

BINARY THETA FUNCTIONS AND BORCHERDS PRODUCTS

MARKUS SCHWAGENSCHIEDT AND BRANDON WILLIAMS

ABSTRACT. We obtain infinite product expansions in the sense of Borcherds for theta functions associated with certain positive-definite binary quadratic and binary hermitian forms. Among other things, we show that every weight 1 binary theta function is a Borcherds product. In particular, binary theta functions have zeros only at quadratic irrationalities.

1. INTRODUCTION

Infinite products appear in many places in number theory and combinatorics, for instance in the Euler product of the Riemann zeta function, the Jacobi triple product, or the generating series for the partition function. A prominent example from the theory of modular forms is Ramanujan's Δ -function

$$\Delta(\tau) = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} \quad (q = e^{2\pi i \tau}),$$

which defines a cusp form of weight 12 for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The modularity of this and many similar infinite products can be systematically explained (and vastly generalized) using Borcherds' theory of automorphic products [1, 2]. In the simplest case, this theory associates to a weakly holomorphic modular form $f = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_f(n) q^n$ of weight $1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4)$ (with integral coefficients $c_f(n)$ satisfying the Kohnen plus space condition $c_f(n) = 0$ unless $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$) the infinite product

$$(1.1) \quad \Psi_f(\tau) = q^{\varrho_f} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{c_f(n^2)},$$

where $\varrho_f \in \mathbb{Q}$ is the so-called Weyl vector, which can also be computed from f . The infinite product converges for $\mathrm{Im}(\tau)$ large enough and extends to a meromorphic modular form of weight $c_f(0)$ for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with some character. Moreover, the roots and poles of $\Psi_f(\tau)$ lie at certain imaginary quadratic irrationalities which are determined by the principal part of f . For example, $\Delta(\tau)$ is the Borcherds product associated to the Jacobi theta function $12\theta(\tau) = 12 \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$, and the fact that $12\theta(\tau)$ has vanishing principal part reflects the fact that $\Delta(\tau)$ does not have any roots (or poles) on the complex upper half-plane \mathcal{H} . Similarly, the modular j -invariant and the Eisenstein series E_k for $k = 4, 6, 8, 10, 14$ are Borcherds products, see [1].

More generally, the multiplicative Borcherds lift is a construction of automorphic products for orthogonal groups of signature $(2, n)$ for any $n \geq 1$, see [2, Theorem 13.3]. As special cases, this includes elliptic modular forms for congruence subgroups, Hilbert modular forms over real quadratic fields, and Siegel modular forms of genus 2.

Date: November 29, 2022.

In the present note, we obtain infinite product expansions for the holomorphic theta functions associated with certain positive definite, integral binary quadratic and binary hermitian forms. We will discuss weight 1 theta functions associated with integral binary quadratic forms, weight 2 theta functions associated with binary hermitian forms over the Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z}[i]$, and weight 4 theta functions associated with binary hermitian forms over the Lipschitz integers $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$ (a subring of the quaternions \mathbb{H}).

We illustrate our results in the case of binary quadratic forms in the introduction. Let

$$A(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z},$$

be a positive definite, integral binary quadratic form of discriminant $D = b^2 - 4ac < 0$, and let

$$\vartheta_A(\tau) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{A(m, n)}$$

be the corresponding binary theta function. It is a modular form of weight 1 for $\Gamma_0(|D|)$ and character $\chi_D = \left(\frac{D}{\cdot}\right)$, and it is an eigenform of the Fricke involution with eigenvalue $-i$ (compare [15, § 4.9]), i.e.

$$\vartheta_A\left(-\frac{1}{|D|\tau}\right) = -i\tau\sqrt{|D|} \cdot \vartheta_A(\tau).$$

Theorem 1.1. *For $\text{Im}(\tau)$ large enough we have the product expansion*

$$(1.2) \quad \vartheta_A(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n}\right)^{d_A(n)},$$

with

$$d_A(n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c = n}} (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} c_{1/\theta}(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2) \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Here we wrote $1/\theta(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{1/\theta}(n)q^n = 1 - 2q + 4q^2 - 8q^3 + \dots$ with the Jacobi theta function $\theta(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2}$.

Remark 1.2. For fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$ with $\alpha\gamma - \beta^2 \geq 0$ is finite. A simple bound is given by $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{4n}{|D|}c$, $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{4n}{|D|}a$, and $|\beta| \leq \sqrt{\alpha\gamma}$.

Example 1.3. We consider the quadratic form $A = [1, 1, 1]$ of discriminant $D = -3$ and the corresponding theta function

$$\vartheta_{[1,1,1]} = 1 + 6q + 6q^3 + 6q^4 + 12q^7 + 6q^9 + 6q^{12} + 12q^{13} + \dots \in M_1(\Gamma_0(3), \chi_{-3}).$$

Using Remark 1.2 we may compute the exponents $d_{[1,1,1]}(n)$ in Theorem 1.1, and obtain the product expansion

$$\vartheta_{[1,1,1]} = \left(\frac{1+q}{1-q}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2}\right)^{-9} \left(\frac{1+q^3}{1-q^3}\right)^{38} \left(\frac{1+q^4}{1-q^4}\right)^{-177} \left(\frac{1+q^5}{1-q^5}\right)^{867} \dots$$

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is quite short if we use some well-known results about Siegel modular forms of genus 2. The key observation, due to C.F. Hermann [9], is the fact that the binary theta function $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ is the restriction to $\{Z = \begin{pmatrix} 2a\tau & b\tau \\ b\tau & 2c\tau \end{pmatrix} : \tau \in \mathcal{H}\}$ of the genus 2 Siegel theta constant

$$\Theta(Z) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{m^2/2} r^{mn} s^{n^2/2},$$

where we wrote $Z = \begin{pmatrix} \tau & z \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}_2$ (the Siegel upper half-space of genus 2) and $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $r = e^{2\pi iz}$, $s = e^{2\pi iw}$. It is known that $\Theta(Z)$ is a weight $1/2$ Siegel modular form of genus 2, and has an infinite product expansion. More precisely, Borcherds [1, Example 5 in Section 15] showed that¹

$$\Theta(Z) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha + \gamma > 0}} \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} q^{\alpha/2} r^{\beta} s^{\gamma/2}}{1 - (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} q^{\alpha/2} r^{\beta} s^{\gamma/2}} \right)^{c_{1/\theta}(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2)}.$$

The condition $\alpha + \gamma > 0$ may be replaced by $\alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c > 0$, see [3, Lemma 3.2]. Plugging in $Z = \begin{pmatrix} 2a\tau & b\tau \\ b\tau & 2c\tau \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain the product expansion for $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ as stated in Theorem 1.1. It would be interesting to find a more direct (e.g. combinatorial) proof of the product expansion for $\vartheta_A(\tau)$, without the detour via Siegel modular forms.

By computing the Borcherds product expansion of the Siegel theta constant $\Theta(Z)$ at a different cusp, we obtain another product formula for $\vartheta_A(\tau)$, more reminiscent of the infinite product in (1.1).

