

Strong Feller semigroups and Markov processes: A counter example

Lucian Beznea ^{*} Iulian Cîmpean [†] Michael Röckner [‡]

Abstract

The aim of this note is to show, by providing an elementary way to construct counter-examples, that the strong Feller and the joint (space-time) continuity for a semigroup of Markov kernels on a Polish space are not enough to ensure the existence of an associated càdlàg Markov process on the same space. One such simple counter-example is the Brownian semigroup on \mathbb{R} restricted to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, for which it is shown that there is no associated càdlàg Markov process. Using the same idea and results from potential theory we then prove that the analogous result with càdlàg Markov process replaced by right Markov process also holds, even if one allows to change the Polish topology to another Polish topology with the same Borel σ -algebra.

Keywords: Feller semigroup; right Markov process; Brownian motion; excessive functions and measures; càdlàg Markov process.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 60J40, 60J45, 60J35, 47D07, 60J25.

1 Introduction

It seems that there is an often asked question in the field whether for a semigroup of Markov kernels $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on a Polish space E , which has the strong Feller property, i.e.

$$(1.1) \quad f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E) \implies P_t f := \int_E f(y) P_t(\cdot, dy) \in C_b(E) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

there exists a Markov process with state space E , with càdlàg sample paths such that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is its transition function, at least if, additionally,

$$(1.2) \quad [0, \infty) \times E \ni (t, x) \longmapsto P_t f(x) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous for all } f \in C_b(E).$$

Here $C_b(E)$, $\mathcal{B}_b(E)$ denote the set of all real-valued bounded continuous and Borel measurable functions on E , respectively. In this paper we prove that this is not true. More precisely, we

^{*}Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Research unit No. 2, P.O. Box 1-764, RO-014700 Bucharest, Romania (e-mail: lucian.beznea@imar.ro)

[†]Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest, 14 Academiei, 010014 Bucharest, and “Simion Stoilow” Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, 21 Calea Griviței, 010702 Bucharest, Romania (e-mail: iulian.cimpean@unibuc.ro)

[‡]Fakultät für Mathematik, Universität Bielefeld, Postfach 100 131, D-33501 Bielefeld, Germany, and Academy for Mathematics and Systems Science, CAS, Beijing (e-mail: roeckner@mathematik.uni-bielefeld.de)

present a generic method how to get counter examples easily, by restricting a càdlàg Markov process with transition semigroup $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) to a subset of its state space which is given as the complement of a closed set N , which is of measure zero with respect to its initial distribution and to every kernel $P_t(x, dy)$, $x \in E$, $t \geq 0$, but which is hit by the process in finite time with strictly positive probability. In particular, we can take this càdlàg Markov process to start with as the Brownian motion on \mathbb{R}^1 (see Example 2.2 below). We present an elementary proof for this in Section 2.1 of this paper (see Theorem 2.1 below). In Section 2.2, based on advanced results from potential theory, we prove something deeper (see Theorem 2.5), namely that there exists no Polish topology on the state space E with the same Borel σ -algebra as the initial one, with respect to which for the semigroup, constructed there, there exists a right (not necessarily càdlàg) Markov process having it as its transition semigroup.

We would like to mention that the above question, without assuming (1.2), was originally posed by Y. Le Jan which was answered negatively already in [9] (see the Example at p. 849) and Brownian motion was identified as a counter example already in [7, Corollary A.16]. One novelty of this paper is to include (1.2) and give a simpler (and more pedagogical) proof. The second is to allow (in Theorem 2.5) a change of the Polish topology.

In Section 2.3 we present an application to quasi-regular Dirichlet forms, giving examples of Dirichlet forms which are not quasi-regular, by eliminating from the space a set which is not polar.

Finally, in Section 2.4 we give an example of a Hunt process on a locally compact space, for which its transition function is not a Feller semigroup on the continuous functions vanishing at infinity. This completes the well-known result on the construction of a Hunt process having a given Feller semigroup as transition function, see e.g. Theorem 9.4 from [11], showing that its converse does not hold.

