

SEMI-COMMUTANTS OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON FOCK-SOBOLEV SPACE OF NEGATIVE ORDERS

JIE QIN

ABSTRACT. We make a progress towards describing the semi-commutants of Toeplitz operators on Fock-Sobolev spaces of negative orders. We generalize the results in [2, 15]. For the certain symbol spaces, we obtain two Toeplitz operators can semi-commute only in the trivial cases, which is different from what is known for the classical Fock spaces. As an application, we consider the conjecture which was shown to be false for Fock space in [13]. The main results of this paper say that there is the fundamental difference between the geometries of Fock and Fock-Sobolev space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{C} denote the complex plane and dA be the area measure. For any fixed non-negative integer m , L_m^2 is the space of Lebesgue measurable functions f on \mathbb{C} such that the function $f(z)$ is in $L^2(\mathbb{C}, \frac{|z|^{2m}e^{-|z|^2}}{\pi m!}dA(z))$. It is well known that L_m^2 is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi m!} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} |z|^{2m} e^{-|z|^2} dA(z), \quad f, g \in L_m^2.$$

The Fock-Sobolev space $F^{2,m}$ consists of all entire functions f in L_m^2 . Obviously, the Fock-Sobolev space $F^{2,m}$ is a closed subspace of the Hilbert space L_m^2 . There is an orthogonal projection P from L_m^2 onto $F^{2,m}$, which is given by

$$Pf(z) = \frac{1}{\pi m!} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(w) K_m(z, w) |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w), \quad f \in F^{2,m},$$

where

$$K_m(z, w) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{(k+m)!} (z\bar{w})^k = \frac{e^{z\bar{w}} - q_m(z\bar{w})}{(z\bar{w})^m}$$

is the reproducing kernel of Fock-Sobolev space $F^{2,m}$. Here, $q_0 = 0$ and q_m is the Taylor polynomial of $e^{z\bar{w}}$ of order $m-1$ for all $m \geq 1$, see [9].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47B35.

Key words and phrases. Semi-commutants; Toeplitz operator; Fock-Sobolev space; Commuting problem.

Let \mathcal{D} be the set of all finite linear combinations of kernel functions. Suppose φ is a Lebesgue measurable function on \mathbb{C} that satisfies

$$(1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\varphi(w)| |K_m(z, w)| |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) < \infty.$$

So we can densely define the Toeplitz operator with the symbol φ on $F^{2,m}$ as follows:

$$T_\varphi f = P(\varphi f).$$

Let $k_{m,z}(w) = k_m(w, z)$ be the normalization of the reproducing kernel $K_m(w, z)$. If φ satisfies condition (1), the Berezin transform of T_φ is

$$\tilde{T}_\varphi(z) = \langle T_\varphi k_m(w, z), k_m(w, z) \rangle.$$

For the Fock space F^2 , there is a Weyl operator U_z on F^2 such that $U_z f(w) = f(w - z) k_{F,z}(w)$, where $k_{F,z}(w)$ is normalization of the reproducing kernel F^2 . By the property of U_z , the Berezin transform of f such that

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z \pm w) e^{-|w|^2} dA(w).$$

For two entire functions f and g , the semi-commutant of T_f and $T_{\bar{g}}$ is given by

$$(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = T_{f\bar{g}} - T_f T_{\bar{g}}.$$

Many authors have studied the semi-commutants (or commutants) on the Hardy space and Bergman space. For these studies, refer to [1, 4, 12, 17]. The problem on Fock space being still far from its solution, all the results known so far are restricted to certain subclasses of symbols; see, for example, [2, 3]. This commuting problem is left open.

Define $\epsilon(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of holomorphic functions g on \mathbb{C} such that $|g(z)|e^{-c|z|}$ is essentially bounded on \mathbb{C} for some $c > 0$. Let \mathcal{P} be the space of all holomorphic polynomials on \mathbb{C} . Define

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^N p_j K_{a_j} : p_j \in \mathcal{P}, a_j \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, N \right\}.$$

In fact, if $f, g \in \epsilon(\mathbb{C})$ and $T_f T_{\bar{g}} = T_{f\bar{g}}$ on F^2 , then $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$ by the elementary theory of the ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients. More explicitly, for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_1$, the berezin of $f\bar{g}$ must be of the form

$$\sum_{i,j} \{p_i \bar{\eta}_j \widetilde{K_{a_i} K_{b_j}}\}(z) = \sum_{i,j} e^{b_j \cdot \bar{a}_i} K_{a_i}(z) K_z(b_j) \eta_j^* (\partial_z + \bar{z} + \bar{a}_i) p(z + b_j),$$

where K_{a_j} is kernel of a_j on Fock space, see Lemma 3.3 in [2]. Based on these facts, Bauer, Choe and Koo [2] obtained

Theorem 1. [2] *Suppose $f, g \in \epsilon(\mathbb{C})$, then the following statements are equivalent on F^2 :*

(a) $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$.

(b) $\widetilde{f\bar{g}} = f\bar{g}$.

(c) *Either (1) or (2) holds;*

(1) *f or g is constant.*

(2) *There are finite collections $\{a_j\}_{j=1}^N$ and $\{b_l\}_{l=1}^M$ of distinct complex numbers such that*

$$f \in \text{Span}\{K_{a_1}, \dots, K_{a_N}\}, \quad \text{and} \quad g \in \text{Span}\{K_{b_1}, \dots, K_{b_M}\}$$

with $a_j\bar{b}_l = 2\lambda\pi i$ for each j and l . Here, λ is any integer.

The Weyl operator U_z is a key to consider the Operator Theory in F^2 , the results of [2, 13] are based on the property of U_z . However, the translations do not have such good property on Fock-Sobolev space $F^{2,m}$. Namely, the Berezin transform of the function can almost never be computed explicitly. So we know very little about the semi-commutativity of Toeplitz operator on Fock-Sobolev spaces.

So far, there are few results of semi-commuting Toeplitz operators on the Fock-Sobolev space, and the symbol space is small. Recall that \mathcal{D} is the set of all finite linear combinations of kernel functions of Fock-Sobolev space. There is a result, which can be found in our previous paper.

Theorem 2. [15] *Suppose m is positive integer, the following conditions are equivalent for any two functions f and g in \mathcal{D} .*

(a) $T_f T_{\bar{g}} = T_{f\bar{g}}$ on $F^{2,m}$.

(b) $\widetilde{f\bar{g}} = f\bar{g}$.

(c) *At least one of f and g is a constant.*

Let \mathcal{D}_1 be the set of all finite linear combinations of kernel function of Fock space. There are two natural problems, which arise from Theorem 1 and 2.

Problem A. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_1$. Determine the f and g for which the semi-commutant $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}]$ is zero on $F^{2,m}$.

Problem B. Suppose f and g are functions in \mathcal{A}_1 . What is the relationship between their symbols when $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$ on $F^{2,m}$?

If $K_m(z, A) \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A, m \neq 0$, then

$$K_m(z, A) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(z) e^{A_i z}.$$

It follows that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^N (z\bar{A})^m p_i(z) e^{A_i z} + m! q_m(z\bar{A}) - m! e^{z\bar{A}}.$$

Clearly, $\sum_j (z\bar{A})^m p_j(z) - m! \neq 0$ for $A_j = \bar{A}$. Note that $e^{A_i z}$ form a linearly independent set over the polynomials if $\{A_i\}_i$ is a finite collection of distinct non-zero complex numbers. Then $\{e^{A_1 z}, \dots, e^{A_N z}, 1\}$ is linearly independent over the polynomials. So, $\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{A}_1 = \mathbb{C}$ if $m \neq 0$.

The purpose of the current paper is to explore the two problems. In order to answer the first problem, we define the following symbol space \mathfrak{D} . The symbol space \mathfrak{D} is given by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \mathcal{D} \cup \mathcal{D}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}.$$

We obtain that there are actually only trivial functions $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_1$ such that $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$. Precisely, the first main result is stated as follows.

