_0 + l_1 + l_2 + l_3} \cdot (-1)^{n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + n_3} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{4}. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, we can prove for the case (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $b_0 + b_2 \equiv b_1 + b_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . If  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \in \{2^{16}m \mid m \equiv -1 \pmod{8}\}$ , then  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \in \{2^{16}m \mid m \in A \cup B\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) = D_4(\mathbf{b})D_4(\mathbf{c})D_4(\mathbf{d})D_4(\mathbf{e}) = 2^{16}m$  with  $m \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$ . Then, from Remarks 2.2 and 2.4 (1), we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathbf{b} \equiv \mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{d} \equiv \mathbf{e} \equiv$

$(0, 0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$ . We prove that if  $m \notin A$ , then  $m \in B$ . Suppose that  $m \notin A$  and let

$$\begin{aligned} Q &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (0, 0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}, \\ &\quad (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}, x_0 \not\equiv x_1 \pmod{4}\}, \\ Q_1 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Q \mid x_0 + x_2 \equiv \pm 3, x_1 + x_3 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}\}, \\ Q_2 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Q \mid x_0 + x_2 \equiv \pm 1, x_1 + x_3 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}\}, \\ Q'_1 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Q_1 \mid x_0 \equiv 0, x_1 \equiv 2 \pmod{4}\}, \\ Q'_2 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Q_2 \mid x_0 \equiv 2, x_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $D_4(\mathbf{b})D_4(\mathbf{c})D_4(\mathbf{d})D_4(\mathbf{e})$  has no prime factor of the form  $8k \pm 3$ . Thus, from Lemmas 4.4 (4) and 4.5, we have  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e} \in Q$ . Moreover, from  $m \equiv -1 \pmod{8}$  and Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6, either one of the following cases holds:

- (i) One of  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}$  is an element of  $Q_1$  and the other three are elements of  $Q_2$ ;
- (ii) One of  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}$  is an element of  $Q_2$  and the other three are elements of  $Q_1$ .

Since  $b_0 + c_0 + d_0 + e_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  from Remark 2.4 (2), we find that in both cases (i) and (ii), at least one of  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}$  is an element of  $Q'_1 \cup Q'_2$ . On the other hand, for any  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Q'_1$ , we have  $-x_0 + x_2 \equiv x_0 + x_2 \equiv \pm 3$ ,  $-x_1 + x_3 \equiv x_1 + x_3 - 4 \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ . Thus, it follows from Lemma 4.7 that for any  $\mathbf{x} \in Q'_1$ , if  $D_4(\mathbf{x})$  has no prime factor of the form  $8k \pm 3$ , then  $D_4(\mathbf{x})$  has at least one prime factor of the form  $p = a^2 + b^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$  with  $a + b \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$ . In the same way, we can obtain the same conclusion for any  $\mathbf{x} \in Q'_2$ . From the above, we have  $m \in B$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 4.2.* Suppose that  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) = D_4(\mathbf{b})D_4(\mathbf{c})D_4(\mathbf{d})D_4(\mathbf{e}) \in 2^{16}\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$ . From Lemma 2.5, we have  $D_4(\mathbf{b}) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . From this and Corollary 2.1,  $b_0 + b_2 \equiv b_1 + b_3 \pmod{2}$  holds. Therefore, from Lemmas 4.8 and 4.9, we have

$$D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) \in \{2^{16}(4m + 1), 2^{16}(8m + 3), 2^{16}m' \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}, m' \in A \cup B\}.$$

$\square$

To prove Lemma 4.3, we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Suppose that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (0, 0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$ ,  $(x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  and  $x_0 \not\equiv x_1 \pmod{4}$ . Then  $D_4(\mathbf{x})$  has at least one prime factor of the form  $8k - 3$ .*