2 Main results

2.1 An elementary approach

Let us introduce the settings and notations through the following three assumptions:

- H₁. E is a Polish space (i.e. a topological space which is homeomorphic to a complete and separable metric space) endowed with its Borel σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(E)$.
- H₂. $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of Markov kernels on E such that P_t is strong Feller for each $t > 0$ and the mapping $[0, \infty) \times E \ni (t, x) \mapsto P_t f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ is jointly continuous for each $f \in C_b(E)$.
- H _{μ} . There exists a (temporally homogeneous) Markov process $X^\mu := (X_t^\mu)_{t \geq 0}$ on E with a.s. càdlàg paths, transition function $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and initial distribution μ , that is X^μ is an E -valued (adapted) stochastic process on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}^\mu)$ such that $\mathbb{P}^\mu \circ X_0^{-1} = \mu$ and

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_{t+s}) | \mathcal{F}_t] = P_s f(X_t) \quad \text{for all } s, t, \geq 0 \text{ and } f \in b\mathcal{B}(E).$$

Notice that due to the right continuity of X^μ and to the (strong) Feller property of $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$, we may (and will) assume without loss that the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is right-continuous and complete in \mathcal{F} with respect to \mathbb{P}^μ . In particular, the hitting time of a Borel subset $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ given by

$$T_A := \inf\{t > 0 : X_t \in A\}$$

is an \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time; see e.g. [2] for a short proof that avoids capacity theory.

The main result is the following. Roughly speaking, it says that if $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ admits a càdlàg Markov process, and if we remove from the state space a set N which is hit by the process, but not charged by $P_t, t > 0$, then the semigroup restricted to the remaining state space renders a new semigroup which may inherit much of the topological regularity of the unrestricted semigroup, but there is no corresponding càdlàg Markov process.

Theorem 2.1. *Let the triplet $(E, (P_t)_{t \geq 0}, X^\mu)$ be such that H_1, H_2, H_μ are fulfilled, and assume that $N \subset E$ is a closed subset such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \mu(N) = 0, \quad P_t(N, x) = 0 \text{ for all } t > 0, x \in E, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{P}^\mu(T_N < \infty) > 0.$$

Further, set

$$(2.2) \quad F := E \setminus N \quad \text{and} \quad Q_t(A, x) := P_t(A, x) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, x \in F, A \in \mathcal{B}(F),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(F)$ is the Borel σ -algebra on F .

Then the pair $(F, (Q_t)_{t \geq 0})$ satisfies H_1 and H_2 , but fails to satisfy $H_{\mu|_F}$.

Before we proceed to the proof of Theorem 2.1 let us state the following immediate consequence, which reveals that non-existence of a corresponding right-continuous Markov process can even occur even when the jointly continuous semigroup maps bounded functions to C^∞ -smooth functions.

Example 2.2. *Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the Brownian semigroup on \mathbb{R} and set*

$$\mathbb{R}_* := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_t(A, x) := P_t(A, x) \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}_*, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_*).$$

Then the pair $(\mathbb{R}_*, (Q_t)_{t \geq 0})$ satisfies H_1 and H_2 , moreover $Q_t(\mathcal{B}_b(\mathbb{R}_*)) \subset C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}_*)$, but fails to satisfy H_μ for any initial distribution μ on \mathbb{R}_* . This follows directly from Theorem 2.1 since $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the transition function of the \mathbb{R} -valued Brownian motion which is point recurrent, in particular it hits $\{0\}$ with probability 1 a.s. for all initial distributions.

Remark 2.3. *We would like to point out that Theorem 2.9 on p. 150 in [15] contradicts our result from Theorem 2.1, where the answer to the open question is even strengthened by assuming merely that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is Feller, i.e. $P_t(C_b(E)) \subset C_b(E)$ for all $t \geq 0$, because all conditions made on $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ there (see Definition 2.4 on p. 138/139 of [15]) are fulfilled e.g. by our counter Example 2.2, when reformulated in the time homogeneous case. So, probably the author just forgot a further condition ensuring the existence of the Markov process claimed in his Theorem 2.9. Such further conditions have been explored extensively and are more or less equivalent to the existence of suitable Lyapunov functions with compact sublevel sets (see [9], [10], [4], and [6]).*

Proof of Theorem 2.1. For clarity, let us organize the proof in three steps.

Step 1: H_1 holds. It follows immediately since F is an open subset of the Polish space E , hence F is also Polish.