Theorem A. *Let m be a positive integer, and suppose $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$ on $F^{2,m}$.
- (b) $\widetilde{f\bar{g}} = f\bar{g}$.
- (c) At least one of f and g is a constant.

For $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}_1$, one can see that

$$(2) \quad f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i K_m(z, A_i), \quad g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^M b_j e^{B_j z}.$$

The main obstacle of the proof of the Theorem A is to calculate the semi-commutant, although f and g can be written in the form in (2). More specifically, the idea of the proof of the Theorem is to solve the following equations of a_k, A_k, b_j and B_j :

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M a_i \bar{b}_j \left(T_{K_m(z, A_i) e^{\bar{B}_j z}} - T_{K_m(z, A_i) T_{e^{\bar{B}_j z}}} \right) z^l = 0,$$

for any negative integer l . The main tool for showing our first result is the above infinite number of the function equations.

As we stated earlier, there are nontrivial functions f and g in \mathcal{A}_1 so that $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$ on Fock space F^2 . For $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_1$, the answer to the second question surprised us as the operator equation $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$ on $F^{2,m}$ only have trivial solutions if $m \neq 0$. The result is different from what is known for the classical Fock spaces. The following theorem is the second main result of the paper.

Theorem B. *Let m be a positive integer, and suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_1$. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$ on $F^{2,m}$.
- (b) $\widetilde{f\bar{g}} = f\bar{g}$.
- (c) At least one of f and g is a constant.

The main tool of the proof of Theorem B is the Berezin transform. In the case of $m = 0$, our proof recover the result of semi-commuting Toeplitz operators in [2].

For holomorphic functions f and g , it is clear that

$$H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}} = T_{f\bar{g}} - T_f T_{\bar{g}} = (T_f, T_{\bar{g}}].$$

In [13], the authors showed that the following conjecture is false on Fock space.

Conjecture. Given holomorphic functions f and g , the Hankel product $H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded if and only if the function

$$D(f, g)(z) = \left[\widetilde{|f|^2}(z) - |f(z)|^2 \right] \left[\widetilde{|g|^2}(z) - |\bar{g}(z)|^2 \right]$$

is bounded.

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of integer. We say that $a \in 2\mathbb{N}\pi i$ means that $a = 2n\pi i$ for any integer n . As an application, we consider the above conjecture on Fock-Sobolev space. Our result here reduces to [13].

Theorem C. *The conjecture is false on $F^{2,m}$. In fact, there are functions $f = e^{Az}$ and $g(z) = e^{Bz}$ with $AB \in 2\mathbb{N}\pi i / \{0\}$ such that $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = H_{\bar{f}}^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded while $D(f, g)$ is unbounded on \mathbb{C} .*

We remark that Theorem A and Theorem B can be extend to the Fock-Sobolev on \mathbb{C}^n . Throughout the paper, m will be a fixed nonnegative integer. We say that $a \lesssim b$ if there is a constant c independent of a and b such that $a \leq cb$, where a and b are nonnegative quantities. Similarly, we say $a \simeq b$ if $a \lesssim b$ and $b \gtrsim a$.

2. THE SYMBOL SPACE \mathfrak{D}

The problem to be studied in this section is to determine the function $f, g \in \mathfrak{D}$ for which the $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = 0$ on Fock-Sobolev spaces. We begin with the special case.

Lemma 3. *Suppose p is a holomorphic polynomial and f is a holomorphic function. Then the following statements are equivalent on $F^{2,m}$.*

- (a) $(T_f, T_{\bar{p}}] = 0$.
- (b) $\widetilde{f\bar{p}} = f\bar{p}$.
- (c) one of p and f is a constant.

Proof. The equivalence (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) holds by Theorem 2. The implication (c) \Rightarrow (a) is clear. To prove (a) \Rightarrow (c). Since $(T_f, T_{\bar{p}}] = 0$, then $T_{f\bar{p}} = T_f T_{\bar{p}}$. One can see that

$$T_{f(z)\overline{p(z)}}1 = T_{f(z)}T_{\overline{p(z)}}1 = \overline{p(0)}f(z).$$

It follows that

$$T_{\overline{p(z)}}f(z) = \overline{p(0)}f(z).$$

This shows that

$$(3) \quad T_{\overline{p(z)-\overline{p(0)}}}f(z) = 0.$$

Let

$$p(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{N_1} a_i z^i, \quad f(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j z^j,$$

where N is a finite positive integer. Now, we assume f is not a polynomial. From (3) we have

$$(4) \quad 0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \overline{a_i} \sum_{l=i}^{\infty} b_l \frac{(l+m)!}{(l-i+m)!} z^{l-i}.$$

From (4), we see that

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \overline{a_i} b_{\lambda+i} \frac{(\lambda+i+m)!}{(\lambda+m)!}$$

for all $\lambda \geq 0$. Since f is not a polynomial, then are distinct numbers

$$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{N_1}$$

so that the determinant of the matrix B is non-zero. Here

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\lambda_1+1} \frac{(\lambda_1+1+m)!}{(\lambda_1+m)!} & b_{\lambda_1+2} \frac{(\lambda_1+2+m)!}{(\lambda_1+m)!} & \cdots & b_{\lambda_1+N_1} \frac{(\lambda_1+N_1+m)!}{(\lambda_1+m)!} \\ b_{\lambda_2+1} \frac{(\lambda_2+1+m)!}{(\lambda_2+m)!} & b_{\lambda_2+2} \frac{(\lambda_2+2+m)!}{(\lambda_2+m)!} & \cdots & b_{\lambda_2+N_1} \frac{(\lambda_2+N_1+m)!}{(\lambda_2+m)!} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_{\lambda_{N_1}+1} \frac{(\lambda_{N_1}+1+m)!}{(\lambda_{N_1}+m)!} & \cdots & \cdots & b_{\lambda_{N_1}+N_1} \frac{(\lambda_{N_1}+N_1+m)!}{(\lambda_{N_1}+m)!} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This can be done, because b_{λ_k+i} is the coefficient of z^{λ_k+i} . Moreover, $BX = 0$, where $X = (a_1, \dots, a_{N_1})^T$. Since $|B| \neq 0$, then we have $a_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N_2$. This shows that p is a constant if f isn't a polynomial.

In fact, one of the p and f is a constant function if f is a polynomial by Theorem 9 in [15]. This is the desired result. \square

Example 1. First, we find λ_1 such that $b_{\lambda_1+1} \neq 0$, and then we choose λ_2 that satisfies $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$, $b_{\lambda_2+1} = 0$ and $b_{\lambda_2+2} \neq 0$. By this way, we can obtain the special upper-triangular matrix

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} b_{\lambda_1+1} \frac{(\lambda_1+1+m)!}{(\lambda_1+m)!} & b_{\lambda_1+2} \frac{(\lambda_1+2+m)!}{(\lambda_1+m)!} & \cdots & b_{\lambda_1+N_1} \frac{(\lambda_1+N_1+m)!}{(\lambda_1+m)!} \\ 0 & b_{\lambda_2+2} \frac{(\lambda_2+2+m)!}{(\lambda_2+m)!} & \cdots & b_{\lambda_2+N_1} \frac{(\lambda_2+N_1+m)!}{(\lambda_2+m)!} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & b_{\lambda_{N_1}+N_1} \frac{(\lambda_{N_1}+N_1+m)!}{(\lambda_{N_1}+m)!} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above Lemma shows the Berezin transform of a polynomial is again a polynomial. The following lemma has been considered in [15] by direct calculation. Now, we prove it by the Berezin Transform.