*Proof.* From

$$\begin{aligned} (x_0 - x_2)(x_1 - x_3) &= (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0x_3 - 2x_2x_1 \\ &\equiv (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0x_3 - 2x_2(x_0 + 2) \\ &\equiv (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) - 2x_0(x_3 + x_2) - 4x_2 \\ &\equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}, \end{aligned}$$

we have  $(x_0 - x_2)^2 + (x_1 - x_3)^2 \equiv -6 \pmod{16}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$



*Proof of Lemma 4.3.* Suppose that  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) = D_4(\mathbf{b})D_4(\mathbf{c})D_4(\mathbf{d})D_4(\mathbf{e}) \in 2^{17}\mathbb{Z}_{\text{odd}}$ . From Lemma 2.5, we have  $D_4(\mathbf{b}) \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ . From this and Corollary 2.1,  $b_0 + b_2 \equiv b_1 + b_3 \pmod{2}$  holds. Therefore, from Remarks 2.2 and 2.4 (1) and Lemma 4.4, we have  $b_0 + b_2 \equiv b_1 + b_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathbf{b} \equiv \mathbf{c} \equiv \mathbf{d} \equiv \mathbf{e} \equiv (0, 0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q_3 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (0, 0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}, \\ &\quad (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}\}, \\ Q_4 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^4 \mid (x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \equiv (0, 0, 1, 1) \pmod{2}, \\ &\quad (x_0 + x_2)(x_1 + x_3) \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}\}, \\ Q'_3 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Q_3 \mid x_0 \equiv x_1 \pmod{4}\}, \\ Q'_4 &:= \{(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) \in Q_4 \mid x_0 \not\equiv x_1 \pmod{4}\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 4.4, three of  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}$  are elements of  $Q_3$  and the other one is an element of  $Q_4$ . Moreover, since  $(b_0 - b_1) + (c_0 - c_1) + (d_0 - d_1) + (e_0 - e_1) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  from Remark 2.4 (2), we find that at least one of  $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e}$  is an element of  $Q'_3 \cup Q'_4$ . On the other hand, it follows from Lemmas 4.5 and 4.10 that for any  $\mathbf{x} \in Q'_3 \cup Q'_4$ ,  $D_4(\mathbf{x})$  has at least one prime of the form  $8k - 3$ . From the above, there exist  $p \in P$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  satisfying  $D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\mathbf{a}) = 2^{17}p(2m + 1)$ .  $\square$

## 5 Possible numbers

In this section, we determine all possible numbers. Lemmas 3.1 and 4.1–4.3 imply that  $S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$  does not include every integer that is not mentioned in the following Lemmas 5.1–5.3.

**Lemma 5.1.** *For any  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the following are elements of  $S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$ :*

- (1)  $16m + 1$ ;
- (2)  $2^{16}(4m + 1)$ ;
- (3)  $2^{16}(4m + 1)(8n + 3)$ ;
- (4)  $2^{18}(2m + 1)$ ;
- (5)  $2^{18}(2m)$ .

**Lemma 5.2.** *For any  $p \in P'$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $2^{16}p(4m - 1) \in S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$ .*

**Lemma 5.3.** *For any  $p \in P$  and  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $2^{17}p(2m + 1) \in S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$ .*

**Remark 5.4.** *From Lemma 5.1 (3), we have  $2^{16}m \in S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$  for any  $m \in A$ . Also, from Lemma 5.2, we have  $2^{16}m \in S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$  for any  $m \in B$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 5.1.* We obtain (1) from

$$\begin{aligned} D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(m+1, m, \dots, m) &= D_4(4m+1, 4m, 4m, 4m)D_4(1, 0, 0, 0)^3 \\ &= (8m+1)^2 - (8m)^2 \\ &= 16m+1. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (2) from

$$\begin{aligned} D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(m+1, m+1, m+2, m, \dots, m) &= D_4(4m+1, 4m+1, 4m+2, 4m)D_4(1, 1, 2, 0)^3 \\ &= 2 \{(8m+3)^2 - (8m+1)^2\} \cdot (2^4)^3 \\ &= 2^{13}(32m+8) \\ &= 2^{16}(4m+1). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (3) from