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that A has odd fundamental discriminant $D < 0$. Then the binary theta function $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ is a Borcherds product associated with a weakly holomorphic modular form $F_A = \sum_{n \gg -\infty} c_A(n)q^n$ of weight $1/2$ for $\Gamma_0(4|D|)$. In particular, for $\text{Im}(\tau)$ large enough we have an infinite product expansion*

$$\vartheta_A(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{\tilde{c}_A(n^2)},$$

where $\tilde{c}_A(n) = c_A(n)$ if $N \mid n$ and $\tilde{c}_A(n) = c_A(n)/2$ if $N \nmid n$. The coefficients $\tilde{c}_A(n)$ are integers.

Note that the Fourier expansion of F_A can be described explicitly, see Remark 1.5 below. In Theorem 5.2 we will prove a more general version of Theorem 1.4 for arbitrary discriminants D by describing the Borcherds input F_A as a vector-valued modular form for the Weil representation for the lattice $A_1(|D|) = (\mathbb{Z}, |D|x^2)$. This form is essentially constructed by “multiplying” a vector-valued version of $1/\theta$ with a certain vector-valued binary theta function.

Remark 1.5. The Fourier expansion of the Borcherds input F_A from Theorem 1.4 can be described in terms of the Fourier coefficients of the weight $-1/2$ modular forms $1/\theta$ and $\eta(2\tau)/\eta(4\tau)^2 = q^{-1/4}(1 - q^2 + q^4 - 2q^6 + \dots)$. More precisely, we have the explicit formula

$$F_A = \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{h=1}^{2|D|} \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c = h}} c(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ell) q^{4|D|\ell + h^2}$$

¹There is a sign error in [1] that we have corrected here.

where

$$c(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ell) = \begin{cases} -\frac{2}{\theta(\tau/4)} \left[\ell + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2) \right], & \alpha \text{ or } \gamma \text{ odd,} \\ \left(\frac{2}{\theta(\tau/4)} + (-1)^{\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{\gamma}{2}+1\right)} \frac{1}{\theta(\tau)} \right. \\ \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - (-1)^{\frac{\alpha\gamma}{4}} \right) \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(4\tau)^2} \right) \left[\ell + \frac{1}{4}(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2) \right], & \alpha, \gamma \text{ even.} \end{cases}$$

Here we wrote $f[\ell]$ for the coefficient at q^ℓ in a q -series f .

Example 1.6. We again consider the quadratic form $A = [1, 1, 1]$. The Borcherds input F_A from Theorem 1.4 can be computed using Remark 1.5 as

$$F_{[1,1,1]} = q^{-3} + 1 - 12q + 42q^4 - 76q^9 + 168q^{12} - 378q^{13} \\ + 690q^{16} - 897q^{21} + 1456q^{24} - 3468q^{25} + \dots \in M_{1/2}^!(12).$$

We obtain the product expansion

$$\vartheta_{[1,1,1]} = (1 - q)^{-12/2} (1 - q^2)^{42/2} (1 - q^3)^{-76} (1 - q^4)^{690/2} (1 - q^5)^{-3468/2} \dots$$

An important property of Borcherds products is the fact that their roots and poles lie at quadratic irrationalities, whose locations and orders can be read off from the principal part of the Borcherds input. However, instead of analyzing the principal part of F_A , it seems to be slightly easier to read off the roots of ϑ_A directly from the fact that ϑ_A is a restriction of the degree 2 theta constant $\Theta(Z)$, whose roots are well-known. This was carried out in detail by Hermann in [9]. To describe the roots, we let $\mathcal{Q}_{N,d,h}$ be the set of all level N CM (or Heegner) points of discriminant d and residue class h , which are defined to be the roots $\tau \in \mathcal{H}$ of quadratic polynomials $A\tau^2 + B\tau + C$ for some $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $A > 0, A \equiv 0 \pmod{N}, B \equiv h \pmod{2N}$, and $B^2 - 4AC = d < 0$.

Theorem 1.7 (Hermann [9]). *Let A be a positive definite, integral binary quadratic form of discriminant $D < 0$. Then ϑ_A has simple roots precisely at the level $|D|$ CM points in the union of the sets $\mathcal{Q}_{|D|,d,h}$ over all $0 \leq h < 2|D|$ and $D < d < 0$ of the form*

$$h = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c, \quad d = D + D(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2) + h^2,$$

for some $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\alpha\gamma - \beta^2 > 0$ and $\alpha, \gamma \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \beta$ odd.

Remark 1.8. The above description yields a simple algorithm to determine all roots of ϑ_A . First, find all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\alpha\gamma - \beta^2 > 0$ and $\alpha, \gamma \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, \beta$ odd, such that $h = \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c$ satisfies $0 \leq h < 2|D|$. By Remark 1.2, we only need to search in the range $0 < \alpha \leq 8c, 0 < \gamma \leq 8a, |\beta| < \sqrt{\alpha\gamma}$. Then, for every such tuple (α, β, γ) , check whether $d = D + D(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2) + h^2$ is negative ($d > D$ is automatically satisfied). If this is the case, then ϑ_A has simple roots at the CM points in $\mathcal{Q}_{|D|,d,h}$.

As an example, we again consider $A = [1, 1, 1]$ with discriminant $D = -3$. There are precisely four tuples $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ satisfying the above conditions, $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2, -1, 2), (2, -3, 6), (2, 1, 2), (6, -3, 2)$. For $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (2, -1, 2)$ we compute $h = 3$ and $d = -3$, and for the other three tuples we obtain $h = 5$ and $d = 13$. Hence, $\vartheta_{[1,1,1]}$ has simple roots precisely at the level 3 CM points in $\mathcal{Q}_{3,-3,3}$.

This work is organized as follows. We start with a short section on the necessary preliminaries about vector-valued modular forms for the Weil representation. In Section 3 we construct, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, an automorphic product Ψ_m on $O(2, m+2)$, which we identify in Section 4 (for $m = 1, 2, 3$) with suitable theta constants whose restrictions give binary/quaternary/octonary theta functions on \mathcal{H} . This yields a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to quaternary and octonary theta functions. In Section 5 we derive the product expansion in Theorem 1.4 and its generalization to quaternary and octonary theta functions. Finally, in Section 6 we discuss some examples of theta functions which are not Borcherds products.

Acknowledgement. The first author was supported by SNF projects 200021_185014 and PZ00P2_202210. The second author was affiliated with TU Darmstadt during part of the work on this project and received funding from the LOEWE research unit USAG (Uniformized Structures in Arithmetic and Geometry).

2. PRELIMINARIES - VECTOR-VALUED MODULAR FORMS FOR THE WEIL REPRESENTATION

Let L be an even lattice of signature (b^+, b^-) with quadratic form $Q : L \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and associated bilinear form $(x, y) = Q(x + y) - Q(x) - Q(y)$. For $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ we let $L(N)$ denote the rescaled lattice (L, NQ) .

Let L' be the dual lattice of L . The discriminant form L'/L is a finite quadratic module with the quadratic form $Q(x + L) = Q(x) \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$. Let $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ be the group algebra of L'/L , which is generated by the formal basis vector \mathbf{e}_γ for $\gamma \in L'/L$. It is equipped with a natural inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is antilinear in the second variable.