Lemma 4. *Suppose $f(z) = e^{Az}$ and $g(z) = e^{Bz}$, then $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ if and only if $AB = 0$.*

Proof. If $AB = 0$, then $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$. Conversely, assume $AB \neq 0$, we define

$$Q(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{m!\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} |K_m(z, w)|^2 |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q(z, \bar{z}) &= \frac{1}{m!\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} |K_m(z, w)|^2 |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &= \frac{m!}{\pi |z|^{2m}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} [e^{\bar{z}w} - q_m(\bar{z}w)][e^{\bar{w}z} - q_m(\bar{w}z)] e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &= \frac{m!}{\pi |z|^{2m}} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} \left\{ e^{\bar{z}w} e^{\bar{w}z} - q_m(\bar{w}z) e^{\bar{z}w} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - q_m(\bar{z}w) e^{\bar{w}z} + q_m(\bar{z}w) q_m(\bar{w}z) \right\} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$p(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} q_m(\bar{z}w) q_m(\bar{w}z) e^{-|w|^2} dA(w),$$

note that p is polynomial in z and \bar{z} . In fact, if $A = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{\bar{B}\bar{w}} q_m(\bar{z}w) q_m(\bar{w}z) e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{(\bar{z}w)^k \bar{B}^l z^{k-l} \bar{w}^k}{k! l!(k-l)!} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{\bar{z}^k \bar{B}^l z^{k-l}}{l!(k-l)!} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{\bar{z}^k}{k!} \sum_{l=0}^k \frac{k! \bar{B}^l z^{k-l}}{l!(k-l)!} \\ &= q_m[\bar{z}(\bar{B} + z)]. \end{aligned}$$

By a simple computation,

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} e^{\bar{z}w + \bar{w}z} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) = e^{(A+\bar{z})(\bar{B}+z)}; \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} q_m(\bar{w}z) e^{\bar{z}w} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) = e^{\bar{B}(A+\bar{z})} q_m(zA + |z|^2); \\ \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw + \bar{B}\bar{w}} q_m(\bar{z}w) e^{\bar{w}z} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) = e^{A(\bar{B}+z)} q_m(\bar{z}\bar{B} + |z|^2). \end{cases}$$

Since $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$, then $\widetilde{f\bar{g}} = f\bar{g}$. It follows that

$$(6) \quad m! \frac{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)}{|z|^{2m}} e^{Az + \bar{B}z} = Q(z, \bar{z}).$$

By (6) and Lemma 2.9 in [2],

$$m! \frac{e^{z\bar{\eta}} - q_m(z\bar{\eta})}{(z\bar{\eta})^m} = e^{-Az - \bar{B}\bar{\eta}} Q(z, \bar{\eta})$$

for all $z, \eta \in \mathbb{C}$. By (5),

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} K_m(z, \eta) &= m! \frac{e^{z\bar{\eta}} - q_m(z\bar{\eta})}{(z\bar{\eta})^m} \\ &= \frac{m!}{(z\bar{\eta})^m} \left\{ e^{A\bar{B} + z\bar{\eta}} - e^{A\bar{B} - Az} q_m(zA + z\bar{\eta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{A\bar{B} - \bar{B}\bar{\eta}} q_m(\bar{B}\bar{\eta} + z\bar{\eta}) + e^{-Az - \bar{B}\bar{\eta}} p(z, \bar{\eta}) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $e^{A\bar{B}} = 1$. It follows from (7) that

$$\begin{aligned} K_m(z, \eta) &= \frac{m!}{(z\bar{\eta})^m} \left\{ e^{z\bar{\eta}} - e^{-Az} q_m(zA + z\bar{\eta}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-\bar{B}\bar{\eta}} q_m(\bar{B}\bar{\eta} + z\bar{\eta}) + e^{-Az - \bar{B}\bar{\eta}} p(z, \bar{\eta}) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we set $A\bar{B} \neq 0$, then

$$e^{-Az} [e^{-\bar{B}\bar{\eta}} p(z, \bar{\eta}) - q_m(zA + z\bar{\eta})]$$

is not a polynomial in z . We also have

$$e^{-\bar{B}_j \bar{\eta}} [e^{-Az} p(z, \bar{\eta}) - q_m(\bar{B}\bar{\eta} + z\bar{\eta})]$$

is not a polynomial in $\bar{\eta}$. In fact, $\{e^{-Az}, 1\}$ is linearly independent over the polynomials. This implies that

$$q_m(z\bar{\eta}) \neq e^{-Az} \left[q_m(zA + z\bar{\eta}) + e^{-\bar{B}\bar{\eta}} p(z, \bar{\eta}) \right] - e^{-\bar{B}\bar{\eta}} q_m(\bar{B}\bar{\eta} + z\bar{\eta}),$$

which contradicts the form of $K_m(z, \eta)$. We thus have $A\bar{B} = 0$. This complete the proof. \square

Recall that \mathcal{D}_1 is the set of all finite linear combinations of kernel function of Fock space. We remark the $\sum_{i,j} e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j z}$ with $A_i \bar{B}_j \in 2\mathbb{N}\pi i$ is fixed points of Berezin transform on Fock spaces. However, it is false on Fock-Sobolev space.

Proposition 5. *Suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_1$ and m is a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) $\widetilde{f\bar{g}} = f\bar{g}$ on $F^{2,m}$.
- (b) $e^{\widetilde{A_i z + \overline{B_j z}}} = e^{A_i z + \overline{B_j z}}$ for each i and j .
- (c) one of f and g is a constant.

Proof. Suppose

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i e^{A_i z}, \quad g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^M b_j e^{B_j z}.$$

Now assume (a) hold. Let

$$Q_{ij}(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1}{m! \pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{A_i w + \overline{B_j w}} |K_m(z, w)|^2 |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w).$$

We define $Q_{ij}(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{m!}{|z|^{2m}} \rho_{ij}(z, \bar{z})$, then, by (6),

$$(8) \quad 0 = \sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j \left\{ [e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)] e^{A_i z + \overline{B_j z}} - \rho_{ij}(z, \bar{z}) \right\}.$$

It follows from (7) that

$$(9) \quad 0 = \sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j \left([e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)] e^{A_i z + \overline{B_j z}} - e^{(A_i + \bar{z})(\overline{B_j} + z)} + e^{\overline{B_j}(A_i + \bar{z})} q_m(z A_i + |z|^2) + e^{A_i(\overline{B_j} + z)} q_m(\bar{z} \overline{B_j} + |z|^2) - p_{ij}(z, \bar{z}) \right),$$

where each p_{ij} is a polynomial as before in Lemma 4 .

Without loss of generality, assume $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{M_1}$ and $\{B_j\}_{j=1}^{M_2}$ are two finite collections of distinct non-zero complex numbers. Moreover, we also assume $a_i b_j \neq 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq M_2$. Comparing the "coefficients" of $e^{|z|^2}$ in (9), one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j e^{A_i z + \overline{B_j z}} - \sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j e^{A_i \overline{B_j} + A_i z + \overline{B_j z}} \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j e^{A_i z + \overline{B_j z}} (1 - e^{A_i \overline{B_j}}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $e^{A_i z + \overline{B_j z}}$ from a linearly independent set over the polynomials, because (A_i, B_j) 's are all distinct. This shows that $e^{A_i \overline{B_j}} = 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M_1$ and

$1 \leq j \leq M_2$. Putting this in (9), (9) becomes

$$0 = \sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j \left(-q_m(|z|^2) e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}} + e^{\bar{B}_j \bar{z}} q_m(z A_i + |z|^2) + e^{A_i z} q_m(\bar{z} \bar{B}_j + |z|^2) - p_{ij}(z, \bar{z}) \right).$$

Clearly, $q_m(|z|^2) > 0$ if $m \neq 0$. Note that $m = 0$ implies the above equation always holds, since $e^{A_j \bar{B}_j} = 1$ for each i and j . Now, we assume $m \neq 0$ and define

$$v_{ij} = e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}} - \frac{e^{\bar{B}_j \bar{z}} q_m(z A_i + |z|^2)}{q_m(|z|^2)} - \frac{e^{A_i z} q_m(\bar{z} \bar{B}_j + |z|^2)}{q_m(|z|^2)} + \frac{p_{ij}(z, \bar{z})}{q_m(|z|^2)}.$$

Recall that $e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}}$ form linearly independent set over the polynomials. In fact, for fixed i, j, k, l , we have $\{e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}}, e^{A_k z}, e^{\bar{B}_l \bar{z}}, 1\}$ is linearly independent over the polynomials. This shows that

$$\sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}} \neq \sum_i \sum_j a_i \bar{b}_j (v_{ij} - e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}}).$$

Then $\{v_{ij}\}_{ij}$ is linearly independent. This fact together with (8) that

$$(10) \quad 0 = [e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)] e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}} - \rho_{ij}(z, \bar{z})$$

for each i and j . Or equivalently,

$$(11) \quad \widetilde{e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}}} = e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j \bar{z}}$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq M_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq M_2$ by (10) and the definition of the Berezin transform. So, (b) holds.

