

Certain binary minimal codes constructed using simplicial complexes

Vidya Sagar* and Ritumoni Sarma†

Department of Mathematics,
Indian Institute of Technology Delhi,
Hauz Khaz, New Delhi-110016, India.

Abstract

In this article, we work over the non-chain ring $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_2[u]/\langle u^3 - u \rangle$. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $L, M, N \subseteq [m] := \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. For $X \subseteq [m]$, define $\Delta_X := \{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \text{Supp}(v) \subseteq X\}$ and $D := (1 + u^2)D_1 + u^2(D_2 + (u + u^2)D_3)$, a subset of \mathcal{R}^m , where $D_1 \in \{\Delta_L, \Delta_L^c\}$, $D_2 \in \{\Delta_M, \Delta_M^c\}$, $D_3 \in \{\Delta_N, \Delta_N^c\}$. The linear code C_D over \mathcal{R} defined by $\{(v \cdot d)_{d \in D} : v \in \mathcal{R}^m\}$ is studied for each D . For instance, we obtain the Lee weight distribution of C_D . The Gray map $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ given by $\Phi(a + ub + u^2d) = (a + b, b + d, d)$ is utilized to derive a binary linear code, namely, $\Phi(C_D)$ for each D . Sufficient conditions for each of these binary linear codes to be minimal are obtained. In fact, sufficient conditions for minimality are mild in nature, for example, $|L|, |M|, |N| < m - 2$ is a set of conditions for minimality of $\Phi(C_D)$ for each D . Moreover, these binary codes are self-orthogonal if each of L, M and N is nonempty.

Keywords: linear code, simplicial complex, Lee weight distribution, minimal code

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 94B05, 94B60, 05E45

1 INTRODUCTION

This article studies the linear code $C_D = \{(v \cdot d_1, v \cdot d_2, \dots, v \cdot d_n) : v \in \mathcal{R}^m\}$ over $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_2[u]/\langle u^3 - u \rangle$, where $D \subseteq \mathcal{R}^m$ and m is a positive integer. Ding et al. in [6] first defined the above construction of C_D in order to generalize the Mattson-Solomon transform for cyclic codes. And due to this construction of linear codes, simplicial complex got huge attention in algebraic coding theory. By using simplicial complexes, several interesting linear codes have been constructed in the recent past. The authors in [8] studied linear codes by selecting a certain defining set derived using simplicial complexes and obtained a class of binary optimal

*email: vsagariitd@gmail.com

†email: ritumoni407@gmail.com

linear codes. Zhu et al. in [26] studied linear codes over \mathbb{F}_4 and obtained minimal optimal codes. Yansheng et al. in [23] studied linear codes over \mathbb{F}_4 and produced two infinite classes of optimal codes; motivated by this work, the authors in [11] constructed linear codes over \mathbb{F}_8 using simplicial complexes and produced three infinite families of optimal codes. They also discussed sufficient conditions for minimality of these codes by using Ashikhmin-Barg condition (see [1]). In [9], Hyun et al. used posets in place of simplicial complexes to study linear codes. They constructed binary linear codes that are minimal as well as optimal.

The study of minimal codes is an active research topic nowadays because of its applications in secure two-party computation and secret sharing schemes [2, 4, 12, 25]. The secret sharing schemes are essential nowadays; in fact, these are used heavily in electronic voting systems, cryptographic protocols, banking systems etc. Minimal codes are helpful to construct the access structure of secret sharing schemes [5, 10]. This special class of codes is also important as these can be decoded by using the minimum distance decoding rule [1]. Normally, it is difficult to identify all the minimal codewords of a given code even over a finite field of characteristic 2. Many researchers have studied linear codes over a single or mixed alphabet using simplicial complexes and produced minimal codes (see [13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24]) in the recent past.

Motivated by the research in [16], a natural endeavor is to explore the construction of linear codes over other finite rings using simplicial complexes and obtain minimal codes. We, in this manuscript, study linear codes over $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_2[u]/\langle u^3 - u \rangle$ whose defining set is obtained from certain simplicial complexes. We also obtain their Lee-weight distributions. By considering a Gray map, we obtain certain binary linear codes and establish sufficient conditions for these codes to be minimal. Moreover, we show that these binary codes are self-orthogonal. We give a few examples that support our results.

The remaining sections of this manuscript are arranged as follows. Preliminaries are presented in the next section. Section 3 studies construction of linear codes using simplicial complexes. In Section 4, we consider a Gray map and obtain a family of binary codes. Besides, we establish certain sufficient conditions for these binary codes to be minimal and self-orthogonal. Section 5 concludes this manuscript.

2 Definitions and Preliminaries

Throughout the manuscript, $\mathcal{R} = \mathbb{Z}_2[u]/\langle u^3 - u \rangle$, where \mathbb{Z}_2 is the binary field. Observe that \mathcal{R} is a non-chain principal ideal ring. The group of units is $U(\mathcal{R}) = \{1, 1 + u + u^2\}$ and the set of non-units is $\mathcal{R} \setminus U(\mathcal{R}) = \{0, u, u^2, u + u^2, 1 + u, 1 + u^2\}$.

Lemma 2.1. [20] \mathcal{R} can be written as $(1 + u^2)\mathbb{Z}_2 + u^2(\mathbb{Z}_2 + (u + u^2)\mathbb{Z}_2)$ uniquely.

Let $\Phi : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^3$ be the Gray map given by $\Phi(a + ub + u^2d) = (a + b, b + d, d)$. This extends

to $\Phi : \mathcal{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2^{3m}$ where $\Phi(r + us + u^2t) = (r + s, s + t, t)$. An \mathcal{R} -submodule C of \mathcal{R}^m is a *linear code* over \mathcal{R} and m is its length. Let $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$. Then the *Hamming weight* of v denoted by $wt_H(v)$ is the number of nonzero entries in v . The *Hamming distance* between v and w is $d_H(v, w) = wt_H(x - y)$.

Let $x = \alpha + u\beta + u^2\gamma$, $y = \alpha' + u\beta' + u^2\gamma' \in \mathcal{R}^m$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha', \beta', \gamma' \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$. Then the *Lee weight* of x is $wt_L(x) = wt_H(\Phi(x)) = wt_H(\alpha + \beta) + wt_H(\beta + \gamma) + wt_H(\gamma)$. The *Lee distance* between x and y is $d_L(x, y) = wt_L(x - y)$. Thus, the map Φ is an isometry. Note that the image of a linear code over \mathcal{R} under the Gray map is a binary linear code. Suppose C is a linear code over \mathcal{R} of length n , where $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let A_i be the cardinality of the set that contains all codewords of C having Lee weight i , $0 \leq i \leq 3n$. Then the polynomial $Lee_C(X, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{3n} A_i X^{3n-i} Y^i$ is called the *Lee weight enumerator* of C and the string $(1, A_1, \dots, A_{3n})$ is called the *Lee weight distribution* of C . In addition, if the total number of $i \geq 1$ such that $A_i \neq 0$ is l , then C is called an *l -weight linear code*.