Step 2: H_2 holds. The fact that $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of strong Feller Markov kernels on F is inherited trivially from $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ due to the fact that $P_t(E \setminus F, x) \equiv 0$ for all $t > 0, x \in E$. So, let us show that the mapping $[0, \infty) \times F \ni (t, x) \mapsto Q_t f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$ is jointly continuous for each $f \in C_b(F)$. To this end, let

$$(0, \infty) \times F \ni (t_n, x_n) \xrightarrow[n]{\quad} (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times F \quad \text{and} \quad f \in C_b(F).$$

Let d be a metric that generates the Polish topology on E , $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(x, \varepsilon) := \{y \in E : d(x, y) < \varepsilon\} \subset F$. Further, let $\tilde{f} \in \mathcal{B}_b(E)$, $f_0, f_1 \in C_b(E)$ be such that

$$\tilde{f}(y) := \begin{cases} f(y), & y \in F \\ 0, & y \in N = E \setminus F \end{cases}$$

$$f_0(y) := \begin{cases} f(y), & y \in B(x, \varepsilon/2) \\ 0, & y \in E \setminus B(x, \varepsilon) \end{cases}$$

$$f_1(y) = 0 \text{ for } y \in B(x, \varepsilon/4) \text{ and } f_1 \geq |\tilde{f} - f_0|.$$

Let $t > 0$ and $t_0 \in (0, t)$. Then for large enough n then

$$Q_{t_n} f(x_n) = P_{t_n} \tilde{f}(x_n) = P_{t_n - t_0} (P_{t_0} \tilde{f})(x_n) \xrightarrow[n]{\quad} P_t \tilde{f}(x) = Q_t f(x),$$

where the convergence is ensured by the fact that $P_{t_0} \tilde{f} \in C_b(E)$ and thus $(s, x) \mapsto P_s (P_{t_0} \tilde{f})(x)$ is jointly continuous.

Let $t = 0$. Then

$$|Q_{t_n} f(x_n) - f(x)| = |P_{t_n} \tilde{f}(x_n) - f_0(x)| \leq |P_{t_n} \tilde{f}(x_n) - P_{t_n} f_0(x_n)| + |P_{t_n} f_0(x_n) - f_0(x)|.$$

By the joint continuity of $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ it is sufficient to prove that $\lim_n |P_{t_n} \tilde{f}(x_n) - P_{t_n} f_0(x_n)| = 0$. But

$$|P_{t_n} \tilde{f}(x_n) - P_{t_n} f_0(x_n)| \leq P_{t_n} |\tilde{f} - f_0|(x_n) \leq P_{t_n} f_1(x_n) \xrightarrow[n]{\quad} f_1(x) = 0.$$

Step 3: $H_{\mu|_F}$ fails. Assume that there exists an F -valued (temporally homogeneous) Markov process $Y^{\mu|_F} := (Y_t^{\mu|_F})_{t \geq 0}$ with a.s. càdlàg paths in F , defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_t, \mathbb{Q}^{\mu|_F})$ satisfying the usual hypotheses, transition function $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and initial distribution $\mu|_F$.

Let $D([0, \infty); E)$ be the space of càdlàg functions from $[0, \infty)$ to E endowed with the (Polish) Skorohod topology. Then, we can regard the two processes X^μ and $Y^{\mu|_F}$ as random variables with values in $D([0, \infty); E)$, whose laws are denoted by $\nu_X := \mathbb{P}^\mu \circ (X^\mu)^{-1}$ and $\nu_Y := \mathbb{Q}^{\mu|_F} \circ (Y^{\mu|_F})^{-1}$, respectively. On the one hand, clearly, these two laws are uniquely

determined by their (finite) n -dimensional marginals $\nu_X^{t_1, \dots, t_n}$ and $\nu_Y^{t_1, \dots, t_n}$, respectively, for all $n \geq 1$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in [0, \infty)$. On the other hand, by a standard usage of the Markov property for X^μ and $Y^{\mu|_F}$ we get that for all bounded and measurable functions $f : E^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_X^{t_1, \dots, t_n}(f) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}^\mu} \{f(X_{t_1}^\mu, \dots, X_{t_n}^\mu)\} \\ &= \int_E f(x_1, \dots, x_n) P_{t_n}(dx_n, x_{n-1}) \dots P_{t_1}(dx_1, x) \mu(dx), \end{aligned}$$

and since $\mu(F \setminus E) = 0 = P_t(E \setminus F, x), t > 0, x \in E$, we can continue with