To prove (b) \Rightarrow (c). By lemma 4 and (11), $A_i \bar{B}_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq M_1$ and $1 \leq j \leq M_2$. Assume there is a integer κ so that $A_\kappa \bar{B}_j = 0$ and $A_\kappa \neq 0$, then we have $B_j = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq M_2$. This shows that g is a constant function. Thus, we have one of f and g is a constant function. The implication (c) \Rightarrow (a) is clear. This completes the proof. \square

According to the proof of Proposition 5, we have that the Berezin transform will be useful if f and g are *exponential type* functions. In fact, we can rewrite $|K_m(z, w)|^2 |w|^{2m}$ by

$$|K_m(z, w)|^2 |w|^{2m} = \frac{(m!)^2}{|z|^{2m}} |e^{z\bar{w}} - q_m(z\bar{w})|^2.$$

Then we can almost get the form of $\widetilde{f\bar{g}}$ by the property of the reproducing kernel of Fock spaces if f and g are *exponential type*. However, this method obviously fails if $f(z) = K_m(z, w)$, see the proof of Lemma 4. To solve this problem, we'll look at the Berezin transform from a different angle. The idea comes from [15].

For any fixed $l \geq 1$, we define the expression

$$(12) \quad \rho(k) = \prod_{i=1}^l (k+m+i) = C_0 + \sum_{i=1}^l C_i \left(\prod_{\lambda=0}^{i-1} (k-i) \right).$$

Clearly, $C_l = 1$ and

$$(13) \quad \rho(l) = \prod_{i=1}^l (l+m+i) = \sum_{i=0}^l C_i \frac{l!}{(l-i)!}.$$

Lemma 6. *Let A and B be two non-zero constants. For any fixed $l \geq 1$, define the expression*

$$C(A, B) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{m!}{k!} \frac{(k+l+m)!}{(k+m)!} \overline{A^k B^k} - \sum_{k=0}^l \frac{m!}{k!} \frac{(l+m)!}{(k+m)!} \frac{(l+m)!}{(l-k+m)!} \overline{A^k B^k}.$$

Suppose $E = e^{\overline{AB}} = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} C(A, B) &= \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{m!}{k!} \left\{ \frac{(k+l+m)!}{(k+m)!} - \frac{(l+m)!}{(k+m)!} \frac{(l+m)!}{(l-k+m)!} \right\} \overline{A^k B^k} \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} m! C_i \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{l-i-1} \frac{1}{k!} \overline{A^k B^k} \right\} \overline{A^i B^i} + m! d_l (\overline{AB})^l, \end{aligned}$$

where the C_i is defined as before (12). Moreover, $d_l \neq 0$ if $m \neq 0$.

Proof. For simplicity, we write

$$D_1 = \sum_{k \geq l+1} \frac{m!}{k!} \frac{(k+l+m)!}{(k+m)!} \overline{A^k B^k},$$

$$D_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{l-1} \frac{m!}{k!} \left\{ \frac{(k+l+m)!}{(k+m)!} - \frac{(l+m)!}{(k+m)!} \frac{(l+m)!}{(l-k+m)!} \right\} \overline{A^k B^k}$$

and

$$D_3 = \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} m! C_i \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{l-i-1} \frac{1}{k!} \overline{A^k B^k} \right\} \overline{A^i B^i}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$C(A, B) = D_1 + D_2 + m! (C_{2l+m}^l - C_{l+m}^l) \overline{A^l B^l}$$

where $C_{l+m}^l = \frac{(l+m)!}{m!l!}$. By (12) and a simple computation,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \sum_{k \geq l+1} \frac{m!}{k!} \frac{(k+l+m)!}{(k+m)!} \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^k \\
&= \sum_{k \geq l+1} \frac{m!}{k!} \left(C_0 + \sum_{i=1}^l C_i \prod_{\lambda=0}^{i-1} (k-\lambda) \right) \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^k \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^l m! C_i \left\{ E - \sum_{k=0}^{l-i} \frac{1}{k!} \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^k \right\} \overline{A}^i \overline{B}^i \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} m! C_i \left\{ E - 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{l-i} \frac{1}{k!} \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^k \right\} \overline{A}^i \overline{B}^i + m! C_l (E-1) \overline{A}^l \overline{B}^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $E = 1$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} m! C_i \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^{l-i} \frac{1}{k!} \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^k \right\} \overline{A}^i \overline{B}^i \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{l-2} m! C_i \left\{ - \sum_{k=1}^{l-i-1} \frac{1}{k!} \overline{A}^k \overline{B}^k \right\} \overline{A}^i \overline{B}^i - \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} m! C_i \frac{1}{(l-i)!} \overline{A}^l \overline{B}^l.
\end{aligned}$$

Let d_l be coefficient of $(\overline{A}\overline{B})^l$, then

$$C(A, B) = D_2 - D_3 + m! d_l \overline{A}^l \overline{B}^l.$$

Now, for $l \geq 2$, we consider the coefficient of $(\overline{A}\overline{B})^l$. (13) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
d_l &= C_{2l+m}^l - C_{l+m}^l - \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} C_i \frac{1}{(l-i)!} \\
&= C_{2l+m}^l - C_{l+m}^l - \sum_{i=0}^l C_i \frac{1}{(l-i)!} + 1 \\
&= C_{2l+m}^l - C_{l+m}^l - \frac{1}{l!} \prod_{i=1}^l (l+m+i) + 1 \\
&= \frac{(2l+m)!}{l!(l+m)!} - \frac{(l+m)!}{l!m!} - \frac{(2l+m)!}{l!(l+m)!} + 1 \\
&= 1 - \frac{(l+m)!}{l!m!}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $d_l \neq 0$ if $m \neq 0$, which completes the proof. \square

For $l \geq 1$, comparing the coefficient of $\overline{A}^l \overline{B}^l$ in Lemma 6 and Lemma 4 in [15]. d_l , i.e., the coefficient of $\overline{A}^l \overline{B}^l$, is nonzero in Lemma 6, but $d_l = 0$ is in [15]. Combining these observations, we have the following result.

Proposition 7. *Let m be a positive integer. Suppose that*

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i K_m(z, A_i), \quad g(z) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} b_\lambda e^{zB_\lambda}.$$

Then $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ on $F^{2,m}$ if and only if at least one of f and g is constant function.

Proof. It is clear that $T_f T_{\bar{g}} = T_{f\bar{g}}$ if one of f and g is constant function. Conversely, assume $T_f T_{\bar{g}} = T_{f\bar{g}}$. Without loss of generality, we assume $a_i \neq 0$, $b_j \neq 0$, $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{N_1}$ and $\{B_\lambda\}_{\lambda=1}^{N_2}$ are two finite collections of distinct non-zero complex numbers.