3 Construction of linear codes using simplicial complexes

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall write $[m]$ to denote the set $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. For any $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $D = \{d_1 < d_2 < \dots < d_n\}$ be an ordered subset of \mathcal{R}^m of cardinality n . Define [7],

$$C_D = \{(v \cdot d_1, v \cdot d_2, \dots, v \cdot d_n) : v \in \mathcal{R}^m\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $x \cdot y = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i y_i$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{R}^m$. C_D forms a linear code of length $|D| = n$. The ordered set D is termed as the *defining set* of C_D . Note that on changing the ordering of D we will get a permutation equivalent code. If D is chosen appropriately, C_D may possess good parameters. For $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, the set $\text{Supp}(w) = \{i \in [m] : w_i = 1\}$ is called the *support* of w . Note that the Hamming weight of $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ is $wt_H(w) = |\text{Supp}(w)|$. For $v, w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, one says that v covers w if $\text{Supp}(w) \subseteq \text{Supp}(v)$. If v covers w , we write $w \preceq v$.

Consider the map $\psi : \mathbb{Z}_2^m \longrightarrow 2^{[m]}$ is defined as $\psi(w) = \text{Supp}(w)$, where $2^{[m]}$ denotes the power set of $[m]$. Note that ψ is a bijective map. Now onwards, we will write w instead of $\text{Supp}(w)$ whenever we require.

A subset Δ of \mathbb{Z}_2^m is called a *simplicial complex* if $v \in \Delta$ then $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \implies w \in \Delta$ whenever $w \preceq v$. An element $v \in \Delta$ is called *maximal* if for $w \in \Delta$, $v \preceq w$ imply $v = w$. Let $L \subseteq [m]$. The simplicial complex generated by $L \subseteq [m]$ is denoted by Δ_L and is defined as

$$\Delta_L = \{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \mid \text{Supp}(w) \subseteq L\}. \quad (3.2)$$

Note that $\psi^{-1}(L)$ is maximal in Δ_L and $|\Delta_L| = |2^L| = 2^{|L|}$.

Given a subset P of \mathbb{Z}_2^m , define the polynomial (referred to as m -variable generating function, see [3]) $\mathcal{H}_P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)$ by

$$\mathcal{H}_P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = \sum_{v \in P} \prod_{i=1}^m y_i^{v_i} \in \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m], \quad (3.3)$$

where $v = (v_1, \dots, v_m)$.

We recall a lemma from [3].

Lemma 3.1. [3] *Suppose $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ is a simplicial complex and \mathcal{F} consists of its maximal elements. Then*

$$\mathcal{H}_\Delta(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m) = \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathcal{F}} (-1)^{|S|+1} \prod_{i \in \cap S} (1 + y_i), \quad (3.4)$$

where $\cap S = \bigcap_{F \in S} \text{Supp}(F)$. In particular, we have

$$|\Delta| = \sum_{\emptyset \neq S \subseteq \mathcal{F}} (-1)^{|S|+1} 2^{|\cap S|}.$$

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $D_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Assume that $D = (1 + u^2)D_1 + u^2(D_2 + (u + u^2)D_3) \subseteq \mathcal{R}^m$. Define the linear code as follows:

$$C_D = \{(v \cdot d)_{d \in D} \mid v \in \mathcal{R}^m\}. \quad (3.5)$$

Observe that $c_D : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow C_D$ defined by $c_D(v) = (v \cdot d)_{d \in D}$ is an epimorphism. Assume that $x = (1 + u^2)\alpha + u^2(\beta + (u + u^2)\gamma) \in \mathcal{R}^m$ and $d = (1 + u^2)t_1 + u^2(t_2 + (u + u^2)t_3) \in D$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ and $t_i \in D_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then the Lee weight of $c_D(x)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} wt_L(c_D(x)) &= wt_L(\left(((1 + u^2)\alpha + u^2(\beta + (u + u^2)\gamma)) \cdot ((1 + u^2)t_1 + u^2(t_2 + (u + u^2)t_3)) \right)_{t_i \in D_i}) \\ &= wt_L(\left((\alpha t_1 + u(\gamma t_2 + \beta t_3) + u^2(\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2 + \gamma t_2 + \beta t_3)) \right)_{t_i \in D_i}) \\ &= wt_H(\left((\alpha t_1 + \gamma t_2 + \beta t_3) \right)_{t_i \in D_i}) + wt_H(\left((\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2) \right)_{t_i \in D_i}) \\ &\quad + wt_H(\left((\alpha t_1 + (\beta + \gamma)t_2 + \beta t_3) \right)_{t_i \in D_i}) \end{aligned}$$

Now if $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$, then $wt_H(v) = 0 \iff v = 0$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} wt_L(c_D(x)) &= |D| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t_1 \in D_1} \sum_{t_2 \in D_2} \sum_{t_3 \in D_3} (1 + (-1)^{\alpha t_1 + \gamma t_2 + \beta t_3}) \\ &\quad + |D| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t_1 \in D_1} \sum_{t_2 \in D_2} \sum_{t_3 \in D_3} (1 + (-1)^{\alpha t_1 + \beta t_2}) \\ &\quad + |D| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t_1 \in D_1} \sum_{t_2 \in D_2} \sum_{t_3 \in D_3} (1 + (-1)^{\alpha t_1 + (\beta + \gamma)t_2 + \beta t_3}) \\ &= \frac{3}{2} |D| - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t_1 \in D_1} (-1)^{\alpha t_1} \left[\sum_{t_2 \in D_2} (-1)^{\gamma t_2} \sum_{t_3 \in D_3} (-1)^{\beta t_3} + \sum_{t_2 \in D_2} (-1)^{\beta t_2} \sum_{t_3 \in D_3} (1) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{t_2 \in D_2} (-1)^{(\beta + \gamma)t_2} \sum_{t_3 \in D_3} (-1)^{\beta t_3} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Note that, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ and $\emptyset \neq L \subset [m]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{t \in \Delta_L} (-1)^{\alpha t} &= \mathcal{H}_{\Delta_L}((-1)^{\alpha_1, (-1)^{\alpha_2}, \dots, (-1)^{\alpha_m}}) \\
&= \prod_{i \in L} (1 + (-1)^{\alpha_i}) = \prod_{i \in L} (2 - 2\alpha_i) \\
&= 2^{|L|} \prod_{i \in L} (1 - \alpha_i) = 2^{|L|} \chi(\alpha|L),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where the boolean function $\chi(\alpha|L)$ is defined as

$$\chi(\alpha|L) = \prod_{i \in L} (1 - \alpha_i) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(\alpha) \cap L = \emptyset; \\ 0, & \text{if } \text{Supp}(\alpha) \cap L \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose L, M, N are subsets of $[m]$. Then*

1. (a) $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|L) = 1\}| = 2^{m-|L|}$.
(b) $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|L) = 0\}| = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|}$.
2. $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0 = \chi(v|N)\}| = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
3. Fix $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $\chi(v|M) = 0$. Then
(a) $|\{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(w|M) = 0, \chi(v+w|M) = 0\}| = (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}$,
(b) $|\{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : v \neq w, \chi(w|M) = 0, \chi(v+w|M) = 1\}| = (2^{m-|M|} - 1)$.
4. $|\{(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0 = \chi(v|N) = \chi(w|M) = \chi(v+w|M)\}| = \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times \{(2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}\}$.
5. $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 1\}| = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
6. $|\{(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 1, \chi(w|M) = 0 = \chi(v+w|M)\}| = \{(2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times \{(2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}\}$.
7. $|\{(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m : v \neq w, \chi(v|M) = 0 = \chi(v|N) = \chi(w|M), \chi(v+w|M) = 1\}| = \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times \{(2^{m-|M|} - 1)\}$.

Proof. Let $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ and $L, M, N \subset [m]$.

1. (a) By definition, $\chi(v|L) = 1 \iff v \cap L = \emptyset$. Hence, $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : v \cap L = \emptyset\}| = |\{\text{the set of all possible subsets of the set } ([m] \setminus L)\}| = 2^{m-|L|}$.
(b) By definition, $\chi(v|L) = 0 \iff v \cap L \neq \emptyset$. Note that the number of $Z \subseteq L$ with $Z \cap L = Z \neq \emptyset$ is $(2^{|L|} - 1)$, namely, all the nonempty subsets of L ; and for every $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq L$, the subset $(Z \cup Z') \cap L \neq \emptyset$ for all $Z' \subseteq ([m] \setminus L)$. Thus, for each

$\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq L$, the number of $Z' \subseteq ([m] \setminus L)$ with $(Z \cup Z') \cap L \neq \emptyset$ is $2^{m-|L|}$, which is independent of choice of Z . Hence, $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : v \cap L \neq \emptyset\}| = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|}$.

2. Let $X = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0\}$, $Y = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|N) = 0\}$, $X \cup Y = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M \cup N) = 0\}$ and $X \cap Y = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0 = \chi(v|N)\}$. Thus, by using (1b), we have, $|X \cap Y| = |X| + |Y| - |X \cup Y| = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.

3. (a) Here $\chi(v|M) = 0 \iff v \cap M \neq \emptyset$, $\chi(w|M) = 0 \iff w \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and $\chi(v+w|M) = 0 \iff (v+w) \cap M \neq \emptyset \iff v \cap M \neq w \cap M$.

By using (1b), we have $|\{Z \subseteq M : Z \cap M \neq \emptyset\}| = (2^{|M|} - 1)$. Fixing $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $v \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Take $v \cap M = V$. Observe, $(\emptyset \neq) V \subseteq M$. So $|\{Z \subseteq M : Z \cap M = Z \neq \emptyset, Z \neq V\}| = (2^{|M|} - 1 - 1)$; and for every $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq M$, the subset $(Z \cup Z') \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for all $Z' \subseteq ([m] \setminus M)$. Thus, for each $\emptyset \neq Z \subseteq M$, the number of $Z' \subseteq ([m] \setminus M)$ with $(Z \cup Z') \cap M \neq \emptyset$ is $2^{m-|M|}$, which is independent of choice of Z . Hence, $|\{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : w \cap M \neq \emptyset, v \cap M \neq w \cap M\}| = (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}$ for a given $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $v \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

(b) Here $\chi(v|M) = 0 \iff v \cap M \neq \emptyset$, $\chi(w|M) = 0 \iff w \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and $\chi(v+w|M) = 1 \iff (v+w) \cap M = \emptyset \iff v \cap M = w \cap M$.

Fixing $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $v \cap M \neq \emptyset$. Take $v \cap M = V$. Observe, $(\emptyset \neq) V \subseteq M$. So $|\{Z \subseteq M : Z \cap M = Z \neq \emptyset, Z = V\}| = 1$, namely, $Z = V$; and for this V , the subset $(V \cup Z') \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for all $Z' \subseteq ([m] \setminus M)$; but we have given that $v \neq w$, thus $Z' \subseteq ([m] \setminus M)$ with $Z' = \emptyset$ is not possible. Thus, the number of $Z' \subseteq ([m] \setminus M)$ with $(V \cup Z') \cap M \neq \emptyset$ is $(2^{m-|M|} - 1)$, namely, all the nonempty subsets of the set $([m] \setminus M)$. Hence, $|\{w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : v \neq w, w \cap M \neq \emptyset, v \cap M = w \cap M\}| = (2^{m-|M|} - 1)$ for a given $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $v \cap M \neq \emptyset$.

4. Here $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 0\}| = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$ follows from (2).

Now, $\chi(v|M) = 0 \iff v \cap M \neq \emptyset$, $\chi(w|M) = 0 \iff w \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and $\chi(v+w|M) = 0 \iff (v+w) \cap M \neq \emptyset \iff v \cap M \neq w \cap M$.

For each $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $\chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 0$, the number of $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $\chi(w|M) = 0, \chi(v+w|M) = 0$ is $(2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}$, which is independent of choice of v , by using (3a). Hence, $|\{(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 0, \chi(w|M) = 0, \chi(v+w|M) = 0\}| = \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times \{(2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}\}$.

5. Proof follows from (1b).

6. Proof follows from (5) and (3a).

7. Here $|\{v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m : \chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 0\}| = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} -$

Lee weight	Frequency
$3 \times 2^{ L + M + N -1}$	$2^{3m} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1}$
$2^{ L + M + N }$	$2^{3m- L - M } + 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} - 3 \times 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N }$
0	$2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N }$

Table 1

$(2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$ follows from part (2).

Now, $\chi(v|M) = 0 \iff v \cap M \neq \emptyset$, $\chi(w|M) = 0 \iff w \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and $\chi(v+w|M) = 1 \iff (v+w) \cap M = \emptyset \iff v \cap M = w \cap M$, that is, v and w are the same inside the set M but v and w are distinct inside $[m]$ (hypothesis).

For each $v \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $\chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 0$, the number of $w \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ with $\chi(w|M) = 0, \chi(v+w|M) = 1$ is $(2^{m-|M|} - 1)$, which is independent of choice of v , by using (3b). Hence, $|\{(v, w) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m \times \mathbb{Z}_2^m : v \neq w, \chi(v|M) = 0, \chi(v|N) = 0, \chi(w|M) = 0, \chi(v+w|M) = 1\}| = \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times \{(2^{m-|M|} - 1)\}$. \square

Here we recall a result from [17].

Lemma 3.3. [17] Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ and Δ_L be the simplicial complex generated by $L \subset [m]$. Then

$$\sum_{t \in \Delta_L^c} (-1)^{\alpha t} = 2^m \delta_{0, \alpha} - \sum_{t \in \Delta_L} (-1)^{\alpha t},$$

where δ is the Kronecker delta function and $\Delta_L^c = \mathbb{Z}_2^m \setminus \Delta_L$.

The following result describes Lee weight distributions of C_D for various choices for D . As the proof of all the following results follow the same pattern so we discuss only one and omit proof for others.

Theorem 3.4. Suppose that $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $L, M, N \subseteq [m]$.

1. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L + u^2(\Delta_M + (u + u^2)\Delta_N) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 2-weight linear code of length $|D| = 2^{|L|+|M|+|N|}$ and size $2^{|L|+|M|+|M \cup N|}$. The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 1.
2. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L^c + u^2(\Delta_M + (u + u^2)\Delta_N) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 4-weight linear code of length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|L|}) \times 2^{|M|+|N|}$ and size $2^{m+|M|+|M \cup N|}$. The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 2.
3. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L + u^2(\Delta_M^c + (u + u^2)\Delta_N) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 5-weight linear code of length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|L|+|N|}$ and size $2^{2m+|L|}$. The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 3.

Lee weight	Frequency
$3 \times 2^{m+ M + N -1}$	$(2^{m- L } - 1) \times 2^{2m- M - M \cup N }$
$2^{ M + N -1}(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ L +1})$	$(2^{m- L } - 1)(2^{2m- M - N +1} + 2^{2m- M } - 3 \times 2^{2m- M - M \cup N })$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{ M + N -1}$	$2^{3m} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$(2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{ M + N }$	$2^{2m- M - N +1} + 2^{2m- M } - 3 \times 2^{2m- M - M \cup N }$
0	$2^{2m- M - M \cup N }$

Table 2

Lee weight	Frequency
$3 \times 2^{m+ L + N -1}$	$2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} - 2^{2m- L - M } + 2^{m- L +1}$
$(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ L + N }$	$2^{3m- L - M - N +1} - 2^{2m- L - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M }$ $- 3 \times 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- L } + 2^{2m- L - M } + 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1}$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ L + N -1}$	$2^{3m} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$2^{m+ L + N }$	$2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} + 2^{2m- L - M } - 3 \times 2^{m- L }$
$(2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ L + N }$	$2^{2m- L - N +1} + 2^{2m- L } - 2^{2m- L - M } - 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1}$
0	$2^{m- L }$

Table 3

4. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L + u^2(\Delta_M + (u + u^2)\Delta_N^c) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 4-weight linear code of length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|}$ and size $2^{m+|L|+|M|}$. The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 4.
5. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L^c + u^2(\Delta_M^c + (u + u^2)\Delta_N) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 10-weight linear code of length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|N|}$ and size 2^{3m} . The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 5.
6. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L^c + u^2(\Delta_M + (u + u^2)\Delta_N^c) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 8-weight linear code of length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$ and size $2^{2m+|M|}$. The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 6.
7. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L + u^2(\Delta_M^c + (u + u^2)\Delta_N^c) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 8-weight linear

Lee weight	Frequency
$2^{ L + M -1}(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ N +1})$	$2^{3m- L - M - N +1} - 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$3 \times 2^{ L + M -1}(2^m - 2^{ N })$	$2^{3m} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$2^{m+ L + M }$	$2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- L - M }$
$2^{ L + M } \times (2^m - 2^{ N })$	$2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N }$
0	$2^{2m- L - M }$

Table 4

Lee weight	Frequency
$3(2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{m+ N -1}$	$2^{2m- M - M \cup N } - 2^{m- M } - 2^{m- M \cup N +1} + 2$
$3(2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{m+ N -1}$	$2^{m- L } - 1$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ N }$	$2^{m- M } - 2^{m- N +1} + 2^{2m- M - N +1}$ $-3 \times 2^{2m- M - M \cup N } + 2^{2m- M } - 2^m + 2^{m- M \cup N +1}$
$(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ N }$	$2^{2m- L - N +1} - 2^{m- N +1} - 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1}$ $+2^{m- M \cup N +1} + 2^{2m- L } - 2^m - 2^{2m- L - M } + 2^{m- M }$
$3 \times 2^{m+ N -1}(2^m - 2^{ M }) - 2^{m+ L + N }$	$2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} - 2^{m- M \cup N +1}$ $-3 \times 2^{m- L } - 2^{m- M } + 2^{2m- L - M } + 3$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ N -1}$	$2^{3m} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$3 \times 2^{m+ N -1}(2^m - 2^{ L } - 2^{ M }) + 2^{ L + M + N }$	$2^{3m- L - M - N +1} - 2^{2m- L - N +1} - 2^{2m- M - N +1} + 2^{m- N +1}$ $+2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} - 2^{m- M \cup N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{2m- M }$ $-2^{2m- L } + 2^m - 3 \times 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } + 2^{2m- L - M }$ $+3 \times 2^{2m- M - M \cup N } - 2^{m- M }$
$3(2^m - 2^{ L } - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{m+ N -1}$	$2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- L - M } - 2^{2m- M - M \cup N } + 2^{m- M }$ $-2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} + 2^{m- L +1} + 2^{m- M \cup N +1} - 2$
$(2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{m+ N }$	$2^{m- M \cup N +1} + 2^{m- M } - 3$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ N }$	$2^m + 2^{m- N +1} - 2^{m- M } - 2^{m- M \cup N +1}$
0	1

Table 5

Lee weight	Frequency
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ N +1}) \times 2^{ M -1}$	$2^{2m- M - N +1} - 2^{2m- M - M \cup N +1}$
$(2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{m+ M }$	$2^{2m- M - M \cup N } - 2^{m- M }$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{m+ M -1}$	$2^{2m- L - M } - 2^{m- M }$
$(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ L +1})(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ M -1}$	$2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{2m- M } - 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } + 2^{2m- M - M \cup N }$
$(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ L +1})(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ M -1} - 2^{ L + M + N }$	$2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- L - M } + 2^{m- M }$
$3(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ M -1}$	$2^{3m} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$3(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ M -1} - 2^{ L + M + N -1}$	$2^{3m- L - M - N +1} - 2^{2m- M - N +1} - 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$ $+2^{2m- M - M \cup N +1}$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ M }$	$2^{2m- M } - 2^{2m- M - M \cup N }$
0	$2^{m- M }$

Table 6

Lee weight	Frequency
$(2^m - 2^{ M })(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ N +1}) \times 2^{ L -1}$ $+ (2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L + M -1} - 2^{ L + M + N -1}$	$2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} - 2^{m- L +1}$
$(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{m+ L }$	$2^{2m- L - M } - 2^{m- L }$
$(2^m - 2^{ M })(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ N +1}) \times 2^{ L -1}$	$2^{2m- L - N +1} - 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1}$
$(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ M +1})(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L -1}$	$2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{2m- L } + 2^{2m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N }$
$(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ M +1})(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L -1} - 2^{ L + M + N }$	$2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- L - M } - 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} + 2^{m- L +1}$
$3(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L -1}$	$2^{3m} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$3(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L -1} - 2^{ L + M + N -1}$	$2^{3m- L - M - N +1} - 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1} - 2^{2m- L - N +1}$ $+ 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1}$
$(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L }$	$2^{2m- L } - 2^{2m- L - M }$
0	$2^{m- L }$

Table 7

code of length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|}$ and size $2^{2m+|L|}$. The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 7.

8. Let $D = (1 + u^2)\Delta_L^c + u^2(\Delta_M^c + (u + u^2)\Delta_N^c) \subset \mathcal{R}^m$. Then C_D is a 16-weight linear code of length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|})$ and size 2^{3m} . The Lee weight distribution of C_D is displayed in Table 8.

Now we prove part (8).

Proof. Observe that C_D has length $|D| = (2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|})$. Consider the map $c_D : \mathcal{R}^m \rightarrow C_D$ defined by $c_D(v) = (v \cdot d)_{d \in D}$ which is a surjective linear transformation and $|\ker(c_D)| = |\{v \in \mathcal{R}^m : v \cdot d = 0 \ \forall d \in D\}| = 1$. By the first isomorphism theorem of groups, we have $|C_D| = \frac{|\mathcal{R}^m|}{|\ker(c_D)|} = 2^{3m}$.

Let $x = (1 + u^2)\alpha + u^2(\beta + (u + u^2)\gamma) \in \mathcal{R}^m$. By Equation 3.6 and 3.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
wt_L(c_D(x)) &= \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m \delta_{0,\alpha} - 2^{|L|} \chi(\alpha|L)) [(2^m \delta_{0,\gamma} - 2^{|M|} \chi(\gamma|M))(2^m \delta_{0,\beta} - 2^{|N|} \chi(\beta|N)) \\
&\quad + (2^m \delta_{0,\beta} - 2^{|M|} \chi(\beta|M))(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \\
&\quad + (2^m \delta_{0,\beta+\gamma} - 2^{|M|} \chi(\beta + \gamma|M))(2^m \delta_{0,\beta} - 2^{|N|} \chi(\beta|N))].
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now we look at the following cases.

1. If $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0 \implies \beta + \gamma = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
wt_L(c_D(x)) &= \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|}) [(2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) + (2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \\
&\quad + (2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|})] \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here in this case, $\#\alpha = 1, \#\beta = 1, \#\gamma = 1$. Therefore, $\#x = 1$.

Lee weight	Frequency
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ N })$ $+ (2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ N +1}) \times 2^{ M -1}$	$2^{m- M \cup N +1} - 2$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{m-1}$	$2^{m- L } - 1$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ N })$	$2^{m- N +1} - 2^{m- M \cup N +1}$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N })$	$2^{m- M } + 2^{2m- M } - 2^m - 2^{2m- M - M \cup N }$
$3(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^{m-1} - 2^{ N -1}) + 2^{ L + M + N -1}$	$2^{3m- L - M - N +1} - 2^{2m- L - N +1} - 2^{2m- M - N +1}$ $+ 2^{m- N +1} - 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1} + 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1}$ $+ 2^{2m- M - M \cup N +1} - 2^{m- M \cup N +1}$
$(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N })$	$2^{2m- L } - 2^m - 2^{2m- L - M } + 2^{m- M }$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N })$	$2^{2m- M - M \cup N } - 2^{m- M } - 2^{m- M \cup N +1} + 2$
$(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N }) - (2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L + M }$	$2^{2m- L - M } - 2^{m- M } - 2^{m- L } + 1$
$3(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^{m-1} - 2^{ N -1})$ $- (2^m - 2^{ N })2^{ L + M -1} + 2^{ L + M + N }$	$2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- M - M \cup N } - 2^{2m- L - M }$ $+ 2^{m- M } - 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} + 2^{m- M \cup N +1} + 2^{m- L +1} - 2$
$3(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^{m-1} - 2^{ N -1}) - (2^m - 2^{ M })2^{ L + N -1}$ $- (2^m - 2^{ N })2^{ L + M -1} + 2^{ L + M + N -1}$	$2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} - 2^{m- M \cup N +1} - 2^{m- L +1} + 2$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^{m-1} - 2^{ N -1}) - (2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{ M + N -1}$	$2^{2m- M - N +1} - 2^{2m- M - M \cup N +1} - 2^{m- N +1} + 2^{m- M \cup N +1}$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^{m-1} - 2^{ N -1}) - (2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ L + N -1}$	$2^{2m- L - N +1} - 2^{m- N +1} - 2^{2m- L - M \cup N +1} + 2^{m- M \cup N +1}$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^{m-1} - 2^{ N -1}) - (2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L + M -1}$	$2^m - 2^{m- M } + 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{2m- M } - 2^{2m- L }$ $- 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N } + 2^{2m- M - M \cup N } + 2^{2m- L - M }$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^m$	$2^{m- M } - 1$
$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^{m-1} - 2^{ N -1})$	$2^{3m} - 2^{3m- L - M } - 2^{3m- L - M - N +1} + 2^{3m- L - M - M \cup N +1}$
$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N })$	$2^m - 2^{m- M }$
0	1

Table 8

2. If $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma = 0 \implies \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ then

$$wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|}) \left[- (2^m - 2^{|M|})2^{|N|} \chi(\beta|N) - 2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \chi(\beta|M) \right. \\ \left. + 2^{|M|+|N|} \chi(\beta|M) \chi(\beta|N) \right]$$

- If $\chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$.

In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}.$$

- If $\chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|N|}$.

In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 1$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$$

$$\#\gamma = 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}.$$

- If $\chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$.

In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\#\alpha &= 1 \\ \#\beta &= (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \\ \#\gamma &= 1. \\ \text{Therefore, } \#x &= (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}.\end{aligned}$$

- If $\chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|N|} + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|} - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|}) \times 2^{|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\#\alpha &= 1 \\ \#\beta &= 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1 \\ \#\gamma &= 1. \\ \text{Therefore, } \#x &= 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1.\end{aligned}$$

3. If $\alpha = 0, \beta = 0, \gamma \neq 0 \implies \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}wt_L(c_D(x)) &= \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})[-2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|})\chi(\gamma|M) + (2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \\ &\quad - 2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|})\chi(\gamma|M)]\end{aligned}$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = |D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\#\alpha &= 1 \\ \#\beta &= 1 \\ \#\gamma &= (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}. \\ \text{Therefore, } \#x &= (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.\end{aligned}$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = |D| + (2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\#\alpha &= 1 \\ \#\beta &= 1 \\ \#\gamma &= 2^{m-|M|} - 1. \\ \text{Therefore, } \#x &= 2^{m-|M|} - 1.\end{aligned}$$

4. If $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0$ and $\beta = \gamma \implies \beta + \gamma = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned}wt_L(c_D(x)) &= \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})[2^{|M|+|N|}\chi(\gamma|M)\chi(\beta|N) - 2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|})\chi(\beta|M) \\ &\quad - 2^{|N|}(2^m - 2^{|M|})\chi(\beta|N)]\end{aligned}$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\#\alpha &= 1 \\ \#\beta &= (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \\ \#\gamma &= 1. \\ \text{Therefore, } \#x &= (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}.\end{aligned}$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 1$
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$
 $\#\gamma = 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 1$,
 $\#\beta = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$,
 $\#\gamma = 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|}) \times 2^{|M|+|N|} + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|} + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 1$,
 $\#\beta = 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1$,
 $\#\gamma = 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1$.

5. If $\alpha = 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq \gamma \implies \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ then

$$wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})[2^{|M|+|N|}\chi(\gamma|M)\chi(\beta|N) - 2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|})\chi(\beta|M) + 2^{|M|+|N|}\chi(\beta + \gamma|M)\chi(\beta|N)].$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 1$,
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$,

$$\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1).$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|}) \times 2^{|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1).$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 1,$$

$$\#\beta = 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1,$$

$$\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1) \times (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.

- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \#\alpha &= 1, \\ \#\beta &= (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}, \\ \#\gamma &= 2^{m-|M|} - 1. \end{aligned}$$
 Therefore, $\#x = \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1)$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|}) \times 2^{|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \#\alpha &= 1, \\ \#\beta &= (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}, \\ \#\gamma &= 2^{m-|M|} - 1. \end{aligned}$$
 Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1)$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \#\alpha &= 1, \\ \#\beta &= (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}, \\ \#\gamma &= 2^{m-|M|} - 2. \end{aligned}$$
 Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 2)$.
- If $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|} - (2^m - 2^{|L|}) \times 2^{|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \#\alpha &= 1, \\ \#\beta &= 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1, \\ \#\gamma &= 2^{m-|M|} - 2. \end{aligned}$$
 Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1) \times (2^{m-|M|} - 2)$.

6. If $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0, \gamma = 0 \implies \beta + \gamma = 0$ then

$$wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|} \chi(\alpha|L) [(2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) + (2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) + (2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|})]$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|}$,
 $\#\beta = 1$,
 $\#\gamma = 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|}$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{3}{2}(2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$,
 $\#\beta = 1$,
 $\#\gamma = 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$.

7. If $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma = 0 \implies \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ then

$$wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|} \chi(\alpha|L) [- (2^m - 2^{|M|})2^{|N|} \chi(\beta|N) - 2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \chi(\beta|M) + 2^{|M|+|N|} \chi(\beta|M) \chi(\beta|N)]$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|}$,
 $\#\beta = 2^m - 1$,
 $\#\gamma = 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|} \times (2^m - 1)$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$,
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$,
 $\#\gamma = 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times \{ (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \}$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|L|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$,
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$,

$$\#\gamma = 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}.$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$$

$$\#\gamma = 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}.$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|L|+|N|} - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|} + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|+|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1,$$

$$\#\gamma = 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1).$$

8. If $\alpha \neq 0, \beta = 0, \gamma \neq 0 \implies \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} wt_L(c_D(x)) &= \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|} \chi(\alpha|L) [-2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \chi(\gamma|M) \\ &\quad + (2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) - 2^{|M|}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \chi(\gamma|M)] \end{aligned}$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|},$$

$$\#\beta = 1,$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^m - 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|} \times (2^m - 1).$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = 1,$$

$$\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - (2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|} + \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|M|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = 1,$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1).$$

9. If $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0$ and $\beta = \gamma \implies \beta + \gamma = 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} wt_L(c_D(x)) &= \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|} \chi(\alpha|L) [2^{|M|+|N|} \chi(\gamma|M) \chi(\beta|N) \\ &\quad - 2^{|M|} (2^m - 2^{|N|}) \chi(\beta|M) - 2^{|N|} (2^m - 2^{|M|}) \chi(\beta|N)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that in this case, $\beta = \gamma$ so once the β is selected the same will be γ .

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|}$,
 $\#\beta = 2^m - 1$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|} \times (2^m - 1)$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times \{ (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \}$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|L|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$,
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\beta = \gamma$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1$,
 $\#\beta = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|+|M|+|N|} - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|} - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|M|}) \times 2^{|L|+|N|}$. In this case,

by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1).$$

10. If $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \neq 0, \gamma \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq \gamma \implies \beta + \gamma \neq 0$ then

$$\begin{aligned} wt_L(c_D(x)) &= \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|} \chi(\alpha|L) [2^{|M|+|N|} \chi(\gamma|M) \chi(\beta|N) - 2^{|M|} (2^m - 2^{|N|}) \chi(\beta|M) \\ &\quad + 2^{|M|+|N|} \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) \chi(\beta|N)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, $\beta \neq \gamma$ so once the $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^m$ is selected the γ can be all possible vectors except the vector β .

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|},$
 $\#\beta = 2^m - 1,$
 $\#\gamma = 2^m - 2.$
 Therefore, $\#x = (2^{|L|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|L|} \times (2^m - 1) \times (2^m - 2).$
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then
 $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$
 $\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$
 Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}$
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then
 $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$
 $\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$
 Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{|M|} - 2) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then
 $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get
 $\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$
 $\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$
 $\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 1.$
 Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times \{(2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|}\} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1).$
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then

$wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|+|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 1.$$

Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1)$.

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}$.

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1),$$

$$\#\gamma = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}.$$

Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1) \times (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|}$.

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D|$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 1.$$

Therefore, $\#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times \{ (2^{|M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M|} + (2^{|N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|N|} - (2^{|M \cup N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1)$.

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| + \frac{1}{2} \times 2^{|L|+|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 1.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|M \setminus N|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 1).$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 0 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 0, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then we get contradiction in this case as $\chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1 \implies \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1$.
- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 0$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|},$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 2.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{|N \setminus M|} - 1) \times 2^{m-|M \cup N|} \times (2^{m-|M|} - 2).$$

- If $\chi(\alpha|L) = 1, \chi(\gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|M) = 1, \chi(\beta + \gamma|M) = 1, \chi(\beta|N) = 1$ then $wt_L(c_D(x)) = \frac{3}{2}|D| - \frac{1}{2}(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|L|+|M|} + 2^{|L|+|M|+|N|}$. In this case, by using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\#\alpha = 2^{m-|L|} - 1,$$

$$\#\beta = 2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1,$$

$$\#\gamma = 2^{m-|M|} - 2.$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \#x = (2^{m-|L|} - 1) \times (2^{m-|M \cup N|} - 1) \times (2^{m-|M|} - 2).$$

Based on the above calculations, we obtain Table 8. □

4 Gray images and minimal linear codes

Here we discuss minimality and self-orthogonality of the binary codes derived from C_D through the Gray map Φ .

Let C be a linear code over \mathbb{Z}_2 . An element $v_0 \in C \setminus \{0\}$ is called *minimal* if $v \preceq v_0$ and $v \in C \setminus \{0\}$ imply $v = v_0$. If every codeword of $C \setminus \{0\}$ is minimal then we say that C is a *minimal code*. Now we recall a result from [1] that describes a condition for minimality of a code.

Lemma 4.1. [1](Ashikhmin-Barg) Consider C a binary linear code with w_0 and w_∞ as minimum and maximum weights of its nonzero codewords. If $\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} > \frac{1}{2}$, then C is minimal.

Consider the Euclidean inner product of $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{3m}$ is $\alpha \cdot \beta = \sum_{i=1}^{3m} \alpha_i \beta_i$. Next we recall a sufficient condition (see Theorem 1.4.8 (ii) of [7]) for binary linear codes to be self-orthogonal.

Theorem 4.2. [7] Assume that C is binary linear code and Hamming weight of every nonzero elements of C is $4k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then C is a self-orthogonal linear code.

Proposition 4.3. Assume that C_D as in Theorem 3.4. Then the Gray image $\Phi(C_D)$ is binary self-orthogonal code provided L, M, N are nonempty subsets of $[m]$.

The following result describes the minimality condition for the binary code $\Phi(C_D)$ for each D in Theorem 3.4.

Theorem 4.4. Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $L, M, N \subseteq [m]$. Assume that C_D as in Theorem 3.4. Let $w_0 = \min\{wt_H(c) : (0 \neq) c \in \Phi(C_D)\}$ and $w_\infty = \max\{wt_H(c) : c \in \Phi(C_D)\}$. Then the sufficient conditions for the Gray image $\Phi(C_D)$ to be a minimal code is given in Table 9 for each D .

S.N.	D as in	w_0	w_∞	minimality condition
1	Theorem 3.4(1)	$2^{ L + M + N }$	$3 \times 2^{ L + M + N -1}$	no conditions
2	Theorem 3.4(2)	$(2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{ M + N }$	$3 \times 2^{m+ M + N -1}$	$ L \leq m - 2$
3	Theorem 3.4(3)	$(2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ L + N }$	$3 \times 2^{m+ L + N -1}$	$ M \leq m - 3$
4	Theorem 3.4(4)	$(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L + M }$	$2^{ L + M -1} \times (3 \times 2^m - 2^{ N +1})$	$ N \leq m - 2$
5	Theorem 3.4(5)	$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{ N }$	$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ L }) \times 2^{m+ N -1}$, if $ L \leq M $	$ M \leq m - 3$
			$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ M }) \times 2^{m+ N -1}$, if $ L \geq M + 1$	$ L \leq m - 3$
6	Theorem 3.4(6)	$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ M }$	$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{m+ M -1}$, if $ N \leq L + 1$	$ L \leq m - 3$
			$(2^m - 2^{ L })(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ N +1}) \times 2^{ M -1}$, if $ N \geq L + 2$ and $M \not\subseteq N$	$ N \leq m - 2$
7	Theorem 3.4(7)	$(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L }$	$(2^m - 2^{ M })(3 \times 2^m - 2^{ N +1}) \times 2^{ L -1} + (2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{ L + M -1} - 2^{ L + M + N -1}$, if $ M \leq m - 2, N \leq m - 2$	no conditions
8	Theorem 3.4(8)	$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N })$	$(2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ M })(3 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{ N }) + (2^m - 2^{ L })(2^m - 2^{ N +1}) \times 2^{ M -1}$	$ M \leq m - 2, N \leq m - 2$
			$3 \times (2^m - 2^{ M })(2^m - 2^{ N }) \times 2^{m-1}$	$ L \leq m - 3$

Table 9: Minimality conditions in Theorem 4.4

Proof. Here we prove S.N. (6). First we consider the case when $|N| \leq |L| + 1$. Then $w_0 = (2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$ and $w_\infty = 3 \times (2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{m+|M|-1}$.

Now, $\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}}{3 \times (2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{m+|M|-1}} > \frac{1}{2} \iff 4 \times 2^{m-1} - 2^{|L|+1} > 3 \times 2^{m-1} \iff 2^{m-1} > 2^{|L|+1}$, which is true iff $|L| \leq m - 3$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, $\Phi(C_D)$ is minimal if $|L| \leq m - 3$.

On the other hand, if $|N| \geq |L| + 2$ then $w_0 = (2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}$ and $w_\infty = (2^m - 2^{|L|})(3 \times 2^m - 2^{|N|+1}) \times 2^{|M|-1}$.

Now, $\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(2^m - 2^{|L|})(2^m - 2^{|N|}) \times 2^{|M|}}{(2^m - 2^{|L|})(3 \times 2^m - 2^{|N|+1}) \times 2^{|M|-1}} > \frac{1}{2} \iff 4 \times 2^m - 4 \times 2^{|N|} > 3 \times 2^m - 2 \times 2^{|N|} \iff 2^m > 2^{|N|+1}$, which is true iff $|N| \leq m - 2$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, $\Phi(C_D)$ is minimal if $|N| \leq m - 2$.

Similarly, one can prove other parts also. \square

The following examples illustrate Theorem 3.4, 4.4 and Proposition 4.3.

- Example 4.5.** 1. Let $m = 5$, $L = \{1, 2\}$, $M = \{2, 3\}$, $N = \{3, 4\}$. Then C_D (as in Theorem 3.4(1)) is a 2-weight code with Lee weight enumerator $X^{192} + 9X^{128}Y^{64} + 118X^{96}Y^{96}$. By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, $\Phi(C_D)$ is a $[192, 7, 64]$ binary minimal and self-orthogonal code.
2. Let $m = 5$, $L = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $M = \{2, 3\}$, $N = \{3, 4\}$. Then C_D (as in Theorem 3.4(2)) is a 4-weight code with Lee weight enumerator $X^{1152} + 9X^{768}Y^{384} + 984X^{576}Y^{576} + 27X^{512}Y^{640} + 3X^{384}Y^{768}$. By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, $\Phi(C_D)$ is a $[1152, 10, 384]$ binary minimal and self-orthogonal code.
3. Let $m = 5$, $L = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $M = \{2, 3\}$, $N = \{2, 3, 4\}$. Then C_D (as in Theorem 3.4(3)) is a 5-weight code with Lee weight enumerator $X^{5376} + 24X^{3584}Y^{1792} + 13X^{3328}Y^{2048} + 7936X^{2688}Y^{2688} + 200X^{2560}Y^{2816} + 18X^{2304}Y^{3072}$. By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, $\Phi(C_D)$ is a $[5376, 13, 1792]$ binary minimal and self-orthogonal code.
4. Let $m = 4$, $L = \{1, 2, 3\}$, $M = \{1, 2\}$, $N = \{2, 3\}$. Then C_D (as in Theorem 3.4(4)) is a 4-weight code with Lee weight enumerator $X^{1152} + 14X^{768}Y^{384} + X^{640}Y^{512} + 492X^{576}Y^{576} + 4X^{512}Y^{640}$. By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, $\Phi(C_D)$ is a $[1152, 9, 384]$ binary minimal and self-orthogonal code.
5. Let $m = 5$, $L = \{1\}$, $M = \{2, 3\}$, $N = \{2, 3, 4\}$. Then C_D (as in Theorem 3.4(5)) is a 10-weight code with Lee weight enumerator $X^{20160} + 24X^{13440}Y^{6720} + 13X^{12480}Y^{7680} + 270X^{10176}Y^{9984} + 3000X^{10112}Y^{10048} + 28672X^{10080}Y^{10080} + 195X^{9920}Y^{10240} + 360X^{9856}Y^{10304} + 200X^{9600}Y^{10560} + 15X^{9408}Y^{10752} + 18X^{8640}Y^{11520}$. By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, $\Phi(C_D)$ is a $[20160, 15, 6720]$ binary minimal and self-orthogonal code.
6. Let $m = 5$, $L = \{1, 2\}$, $M = \{3\}$, $N = \{2, 3, 4\}$. Then C_D (as in Theorem 3.4(5)) is a 10-weight code with Lee weight enumerator $X^{20160} + 16X^{13440}Y^{6720} + 21X^{12992}Y^{7168} + 294X^{10176}Y^{9984} + 3024X^{10112}Y^{10048} + 28672X^{10080}Y^{10080} + 147X^{9664}Y^{10496} + 112X^{9600}Y^{10560} + 432X^{9856}Y^{10304} + 42X^{9408}Y^{10752} + 7X^{8640}Y^{11520}$. By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, $\Phi(C_D)$ is a $[20160, 15, 6720]$ binary minimal and self-orthogonal code.
7. Let $m = 4$, $L = \{1\}$, $M = \{2, 3\}$, $N = \{1, 3\}$. Then C_D (as in Theorem 3.4(6)) is a 8-weight code with Lee weight enumerator $X^{2016} + 56X^{1344}Y^{672} + 112X^{1024}Y^{992} + 3456X^{1008}Y^{1008} + 28X^{992}Y^{1024} + 392X^{960}Y^{1056} + 4X^{1120}Y^{896} + 16X^{896}Y^{1120} + 28X^{864}Y^{1152}$. By Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4, $\Phi(C_D)$ is a $[2016, 10, 672]$ binary minimal and self-orthogonal code.

5 Conclusion

In this article, simplicial complexes generated by a single maximal element are used to construct defining sets which in turn define linear codes over \mathcal{R} . We study the algebraic structure of these codes and obtain their Lee-weight distributions; Boolean functions are used in these computations. We obtain binary linear codes as Gray images of linear codes over \mathcal{R} . Moreover, we establish sufficient conditions for these binary codes to be minimal. These binary codes are self-orthogonal if the maximal element of each simplicial complex is a nonzero vector. We give a few examples to illustrate our results.

References

- [1] Ashikhmin, A., Barg, A.: Minimal vectors in linear codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **44**(5), 2010-2017, (1998)
- [2] Carlet, C., Ding, C., Yuan, J.: Linear codes from perfect nonlinear mappings and their secret sharing schemes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **51**(6), 2089-2102, (2005)
- [3] Chang, S., Hyun, J.Y.: Linear codes from simplicial complexes. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **86**(10), 2167-2181, (2018)
- [4] Chabanne, H., Cohen, G., Patey, A.: Towards secure two-party computation from the wire-tap channel. In: Lee, H.-S., Han, D.-G. (eds.) *Proceeding of ICISC 2013 (Lecture Notes in Computer Science, Vol. 8565)*, pp. 34-46. Springer, Berlin (2014)
- [5] Ding, K., Ding, C.: A class of two-weight and three-weight codes and their applications in secret sharing. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **61**(11), 5835-5842, (2015)
- [6] Ding, C., Helleseht, T., Klove, T., Wang, X.: A generic construction of Cartesian authentication codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **53**(6), 2229-2235, (2007)
- [7] Huffman W.C., Pless, V.: *Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2003)
- [8] Hyun, J.Y., Lee, J., Lee, Y.: Infinite families of optimal linear codes constructed from simplicial complexes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **66**(11), 6762-6775, (2020)
- [9] Hyun, J.Y., Kim, H.K., Wu, Y., Yue, Q: Optimal minimal linear codes from posets. *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **88**(12), 2475-2492, (2020)
- [10] Massey, J. L.: Minimal codewords and secret sharing., In *Proc. 6th Joint Swedish-Russian Int. Workshop on Info. Theory*, 276-279, (1993)
- [11] Sagar, V., Sarma, R.: Linear codes using simplicial complexes. *Cryptogr. Commun.*, (to appear), (2022)

- [12] Shamir, A.: How to share a secret. *Commun. ACM* **22**(11), 612-613, (1979)
- [13] Shi, M., Li, X.: A new family of optimal binary few-weight codes from simplicial complexes. *IEEE Communications Letters* **25**(4), 1048-1051, (2021)
- [14] Shi, M., Guan, Y., Wang, C., Solé, P.: Few-weight codes from trace codes over R_k . *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* **98**(1), 167-174, (2018)
- [15] Shi, M., Qian, L., Hellesteth, T., Solé, P.: Five-weight codes from three-valued correlation of M-sequences. *Advances in Mathematics of Communications*, doi:10.3934/amc.2021022, (2021)
- [16] Shi, M., Li, X. Few-weight codes over a non-chain ring associated with simplicial complexes and their distance optimal Gray image. *Finite Fields Appl.* **80**, 101994, (2022)
- [17] Shi, M., Li, X.: Few-weight codes over a non-chain ring associated with simplicial complexes and their distance optimal Gray image. *Finite Fields Appl.* **80**, 101994, (2022)
- [18] Shi, M., Li, X.: New classes of binary few weight codes from trace codes over a chain ring. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **68**(3), 1869-1880, (2022)
- [19] Shi, M., Xuan, W., Solé, P.: Two families of two-weight codes over \mathbb{Z}_4 . *Des. Codes Cryptogr.* **88**(12), 2493-2505, (2020)
- [20] Kaya, A., Yildiz, B., Siap, I.: New extremal binary self-dual codes of length 68 from quadratic residue codes over $\mathbb{F}_2 + u\mathbb{F}_2 + u^2\mathbb{F}_2$. *Finite Fields Appl.* **29**, 160-177, (2014)
- [21] Kewat, P.K., Mondal, N.K.: A class of few-Lee weight $\mathbb{Z}_2[u]$ -linear codes using simplicial complexes and minimal codes via Gray map. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.06470.*, (2022)
- [22] Wang, S., Shi, M.: Few-weight $\mathbb{Z}_p\mathbb{Z}_p[u]$ -additive codes from down-sets. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **68**(4), 2381-2388, (2022)
- [23] Wu, Y., Li, C., Xiao, F.: Quaternary linear codes and related binary subfield codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **68**(5), 3070-3080, (2022)
- [24] Wu, Y., Zhu, X., Yue, Q.: Optimal few-weight codes from simplicial complexes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **66**(6), 3657-3663, (2020)
- [25] Yuan, J., Ding, C.: Secret sharing schemes from three classes of linear codes. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory* **52**(1), 206-212, (2006)
- [26] Zhu, X., Wei, Y.: Few-weight quaternary codes via simplicial complexes. *AIMS Math.* **6**(5), 5124-5132, (2021)