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_F f|_F(x_1, \dots, x_n) Q_{t_n}(dx_n, x_{n-1}) \dots Q_{t_1}(dx_1, x) \mu|_F(dx) \\ &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^{\mu|_F}} \{f(Y_{t_1}^{\mu|_F}, \dots, Y_{t_n}^{\mu|_F})\} \\ &= \nu_Y^{t_1, \dots, t_n}(f). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(2.3) \quad \nu_X = \nu_Y.$$

Also, note that by Kuratowski's theorem we have that $D([0, \infty); F)$ is a Borel subset of $D([0, \infty); E)$, and since ν_Y is supported by $D([0, \infty); F)$, by (2.3) we get

$$(2.4) \quad \nu_X(D([0, \infty); E) \setminus D([0, \infty); F)) = 0.$$

Moreover, $[T_N < \infty] \subset (X^\mu)^{-1}(D([0, \infty); E) \setminus D([0, \infty); F))$, therefore

$$\nu_X(D([0, \infty); E) \setminus D([0, \infty); F)) \geq \mathbb{P}^\mu(T_N < \infty) > 0,$$

which contradicts (2.4). □

2.2 A potential theoretic approach

The elementary idea to prove the main result of the previous section was to transfer the problem on the Skorohod space of càdlàg paths. There is another approach which we shall adopt in this subsection, based on Ray compactification methods which are developed within the potential theory of Markov processes. The result to be obtained in this subsection is in the same spirit as Theorem 2.1, however a deeper conclusion comes out. To explain this in few words before we dive into more details, recall that in the previous subsection the space E was a priori endowed with a given (Polish) topology, and Theorem 2.1 provides a way to construct strong Feller semigroups that admit no càdlàg Markov processes with respect to the given Polish topology. What we are going to show in the sequel by the potential theoretic approach is that there exists no Polish (or more general, Lusin) topology on the underlying space with respect to which the constructed semigroup admits a right (not necessarily càdlàg) Markov process.

Before we proceed, we refer the reader to check the Appendix from [7] for a quick introduction to the basic concepts from probabilistic potential theory, like *resolvent*, *right process*,

excessive functions and measures, as well as the *saturation* of a resolvent, which we shall consider here without further definitions; the above referred Appendix would be sufficient for a non-expert reader to handle the arguments presented in the sequel.

Let (E, \mathcal{B}) be a Lusin measurable space and $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ be a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on (E, \mathcal{B}) and assume that $X = (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, X_t, \theta_t, \mathbb{P}^x)$ is a (conservative, for simplicity) right Markov process with state space E having $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ as associated resolvent, that is, for all $f \in b\mathcal{B}(E)$, $x \in E$, and $q > 0$ we have

$$U_q f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} f(X_t) dt;$$

notice that E is not endowed with a specific topology, whilst the definition of a right Markov process (see [7, Appendix]) requires that there exists some Lusin topological space with Borel σ -algebra precisely \mathcal{B} , with respect to which the process has right-continuous paths a.s. It is then a consequence that a right Markov process has a.s. right-continuous paths in any Lusin topological space on E with Borel σ -algebra precisely \mathcal{B} ; see [7, Corollary 3.10].

Before we proceed, let us take a moment and explain that when a *generalized Feller property* (e.g. in the spirit of [9], page 846) holds for a resolvent \mathcal{U} , then the existence of an associated Markov process with a.s. right-continuous paths with respect to some proper Lusin topology on E will automatically imply the existence of a right (hence more regular) Markov process on E with the same resolvent. A similar result has been presented in [8], under the additional assumption that the paths are càdlàg.

Proposition 2.4. *Assume that \mathcal{B} is the Borel σ -algebra of a given Lusin topology τ on E , and \mathcal{U} is a Markovian resolvent of kernels on E with the property that there exists a vector lattice $\mathcal{C} \subset C_b(E)$ such that*

- (i) $1 \in \mathcal{C}$ and there exists a countable subset in \mathcal{C} which separates the points of E .
- (ii) We have $U_\beta f \in \mathcal{C}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\beta > 0$.

