

**FINITE GROUPS WITH SMALL AUTOMIZERS FOR
EVERY ABELIAN SUBGROUP OF NON PRIME
POWER ORDER**

RITESH DWIVEDI

(Received : 22 - 07 - 2021 ; Revised : 13 - 01 - 2022)

Abstract. In this paper, we discuss about finite groups in which, $C_G H = N_G H$, for every abelian subgroup H of non prime power order. Also, we classify all such nilpotent and minimal non nilpotent groups

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, G denotes a finite group and p and q denote a prime. By a p -group G , we mean G is a group of prime power order, for some prime p . By a non p -group G , we mean G is a group of non prime power order. Also, $C_G H$ and $N_G H$ denote the centralizer and the normalizer of H in G , respectively. Also, we use $F(G)$ and $Z(G)$ to denote the Fitting subgroup and the center of G , respectively. Further, $[H]K$ denotes a split extension of K by a normal subgroup H .

Recall that, the automizer of a subgroup H in G is defined as $Aut_G H = N_G H / C_G H$. Therefore $Aut_G H$ can be considered as a subgroup of $Aut H$ and since $Aut_G H$ contains an isomorphic copy of $Inn H$, we have $Inn H \leq Aut_G H \leq Aut H$. Now $Aut_G H$ is said to be small if $Aut_G H \cong Inn H$ and $Aut_G H$ is said to be large if $Aut_G H \cong Aut H$.

All finite groups with small automizers for their nonabelian subgroups are classified in [3]. Zassenhaus proved in [10] that $N_G H = C_G H$ for every abelian subgroup iff G is abelian (also see [6]). Therefore $Aut_G H$ is small for all abelian subgroups of G iff G is abelian. Further, Li [8] classified all finite groups in which any nonmaximal abelian subgroups do not have any nontrivial inner automorphisms. Such groups are called NC -groups. All

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20D10, 20D15, 20D25.

Key words and phrases: Centralizer, Normalizer, Automizer.

© Indian Mathematical Society, 2022.

finite groups, in which every non normal abelian subgroup has trivial automizer, are classified in [1]. Such groups are called quasi- NC group. Finite groups, in which for any non normal abelian subgroup A , either $Aut_G A = 1$ or $C_G A = A$, are called NNC -groups and are discussed in [2]. More generally, all finite groups in which $Aut_G H$ is either small or large for every abelian subgroup H , are discussed in [9].

The following result is taken from [8, Lemma 2.4.]:

Lemma 1.1. *Let G be a group. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) G is an NC -group;
- (2) $C_G A = A$ or $C_G A = N_G A$ holds for all abelian subgroups A of G of prime power order.

The above lemma asserts also that if every abelian subgroup of G of prime power order has small automizer, then infact every abelian subgroup of G of non prime power order has also small automizer and G become abelian. However, if every abelian subgroup of G of non prime power order has small automizer, an abelian p -subgroup of G need not have small automizer. For example, in the group $S_3 \times Z_3$, the only abelian subgroups of non prime power order are $\{I, \sigma\} \times Z_3$, where σ is a transposition in S_3 . Clearly all such subgroups have small automizer in $S_3 \times Z_3$. However, $A_3 \times Z_3$ is an abelian subgroup of prime power order but it's automizer is not small. Therefore it is of natural interest to classify all finite groups in which, for every abelian subgroup H , either H is a p -subgroup (p depends on H) or $C_G H = N_G H$. We shall do this partially in the present paper. We call such groups as PNC -group. Clearly every finite abelian group is PNC . So we shall focus on finite non abelian groups, which are PNC . The aim of this paper is to study all finite solvable PNC -groups. Also, we give a characterization of nilpotent PNC -groups and minimal non nilpotent PNC -groups.

2. Nilpotent PNC -groups

Lemma 2.1. *The class of PNC -groups is subgroup closed.*

Proof. It is obvious. ?

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a decomposable group with $G \cong G_1 \times G_2$, for some finite non trivial groups G_1 and G_2 . If, either $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$ or both*

G_1 and G_2 are non p -groups, then the following are equivalent:

- (i) G is abelian;
- (ii) G is PNC .

Proof. Clearly, if G is abelian, then it is PNC . Conversely, assume that G is PNC . First we observe that, under the given conditions, $N_{G_i}H_i = C_{G_i}H_i$, $\forall i = 1, 2$ and for every abelian subgroup H_i of G_i . Now the result follows from [10, Theorem 7]. ?

