

HERMITIAN LIE ALGEBROIDS OVER ANALYTIC SPACES

ABHISHEK SARKAR

ABSTRACT. We develop some important properties of complex Riemannian geometry for complex algebraic varieties and study Hermitian metrics on analytic spaces. Then we define Hermitian metrics on a holomorphic Lie algebroid and consider the associated characteristic foliation with canonically induced inner product. Moreover, we consider an example of a Hermitian Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} and describe the induced inner product on a special \mathcal{L} -invariant subspace. Later, we consider hypercohomologies associated with leaf spaces, leaves and some \mathcal{L} -invariant subspaces for the characteristic foliation $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$ of a holomorphic Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{a} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ over a Hermitian manifold X . Also, we extend the notion equivariant de Rham cohomology in the analytic case.

1. INTRODUCTION

Riemannian manifolds are a classical concept in differential geometry, where a smooth manifold with metric or its tangent bundle with inner product have defined [Mor01]. In the context of complex geometry, as an analogue of Riemannian manifolds, we have two kind of objects for study, one is Hermitian manifolds [GH78] and another one is holomorphic Riemannian manifold [DZ09, LeB83]. Here, we extend some of the theories of metrics on complex manifolds to metrics on analytic spaces or complex algebraic varieties. For that first we consider some important properties of complex Riemannian geometry for complex algebraic varieties and Hermitian metrics on analytic spaces, using the notions of complex Riemannian geometry [DZ09, LeB83], Riemannian Rinehart space [PVdV16b] and Hermitian manifolds. Here we consider an analytic subspace Y of a Hermitian manifold X and find the condition for which Y inherits a metric from X . For that we need to consider the coherent sheaves of Lie-Rinehart algebras or Lie algebroids, namely the sheaf of logarithmic derivations $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ for $Y \subset X$ and the tangent sheaf \mathcal{T}_Y of Y [MS22]. Note that, Lie algebroids in the algebro-geometric settings allows one to treat several geometric structures, such as Poisson analytic spaces, singular foliations (or generalized involutive distributions) in a unified manner [Pym13, MS22]. More generally, to study calculus on smooth (i.e. non-singular) and singular geometric objects (known as algebraic spaces) from a common framework, we need to consider Lie algebroids in the generalized set up [Pym13, Sch19, Kap07, MS22].

We consider an analogue of the Levi-Civita connection for a Hermitian manifold X , provides a covariant connection on an analytic subspace Y , satisfying compatibility condition with the induced metric. For a complex manifold X , locally one gets the standard Hermitian metric and unique Levi-Civita connection (standard covariant derivative). We globalize these inner products and connections by considering a smooth partition of unity associated with an atlas of X . Thus, altogether it provides an analogue of Riemannian geometry over smooth manifolds, in the context of singular spaces by using algebro-geometric language. After that, we derive a relationship between Atiyah algebroid $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{I})$ over a principal ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} and

¹AMS Mathematics Subject Classification : 17B66, 32C35, 53C07.

Key words and phrases. Lie algebroids, tangent sheaf, Hermitian metrics, characteristic foliations, analytic de Rham cohomology.

The author acknowledge support from the C.S.I.R. fellowship grant 2015 .

sheaf of logarithmic derivations $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ over the associated principal divisor $Y := V(\mathcal{I})$ for a Hermitian manifold X .

In [Bou11], the author have extended the concept of inner products (or Riemannian metrics) on smooth vector bundles to Riemannian metrics on (smooth) Lie algebroids [Mac05]. On the otherhand, we have Hermitian metrics on holomorphic vector bundles [GH78]. These ideas lead to define Hermitian metrics on holomorphic Lie algebroids and more generally for Lie algebroids over some special analytic spaces. Then we consider leaves (or orbits) and \mathcal{L} -invariant subspaces associated to the characteristic foliation $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$ of some Hermitian Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{a} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$, with induced inner product. As an example, we discuss about a standard Hermitian Lie algebroid structure on the cotangent sheaf $\mathcal{L} := \Omega_X^1$ of the 3-dimensional complex Euclidean space $X := \mathbb{C}^3$ equipped with a canonical Poisson manifold structure. Then we show that for some \mathcal{L} -invariant subspace $Y := V(\mathcal{I})$ where $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}$ (following an example from [Pym13]), we have a canonically induced Hermitian Lie algebroid structure on its tangent sheaf.

In [Sch74] and [Hei73], de Rham cohomologies for the (smooth) leaf space and leaves associated to a smooth foliation on a Riemannian manifold has been studied. Here we present a counterpart in the complex geometry context, namely for singular foliations on a Hermitian manifold and discuss on its leaves or orbits. To study the cohomology theory we use hypercohomologies, which coincides with canonical singular cohomology for non-singular cases by using analytic de Rham theorem. After that, as a continuation of the cohomology theory we consider equivariant analytic de Rham cohomology and extend it to equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology. For that first we define G -analytic sub spaces of a complex manifold with a complex Lie group G -action. Then we consider the hypercohomology of the associated orbit space. To consider this we follow Lie-Rinehart cohomology for quotient of singularities by finite group [EG10].

In Section 2, we consider complex Riemannian geometry of affine varieties and Hermitian metrics on analytic spaces. Then in Section 3, we define Hermitian metrics on holomorphic Lie algebroids and study the associated characteristic foliations with induced metrics. In Section 4, we consider analytic de Rham cohomologies for leaves, leaf space of a holomorphic foliation on a Hermitian manifold. In Section 5, we consider equivariant Lie algebroid cohomologies in the analytic geometry set up.

2. RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY OVER ALGEBRAIC SPACES

Riemannian geometry over a smooth manifold is a classical topic in differential geometry. For a smooth manifold we can consider an inner product on its tangent bundle (see [Wei13], [Swa62]), from that a Riemannian metric appears. More generally, in [Bou11] Lie algebroid over a smooth manifold with an inner product is studied (Riemannian metric on a Lie algebroid). In [PVdV16b], the authors studied Riemannian manifolds as Lie-Rinehart algebras, generalizes the concept of inner product on the $C^\infty(M)$ -module $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ of vector fields on M to general R -modules.

Here we consider an analogue of these, in the context of complex (analytic or algebraic) geometry. For that we consider special type of locally ringed spaces (known as algebraic spaces [MS22]).

2.1. Complex Riemannian Geometry of affine varieties. In [PVdV16b, Pes17], the authors have considered Riemannian Rinehart spaces and some of the associated quotient Rinehart spaces as an algebraic generalization of submanifold theory of Riemannian geometry. Here we consider some of the key objects from it and describe relationships among them.

Let \mathcal{O} be a \mathbb{C} -algebra and \mathcal{I} be a finitely presented ideal (prime ideal) of \mathcal{O} . Consider

the standard Rinehart space is

$$\mathcal{A} := (\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{X} := \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}), \Omega := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}))$$

and the induced quotient Rinehart space of \mathcal{A} is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}} := (\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}, \mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}} := \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}), \Omega_{\mathcal{I}} := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}}(\mathfrak{X}_{\mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})).$$

For the case of polynomial algebra $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the spaces \mathcal{A} and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{I}}$ are associated with geometry of affine algebraic set (affine variety) $Y := V(\mathcal{I})$ inside the affine space $X := \mathbb{A}^n$, otherwise we can think it as geometry of affine scheme $Y = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I})$ associated with $X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O})$. In the special case, we use the canonical action of differential 1-forms on derivations to consider a dual pair

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, (\omega, D) \mapsto \langle \omega, D \rangle := w(D)$$

and consider standard inner product on space of derivations

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X : \mathfrak{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}, (D_1, D_2) \mapsto \langle D_1, D_2 \rangle_X.$$

In the special case, these operations are defined by (using standard notations)

$$\langle df, \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_{x_i} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \text{ and } \langle \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_{x_i}, \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \partial_{x_i} \rangle_X = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i g_i$$

respectively, where $\partial_{x_i} := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ and $f, f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{O}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $df(D) = D(f)$ for $D \in \mathfrak{X}$. Similar ideas are present in the above references by a more algebraic approach. Now we construct some Rinehart spaces, for that first we need to consider some special \mathcal{O} -modules given as follows.

$\mathfrak{X}^T := \{D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}) \mid D(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}\}$ consists with such derivations which induces derivations on \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} . In the special case it represents space of vector fields tangent to Y .

$\mathfrak{X}^{\perp} := \{D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}) \mid \langle D, \mathfrak{X}^T \rangle \subset \mathcal{I}\}$ consists with derivations whose elements represent vector fields normal to Y in \mathbb{A}^n in the special case.

Consider the \mathcal{O} -module $\Omega^{\perp} := \{w \in \Omega \mid w = fdg \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{O}, g \in \mathcal{I}\}$ consists with some special differential 1-forms. Thus, we can express \mathfrak{X}^T and Ω^{\perp} as follows $\mathfrak{X}^T = \{D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}) \mid \langle \Omega^{\perp}, D \rangle \subset \mathcal{I}\}$ and $\Omega^{\perp} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{X}^T, \mathcal{I})$.

$\mathfrak{X}^0 := \{D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}) \mid \langle \Omega, D \rangle \subset \mathcal{I}\}$ and in the special case each of this derivations (or vector fields) induces a zero derivation on \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I} (or vector field vanishes on Y).

$\Omega^0 := \{w \in \Omega \mid \langle w, \mathfrak{X} \rangle \subset \mathcal{I}\}$ and in the special case each of this differential 1-forms vanishes on Y .

Consider the quotient map $\rho : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{I}$, a surjective \mathbb{K} -algebra homomorphism, provide the quotient morphism of Lie-Rinehart algebras $\rho_* : \mathfrak{X}^T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_Y$ defined by $D \mapsto \tilde{D}$. It is well defined because if we take $[f] = [g]$ that is $f - g \in \mathcal{I}$ for some $f, g \in \mathcal{O}$ then $D(f - g) \in \mathcal{I}$ for any $D \in \mathfrak{X}^T$ which implies $\tilde{D}([f]) = [D(f)] = [D(g)] = \tilde{D}([g])$. Note that, if $D \in \mathfrak{X}^0, D' \in \mathfrak{X}^T, f \in \mathcal{O}$ then $[D, D'](f) = D(D'f) - D'(Df) \in \mathcal{I}$. Thus, we have become a Lie ideal

$$\text{Ker } \rho_* = \{D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}) \mid D(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{I}\} = \mathfrak{X}^0 \subset \mathfrak{X}^T.$$

Note that the differential $d : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega$ provides a Lie-Rinehart homomorphism

$$\tilde{d} : \mathfrak{X}^T \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \text{ defined as } \tilde{d}D(f) = df(D)$$

for any $f \in \mathcal{O}$ and $D \in \mathfrak{X}^T$. Thus $\mathcal{A}^T := (\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{X}^T, \Omega)$ is a Rinehart subspace of \mathcal{A} .