If for all $x \in E$ there exists an a.s. right-continuous temporally homogeneous Markov process $(X_t^x)_{t \geq 0}$ defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t, \mathbb{P}^x)$, with resolvent \mathcal{U} and initial distribution δ_x , then there exists a right process on X' on E which has a.s. right-continuous paths with respect to τ , sharing the same resolvent \mathcal{U} .

Proof. By dominated convergence and the right-continuity of X it follows that $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \alpha U_\alpha f = f$ point-wise on E for all $f \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence we can apply [9, Proposition 2.1] and e.g. [8, Theorem 6.15] to construct a right Markov process $X' = (\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{F}'_t, X'_t, \theta'_t, \mathbb{P}'^x)$ on a larger Lusin topological space (E', τ') such that $E \subset E'$ is $\mathcal{B}(E')$ -measurable and $\tau'|_E \subset \tau$, whose resolvent denoted by \mathcal{U}' is an extension of \mathcal{U} from E to E' , that is $(U'_\alpha f)|_E = U_\alpha(f|_E)$ for all $\alpha > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(E')$.

Let D be the countable set of dyadics in $[0, \infty)$, and denote by W^E (resp. $W^{E'}$) the space of the restrictions to D of all right-continuous paths from $[0, \infty)$ to E (resp. E'). Also, consider the product E'^D endowed with the canonical σ -algebra, and consider the laws of the two processes X^x and X'^x on E'^D

$$\nu_x := \mathbb{P}^x \circ (X^x)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \nu'_x := \mathbb{P}'^x \circ (X'^x)^{-1} \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

Now, on the one hand any distribution on E'^D is uniquely determined by its finite dimensional marginals, hence ν_x and ν'_x , by the Markov property, are uniquely determined by their one-dimensional marginals. The latter marginals are in turn uniquely determined by their Laplace transforms, more precisely by $U_\alpha(f|_E, x)$ and $U'_\alpha(f, x)$ respectively, for all bounded and τ' -continuous functions f on E' . Since for $x \in E$ we have $U_\alpha(f|_E)(x) = U'_\alpha(f)(x)$ we deduce that as laws on E'^D ,

$$\nu_x = \nu'_x \quad \text{for all } x \in E.$$

Next, by [12], Chapter IV, pages 91-92 we have that W^E is a universally measurable subset of E^D , hence of E'^D . Consequently, we can rigorously write

$$\nu'_x(W^E) = \nu_x(W^E) = 1,$$

so, under $\mathbb{P}'^x, x \in E$, the paths of X' are restrictions to D of right-continuous paths in E w.r.t. τ . Since $\tau'|_E \subset \tau$, we deduce that the entire paths of X' lie in E and are right-continuous with respect to τ . This means that the right process X' can be restricted to E and has right-continuous paths there. \square

Consider a set $N \in \mathcal{B}$ which is \mathcal{U} -negligible, that is, $U_q(1_N) \equiv 0$ for one (and therefore for all) $q > 0$. We put $F := E \setminus N$ and for each $q > 0$ we consider the restriction U'_q of U_q from E to F , $U'_q g := U_q \bar{g}|_F$ for all $g \in p\mathcal{B}|_F$, where \bar{g} is any Borel extension of g from F to E , i.e., $\bar{g} \in p\mathcal{B}$ and $\bar{g}|_F = g$. Clearly, the family of kernels $\mathcal{U}' := (U'_q)_{q>0}$ is a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on $(F, \mathcal{B}|_F)$, called the restriction of $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ from E to F .

The announced main result of this subsection is the following.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that $N \in \mathcal{B}$ is a \mathcal{U} -negligible set which is not polar and consider $\mathcal{U}' = (U'_q)_{q>0}$, the restriction of $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ from E to $F = E \setminus N$. Then there exists no right Markov process on F with resolvent $\mathcal{U}' = (U'_q)_{q>0}$.*

Proof. We argue as in [9], the Example at page 849 and the proof of [7, Corollary A.16]. Denoting by $Exc(\mathcal{U})$ the space of all σ -finite excessive measures for $\mathcal{U}_1 := (U_{\alpha+1})_{\alpha>0}$ (for details see [7, Appendix], [3], and [5]), we have the identification $Exc(\mathcal{U}_1) \equiv Exc(\mathcal{U}'_1)$ given by $\xi \in Exc(\mathcal{U}_1) \Leftrightarrow (\xi|_F \in Exc(\mathcal{U}'_1) \text{ and } \xi(N) = 0)$ and one can easily see that $\delta_x \circ U_1$ is extremal in $Exc(\mathcal{U}_1)$ (hence in $Exc(\mathcal{U}'_1)$) for all $x \in F$. Therefore, if F_1 is the saturation of F (w.r.t. \mathcal{U}'_1) then we have the embeddings $F \subset E \subset F_1$ and $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}'|_F$. If \mathcal{U}' is the resolvent of a right Markov process on F , then by [7, Theorem A.15] we get that the set $N \subset F_1 \setminus F$ is polar w.r.t. \mathcal{U} , which is a contradiction. \square

Remark 2.6. *Particular situations from Theorem 2.5 have been considered in [9], the Example at page 849, and [7], Corollary A.16.*

Let $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the transition function of the right Markov process X ,

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x f(X_t), x \in E, f \in p\mathcal{B}, t \geq 0.$$

We assume that P_t is a kernel on (E, \mathcal{B}) for all $t > 0$ and recall that the resolvent of kernels associated with $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is precisely $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$, that is

$$U_q f = \int_0^\infty e^{-qt} P_t f dt \quad \text{for all } f \in p\mathcal{B} \text{ and } q > 0.$$

Let $N \in \mathcal{B}$ be a set which is (P_t) -negligible, that is $P_t(1_N) \equiv 0$ for all $t > 0$.

Remark 2.7. *i) It is clear that a (P_t) -negligible set is also \mathcal{U} -negligible and observe that the converse of this statement is not true. Indeed, a simple counterexample is given by the uniform motion to the right on \mathbb{R} : we have $P_t f(x) = f(x+t)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0$, and $f \in p\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$. So, if we take $N = \{0\}$ then $P_t(1_N) \not\equiv 0$ for all $t > 0$ but N is \mathcal{U} -negligible.*

ii) However, Theorem 2.5 may be applied for the example in assertion i) because the \mathcal{U} -negligible set $N = \{0\}$ is not polar for the uniform motion to the right. Consequently, there is no right Markov process on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, having as associated resolvent the restriction to $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ of the resolvent of the uniform motion to the right.

As before, if $N \in \mathcal{B}$ is a (P_t) -negligible set and $F := E \setminus N$, then we may consider the restriction Q_t of each kernel P_t from E to F and the family $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a sub-Markovian semigroup of kernels on $(F, \mathcal{B}|_F)$. In addition, the resolvent of kernels associated with $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is precisely $\mathcal{U}' := (U'_q)_{q > 0}$.

Corollary 2.8. *i) Assume that the transition function $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of a right Markov process is a strong Feller semigroup on E endowed with some given Lusin topology whose Borel σ -algebra is \mathcal{B} . Let $N \in \mathcal{B}$ be a (P_t) -negligible set and $F := E \setminus N$. Consider the restriction $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ from E to F . Then $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a strong Feller semigroup on the Lusin topological space F with respect to the trace topology, and there exists no right Markov process with state space F , having $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as transition function.*

ii) Suppose in addition that E is Polish space, N is a closed set and the function $[0, \infty) \times E \ni (t, x) \mapsto P_t f(x)$ is jointly continuous for each $f \in C_b(E)$. Then $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a strong Feller semigroup on the Polish topological space F , $P_t f(x)$ is jointly continuous in (t, x) on $[0, \infty) \times F$, and there is no right Markov process with state space F , having $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as transition function.

iii) (cf. Corollary A.16. from [7]). Assume that $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is the 1-dimensional Gaussian semigroup, i.e., the transition function of the real valued Brownian motion. Consider the restriction $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ from \mathbb{R} to $\mathbb{R}_ := \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a strong Feller semigroup on the Polish topological space \mathbb{R}_* , $Q_t f(x)$ is jointly continuous in (t, x) on $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}_*$, and there is no right Markov process with state space \mathbb{R}_* , having $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ as transition semigroup. In particular, there exists no right Markov process associated with $(Q_t)_{t \geq 0}$ no matter what Lusin topology we choose on \mathbb{R}_* whose Borel σ -algebra is the same as the one generated by intervals.*

Let further $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q > 0}$ be a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on the Lusin measurable space (E, \mathcal{B}) , let $\beta > 0$ and denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_\beta)$ the set of all \mathcal{B} -measurable \mathcal{U}_β -excessive functions: $u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_\beta)$ if and only if u is a nonnegative numerical \mathcal{B} -measurable function, $qU_{\beta+q}u \leq u$ for all $q > 0$ and $\lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} qU_{\beta+q}u(x) = u(x)$ for all $x \in E$.

Assume that

$$(*) \quad \sigma(\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_\beta)) = \mathcal{B}, 1 \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_\beta), \text{ and if } u, v \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_\beta) \text{ then } \inf(u, v) \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_\beta).$$

Notice that condition $(*)$ does not depend on $\beta > 0$ and it was proven in [9], Corollary 2.3, that $(*)$ is equivalent with

$$(**) \quad \text{for some } \beta > 0 \text{ there exists a Ray cone associated with } \mathcal{U}_\beta.$$

Polar set. A subset M of E is called *polar* provided that there exists $u \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{U}_\beta)$ such that $M \subset [u = +\infty]$ and the set $[u = +\infty]$ is \mathcal{U} -negligible.

The next corollary shows that in Theorem 2.5 the hypothesis that the resolvent $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ is associated with a right process may be replaced by a weaker one, namely, by condition $(*)$ (or equivalently, by $(**)$).

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ be a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on the Lusin measurable space (E, \mathcal{B}) such that condition $(**)$ holds, let $N \in \mathcal{B}$ be a \mathcal{U} -negligible set which is not polar, and consider $\mathcal{U}' = (U'_q)_{q>0}$, the restriction of $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ from E to $F = E \setminus N$. Then there exists no right Markov process on F endowed with the Lusin topology generated by the Ray cone, with resolvent $\mathcal{U}' = (U'_q)_{q>0}$.*

2.3 Resulting examples of non-quasi-regular Dirichlet forms

Let $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ be the classical Dirichlet form associated with the one dimensional Brownian motion. So, $E = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) = H^1(\mathbb{R})$, $\mathcal{E}(u, v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u'v' dm$, m being the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R} , and let $\mathcal{U} = (U_q)_{q>0}$ be its resolvent of kernels. Let $E_o := E \setminus \{0\}$ and $m_o := m|_{E_o}$.

Recall that, according to [1] and [14] (see also [13]), the analytic property of a Dirichlet form on an L^2 -space to be quasi-regular is equivalent with the existence of an associated right Markov process. We claim that the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is not quasi-regular, regarded as a form on $L^2(E_o, m_o)$. Indeed, assume that the form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ on $L^2(E_o, m_o)$ is quasi-regular, let X^o be the associated right Markov process with state space E_o , and $\mathcal{U}^o = (U_q^o)_{q>0}$ be its resolvent of kernels. Then $[U_q f|_{E_o} \neq U_q^o(f|_{E_o})]$ is a finely open subset of E_o and it is m_o -negligible, therefore it is m_o -polar for every $f \in bp\mathcal{B}(E)$ and $q > 0$. Consequently, using a monotone class argument, there exists an m_o -inessential set $M \subset E_o$ such that $U_q^o|_{E_o \setminus M} = U_q|_{E_o \setminus M}$, $q > 0$, and $(U_q^o|_{E_o})_{q>0}$ is the resolvent family of the restriction of X^o to $E_o \setminus M$, a right Markov process on $E_o \setminus M$. On the other hand the set $M := M \cup \{0\}$ is a \mathcal{U} -negligible subset of E which is not polar and this leads to a contradiction to Theorem 2.5. We conclude that the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$ is not quasi-regular, regarded as a form on $L^2(E_o, m_o)$.

Remark 2.10. (i) *We can argue as before for general semi-Dirichlet forms instead of the classical Dirichlet form to get examples of semi-Dirichlet forms which are not quasi-regular, by eliminating a set which is not polar from the space.*

(ii) *It was proven in [16] (see also [5], the Example on page 279) that the Dirichlet form associated with the reflecting Brownian motion is not quasi-regular, considered on $[0, 1)$.*

2.4 Feller semigroups on continuous functions vanishing at infinity and Hunt processes

A classical result on the construction of Hunt Markov process is the following: If E is a locally compact space with a countable base then a sub-Markovian semigroup acting strongly-continuously on the space of continuous functions vanishing at infinity is the transition

semigroup of a Hunt process with state space E ; cf. Theorem 9.4 from [11]. In this frame such a semigroup is called Feller.

We give here a simple example showing that the transition semigroup being a Feller semigroup is not a necessary condition to get a Hunt process. Indeed, let b be a positive bounded Borelian function on E which is not continuous on E and define $P_t f := e^{-tb} f$ for all $f \in p\mathcal{B}$. Then $(P_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a semigroup of sub-Markovian kernels on E , clearly, it is not a Feller semigroup, however, it is the transition semigroup of a Hunt process $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with state space E . A rapid argumentation is as follows. Consider the trivial Markov process $X^0 = (X_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$ on E , the Markov (actually Hunt) process on E for which each point is a trap, i.e., $\mathbb{P}^x(X_t^0 = x) = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in E$, or equivalently, each kernel from its transition semigroup $(Q_t^0)_{t \geq 0}$ is the identity operator, $Q_t^0 f = f$ for every $t \geq 0$ and $f \in p\mathcal{B}$. Furthermore, consider the multiplicative functional induced by b , $M_t = e^{-\int_0^t b(X_s^0) ds}$, $t \geq 0$. Then take X to be the subprocess of X^0 , obtained by subordination with the strong multiplicative functional $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ and we have $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}^x(M_t f(X_t^0))$, $x \in E$. By Corollary 3.16, page 110 in [11], it follows that X is a Hunt process.

Acknowledgements. Funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation)-SFB 1283/2 2021-317210226. For the first and the second authors this work was supported by a grant of the Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS - UEFISCDI, project number PN-III-P4-PCE-2021-0921, within PNCDI III.

References

- [1] Albeverio, S., Ma, Z.-M., and Röckner M., Quasi-regular Dirichlet forms and Markov processes, *J. Funct. Analysis* **111** (1993), 118-154.
Author links open overlay panelAlbeverioS.MaZ.M.RocknerM.
- [2] Bass, R., The measurability of hitting times, *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **15** (2010) 99–105.
- [3] Beznea, L. and Boboc, N., *Potential Theory and Right Processes*, Springer Series, Mathematics and Its Applications (572), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [4] Beznea, L. and Boboc, N., On the tightness of capacities associated with sub-Markovian resolvents, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **37** (2005), 899–907.
- [5] Beznea, L., Boboc, N., and Röckner, M., Quasi-regular Dirichlet forms and L^p -resolvents on measurable spaces, *Potential Anal.* **25** (2006), 269–282.
- [6] Beznea, L., Boboc, N., and Röckner, M., Markov processes associated with L^p -resolvents and applications to stochastic differential equations on Hilbert space, *J. Evol. Equ.* **6** (2006), 745–772.
- [7] Beznea, L., Cîmpean, I., and Röckner, M., A natural extension of Markov processes and applications to singular SDEs, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.* Vol.56, No. 4 (2020), 2480–2506.

- [8] Beznea, L., Cîmpean, I., and Röckner, M., *Path continuity of Markov processes and locality of Kolmogorov operators*. Preprint, 2022, arXiv:2208.06036
- [9] Beznea L. and Röckner, M., From resolvents to càdlàg processes through compact excessive functions and applications to singular SDE on Hilbert spaces, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **135** (2011), 844–870.
- [10] Beznea L. and Röckner, M., Applications of compact superharmonic functions: path regularity and tightness of capacities. *Complex Anal. and Operator Th.* **5** (2011), 731–741
- [11] Blumenthal, R.M. and Gettoor, R.K., *Markov Processes and Potential Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [12] Dellacherie, C. and Meyer, P.A., *Probabilities and Potential*, Hermann, Paris 1978.
- [13] P.J. Fitzsimmons, On the quasi-regularity of semi-Dirichlet forms, *Potential Anal.* **15** (2001), 151–185.
- [14] Ma, Z.-M. and Röckner, M., *Introduction to the Theory of (Non-symmetric) Dirichlet Forms*, Springer, 1992.
- [15] van Casteren, J.A., *Markov Processes, Feller Semigroups and Evolution Equations*, World Scientific, 2011.
- [16] Röckner, M. and Schmuland, B., Quasi-regular Dirichlet forms: Examples and counterexamples. *Canad. J. Math.* **47** (1995), 165–200.