Let $\text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ be the integral metaplectic group, consisting of pairs (M, ϕ) with $M = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ holomorphic with $\phi(\tau)^2 = c\tau + d$. The Weil representation ρ_L associated with L is a unitary representation of $\text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ which is defined on the generators $T = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1\right)$ and $S = \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sqrt{\tau}\right)$ by

$$\rho_L(T) \mathbf{e}_\gamma = e(Q(\gamma)) \mathbf{e}_\gamma, \quad \rho_L(S) \mathbf{e}_\gamma = \frac{e((b^- - b^+)/8)}{\sqrt{|L'/L|}} \sum_{\beta \in L'/L} e(-\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle) \mathbf{e}_\beta,$$

where we put $e(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ for $x \in \mathbb{C}$. Note that ρ_L only depends on the discriminant form L'/L rather than on the lattice L itself.

A function $F : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ is called a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ for ρ_L if it is holomorphic on \mathcal{H} , if it is invariant under the slash operator

$$F|_{k,L}(M, \phi) = \phi^{-2k} \rho_L(M, \phi)^{-1} F(M\tau)$$

for all $(M, \phi) \in \text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and if it is meromorphic at ∞ . The latter condition means that F has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$F(\tau) = \sum_{\gamma \in L'/L} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} + Q(\gamma) \\ n \gg -\infty}} c_F(\gamma, n) q^n \mathbf{e}_\gamma$$

with coefficients $c_F(\gamma, n) \in \mathbb{C}$. We denote the space of weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight k for ρ_L by $M_{k,L}^!$.

3. A BORCHERDS PRODUCT ON $O(2, m+2)$

In this section we construct, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a Borcherds product $\Psi_m(Z)$ on $O(2, m+2)$ which corresponds to the weight $-m/2$ weakly holomorphic modular form $2^{m-1}/\theta^m$. For

$m = 1, 2, 3$ we will see later that $\Psi_m(Z)$ can be identified with a theta constant whose restrictions yield binary, quaternary, or octonary theta functions on \mathcal{H} .

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the lattice

$$K = U(4) \oplus mA_1(-1).$$

Here $U(4) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^2, Q(\alpha, \gamma) = 4\alpha\gamma)$ denotes a rescaled hyperbolic plane, and $mA_1(-1)$ denotes m copies of the unary lattice $A_1(-1) \cong (\mathbb{Z}, Q(\beta) = -\beta^2)$. Note that K has signature $(1, m+1)$ and level 4.

We will realize K as $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{Z}$ with the quadratic form

$$Q(\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma) = 4\alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2 - \dots - \beta_m^2.$$

Then its dual lattice is given by

$$(3.1) \quad K' = \{(\alpha/4, \beta_1/2, \dots, \beta_m/2, \gamma/4) : \alpha, \gamma, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In particular, we have $K'/K \cong (\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z})^2 \times (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^m$.

We also define the lattice

$$L = U \oplus K,$$

where U denotes an integral hyperbolic plane. Since U is a unimodular even lattice of signature $(1, 1)$, we see that L has signature $(2, m+2)$, level 4, and $L'/L \cong K'/K$. Therefore, we will not mention the variables of U when we work in L'/L . Moreover, note that vector-valued modular forms for the Weil representation ρ_L are the same as modular forms for ρ_K .

For the rest of this section, fix the element

$$\mu = (1/2, 1/2, \dots, 1/2, 1/2) \in K'/K \cong L'/L;$$

that is, μ represents the class in K'/K of vectors $(\alpha/4, \beta_1/2, \dots, \beta_m/2, \gamma/4) \in K'$ with $\alpha, \gamma \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and β_1, \dots, β_m all odd.

We now will construct a vector-valued modular form for the Weil representation ρ_L by averaging the scalar-valued modular form $2^{m-1}/\theta^m$.

Proposition 3.1. *Consider the following weakly holomorphic modular forms of weight $-1/2$:*

$$f = \frac{1}{\theta} = 1 - 2q + 4q^2 - 8q^3 + 14q^4 - 24q^5 + 40q^6 - 64q^7 + \dots$$

$$g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2i}} f|_{-1/2} S = f(\tau/4) = 1 - 2q^{1/4} + 4q^{1/2} - 8q^{3/4} + 14q - 24q^{5/4} + \dots$$

$$h = -2if|_{-1/2} ST^2 S = \frac{\eta(2\tau)}{\eta(4\tau)^2} = q^{-1/4}(1 - q^2 + q^4 - 2q^6 + 3q^8 - 4q^{10} + \dots)$$

Write $g^m = g_0^m + g_{1/4}^m + g_{1/2}^m + g_{3/4}^m$ where g_j^m is the subseries² of g^m consisting of terms q^n with $n \equiv j \pmod{1}$. We consider the $\mathbb{C}[L'/L]$ -valued function

$$F_m = h^m \mathbf{e}_\mu + \sum_{\lambda \in 2(L'/L)} \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda/2) 2^{m-1} f^m \mathbf{e}_\lambda - \sum_{\lambda \in L'/L} \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) 2^m g_{Q(\lambda)}^m \mathbf{e}_\lambda,$$

where we wrote $2(L'/L) = \{2\nu : \nu \in L'/L\}$ and $\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda) = (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)}$ for $\lambda \in K'$ as in (3.1). Then F_m is a weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $-m/2$ for the Weil

²Note that by g_j^m we really mean $(g^m)_j$, which is in general not the same as $(g_j)^m$ the m -th power of g_j in the splitting $g = g_0 + \dots + g_{3/4}$.

representation ρ_L . Its principal part is supported on \mathfrak{e}_μ , and is given by the principal part of h^m . Moreover, F_m has constant term $c_{F_m}(0, 0) = 2^{m-1}$ and integral Fourier coefficients.

Proof. Throughout the proof we will identify L'/L with K'/K , and write elements $\lambda \in L'/L$ in the form $\lambda = (\alpha/4, \beta_1/2, \dots, \beta_m/2, \gamma/4)$ with $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. Note that there are precisely four elements $\lambda \in 2(L'/L)$, namely those with β_1, \dots, β_m all even, and $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ both even. For each such $\lambda \in 2(L'/L)$ we consider the weakly holomorphic vector-valued modular form

$$G_\lambda = \sum_{\tilde{M} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0(4) \backslash \text{Mp}_2(\mathbb{Z})} (2^{m-1}/\theta^m)|_{-m/2} \tilde{M} \rho_L(\tilde{M})^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_\lambda \in M_{-m/2, L}^1,$$

of weight $-m/2$ for ρ_L . This scalar-to-vector-valued lift has been studied in detail in [18]. Note that, for the lift to be well-defined, it is important that $2\lambda = 0$ in L'/L and $Q(\lambda) = 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}$.