The differential $d : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega$ induces $\tilde{d} := d|_{\mathcal{I}} : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \Omega^{\perp}$, which provides a natural $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{I})$ -Lie-Rinehart algebra homomorphism $\tilde{d} : \mathfrak{X}^T \rightarrow \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{I})$ (if $f \in \mathcal{O}, g \in \mathcal{I}; D \in \mathfrak{X}^T$ then $\langle fdg, D \rangle = \langle dg, fD \rangle = f D(g) \in \mathcal{I}$). Thus we get a Rinehart space $(\mathcal{I}, \mathfrak{X}^T, \Omega^{\perp})$.

Since $\omega \in \Omega$, provides $\tilde{\omega} : \mathfrak{X}^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ such that $\tilde{\omega}(gD) = g \omega(D)$ for all $g \in \mathcal{I}$ and $D \in \mathfrak{X}$, thus $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{X}^0, \mathcal{I}) \cong \Omega$. Therefore, $(\mathcal{I}, \mathfrak{X}^T, \Omega \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{X}^0, \mathcal{I}))$ is a Rinehart space.

Remark 2.1. *All the above described Rinehart spaces can be considered as Riemannian Rinehart spaces by considering usual Riemannian Rinehart space structure on $(\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{X} := \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}), \Omega := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{O}))$.*

Define $\Omega^k := \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(\wedge_{\mathcal{O}}^k \mathfrak{X}^0, \mathcal{I})$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathcal{I} can be think as a module over the $(\mathbb{C}, \mathcal{I})$ -Lie-Rinehart algebra \mathfrak{X}^T . Now since \mathfrak{X}^0 is a Lie ideal of \mathfrak{X}^T , we can extend the differential $d : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \Omega^1$ by induction. The \mathcal{O} -module Ω^k is a \mathfrak{X}^T -module given by the action as follows (since \mathfrak{X}^0 is a Lie ideal of \mathfrak{X}^T , using ideas from [HS53])

$$(D \cdot w)(D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k) = D(w(D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k)) - \sum_{i=1}^k w(D_1, \dots, D_{i-1}, [D, D_i], \dots, D_k),$$

where $D \in \mathfrak{X}^T, D_i \in \mathfrak{X}^0$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) and $w \in \Omega^k$. If $w \in \Omega^{k+1}$, then for any $D' \in \mathfrak{X}^0$ we can form $w_{D'} \in \Omega^k$, defined by $w_{D'}(D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k) = w(D', D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k)$ and $D \cdot w_{D'} = (D \cdot w)_{D'} + w_{[D, D']}$. The k -th exterior differential $d : \Omega^k \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}$ is defined by $d(D \cdot w) = D \cdot (dw)$ where $(dw)_D = D \cdot w - d(w_D)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} dw(D_1, D_2, \dots, D_k, D_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} D_i(w(D_1, D_2, \dots, \hat{D}_i, \dots, D_{k+1})) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} w([D_i, D_j], D_1, D_2, \dots, \hat{D}_i, \dots, \hat{D}_j, \dots, D_k, D_{k+1}). \end{aligned}$$

For the Rinehart space $(\mathcal{O}, \mathfrak{X}^T, \Omega)$, we can describe cohomology theory in the similar way. Recall that for $f \in \mathcal{O}$ and $D, D' \in \mathfrak{X}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(df)(D, D') &= D(\langle df, D' \rangle) - D'(\langle df, D \rangle) - df([D, D']) \\ &= (D \circ D' - D' \circ D)(f) - [D, D'](f) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By induction we can show that $d \circ d = 0$ in each degree and $(\bigoplus_{k=0}^n \Omega^k, d)$ is a differential graded algebra (DGA).

Most of the notions up to now followed from the paper [PVdV16b] except the cohomology part. All of these concepts form a local model in algebraic geometry. Globalize these ideas using sheaf theory provides an analogue of Riemannian geometry of algebraic variety (and more generally for schemes). We consider the corresponding global version in the complex geometry context.

From now we use these kind of ideas to do complex Riemannian (Hermitian) geometry for analytic spaces. It help to generalize submanifold theory of a Riemannian manifold in classical differential geometry.

Remark 2.2. *In [DZ09, LeB83, PVdV16a], the authors have considered holomorphic Riemannian manifold as a complex manifold X endowed with a holomorphic Riemannian metric. A holomorphic Riemannian metric on a complex manifold X is a holomorphic section of the tensor bundle $\otimes^2 T^*X$ (i.e., a holomorphic covariant 2-tensor) which is symmetric and non-degenerate, in otherwords it is a holomorphic field of non degenerate complex quardetic forms on the holomorphic tangent bundle TX . For example, consider $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with the global flat holomorphic Riemannian metric $\sum_{j=1}^n (dz_j)^{\otimes 2}$ (similar to the above context) where $\{z_j\}_{j=1}^n$ is the standard coordinate system and take any quotient of \mathbb{C}^n by a lattice with induced metric.*

2.2. Hermitian metrics on analytic spaces. By following the concepts of Hermitian metric on a complex vector bundle [GH78] and Riemannian manifolds as Lie-Rinehart algebras [PVdV16b, Pes17], we consider an analogue of Hermitian metric in the context of complex geometry over analytic spaces.

Let $\{(U_i, \phi_i)\}_i$ be a holomorphic atlas of a complex manifold X (dimension of $X = n$), i.e. $\phi_i : U_i \rightarrow V$ is a biholomorphism with some proper open subset V of \mathbb{C}^n . Then we can induce an inner product locally on the tangent bundle TX from the standard inner product of $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and globalize it by using a C^∞ -partition of unity associated to the open cover of X . This give us a \mathbb{C} -bilinear, conjugate-symmetric, positive non degenerate inner product on each tangent space $T_x X$ (at $x \in X$) of X , varies smoothly, i.e. pointwise positive definite Hermitian inner products $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x : T_x X \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \overline{T_x X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induces a C^∞ -map

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \rightarrow (TX \otimes \overline{TX})^* \text{ given by} \\ x \mapsto \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$$

for any $x \in X$, known as positive-definite Hermitian form on TX . Note that $\mathcal{T}_X := \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ (sheaf of derivations over the sheaf of holomorphic functions \mathcal{O}_X), isomorphic to the sheaf of sections of the tangent bundle over X , known as tangent sheaf of X . Thus, on an open set U_i associated with a chart we get $C^\infty(U_i)$ -module homomorphism given by

$$(1) \quad \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_i} : \mathcal{T}_X(U_i) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)} \overline{\mathcal{T}_X(U_i)} \rightarrow C_X^\infty(U_i),$$

which is positive definite Hermitian form. Now, by using a C^∞ -partition of unity $\{f_i\}_i$ for the open cover $\{U_i\}_i$, we can form a Hermitian inner product on X as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X := \sum_i f_i \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_i}$$

i.e.,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X : \mathcal{T}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \overline{\mathcal{T}_X} \rightarrow C_X^\infty$$

given by $\langle D, D' \rangle_X = \sum_i f_i \langle D|_{U_i}, D'|_{U_i} \rangle_{U_i}$ for sections D, D' are in \mathcal{T}_X . Such an inner product on the complex vector bundle TX is called a Hermitian metric on X and the complex manifold with a Hermitian metric is called a Hermitian manifold. The notions $\overline{T_x X}$, $\overline{\mathcal{T}_X(U_i)}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{T}_X}$ are complex conjugates in the respective domains.

Remark 2.3. *On a chart $(U, (x_1, \dots, x_n))$ of a complex manifold, a Hermitian metric is given by $\sum_{i=1}^n h_{ij} dx_i \otimes d\bar{x}_j$ where $h_{ij}(x) = \langle \partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j} \rangle_x$ is determined by the Hermitian inner product on $T_x X$. The standard Hermitian inner product on an open subset U of \mathbb{C}^n is given by $\langle \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \partial_{x_i}, \sum_{j=1}^n g_j \partial_{x_j} \rangle_U = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \bar{g}_i$, for all $f_i, g_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n}(U)$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).*

Note 2.4. *The concept of a Hermitian metric on a complex manifold, i.e. a Hermitian inner product on its tangent bundle extends to the idea of considering a Hermitian metric on a complex vector bundle, by considering smoothly varying fiber-wise Hermitian inner product (see [GH78] for details).*

Note 2.5. *We extend the idea of complex conjugate of a complex vector bundle on a complex manifold X (see [GH78]) by considering complex conjugate on its sheaf of sections of \mathcal{O}_X -modules and we use the sheaf of smooth functions on X by considering underlying smooth structure of the complex manifold.*

Analogously, we define Hermitian metric on a holomorphic vector bundle in the algebro-geometric approach, by considering positive-definite Hermitian forms on the associated sheaf of sections.

Recall the definition of a Lie algebroid in the algebro-geometric language [Pym13, Bru17, MS22].

Definition 2.6. Lie algebroids over an analytic space : *A Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} over an analytic space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a coherent sheaf of $(\mathbb{C}_X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ -Lie-Rinehart algebras.*

That is \mathcal{L} is a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module and a \mathbb{C}_X -Lie algebra equipped with a morphism of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\mathfrak{a} : (\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot]) \rightarrow (\text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X), [\cdot, \cdot]_c)$, called the anchor map.

The map \mathbf{a} is also a morphism of \mathbb{C}_X -Lie algebras and satisfying the Leibniz rule: $[D, fD'] = f[D, D'] + \mathbf{a}(D)(f)D'$ for sections $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ and $D, D' \in \mathcal{L}$.

We denote this Lie algebroid as $(\mathcal{L}, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathbf{a})$ or simply by \mathcal{L} .