By the proof of Theorem 6 in [15], we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \bar{b}_\lambda \sum_{k_i=\max\{0, j-l\}} \frac{m!}{(k_i+m)!} \frac{(k_i+l+m)!}{(k_i+l-j)!} \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i+l-j} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \bar{b}_\lambda \sum_{k_i=\max\{0, j-l\}}^j \frac{m!}{(k_i+m)!} \frac{(j+m)!}{(k_i+l-j)!} \frac{(l+m)!}{(j-k_i+m)!} \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i+l-j} \end{aligned}$$

for all $j, l \geq 0$. Assume $0 = l \leq j$, one can see that

$$0 = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \bar{b}_\lambda \sum_{k_i=j+1} \frac{1}{(k_i-j)!} \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i-j}.$$

This shows that

$$(14) \quad 0 = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \bar{b}_\lambda (e^{\overline{A_i B_\lambda}} - 1) A_i^j$$

for all $j \geq 0$. Using (14) and the proof of Theorem 6 in [15], it is easy to see that

$$(15) \quad e^{\overline{A_i B_\lambda}} = 1$$

for all $1 \leq i \leq N_1$, $1 \leq \lambda \leq N_2$.

If $l = j = 1$, then we have

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \bar{b}_\lambda \sum_{k_i=1} \frac{m!}{k_i!} (k_i+m+1) \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \bar{b}_\lambda (1+m)! \overline{A_i B_\lambda}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} m! a_i \bar{b}_\lambda \left(e^{\overline{A_i B_\lambda}} \overline{A_i B_\lambda} - (m+1)(e^{\overline{A_i B_\lambda}} - 1) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \bar{b}_\lambda (1+m)! \overline{A_i B_\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (15), the above equation becomes

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} m! a_i \overline{b_\lambda A_i B_\lambda} = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} (1+m)! \overline{A_i B_\lambda}.$$

This gives

$$(16) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda A_i B_\lambda} = 0.$$

In fact, if we set $l = j \geq 1$, then

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} \sum_{k_i=0}^{\infty} \frac{m! (k_i + l + m)!}{k_i! (k_i + m)!} \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i} \\ &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} \sum_{k_i=0}^l \frac{m! (l + m)!}{k_i! (k_i + m)!} \frac{(l + m)!}{(j - k_i + m)!} \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} C(A_i, B_\lambda) &= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} \sum_{k_i=0}^{\infty} \frac{m! (k_i + l + m)!}{k_i! (k_i + m)!} \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} \sum_{k_i=0}^l \frac{m! (l + m)!}{k_i! (k_i + m)!} \frac{(l + m)!}{(j - k_i + m)!} \overline{A_i}^{k_i} \overline{B_\lambda}^{k_i}. \end{aligned}$$

By (17), we have

$$(18) \quad 0 = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} C(A_i, B_\lambda).$$

Assume $j = l = 2$. From Lemma 6, we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} \left\{ \eta_1 \overline{A_i B_\lambda} + \eta_2 (\overline{A_i B_\lambda})^2 \right\},$$

where η_k is the coefficient of $\overline{A_i}^k \overline{B_\lambda}^k$. Note that η_k is independent of i and λ . Moreover, $\eta_2 \neq 0$ by Lemma 6. Then, by (16), we obtain

$$(19) \quad 0 = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} (\overline{A_i B_\lambda})^2.$$

Comparing (16), (18), (19) and Lemma 6, one can see that

$$(20) \quad 0 = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} a_i \overline{b_\lambda} \overline{A_i}^l \overline{B_\lambda}^l$$

for all $l \geq 1$. By the "step 4" of proof of Theorem 6 in [15], (20) implies that one of the f and g is constant function. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem A. Using the Theorem 2, Lemma 3, Proposition 5 and 7, we get the Theorem.

3. THE SYMBOL SPACE IN [2]

Recall that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \{f : f(z) = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(z)e^{A_i z}, \quad a_i, A_i \in \mathbb{C}, \quad p_i \in \mathcal{P}\},$$

where \mathcal{P} is the algebra of holomorphic polynomials on \mathbb{C} . In [2], the authors consider the semi-commuting Toeplitz operator with \mathcal{A}_1 -symbol on Fock space. In this section, we try to characterize semi-commuting Toeplitz operators with \mathcal{A}_1 -symbol on Fock-Sobolev space. We put

$$f^*(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$$

for holomorphic function f on \mathbb{C} . The following Lemma can be found in [2].

Lemma 8. [2] *The equality*

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} p(w)\overline{q(w)}e^{(A+\bar{z})w+(\bar{B}+z)\bar{w}-|w|^2}\frac{dA(w)}{\pi} = e^{(A+\bar{z})(\bar{B}+z)}q^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z)p(z + \bar{B}).$$

holds for $A, B \in \mathbb{C}$, and $p, q \in \mathcal{P}$.

The proof of the second main result is divided into several steps. We now ready to prove the first part.

Lemma 9. *Suppose $f(z) = p(z)e^{Az}$, $g(z) = q(z)e^{Bz}$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}$. If $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$, then $e^{A\bar{B}} = 1$ and the following statements hold:*

- (a) *If $A \neq 0$, then q is constant.*
- (b) *If $B \neq 0$, then p is constant.*

Proof. Since $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$, then

$$\widetilde{f\bar{g}}(z) = p(z)\overline{q(z)}e^{Az+\bar{B}z}.$$

We compute the Berezin transform of $f\bar{g}$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{f\bar{g}}(z) &= \frac{1}{m!\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(w)\overline{q(w)}e^{Aw+\bar{B}w}|k_m(w, z)|^2|w|^{2m}e^{-|w|^2}dA(w) \\ &= \frac{m!}{K_m(z, z)}\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(w)\overline{q(w)}e^{Aw+\bar{B}w}\frac{|e^{z\bar{w}} - q_m(z\bar{w})|^2}{|z|^{2m}}e^{-|w|^2}dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|z|^{2m} K_m(z, z)}{m!} \widetilde{f\overline{g}}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(w) \overline{q(w)} e^{Aw + \overline{B}w} |e^{z\overline{w}} - q_m(z\overline{w})|^2 e^{-|w|^2} dA(w). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we write

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(w) \overline{q(w)} e^{Aw + \overline{B}w} e^{\overline{z}w + z\overline{w}} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w), \\ Q_2 &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(w) \overline{q(w)} e^{Aw + \overline{B}w} \left(|q_m(\overline{z}w)|^2 - q_m(\overline{w}z) e^{w\overline{z}} \right) e^{-|w|^2} dA(w), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_3 = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} p(w) \overline{q(w)} q_m(w\overline{z}) e^{Aw + \overline{B}w} e^{\overline{w}z} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w).$$

Then

$$\frac{|z|^{2m} K_m(z, z)}{m!} \widetilde{f\overline{g}}(z) = Q_1 + Q_2 - Q_3.$$

Note that the "coefficient" of $e^{|z|^2}$ in Q_2 and Q_3 are both zero.

Using Lemma 8, we can obtain

$$(21) \quad \frac{|z|^{2m} K_m(z, z)}{m!} \widetilde{f\overline{g}}(z) = e^{(A+\overline{z})(\overline{B}+z)} q^*(\partial_z + \overline{z} + z) p(z + \overline{B}) + Q_2 - Q_3.$$

Since $\widetilde{f\overline{g}}(z) = p(z) \overline{q(z)} e^{Az + \overline{B}z}$, then, by above equation,

$$\begin{aligned} (22) \quad [e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)] \widetilde{f\overline{g}}(z) &= p(z) \overline{q(z)} [e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)] e^{Az + \overline{B}z} \\ &= e^{(A+\overline{z})(\overline{B}+z)} q^*(\partial_z + \overline{z} + z) p(z + \overline{B}) + Q_2 - Q_3. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the "coefficient" of $e^{|z|^2}$ in (22), one can see that

$$(23) \quad p(z) \overline{q(z)} e^{Az + \overline{B}z} = e^{A\overline{B} + Az + \overline{B}z} q^*(\partial_z + \overline{z} + z) p(z + \overline{B}).$$

By (23) and the proof of Lemma 3.4 in [2], $e^{A\overline{B}} = 1$. Moreover, if $B \neq 0$, then p is constant. This shows (b). On the other hand, q is constant if $A \neq 0$ via complex conjugation. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 10. Note that

$$|q_m(z)| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} \frac{z^i \overline{z}^j}{i!j!}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i,j} |z|^{i+j}} \lesssim \sqrt{1 + |z|^{2m}} \lesssim 1 + |z|^m.$$