We consider the modular form

$$F_m = \sum_{\lambda \in 2(L'/L)} \text{sgn}(\lambda/2) G_\lambda \in M_{-m/2, L}^1,$$

and show that it has an expansion as stated in the proposition. Note that $\text{sgn}(\lambda/2)$ equals -1 if $\lambda = 0$ and 1 otherwise. Fix $\lambda \in 2(L'/L)$. We have $[\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(4)] = 6$, and representatives are given by

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ST^2S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad ST^j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & j \end{pmatrix} \quad (0 \leq j \leq 3).$$

Hence G_λ is given by

$$G_\lambda = 2^{m-1} f^m \mathfrak{e}_\lambda + 2^{m-1} (-2i)^{-m} h^m \rho_L(ST^2S)^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_\lambda + \sum_{j=0}^3 2^{m-1} (\sqrt{2}i)^m g^m(\tau + j) \rho_L(ST^j)^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_\lambda.$$

Now we compute the action of the Weil representation. Recall that $|L'/L| = |K'/K| = 2^{m+4}$ and L has signature $(2, m+2)$. Hence, by the definition of the Weil representation ρ_L , we have for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\rho_L(ST^j)^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_\lambda = \frac{\sqrt{i}^{-m}}{\sqrt{2^{m+4}}} \sum_{\delta \in L'/L} e((\delta, \lambda) - jQ(\delta)) \mathfrak{e}_\delta.$$

Similarly, we compute

$$\rho_L(ST^2S)^{-1} \mathfrak{e}_\lambda = \frac{i^{-m}}{2^{m+4}} \sum_{\nu \in L'/L} \sum_{\delta \in L'/L} e((\delta, \lambda + \nu) - 2Q(\delta)) \mathfrak{e}_\nu.$$

For fixed $\nu \in L'/L$ the inner sum can be computed if we write $\delta = (\alpha/4, \beta_1/2, \dots, \beta_m/2, \gamma/4)$ with $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ (and similarly for $\lambda + \nu$). The result is

$$\sum_{\delta \in L'/L} e((\delta, \lambda + \nu) - 2Q(\delta)) = \begin{cases} -2^{m+3}, & \text{if } \nu = \lambda + \mu \pmod{L}, \\ 2^{m+3}, & \text{if } \nu \in 2(L'/L) + \mu \text{ but } \nu \neq \lambda + \mu \pmod{L}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining the above formulas, it is now straightforward to check that F_m has the expansion in the proposition. The remaining claims about the principal part and the Fourier coefficients of F_m follow immediately. \square

We let $C \subset K \otimes \mathbb{R}$ be the positive cone consisting of vectors of positive norm with $\alpha > 0$, and we let $\mathcal{H}_{m+1} = (K \otimes \mathbb{R}) \oplus iC$ be the upper half-space model of the hermitian symmetric domain for $O(2, m+2)$. We will write $Z \in \mathcal{H}_{m+1}$ as $Z = (w, -z/2, \tau)$ with $w, \tau \in \mathcal{H}$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

Theorem 3.2. *For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a (meromorphic) automorphic product $\Psi_m(\tau, z, w)$ of weight 2^{m-2} on \mathcal{H}_{m+1} with expansion*

$$\Psi_m(\tau, z, w) = \prod_{\substack{\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha + \gamma > 0}} \left(\frac{1 + (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} q^{\alpha/2} r_1^{\beta_1} \dots r_m^{\beta_m} s^{\gamma/2}}{1 - (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} q^{\alpha/2} r_1^{\beta_1} \dots r_m^{\beta_m} s^{\gamma/2}} \right)^{c_{2^{m-1}/\theta^m}(\alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2 - \dots - \beta_m^2)}$$

with $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$, $r_j = e^{2\pi i z_j}$, $s = e^{2\pi i w}$. For $m \leq 8$, it is holomorphic.

Proof. We apply Borcherds' Theorem 13.3 [2] to the weakly holomorphic modular form F_m given in Proposition 3.1. Then the weight and the singularities of Ψ_m are clear from the explicit Fourier expansion of F_m .

For $Z = X + iY \in \mathcal{H}_{m+1}$ with $Q(Y) \gg 0$ large enough we have the product expansion

$$(3.2) \quad \Psi_m(Z) = \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, C) > 0}} (1 - e((\lambda, Z)))^{c_{F_m}(\lambda, Q(\lambda))}.$$

This also follows from Borcherds' Theorem 13.3 once we have shown that there is only a single Weyl chamber, namely the whole positive cone C , and the Weyl vector vanishes. To this end, it suffices to show that the set

$$K_{\mu, n} = \{\lambda \in K + \mu : Q(\lambda) = n\}$$

is empty whenever $(\eta(2\tau)/\eta(4\tau)^2)^m [n] \neq 0$. From the Fourier expansion of $\eta(2\tau)/\eta(4\tau)^2$ given in Proposition 3.1 we see that we only need to consider n of the form $n = -m/4 + 2\ell$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$. For such n , suppose that $K_{\mu, n} \neq \emptyset$, and let $\lambda \in K_{\mu, n}$. Since $\mu = (1/2, 1/2, \dots, 1/2, 1/2)$, we can write $\lambda = (\alpha/2, \beta_1/2, \dots, \beta_m/2, \gamma/2)$ with $\alpha, \gamma, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$ all odd. We find

$$-m/4 + 2\ell = n = Q(\lambda) = \alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2/4 - \dots - \beta_m^2/4.$$

Multiplying both sides by 4, reducing modulo 8, and using that $4\alpha\gamma \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, $\beta_j^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$, we obtain a contradiction. This yields (3.2).

Finally, we will simplify the product expansion in (3.2). Using the Fourier expansion of F_m from Proposition 3.1, we find that the exponents in (3.2) are given by

$$c_{F_m}(\lambda, Q(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} (-\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)2^m g_{Q(\lambda)}^m + \operatorname{sgn}(\lambda/2)2^{m-1} f^m)[Q(\lambda)], & \lambda \in 2K', \\ -\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)2^m g_{Q(\lambda)}^m [Q(\lambda)], & \lambda \notin 2K'. \end{cases}$$

Note that we have $g_{Q(\lambda)}^m [Q(\lambda)] = g^m [Q(\lambda)] = f^m [4Q(\lambda)]$. Hence, after a short computation the product in (3.2) can be written as

$$\prod_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, C) > 0}} \left(\frac{1 - e(2(\lambda, Z))}{(1 - e((\lambda, Z)))^2} \right)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)2^{m-1} f^m [4Q(\lambda)]} = \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, C) > 0}} \left(\frac{1 + e((\lambda, Z))}{1 - e((\lambda, Z))} \right)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\lambda)2^{m-1} f^m [4Q(\lambda)]}.$$

By [3, Lemma 3.2], the condition $(\lambda, C) > 0$ may be replaced by $(\lambda, v) > 0$ for any $v \in C$. For example, we may choose $v = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1) \in C$, so the condition $(\lambda, C) > 0$ becomes $\alpha + \gamma > 0$. Plugging in $(\lambda, Z) = \alpha\tau + \gamma w + \beta_1 z_1/2 + \dots + \beta_m z_m/2$ for $Z = (w, -z/2, \tau) \in \mathcal{H}_{m+1}$,

$4Q(\lambda) = \alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2 - \dots - \beta_m^2$, $\text{sgn}(\lambda) = (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)}$, and $f = 1/\theta$, we obtain the product expansion given in Theorem 3.2. \square

4. THETA CONSTANTS ON $O(2, m+2)$ AS BORCHERDS PRODUCTS

We now show that, for $m = 1, 2, 3$, the Borchers product $\Psi_m(Z)$ from Theorem 3.2 is given by a theta constant on the degree 2 Siegel, hermitian, or quaternionic upper half-space, respectively.