Example 2.7. Let $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ be an ideal sheaf of a complex manifold (X, \mathcal{O}_X) . The sheaf of logarithmic derivations $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log \mathcal{I}) := \{D \in \mathcal{T}_X \mid D(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}\}$ for an analytic space $(Y := V(\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$ in (X, \mathcal{O}_X) has a canonical Lie algebroid structure [Pym13, MS22]. Also, the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_X := \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ of X and $\mathcal{T}_Y := \text{Der}_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ of Y has the standard Lie algebroid structure.

We have quotient map $\rho : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{O}_Y$ given by $f \mapsto [f]$, a surjective \mathbb{C}_X -algebra homomorphism. Consider the canonical surjective map $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y$ defined by $\tilde{\rho}(D) = \tilde{D}$ where $\tilde{D}([f]) = [D(f)]$ (since $D(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}$ for all $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$, the assignment given by $\tilde{\rho}$ is well defined). Thus, $\text{Ker } \tilde{\rho} = \mathcal{IT}_X := \mathcal{T}_X^0$.

Remark 2.8. A locally free Lie algebroid over a complex manifold is equivalent to a holomorphic Lie algebroid [Pym13], where a holomorphic Lie algebroid is defined as an analogue of smooth Lie algebras [Mac05] in the holomorphic category. These are the classical cases, where we have Lie-Rinehart algebra structures on the space of sections of the smooth or holomorphic vector bundles [MS22].

We consider Hermitian metrics on Lie algebroids as a generalization of Hermitian manifold. For that first we prove some results related to Hermitian manifold. Then we define the analogue for Lie algebroids over analytic spaces with Hermitian inner product and consider some of its special cases.

Theorem 2.9. Let X be a Hermitian manifold and U an open set contained in some chart of X . Let $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$ such that $\langle D, D' \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(U)$ for all $D' \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$. Then $D \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$, and moreover for any global section \tilde{D} of \mathcal{T}_X if locally this result holds, then it implies that $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(X)$.

Proof. Let x_1, \dots, x_n be the co-ordinate functions on the chart U . Then $D = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \partial_{x_i}$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. Take $D' = \partial_{x_j}$ and thus we get $g_j = \langle \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \partial_{x_i}, \partial_{x_j} \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(U)$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. Hence, $D \in \mathcal{I}(U)\mathcal{T}_X(U) = \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$ (if $D(\mathcal{O}_X(U)) \subset \mathcal{I}(U)$ then $g_j = D(x_j) \in \mathcal{I}(U)$ and if $D \in \mathcal{I}(U)\mathcal{T}_X(U)$ then $D(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \partial_{x_i}(f) \in \mathcal{I}(U)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$).

Let $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{T}_X(X)$. Then for each point $x \in X$ there exist an open set U_x contained in some chart such that the stalk of \tilde{D} at x say D_x satisfies the previous condition, then $D_x \in \mathcal{T}_{X,x}^0$. Therefore, $\tilde{D} \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(X)$. \square

Since $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is a principal ideal sheaf (that is Y become a hypersurface singularities), for each point $x \in X$ there is an open neighborhood U (contained in some chart) containing x such that $\mathcal{I}(U)$ is generated by some $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, i.e. $\mathcal{I}(U) = \langle g \rangle$. Then for any $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$, define

$$(2) \quad D^T := D - \langle D, \nabla g \rangle \nabla g$$

where

$$\nabla g = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(g) \partial_{x_j} \in \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}(U)$$

is the gradient of $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ and $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ is the sheaf of normals on Y inside X . To show $D^T = D - \langle D, \nabla g \rangle \nabla g \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)(U)$, we need to check $D^T(fg) \in \mathcal{I}(U)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$. Notice that we have the following identity

$$\begin{aligned} D^T(fg) &= D(fg) - D(g) \nabla(g)(fg) \\ &= D(f)g + fD(g) - D(g)(\nabla g(f)g + f\nabla(g)(g)) \\ &= (D - D(g)\nabla g)(f)g + D(g)f(1 - \langle \nabla g, \nabla g \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

In the above equation the first term is in the ideal $\langle g \rangle = \mathcal{I}(U)$ and the second term also is in $\mathcal{I}(U)$ if the condition

$$(3) \quad 1 - \langle \nabla g, \nabla g \rangle \in \mathcal{I}(U)$$

holds. In the special case of complex submanifold Y of X , of codimension 1, we choose an atlas of X such that Y is locally represented as $\{x_i = 0\}$ for some coordinate function x_i in some chart of X . In that case we have the identity

$$\langle \nabla g, \nabla g \rangle = \nabla g(g) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(g) \cdot \partial_{x_j}(g) = 1$$

(putting $g = x_i$) and so the required condition holds. But in general, the condition (3) does not hold for hypersurface singularities. Like when we consider the normal crossing divisor $Y = V(xy)$ in $X = \mathbb{C}^2$, we face difficulties. In this case the principal ideal sheaf is $\mathcal{I} = \langle xy \rangle$ and \mathcal{O}_X is the sheaf of holomorphic functions. Thus, the sheaf of logarithmic derivations is $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) = \langle \{x\partial_x, y\partial_y\} \rangle$ and the sheaf of normals $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X} = \langle y\partial_x + x\partial_y \rangle$. Since here $g = xy$, we get $\langle \nabla g, \nabla g \rangle = \nabla g(g) = x^2 + y^2$. Now, $1 - \langle \nabla g, \nabla g \rangle = 1 - \nabla g(g) = 1 - (x^2 + y^2) \notin \langle \{xy\} \rangle$.

Therefore if the condition holds, we get the Lie-Rinehart algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_X(U) &\xrightarrow{(\cdot)^T} \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)(U) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \mathcal{T}_Y(Y \cap U) \text{ defined by} \\ D &\mapsto D^T \mapsto \widetilde{D^T} := \bar{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in each of the charts we have these morphisms which are compatible with any open subsets of a chart. Induced morphisms provides stalkwise morphisms of Lie-Rinehart algebras, sheafifying it we get the homomorphisms of Lie algebroids (coherent sheaf of Lie-Rinehart algebras as described in [Pym13, MS22])

$$\mathcal{T}_X \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^T} \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \mathcal{T}_Y,$$

locally defined by the above way. We denote the morphism $\rho_* \circ (\cdot)^T$ by π .

Note: we use the fact that $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X \subset C_X^\infty$ holds for a complex manifold X . Thus we can consider the quotient sheaf $C_Y^\infty := C_X^\infty / \mathcal{I}$ on Y .

Theorem 2.10. *Let X be a Hermitian manifold and Y an analytic subspace of X satisfying the condition (3). Then Y inherits from X , an \mathcal{O}_Y -bilinear form which is locally determined by the equation: for any $\bar{D}, \bar{D}' \in \mathcal{T}_Y(U)$, $\langle \bar{D}, \bar{D}' \rangle_{Y \cap U} := \rho(U)(\langle D, D' \rangle_U)$ for some $D, D' \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$ where U is an open set contained in a chart of X , such that $\pi(U)(D) = \rho_*(U)(D^T) = \bar{D}$ and $\pi(U)(D') = \rho_*(U)(D'^T) = \bar{D}'$ holds.*

Proof. Well definedness: Let $D, D'' \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$ such that $\pi(U)(D) = \rho_*(U)(D^T) = \bar{D} = \rho_*(U)(D''^T) = \pi(U)(D'')$. Then $\pi(U)(D - D'') = \bar{0}$, i.e. $D - D'' \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$ and hence $\langle D - D'', D' \rangle_U \in \mathcal{I}(U)$. Thus, $\rho(U)(\langle D - D'', D' \rangle_U) = \bar{0}$ or $\rho(U)(\langle D, D' \rangle_U) = \rho(U)(\langle D'', D' \rangle_U)$. Therefore, for an open set U_i associated with a chart we have the induced $\mathcal{O}_Y|_{Y \cap U_i}$ -bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{Y \cap U_i}$ on $Y \cap U_i$ as defined in the statement. Now using the C^∞ -partition of unity $\{f_i|_{Y \cap U_i}\}$ of Y , we can form the induced \mathcal{O}_Y -bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Y$ on Y as

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Y := \sum_i f_i|_{Y \cap U_i} \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{Y \cap U_i}$$

induces a sheaf homomorphism of \mathcal{O}_Y -modules

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Y : \mathcal{T}_Y \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} \overline{\mathcal{T}_Y} \rightarrow C_Y^\infty.$$

Note: This is a global counterpart of a result that appeared in [PVdV16b, Pes17]. \square

Remark 2.11. *Conjugate-symmetry of this induced bilinear form on \mathcal{T}_Y appears from the conjugate-symmetry of the inner product on \mathcal{T}_X as follows*
 $\langle \bar{D}, \bar{D}' \rangle_{Y \cap U} := \rho(U)(\langle D, D' \rangle_U) = \rho(U)(\langle D', D \rangle_U) = \langle \bar{D}', \bar{D} \rangle_{Y \cap U}$. *But positive non*

degeneracy property (or positive definiteness) is not induced naturally: if for any $\bar{D} \in \mathcal{T}_Y(Y \cap U)$ such that $\langle \bar{D}, \bar{D}' \rangle_{Y \cap U} = \bar{0}$ holds for all $\bar{D}' \in \mathcal{T}_Y(Y \cap U)$, then $\langle D, D' \rangle_U \in \mathcal{I}(U)$ for all $D' \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)(U)$. This does not implies $D \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$ always, but here it holds using condition (3) and thus $\bar{D} = 0$. In that case we get an inner product structure on \mathcal{T}_Y , we call it induced metric on Y .

Remark 2.12. For a Hermitian manifold X , by considering the restriction of the Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathcal{T}_X to $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ where Y is an analytic subspace of X satisfying condition (3), provides a Hermitian inner product on $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$.