Corollary 2.3. *Let G be a non abelian group. Then G is a nilpotent PNC -group iff G is a p -group.*

Proof. If possible, suppose that G is a non p -group. Then we have $G \cong G_1 \times G_2$, where G_1 is a Sylow- p subgroup of G and G_2 is a Hall- p^0 subgroup of G . Also, we have $(|G_1|, |G_2|) = 1$. Now by above Proposition, G is an abelian group, a contradiction. Hence G is a p -group. Converse is obvious. ?

3. Solvable non nilpotent PNC -groups

Recall that the Fitting subgroup of G , denoted as $F(G)$, is defined as the largest normal nilpotent subgroup of G . Also, we denote the commutator subgroup of G as G^0 .

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a solvable non abelian group. If G is a PNC -group, then $F(G)$ is a p -group.*

Proof. Note that $F(G)$ is a nilpotent PNC -group. Now if $F(G)$ is non abelian, then by Corollary 2.3, it is a p -group. Also, if $F(G)$ is an abelian but non p -group, then $C_G F(G) = N_G F(G)$. Since G is solvable, we have $F(G) = C_G F(G) = N_G F(G)$. This gives that G is abelian, a contradiction. This completes the proof. ?

Lemma 3.2. *Let G be a solvable non abelian group. If G is a PNC -group, then $Z(G)$ is a p -group.*

Proof. First we note that $Z(G) \subseteq F(G)$. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.1. ?

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a non abelian group with G^0 nilpotent. If G is a PNC -group, then G^0 is a p -group.*

Proof. First we note that if G^0 is nilpotent then $G^0 \subseteq F(G)$. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.1. ?

Recall that a group is said to be supersolvable, if it has a normal series with cyclic factors.

If G is PNC , $F(G)$ need not be a Sylow subgroup. For example, S_4 is PNC but its Fitting subgroup is not a Sylow subgroup. However we have the following result.

Lemma 3.4. *Let G be a non abelian supersolvable group. If G is PNC , then $F(G)$ is a Sylow- p subgroup.*

Proof. First we note that the commutator subgroup of a supersolvable group is nilpotent. Now by above Lemma, G^0 is a p -group and hence $F(G)$ is a Sylow- p subgroup. ?

Lemma 3.5. *Let G be a PNC -group and $H \leq Z(G)$. If $H \cap G^0 = 1$, then G/H is also PNC .*

Proof. Let K/H be an abelian non p -subgroup of G/H . Then K is also a non p -subgroup of G . Also, $K^0 \subseteq H \cap G^0 = 1$. This gives that K is abelian. Therefore $N_G K = C_G K$. Now, $N_{G/H}(K/H) = N_G K/H = C_G K/H \leq C_{G/H}(K/H)$. This implies that $N_{G/H}(K/H) = C_{G/H}(K/H)$. ?

Recall the following results from [1]:

Theorem 3.6. *(see[1], Theorem 4.1.) Let G be a non-nilpotent quasi- NC group. Then all Sylow subgroups of G are abelian.*

Theorem 3.7. *(see[1], Theorem 4.4.) Suppose that G is non nilpotent quasi- NC group. Then $G/Z(G)$ is also a quasi- NC group.*

Note that if G is a non nilpotent PNC -group, then Sylow subgroups of G need not be abelian. For example, S_4 is a non nilpotent PNC -group, but its Sylow-2 subgroups are non abelian. However the following result is an analogous of Theorem 3.7. Recall that, an A -group is a finite group with the property that all of its Sylow subgroups are abelian.

Lemma 3.8. *Let G be a non nilpotent A -group. If G is PNC , then $G/Z(G)$ is also PNC .*

Proof. From [1, Lemma 2.7], $G^0 \cap Z(G) = 1$. Now the result follows from Lemma 3.5. ?

Recall that a finite group G is said to be *SBP*, if every proper subgroup H of G has prime power order (the prime depending on H). The following classification of *SBP* groups is given in [4; chapter 3, page 74/75].