The above fact and a simple computation show that

$$\begin{aligned}
|Q_3| &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |p(w)q(w)e^{(A+B)w}| |q_m(\bar{w}z)e^{\bar{w}z}| e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\
&\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |q(w)p(w)e^{(A+B)w}| |(1+|wz|^m)e^{\bar{w}z}| e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\
&\lesssim (1+|z|^m) \int_{\mathbb{C}/\{0\}} |w^m p(w)q(w)e^{(A+B)w}| |e^{\bar{w}z}| e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\
&\lesssim (1+|z|^m) \int_{\mathbb{C}} |w^m p(w)q(w)e^{(A+B)w}| |e^{\bar{w}z}| e^{-|w|^2} dA(w).
\end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz Inequality,

$$|Q_3| \lesssim (1+|z|^m) \|e^{w\bar{z}}\|_2 \lesssim (1+|z|^m) e^{\frac{|z|^2}{2}} \lesssim e^{\frac{|z|^2}{2}},$$

here $\|\cdot\|_2$ is the norm of $L^2(\mathbb{C}, e^{-|z|^2} dA(z))$. For $z \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{|Q_3|}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)} \lesssim \frac{e^{\frac{|z|^2}{2}}}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)} < \infty.$$

The same inequality holds with Q_2 in place of Q_3 . We thus obtain $\frac{|Q_2|}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)}$ and $\frac{|Q_3|}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)}$ are both bounded on $\mathbb{C}/\{0\}$.

The above Lemma shows the Berezin transform of a polynomial is again a polynomial by the case $A = b = 0$. From Lemma 4 and 9, we get the following result.

Proposition 11. *Suppose $f(z) = p(z)e^{Az}$, $g(z) = q(z)e^{Bz}$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ if and only if one of f and g is a constant function.*

Proof. By Lemma 9, we have $g(z) = e^{Bz}$ if $A \neq 0$. Similarly, $f(z) = e^{Az}$ if $B \neq 0$. In this case, $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ implies one of f and g is constant by Lemma 4.

Now, assume $A = 0$. Then f is a constant if $B \neq 0$. So we assume $B = 0$, it follows that f and g are holomorphic polynomials. So, $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ gives one of f and g is a constant by Theorem 9 in [2].

On the other hand, $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ if one of f and g is a constant function. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we can complete characterize semi-commuting Toeplitz operators with A_1 -symbol on Fock-Sobolev space.

Theorem 12. *Suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and m is a positive integer. Then $T_{f\bar{g}} = T_f T_{\bar{g}}$ on $F^{2,m}$ if and only if at least one of f and g is a constant.*

Proof. The sufficient direction is clear. To prove the necessary direction. Using the following notations

$$R_{A,B,p,q}(z, \bar{z}) = e^{A\bar{B}} q^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z)p(z + \bar{B}).$$

By (21), (22), and the proof of Lemma 9, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,k} p_i(z) \overline{q_k(z)} [e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)] e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_k z} \\ &= \sum_{i,k} e^{(A_i + \bar{z})(\bar{B}_k + z)} q_k^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z) p(z + \bar{B}_k) + Q_2^{ik} - Q_3^{ik}, \end{aligned}$$

where Q_2^{ik} and Q_3^{ik} as defined in (22). Recall that the "coefficient" of $e^{-|z|^2}$ in Q_2^{ik} and Q_3^{ik} are both zero. It follows that

$$\sum_{i,k} p_i(z) \overline{q_k(z)} e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_k z} = \sum_{i,k} e^{A_i \bar{B}_k + A_i z + \bar{B}_k \bar{z}} q_k^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z) p(z + \bar{B}_k),$$

or equivalently,

$$\sum_{i,j} \left[R_{A_i, B_j, p_i, q_k}(z, \bar{z}) - p_i(z) \overline{q_k(z)} \right] e^{A_i z + \bar{B}_j z} = 0.$$

By the proof of Theorem 3.5 in [2] again, we can obtain

$$0 = R_{A_i, B_j, p_i, q_k}(z, \bar{z}) - p_i(z) \overline{q_k(z)}$$

for each i and j . From the proof of the Lemma 3.4 in [2], we have $e^{A_i \bar{B}_k} = 1$ for each i and k . Moreover, the following statements hold: (a) If there is a $A_i \neq 0$, then each q_k is a constant; (b) If there is a $B_k \neq 0$, then each p_i is constant. By Proposition 5 and 11, we obtain the result. \square

If we set $m = 0$, we will obtain Theorem 1 by the proof of Proposition 11 and Theorem 12. We remark that Theorem 12 can be extend to the Fock-Sobolev spaces on \mathbb{C}^n by Berezin transform.

Proof of Theorem B. Theorem B comes follow Theorem 12.

4. BOUNDED SEMI-COMMUTANTS

In this section, we will consider the Bounded semi-commutants of Toeplitz operators on Fock-Sobolev spaces. In the rest of the paper, the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{F^2}$ denote the inner product on Fock space, and $\| \cdot \|_{2,m}$ denote the norm of Fock-Sobolev space. Recall that $a \in 2\mathbb{N}\pi i$ means that $a = 2n\pi i$ for any integer n .

Lemma 13. *Suppose A and B are non-zero complex numbers. If $A\bar{B} \in 2\mathbb{N}\pi i / \{0\}$, then $(T_{e^{Az}}, T_{e^{\bar{B}z}}]$ is bounded on $F^{2,m}$.*

Proof. For any $f \in F^{2,m}$, we have

$$T_{e^{\overline{Bz}}}f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi m!} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{\overline{Bw}} f(w) K(z, w) |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w)$$

and

$$T_{e^{Az+\overline{Bz}}}f(z) = \frac{1}{\pi m!} \int_{\mathbb{C}} e^{Aw+\overline{Bw}} f(w) K(z, w) |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w).$$

Let $z \neq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e^{\overline{Bz}}}f(z) &= \frac{1}{z^m \pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} w^m e^{\overline{Bw}} f(w) [e^{z\overline{w}} - q_m(z\overline{w})] e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^m} \langle w^m f(w), e^{w\overline{z}+Bw} \rangle_{F_2} - \frac{1}{z^m} \langle w^m f(w), q_m(\overline{z}w) e^{Bw} \rangle_{F_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^m} (z + \overline{B})^m f(z + \overline{B}) - S_1(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_1(z) = \frac{1}{z^m} \langle w^m f(w), q_m(\overline{z}w) e^{Bw} \rangle_{F_2}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{e^{Az+\overline{Bz}}}f(z) &= \frac{1}{z^m \pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} w^m e^{Aw+\overline{Bw}} f(w) [e^{z\overline{w}} - q_m(z\overline{w})] e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{z^m} \langle w^m f(w) e^{Aw}, e^{w\overline{z}+Bw} \rangle_{F_2} - \frac{1}{z^m} \langle w^m f(w) e^{Aw}, q_m(\overline{z}w) e^{Bw} \rangle_{F_2} \\ &= \frac{1}{z^m} (z + \overline{B})^m f(z + \overline{B}) e^{Az+A\overline{B}} - S_2(z), \end{aligned}$$

where $S_2 = \frac{1}{z^m} \langle w^m f(w) e^{Aw}, q_m(\overline{z}w) e^{Bw} \rangle_{F_2}$. Note that $e^{A\overline{B}} = 1$. Then, from the above equation, we obtain

$$(24) \quad T_{e^{Az+\overline{Bz}}}f(z) - T_{e^{Az}}T_{e^{\overline{Bz}}}f(z) = e^{Az}S_1(z) - S_2(z).$$

A careful calculation gives

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{Az}S_1(z) - S_2(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{\pi |z|^m} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |w^m f(w)| |e^{Bw}| |e^{Az} - e^{Aw}| |q_m(z\overline{w})| w^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |w^m f(w)| |e^{Bw}| |e^{Az} - e^{Aw}| \frac{1 + |zw|^m}{|z|^m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \\ &\lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}/\{0\}} |w^m f(w)| |e^{Bw}| |e^{Az} - e^{Aw}| \frac{|zw|^m}{|z|^m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w) \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$(25) \quad |e^{Az}S_1(z) - S_2(z)| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(w)| |e^{Bw}| |e^{Az} - e^{Aw}| |w|^{2m} e^{-|w|^2} dA(w).$$

By (25) and Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz Inequality, one can see that

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{Az}S_1(z) - S_2(z)| &\lesssim \|f\|_{2,m} \|e^{Bw}(e^{Az} - e^{Aw})\|_{2,m} \\ &\leq \|f\|_{2,m} (\|e^{Az}\|_{2,m} + \|e^{(A+B)w}\|_{2,m}) \\ &\lesssim |e^{Az}| \|f\|_{2,m}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (24) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{e^{Az+\overline{Bz}}}f - T_{e^{Az}}T_{e^{\overline{Bz}}}f(z)\|_{2,m}^2 &= \frac{1}{m!\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |e^{Az}S_1(z) - S_2(z)|^2 |z|^{2m} e^{-|z|^2} dA(z) \\ &\lesssim \frac{\|f\|_{2,m}^2}{m!\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |e^{Az}|^2 |z|^{2m} e^{-|z|^2} dA(z) \\ &= \|f\|_{2,m}^2 \|e^{Az}\|_{2,m}^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $T_{e^{Az+\overline{Bz}}} - T_{e^{Az}}T_{e^{\overline{Bz}}}$ is bounded on $F^{2,m}$. The proof is complete. \square

For the linear exponential functions, we have the follow result for the bounded semi-commutants.

Proposition 14. *Suppose $f(z) = e^{Az}$ and $g(z) = e^{Bz}$. Then $(T_f, T_{\overline{g}}]$ is bounded if and only if one of the following hold:*

- (a) *one of f and g is a constant.*
- (b) *$A\overline{B} \in 2\mathbb{N}\pi i$.*
- (c) *$A = -B$.*

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Lemma 13 and the main result of [6]. Now, assume $(T_f, T_{\overline{g}}]$ is bounded and $AB \neq 0$, then

$$\widetilde{(T_f, T_{\overline{g}}]}(z) = \widetilde{f\overline{g}}(z) - f(z)\overline{g}(z)$$

is also bounded. We compute the Berezin transform

$$(26) \quad \widetilde{f\overline{g}}(z) - f(z)\overline{g}(z) = \frac{|z|^{2m}}{m![e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)]} Q(z, \overline{z}) - e^{Az+\overline{Bz}},$$

where $Q(z, \overline{z})$ is defined as before in Lemma 4. Here,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|z|^{2m}}{m!} Q(z, \overline{z}) &= e^{(A+\overline{z})(\overline{B}+z)} - e^{\overline{B}(A+\overline{z})} q_m(zA + |z|^2) \\ &\quad - e^{A(\overline{B}+z)} q_m(\overline{z}\overline{B} + |z|^2) + p(z, \overline{z}). \end{aligned}$$

If $z = 0$, then $\widetilde{(T_f, T_{\overline{g}}]}(0)$ is bounded. So, we always assume $z \neq 0$.

It follows that

$$(27) \quad \frac{|z|^{2m}}{m![e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)]} Q(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{e^{(A+\bar{z})(\bar{B}+z)} - e^{\bar{B}(A+\bar{z})} q_m(zA + |z|^2)}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)} + \frac{p(z, \bar{z}) - e^{A(\bar{B}+z)} q_m(\bar{z}\bar{B} + |z|^2)}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)}.$$

Note

$$(28) \quad G(A, B)(z) = \frac{p(z, \bar{z}) - e^{\bar{B}(A+\bar{z})} q_m(zA + |z|^2) - e^{A(\bar{B}+z)} q_m(\bar{z}\bar{B} + |z|^2)}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)}.$$

Clearly, $G(A, B)$ is bounded for $z \neq 0$.

It is clear that

$$|(\widetilde{T_f, T_{\bar{g}}})| \geq |\widetilde{f\bar{g}}(z)| - |f(z)\bar{g}(z)|.$$

By (27), (28) and the boundedness of $G(A, B)(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\widetilde{T_f, T_{\bar{g}}})| &\geq \frac{|e^{(A+\bar{z})(\bar{B}+z)}|}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)} - |e^{Az+\bar{B}z}| - c \\ &= \frac{|e^{|z|^2 + A\bar{B} + Az + \bar{B}z}|}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)} - |e^{Az+\bar{B}z}| - c \\ &> \frac{|e^{|z|^2 + A\bar{B} + Az + \bar{B}z}|}{e^{|z|^2}} - |e^{Az+\bar{B}z}| - c \\ &= |e^{A\bar{B} + Az + \bar{B}z}| - |e^{Az+\bar{B}z}| - c \\ &= |e^{A\bar{B}} - 1| |e^{Az+\bar{B}z}| - c \\ &= |e^{A\bar{B}} - 1| |e^{(A+B)z}| - c \end{aligned}$$

where c is a nonnegative constant. Since $(\widetilde{T_f, T_{\bar{g}}})(z)$ is bounded, then

$$|(e^{A\bar{B}} - 1)e^{(A+B)z}| < \infty.$$

This shows that $e^{A\bar{B}} = 1$ or $A = -B$. This completes the proof. \square

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 14, we obtain the following theorem, which recover the result in [13].

Theorem 15. *Suppose $f(z) = p(z)e^{Az}$, $g(z) = q(z)e^{Bz}$ and $p, q \in \mathcal{P} \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}})$ is bounded if and only if one of following holds.*

- (a) *one of f and g is constant.*
- (b) *$f(z) = e^{Az}$ and $g(z) = e^{Bz}$, where $A\bar{B} \in 2\mathbb{N}\pi i$.*
- (c) *$f(z) = e^{Az}$ and $g(z) = e^{Bz}$ with $A = -B$.*
- (d) *f and g are linear holomorphic polynomials.*

Proof. The sufficiency is clear. We now prove the necessity. Since $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}]$ is bounded, then $(\widetilde{T_f}, \widetilde{T_{\bar{g}}}]$ is bounded on \mathbb{C} . Namely,

$$|\widetilde{f\bar{g}}(z) - f(z)\overline{g(z)}| < \infty.$$

Now, we assume $z \neq 0$, since $|(\widetilde{T_f}, \widetilde{T_{\bar{g}}}] (0)| < \infty$. It follows from (21) that

$$(29) \quad \left| \frac{e^{(A+\bar{z})(\bar{B}+z)} q^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z) p(z + \bar{B}) + Q_2 - Q_3}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)} - p(z)\overline{q(z)} e^{Az+B\bar{z}} \right| < \infty,$$

where Q_2 and Q_3 as defined in (22). Using Remark 10 and (29),

$$\left| \frac{e^{(A+\bar{z})(\bar{B}+z)} q^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z) p(z + \bar{B}) - p(z)\overline{q(z)} [e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)] e^{Az+B\bar{z}}}{e^{|z|^2} - q_m(|z|^2)} \right| < \infty.$$

This implies

$$(30) \quad \left| e^{Az+Bz} \left| e^{A\bar{B}} q^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z) p(z + \bar{B}) - p(z)\overline{q(z)} \right| \right| < \infty.$$

If $A = B = 0$, then f and g are linear holomorphic polynomials by easily modifying the proof of Theorem 19 in [13].

If $A = 0$ and $B \neq 0$, then, by (30),

$$\left| e^{Bz} \left| q^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z) p(z + \bar{B}) - p(z)\overline{q(z)} \right| \right| < \infty.$$

Note that q^* is a polynomial in z and \bar{z} . Then above equation shows that

$$q^*(\partial_z + \bar{z} + z) p(z + \bar{B}) = p(z)\overline{q(z)}.$$

By the proof of Theorem 12, p is nonzero constant. In this case, $f(z) = f(0)$ and $g(z) = e^{Bz}$.