Covariant connection : For a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{E} of rank r (or a holomorphic vector bundle of rank r) over a complex manifold (X, \mathcal{O}_X) for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$, on each charts we have the standard covariant derivative as an $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ -linear map

$$\nabla^{U_i} : \mathcal{T}_X(U_i) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{E}(U_i), \mathcal{E}(U_i))$$

(associated with an open set U_i of some chart) satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{U_i}(fs) &= f\nabla^{U_i}s + df \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)} s \\ \text{i.e., } \nabla_{D'}^{U_i}(fs) &:= \nabla^{U_i}(fs)(D') = f\nabla_{D'}^{U_i}(s) + D'(f)s, \end{aligned}$$

for sections $f \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$, $D' \in \mathcal{T}_X(U_i)$ and $s \in \mathcal{E}(U_i)$. A covariant connection can be defined by the following local descriptions (see [Mor01])

$$\nabla_D^{U_i} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n g_i s_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n D(g_i) s_i$$

where $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U_i)$ and $\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{E}(U_i)$ (without loss of generality we are considering a common open cover $\{U_i\}_i$ of X where both $\mathcal{T}_X(U_i)$ and $\mathcal{E}(U_i)$ are free $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ -modules of finite ranks). Now by using the C^∞ -partitions of unity on this open cover of X we can form a sheaf homomorphism of C^∞ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla : \mathcal{T}_X &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E}) \text{ defined by} \\ \nabla &:= \sum_i f_i \nabla^{U_i}, \text{ i.e. } \nabla_D(s) = \sum_i f_i \nabla_D^{U_i}(s) \end{aligned}$$

for sections $D \in \mathcal{T}_X$ and $s \in \mathcal{E}$. In the special case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{T}_X$, we say that a covariant derivative ∇ is symmetric if for any open subset U of X we have

$$\nabla(U)_D D' - \nabla(U)_{D'} D = [D, D']_U, \text{ for all } D, D' \in \mathcal{T}_X(U).$$

and compatible with the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ if for any open subset U of X we have

$$D(\langle D', D'' \rangle_U) = \langle \nabla_D^U D', D'' \rangle_U + \langle D', \nabla_D^U D'' \rangle_U.$$

We call the covariant derivative connection as a Levi-Civita connection, if it is both symmetric and compatible with the inner product given on the tangent bundle. The standard covariant derivative on X is a Levi-Civita connection.

Remark 2.13. If $F \subset TX$ is an involutive subbundle of the tangent bundle of a Hermitian manifold X , then the corresponding normal bundle of F in TX provides a locally free sheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -module (as sheaf of sections). Thus it has a canonical covariant connection as described above. Later in Section 4 we use this concept to define generalized Bott connection.

Remark 2.14. The concepts of covariant connections extends to Lie algebroid connection in (smooth real) differential and complex geometric settings (see [Fer02, Pym13]). Consider the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ for an analytic subspace Y in X . If a \mathcal{L} -connection exists, it is called a logarithmic connection which is a meromorphic connection with poles along the divisor Y [Sar22].

Theorem 2.15. Let X be a Hermitian manifold with a Levi-Civita connection ∇ and Y an analytic space with the induced metric. Then Y inherits a Levi-Civita connection $\bar{\nabla}$ from the connection ∇ on X , determined by the equation:

$$\bar{\nabla}_D^U(\bar{D}') = \pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D'),$$

where $\bar{D} = \pi(U)(D)$, $\bar{D}' = \pi(U)(D')$ and U is an open set of X .

Proof. Well definedness: Let $\pi(U)(D) = \bar{D} = \pi(U)(D_1)$. Then $D'' := D - D_1 \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$, hence $\nabla_{D''}^U D' \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$ (since $D'' = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i D_i$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathcal{I}(U)$), thus $\nabla_{D''}^U D' = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i \nabla_{D_i}^U D' \in \mathcal{I}(U)\mathcal{T}_X(U)$. Therefore, $\pi(U)(\nabla_{D''}^U D') = \bar{0}$ and since we have

$$\bar{\nabla}_{D''}^U(\bar{D}') = \pi(U)(\nabla_{D''}^U D') = \pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D' - \nabla_{D_1}^U D'),$$

thus $\pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D') = \pi(U)(\nabla_{D_1}^U D')$. Now, let $\pi(U)(D') = \bar{D}' = \pi(U)(D'_1)$. Then $D'' := D' - D'_1 \in \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$, i.e. $D'' = \sum_{i=1}^k g_i D_i$ for some $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathcal{I}(U)$. Without loss of generality we can take $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)(U)$ because $\pi(U)(D) = \pi(U)(D^T)$ for every $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$ and hence $\nabla_D^U D'' = \sum_{i=1}^k D(g_i)D_i \in \mathcal{I}(U)\mathcal{T}_X(U) = \mathcal{T}_X^0(U)$. Thus, $\pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D'') = \bar{0}$ and since we have $\bar{\nabla}_D^U(\bar{D}'') = \pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D'') = \pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D' - \nabla_{D_1}^U D')$, hence $\pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D') = \pi(U)(\nabla_{D_1}^U D')$. Hence, the induced connection $\bar{\nabla}$ on Y is well defined.

Symmetry:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\nabla}_D^U(\bar{D}') - \bar{\nabla}_{D'}^U(\bar{D}) &= \pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D' - \nabla_{D'}^U D) \\ &= \pi(U)([D, D']_U) \\ &= [\pi(U)(D), \pi(U)(D')]_{Y \cap U} \\ &= [\bar{D}, \bar{D}']_{Y \cap U}. \end{aligned}$$

Compatibility:

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \bar{\nabla}_D^U(\bar{D}'), \bar{D}'' \rangle_U + \langle \bar{D}', \bar{\nabla}_D^U(\bar{D}'') \rangle_U \\ &= \langle \pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D'), \pi(U)(D'') \rangle + \langle \pi(U)(D'), \pi(U)(\nabla_D^U D'') \rangle_U \\ &= \rho(U)(\langle \nabla_D^U D', D'' \rangle_U + \langle D', \nabla_D^U D'' \rangle_U) \\ &= \rho(U)(D \langle D', D'' \rangle_U) = \pi(U)(D) \rho(U)(\langle D', D'' \rangle_U) \\ &= \bar{D}(\langle \bar{D}', \bar{D}'' \rangle_{Y \cap U}). \end{aligned}$$

Note: This is a global counterpart of a result that appeared in [PVdV16b, Pes17]. \square

Corollary 2.16. *If an analytic subspace Y of a Hermitian manifold X satisfies condition 3, i.e. Remark 2.11, then there exist an induced inner product and a Levi-civita connection on \mathcal{T}_Y (generalizes the theory of Riemannian submanifold).*

2.3. Relationship between Atiyah algebroid and logarithmic derivations.

Let Y be a smooth divisor (submanifold) of a complex manifold X of codimension 1. Thus, there exists an open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ such that the smooth divisor $Y = V(\mathcal{I})$ (vanishing set of an principal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$) where $\mathcal{I}(U_\alpha) = \langle f_\alpha \rangle$ and $X = N_Y$ (total space of the line bundle, namely the normal bundle of Y in X). Let \mathcal{N}_Y be the associated sheaf of sections of the line bundle $N_Y \rightarrow Y$ (see [MS22]).

For a (coherent) \mathcal{O}_X -module \mathcal{E} , the Atiyah algebroid (a Lie algebroid) $\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{E})$ consists of the sheaf of first order differential operators on \mathcal{E} [Pym13, Bru17, MS22], i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{E}) &= \{D \in \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{E}) \mid D(fs) = fD(s) + \sigma_D(f)s \text{ for a unique } \sigma_D \in \mathcal{T}_X, \\ &\quad \text{where } f \in \mathcal{O}_X \text{ and } s \in \mathcal{E}\}, \text{ with the anchor map defined by} \\ &\quad \mathfrak{a} : \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \text{ where } D \mapsto \sigma_D \end{aligned}$$

and the Lie bracket is commutator bracket. Hence, we get the short exact sequence (s.e.s.) of Lie algebroids over (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) as follows

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{N}_Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{N}_Y) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{T}_Y \rightarrow 0.$$

Here $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of rank 1. Thus, we have the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{A}t(\mathcal{N}_Y) \cong \mathcal{E}nd_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{N}_Y) \oplus \mathcal{T}_Y$$

as \mathcal{O}_Y -modules, see [Tor17] for details.

More generally, if \mathcal{I} is a principal ideal sheaf but not necessarily locally free then Y turns out to be a hypersurface with singularities. By considering a Hermitian inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{T}_X \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_X \rightarrow C_X^\infty$ on \mathcal{T}_X , we get the \mathcal{O}_X -module

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{N}_{Y/X} := \{D \in \mathcal{T}_X \mid \langle \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y), D \rangle \in \mathcal{I}\}.$$

It is called the sheaf of vector fields on X (or derivations of \mathcal{O}_X) that are normal to Y and the restriction of $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ on Y is the sheaf \mathcal{N}_Y . Thus in both situations, we get that $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}|_{U_\alpha} = \langle \nabla f_\alpha \rangle$ where $\nabla f_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{x_i}(f_\alpha) \partial_{x_i}$, $\alpha \in I$. Thus, ∇f_α is determined by $\{\partial_{x_i}(f_\alpha)\}_{i=1}^n$ fully depends on the f_α . An element of $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}(U_\alpha)$ depends on an element of $\mathcal{I}(U_\alpha)$ and we get an isomorphism between \mathcal{I} and $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ (locally defined as $gf_\alpha \mapsto g\nabla f_\alpha$ for any coefficient $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_\alpha)$) of \mathcal{O}_X -modules. Thus,

$$\text{At}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \cong \text{At}(\mathcal{I}).$$

Now, on a local section $D \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{I}(U_\alpha))$ satisfying

$$D(g f_\alpha) = g D(f_\alpha) + \sigma_D(g) f_\alpha,$$

for $g \in \mathcal{O}_X(U_\alpha)$ holds if and only if $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)(U_\alpha)$ or $D \in \mathcal{I}(U_\alpha)$, because for any $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)(U_\alpha)$ we get $D(g f_\alpha) = g D(f_\alpha) + D(g) f_\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(U_\alpha)$ and for any $h \in \mathcal{I}(U_\alpha)$ we get $h(g f_\alpha) = gh f_\alpha + 0$. Therefore, in the global case, we get

$$\text{At}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \cong \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \oplus \mathcal{I},$$

when Y is a free divisor (i.e. $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ is locally free).

Otherwise, we have the s.e.s. of \mathcal{O}_X -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \hookrightarrow \text{At}(\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \rightarrow 0,$$

for any divisor Y of X . Also, we have two interrelated short exact sequences

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^\perp} \mathcal{N}_{Y/X} \rightarrow 0 \text{ (of coherent } \mathcal{O}_X\text{-modules) and}$$

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X^0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}} \mathcal{T}_Y \rightarrow 0 \text{ (of Lie algebroids over analytic spaces).}$$

Here $D^\perp = \langle D, \nabla f_\alpha \rangle \nabla f_\alpha$ for any $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U_\alpha)$, $[D'] := \tilde{\rho}(D')$ is the equivalence class given by the quotient of the restriction map associated with an element $D' \in \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)(U_\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{T}_X^0 = \mathcal{I}\mathcal{T}_X$ is the space of vector fields that vanishes on Y (or derivations that sends \mathcal{O}_X to \mathcal{I}). The underlying algebraic concepts can be found in Section 2.1.

Note : As in the case of complex Riemannian geometry (discussed in Section 2.1), consider the normal crossing divisor $Y = V(\langle xy \rangle)$ in the complex manifold $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ with standard holomorphic Riemannian metric. Then, we have $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) = \langle \{x\partial_x, y\partial_y\} \rangle$ and $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X} = \langle \{y\partial_x + x\partial_y\} \rangle$. This is different from the usual Hermitian metric. If we take the restrictions of these sheaves on the divisor Y (that is \mathcal{T}_Y and \mathcal{N}_Y respectively) and consider their stalks then both are of rank 1 at $Y \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. The ranks changes at the singular point $\bar{0} := (0, 0)$ where $\mathcal{T}_{Y, \bar{0}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{0}}$ -module of rank 2 and $\mathcal{N}_{Y, \bar{0}}$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \bar{0}}$ -module of rank 0.

3. HERMITIAN METRICS ON LIE ALGEBROIDS

For a Riemannian manifold we have a symmetric, positive definite bilinear form on its tangent bundle (or inner product on the space of vector fields). In the paper [Bou11], it generalizes from tangent bundle to Lie algebroids over smooth manifolds, named as Riemannian metrics on Lie algebroids. Here we consider its counter-part in complex geometry context.

Definition 3.1. Hermitian Lie algebroids: Let $(L, \mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ be a holomorphic Lie algebroid over a complex manifold X . It is said to be a Hermitian Lie algebroid if there exist a Hermitian metric on the underlying holomorphic vector bundle L .

More generally, for a Lie algebroid $(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over a complex manifold (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , a Hermitian inner product on \mathcal{L} is a conjugate-symmetric, positive non degenerate

bilinear form (or Hermitian inner product) given by a homomorphism of sheaf of C^∞ -modules

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \bar{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow C_X^\infty,$$

by following the notations defined in Section 2.2 and extends accordingly. Then \mathcal{L} is called a Hermitian Lie algebroid over (X, \mathcal{O}_X) .

Remark 3.2. Smooth and holomorphic Lie algebroids is viewed as locally free Lie algebroids of finite rank in the generalized context [MS22].

A Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on a holomorphic Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{a} : L \rightarrow TX$ can be viewed as pointwise \mathbb{C} -bilinear conjugate-symmetric, positive non-degenerate maps $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$ varies smoothly on X and the map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \rightarrow (L \otimes \bar{L})^*$ given by $x \mapsto \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$. This is complex geometric analogue of the definition given in [Bou11] for Riemannian metrics on Lie algebroids.

Example 3.3. (1) Let X be a complex manifold. Then the tangent sheaf \mathcal{T}_X has standard Hermitian Lie algebroid structure over (X, \mathcal{O}_X) .

(2) Also, we can consider Hermitian metric on the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{T}_Y$ of an analytic subspace (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) if it satisfies condition (3), i.e. if Remark 2.11 holds.

Definition 3.4. Foliation : A singular (regular) foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex manifold X is a coherent subsheaf (locally free) of the sheaf of Lie-Rinehart algebras \mathcal{T}_X . It provides a generalized involutive (analytic) distribution on X and vice-versa.

In otherwords, a singular (regular) foliation \mathcal{F} on a complex manifold X is a subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_X -modules consists with holomorphic vector fields which are
(a) stable (or closed) under the Lie bracket (i.e., an involutive subsheaf of \mathcal{T}_X),
(b) locally finitely generated (free) over \mathcal{O}_X (i.e., a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module).

Example 3.5. For a Lie algebroid $(\mathcal{L}, \mathfrak{a}, [\cdot, \cdot])$ over a complex manifold (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , the image $\mathcal{F} := \mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L}) \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ of the anchor map forms a singular foliation.

Remark 3.6. In classical differential geometric setup, a foliation over a smooth manifold X is an involutive subbundle $F \rightarrow X$ of the tangent bundle $TX \rightarrow X$, called Frobenius distribution. Its sheaf of sections Γ_F provides a regular foliation in the smooth context, as defined above.

Definition 3.7. Leaves : An integral submanifold of a foliation \mathcal{F} (in both real smooth or complex analytic cases) is an immersed submanifold $Z \subset X$ (where X is smooth or complex manifold respectively) with the property that for all $p \in Z$,

$$T_p Z = \text{Span}\{D(p) \in T_p X \mid D \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

A leaf (or orbit) is a maximal connected integral submanifold.

Theorem 3.8. (1) (Stefan-Sussman) If \mathcal{F} is a singular foliation on a real smooth manifold X then there is a leaf through every point in X (see [Fer02]).

(2) (Nagano) If \mathcal{F} is a singular analytic distribution (foliation) on a complex manifold X then X is partitioned into leaves (see [Pym13]).

Note 3.9. In general, it is useful to consider analytic subspaces $Y \subset X$ that are union of leaves of the foliation $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$ for a Lie algebroid \mathcal{L} . These are \mathcal{L} -invariant subspaces, appear to study all of the vector fields coming from \mathcal{L} that are tangent to Y . More explicitly, if a closed complex analytic subspace $Y := V(\mathcal{I})$ for some ideal sheaf \mathcal{I} satisfies $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})(\mathcal{I}) \subset \mathcal{I}$, then Y is called a \mathcal{L} -invariant subspace [Pym13].

Note 3.10. Frobenius distributions and Stefan-Sussmann distributions are represents integrable regular and singular foliations respectively over a smooth manifold (X, C^∞) . These corresponds with a Lie algebroids over (X, C^∞) , namely generalized involutive subsheaf of C^∞ -modules of the tangent sheaf \mathcal{T}_X . In complex geometry its analogue provides a special holomorphic foliation, which is a Lie algebroid over a complex manifold (X, \mathcal{O}_X) .

3.1. Characteristic foliation with induced inner product. Let $(L, [\cdot, \cdot], \mathfrak{a})$ be a holomorphic Lie algebroid over a complex manifold X [Pym13]. Thus its image of the anchor map $\mathfrak{a} : L \rightarrow TX$ defines a singular foliation of X . Since the sheaf homomorphism \mathfrak{a} is an \mathcal{O}_X -linear and \mathbb{C}_X -Lie algebra homomorphism, for any two section $\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2 \in \mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$ (here \mathcal{L} is the sheaf of holomorphic sections Γ_L of $p : L \rightarrow X$) and a section $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ we have $f\tilde{D}_1 = \mathfrak{a}(fD_1)$ and $[\tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2] = \mathfrak{a}([D_1, D_2])$ are sections of $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$ where D_1, D_2 are some preimage of \tilde{D}_1, \tilde{D}_2 respectively. Thus the image $\mathcal{I}m(\mathfrak{a})$ or $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$ of the anchor map forms a coherent involutive subsheaf of the tangent sheaf \mathcal{T}_X defined by the sheafification of the presheaf $U \mapsto Im(\mathfrak{a}(U))$, called characteristic foliation [Bou11] or orbit foliation [Fer02] of L . In the complex geometry context, we use Nagano's theorem on the integrability of singular analytic distributions (Theorem 3.8).

We denote by L_Z the restriction of L to a leaf Z . One can deduce easily that the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ induces a bracket on the space of holomorphic sections of $p_Z : L_Z \rightarrow Z$ where $p : L \rightarrow X$ is the projection and $p|_Z := p_Z$, and hence a transitive Lie algebroid structure.

Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be a Hermitian metric on the Lie algebroid $\mathfrak{a} : L \rightarrow TX$. Then for any leaf Z of the characteristic foliation and for any $x \in Z$, the \mathbb{C} -inner product space

$$L_x = \mathcal{G}_x^0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_x^T,$$

where $L_x = p^{-1}(x)$ and \mathcal{G}_x^T is the orthogonal complement to $\mathcal{G}_x^0 = ker(\mathfrak{a}_x)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$. Now the restriction of the anchor \mathfrak{a} to \mathcal{G}_x^T is an isomorphism into $T_x Z$ and hence induces a scalar product on $T_x Z$ by

$$\langle v, v' \rangle_{T_x Z} := \langle b, b' \rangle_x,$$

where $b, b' \in \mathcal{G}_x^T$ with $\mathfrak{a}_x(b) = v$ and $\mathfrak{a}_x(b') = v'$, which varies smoothly on Z . Thus $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ induces a Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_Z$ on Z . Fix a leaf Z and consider $p_Z : L_Z \rightarrow Z$. We have

$$L_Z = \mathcal{G}_Z^0 \oplus \mathcal{G}_Z^T$$

and we call the elements of $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_Z^0)$ vertical sections and the elements of $\Gamma(\mathcal{G}_Z^T)$ horizontal sections. Thus, we get a short exact sequence of Hermitian Lie algebroids

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_Z^0 \rightarrow L_Z \rightarrow TZ \rightarrow 0$$

is formally identical to a Hermitian submersion.

The characteristic foliation corresponds with a system of first order partial differential equations, provides a family of leaves as integral solutions and forms L -invariant subspaces (see Note 3.9). Note that the foliation $\mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$ is a Lie subalgebroid of $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ for some \mathcal{L} -invariant subspace Y in X . Our aim is to show that these analytic spaces have induces a Hermitian metric on Y (or Hermitian inner product on \mathcal{T}_Y) from the associated Hermitian metric on the Lie algebroid. Let $D, D' \in \mathfrak{a}(\mathcal{L})$, then we define an inner product on Y as

$$\langle D, D' \rangle_Y := \langle \tilde{D}, \tilde{D}' \rangle$$

for some $\tilde{D}, \tilde{D}' \in \mathcal{G}_Y^T$ such that $\mathfrak{a}(\tilde{D}) = D, \mathfrak{a}(\tilde{D}') = D'$ and the surjective Lie algebroid homomorphism $\tilde{\rho} : \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_Y$ induces canonically a Hermitian inner product on \mathcal{T}_Y . In this case we get a short exact sequence of Hermitian Lie algebroids as

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}er(\mathfrak{a}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}} \mathcal{I}m(\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow 0$$

Let $Y = V(\mathcal{I})$ be an isolated hypersurface singularities of X . Then consider the stalks $\mathcal{T}_{X,x}(-\log Y)$ of the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ of sheaf of vector fields that are tangent to Y (or derivations that preserves the ideal sheaf \mathcal{I}). This Lie algebroid associated with the characteristic foliation and the corresponding stalks change its rank if it is not regular. Thus leaves (or orbits) of this foliation are of different dimensions and forms L -invariant subspace Y as a non smooth or singular

analytic space. An important fact about Hermitian metrics on Lie algebroids is the existence of the Levi-Civita connection in the complex Riemannian geometry. If $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a Hermitian metric on a Lie algebroid $P : L \rightarrow X$, then the Koszul formula

$$2\langle \tilde{\nabla}_D D', D'' \rangle = (\mathbf{a}(D)(\langle D', D'' \rangle) + \mathbf{a}(D')(\langle D, D'' \rangle) - \mathbf{a}(D'')(\langle D, D' \rangle)) \\ - (\langle D, [D', D''] \rangle + \langle D', [D, D''] \rangle - \langle D'', [D, D'] \rangle)$$

where D, D', D'' are sections in \mathcal{L} , defines a linear L -connection which is characterized by the two properties as follows (on each space of sections)

- (i) $\tilde{\nabla}$ is compatible with the metric: $\mathbf{a}(D)(\langle D', D'' \rangle) = \langle \tilde{\nabla}_D D', D'' \rangle + \langle D', \tilde{\nabla}_D D'' \rangle$
- (ii) $\tilde{\nabla}$ is torsion free or symmetric: $\tilde{\nabla}_D D' - \tilde{\nabla}_{D'} D = [D, D']$.

We call $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a Levi-Civita L -connection associated to the Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. If ∇ be a TX -connection on L then there are two obvious linear L -connection

$$\tilde{\nabla}_D^0 D' = \nabla_{\mathbf{a}(D)} D' \text{ and } \tilde{\nabla}_D^1 D' = \nabla_{\mathbf{a}(D')} D + [D, D'],$$

which are same for the standard case of the Lie algebroid TX with the Levi-Civita connection.

In a system of coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) over a trivializing neighborhood U of X where L admits a basis of local sections $(\tilde{D}_1, \dots, \tilde{D}_r)$ the Levi-Civita connection is determined by the following identity

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{D}_i} \tilde{D}_j = \sum_{k=1}^r \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{D}_k,$$

where Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel's symbols determined by $\mathbf{a}(\tilde{D}_s) = \sum_{i=1}^n b^{si} \partial_{x_i}$, $[\tilde{D}_s, \tilde{D}_t] = \sum_{u=1}^r c_{st}^u \tilde{D}_u$, $g_{ij} = \langle \tilde{D}_i, \tilde{D}_j \rangle$ and (g^{ij}) the inverse matrix of (g_{ij}) .

Example 3.11. *We now consider the special case associated with the nilpotent cone [Pym13, MS22]. It is well known that the cotangent bundle over a Poisson manifold has a natural Lie algebroid structure, in the classical set up [Mac05]. The associated sheaf of sections provides a Lie algebroid in the general context.*

Let us consider the Poisson manifold \mathbb{C}^3 with the Poisson algebra structure on $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3}, \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ induced by the Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C}), [\cdot, \cdot]_c)$ [Pym13, MS22]. One may describe this nilpotent cone through a generalized involutive distribution generated by the Hamiltonian vector fields in a Poisson manifold structure on $X := \mathbb{C}^3$. The Poisson algebra $(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(\mathbb{C}^3), \{\cdot, \cdot\})$ defined on coordinate functions x, y, z as follows.

$$\{x, y\} = 2y, \{x, z\} = -2z, \{y, z\} = x.$$

Then the associated Hamiltonian vector fields are given by

$$D_x = 2y\partial_y - 2z\partial_z, D_y = -2y\partial_x + x\partial_z, D_z = 2z\partial_x - x\partial_y.$$

We consider the system of first order homogeneous partial differential equations

$$D_x(f) = D_y(f) = D_z(f) = 0.$$

Then we find solution of the above system is $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + 4yz$. It describes a family of level sets $f^{-1}(c)$, is parametrized by $c \in \mathbb{C}$. For each nonzero values of c we get a 2-dimensional submanifold. Now $Y = f^{-1}(0)$ is the vanishing set of an ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} := \langle x^2 + 4yz \rangle \subset \mathcal{O}_X$, known as a nilpotent cone.

It yields a Lie algebroid structure on the cotangent sheaf $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^3}^1$ where the anchor map $\mathbf{a} : \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^3}^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ is locally given by $f dg \mapsto f\{g, \cdot\}$, and the Lie algebra structure is locally given by $[df, dg] = \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{a}(df)}(dg) - \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbf{a}(dg)}(df) - d(\{f, g\})$ for any $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(U)$, where U is an open set in \mathbb{C}^3 . The sheaf of sections $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^3}^1$ of the cotangent bundle $T^\mathbb{C}^3$ (a Lie algebroid in the classical set up), provides the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{S}m(\mathbf{a})$ in the general set up. It is a generalized involutive subsheaf of the tangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}$. As a Lie algebroid the $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^3}^1$ -invariant subspaces [Pym13] are the hypersurface singularities, one of them is the nilpotent cone Y associated with the principal ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} = \langle x^2 + 4yz \rangle$. The associated integrable system of first order homogeneous PDE's for the Hamiltonian vector fields is given as follows*

$$\mathbf{a}(dx) = \{x, \cdot\} = D_x, \mathbf{a}(dy) = \{y, \cdot\} = D_y, \mathbf{a}(dz) = \{z, \cdot\} = D_z,$$

corresponds to the characteristic foliation $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(-\log Y)$.

Consider the standard Hermitian metric (see [PVdV16b]) on the Lie algebroid $\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^3}^1$, given as

$$\langle dx, dx \rangle = \langle dy, dy \rangle = \langle dz, dz \rangle = 1; \langle dx, dy \rangle = \langle dx, dz \rangle = \langle dy, dz \rangle = 0.$$

This provides an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ -bilinear form on the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(-\log Y)$, given by

$$\langle D_x, D_x \rangle = \langle D_y, D_y \rangle = \langle D_z, D_z \rangle = 1; \langle D_x, D_y \rangle = \langle D_x, D_z \rangle = \langle D_y, D_z \rangle = 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(-\log Y)$ is the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ -module generated by D_x, D_y, D_z , thus any section of it is of the form $D = fD_x + gD_y + hD_z$ for some $f, g, h \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3}$. To show that the induced inner product is positive, non degenerate, notice that $\langle D, D_x \rangle = \langle D, D_y \rangle = \langle D, D_z \rangle = 0$ implies $f = g = h = 0$, i.e. $D = 0$ and $\langle D, D \rangle = f^2 + g^2 + h^2 = 0$ implies $D = 0$. Hence, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(-\log Y)$ is a Hermitian Lie algebroid over the complex manifold $(\mathbb{C}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3})$ with the induced Hermitian inner product.

Now, we consider the standard inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}$ (described in Remark 2.3) and take its restriction on $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{C}^3}(-\log Y)$, to show that it will differ from the above described inner product. Thus, from the equations (3.11) we get the followings:

$$\langle D_x, D_x \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^3} = 4(\|y\|^2 + \|z\|^2), \langle D_y, D_y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^3} = \|x\|^2 + 4\|y\|^2, \langle D_z, D_z \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^3} = \|x\|^2 + 4\|z\|^2, \langle D_x, D_y \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^3} = -2z\bar{x}, \langle D_x, D_z \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^3} = -2y\bar{x}, \langle D_y, D_z \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^3} = -4y\bar{z}.$$

Therefore, the induced inner product on the characteristic foliation $\text{Im}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ differs from the standard inner product on $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y) \subset \mathcal{T}_X$.

4. THE ANALYTIC DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY FOR CHARACTERISTIC FOLIATION

Here we consider characteristic foliation mentioned in Section 3.1 associated with a (holomorphic) Lie algebroid over a Hermitian manifold in the context of complex geometry and study cohomology theory on induced leaf spaces, leaves (and some union of leaves). This is our model object for singular analytic foliation in the Hermitian manifold. We consider an analogue of de Rham cohomology of the leaf space [Sch74] and cohomology of a leaf [Hei73] associated with a regular foliation on a Riemannian manifold, in complex geometry case.

4.1. Cohomology for Leaf space. Let X be a Hermitian manifold and \mathcal{F} a foliation. Let $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k$ denote the sheaf of holomorphic differential k -forms on X ($k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) which are locally satisfying the following identities (using contraction map i , exterior differentiation d and Lie derivative \mathfrak{L})

$$\begin{aligned} i_D \omega &= 0 = i_D d\omega, \\ \text{or } i_D \omega &= 0 = \mathfrak{L}_D \omega, \end{aligned}$$

for a section D of \mathcal{F} and a section ω of Ω_X^k . Thus the sheaf $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^k$ consists of holomorphic differential k -forms which are invariant under the flow (induced by \mathcal{F}). Now, if we consider the characteristic foliation $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{a}(\mathcal{L})$ of a holomorphic Lie algebroid $\mathbf{a} : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_X$ then by using Nagano's integrability theorem (see Theorem 3.8) we get a family of analytic spaces which are maximal \mathcal{L} -invariant subspaces associated with the foliation \mathcal{F} , denote one of them as Y . Then the \mathcal{O}_X -module

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1 := (\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F})^* = \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_X)$$

is the conormal sheaf of \mathcal{F} . In the special case when $\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ (for e.g. see Example 3.11), the normal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ (defined in (4)) of Y in X is equivalent to $\mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}$ for an analytic subspace Y of X as described above. The exterior \mathcal{O}_X -algebra

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^\bullet := (\wedge_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1, d_{\mathcal{F}})$$

forms a cochain complex of \mathcal{O}_X -modules, where $d_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the canonical differential on $\wedge_{\mathcal{O}_X}^\bullet \Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^1$ induced from the differential d of the complex Ω_X^\bullet (where $\Omega_X^\bullet :=$

($\wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^1, d$). The exterior differentiation $d_{\mathcal{F}}$ leaves $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ invariant, and we denote the hypercohomology algebra of the complex $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ by $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(X)$.

Recall that the leaf space X/\mathcal{F} is a topological space obtained by identifying each leaf of \mathcal{F} to a point. The cochain complex of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}$ is, in some sense, the sheaf of algebras of holomorphic differential forms on the leaf space X/\mathcal{F} and the cohomology ring $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(X)$ is the analytic de Rham cohomology of X/\mathcal{F} .

4.2. Cohomology of leaves and orbits. Consider the normal sheaf to a foliation \mathcal{F} in the Hermitian Lie algebroid \mathcal{T}_X , denote it by ν and so $\nu = \mathcal{T}_X/\mathcal{F}$. If \mathcal{F} is regular then there is a canonical connection ∇ on ν which is flat along \mathcal{F} (sheafifying the smooth Bott connection [Hei73] and considering its analogue in holomorphic context), i.e. ν is a \mathcal{T}_X -module and the associated cotangent sheaf to the foliation forms a cochain complex of sheaves

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{F}}^{\bullet}(\nu) = (\wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \nu, \tilde{d})$$

where $\wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \nu$ is the sheafification of the presheaf

$$U \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}(\wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \mathcal{F}(U), \nu(U)) = \wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)}((\mathcal{F}(U))^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(U)} \nu(U))$$

(since here \mathcal{F} and ν both are locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of finite rank) and the differential \tilde{d} is the Chevalley-Eilenberg-de Rham differential [Sar22] for the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ with coefficient in the \mathcal{F} -module $(\nu, \nabla|_{\mathcal{F}})$.

If \mathcal{F} is the orbit foliation with the anchor map as an epimorphism then the cochain complex corresponds with the Lie algebroid complex of $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ with coefficients in the \mathcal{O}_X -module $\nu = \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$. Specifically, for a free divisor Y (i.e. $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ is locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module), this complex consists of logarithmic differential forms $\Omega_X^{\bullet}(\log Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ (meromorphic form with poles along the divisor) [Sar22].

When \mathcal{F} is a regular foliation, the restriction $\mathcal{F}|_Z$ and $\nu|_Z$ on a leaf Z are sheaf of sections of the tangent bundle and the normal bundle of Z respectively. By extending analytic de Rham theorem using locally complex geometric analogue of the case as described in [Hei73] we get the following result.

Proposition 4.1. *The hypercohomology of the cochain complex of sheaves of \mathcal{O}_X -modules $(\wedge^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_Z}(\mathcal{T}_Z^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Z} \nu|_Z, \tilde{d})$ is isomorphic to the singular cohomology $H^{\bullet}(Z, \mathbb{C}^q)$ where $q = \dim \nu$.*

5. EQUIVARIANT LIE ALGEBROID COHOMOLOGY

In [EG10], Lie-Rinehart cohomology for quotients of singularities by finite groups (affine algebraic geometry settings) has been studied. We consider an analogue of it for smooth and analytic cases.

Geometry of Orbit space: Let X be a smooth manifold carrying a action of a Lie group G . Then the Lie group action can be expressed as a group homomorphism

$$\phi : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^{\infty}(X)) \text{ defined by } g \mapsto \phi_g$$

where $\phi_g(f) = g \cdot f$ and $(g \cdot f)(x) = f(g^{-1} \cdot x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then ϕ naturally induces a group action of G on $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^{\infty}(X))$ (conjugate action), given by

$$g \cdot D = gDg^{-1} \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^{\infty}(X))$$

where $gDg^{-1}(f) = g \cdot (D(g^{-1} \cdot f))$, for all $f \in C^{\infty}(X)$. Therefore, we get

$$g \cdot (fD) = (g \cdot f)(g \cdot D) \text{ for all } g \in G, f \in C^{\infty}(X) \text{ and } D \in \text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^{\infty}(X)).$$

We call a $C^{\infty}(X)$ -module M has a $C^{\infty}(X)$ - G module structure if there is a group action $G \mapsto \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{R}}(M)$ which is compatible with the $C^{\infty}(X)$ -module structure, i.e. a group action such that $g \cdot (fm) = (g \cdot f)(g \cdot m)$ for all $g \in G, f \in C^{\infty}(X), m \in M$. Hence, $\text{Der}_{\mathbb{R}}(C^{\infty}(X)) \cong \mathfrak{X}(X)$ has a natural $C^{\infty}(X)$ - G module structure induced by the map ϕ , where $\mathfrak{X}(X)$ is the space of vector fields on X .

Let M, N be $C^{\infty}(X)$ - G modules, and consider the canonical group actions of G on the $C^{\infty}(X)$ -modules $\text{Hom}_{C^{\infty}(X)}(M, N)$, and $M \wedge_{C^{\infty}(X)} N$, i.e.

$g \cdot \omega = g\omega g^{-1}$; $g\omega g^{-1}(m) = g \cdot (\omega(g^{-1} \cdot m))$ and $g \cdot (m \wedge n) = (g \cdot m) \wedge (g \cdot n)$ for all $g \in G, \omega \in \text{Hom}_{C^\infty(X)}(M, N), m \in M$ and $n \in N$. This provides a canonical $C^\infty(X) - G$ module structures on $\text{Hom}_{C^\infty(X)}(M, N)$ and $M \wedge_{C^\infty(X)} N$. Thus, the space of differential k -forms $\Omega^k(X)$ on X , defined by

$$\text{Hom}_{C^\infty(X)}(\wedge_{C^\infty(X)}^k \mathfrak{X}(X), C^\infty(X))$$

has a natural $C^\infty(X) - G$ module structure induced by the map ϕ .

In differential geometry, we have the notion of a smooth manifold X carries a action of a Lie group G , defined by a Lie group homomorphism

$$\phi' : G \rightarrow \text{Diffeo}(X)$$

where $\text{Diffeo}(X)$ is the group of all diffeomorphisms on X . The induced Lie algebra homomorphism $\phi' : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(X)$ is defined by

$$\xi \mapsto D := \frac{d}{dt}(\phi'(exp(-t\xi)))|_{t=0},$$

is the associated fundamental vector field with $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. It is well known that Lie derivative of a vector field D_1 along a vector field D_2 is given by the infinitesimal change of D_1 along the flow of D_2 . Let $\psi : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times X \rightarrow X$ be the one-parameter semi group of local diffeomorphisms of X induced by the vector flow of D_2 and denote $\psi_t(p) := \psi(t, p)$. The Lie derivative of D_1 along D_2 at a point x is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{L}_{D_2} D_1)(x) &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{(\psi_{-t})_* D_1(\psi_t(x)) - D_1(x)}{t} \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} ((\psi_{-t})_* (D_1)_{\psi_t(x)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $(\psi_t)_*$ is the pushforward along the diffeomorphism. See [Mor01] for details.

Similarly, for a Lie group action of G on X , for each point $g \in G$ we have the diffeomorphism $\phi_g : X \rightarrow X$, induces an isomorphism $\tilde{\phi}_g : \mathfrak{X}(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(X)$ of $C^\infty(X)$ -modules defined by the Lie derivative of a vector field along the flow given by ϕ_g is

$$\tilde{\phi}_g(D_1)(x) = (\phi_g)_*((D_1)_{\phi_{g^{-1}}(x)}) =: g \cdot (D_1)_{\phi_{g^{-1}}(x)},$$

where $(\phi_g)_*$ is the pushforward of vector fields appears by the differential map of ϕ_g .

But when go from C^∞ (smooth) real world to complex geometry and algebraic geometry accordingly, this type of information of space of global sections does not work, there we need to deal with all local sections for which we use classical sheaf theoretic language.

5.1. Complex Lie group action on an analytic space: Let $(X = \mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be the standard complex manifold with its sheaf of holomorphic functions (analytic in each variables) and $(Y = V(\mathcal{I}), \mathcal{O}_Y = \mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I})$ be an analytic space induced by a coherent ideal sheaf $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$. Denote the group of all biholomorphisms on X by $\text{biholo}(X)$.

Let G be a complex Lie group. A G action on X is said to be a reduced G action on Y , i.e. if the group homomorphism $\tilde{\phi} : G \rightarrow \text{biholo}(X)$ provide a group homomorphism $\phi : G \rightarrow \text{biholo}(Y)$ such that $\phi_g = \tilde{\phi}_g|_Y$ is a homeomorphism for all $g \in G$.

Let U be an open set of X , then $V := Y \cap U$ is an open set of Y . Thus, we can think a reduced action by following description

$$\begin{aligned} G \times \mathcal{O}_Y(V) &\rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(G \cdot V) \\ (g, f) &\mapsto g \cdot f \text{ where } (g \cdot f)(y) = f(g^{-1} \cdot y), \end{aligned}$$

compatible with restrictions. Note that $G \cdot V = \cup_{g \in G} (g \cdot V)$ is an open set in Y , since the homeomorphism $\phi_g : Y \rightarrow Y$ produces open sets $g \cdot V = \phi_g(V)$ for every

$g \in G$ and arbitrary union of open sets is open. We call Y as a G -analytic space if it satisfies the above criteria. This type of group action canonically induce a $\mathcal{O}_Y - G$ module structure on $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} G \times \mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_Y(V)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_Y(G \cdot V)) \\ (g \cdot D)(f) &:= g \cdot (D(g^{-1} \cdot f)), \end{aligned}$$

and this action is compatible with the restriction morphism $res_{V_W}^{\mathcal{T}_Y}$, for all $g \in G, D \in \mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_Y(V))$ and for all open sets $W \subset V \subset Y$ (since for $g \in G, f \in \mathcal{O}_Y(g \cdot V)$ we get $g^{-1} \cdot f \in \mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ defined as $(g^{-1} \cdot f)(g^{-1} \cdot y) = f(y)$). We can think of this module structure as the homomorphism of sheaf of Lie algebras

$$\tilde{\phi} : \mathfrak{g}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$$

defined by the exponential map and the Lie group action as it was described for the smooth case, where \mathfrak{g}_Y and \mathbb{C}_Y are the constant sheaves on Y with stalks \mathfrak{g} and \mathbb{C} respectively.

Also, we get natural $\mathcal{O}_Y - G$ module structure on Ω_Y^1 defined naturally on local sections as $(g \cdot \omega)(D) = g \cdot (\omega(g^{-1} \cdot D))$, for all $g \in G, D \in \mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_Y(V)), \omega \in \Omega_Y^1(V)$ and for all open set $V \subset Y$.

Remark 5.1. *This process works for algebraic varieties instead of analytic spaces, for which we need to replace the standard Euclidean space \mathbb{C}^n by the affine space \mathbb{A}^n and sheaf of holomorphic functions replaced by sheaf of regular functions and biholomorphisms replaced by biregular maps. But, the (equivalent) criteria of the $\mathcal{O}_Y - G$ module structure on $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$ is not holds here, since the exponential map is not a polynomial map (or regular map).*

5.2. Equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology: It is well known that for a real C^∞ manifold X with a Lie group G action, the cohomology of the orbit space X/G (known as the equivariant de Rham cohomology) is given by [BCRR09]

$$H^\bullet(X/G) \cong \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes H_{dR}^\bullet(X).$$

We consider an analogue of it in the complex geometry context, described as follows.

Proposition 5.2. *For an complex manifold X with a complex Lie group G action, the hypercohomology of the orbit space X/G can be expressed as*

$$H^\bullet(X/G) \cong \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes \mathbb{H}^\bullet(X, \Omega_X^\bullet).$$

Remark 5.3. *Since analytic de Rham theorem provides an isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{H}^\bullet(X, \Omega_X^\bullet) \cong H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C}_X) \cong H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C})$$

therefore,

$$H^\bullet(X/G) \cong \text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \otimes H^\bullet(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

Both these results follows from the following facts, the orbit space X/G is homotopy equivalent to $\frac{EG \times X}{G} \cong BG \times X$ (the Milnor's construction of the universal G -bundle $G \rightarrow EG \rightarrow BG$) and the cohomology of the classifying space BG is symmetric algebra generated by dual of the associated Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} (by the Borel's computation using spectral sequence).

For a G -analytic space Y in X , we can canonically induce the cochain complex of sheaves of logarithmic differential forms

$$(\Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N}_{Y/X}, d)$$

(with poles along the divisor Y and coefficient in the normal sheaf $\mathcal{N}_{Y/X}$ of Y in X , see [MS22]). If Y is a (complex) submanifold, then we show this cochain complex of sheaves is G -equivariant, i.e. $d \circ (g \cdot) = (g \cdot) \circ d$ for each $g \in G$. In [EG10], they have shown that for a module (M, ∇) over the Lie-Rinehart algebra $\mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{K}}(R)$, if the connection ∇ is G -invariant, i.e. $g \cdot \nabla = \nabla$ for any $g \in G$ holds then G acts on the Lie-Rinehart cohomology $H^\bullet(\mathcal{D}er_{\mathbb{K}}(R), M, \nabla)$, i.e. $g \cdot d^n = d^n \cdot g$ for any $g \in G$.

Here, we consider the Lie-Rinehart algebra \mathfrak{X}^T (usually denoted by $Der_{\mathbb{K}}(-\log(I))$) as local model and replace a module (M, ∇) by space of sections of a vector bundle with a covariant connection. The G -action on the covariant connection defined as

$$(g \cdot \nabla)_D(s) = g \cdot \nabla_{g^{-1} \cdot D}(g^{-1} \cdot s)$$

for any $g \in G$ and sections $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$ and $s \in \Gamma(E|_U)$, U is an open set in X .

Theorem 5.4. *The standard covariant connection on a vector bundle over G -manifold (real smooth or complex analytic) is G -invariant.*

Proof. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a smooth manifold or complex manifold with a Lie group G -action and $E \rightarrow X$ be a vector bundle of rank r . Then the sheaf of sections Γ_E is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of rank r . Thus there is a local basis $\{s_1, \dots, s_r\}$ of $\Gamma(E)$ or $\Gamma(E|_U) = \langle \{s_1, \dots, s_r\} \rangle$ for some open set $U \subset X$ where $E|_U \rightarrow U$ is a trivial bundle. Then the standard covariant connection locally defined as

$$\nabla_D(\sum_{i=1}^r f_i s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r D(f_i) s_i$$

where $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$ and $f_i \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ are (local sections) where $i = 1, \dots, r$. For any $g \in G$, we need to show $(g \cdot \nabla)_D(s) = \nabla_D(s)$, $D \in \mathcal{T}_X(U)$ and $s \in \Gamma(E|_U)$. There exist f_1, \dots, f_r in $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ such that $s = \sum_{i=1}^r f_i s_i$.

Now, $g^{-1} \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^r f_i s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^r (g^{-1} \cdot f_i) \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot s_i)$. So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (g \cdot \nabla)_D(s) &= g \cdot \nabla_{g^{-1} \cdot D}(\sum_{i=1}^r (g^{-1} \cdot f_i) \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot s_i)) \\ &= g \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^r (g^{-1} \cdot D)(g^{-1} \cdot f_i) \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot s_i)) \\ &= g \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^r g^{-1} \cdot D(g^{-1} \cdot f_i) \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot s_i)) \\ &= g \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^r g^{-1} \cdot D(f_i) \cdot (g^{-1} \cdot s_i)) \\ &= g \cdot (\sum_{i=1}^r g^{-1} \cdot (D(f_i) \cdot s_i)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^r D(f_i) \cdot s_i = \nabla_D(\sum_{i=1}^r f_i s_i) = \nabla_D(s). \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 5.5. *Theorem 5.4 holds for an G -analytic subspace of some complex G -manifold for the canonical connection described in Theorem 2.15.*

Corollary 5.6. *The Lie algebroid cohomology $\mathbb{H}^\bullet(X, \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{N}_{Y/X})$ associated with a non singular G -analytic space Y is G -equivariant.*

By using concepts from equivariant Lie algebroid cohomology [BCRR09] and holomorphic Lie algebroid cohomology [BMRT15], we define the equivariant Lie algebroid complex for the Lie algebroid $\mathcal{T}_X(-\log Y)$ as

$$(\text{Sym}(\mathfrak{g}_X^*) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \Omega_X^\bullet(\log Y), \tilde{d})$$

call it by equivariant logarithmic de Rham complex and then consider its hypercohomology.

Acknowledgment. I wholeheartedly express my deepest gratitude to my PhD thesis supervisor Dr. Ashis Mandal for his immense support and guidance.

REFERENCES

- [Bou11] Mohamed Boucetta, *Riemannian geometry of Lie algebroids*, J. Egyptian Math. Soc. **19** (2011), no. 1-2, 57–70.
- [Bru17] Ugo Bruzzo, *Lie algebroid cohomology as a derived functor*, J. Algebra **483** (2017), 245–261.
- [BCRR09] U. Brutstso, L. Chirio, P. Rossi, and V. N. Rubtsov, *Equivariant cohomology and localization for Lie algebroids*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **43** (2009), no. 1, 22–36.
- [BMRT15] Ugo Bruzzo, Igor Mencattini, Vladimir N. Rubtsov, and Pietro Tortella, *Nonabelian holomorphic Lie algebroid extensions*, Internat. J. Math. **26** (2015), no. 5, 1550040, 26.
- [DZ09] Sorin Dumitrescu and Abdelghani Zeghib, *Global rigidity of holomorphic Riemannian metrics on compact complex 3-manifolds*, Math. Ann. **345** (2009), no. 1, 53–81.
- [EG10] Eivind Eriksen and Trond Stølen Gustavsen, *Equivariant Lie-Rinehart cohomology*, Proc. Est. Acad. Sci. **59** (2010), no. 4, 294–300. MR 2752971
- [Fer02] Rui Loja Fernandes, *Lie algebroids, holonomy and characteristic classes*, Adv. Math. **170** (2002), no. 1, 119–179.
- [GH78] Phillip Griffiths and Joseph Harris, *Principles of algebraic geometry*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley-Interscience [John Wiley & Sons], New York, 1978.
- [Hei73] James L. Heitsch, *A cohomology for foliated manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **79** (1973), no. 6, 1283–1285 (1974).
- [HS53] G. Hochschild and J. P. Serre, *Cohomology of Lie algebras*, Ann. of Math. (2) **57** (1953), 591–603.
- [Kap07] Mikhail Kapranov, *Free Lie algebroids and the space of paths*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **13** (2007), no. 2, 277–319.
- [LeB83] Claude LeBrun, *Spaces of complex null geodesics in complex-Riemannian geometry*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **278** (1983), no. 1, 209–231.
- [Mac05] Kirill C. H. Mackenzie, *General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 213, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005.
- [Mor01] Shigeyuki Morita, *Geometry of differential forms*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 201, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001, Translated from the two-volume Japanese original (1997, 1998) by Teruko Nagase and Katsumi Nomizu, Iwanami Series in Modern Mathematics.
- [MS22] A. Mandal and A. Sarkar, *On Lie algebroid over algebraic spaces*, Communications in Algebra (2022). DOI: 10.1080/00927872.2022.2139971
- [Pes17] Victor Pessers, *Extensions of submanifold theory to non-real settings, with applications*, arXiv:1801.00347v1 [math.DG] (2017).
- [PVdV16a] Victor Pessers and Joeri Van der Veken, *On holomorphic Riemannian geometry and submanifolds of Wick-related spaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **104** (2016), 163–174.
- [PVdV16b] Victor Pessers and Joeri Van der Veken, *Riemannian manifolds as Lie-Rinehart algebras*, Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys. **13** (2016), no. suppl., 1641003, 23.
- [Pym13] Brent Pym, *Poisson Structures and Lie Algebroids in Complex Geometry*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013, Thesis (Ph.D.)—University of Toronto (Canada).
- [Sar22] A. Sarkar, *Cohomology of Lie algebroid over algebraic spaces*, arXiv:2111.01735v4 [math.DG] (2022).
- [Sch74] Gerald W. Schwarz, *On the de Rham cohomology of the leaf space of a foliation*, Topology **13** (1974), 185–187.
- [Sch19] T. Schedler, *Deformation of algebras in noncommutative geometry.*, arXiv:1212.0914v3 [math.RA] (2019).
- [Swa62] Richard G. Swan, *Vector bundles and projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1962), 264–277.
- [Tor17] Pietro Tortella, *Representations of Atiyah algebroids and logarithmic connections*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2017), no. 1, 29–46.
- [Wei13] Charles A. Weibel, *The K-book*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 145, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013, An introduction to algebraic K-theory.

Abhishek Sarkar

Department of Mathematics and Statistics,
 Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India.
 e-mail: abhisheksarkar49@gmail.ac.in