Theorem 3.9. *Let $G \neq 1$ be an SBP group. Then precisely one of the following holds:*

- (I) G is a p -group, for some prime p .
- (II) $|G| = pq$, where p and q are distinct primes.
- (III) $|G| = p^a q$, p and q are distinct primes, $a = \exp(p, q)$ and $a \geq 2$. Moreover, $G \cong Z_p^a \rtimes_{\theta} Z_q$ for some monomorphism $\theta : Z_q \rightarrow \text{Aut}Z_p^a$.

Recall that a group H is said to be a central extension of G , if $H/A \cong G$, where $A \subseteq Z(H)$. For our convenience, we call a central extension H of G as a p -central extension, if $Z(H)$ is a p -group. Clearly, an *SBP*-group is a solvable *PNC*-group and if it is non abelian, then its Fitting subgroup is a p -group. Now we prove the following result.

Lemma 3.10. *Let G be an SBP-group with $F(G)$ a p -group. Then a p -central extension of G is *PNC*.*

Proof. If G is an abelian group or a p -group, the result holds trivially. So let G be a non abelian group of order $p^n q$, with $F(G)$ a p -group. Also let H be a p -central extension of G with $H/A \cong G$, where $A \subseteq Z(H)$. Since G is *SBP*, $A = Z(H)$. Also, since we have $F(H/Z(H)) = F(H)/Z(H)$, $F(H)$ is a p -group. Now we claim that every proper non p -subgroup of H is of the form TQ , where $T \subseteq Z(H)$ and Q is a Sylow- q subgroup of H . Let K be a non p -subgroup of H . Then KA/A is a subgroup of H/A and since H/A is *SBP*, $|K/K \cap A| = |KA/A| = q$. This gives that $K = TQ$, where $T = K \cap A$ and Q is a Sylow- q subgroup of K . Therefore, for given any abelian non p -subgroup TQ of H , $N_H(TQ) = C_H(TQ) = AQ$. This completes the proof. ?

Recall that a group is called a *CP*-group if every element of the group has prime power order (see [5]). Finite *CP*-groups were first studied by Higman [7] in 1957. The finite soluble *CP*-groups were classified by him as follows (see [7], Theorem 1):

Theorem 3.11. *Let G be a soluble group all of whose elements have prime power order. Let p be the prime such that G has a normal p -subgroup greater than 1, and let P be the greatest normal p -subgroup of G . Then G/P is either*

(i) a cyclic group whose order is a power of a prime other than p ; or (ii) a generalized quaternion group, p being odd; or (iii) a group of order $p^a q^b$ with cyclic Sylow subgroups, q being a prime of the form $kp^a + 1$. Thus G has order divisible by at most two primes, and G/P is metabelian.

Now we prove some results about CP -groups.

Lemma 3.12. *Every finite CP -group is PNC .*

Proof. Note that a finite group is CP iff every abelian subgroup of G is of prime power order. Now the result follows from definition. ?

Remark. Unlike the case of SBP -groups, if G is solvable CP -group with $F(G)$ a p -group, a p -central extension of G need not be PNC . For example, S_4 is a solvable CP -group but $S_4 \times Z_2$ is not a PNC -group as $A_3 \times Z_2$ has not small automizer. However we have the following result.

Theorem 3.13. *Let G be a solvable CP -group of order $p^n q$ with $p > q$. Then a p -central extension of G is a PNC -group.*

Proof. Let H be a p -central extension of G , with $H/A \cong G$, where $A \subseteq Z(H)$. Since G is CP , A can not be a proper subgroup of $Z(H)$ and so $A = Z(H)$. Let K be an abelian non p -subgroup of H . Then KA/A is an abelian subgroup of H/A which is CP , being isomorphic to G . Therefore $|K/K \cap A| = |KA/A| = q$. This gives that $K = TQ$, where $T = K \cap A$ and Q is a Sylow- q subgroup of K . Clearly, $AQ \subseteq C_H(TQ)$. Now we shall show that $N_H(TQ) \subseteq AQ$. First note that $N_G S = S$, where S is a Sylow- q subgroup of G . For if $|N_G S| = p^r q$, for some positive integer $r \geq 1$. Then, since $p > q$, G will contain an element of order pq , which is not possible. Hence $AQ/A = N_{H/A}(AQ/A) = N_H(AQ)/A$. This implies that $N_H(AQ) = AQ$. Now we have $N_H(TQ) \subseteq N_H(AQ) = AQ$. Hence $N_H(TQ) \subseteq AQ \subseteq C_H(TQ)$. This completes the proof. ?