We let $m = 1, 2$, or 3 , and we let \mathcal{O}_m be equal to $\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[i]$, or $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k] \subset \mathbb{H}$, respectively. As before, we let \mathcal{H}_{m+1} be the upper half-space model of the hermitian symmetric domain for $O(2, m+2)$, and we denote its variables by $Z = (w, -z, \tau)$ with $\tau, w \in \mathcal{H}$ and $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$. We define the theta constant

$$\Theta_m(Z) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{O}_m} e(|\lambda|^2 \tau / 2 + \text{tr}(\lambda z \bar{\mu}) / 2 + |\mu|^2 w / 2),$$

which can be viewed as a degree 2 Siegel theta constant of weight $1/2$ if $m = 1$ (see [6, Satz I.3.2]), as a degree 2 hermitian theta constant of weight 1 if $m = 2$ (see [5, Satz III.1]), and as a degree 2 quaternionic theta constant of weight 2 if $m = 3$ (see [7, Proposition 10.4]; see also the book [11] for a comprehensive treatment of theta functions on quaternionic half-spaces). The theta constant is related to the Borchers product Ψ_m as follows.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$\Theta_1(Z) = \Psi_1(Z), \quad \Theta_2(Z) = \Psi_2(Z), \quad \Theta_3(Z)|_{z_4=0} = \Psi_3(Z).$$

Proof. For $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ this is well-known (compare [9, 10], for example), so we focus on the case $m = 3$ here. It is also possible to prove the identities for $m = 1$ and $m = 2$ using a slight variation of this argument.

Since Ψ_3 has an $O^+(L)$ -invariant divisor that does not contain the mirror of any reflections, it defines a modular form for a finite-order character χ on $O^+(L)$ which is trivial on all reflections. Let $\Gamma := \ker(\chi)$. The values of Ψ_3 at the one-dimensional cusps of Γ are Borchers products of weight two on $U \oplus U(4)$ and therefore modular forms on a subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, and to prove that they coincide with the values of $\Theta_3(Z)|_{z_4=0}$ it is enough to check that a few Fourier coefficients match. Therefore

$$F(Z) := \Theta_3(Z)|_{z_4=0} - \Psi_3(Z)$$

is a cusp form of weight two under Γ .

If m were 1 or 2 then this would immediately imply the identity, as a cusp form of singular weight is identically zero. In our case we must argue more carefully that $S_2(\Gamma) = 0$.

Consider the Fourier–Jacobi expansion,

$$F(\tau, z, w) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} f_n(\tau, z) e^{2\pi i n w},$$

and suppose N is minimal with $f_N \neq 0$. Then f_N is a Jacobi cusp form of weight two, level $\Gamma_0(4)$ and lattice index $3A_1(N)$. In view of the expansion of F about the cusps, and the fact that $\sqrt{\Delta(2\tau)} = q - 12q^3 + 54q^5 \pm \dots$ generates all cusp forms of level $\Gamma_0(4)$ as a principal ideal, we find that $f_N/\Delta(2\tau)^{N/2}$ is a weak Jacobi form of weight $2 - 6N$ and level $\Gamma_0(4)$, and therefore lies in the algebra

$$f_N(\tau, z_1, z_2, z_3) \in M_*(\Gamma_0(4))[\phi_{0,1}(\tau, z_i), \phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_i), i = 1, 2, 3],$$

where $\phi_{0,1}$ and $\phi_{-2,1}$ are the basic weak Jacobi forms defined by Eichler–Zagier [4, Theorem 9.3]. Further, since F is symmetric under the reflections that swap any variables z_i, z_j , it follows that f_N is a symmetric polynomial with respect to z_i ; this determines f_N as a linear combination

$$\frac{f_N}{\Delta(2\tau)^{N/2}} = \Psi_{-6}^N \cdot (A \cdot \Psi_{-4} + B \cdot \Psi_{-6}),$$

where

$$\Psi_{-6}(\tau, z_1, z_2, z_3) = \phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_1)\phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_2)\phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{-4}(\tau, z_1, z_2, z_3) &= \phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_1)\phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_2)\phi_{0,1}(\tau, z_3) + \phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_1)\phi_{0,1}(\tau, z_2)\phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_3) \\ &\quad + \phi_{0,1}(\tau, z_1)\phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_2)\phi_{-2,1}(\tau, z_3), \end{aligned}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{C}$, and where $B \in M_2(\Gamma_0(4))$. However, no such linear combination yields a cusp form f_N , which is a contradiction. \square

Let us now discuss a generalization of Theorem 1.1 to theta functions associated with integral binary hermitian forms over $\mathbb{Z}[i] \subset \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k] \subset \mathbb{H}$. For $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$ with $D = |b|^2 - 4ac < 0$ we consider the positive definite, binary hermitian form

$$(4.1) \quad A(x, y) = a|x|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(xb\bar{y}) + c|y|^2 \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C}).$$

By splitting $x = x_1 + ix_2$ and $y = y_1 + iy_2$ into their real and imaginary parts, we obtain the positive definite, integral quaternary quadratic form

$$A(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) = a(x_1^2 + x_2^2) + b_1(x_1y_1 + x_2y_2) + b_2(x_1y_2 - x_2y_1) + c(y_1^2 + y_2^2)$$

of discriminant D^2 and level dividing $|D|$. Hence, the corresponding quaternary theta function

$$\vartheta_A(\tau) = \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}[i]} q^{A(x, y)} = \sum_{x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{A(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2)}$$

is a modular form of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(|D|)$ with trivial nebentypus.

Similarly, for $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b = b_1 + ib_2 + b_3j + b_4k \in \mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$ with $D = |b|^2 - 4ac < 0$, equation (4.1) defines a positive definite, binary hermitian form $A(x, y)$ over the quaternions \mathbb{H} . Splitting x and y into their four components, we obtain a positive definite, octonary quadratic form and a corresponding weight 4 theta function for $\Gamma_0(|D|)$.

By evaluating the theta constant $\Theta_2(Z) = \Psi_2(Z)$ at $Z = (2a\tau, b_1\tau, b_2\tau, 2c\tau)$ (and similarly for $\Theta_3(Z)|_{z_4=0}$) we obtain the following analog of Theorem 1.1 for the above weight 2 and 4 theta functions.

Theorem 4.2. (1) *Let $A = [a, b, c]$ be a positive definite, integral binary hermitian form over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ as above. For $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$ large enough the associated weight 2 quaternary theta function ϑ_A has a product expansion as in (1.2), but with the exponents*

$$d_A(n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha\alpha + \beta_1\beta_1 + \beta_2\beta_2 + \gamma\gamma = n}} (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} c_{2/\theta^2}(\alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2).$$

(2) *Let $A = [a, b, c]$ be a positive definite, integral binary hermitian form over $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$ as above. Write $b = b_1 + b_2i + b_3j + b_4k$ and assume that $b_4 = 0$. For $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)$ large*

enough the associated weight 4 octonary theta function ϑ_A has a product expansion as in (1.2), but with the exponents

$$d_A(n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha\alpha + \beta_1 b_1 + \beta_2 b_2 + \beta_3 b_3 + \gamma c = n}} (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} c_{4/\theta^3}(\alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2 - \beta_3^2).$$

Let us look at two examples.

Example 4.3. (1) The binary hermitian form $A = [a, b, c] = [1, 1 + i, 1]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ has discriminant -2 , and the corresponding quaternary quadratic form is the D_4 root lattice, which has discriminant 4 and level 2. Therefore, the corresponding theta function is a modular form of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(2)$, and is given by the Eisenstein series $\vartheta_{D_4}(\tau) = 2E_2(2\tau) - E_2(\tau)$. From Theorem 4.2 we obtain the product expansion

$$\vartheta_{D_4}(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} \right)^{d(n)}, \quad d(n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \gamma = n}} (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} c_{2/\theta^2}(\alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2).$$

(2) The binary hermitian form $A = [a, b, c] = [1, 1 + i + j, 1]$ over $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$ has discriminant -1 , and the corresponding octonary quadratic form is the E_8 root lattice. The associated octonary theta function $\vartheta_A = \vartheta_{E_8}$ is a holomorphic modular form of weight 4 for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, it is equal to the normalized Eisenstein series E_4 . From Theorem 4.2 we obtain the product expansion

$$\vartheta_{E_8}(\tau) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1+q^n}{1-q^n} \right)^{d(n)}, \quad d(n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha + \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \beta_3 + \gamma = n}} (-1)^{(\alpha+1)(\gamma+1)} c_{4/\theta^3}(\alpha\gamma - \beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2 - \beta_3^2).$$

Although it is well-known that $\vartheta_{E_8} = E_4$ is a Borcherds product (see [1]), this particular product expansion seems to be new.

5. BINARY THETA FUNCTIONS AS BORCHERDS PRODUCTS - THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.4

We let $m = 1, 2$, or 3 , and we let $A = [a, b, c]$ be a positive definite, binary quadratic or hermitian form over \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ or $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$ (with $b_4 = 0$ in the last case) as in the last section. In particular, we have $a, c \in \mathbb{N}$ and either $b = b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b = b_1 + ib_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i]$, or $b = b_1 + b_2i + b_3j \in \mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$.

We consider the vector

$$A = (a, b_1, \dots, b_m, c) \in K, \quad Q(A) = 4ac - |b|^2 = |D|.$$

We define the positive definite rank 1 and negative definite rank $m + 1$ sublattices

$$P = \mathbb{Z}A, \quad N = A^\perp \cap K,$$

where A^\perp denotes the orthogonal complement of A in $K \otimes \mathbb{R}$. Clearly, we have $P \cong A_1(|D|)$.

Remark 5.1. For $m = 1$ the lattice $N(-1) = (N, -Q(x))$ is positive definite of rank 2, and is related to the binary quadratic form A as follows. If D is odd, then $N(-1)$ is isomorphic to the binary quadratic form $A^2(2x, 2y)$, where A^2 is the square of A with respect to Gauss composition. If D is even, then $N(-1)$ is isomorphic to $A^2(x, 2y)$. This can be checked by a direct computation after choosing a basis of $N(-1)$.

Let F_m be the weakly holomorphic modular form of weight $-m/2$ for ρ_L constructed in Proposition 3.1. Since $L'/L \cong K'/K$, we can view F_m as a modular form for ρ_K . Note that $P \oplus N$ is a sublattice of K of finite index, and we have $K' \subset (P \oplus N)'$. Hence, we may view F_m as a modular form $F_{m,P \oplus N}$ of weight $-m/2$ for $\rho_{P \oplus N}$ by setting

$$F_{m,P \oplus N,\beta} = \begin{cases} F_{m,\beta}, & \text{if } \beta \in K'/(P \oplus N), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We refer the reader to [14, Section 2.2] for more details on this construction. We have the following Borcherds product expansion for the theta function ϑ_A .

Theorem 5.2. *The binary/quaternary/octonary theta function $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ is the Borcherds product associated to the weakly holomorphic modular form*

$$(5.1) \quad F_A = \sum_{\beta \in P'/P} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in N'/N} F_{m,P \oplus N,\alpha+\beta} \Theta_{N(-1),\alpha} \right) \mathbf{e}_\beta \in M_{1/2,P}^!$$

where

$$\Theta_{N(-1)} = \sum_{\alpha \in N'/N} \Theta_{N(-1),\alpha} \mathbf{e}_\alpha = \sum_{\alpha \in N'/N} \sum_{x \in N+\alpha} q^{-Q(x)} \mathbf{e}_\alpha \in M_{(1+m)/2,N(-1)}$$

is the holomorphic vector-valued binary theta function associated to $N(-1)$. In particular, for $\text{Im}(\tau)$ large enough, the theta function $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ has the Borcherds product expansion

$$\vartheta_A(\tau) = \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^{c_A(n, n^2/4|D|)},$$

where we identified $P'/P \cong \mathbb{Z}/2|D|\mathbb{Z}$, and we wrote $F_A = \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}/2|D|\mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + h^2/4|D|} c_A(h, \ell) q^\ell \mathbf{e}_h$ for the Fourier expansion of F_A . Explicitly, we have

$$c_A(n, n^2/4|D|) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, A) = n}} c_{F_m}(\lambda, Q(\lambda)).$$

Remark 5.3. The theorem implies that the above binary/quaternary/octonary theta functions $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ have roots only at CM points in \mathcal{H} that can be described explicitly (see also [9, 10]).

In order to prove the above theorem, we first compute the Fourier expansion of the weight $1/2$ Borcherds input F_A for ϑ_A .

Lemma 5.4. *For $h \in \mathbb{Z}/2|D|\mathbb{Z}$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z} + h^2/4|D|$, the (h, ℓ) -th Fourier coefficient of F_A is given by*

$$c_A(h, \ell) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, A) = h}} c_{F_m}(\lambda, \ell + Q(\lambda) - h^2/4|D|),$$

where we have identified $P'/P \cong \mathbb{Z}/2|D|\mathbb{Z}$, and where F_m is the vector-valued modular form constructed in Proposition 3.1.

Proof. The ℓ -th coefficient of the β -component of F_A is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in N'/N \\ \alpha + \beta \in K'}} \sum_{x \in N + \alpha} c_{F_m}(\alpha + \beta, \ell + Q(x)) &= \sum_{\substack{x \in N' \\ x + \beta \in K'}} c_{F_m}(x + \beta, \ell + Q(x)) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{x \in K' - \beta \\ (x, A) = 0}} c_{F_m}(x + \beta, \ell + Q(x)). \end{aligned}$$

If we represent $\beta \in P'/P$ by $\frac{h}{2|D|}A$ with $h \in \mathbb{Z}/2|D|\mathbb{Z}$, and write $x = \lambda - \beta$ with $\lambda \in K'$, then we have $(\lambda, A) = (\beta, A) = h$ and $Q(x) = Q(\lambda) - h^2/4|D|$. This yields the stated formula. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.2. We know from Proposition 4.1 that $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ is the restriction to $Z = A\tau$ of the Borcherds product $\Psi_m(Z)$ from Theorem 3.2. By plugging in $Z = A\tau = (2a\tau, b_1\tau, \dots, b_m\tau, 2c\tau)$ in the product expansion (3.2) of $\Psi_m(Z)$, we obtain

$$\vartheta_A(\tau) = \Psi_m(A\tau) = \prod_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, A) > 0}} (1 - e((\lambda, A)\tau))^{c_{F_m}(\lambda, Q(\lambda))} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{C(n)}$$

where

$$C(n) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K' \\ (\lambda, A) = n}} c_{F_m}(\lambda, Q(\lambda)).$$

Using the Fourier expansion of F_A from Lemma 5.4, we see that $C(n) = c_A(n, n^2/4|D|)$. This yields the product expansion of ϑ_A given in Theorem 5.2 and finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 5.5. In the quaternary and octonary cases, the theta function can be written as a Kohnen–Shimura lift by a similar construction (with a similar proof). In the case $m = 2$, we define a Weil invariant (or modular form of weight 0) for ρ_L :

$$G_2 = \sum_{\lambda \in (K'/K)^+} \mathbf{e}_\lambda - \sum_{\lambda \in (K'/K)^-} \mathbf{e}_\lambda,$$

where $(K'/K)^\pm$ consists of norm-zero cosets $(\alpha/4, \beta/2, \gamma/4)$ for which $\alpha \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{4}$ or $\gamma \equiv \mp 1 \pmod{4}$ (or both). In the case $m = 3$, we define a weight $1/2$ modular form G_3 by setting

$$G_3 = \theta_{00} \cdot \left(3\mathbf{e}_0 - \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K'/K \\ Q(\lambda) = 0 \\ \lambda \neq 0}} \mathbf{e}_\lambda \right) + \theta_{10} \cdot \left(-3\mathbf{e}_\mu - \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K'/K \\ \text{ord}(\lambda) = 4 \\ Q(\lambda) = -1/4}} \mathbf{e}_\lambda + \sum_{\substack{\lambda \in K'/K \\ \text{ord}(\lambda) = 2 \\ \lambda \neq \mu \\ Q(\lambda) = -1/4}} \mathbf{e}_\lambda \right),$$

where as before $\mu = (1/2, \dots, 1/2) \in K'/K$, and where θ_{00} and θ_{10} are the thetanulls

$$\theta_{00}(\tau) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} = 1 + 2q + 2q^4 + \dots, \quad \theta_{10} = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2} = 2q^{1/4} + 2q^{9/4} + \dots$$

The (additive) theta lifts of G_2 and G_3 can be shown to equal the theta constants Θ_2 and $\Theta_3(Z)|_{z_4=0}$ by verifying that their images under the Witt operators match, as argued in

the proof of Proposition 4.1. Then we find that $\vartheta_A(\tau)$ is the Kohnen–Shimura lift of the (holomorphic) vector-valued modular form

$$G_A = \sum_{\beta \in P'/P} \left(\sum_{\alpha \in N'/N} G_{m, P \oplus N, \alpha + \beta} \Theta_{N(-1), \alpha} \right) \mathbf{e}_\beta \in M_{2m-5/2, P},$$

where $G_{m, P \oplus N, \beta}$ is defined analogously to $F_{m, P \oplus N, \beta}$.

This remark does not apply directly to binary theta functions, which have odd weight and are not Shimura lifts in any usual sense. However, it is possible to realize the squares ϑ_A^2 of binary theta series uniformly as Shimura lifts. This implies an “additive” analogue of Theorem 1.1, namely the Lambert series expansion

$$\vartheta_A(\tau)^2 = 1 + 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_A(n) \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n},$$

valid for all binary theta series, where

$$d_A(n) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha a + \beta b + \gamma c = n}} \chi(\gcd(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) c_\theta(\alpha\gamma - \beta^2)$$

for $A(x, y) = ax^2 + bxy + cy^2$, where we have written

$$\theta(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_\theta(n) q^n = 1 + 2q + 2q^4 + 2q^9 + \dots$$

and where χ is the nontrivial Dirichlet character modulo 4.

The powers ϑ_A^4 and ϑ_A^8 can also be realized uniformly as Shimura lifts, as the fourth and eighth powers of the Siegel theta constant can also be realized as additive theta lifts.

6. THETA FUNCTIONS THAT ARE NOT BORCHERDS PRODUCTS

We close with some remarks on (counter-)examples of other theta functions that are (or are not) Borcherds products.

6.1. Even theta constants. For a positive definite, integral binary quadratic form A and an even characteristic (μ, ν) (that is, $\mu, \nu \in \{0, 1\}^2$ with $\mu^t \nu$ even), the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.1 can be used to show that each of the ten even theta constants

$$\vartheta_{A, \mu, \nu}(\tau) = \sum_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{\nu_1 m + \nu_2 n} q^{A(m + \mu_1/2, n + \mu_2/2)}$$

is a Borcherds product. Indeed, $\vartheta_{A, \mu, \nu}(\tau)$ is the restriction of a degree 2 Siegel theta constant, which is known to be a Borcherds product (see e.g. [8, 9, 13, 16]). A similar result holds for the ten even Hermitian theta characteristics, as well as the ten nonzero restrictions of even quaternionic theta characteristics to the hypersurface $z_4 = 0$.

6.2. Theta functions with non-CM roots. A Borchers product has roots and poles only at CM points, but holomorphic theta functions in three or more variables often do not. For example, the theta function ϑ_Λ associated with the Leech lattice Λ has roots only at non-CM points, and hence cannot be a Borchers product. Indeed, since Λ is unimodular of rank 24 and has no 2-roots, its theta function is the unique modular form of weight 12 for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with Fourier expansion beginning $1 + O(q^2)$. Using $\Delta(\tau) = q + O(q^2)$ and $\Delta(\tau)j(\tau) = 1 + 720q + O(q^2)$, we obtain

$$\vartheta_\Lambda(\tau) = \Delta(\tau) \cdot (j(\tau) - 720).$$

It follows that $j(\tau_0) = 720$ for every zero τ_0 of ϑ_Λ .

If τ_0 were a quadratic irrational then by complex multiplication theory the order $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau_0$ has class number one. The Stark–Heegner theorem implies that τ_0 falls into one of 13 $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits at which the j -invariant takes the following values:

$$0, 12^3, -15^3, 20^3, -32^3, 2 \cdot (15)^3, 66^3, -96^3, -3 \cdot 160^3, 255^3, -960^3, -5280^3, -640320^3.$$

Since 720 does not appear in this list we have a contradiction, so τ_0 is not a CM point.

Using the same idea one can show that, among the theta functions associated to the other 23 Niemeier lattices, only the one corresponding to the root systems $3E_8$ and $D_{16} \oplus E_8$ (with theta function E_4^3) has roots only at CM points and is a Borchers product; the others have simple roots only at non-CM points.

The above argument can sometimes be applied to theta functions for $\Gamma_0(N)$, using the following idea. For a positive definite, even lattice L of even rank r and level N , the associated theta function ϑ_L is a modular form of weight $k = r/2$ for $\Gamma_0(N)$ with some nebentypus character. Hence the average

$$(6.1) \quad \prod_{M \in \Gamma_0(N) \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})} \vartheta_L|_k M$$

is a modular form of weight $[\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_0(N)] \cdot k$ for $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The Fourier expansion of $\vartheta_L|_k M$ can be computed explicitly using the theta transformation formula, see [15, §4.9]. If we can show that this average has roots at non-CM points, then the same is true for ϑ_L , so ϑ_L won't be a Borchers product.

As an example, we consider the positive definite, binary hermitian form

$$A(x, y) = 2|x|^2 + \mathrm{tr}(x\bar{y}) + 2|y|^2 \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{C})$$

over the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$. The corresponding quaternary quadratic form has level 9 and discriminant 81, so its theta function $\vartheta_A = 1 + 18q^2 + 12q^3 + 36q^5 + \dots$ is a modular form of weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(9)$. The average in (6.1) is a non-zero constant multiple of

$$\Delta(\tau)^2 \cdot j(\tau) \cdot (j(\tau) + 1167051/512).$$

Since $j(\tau)$ is an algebraic integer if τ is a CM point, the third factor has a root at a non-CM point, so ϑ_A cannot be a Borchers product. This example also shows that quaternary theta functions coming from binary hermitian forms over imaginary quadratic fields are not Borchers products in general, so the case of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ discussed above seems to be rather special.

6.3. Theta functions that are not Fricke eigenforms. Another way to show that a theta function is not a Borchers product on $\Gamma_0(N)$ is to use the fact that Borchers products are eigenforms under the Fricke involution W_N . Let L be a positive definite even lattice and let L' be its dual lattice. Then, by the theta transformation formula, if ϑ_L is an eigenform under

W_N then the theta functions of L and $L'(N)$ agree³, where $L'(N) = (L', NQ(x))$ denotes L' rescaled by N . This poses some strong restrictions on L . For instance, N must be a multiple of the level of L and the discriminant of L must be equal to $N^{r/2}$, where r is the rank of L .

For example, using this argument it is easy to see that the theta functions corresponding to the root lattices A_n ($n \geq 4$ even), D_n ($n \geq 6$ even), and E_6 cannot be Fricke eigenforms (hence not Borcherds products). Combining this with Example 1.3 and Example 4.3, we see that, among the ADE root lattices of even rank, only A_2, D_4 , and E_8 have theta functions which are Borcherds products.

6.4. Other theta functions that are Borcherds products. We have shown that every weight 1 binary theta function, and certain weight 2 and weight 4 theta functions (coming from binary hermitian forms over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[i, j, k]$) are Borcherds products. However, there are theta functions of weight two that are Borcherds products but cannot be explained by the methods of this paper. For example, consider the four-dimensional lattice with Gram matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 4 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & -1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

with discriminant 25 and level 5, such that $A \oplus A \cong A_4 \oplus A'_4(5)$. The corresponding theta function $\vartheta_A = 1 + 6q + 18q^2 + 24q^3 + 42q^4 + \dots$ has weight 2 for $\Gamma_0(5)$, and is indeed a Borcherds product, associated with the weight 1/2 Kohnen plus space form $q^{-4} + 1 - 6q + 3q^4 + 10q^5 + 14q^9 - 60q^{16} + \dots$ for $\Gamma_0(20)$. However, there is no positive definite, integral binary hermitian form over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ with discriminant -5 , so this Borcherds product is not explained by Theorem 4.2. One could also ask whether the weight 4 theta series $\vartheta_A^2 = \vartheta_{A_4} \cdot \vartheta_{A'_4(5)}$ can be constructed using a binary quaternionic form, but this is not the case: there is a single orbit of norm -5 vectors in the lattice $U + U(4) + 3A_1$, and they correspond to the lattice in the genus of $A_4 \oplus A'_4(5)$ (of size 5) with 8 roots and theta series $1 + 8q + 88q^2 + 256q^3 + 664q^4 + \dots$ which has simple zeros in the CM points of discriminant 11.

Classifying the weight 2 theta functions which are Borcherds products is an interesting open problem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Richard E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms on $O_{s+2,2}(\mathbf{R})$ and infinite products. *Invent. math.*, 120:161–213, 1995.
- [2] Richard E. Borcherds. Automorphic forms with singularities on Grassmannians. *Invent. math.*, 132:491–562, 1998.
- [3] Jan Hendrik Bruinier. *Borcherds products on $O(2, l)$ and Chern classes of Heegner divisors*, volume 1780 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [4] Martin Eichler and Don Zagier. *The theory of Jacobi forms*, volume 55 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1985.
- [5] Eberhard Freitag. *Modulformen zweiten Grades zum rationalen und Gaußschen Zahlkörper*. Sitzungsberichte der Heidelberger Akademie der Wissenschaften, 1967.
- [6] Eberhard Freitag. *Siegelsche Modulfunktionen*. Springer, 1983.
- [7] Eberhard Freitag and Carl Friedrich Hermann. Some modular varieties of low dimension. *Adv. Math.*, 152:203–287, 2000.

³In general, this does not imply that L is isomorphic to $L'(N)$. Lattices with $L \cong L'(N)$ are called N -modular, and their theta functions are eigenforms of the Fricke involution W_N , compare [17].

- [8] Valeri Gritsenko and Viacheslav Nikulin. Automorphic forms and Lorentzian Kac-Moody algebras. II. *Internat. J. Math.*, 9(2):201–275, 1998.
- [9] Carl Friedrich Hermann. Die Nullstellen der Thetareihen zu positiven, binär-quadratischen Formen. *manuscripta math.*, 74:107–115, 1992.
- [10] Carl Friedrich Hermann. The zeros of thetafunctions belonging to binary-hermitian forms over $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. *Math. Z.*, 214:397–404, 1993.
- [11] Aloys Krieg. *Modular Forms on Half-Spaces of Quaternions*, volume 1143 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1985.
- [12] Aloys Krieg. Theta series over the Hurwitz quaternions. *Int. J. Number Theory*, 6(1), 2010.
- [13] Denis Lippolt. *Thetanullwerte 2. Grades als Borchers-Produkte*. Diploma thesis, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [14] Shouhei Ma. Quasi-pullback of Borchers products. *preprint, arXiv:1801.08333*, 2018.
- [15] Toshitsune Miyake. *Modular Forms*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer, 1989.
- [16] Sebastian Opitz and Markus Schwagenscheidt. Holomorphic Borchers products of singular weight for simple lattices of arbitrary level. *Proc. AMS*, 147:4639–4653, 2019.
- [17] Heinz-Georg Quebbemann. Modular lattices in euclidean space. *J. Number Theory*, 54:190–202, 1995.
- [18] Nils Scheithauer. Some constructions of modular forms for the Weil representation of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 220:1–43, 2015.

ETH ZÜRICH MATHEMATICS DEPT., RÄMISTRASSE 101, CH-8092 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND
Email address: mschwagen@ethz.ch

LEHRSTUHL A FÜR MATHEMATIK, RWTH AACHEN UNIVERSITY, 52056 AACHEN, GERMANY
Email address: brandon.williams@matha.rwth-aachen.de