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(\overbrace{m+n+1, \dots, m+n+1}^4, \overbrace{m-n, \dots, m-n}^4, \\ &\quad m+n+1, m+n, m+n+1, m+n-1, m-n-1, m-n, m-n, m-n) \\ &= D_4(4m+1, 4m+1, 4m+2, 4m)D_4(4n+3, 4n+1, 4n+2, 4n)D_4(1, 1, 0, 2)D_4(-1, 1, 0, 2) \\ &= 2 \{(8m+3)^2 - (8m+1)^2\} \cdot 2 \{(8n+5)^2 - (8n+1)^2\} \cdot (-2^4) \cdot (-2^4) \\ &= 2^{10}(32m+8)(64n+24) \\ &= 2^{16}(4m+1)(8n+3). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (4) from

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(m+2, m, m+2, m+1, m, m, m, m, m+1, m+1, m, m+1, m, m, m, m) \\ &= D_4(4m+3, 4m+1, 4m+2, 4m+2)D_4(3, 1, 2, 2)D_4(1, -1, 2, 0)^2 \\ &= 2 \{(8m+5)^2 - (8m+3)^2\} \cdot 2^5 \cdot (2^4)^2 \\ &= 2^{14}(32m+16) \\ &= 2^{18}(2m+1). \end{aligned}$$

We obtain (5) from

$$\begin{aligned} &D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(m+1, m, m+1, m, m, m-1, m, m, m, m+1, m, m+1, m, m-1, m-1, m-1) \\ &= D_4(4m+1, 4m-1, 4m, 4m)D_4(1, 3, 2, 2)D_4(1, -1, 2, 0)D_4(1, -1, 0, -2) \\ &= 2 \{(8m+1)^2 - (8m-1)^2\} \cdot (-2^5) \cdot 2^4 \cdot (-2^4) \\ &= 2^{14}(32m) \\ &= 2^{18}(2m). \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Lemma 5.2.* For any  $p \in P'$ , there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r \not\equiv s \pmod{2}$  satisfying  $p = (4r)^2 + (4s + 1)^2$ . Let  $k := \frac{r+s+1}{2}$  and  $l := \frac{r-s-1}{2}$ . Then we have

$$2p = (4r + 4s + 1)^2 + (4r - 4s - 1)^2 = (8k - 3)^2 + (8l + 3)^2.$$

Therefore, from

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(k - m, l - m + 1, -k - m + 1, -l - m, k + m, l + m + 1, -k + m + 1, -l + m, \\ & \quad k - m, l - m + 1, -k - m + 1, -l - m, k + m - 1, l + m, -k + m - 1, -l + m) \\ & = D_4(4k - 1, 4l + 3, 2 - 4k, -4l)D_4(1 - 4m, 1 - 4m, 2 - 4m, -4m) \\ & \quad \times D_4(1, 1, 2, 0)D_4(-1, -1, -2, 0) \\ & = -2^3 \{(8k - 3)^2 + (8l + 3)^2\} \cdot 2 \{(3 - 8m)^2 - (1 - 8m)^2\} \cdot 2^4 \cdot 2^4 \\ & = -2^{12} \{(8k - 3)^2 + (8l + 3)^2\} (-32m + 8) \\ & = 2^{15} \{(8k - 3)^2 + (8l + 3)^2\} (4m - 1), \end{aligned}$$

we have  $2^{16}p(4m - 1) \in S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 5.3.* For any  $p \in P$ , there exist  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $r \equiv s \pmod{2}$  satisfying  $p = (4r + 2)^2 + (4s + 1)^2$ . Let  $k := \frac{r+s}{2}$  and  $l := \frac{r-s}{2}$ . Then we have