Proposition 3.14. *Let G be a non abelian solvable group with an abelian maximal normal subgroup. If G is a PNC -group, then $|G| = p^n q$, where p, q are primes (need not be distinct) and n is some positive integer.*

Proof. First note that a maximal normal subgroup of a solvable group is of prime index. Now if H is an abelian maximal normal subgroup but not a p -group, then $N_G H = C_G H$. It gives that G is abelian, a contradiction. Hence H is a p -group. Now the result follows. ?

Corollary 3.15. D_{2n} is a PNC -group iff $n = p^k$, for some prime p and positive integer k .

Proof. Since $\langle r \rangle$ (where $r \in D_{2n}$ is an element of order n) is an abelian maximal normal subgroup of D_{2n} , from the above proposition $\langle r \rangle$ is a p -group. Now the result follows. Conversely, it is easy to observe that, if $n = p^r$, then D_{2n} is PNC . In fact in this case D_{2n} is CP . ?

We have seen in the section 2 that nilpotent PNC groups are p -group. However if we impose a weaker condition on G , namely the condition of minimal non nilpotent, then we have the following result.

Theorem 3.16. G is a minimal non nilpotent PNC -group iff $G \cong [F(G)]Q$, where $F(G)$ is a Sylow- p subgroup of G and Q is a cyclic subgroup of order q acting non trivially on $[F(G)]$ and every proper non p -subgroup of G is abelian.

Proof. Let G be a minimal non nilpotent PNC group. Then G is solvable and so $F(G)$ is a p -group. Since every proper subgroup is nilpotent, $F(G)$ is a maximal normal subgroup of G and so $[G : F(G)] = q$, for some prime $q \neq p$. Also from Corollary 2.3, it follows that every proper non p -subgroup of G is abelian. Since G is non nilpotent, Sylow- q subgroup is non normal. So let Q be a Sylow- q subgroup of G . Then clearly, $G \cong [F(G)]Q$ and Q , being non normal, acts non trivially on $F(G)$.

Conversely, assume that $G \cong [F(G)]Q$, where $F(G)$ is a Sylow- p subgroup of G and Q is a cyclic subgroup of order q acting non trivially on $[F(G)]$ and every proper non p -subgroup of G is abelian. Since the action of Q on $F(G)$ is non trivial, G is non nilpotent. Also every non p -subgroup of G is abelian, so G is minimal non nilpotent. Now since $F(G)$ is a p -group, no proper non p -subgroup of G is normal. Therefore $N_G(H) = C_G(H)$, for every abelian non p -subgroup of G . This completes the proof. ?

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to the referee for the comments which improved the quality of the paper.

References

- [1] An, L. J., Qu, H. P., Xu, M. Y., and Yang, C. S., Quasi-NC groups, *Communications in Algebra*, **36:11** (2008), 4011-4019.
- [2] Bai, Pengfei., and Guo, Xiuyun., Finite Groups in Which the Automizers of Some Abelian Subgroups are Trivial, *Algebra Colloquium* **25:04** (2018), 701-712.

- [3] Brandl, R., Deaconescu, M., Finite groups with small automizers for their nonabelian subgroups, *Glasgow Math. J.* **41(1)** (1999), 59-64.
- [4] Bray, Henry G., Between Nilpotent and Solvable, *Polygonal Publishing House*, USA, 1982.
- [5] Delgado Alberto L. and Wu. Yu-Fen, On locally finite groups in which every element has prime power order, *Illinois Journal of Mathematics* **46:3** (2002), 885-891.
- [6] Goheen, H. E., On a theorem of Zassenhaus, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5** (1954), 799-800.
- [7] Higman, G., Finite groups in which every element has prime power order, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **32** (1957), 335-342.
- [8] Li, S. R., The Structure of NC-Groups, *Journal of Algebra* **241** (2001), 611-619.
- [9] Meng. Wei, Yao. Hailou and Lu. Jiakuan, Finite groups whose automizers of all abelian subgroups are either small or large, *Communications in Algebra*, **47:2** (2019), 684-688.
- [10] Zassenhaus, H., A group theoretic proof of a theorem of MacLagan-Wedderburn, *Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc.* **1** (1952), 53-63.

Ritesh Dwivedi

Pra yagraj, India.

E-mail: riteshgrouptheory@gmail.com