If $A\bar{B} \neq 0$, then, by the proof of Theorem 12 again, p and q must be constants. In this case, we have $A = -B$ or $e^{A\bar{B}} = 1$ by Proposition 14. This completes the proof. \square

Recall that

$$D(f, g)(z) = \left[\widetilde{|f|^2}(z) - |\tilde{f}(z)|^2 \right] \left[\widetilde{|g|^2}(z) - |\tilde{g}(z)|^2 \right].$$

Now, we consider the conjecture about the Hankel products. We will show it is false on $F^{2,m}$.

Theorem 16. *Let $f(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$ and $g(z) = e^z$. Then $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = H_f^* H_{\bar{g}}$ is bounded but $D(f, g)$ is unbounded on \mathbb{C} .*

Proof. The boundedness of $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}]$ follows from Theorem 15. By Lemma 2.4 in [16], there is a positive constant ε independent of z and w such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{\bar{f}}k_{m,z}\|^2 &= |\widetilde{f|^2}(z) - \widetilde{f}(z)|^2 \\ &\gtrsim \int_{|z-w|<\varepsilon} |f(w) - f(z)|^2 dA(w) \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $|z|$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{\bar{f}}k_{m,z}\|^2 &\gtrsim \int_{|z-w|<\varepsilon} |f(w) - f(z)|^2 dA(w) \\ &= \int_{|z-w|<\varepsilon} |e^{2\pi i(w-z)} - 1|^2 dA(w) \\ &= |e^{2\pi iz}|^2 \int_{|w|<\varepsilon} |e^{2\pi iw} - 1|^2 dA(w) \\ &\gtrsim |e^{2\pi iz}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

since $0 < \int_{|w|<\varepsilon} |e^{2\pi iw} - 1|^2 dv(w) < \infty$. We also have

$$\|H_{\bar{g}}k_{m,z}\|^2 \gtrsim |e^z|^2.$$

Thus we have

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} D(f, g)(z) \gtrsim \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |e^{(2\pi i + 1)z}|^2 = \infty.$$

This proves the desired. \square

5. OPEN PROBLEMS AND REMARKS

In this work, we consider the semi-commutant of Toeplitz operators on Fock-Sobolev spaces. The main results show that there is the fundamental difference between the geometries of Fock and Fock-Sobolev space. This result can be generalized to some weighted Fock space, whose reproducing kernel function can be decomposed into the kernel of Fock space. We remark the results in Section 3 and 4 can be extend to the Fock-Sobolev spaces on \mathbb{C}^n .

Note that

$$K_m(z, z) \simeq \frac{e^{|z|^2}}{1 + |z|^{2m}}.$$

Combining these observation, we conclude that the properties of operator on Fock-Sobolev space are the same as it's properties on Fock space if we only use the estimate of reproducing kernel $K_m(z, z)$. For instance, Sarason's problem, the boundedness and compactness of composition operators, the commuting Toeplitz operators with radial symbols, Ha-plitz product and so on, see [3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 16]. However, there is a fundamental difference between the geometries of Fock and Fock-Sobolev space if we use the specific form of $K_m(z, w)$, see Theorem 1, Theorem A and

Theorem B. So, it would be interesting to consider the following problem. Before we give this problem, we establish the following symbol space. Setting $m \neq 0$, we define the symbol space \mathcal{A} :

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ f : \sum_{i=1}^N p_i(z) K_m(z, A_i), \quad p_i \in \mathcal{P} \right\}.$$

Since $e^{\bar{a}z} = (\bar{a}z)^m K_m(z, a) + q_m(\bar{a}z)$, one can see that

$$\mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{A}, \quad \mathcal{A} \not\subseteq \mathcal{A}_1.$$

Problem. Let $m \neq 0$, and suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. What is the relationship between their symbols when two Toeplitz operators T_f and $T_{\bar{g}}$ commute on $F^{2,m}$?

Originally, we want to consider the semi-commutant of Toeplitz operators with \mathcal{A} -symbol. We have no idea of how to solve this problem. The reason is that we don't even know the specific form of Berezin transform of $K_m(z, a)\overline{K_m(z, b)}$ on Fock-Sobolev space. We believe the essential difference is that the Berezin transform on Fock space can be written out precisely, but on Fock-Sobolev space little is known about the Berezin transform of $K_m(z, a)\overline{K_m(z, b)}$.

Another interesting problem is the Brown-Halmos Theorems on Fock-Sobolev spaces, see [4, 11] for more information. In [2], the authors have considered the zero product problem on Fock space under the hypothesis $T_u T_v = T_v T_u = 0$, here $f, g, h, k \in \mathcal{A}_1$, $u = f + \bar{k}$ and $v = h + \bar{g}$. We believe the hypothesis can be relaxed to $T_u T_v = 0$, since $(T_f, T_{\bar{g}}] = (T_h, T_{\bar{k}}] = 0$ only have trivial solutions if $m \neq 0$. So, there is a natural conjecture.

Conjecture. For $f, g, h, k \in \mathcal{A}_1$ put $u = f + \bar{k}$ and $v = h + \bar{g}$. If $T_u T_v = 0$, then either $u = 0$ or $v = 0$.

We hope this work will generate some further interest in Operator Theory on Sobolev space.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Axler, Ž. Čučuković, Commuting Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, 14(1991): 1-11.
- [2] W. Bauer, B.R. Choe, H. Koo, Commuting Toeplitz operators with pluriharmonic symbols on the Fock space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 268(2015): 3017.
- [3] W. Bauer, Y.J. Lee, Commuting Toeplitz operators on the Segal-Bargmann space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 260(2011): 460-489.
- [4] A. Brown, P. Halmos, Algebraic properties of Toeplitz operators, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 213(1964): 89-102.
- [5] B. Carswell, B. MacCluer, A. Schuster, Composition operators on the Fock space. *Acta Sci. Math.*, (Szeged) 74(2008): 807-828.

- [6] J. Chen, X.F Wang, J. Xia, G.F. Cao, Sarason's Toeplitz Product Problem on the Fock-Sobolev Space. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, 34(2018), 288-296.
- [7] H.R. Cho, B.R. Choe, H. Koo, Linear Combinations of Composition Operators on the Fock-Sobolev Spaces. *Potential Analysis*, 41(2014): 1223-1246.
- [8] H.R. Cho, J.D. Park, K. Zhu, Products of Toeplitz operators on the Fock space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142(2014), 2483-2489 .
- [9] H.R. Cho, K. Zhu, Fock-Sobolev spaces and their Carleson measures. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 263 (2012), 2483-2506 .
- [10] B.R. Choe, J. Yang, Commutants of Toeplitz operators with radial symbols on the Fock-Sobolev space. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 415(2014): 779-790.
- [11] T. Le, A. Tikaradze, A generalization of the Brown-Halmos theorems for the unit ball, *Advances in Mathematics*, 404 (2022) 108411
- [12] Y.J. Lee, Commuting Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space of the polydisk, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 138(2010): 189-197.
- [13] P. Ma, F. Yan, D. Zheng, K. Zhu, Products of Hankel operators on the Fock space. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 277(2019), 2644-2663 .
- [14] P. Ma, F. Yan, D. Zheng, K. Zhu, Mixed products of Toeplitz and Hankel operators on the Fock space. *Journal of Operator Theory*, 2020(84), 35-47.
- [15] J. Qin, Semi-commuting Toeplitz operator on Fock-Sobolev spaces, *Bull. Sci. math.*, 179(2022), 103156.
- [16] J. Qin, X. Wang, Mixed Product of Hankel and Toeplitz Operators on Fock-Sobolev Spaces, *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.*, 36(2020), 1245-1255.
- [17] D. Zheng, Commuting Toeplitz operators with pluriharmonic symbols, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 350(1998): 1595-1618.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, CHONGQING TECHNOLOGY AND BUSINESS UNIVERSITY, 400067, CHINA

Email address: qinjie24520@163.com