$$2p = (4r + 4s + 3)^2 + (4r - 4s + 1)^2 = (8k + 3)^2 + (8l + 1)^2.$$

Therefore, from

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{4 \times 2 \times 2}(m + l + 1, m + k + 1, m - l + 1, m - k, m + l + 1, m + k + 1, m - l + 1, m - k, \\ & \quad m + l + 1, m + k + 1, m - l + 1, m - k, m + l, m + k, m - l - 1, m - k) \\ & = D_4(4m + 4l + 3, 4m + 4k + 3, 4m - 4l + 2, 4m - 4k)D_4(1, 1, 2, 0)^2D_4(-1, -1, -2, 0) \\ & = \{(8m + 5)^2 - (8m + 3)^2\} \{(8l + 1)^2 + (8k + 3)^2\} \cdot (2^4)^2 \cdot 2^4 \\ & = 2^{12}(32m + 16) \{(8k + 3)^2 + (8l + 1)^2\} \\ & = 2^{16} \{(8k + 3)^2 + (8l + 1)^2\} (2m + 1), \end{aligned}$$

we have  $2^{17}p(2m + 1) \in S(C_4 \times C_2^2)$ .  $\square$

From Lemmas 3.1, 4.1–4.3 and 5.1–5.3, Theorem 1.1 is proved.

## References

- [1] Ton Boerkoel and Christopher Pinner. Minimal group determinants and the Lind-Lehmer problem for dihedral groups. *Acta Arith.*, 186(4):377–395, 2018.
- [2] Norbert Kaiblinger. Progress on Olga Taussky-Todd’s circulant problem. *Ramanujan J.*, 28(1):45–60, 2012.
- [3] H. Turner Laquer. Values of circulants with integer entries. In *A collection of manuscripts related to the Fibonacci sequence*, pages 212–217. Fibonacci Assoc., Santa Clara, Calif., 1980.
- [4] Michael J. Mossinghoff and Christopher Pinner. Prime power order circulant determinants, 2022. arXiv:2205.12439v2 [math.NT].
- [5] Morris Newman. Determinants of circulants of prime power order. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 9(3):187–191, 1980.
- [6] Morris Newman. On a problem suggested by Olga Taussky-Todd. *Illinois J. Math.*, 24(1):156–158, 1980.
- [7] Bishnu Paudel and Chris Pinner. Integer circulant determinants of order 15. *Integers*, 22:Paper No. A4, 21, 2022.
- [8] Christopher Pinner. The integer group determinants for the symmetric group of degree four. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, 49(4):1293–1305, 2019.
- [9] Christopher Pinner and Christopher Smyth. Integer group determinants for small groups. *Ramanujan J.*, 51(2):421–453, 2020.
- [10] Olga Taussky Todd. Integral group matrices. In *Notices of the American Mathematical Society*, volume 24, United States of America, April 1977. American Mathematical Society. Abstract no. 746-A15, 746th Meeting, Hayward, California, Apr. 22–23, 1977.
- [11] Naoya Yamaguchi and Yuka Yamaguchi. Generalized Dedekind’s theorem and its application to integer group determinants, 2022. arXiv:2203.14420v2 [math.RT].
- [12] Naoya Yamaguchi and Yuka Yamaguchi. Remark on Laquer’s theorem for circulant determinants. *International Journal of Group Theory*, 12(4):265–269, 2023.
- [13] Yuka Yamaguchi and Naoya Yamaguchi. Integer circulant determinants of order 16. *The Ramanujan Journal*, 2022.
- [14] Yuka Yamaguchi and Naoya Yamaguchi. Integer group determinants for  $C_2^4$ , 2022. arXiv:2209.12446v2 [math.NT].
- [15] Yuka Yamaguchi and Naoya Yamaguchi. Integer group determinants for  $C_4^2$ , 2022. arXiv:2211.01597v1 [math.NT].

Faculty of Education, University of Miyazaki, 1-1 Gakuen Kibanadai-nishi, Miyazaki  
889-2192, Japan

*Email address*, Yuka Yamaguchi: y-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp

*Email address*, Naoya Yamaguchi: n-yamaguchi@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp